DOCUMENTS

CLOSED STACKS

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

VITA SINE LITERIS MORS EST

BOOK NO. 352 Sa52:7-28

ACCESSION 376886

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

FORM NO. 37 5M 9-38

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 90119 9431

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. ~~29~~ 38—New Series No. 1

Tuesday, January 2, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

SAN FRANCISCO

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

x352
Sa52:7 28

376886

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 2, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, January 2, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 18, 26 and 27, 1933, were considered read and approved.

Statement of Votes.

The following presented:

Statement of votes cast at the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 19, 1933, on State Referendum Measure (Central Valley Water Project) and local school bonds.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Approval of Record Book "AL," Statement of Votes Cast at the Special Election, December 19, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1223, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AL", Statement of Votes Cast at the Special Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco, December 19, 1933, on State Referendum Measure and Local School Bonds, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, and that the statement shows the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition voted, the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for and against the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Approval of Record Book "AL", Statement of Votes Cast at Election, December 19, 1933, on Proposition "School House Bonds, 1934."

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1224, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AL", State of Votes Cast at the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, December 19, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election for the Bond Proposition entitled "School House Bonds, 1934," which special election was called to be held and consolidated with the State Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, pursuant to the provisions of Bill No. 464, Ordinance No. 21.312 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; that said statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on such bond proposition, which whole number of votes was 99,907, that of said whole number of votes so cast, 69,377 were cast and counted in favor of said "School House Bonds, 1934," and 30,530 were cast and counted against said "School House Bonds, 1934," that such statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the total number cast in each voting precinct therein; also such statement shows the whole number of votes cast for and against said "School House Bonds, 1934," proposition in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the number cast for and against such proposition in each voting precinct therein.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Registrar of Voters to Transmit Statement of Votes, Election December 19, 1933, to Secretary of State.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1225, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State, at Sacramento, California, a certified copy of the statement of votes of the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, December 19, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m., January* 15, 1934.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of $3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests for a loan of $3,200,000 for the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3,000 rooms for rental at $11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the reason that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interest, inflicting conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would respectfully call the attention of officials at Washington to the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and, further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be $11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage-earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, for presentation to the Federal Administrator of Public Works at Washington as an expression of protest to the Government loan for said project.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *continued for two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m., January 15, 1934.*

HEARING OF APPEAL FROM ASSESSMENT ON GARFIELD STREET.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

RECONSIDERATION.

Hugo D. Newhouse Appointed Golden Gate Bridge Director. (Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1168, as follows:

Resolved, That Hugo D. Newhouse be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City and

County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of George T. Cameron, resigned.

December 26, 1933—*Adopted by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

December 26, 1933—*Supervisor Brown changed his vote from No to Aye and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.*

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, Re: Appointment of Two New Directors to Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

A communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce demanding that the two new Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to be appointed, be free from all questionable influence and that it will be of such integrity as to deserve and enjoy the respect and confidence of the taxpayers was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl, Supervisor-elect, was, on motion of Supervisor Brown, granted the privilege of the floor. He urged that the matter of the appointment of Bridge Directors be laid over for consideration of the new Board.

He declared that he had in mind an engineer for the position of Director, as the Board was already well supplied with lawyers and business men.

J. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, declared that his organization favored the two nominees proposed, Hugo D. Newhouse and John McLaughlin.

He urged that these appointments be made at once.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order against the eligibility of Supervisors Shannon and Stanton to vote for bridge director because of the duality of their positions as Supervisors and Bridge Directors.

Chair: Point of order not well taken.

Reconsideration Refused.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's motion to reconsider and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, recommended by Supervisors Havenner and Spaulding, being a majority of the Public Utilities Committee, was taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

Appointment of John P. McLaughlin as Golden Gate Bridge Director.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1222, as follows:

Resolved, That John P. McLaughlin be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City

and County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of Carl A. Henry, deceased.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Clerk immediately and by special delivery notify Secretary Felt of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the two candidates just elected.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance. Adding Confidential Secretary to Office of Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 497, Ordinance No. 9.05356, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 2½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 10. SHERIFF.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff ....$	666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
2½	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff....	
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper....	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	22	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail....	275
23	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part-time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Transferring $1,443.75 to Pay Salary of Confidential Secretary to Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.05)

Also, Bill No. 498, Ordinance No. 9.051114, as follows:

An ordinance appropriating $1,443.75 to the credit of Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (1933-34) out of Appropriation No. 260 of said Appropriation Ordinance for the purpose of creating one position of Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff at $275 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1933-34, the sum of $1,443.75. The said sum to be taken from Appropriation No. 260 of the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Sheriff's Department one position of Confidential Secretary at a salary of $275 per month, subject to the deduction authorized by Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of Buildings on University Mound Reservoir Property.

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 1217, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days published notice, all buildings acquired or to be acquired on Assessor's Blocks 6039, 6040, 6041, 6056, 6057 and 6058, which blocks are to be used for the extension of University Mound Reservoir.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Auction Sale of City Property.
(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Resolution No. 1218, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized to sell at auction the partly demolished City-owned house located at 537 Harkness avenue, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Auction Sale of School Property Authorized.
(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 1219, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days published notice, the City-owned building located at 151 Highland avenue, San Francisco. The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property, said building to be removed by the purchaser within thirty days after the date of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Acceptance of Deeds for Land.
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1220, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lands in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lands from Appropriation No. 256:

Bert Nordman et al., Lots 14, 15 and 16, Assessor's Block 6266, $4,810.
Thomas Gilligan, Lot 8, Assessor's Block 6288, $1,874.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Closing to Traffic Alameda Street From Bryant to Florida Street.
(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1221, as follows:

Resolved, That Alameda street, from the westerly line of Bryant street to the center line of Florida street, and the easterly half of Florida street from Alameda street to a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom be and are hereby temporarily closed to traffic.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Mayor's Annual Report.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: My prolonged absence from San Francisco, at the National Capitol, during November and December, prevented my com-

pletion of my annual report for submission to your Honorable Board on this date.

The report is now being typed and will be transmitted on Monday next.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Read by the Clerk. *Filed.*

Invitation to Hearing Relative to Complete Utilization of the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. H. Wittenberg, Chairman, Regional Committee, Region No. 15, of the Code of Fair Competition for the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry, advising of hearing to be held January 4, 1934, at 11 a. m. in Room 211, State Building, San Francisco, pursuant to provisions of the Code of Fair Competition for the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry in making its survey relative to the fullest possible utilization of the present productive capacity of the industry, and requesting attendance thereat.

Invitation accepted and Supervisor Gallagher appointed to attend.

Report of J. C. Geiger, Health Officer, Re: Resolution No. 1210, Relating to Alcoholic Beverages Offered for Sale in San Francisco.

Communication from Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director, Department of Public Health, transmitting report with reference to Resolution No. 1210, relating to alcoholic beverages offered for sale in San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Workmen's Compensation on CWS Projects.

John Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors: This is to advise you that we can accept no responsibility for workmen's compensation under CWS projects. The rates are low on this type of work and we trust that each county will be able to make arrangements to take care of this item so that their projects will not be stopped.

CAPT. EDWARD MacCAULEY,
Administrator, CWA,
By ROY W. PILLING,
Director, Civil Works Service Projects.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Resurvey of Spur Track Facilities.

(Code No. 12.20)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1229, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is recognized as one of the foremost industrial communities of the West possessing definite advantages for the manufacturer, wholesaler and distributor as regards taxes, climate, transportation facilities, sample labor market and other economic factors necessary to the locating of industry; and

Whereas, the diversification of our industries and the many substantial payrolls resulting from same contribute in no small degree to our present recognized standing as a solvent community enjoying economic health; and

Whereas, competition for industrial payrolls among the communities around the bay, as well as other areas in the southern part of the State, is becoming more and more apparent; and

Whereas, said competing areas have succeeded in inducing a number of manufacturers of this City to move to other localities where

the people of these communities are sufficiently industrial-minded to be cognizant of industries' legitimate requirements and requests; and

Whereas, it has been frequently alleged that San Francisco is negligent in many respects in its cooperation with those agencies who are endeavoring to study, analyze and equitably adjust the economic needs of our own local industries; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the City Engineer of said City and County to conduct a survey among the industries located in those certain industrial zones bounded by Jefferson and Greenwich streets, Battery and Hyde streets for the purpose of ascertaining if the various facilities normally and rightfully accorded industry by other communities are being equally furnished by this City, especially as regards the legitimate spur track requirements of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, one of the largest and oldest industrial concerns in this City, with an enormous capital investment and employing large numbers of workers, whose request for reasonable rail facilities to its plant has been opposed by certain residential property owners living in an area strictly industrial and zoned for that purpose; and be it

Further Resolved, That, if such investigation, when completed, reveals the lack of legitimate and customary City and County aid with regard to needed industrial facilities and especially with reference to the reasonable request of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, who, after several years of practical idleness due to the recent prohibition era, is now confronted with unusual demands for its products and services, that this Board of Supervisors take immediate steps to provide the easily arranged spur track facilities requested and, if necessary, purchase the right of way for this track with City and County funds in order that this industry and others who would benefit also through this needed facility may feel justified in a continued faith and confidence sufficient to warrant them to maintain manufacturing in this community.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.

(North Beach Merchants' Promotion Association and others to be notified.)

Leaves of Absence—Lewis F. Byington, President, Public Utilities Commission, and E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.
(Code No. 15.035)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1228, as follows:

Resolved, That, in the discharge of routine duties, Lewis F. Byington, President, Public Utilities Commission, and E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, be, and they are hereby granted permission to absent themselves from the State of California for a period of fifteen days at any time during the next sixty days in order to visit Boulder Dam for the purpose of examining the construction thereof, in order to prepare the final plans, specifications and estimates for the work to be done incident to the raising of the height of the O'Shaughnessy Dam on the Hetch Hetchy project.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Contract With Chamber of Commerce—Publicity and Advertising.
(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1230, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did, on the 15th

day of July, 1931, enter into a contract with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, to engage the services of said Chamber of Commerce for the purpose of exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County, for the purpose of inducing immigration to, and increasing the trade and commerce of the City and County, and of advertising and exhibiting for said purpose, the agricultural, horticultural, industrial, commercial and climatic, educational, recreational, artistic, musical, cultural and other resources of said City and County; and

Whereas, it is provided that during each fiscal year within the term of said contract that the said Chamber of Commerce should submit to the Board of Supervisors a plan of the nature and character of said advertising for said year; and

Whereas, the said Chamber of Commerce has submitted said plan for the current year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said plan be, and the same is hereby approved, and the Chief Administrative Officer is hereby requested to cause said plan to be executed, the cost thereof not to exceed the sum of $50,000, to be paid from the Publicity and Advertising Fund, upon the submission of adequate vouchers for the amounts expended, duly approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, provided that all expenditures before being made be duly approved by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by Supervisor Miles and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Application to the State of California for Loan of $1,000,000 Under Provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act, 1933, for the Furnishing of Relief in San Francisco Resulting From Unemployment.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 502, Ordinance No. 19.07110, as follows:

Application to the State of California for loan of $1,000,000, made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of Statutes of 1933; this sum being necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief resulting from unemployment in the City and County of San Francisco, for the period January 1, 1934, to March 31, 1934, or shortly thereafter, authorizing the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a note in amount of $1,000,000 with interest at 4¼ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals, on July 1st and January 1st; if not paid subject to provisions of Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, declaring existence of an actual emergency relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor, and passage of this ordinance prior to January 3, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) is hereby made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administration.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief

and work relief and in relieving the hardship resulting from unemployment in San Francisco County for the period beginning January 1, 1934, and ending March 31, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Said loan, if granted, in the amount of one million and no/100 dollars ($1,000,000), shall constitute a debt of the County of San Francisco and shall be secured by a note of the County of San Francisco executed as follows:

$1,000,000.00 Date....., 1934.

Commencing on the 1st day of July, 1937, the County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of $1,000,000 in ten equal annual installments, together with interest at the rate of 4¼ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals on July 1st and January 1st, all as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and subject to the provisions thereof.

.....

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

.....

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to execute such notes set forth hereinabove in the amount requestd ($1,000,000), or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administrator. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and that this ordinance must be passed prior to January 3, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence—Edward G. Cahill.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1226, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, com-

mencing at any time during the next sixty days, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Leave of Absence—Hon. Lewis F. Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, President of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1227, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lewis F. Byington, President of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Referred.

The following was presented by Supervisor Colman and *referred to the Health Committee*:

Amendment to Milk Ordinance—Cream.

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 503, Ordinance No. 17.053, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 17.052—Code No. 17.05, entitled as follows: "Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for

the violation thereof," by changing Subdivision Seven of Section two of said ordinance as hereinafter set forth.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That Subdivision 7 of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 17.052 —Code No. 17.05, entitled as follows: "Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof," be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 2. * * *

"Subdivision 7. Cream: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. *Market cream, from and after the first day of July, 1934*, shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

"Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation 'sour market cream.' "

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that Dr. Geiger observe the status quo in the matter of enforcing the ordinance until such time as the amendment is reported by the committee.

Dr. Geiger agreed and stated that he would report every 30 days.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved that the installation proceedings of the Board on January 8 be transmitted over loud speaker system to overflow crowd in rotunda of the City Hall.

Motion *carried.*

City Attorney to Advise on Residence Qualifications for Members Relief Committees or Commissions.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney be requested to advise this Board as to whether any person can legally hold membership on any committee or commission representing San Francisco

in the expenditure of government funds, who does not reside in San Francisco.

Motion *carried*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, January 8, 1934, to finish the business of the retiring Board. All members to be notified.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 8, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 2

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, January 9, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 9, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman Peyser, Shannon Stanton—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Supervisor Stanton appeared and was noted present at 3:30 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 3, 1933, was considered read and approved.

IN MEMORIAM—CALVIN COOLIDGE.

The following was presented by Supervisor Hayden and read by the Clerk:

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 578, as follows:

Whereas, grim tragedy has ended the life of Calvin Coolidge, thirtieth President of the United States of America, and has left an admiring country appalled at the unexpectedness of the stroke; and

Whereas, the career of Calvin Coolidge, from a law clerk to President, has exhibited lessons of pure and simple Americanism, wherein steadiness of purpose, constancy of character, a will to achieve, and a clarity of thought in the major issues of life were all well exemplified; and

Whereas, in Calvin Coolidge the American people were given a brilliant example of intellectual righteousness, of courageous heart, of unswerving fidelity to specific ideals of American life, and a notable reticence begetting honest respect; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in public meeting assembled, express sincere and high regard for the personality and character of the late President of the United States of America and deplores the tragic end of so eminent a citizen; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the

minutes of this meeting, and when the Board adjourns today it do so out of respect to the memory of the late President of the United States of America.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

The following was read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

January 9, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I regret that illness prevents my attendance at your meeting this afternoon. It was my desire to personally express to your Honorable Board the grief which I feel at the passing of Calvin Coolidge.

Simplicity, the characteristic of all great men, was the outstanding mark of Ex-President Coolidge. He was a man of the people, whose ideals were centered on the advancement of his own country and humanity at large. His great force of character was shown in every emergency. His proverbial silence was an index of his strength.

The great responsibility of his exalted office weighed upon him, but never did he complain of the weight of his burden.

His life furnished an excellent example of a great statesman to coming generations. His sincerity of purpose was never questioned, even by his political opponents. As a student of political economy his genius was admired.

As President of the United States his force of leadership was appreciated. As a man his humanity was loved by rich and poor alike.

San Francisco suffers a distinct loss at his passing as does the entire civilized world.

I join with you in expressions of condolence to the family of the late President Coolidge, and I suggest that when your Board adjourns today it do so in honor of his memory.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Dr. David P. Barrows, former president of the University of California, was heard in an eloquent eulogy of the deceased, President Calvin Coolidge.

Mayor's Annual Message.

The following was presented, ordered spread on the Journal, and copies sent to members:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

January 9, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: By mandate of the new Charter, section 25, which states "He shall, at the first meeting of the Board of Supervisors in January of each year, communicate by message to the Supervisors a general statement of the condition of the affairs of the City and County, and recommend the adoption of such measures as he may deem expedient and proper," I hereby submit to your Honorable Board what might properly be termed "The Mayor's Annual Message," and to be considered as a detailed follow-up of my message to your Board of January 3, 1932.

The new Charter, under which we are now operating, is today celebrating its first birthday anniversary. During its young life much has transpired and much has been accomplished. With the adoption of this new instrument, new departments were established and readjustments were made necessary in practically every branch of our munici-

pal government. The problem of departments' proper functioning without interruption was one of serious concern, for the task was a stupendous one. However, it is with considerable satisfaction that I can advise you that the complete change-over was made with promptness and accuracy; that no handicaps of a perceptible nature were enjoined upon the public or the departments during the program of readjustment.

Needless, of course, to offer, the heads of departments as well as subordinates were cooperatively disposed, a feature which materially facilitated the work. Of great aid in installing our new Charter was the committee that I appointed for that purpose, of which Mr. Lewis F. Byington was chairman, Mr. Francis V. Keesling vice-chairman and Mr. Wm. H. Nanry secretary. To this committee I feel great indebtedness and hereby convey to them my appreciation for their splendid cooperation.

Though only a year has elapsed since this Charter became effective, its true value has been established, the benefits accruing through its operation will be manifold.

Appointments

To comply with the provisions of the new Charter, it became incumbent on me as Mayor to make many commission appointments. I approached this obligation with a full realization of its seriousness. I expended my very best efforts towards securing persons whom I thought were properly equipped to serve the municipality. These appointees, in most cases, have served one year, and I feel I can, at this time, proudly say to you that each one has given a service that fully justified their appointment.

Relief

To adequately provide food and shelter for our less fortunate residents, the electorate of San Francisco, on August 30, 1932, reflected its hearts' regard for their fellow men, by an overwhelmingly favorable vote of about seven to one, carried Relief Bonds to the extent of $6,500,000.

Augmenting this amount, the employees of our municipal government voluntarily subscribed approximately $1,500,000; this amount to be paid in twelve equal instalments.

This munificent and beneficent commitment upon the part of our city employees is, I think, unparalleled by any like group in the United States. I hereby commend them for their generosity.

Realizing the importance of this great humane work and the necessity for the strictest and most honorable administration of its affairs, by your authorization, I appointed the following committee, with full responsibility of the supervision of the relief campaign: Honorable M. C. Sloss, chairman; Joseph M. Cumming, secretary; Frank N. Belgrano, Jr., Russell D. Carpenter, Colbert Coldwell, W. Parmer Fuller, Jr., Major Charles H. Kendrick, John A. O'Connell, Frank C. MacDonald, Mrs. Paul Springer, James B. Smith and Mrs. Earl F. Treadwell.

This committee recommended for Director of Relief Activities Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, and your Board affirmed this appointment. I, personally, made a detailed survey of the work this committee and Mr. Wollenberg and his staff are doing. I cannot too highly praise those identified with the work for the splendid job they have done and are continuing to do. To take care of over 60,000 persons is no small undertaking. The work is so systematized that every worthy case reported is properly cared for; a history kept of each application, and leakage, if any, minimized. However, some time and money could be saved if politically minded meddlers would not attempt to interfere with the committee's policy.

May I take this opportunity of publicly acknowledging my appreciation to the Community Chest, to the many agencies identified with it, and to the many independent agencies engaged in the work of relief, for their splendid cooperation during these distressful times. In connection with this relief work may I advise you that the funds provided

by the recent bond issue for this purpose will, I am fearful, within the next several months, be exhausted. It is my intention, within the next ten days, to invite your Honorable Board and the members of the Citizens' Advisory Committee, and Director Wollenberg, to confer with me for the purpose of discussing ways and means of continuing the relief program after the funds at present available have been exhausted.

That you may properly visualize the proportions of this work, I am herewith presenting an excerpt from the "Report of Agencies, Week Ending December 24, 1932":

On Family Relief	54,940 persons
Women's Division	1,930 persons
Men's Division	4,797 persons
TOTAL ON RELIEF	61,667 persons

Increase of 873 over previous week.

Community Kitchen served 38,725 meals, Veterans' Kitchen served 7081 meals during the week and 15,814 men received shelter during the week. No worthy applicants for food or shelter have been denied. Records show that one or more shelters, at closing time each evening, had unoccupied beds.

Unemployment

The question of unemployment is one of the most perplexing problems we are called upon to solve. Many plans have been evolved and when put into operation each has had its beneficial effect. I have given much time and consideration to this serious situation for I highly realize that before the sun can possibly break through, this condition, partly, at least, has to be overcome. Legislation will not prove the panacea.

The readjustment must be gradual to be sound. There is normally just so much work to be done each day. The proper distribution of this work should be our first consideration. Let us, therefore, bend our best efforts in that direction; let us adopt as our 1933 slogan: "AT LEAST ONE PERSON GAINFULLY OCCUPIED IN EVERY SAN FRANCISCO HOME." That the thought embodied in this slogan might assume the proportion of realization, I pledge my earnest cooperation.

The Public Utilities Commission

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, although only in operation less than one year, has made substantial progress toward a correlation of the city utilities, toward economy of operation to meet the lessening incomes which necessarily have resulted from existing economic conditions and toward improvement of service to the citizens of San Francisco, notwithstanding essential retrenchments. To the members of the Utilities Commission and Mr. E. G. Cahill, manager, great credit is due for their splendid efforts in organizing the several departments under their jurisdiction and for the successful operation of them.

For the first time, under this new plan, there has developed a unified management of these important phases of municipal government. Seven separate utility departments are included under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission. I will treat with each of these, discussing briefly its separate activities.

The Municipal Railway of San Francisco

During the past six months the San Francisco Municipal Railway has been confronted with the same serious problems which have faced all railway transportation systems, that is, the unprecedented decrease in revenue which has resulted through unemployment and prevailing economic conditions.

The management of the railway and the Public Utilities Commission are responsible for an outstanding record in the achievement of economies to offset the phenomenal decrease in income.

Bonds amounting to $5,481,000 were issued and sold to finance construction of the road. Of this amount $3,179,000 has been redeemed, of which $2,778,000 was redeemed from the earnings of the road.

At all times the five-cent fare has been in operation, giving to the people of San Francisco a fine railway service for the least possible fare.

On December 28th, the Municipal Railway completed its 20th year of operations. This important anniversary provided an opportunity to summarize some of the achievements that have taken place for that 20 years.

On December 28, 1912, the Municipal Railway operated 10 cars, employed 100 in help and operated over 15 miles of track. Today it has 1185 employees, 234 cars, 19 motor buses, 83 miles of track and 22 miles of bus routes.

During the railway's twenty years of operation, its income has exceeded $55,000,000 and has transported over 1,200,000,000 passengers.

The Municipal Railway has been responsible for the development of outlying districts and has been of estimable value to the city through the increased taxes which have resulted as these districts developed.

San Francisco Water Department

The San Francisco Water Department started operations in March, 1930, and since that time the water system has met all commitments, including operating expenses, bond interest and charges, and taxes. It has built up a surplus of $755,856; provided $2,649,106 for additions and betterments; $2,416,666 for the redemption of bonds and has contributed $570,916 to the City's general fund for the reduction of taxes.

The complete water storage as of December 1, 1932, is in excess of 19 billion gallons. Even though we experience conditions of minimum rainfall this winter, and next, this storage assures us of ample supply for next year and until the spring of 1934, at which time water from Hetch Hetchy will be delivered to the Peninsula reservoirs.

On October 27th, the Public Utilities Commission, with your approval and mine, placed in effect a reduction in rates for automatic sprinkler service for fire protection. It is estimated this service will effect a saving to consumers of approximately $25,000 a year. This lower rate should stimulate additional sprinkler system installations, thereby effecting lower insurance rates and providing a greater measure of fire protection for the entire city.

One of the most important problems confronting this department is the establishment of a definite financial policy for the construction of new extensions, to meet the continually increasing consumption demands. An adequate supply of water available to the people of San Francisco at all times is our solemn obligation.

When sixty million gallons of water daily are added to our resources, San Francisco will be enabled to dispose of considerable quantities to our neighboring communities on the Peninsula. This will doubtless prove helpful in bringing about a reduction of rates in San Francisco for shipping, industrial uses and general domestic supply.

Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Construction

This project is destined, when entirely completed, to furnish water to four millions of people on the San Francisco Bay Peninsula. It is by far the most important improvement undertaken by the City. The year just past has seen most satisfactory advancement in the construction. Hetch Hetchy water is approaching San Francisco. Should an emergency arise, water could be brought into San Francisco within sixty days. Inasmuch as our water supply is adequate, consequent on the heavy rainfall in 1931-32 season, the Commission will properly continue on with its economic construction program, and from present indications pure mountain water from this source will be running into the local reservoirs by February, 1934.

At this time the construction department is ahead of the schedule specified when the contract was awarded for the completion of the

Coast Division in July, 1932. The low bid of the City has thus proven to be amply justified, since the cost is materially less than the figure bid by the City.

On November 14, 1932, an agreement was reached by the United States Department of the Interior and the Public Utilities Commission, which definitely fixed San Francisco's Hetch Hetchy water and power rights, and set up a program for administration of the affected area and for the fulfillment of City roads and trails construction and maintenance obligations.

Through this understanding it is estimated that the City will save more than $50,000 a year which it has heretofore been obligated to spend for maintenance and policing of Hetch Hetchy watersheds.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operations

Sufficient water is in storage to maintain full power operations of the City's Hetch Hetchy power system until the latter part of January. Operations after that time will be dependent upon the winter rainfall.

Up to November 30th, revenues from power operations were in accordance with the budget estimate, and likewise were the expenditures kept within the budget allocation.

Lighting of Streets and Public Buildings

It is, indeed, essential that our public thoroughfares be properly illuminated. A new lighting schedule was placed in effect on July 1, under which lights of the City are kept in operation for a longer period during the hours of darkness. A study for the construction of additional lighting facilities for Van Ness avenue and outlying districts is under way. Should, through the survey, corrections be found necessary they will be made. I am sure you will agree that our streets are properly lighted.

Through cooperation of the municipal departments our lighting costs for public buildings have lessened. I am pleased to report that this department, likewise, is operating well within its budgetary allotment.

San Francisco Airport.

Of major importance in San Francisco's Airport operations during the past six months was the announcement that the United Air Lines and its Pacific Coast subsidiary, Pacific Air Transport, was moving the San Francisco terminal of its coastwide service to the Municipal Airport, effective Tuesday, December 27th.

To accommodate these new tenants a new radio-broadcasting station is being installed and alterations have been made in the Administration Building. The revenues to be derived will include office rental and a fee for each passenger embarking or disembarking at the field.

Thus the City will derive an increasing revenue proportionate to the number of passengers carried by the field's tenants. It is hoped that the citizens of San Francisco will be generous in their patronage of this new air service, for their cooperation will not only spell for the permanency of our present tenant but will likewise tend to encourage others to avail themselves of our facilities.

During the fiscal year 1931-1932 the field operated at a loss of $80,000 per annum. I am very happy to report that during the first five months of this fiscal year this loss has been reduced to $1,500 per month.

With the possibility of additional tenants the deficit will be entirely overcome and the field will be operating on a paying basis.

I hold for this department a very bright outlook.

Bureau of Public Relations.

The Bureau of Public Relations of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission was created on June 27, 1932, and started operations on July 6th. For the first time San Francisco's municipal public service enterprises obtained the advantages of a public relations organization

comparable to those uniformly maintained by privately owned utilities throughout the United Sttaes.

While this department has barely emerged from its formative period, it is amazing to note the statistics it has gathered and the information it has disseminated. The bureau, through its policy of cooperation, has materially contributed toward the establishment of a more cordial and clearer understanding between the City's utilities and the public.

Federal Building

I am quite pleased to report that some progress has been made in connection with this three million dollar Civic Center improvement. In order that this work could proceed it was necessary to acquire the land for right-of-way purposes for the extension of Leavenworth street from McAllster to Market. This was done at a cost of approximately $337,000 and the work has been completed. The land upon which the Federal Building is to be erected has been cleared, and I feel safe in predicting that construction work will start in the very near future.

Department of Weights and Measures

The Department of Weights and Measures has continued to function effectively as in the past, under the direction of Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Bay Bridges

Our progressive thought upon these highly important projects has sufficiently crystallized so as to enable me to assure you that within a very short time both undertakings will be well under construction. The Golden Gate bridge and the San Francisco East Bay bridge structures represent an outlay of nigh on to 125 million dollars, of which possibly 70 per cent will be distributed for labor.

The areas affected by these projects should receive the fullest benefits from the employments attending. I therefore suggest that your honorable Board pass proper resolutions importuning the directing heads of these projects that employments upon them be confined, in so far as it is practicable, to persons who have maintained a bona fide residence within the particular bridge area in which they are seeking work for a period of not less than one year prior to December 31, 1932; that copies of the resolution be sent to the proper bridge officials with the request that the widest publicity be given their employment policy, thereby overcoming an influx of itinerant unemployed from all over the country.

With the completion of these two gigantic projects I can visualize a finer and closer relationship being established between the municipalities of the bay area and the counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, with benefits undreamed of mutually distributed.

Tax Rate

By your generous support of the budget that I presented for the fiscal year 1932-1933 a saving of eight cents on each one hundred dollars valuation was effected over the previous year. Our taxpayers evidenced their appreciation by meeting their tax obligations as did no other city of equal size in the country.

I have gone into the tax situation in a most intensive manner. The property owner cannot continue to bear up under the tax burden heretofore imposed upon him. Of the thousands of families receiving relief a large proportion of them are paying no rent; thousands of others are paying what they feel they can afford, and meeting this obligation indifferently. In most every other instance reductions in rent have obtained, and as for the commercial area I can safely say but few are meeting their leasehold obligations.

Gentlemen, our best thought and efforts in an economic direction must be put forth to lessen the tax burden of the property owner. We should approach the preparation of our municipal budget as we would our private business budget. As for myself, this has been and

will continue to be my policy. Economies must be effected in order that our tax rate this year be substantially lowered and relief given to the taxpayer.

Assessor's Office

Assessor Wolden will unquestionably be called upon by many property owners to make reappraisals of their holdings, with the hope that a reduction in valuation be made. This procedure must be carefully approached, for if care is not displayed a wide shrinkage of the tax roll will obtain, thereby defeating the purpose of a lower tax rate and causing those who did not participate in the reduced valuations to carry a disproportionate part of the tax burden.

Mr. Wolden, however, has a thorough understanding of all matters pertaining to his office and can be relied upon to meet this situation and dispose of it fairly.

Controller.

The office of the Controller, as evidenced by its various reports, has shown marked improvement in the general accounting structure and fiscal control of the City and County of San Francisco. This department, functioning under the direction of Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, to whom too much praise cannot be given for the conduct of this office, I feel can be properly referred to as the mainspring of the municipality's mechanical set-up.

Due to proper accounting, economy in administering appropriations, and proper adjustment of revenue procedures, the General Fund deficit of $810,639.30, as of June 30, 1931, and again recorded as of January 8, 1932, has been eliminated, and there was shown in the Controller's financial statement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932, a small surplus in said General Fund.

However, in a report filed December 17, 1932, the Controller again calls particular attention to the fact that San Francisco now operates on a cash basis, and stresses the cash situation as it may apply to the present fiscal year ending June 30, 1933.

The budget for the fiscal year 1932-33 estimated revenues on an accrual basis, which the Charter, in its fiscal provisions, as hereinbefore noted, provides for the operation of the City and County on a cash basis. It is evident, therefore, that the difference between the cash and accrual bases as budgeted, delinquent revenue, and budgeted revenues not realized, will cause certain curtailment in the allotments to be made for the ensuing half year. The Controller has advised me that he will shortly present a complete and comprehensive report on the cash situation.

Your honorable Board is thoroughly familiar with one phase of this situation, as recorded by you May 23, 1932, emergency Ordinance No. 9.021-89, which was approved to accommodate the necessary payrolls and expenditures for May and June of the fiscal year 1931-32. This cannot again obtain, and I insist every means must be taken and every caution used to preclude any impairment of the City's credit.

Section 66 of the Charter charges the Controller with the duty of auditing the accounts of all departments. The Controller has advised me, as contained in his report of December 17, 1932, that this work is progressing as rapidly as the facilities he has at hand permit. He has further advised me that he has in preparation the examination of the accounts of certain departments charged with regulation and inspection, to ascertain that the cost of such service has not been more than its fees or licenses received. I am informed that when the investigation is terminated, further recommendations for revenue ordinances may be submitted.

Through the activity of this department the following major accomplishments were effected:

(a) The balance sheet was submitted as of June 30, 1932, evidencing the fact that the theretofore deficit in the General Fund has been wiped out.

(b) The Charter provisions for a centralized accounting system and an accurate control of prior encumbrances, based upon availability of cash, have been substantially effected.

(c) An administrative ordinance for the centralization of payrolls and operation thereunder has been approved. Practically all payrolls are now prepared in the office of the Controller and distribution of pay warrants in the field, thus creating greater efficiency and large savings in time over the previous method, wherein all officers and employees called at the then Auditor's office for said warrants.

(d) The purchase procedure and the contract procedure ordinances initiated by this department were also passed during this period and all obligations thereunder are encumbered, based upon availability of cash.

(e) A revenue control has been installed and a monthly audit based upon the budget estimate with a view towards budget estimate with a view towards budget control, has been created.

(f) Warrants in payment of all obligations are prepared in the office of the Controller and thereafter mailed to creditors. The needy aged and the blind find it no longer necessary to come to the City Hall, as their warrants are also mailed to them.

Bonded Indebtedness

Limit of bonded indebtedness 12% of non-operative roll..		$125,953,785
Bonds outstanding December 1, 1932, to which the limit is applicable	$53,828,200	
Unsold bonds December 1, 1932, to which the limit is applicable	4,731,000	58,559,200
Limit of future issues		$ 67,394,585

Official Bonds

As required by sections 8 and 191 of the Charter the Controller prepared and there was submitted to your Board with my approval a complete report on bonds to be exacted of officials and employees.

Budget

The all-inclusive budget, as approved for the present fiscal year, is being rigidly adhered to, and no office, officer nor department has been permitted to expend in excess of the allotment made under the budgetary procedure. San Francisco is defintiely on a cash basis, and readjustments must be made during the allotment periods so that no deficits may accrue.

Tax Judgments

A complete report on tax judgments has been prepared, showing a liability against the City in the sum of approximately $483,000. It is hoped that as quickly as possible this liability may be liquidated so that the interest charges may be eliminated.

Mandatory Charges Met by City

In the report filed under Resolution No. 346, Board of Supervisors, there was presented to you a classification of budget appropriations for 1932-33. This evidenced that in a total budget of approximately $57,000,000, $14,000,000 was discretionary with either the Mayor or the tax-fixing body.

Chief Administrative Officer

On the Chief Administrative Officer the new Charter places responsibility for the efficient operation of thirteen City departments, namely: Department of Finance and Records; Works; Health; Recorder; Tax Collector; Registrar; County Welfare; Weights and Measures; Horticulture; Electricity; Purchasing; Real Estate; and Coroner.

Realizing the responsibility of the office and fully conversant with the qualifications of Mr. A. J. Cleary, I appointed him as Chief Administrative Officer, to which position he was inaugurated on January 8, 1932.

During the year of his incumbency Mr. Cleary has effected savings in every department under his jurisdiction and brought about a closer coordination and consequent increased efficiency among the various bureaus under his jurisdiction.

Purchaser of Supplies

On the honesty and efficiency of the Purchaser of Supplies depends in no small measure the economic administration of almost every City department. Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies, has, in the short period of his incumbency, rendered excellent service to San Francisco and, by conscientious effort, saved large sums of money to the taxpayer.

Department of Electricity

The Department of Electricity, under the direction of Ralph W. Wiley, has had charge of all electrical inspection on old and new buildings and the supervision of overhead electrical construction, sound apparatus, and the retail sale of electrical material, appliances and devices; also the operation, maintenance and extension of the fire alarm, police, traffic and pedestrian signal systems of the City; the installation and maintenance of radio equipment in Police and Fire Department cars.

Closer cooperation has been brought about during the past year between the Department of Electricity and the Fire and Police Departments with resultant increase of efficiency in all three departments.

Horticultural Commission

The Horticultural Commission of San Francisco is responsible for the enforcement of the agricultural laws of the State. Both wholesale and retail distributors of agricultural products are inspected and certificates issued. Fourteen hundred and fifty-two certificates were made during the past year.

San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

This bureau is under the management of the "Retirement Board," with Ralph R. Nelson, actuary, directly in charge. Through the expert force employed in the conducting of this bureau this system has developed to be one of the most substantial undertakings of its character in the United States.

Municipal Courts

This department has grown in the past several years quite perceptibly, due to legislative enactments which widened their field of jurisdiction. At present we have twelve Municipal Courts operating, and in order that you may be better able to determine the volume of cases that came before this department, I offer for the first eleven months of the year 1932 16,778 civil suits were filed, plus 6091 small claims actions. In addition to these, in the criminal departments of these courts there were 96,092 proceedings filed. The expenditures over this period were $201,129, and I am quite pleased to report that the receipts exceeded that amount by $1,558. I feel those in charge of this branch of the municipal government are serving efficiently and conscientiously.

Juvenile Detention

This department, as you well know, deals with the wayward youth, and too much attention cannot be given to its conduct. Discreet attention to the children who are unfortunately brought to this department many times spells for them a proper future. Children while under the care of the home are under constant supervision day and night, confined in individual rooms and segregated according to age and conditions on the separate floors of the building. School instruction is given them. They undergo a physical examination and are constantly under health supervision. Likewise, they are accorded supervised recreational indulgences. For eleven months ending November 30, 1932, this department cared for 1715 children.

City Attorney

It is difficult to convey an adequate impression of the increased work which the introduction of the new Charter placed upon the City Attorney's office. Legal interpretations of many of the sections were called for by the Board of Supervisors, and to City Attorney John J. O'Toole and his staff great credit is due for the able manner in which the introduction of the new Charter has been effected.

In addition, Mr. O'Toole's office has creditably handled all of the litigation of the City and County of San Francisco, including the tremendously important water litigation, consequent on the pending completion of the Hetch Hetchy project. Every department of the City government has been favored with the legal advice and guidance of the City Attorney on its legal problems and a great saving has been effected for the taxpayer by the splendid ability and untiring efforts of City Attorney John J. O'Toole.

Sheriff

The work in this department pertaining to the civil branch of it seems to increase each year. Sheriff Fitzgerald's report to me as of November 30, 1932, shows 33,956 processes served by his deputies. This does not include summons for jury service. Under writs of execution, over the same period, 267 auction sales were held by the Sheriff.

I am pleased to report that in the criminal department of the Sheriff's office the work has not materially increased. Enumeration of "prisoners' days" actually served by inmates confined in the County Jail for the period ending November 30, 1932, were 233,403, and the daily cost of each prisoner per day for subsistence and maintenance was $.25513, this being a decrease over the previous year, in cost, of $.07155 per day.

During the period above mentioned the Sheriff transported to State institutions 1054 persons. This included patients to State hospitals, wards to reformatories, and felons to State prisons.

New County Jail

The plans and specifications for the new County Jail have been completed, and I am informed that bids will be called for within the next thirty days. This new building, when completed, will be one of the most modern of its kind in the country. It will provide cell facilities for 600 prisoners and will be located upon the Sneath Ranch, in San Mateo County.

Recreation Department

The Recreation Department owns and operates sixty-two recreation units. At present 152.46 acres are devoted to playgrounds or recreation centers. Eight additional sites are now under construction and, when completed, will increase the total acreage to 203.59. In addition to playground indulgences, special activities, such as music, dramatics, swimming, gymnastics, athletics, junior Symphony Orchestra and more other fine programs are provided for the children. Attendance for the first five months of the present fiscal year totaled 2,482,375, an increase of 24.22 per cent over the same period of the previous year.

This department has undertaken a large share of the burden in the relief of unemployment, both in providing work for an average of approximately 700 men per day and in a very comprehensive program for the profitable utilization of their leisure time at various centers and playgrounds, where reading and game rooms, workshops and tools for making various articles of use are available. A total of fourteen units are now being operated for this purpose and a considerable expansion in the program is contemplated.

The Recreation Department is operating on the minimum appropriation fixed by Charter of 7 cents on each $100 assessed valuation and is functioning efficiently within its budget allowance, despite the greatly increased scope of its work during the present period of depression.

It is my opinion that no finer work is done by any of our departments than the work that is being carried on by this Commission. Through their splendid efforts we are assured of a future citizenry that can be depended upon to assume the responsibility of government. To Mrs. Sigmund Stern, President of this Commission, and her associated members, and to Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent in charge, and her staff, we are indebted for the splendid work they are doing.

Traffic Advisory Board

The Traffic Advisory Board, consisting of the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief of Police, Director of Public Works, Chairman of the City Planning Commission, Superintendent of the Department of Electricity, the Municipal Judge presiding over the Traffic Court, and a member of the Board of Supervisors, has held monthly meetings, effected the elimination of numerous arterial thoroughfares, improved the street traffic signal system, passed on numerous traffic ordinances submitted for consideration, and exerted every effort to minimize the number of traffic accidents.

The Traffic Advisory Board has enjoyed the cooperation of many of our civic organizations.

Coroner's Office

The conduct of the Coroner's office, under Dr. T. B. W. Leland, has been efficient and satisfactory. Constructive suggestions for minimizing fatal traffic accidents have been made. The statistics gathered by the department have proven instructive and helpful to the other departments. During the year 1932 this department investigated approximately 2200 cases.

Department of Finance and Records

Under Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records, this department has developed in efficiency and service during the past year. Economies have been effected in every office under the jurisdiction of the Director of Finance and the budget of each subdivision materially reduced. Briefly I will touch upon the departments functioning under the Director:

To the Tax Collector additional duties have been assigned in the nature of collection of license fees from hotels, apartment houses and business establishments. The cooperation of the Police Department in effecting these collections has been asked. While to date little progress has been made in the actual collection, it is anticipated that by the end of the fiscal year a very substantial sum will have been paid into the City Treasury.

The force of the Registrar of Voters has been diminished and the part-time allowance for election officers decreased, thereby effecting a substantial saving.

The force in the Recorder's office and County Clerk's office have likewise been cut down and additional economies in these departments will be effected in the budget for the next fiscal year. It is hoped that the office of Public Administrator will be self-supporting and that the revenue from fees will exceed the budget allowance for this office.

War Memorial

The past fiscal year saw the completion of the War Memorial Buildings in the Civic Center. The Civic Opera House, one of the most imposing structures of its kind in the entire world, with appointments second to none, was opened in October. A successful opera season was presented to the public, and San Francisco's appreciation for the structure and the music presented during the opera season was attested by the fact that practically every seat was sold for every performance.

There is no disposition to rent the War Memorial Opera House in competition with privately financed entertainment features, and the building should be made self-supporting if such is possible.

The Veterans' Building, the twin structure of the War Memorial, is likewise completed. The various veterans' organizations have started moving in, and ere long all posts of the American Legion, as well as all veteran organizations, will be comfortably housed in this building.

These buildings are under the supervision of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial. It is indeed to be regretted that the late Robert I. Bentley, first president of this Commission, who so painstakingly occupied himself with the details of these monuments to which he was so devoted, was denied the privilege of seeing his work completed. With reverence I offer tribute to his memory.

To Honorable Kenneth R. Kingsbury, the present chairman, and his Board of Trustees I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation for their splendid cooperation.

Probation Departments

These departments, wherein lies the entire responsibility of all adult and juvenile probation work, are extremely important units in our municipal structure. Their importance, I fear, is sometimes underestimated. Thousands of cases are handled through these departments annually, each one presenting its own peculiar problems. The work is highly sensitive and extreme discretion must at all times apply.

School Department

In harmony with certain suggestions contained in my inaugural address of January 8, 1932, the Board of Education proceeded to have a survey made of this department and, when completed, institute such reforms and corrections as, in their judgment, were found necessary for the betterment of the service.

During the progress of this survey, which is still incomplete, the 1932 Grand Jury conducted an independent investigation of this department and, as the result of its findings, made some very drastic recommendations to the Board of Education.

In view of the above circumstances, and sensing the possibility of a misconstruction being placed upon what I might offer, I feel at this time that my reaction to the department's policies should not be incorporated in this message. I have, however, had several conferences with the President of the Board, Mr. Ira W. Coburn, and Mr. Philip Lee Bush, chairman of its finance committee, at which was preliminarily discussed the possible curtailments in the 1933-1934 budget.

I have been assured of their fullest cooperation.

Public Defender's Office

On June 1, 1932, I found it necessary to remove Mr. Frank J. Egan from his position for misconduct in office, and on June 14, 1932, he was properly tried upon these charges by your honorable Board and my position sustained.

Egan was subsequently tried for the murder of Mrs. Jessie Scott Hughes and likewise found guilty, and at present is confined in the State prison at San Quentin.

The municipality expended, in connection with the Egan matter, nigh on to ten thousand dollars. I have felt and still feel that the office of Public Defender should be made, at least in part, to absorb this expense; provided, however, it could be done and not interfere with the proper functioning of the department. With this thought in mind I have deferred the appointment of a Public Defender, thereby effecting a saving of $666.66 per month. I keep advised as to the department's operations and find that the present personnel of the office has conducted its affairs properly.

In my recommendations of the 1933-34 budget certain curtailments will be reflected in order that my economic program will not be interfered with. You may feel certain that some time after the adoption of this budget I shall appoint a Public Defender to fill the present vacancy.

Department of Public Health

The prolonged depression has placed an exceedingly heavy burden on the Department of Public Health. For the present fiscal year the budget allowance provided for 900 patients at the County Hospital and 1650 patients at the Relief Home. At present 1150 are being taken care of in the former institution and 1800 in the latter, or an increase of 15 per cent over the number provided for in our budget.

The health of the community is its greatest asset. No niggardly policy should be followed as regards public health, even in times of great financial stress—in fact, the greater the stress the greater is the need of additional health precautions.

The only two departments whose budget allowance was increased during the past fiscal year were the Health Department and the Department of Social Welfare, both increases directly attributable to the stringency of the times.

One of the most serious conditions with which the Health Department had to cope was an occurrence of several epidemics of poisoning. The efficiency with which the cause was promptly ascertained and the stringent remedial measures adopted were responsible for the fact that no fatalities resulted from any of the epidemics. A stricter inspection was put in force by Dr. Geiger, with results beneficial to the community.

Occupancy of the Health Center Building, adjacent to the City Hall, will centralize the functions of this department and facilitate efficient administration.

The Division of School Health Supervision has made progress in the service of school children, some 47,000 having been given thorough physical examinations in public and private schools. The parents of the children are invited to be present during the physical examinations, and a more complete understanding of the children's condition may be imparted in this manner. The policy of the Department of Health is not to communize medical treatment but to emphasize the necessity of adequate care under the jurisdiction of the family physician. Only in cases where the parent is unable financially to engage a private physician is care extended or clinical treatment provided.

County Welfare Department

Care of the indigent, aged, the orphan and the blind devolves upon the County Welfare Department, under the able direction of Miss Eugenie Schenk. Due to the depression the work of this department has also been greatly increased. In a typical month some 1200 aged, 400 orphans, 420 widows and 180 blind are provided for. Half of the expense thereof devolves upon the State.

It is the policy of the County Welfare Department to administer aid not by perfunctory procedure bound up with red tape, but in a personal and friendly spirit of helpfulness to the individual. This service is performed by trained welfare service workers, imbued with high ideals of public service and willing to spare no personal effort in order to accomplish the greatest good with the funds available for the purpose.

Police Department

Excellent service has been rendered the citizens of San Francisco during the past year by the Police Department, under the direction of our Police Commission, composed of Theodore J. Roche, Frank J. Foran and Dr. Thomas E. Shumate, and Chief William J. Quinn. Through the efforts of this department our City is rated as the cleanest city in the United States, free from gangsterism, gang wars, and general racketeering. The records of arrests, as shown in the last annual report, total 69,817, which figure indicates that persistent effort is being put forth in the prevention of crime and in enforcing law and order. Of 4483 automobiles stolen during the year, 4420 were recovered. Of property stolen, approximately 60 per cent recoveries were effected. The work of the Traffic Bureau in enforcing city traffic

ordinances and provisions of the California Motor Vehicle Act resulted in imposition of fines to the amount of $89,533.50.

A potent factor in the repression of crime has been the establishment of the "Radio Patrol Corps," consisting of automobiles equipped with receiving sets, which cruise about various police districts, always on the alert and prepared for immediate action upon receipt of radioed messages from the Bureau of Inspectors.

Due to the recognized efficiency of San Francisco's Police Department a substantial reduction has been made during the past year in burglary insurance rates. The splendid spirit of cooperation that exists between the Police Department, the Fire Department, the Department of Electricity, and all allied municipal branches, as well as the honesty and spirit of service of the Chief of Police and Police Commissioners, is responsible for the efficiency of San Francisco's police force.

Fire Department

Approximately 7500 firm alarms were responded to in San Francisco during the past year. Over 1100 of these were false alarms, which the Fire Department and the Police Department have been endeavoring to minimize, and it is to be hoped they will be successful.

San Francisco, by reason of its topography, has at all times a serious fire hazard, but under the splendid leadership of Fire Chief Charles J. Brennan a low insurance rate against fire hazard has been maintained. Our Fire Department is recognized throughout the United States as superior to most and second to none, despite the fact that necessary rigid economies have been exercised during the past year. We could without extravagance at this time augment our fire-fighting apparatus and replace several of the existing fire houses, but extra effort on the part of the department in maintaining existing apparatus to a proper standard of efficiency has made possible such economy. The Fire Prevention Bureau has contributed toward reduction in a number of conflagrations, and the splendid cooperation of the Police Department and the Departmenut of Electricity, together with able leadership, has gained merited recognition for the members of the Fire Department.

To the Fire Commission, Honorable Alfred Ehrman, Cesare Restani, and Dr. Thos. R. Creely, and to the officers and memoers of the department I offer my compliments for their splendid service.

Civil Service Commission

The work of the Civil Service Commission was of immeasurable assistance in preparing the details for the new Charter. This department had a very great burden of additional work imposed on it in connection with the installation of this instrument. During several months prior to January 8 the Commission and its staff worked most diligently with the several committees appointed for the installation and proper functioning of the new Charter.

The provisions of the new Charter have broadened the scope of the activities of the Civil Service Commission and have made possible a better administration of civil service procedure.

During the past year the Commission has completed 158 examinations in which 8500 applicants participated and has under way approximately 85 other examinations. In addition to this there are on file 4500 petitions of persons who are desirous of taking examinations in the future. Heretofore the number of examinations has ranged from 10 to 20 per year. This great increase in number of examinations has been due to the fact that the Commission's new classification of duties based on duties and responsibilities of the employments involved is now in effect, and because the provisions of the Charter limiting non-civil service appointments to 90 days in any fiscal year are being enforced rigidly.

I am glad to report that civil service administration is functioning in strict accordance with the ideal of the merit system, and I express my appreciation to members of the Commission and its efficient staff.

Commissions

City Planning, Board of Permit Appeals, California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum.

Among these groups there is a fine and cordial cooperative spirit existing. The several boards are properly composed. Their business is conducted with a full regard for our Charter and each group is liberally and creditably contributing to the successful operation of our municipal government.

Libraries

Our San Francisco Public Library is one of the outstanding institutions of its character in the country. This exchange, including its branches, has listed approximately one-half million volumes. Annually it issues for home use in excess of 2,500,000 books.

In addition to this, caused by the enforced idleness of so many, the reading rooms of our libraries have been taxed to their capacity. So you can readily visualize the confusion that would obtain should less efficient persons be in charge of this bureau.

What is true of the San Francisco Public Library applies proportionately to the Law Library. We are indeed fortunate that these two departments operate with such splendid regulation.

Parks

Golden Gate Park: Golden Gate Park, with its rolling meadows and vistas of greenery, is the internationally famous scenic attraction of the Pacific Coast. Its recreational and health-giving facilities are a constant source of pleasure to our citizens.

During the past year, in spite of reduced annual budget allowance, by the efficient supervision of the Park Commissioners and the vigilance of Superintendent John McLaren, Golden Gate Park is still a model for other Pacific Coast cities to pattern.

The funds for the operation and maintenance of parks and squares is made available by the mandatory provision of our Charter setting aside a minimum of 10 cents on each $100 assessed property valuation for that purpose.

Many of San Francisco's citizens are gainfully employed in maintaining our park beauty spots. In order that a full complement of men continue on, a shorter working week was put into operation.

Palace of Fine Arts: The work of restoration of the magnificent rotunda of the Palace of Fine Arts is rapidly progressing and has reached a stage where further deterioration of this classic structure need not be feared.

Within the Palace of Fine Arts proper San Francisco now has the largest indoor tennis center in the world—fourteen tennis courts are now in operation from early in the morning until midnight.

Sharpe Park: In April of last year San Francisco opened at Sharpe Park its third municipal golf course. This new course has been a source of unfailing delight to our golf-minded citizens and has elicited much favorable comment from the hundreds of tourists who have availed themselves of its facilities.

The entire park system, with its inexpensive pleasures, is truly a great aid to the decreasing family budget. It supplies healthy recreation at little or no expense.

Aquatic Park: The recreation pier at Aquatic Park is now rapidly nearing completion, and when completed will form a safe bathing area and enclosed harbor for our aquatic-minded San Franciscans.

Probation Departments

The Juvenile Probation Department for the first eleven months of 1932 expended for the maintenance of minors $628,000. At present it is providing care for 90 children in State institutions and approximately 2900 monthly in private homes and legal institutions.

Office of Treasurer

This department is the fiscal agency of our municipality. All transactions wherein receipt or disbursement of funds or the handling of

municipal bonds are concerned pass through this important bureau. Captain Duncan Matheson, Treasurer, and his efficient staff are responsible for the proper conduct of this department.

This department for the fiscal year handled $147,862,884. The interest on deposits in bank amounted to $371,247.04. The bureau redeemed, in municipal bonds, $6,000,000, and 365,000 coupons, aggregating about $6,000,000. During the year 1932 425,000 demands passed through this department.

The Controller makes a monthly audit of the Treasurer's office. The last complete audit, of November 30th, revealed all accounts and cash balanced. December audit is now under way.

Department of Public Works

Centralizing authority in the Director of Public Works has been responsible for closer coordination with the various bureau heads and consequent increased efficiency and economy in administration. Director of Works William H. Worden has shown marked ability in the management of the Department of Works.

Introduction of competitive bidding for supplying trucks and wagons has effected a saving. The entire department has been placed on a business basis. Promiscuous payment for overtime has been discontinued.

The bureau has awarded contracts since July 1, 1932, in the aggregate of $172,480. Plans and specifications are under way and bids will be called for at an early date for improvements the estimated cost of which is $1,035,641. Work in progress:

Sunset boulevard, section "A", $117,077; 85 per cent complete.

Third street and Channel street bridge contract, price $552,590; 53 per cent complete.

The Art Commission

The Art Commission, created by the new Charter, has functioned ably and efficiently during the past year. To its members, headed by Lewis P. Hobart, president, San Francisco is indebted for the many accomplishments effected since its inception.

The Commission, realizing that San Francisco is a music-loving municipality, put forth its best efforts to meet the requirements of its citizens who are inclined in that direction.

Under the direction of Honorable J. Emmet Hayden, Chairman of the Music Committee of the Art Commission, many splendid popular concerts were held under the Commission's auspices. I am happy to advise you that each of these concerts was accepted by a capacity attendance. The committee recognized no criterion but excellence in the selection of its artists and musical entertainment. Despite the depression we have maintained our reputation as the artistic center of the United States.

I have very briefly outlined the status of the various municipal departments. I have refrained from adverse criticism of any department, believing that each department head is now striving to increase the efficiency of the bureau under his or her jurisdiction. However, in each department additional economies are possible and increased efficiency obtainable.

Close cooperation between the various City departments is absolutely essential to efficient government. It is my belief that during the year just past this condition in all departments has been more assertive than ever before. General recognition that service to the public and economy in municipal government are the ideals toward which this administration is striving has resulted in marked advancement in every City department.

To the various department heads whose energetic efforts have been effective during the past year in enabling reduction of taxes and more efficient service I express my thanks. To all City employees who have cooperated in wiping out the deficit of $1,200,000 which confronted us at the beginning of 1932, and who have brought to their respective departments a higher degree of efficiency, the City is indebted.

To the City employees who have contributed generously and without coercion from their salaries toward relief measures just recognition is due from the citizenry of San Francisco.

I am confident that during the year 1933 additional progress in the conduct of San Francisco's municipal affairs will be noticeable, and I bespeak the cooperation of not only the City employees but all of the citizens in helping to maintain San Francisco's place as the most prosperous city in the world.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

In re Relief Funds.

After the transaction of other business the following proceedings were had in connection with relief funds:

On motion of Supervisor Colman, Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor and read the following into the record and protested the sale of the Relief Bonds:

January 7, 1933.

Mr. Adolph Uhl, 156 Eddy Street, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Uhl: According to your instructions we obtained some data at the City Controller's office with respect to the budget appropriation for unemployment relief and the bond fund available for unemployment relief.

We were shown Account No. 79, which showed the budget appropriation of $1,000,000 for unemployment relief and expenditures of $1,009,582.27 charged against this appropriation, resulting in an apparent over-expenditure of $9,582.27 as of December 31, 1932.

We were informed that the Treasurer's balance of the unemployment bond fund at December 31, 1932, was $1,363,647.04. Against this amount there were outstanding warrants and unexpended encumbrances approximating $680,000. We were informed that the available cash in this fund, after deducting outstanding warrants and encumbrances, at December 31, 1932, was as follows:

Unappropriated bond sale proceeds, approximately	$273,000.00
Available balance per City Controller's record	105,993.30
Amount proposed to be transferred to the General Fund, approximately	378,000.00
Total	$756,993.30

The above amount include sthe emergency appropriation of $100,000, which presumably is carried by the City Treasurer as a part of the General Fund, and, accordingly, the available balance in the unemployment relief bond fund is approximately $656,993.30.

All the above figures were not obtained from ledger records, but data submitted for our inspection by Mr. H. D. Ross was believed to be accurate and is the basis for the principal figures noted above.

We acknowledge the courteous and helpful cooperation of Mr. H. D. Ross in our investigation.

Sincerely yours,

HICKLIN & REDMOND,
Certified Public Accountants.
By B. H. HICKLIN.

January 9, 1933—Filed by Mr. Uhl with Board.

Statement of H. D. Ross, City Controller's Office, in Answer to Foregoing.

SUPERVISOR MILES: We have read the letter presented by Mr. Adolph Uhl here today, which was very misleading and did not bring out the facts. We now have the report as recompiled by Mr. Ross, of the Controller's office, and submitted to the Finance Committee, and at this time I will ask the floor for Mr. Ross for a few minutes, in order

to show that these finances were as we reported them; that is, that we had less than $250,000 in cash available, and that that would be taken up by the middle of January if we continued on the basis we had been, of paying out about a half a million dollars a month, and that is why I now ask the floor for Mr. Ross in order that he may explain the situation, and in so doing I will ask that he cover Mr. Uhl's letter, paragraph by paragraph, as Mr. Uhl brought in here facts which are not correct.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Ross has the floor.

MR. H. D. ROSS (City Controller's office): Mr. Uhl, in the second paragraph of his letter, states that they were shown Account No. 79, which showed a budget appropriation of $1,000,000 for unemployment relief and expenditures of $1,009,582.27, resulting in an apparent over-expenditure of $9,582.27 as of December 31, 1932——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY (interrupting): Mr. President, I don't want to interrupt, but I am going to ask, as a member of this Board, that a transcript be made of the statement being made by Mr. Ross, and I, as one member of the Board, would ask for that transcript——

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, that will be properly in order when Mr. Ross has completed.

Proceed, Mr. Ross.

MR. ROSS: The Board of Supervisors, in adopting the annual appropriation ordinance, provided, under Account No. 79, $1,000,000 for unemployment relief. The bond issue for unemployment relief was put before the people in August for a vote, and after it had been approved the Board of Supervisors, during the month of September, and during the time they were fixing the tax levy, passed an ordinance known as Bill No. 152, Ordinance No. 19.0712 (New Series), which provided that expenditures for relief during the month of September, and prior to the sale of the bonds that had been previously approved, would be paid out of whatever moneys were available in the City Treasury. The moneys that were available at that time for that purpose were in appropriation No. 79, and were expended from appropriation No. 79. The over-expenditure in that appropriation in excess of $600,000 is to be refunded out of the sale of bonds in the amount of approximately $371,000, and the balance from the emergency reserve appropriation. The $400,000 difference in the appropriation between the $600,000 actually expended and the $1,000,000 appropriation was used by the Board of Supervisors in fixing the tax levy as a deduction from income.

Mr. Uhl, in the second paragraph of his letter, states that there was a balance in the bond fund as of December 31, 1932, of $1,363,647, and he itemized as follows the available cash in the fund after deducting outstanding warrants and encumbrances:

Unappropriated bond sale proceeds, approximately	$273,000.00
Available balance per City Controller's record	105,993.30
Amount proposed to be transferred to the General Fund, approximately	378,000.00
Total	$756,993.30

The true statement of that account is:

Warrants outstanding	$ 48,959.00
Due to the General Fund for September advances	371,878.00
Emergency appropriation	10,000.00
A supplemental revolving fund appropriation not withdrawn	10,000.00
Appropriation by the Board of Supervisors for legal expenses	3,000.00
Obligations of the bond fund not paid	585,170.00
Unappropriated available balance	242,956.00

Mr. Uhl's letter recites facts, but he does not include all of the facts pertinent to the case; he has omitted entirely obligations against the funds that brings his sum up to $1,363,000 odd.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will ask the Board for a copy of the transcript of the statement just made by Mr. Ross.

THE PRESIDENT: That must be by motion and approved by the Board.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is true; I understand that, Mr. President. And I am making a motion that the statements just made by Mr. Ross be transcribed, and that I be furnished a copy of such transcript. My reason for the motion is that I brought in a resolution here some three or four weeks ago requesting this report, and I have not received any report to date. It might have been filed, Mr. Ross, I do not claim that it has not been, but I have never received any copy, and I therefore make that motion.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that a transcript be made of the statement before the Board by Mr. Ross and filed with the Board.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, and I will ask that our Clerk furnish each member of the Board with a copy of that report later.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on the adoption of the motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Havenner. Is there any objection?

(No response.)

There being no objection, such will be the order.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Relief Bonds—3 P. M.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, January 9, 1933, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Two million one hundred and sixty thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 180 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted December 27, 1932.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) The National City Company, Wreden & Company, Kean, Taylor & Company, Wallace & Company, Mercantile-Commerce Co. Inc., Eldredge & Company (by the National City Company, syndicate manager). Interest rate: $1,080,000 maturing from September 1, 1936, to September 1, 1941, inclusive, shall bear interest at the rate of 4¼ per cent; $1,080,000 maturing from September 1, 1942, to September 1, 1947, inclusive, shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent, payable semi-annually; coupon form. Bid, with accrued interest to date of delivery, $2,160,248.

(2) Bankamerica Company, Blythe & Co., Inc. (by Bankamerica Company, syndicate manager). Proposition No. 1: $270,000 of said bonds maturing $180,000 September 1, 1936, and $90,000 September 1, 1937, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $1,890,000 of said bonds maturing $90,000 September 1, 1937, and $180,000 each year from September 1, 1938, to September 1, 1947, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. Bid, with accrued interest to date of delivery, $2,160,065. Proposition No. 2: All of the bonds to bear interest at the rate of 4¼ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. Bid, with accrued interest to date of delivery, $2,177,870.

(3) Anglo-California Company, First National Bank, New York, First Detroit Company, Darby & Company, Heller, Bruce & Co. (by Anglo-California Company). $415,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Relief 5 per cent bonds, maturing: $180,000 par value on September 1 in each of years 1936 and 1937; $55,000 par value on September 1, 1938; and $1,745,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Relief 4 per cent bonds, maturing: $125,000 par value on September 1, 1938; $180,000 par value on September 1 in each of years 1939 to 1947, both years inclusive. Bid, with accrued interest to date of delivery, ————

Halsey-Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, Stone & Webster & Blodget, Inc., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., Inc., Phelps, Fenn & Co., Dewey, Bacon & Co (by Halsey-Stuart & Co., manager of account). For all of the bonds offered, with accrued interest at date of delivery: $1,180,000 maturing 1936 to 1941, inclusive, and $100,000 maturing 1942, to bear a coupon rate of 4½ per cent; and $980,000 par amount, being $80,000 maturing 1942 and $900,000 maturing 1943 to 1947, inclusive, to bear a coupon rate of 4 per cent. Or for all of the bonds offered, $2,163,412 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all bonds to bear the coupon rate of 4¼ per cent.

(5) R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co., Security-First National Company, American Securities Company, Kelley, Richardson & Co. (by R. H. Moulton & Company, joint syndicate manager). $515,000 4¾ per cent Relief Bonds maturing: $180,000 September 1, 1936 and 1937; $155,000 September 1, 1938, and $1,645,000 4 per cent Relief Bonds maturing: $25,000 September 1, 1938, and $180,000 September 1, 1939, to 1947, inclusive. Bid, with accrued interest to date of delivery, $2,160,256.

(6) The Guaranty Company, Northern Trust Company, A. G. Becker & Co., Hannahs, Ballin & Lee, The First Securities Corporation of Minneapolis, The Boatmen's National Company of St. Louis (by The First Boston Corporation, W. A. Ruxton, assistant manager). With accrued interest at date of delivery at 4¾ per cent for $900,000 due 1936 to 1940, inclusive, and $50,000 due 1941; the balance, $1,210,000,

due 1941 to 1947, inclusive, at 4 per cent. Bid, $2,160,000. Proposition No. 2: Bid for all of the bonds offered, and accrued interest at date of delivery at 4¼ per cent for all maturities, $2,163,021.84.

(7) Lehman Brothers, R. W. Pressprich & Co., Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company (by R. W. Pressprich & Co.). $180,000 due each year, 1936 to 1942, inclusive, bearing 4½ per cent coupons, and $180,000 due each year, 1943 to 1947, inclusive, bearing 4 per cent coupons. Bid for all of the bonds offered, with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, $2,160,346.

Subsequently, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

Sale of $2,160,000 Relief Bonds, Issue 1932.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 579, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given, as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Relief Bonds, issue of 1932, to the amount of $2,160,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 9th day of January, 1933; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid Notice of Sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore be it

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company and Blythe & Co., Inc. (by Bankamerica Company, syndicate manager), for said $2,160,000 Relief Bonds, issue of 1932, comprising 180 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted, and $270,000 of said bonds maturing $180,000 September 1, 1936, and $90,000 September 1, 1937, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $1,890,000 of said bonds maturing $90,000 September 1, 1937, and $180,000 each year from September 1, 1938, to September 1, 1947, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Bankamerica Company and Blythe & Co., Inc. (by Bankamerica Company, syndicate manager), for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $2,160,065, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission Denying Permit to Rezone Northeast Corner of Oak and Franklin Streets.

Hearing of appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northeast corner of Oak and Franklin streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Matthew J. Dooley, attorney representing the appellants, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that there was no objection on the part of his clients to the insertion in the record of a stipulation to the effect that an oil or gas station would not be erected on the property to be rezoned.

Clayton H. Garvey, representing Miss Curly and other property owners, declared that such a stipulation would have no legal force or effect.

W. W. Chapin, president of the City Planning Commission, did not think the stipulation would mean anything if someone else purchased the property.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Denying Application to Rezone Property at the Northeast Corner of Oak and Franklin Streets.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 581, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone property located at the northeast corner of Oak and Franklin streets, by Resolution No. 793, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Havenner, Miles, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Peyser, Stanton—3.

CONFIRMATION OF WAR MEMORIAL TRUSTEES.

Mayor's Office, San Francisco, December 3, 1932.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to the provisions of Section 44 of the Charter I have this day appointed, subject to confirmation by your honorable Board, the following members of the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco War Memorial, to serve for a period of six years from January 2, 1933, to-wit:

Frank N. Belgrano, Jr., vice himself, term expired.
Harry A. Milton, vice himself, term expired.
John A. McGregor, vice Robert I. Bentley, deceased.
Colbert Coldwell, vice George Hearst, term expired.

Asking the confirmation by your honorable Board of my appointments, I have the honor to remain

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the foregoing appointments be confirmed.

Motion *carried.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $264.70 Out of Appropriation 150/15 (County Road Fund), City's Contribution, for the Construction of a Main Sewer in Peabody Street, From Sunnyvale Avenue to a Point 256 Feet Southerly.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 227, Ordinance No. 9.05135, as follows:

Appropriating $264.70 out of Appropriation No. 150/15 (County Road

Fund), City's contribution, for the construction of a main sewer in Peabody street, from Sunnyvale avenue to a point 256 feet southerly.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $264.70 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Appropriation No. 150/15 (County Road Fund) for the construction of a main sewer in Peabody street, from Sunnyvale avenue to a point 256 feet southerly, being the City's contribution toward the cost of said construction.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Investigation of State Legislation Affecting San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 228, Ordinance No. 9.05136, as follows:

Providing for the investigation by the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney of all bills introduced in the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California, in so far as said bills affect the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the expense thereof and making an appropriation for said expense.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and the City Attorney have advised the Board of Supervisors that in all probability there will be many measures offered in the coming session of the Legislature which will have to do with the curtailment of the amounts of money now received from the State of California by the City and County of San Francisco, and if such measures are adopted and such curtailments made it would tend to increase the tax rate to be paid by the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That for the purpose of investigating the various measures which may be presented to the 1933 session of the State Legislature and protecting the interests of the City and County of San Francisco and the taxpayers thereof, as far as this can be lawfully accomplished, the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney, or one of his assistants to be selected by him, are hereby directed to examine and investigate all measures introduced in the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California and to make weekly reports to this Board of all measures which may in any way affect the City and County of San Francisco or the taxpayers thereof, and also to take such steps as may be lawful and proper to oppose the passage of any legislation which will adversely affect the interest of said City and County of San Francisco or its taxpayers, and in a like manner to advocate the passage of any and all measures which may be for the benefit of the City and County or of the residents and taxpayers thereof.

Section 3. That the said members of the Board of Supervisors and the said City Attorney be allowed their actual expenses in carrying out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 4. That many of said measures which may be introduced in the State Legislature may involve the welfare of the citizens of the City and County, as well as the property of said City and County, and that for the purpose of meeting the expense of investigating said

measures and reporting thereon the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars is hereby appropriated from the 1932-33 Emergency Reserve Fund, from which said sum the Controller is requested to draw his warrant reimbursing any of the members of the above-mentioned committee for their expenses in carrying out the purpose of this ordinance when proper vouchers are submitted to said Controller showing payment of said expenses.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refunds of Amounts Paid for Duplicate Payments of Taxes and Amounts Paid on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 575, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, in payment to the hereinafter named, being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate and collected on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

To W. E. and M. A. Stone, personal property, charged to Lot 9, Block 2702 ..... $11.88

To Emma V. Bakulich, personal property, charged to Lot 28, Block 830 ..... 2.97

To Cora P. Blanco, duplicate assessment of personal property, charged to Lot 3, Block 651, Vol. 4..... 5.94

To J. H. and Eva Ross, duplicate assessment of personal property, charged in Vol. 17, page 152, line 10, 1932, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls 10.50

To J. D. Grayson, erroneous duplicate assessment of personal property of 1932 Rolls, Vol. 17, Lot 25, Block 2416..... 10.10

To E. W. Davies, erroneous assessment of personal property, charged to Lot 20, Block 1414, Vol. 9, 1932 R. E. Rolls..... 7.92

To Steve H. Stilones, erroneous assessment of personal property, charged to Lot 41, Block 3613, Vol. 24, 1932 R. E. Rolls..... 2.97

To Thetford Apartments (G. A. Moore), erroneous assessment of personal property, per Vol. 17, page 223, line 15, 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 10.91

To D. and A. McMillan, erroneous assessment of personal property, charged in Vol. 6, Lot 27, Block 862, 1932 R. E. Rolls.... 7.92

To Charles Mozzetti, erroneous assessment of personal property, charged in Vol. 29, Lot 9, Block 4790, 1932 R. E. Rolls..... 11.48

To Ray Behney, erroneous assessment of personal property, charged in Vol. 6, page 207, line 15, 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls 8.08

To Amalia S. Adams, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 19, Lot 3, Block 2649, 1932 R. E. Rolls..... 11.88

To Mary Staples, erroneous arbitrary assessment, per Vol. 7, page 115, line 19, 1932..... 6.06

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Public Health Committee was *laid over one week*:

Swill Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 208, Ordinance No. 3.04116, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits by the Director of Health and imposing a fee upon persons, firms or corporations collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for the violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The term swill, when used hereinafter, shall be applied to and be construed to mean waste food products from hotels, restaurants, hospitals and institutions or food dealers, which waste is kept separate from non-food waste.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of collecting swill or hauling swill through any street or public place in the City and County of San Francisco shall obtain a permit from the Director of Health to so do, and no person, firm or corporation shall engage in the business of collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco, or haul the same through any street or public place therein without first having obtained such permit.

Section 3. The Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall have power to establish rules and regulations governing the collection and disposal of swill in accordance with the United States or State laws or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco. The permit granted to any person, firm or corporation to collect or haul swill pursuant to this ordinance may be revoked for the violation of any rule or regulation so established by the Director of Health.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall not grant or refuse to grant, or revoke any permit under this ordinance except after a full hearing, publicly had on the matter of granting, refusing to grant or revoking such permit, at which the applicant or permittee may appear in person or by counsel, and all competent evidence offered in support of the application to grant or revoke said permit shall be heard by said Director of Health. In the granting or refusing to grant, revoking or refusing to revoke any permit hereunder the Director of Health shall exercise a sound discretion as to what shall be for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. Permits issued by the Director of Health as provided herein shall be forwarded to the Tax Collector and issued to permittees upon the payment of a $25 fee to cover the cost of inspection and regulation as provided for by the Director of Health.

Section 6. Permits issued hereunder shall be for a period of one year and shall be renewed by the Tax Collector upon the payment of the fee provided for herein unless said permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Health.

Section 7. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be inconsistent or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the said City and County hereby declare that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause or phrase, irrespective of the fact that any one or more of said sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared inconsistent or invalid. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of

this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Controller and Purchaser to Make Survey to Determine Effect of Adopted Wage Scale on Local and California Business.
(Code No. 9.092)

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 576, as follows:

Whereas, the subject-matter of whether or not shop rates in the metal trades should be included in the ordinance providing for the inclusion of wage scale on public contracts was a matter of considerable controversy recently in the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, it is necessary that this disagreement be cleared up, in the interests of workmen and their employers; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller and the Purchaser of Supplies are hereby requested to make a survey as of January 8, 1933, to and inclusive of April 15, 1933, and to advise this Board whether or no the placing of shop rates in the specifications and the compliance therewith deprived local and California manufacturers of the business involved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following recommendation of the Supervisors' Committee on New Charter Installation was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Licensing Wholesale Peddlers of Wood, Coal and Charcoal.
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 207, Ordinance No. 3.04117, as follows:

Imposing a license tax on wholesale peddlers of coal, charcoal or wood, defining such wholesale peddlers; providing for the identification of vehicles operated by such licensed peddlers; requiring a permit to be issued by the Chief of Police and a bond to be filed by such licensed wholesale peddlers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of a wholesale peddler, peddling coal, charcoal and/or wood at wholesale shall pay an annual license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $500, said license to be paid at the time of issuance thereof.

Section 2. For the purpose of this ordinance a wholesale peddler is defined to be and include all persons, both principal and agent, and all firms, corporations, partnerships or any other joint or singular enterprise engaged in by a person or persons not having a regular fixed and established place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, who travel from place to place or have a stand upon any public street, sidewalk or alley or any other place, unenclosed or vacant lot or parcel of land, and who sells, or exhibits or offers for sale, any of the commodities or products mentioned herein to dealers for the purposes of resale, or to any public institution, hotel or restaurant within the City and County of San Francisco. The person or firm so engaged shall not be relieved from the provisions of this section by

reason of association, temporarily, with any local dealer or merchant, or by conducting any temporary business in connection with, or as a part of, or in the name of any local dealer or merchant. Any sale by a peddler to a dealer, for the purpose of resale, or to a public institution, hotel or restaurant, shall classify him as a wholesale peddler, and in addition to being guilty of a violation of this ordinance, he shall be liable for the difference between that which he paid for a license as a peddler and what he should pay as a wholesale peddler.

Section 3. Each such peddler shall have his name, address and number of his license printed conspicuously on both sides of his truck or other vehicle in letters and figures not less than three inches in height, and he shall always carry the permit hereinafter provided for with him and shall exhibit the same whenever required by any police or other officer authorized by the laws of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the calling of a wholesale peddler within said City and County of San Francisco without having first obtained a permit from the Chief of Police thereof upon a written application and after a hearing thereon, and without first having filed a bond and paid the license fee provided for in this or other ordinances.

Section 5. Upon payment of the license fee to the Tax Collector of said City and County he shall issue a numbered license to such wholesale peddler, but no license shall be issued by the Tax Collector to any such wholesale peddler until and unless the aforementioned permit has been issued and such applicant shall have first filed with the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, and the same has been approved by said Controller, a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in California, as surety, in the sum of $5,000, to adequately protect the interests of said City and County and the public in the particulars hereinafter set forth; which policy of insurance or other bond shall bind the obligors thereunder that such applicant shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and with the provisions of all other applicable ordinances of said City and County and the statutes of the State of California regulating or concerning the sale of the commodities or products in this ordinance mentioned, by peddlers, and shall pay all judgments rendered against such applicant for any violation thereof to the person recovering such judgments, and shall pay all judgments rendered against the applicant for injuries to persons and loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of the business of such peddler within said City and County of San Francisco, and shall pay all judgments recovered by any person against said applicant arising out of any misrepresentation or deception practiced upon any person transacting business with said applicant as such peddler within said City and County; and any person injured by such negligent operation of said business or having a claim or cause of action as herein provided shall have a right of action directly on said policy or bond.

Section 6. Sections 1, 2, 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall not apply to any coal miner who peddles coal mined by him except as in this section provided. Such miner shall be required to obtain the permit herein provided for, but shall be entitled to such permit without the payment of said license fee; provided, however, that such miner shall first file with the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with his application for a permit, an affidavit setting forth his name and address, the amount and variety of coal he proposes to sell, the place where said coal is mined, and that said coal was actually mined by him; provided, however, that any miner as herein defined who shall engage in the business of such peddling shall not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco or between the same two blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or

within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, or within 1000 feet of the boundaries of the fixed place of business of any wholesaler of coal for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 7. Any peddler, as herein defined, who shall engage in the business of such peddling, shall not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco, or between the same two (2) blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 8. Any permit issued under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in force for the period of one year from and after the date of its issuance unless revoked, and any person, firm or corporation desiring to renew any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof, which application for renewal shall be made in the same manner as for the issuance of said original permit.

Section 9. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Chief of Police for any violation of the condition of said permit or for any violation of this ordinance by the holder of said permit. Before any permit shall be revoked by the Chief of Police, notice in writing shall be given to the holder of said permit of the time and place of hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, which said notice shall briefly state the reasons for revoking said permit, and shall be mailed to the holder of said permit at his place of business or residence, as the same appears on his application for said permit, and when said notice is so mailed the Chief of Police shall have power, upon hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, to revoke the same or make any order in the premises as may be proper.

Section 10. Any person, firm or corporation that shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a license shall be considered as a separate and distinct offense.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

EXPLANATION.

The provisions of this ordinance are identical with those contained in an ordinance passed August 29, 1932, *imposing a license fee on wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products. This ordinance does not apply to retail peddlers of wood, coal and charcoal, nor does it affect any person maintaining a fixed place of business in the City and County of San Francisco. Section* 6 *exempts California coal miners from the payment of the license fees imposed by this ordinance.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Authorizing and Directing the Treasurer to Make, After January 2, Such Temporary Transfers of Funds as May Be Necessary for Meeting Obligations Incurred for the Maintenance of City and County Functions From the First Day of January Until the Second Installment of Taxes for the Fiscal Year 1932-1933 Are Collected.

(Code No. 9.052)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 577, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the first day of January, 1933, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the first day of January, 1933, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1932-1933 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before May 15th, 1933, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

California Highway Commission Requested to Furnish Certified Copy of Agreement Between That Body and Reconstruction Finance Corporation re Financing of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 580, as follows:

Resolved, That the California Highway Commission be requested to forward to this Board of Supervisors a certified copy of the agreement entered into between the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the California Highway Commission for the financing of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Report of Chief Administrative Officer on Disposal of Garbage.

January 9, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am handing you herewith an itemized statement showing the cost of garbage disposal by the fill-and-cover method as of December 30, 1932.

While over the entire period of ninety days the cost averaged $1.185 per ton, with the construction of the loading ramp at the Southern Pacific tracks on Sixteenth street, the costs were materially reduced.

It is my opinion that with increased efficiency and more modern equipment the cost of disposal by the fill-and-cover method can be reduced below $1 per ton.

The City is now conducting litigation to determine whether we have the legal right to dispose of garbage in cases of emergency or otherwise by fill-and-cover on private property, either inside or outside the city limits, provided no nuisance results therefrom.

Meanwhile, it is my recommendation that the contract now in effect with the Scavengers' Protective Union be extended until this litigation is completed, which the City Attorney estimates should not be in excess of ten months even if the suit is carried to the highest court.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved that the contract now in effect with the Scavengers' Protective Union be extended for ninety days.

Privilege of the Floor.

J. Hanley, Jr., was granted the privilege of the floor. He requested that the matter be referred to the Health Committee.

R. Keene, representing the Dodge Engineering Company, urged that the matter be referred to the Health Committee and that a permanent solution of garbage disposal be determined.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown's motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown moved that the report of the Chief Administrative Officer on disposal of garbage be accepted, that the Health Committee study same and also hear any proposals for solution of the garbage problem and bring in some definite plan to the Board within forty-five days.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Mayor and Civil Service Commission to Confer on Plan of Staggering Municipal Work to Permit of Additional Employments.

(Code No. 4.051)

Resolution No. 582, as follows:

Whereas, the unprecedented depression of the last three years has resulted in widespread unemployment, recently estimated by the president of the American Federation of Labor as involving twelve million men and women workers n the United States; and

Whereas, this condition has correspondingly affected workers in San Francisco to the extent that the Director of Relief reports that fifteen thousand supporters of families are now on the unemployment relief list, that many other thousands of single men and women are now being helped from public relief funds, and, no doubt, a large number of additional workers not yet on public relief are unemployed; and

Whereas, there is general agreement on the policies of reduced working time and staggered employment as effective means of spreading employment and preventing further layoffs; and

Whereas, two years ago this Board of Supervisors applied the five-day week policy to a part of the per diem employees of the City; and

Whereas, on January 3, 1933, this Board of Supervisors, by ordinance, established the policy of the thirty-hour week for City contract work; and

Whereas, the necessity exists for job-spreading, prevention of layoffs and general retrenchment in expenditures of City and County funds; and

Whereas, under the interpretation of sections 19(a), 20, 85, 86, 141 and 150 of the Charter it appears that such policies can be carried into effect in the City and County service; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to confer with the Civil Service Commission for the purpose of securing an amendment to the present rules of the Commission whereby any staggering of City and County employees, for the purposes of preventing layoffs or creating additional employments or providing necessary retrenchment in City expenditures may be made effective; that the Mayor be requested to confer with City and County boards, commissions and department heads for the purpose of developing and carrying into effect plans for the application to the forces of the several departments and offices of the City and County for the purposes of (a) creating additional employments, (b) preventing further layoffs, and (c) effecting retrenchment in City expenditures.

Referred to Finance and Judiciary Committees jointly.

Opposing Abolishment of Care and Relief of World War Veterans by Federal Government.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 583, as follows:

Whereas, legislation now pending before the national Congress, supported by the National Economy League and other organizations, proposing the elimination of certain veteran welfare measures, would undoubtedly have the effect of transferring the cost of a large amount of essential veteran relief from the Federal government to the various States and political subdivisions thereof; and

Whereas, statistics show that approximately 25 per cent of the disabled and wounded veterans of the World War are now residing in the State of California, which is illustrated by the fact that more veteran relief cases are now administered in this State than in New York or any eastern center of population; and

Whereas, the proposed elimination of the so-called "presumptive clause" of the World War Veterans' Act would shift to the local governments the duty of caring for disabled World War veterans, which is now assumed by the Federal government; and

Whereas, the proposal to abolish all pension rights for veterans of the Spanish-American War, the Indian wars and the Civil War would transfer an additional burden of taxation for the care of dependent veterans of those conflicts upon local subdivisions of government; and

Whereas, the policy of providing pensions and other necessary relief for war veterans has been established as a Federal function in this nation ever since the War of the Revolution; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby memorializes the United States Senators from California and members of the House of Representatives from San Francisco to oppose all legislation having for its purpose the abolition of essential care and relief of war veterans by the Federal government; and be it

Further Resolved, That the representatives of the Board of Supervisors at the State Legislature are hereby directed and instructed to urge the adoption by the Legislature of a similar memorial to the Congress of the United States, to the end that a burden of taxation of major proportion may not be shifted upon the government of our State

or its political subdivisions, and to the further end that the essential and proper relief and care of war veterans resident in this State may not be impaired.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Citizens' Committee on Observance of Lincoln's Birthday.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint Citizens' Committee for observance of Lincoln's Birthday.

So ordered.

Loan from Reconstruction Relief Corporation for Unemployment Relief.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the representatives of the Board in Sacramento be instructed to confer with the Mayor and Citizens' Relief Committee of San Francisco and with the Governor with respect to the possibility of obtaining a loan from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for relief of San Francisco's indigents, in anticipation of exhaustion of our relief funds.

So ordered.

Inclusion of San Francisco County in State Highway System.

Supervisor Havenner suggested that representatives of the Board in Sacramento inquire into the question of the desirability of San Francisco making application to be included as a county in the State highway system.

Director of Property to Correct Draft Condition of Chambers.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Director of Property investigate and report on cause of draft in chambers, with a view to correct any defect in the ventilators.

So ordered.

Vending Machine Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the ordinance regulating vending machines, which will be reported next Monday, be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. on that day.

So ordered.

Telephone and Elevator Service.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the Clerk make arrangements for elevator service and telephone service on occasions where night meetings of the Board are held.

Adjournment.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 16, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 3

Monday, January 16, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
376 Fifth Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 16, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 16, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Peyser requested that he be recorded as excused on official business from last Monday's meeting of the Board.

So ordered.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 9, 1933, was considered read and approved.

State Legislature Approves Charter Amendments.

The Clerk announced that on Friday, January 13, 1933, he filed with the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 1, executed by Frank C. Jordan, Secretary of State, and attested by his deputy, Charles J. Haggerty, the same constituting approval by the Senate and Assembly of the State of California of the Charter amendments adopted by the San Francisco electorate at the last general election.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, Southwest Corner California and Steiner Streets, Set for 2 P. M., Monday, January 16, 1933, in Chambers of Board.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 589, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of California and Steiner streets, is hereby set for Monday, January 16, 1933, at 2 o'clock p. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Permit to Rezone Southwest Corner of California and Steiner Streets.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at southwest corner of California and Steiner streets.

Motion.

Supervisor Breyer moved that the hearing be continued until Monday, January 23, 1933, at 3 p. m.

Motion *carried.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following recommendation of the Supervisors' Committee on New Charter Installation was taken up:

Licensing Wholesale Peddlers of Wood, Coal and Charcoal.
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 207, Ordinance No. 3.04117, as follows:

Imposing a license tax on wholesale peddlers of coal, charcoal or wood, defining such wholesale peddlers; providing for the identification of vehicles operated by such licensed peddlers; requiring a permit to be issued by the Chief of Police and a bond to be filed by such licensed wholesale peddlers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of a wholesale peddler, peddling coal, charcoal and/or wood at wholesale shall pay an annual license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $500, said license to be paid at the time of issuance thereof.

Section 2. For the purpose of this ordinance a wholesale peddler is defined to be and include all persons, both principal and agent, and all firms, corporations, partnerships or any other joint or singular enterprise engaged in by a person or persons not having a regular fixed and established place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, who travel from place to place or have a stand upon any public street, sidewalk or alley or any other place, unenclosed or vacant lot or parcel of land, and who sells, or exhibits or offers for sale, any of the commodities or products mentioned herein to dealers for the purposes of resale, or to any public institution, hotel or restaurant within the City and County of San Francisco. The person or firm so engaged shall not be relieved from the provisions of this section by reason of association, temporarily, with any local dealer or merchant, or by conducting any temporary business in connection with, or as a part of, or in the name of any local dealer or merchant. Any sale by a peddler to a dealer, for the purpose of resale, or to a public institution, hotel or restaurant, shall classify him as a wholesale peddler, and in addition to being guilty of a violation of this ordinance, he shall be liable for the difference between that which he paid for a license as a peddler and what he should pay as a wholesale peddler.

Section 3. Each such peddler shall have his name, address and number of his license printed conspicuously on both sides of his truck or other vehicle in letters and figures not less than three inches in height, and he shall always carry the permit hereinafter provided for with him and shall exhibit the same whenever required by any police or other officer authorized by the laws of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the calling of a wholesale peddler within said City and County of San Fran-

cisco without having first obtained a permit from the Chief of Police thereof upon a written application and after a hearing thereon, and without first having filed a bond and paid the license fee provided for in this or other ordinances.

Section 5. Upon payment of the license fee to the Tax Collector of said City and County he shall issue a numbered license to such wholesale peddler, but no license shall be issued by the Tax Collector to any such wholesale peddler until and unless the aforementioned permit has been issued and such applicant shall have first filed with the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, and the same has been approved by said Controller, a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in California, as surety, in the sum of $5,000, to adequately protect the interests of said City and County and the public in the particulars hereinafter set forth; which policy of insurance or other bond shall bind the obligors thereunder that such applicant shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and with the provisions of all other applicable ordinances of said City and County and the statutes of the State of California regulating or concerning the sale of the commodities or products in this ordinance mentioned, by peddlers, and shall pay all judgments rendered against such applicant for any violation thereof to the person recovering such judgments, and shall pay all judgments rendered against the applicant for injuries to persons and loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of the business of such peddler within said City and County of San Francisco, and shall pay all judgments recovered by any person against said applicant arising out of any misrepresentation or deception practiced upon any person transacting business with said applicant as such peddler within said City and County; and any person injured by such negligent operation of said business or having a claim or cause of action as herein provided shall have a right of action directly on said policy or bond.

Section 6. Sections 1, 2, 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall not apply to any coal miner who peddles coal mined by him except as in this section provided. Such miner shall be required to obtain the permit herein provided for, but shall be entitled to such permit without the payment of said license fee; provided, however, that such miner shall first file with the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with his application for a permit, an affidavit setting forth his name and address, the amount and variety of coal he proposes to sell, the place where said coal is mined, and that said coal was actually mined by him; provided, however, that any miner as herein defined who shall engage in the business of such peddling shall not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco or between the same two blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, or within 1000 feet of the boundaries of the fixed place of business of any wholesaler of coal for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 7. Any peddler, as herein defined, who shall engage in the business of such peddling, shall not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco, or between the same two (2) blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 8. Any permit issued under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in force for the period of one year from and after the date of its issuance unless revoked, and any person, firm or corporation desiring to renew any permit issued pursuant to the

provisions of this ordinance shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof, which application for renewal shall be made in the same manner as for the issuance of said original permit.

Section 9. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Chief of Police for any violation of the condition of said permit or for any violation of this ordinance by the holder of said permit. Before any permit shall be revoked by the Chief of Police, notice in writing shall be given to the holder of said permit of the time and place of hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, which said notice shall briefly state the reasons for revoking said permit, and shall be mailed to the holder of said permit at his place of business or residence, as the same appears on his application for said permit, and when said notice is so mailed the Chief of Police shall have power, upon hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, to revoke the same or make any order in the premises as may be proper.

Section 10. Any person, firm or corporation that shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a license shall be considered as a separate and distinct offense.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

EXPLANATION.

The provisions of this ordinance are identical with those contained in an ordinance passed August 29, 1932, imposing a license fee on wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products. This ordinance does not apply to retail peddlers of wood, coal and charcoal, nor does it affect any person maintaining a fixed place of business in the City and County of San Francisco. Section 6 exempts California coal miners from the payment of the license fees imposed by this ordinance.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Fitch, representing the industrial committee of the Chamber of Commerce, favored the proposed ordinance.

J. Cal Ewing, representing the San Francisco Coal Dealers' Association; Mrs. Mary Magner, representing the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs; Frances V. Meekin, president of the San Francisco Housewives' League; J. Christensen, coal dealer, 848 San Bruno avenue; Katherine Blanik, coal dealer, Twenty-fourth street and San Jose avenue; W. F. Doyle, attorney for J. Cal Ewing, and Mrs. Best, representing the Sunset Transportation and Improvement Club, were heard at length on the pending ordinance.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to postpone for three months.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the matter lay over for two weeks and be taken up seriatim at 3 p. m. on that date.

Amendment *carried*.

STATE LEGISLATIVE MATTERS.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that during sessions of the Legislature whatever the Legislative Committee has to report have priority over other matters on the Calendar.

So ordered.

Grant of Lands on Lewis Street to United States Government in Consideration of Permit for Recreation Pier.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Supervisor Peyser presented the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 591, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, by Resolution No. 34579 (New Series), approved June 23, 1931, authorized the granting of certain real property to the United States of America, required for the United States Transport Service, as a condition precedent to the Secretary of War granting to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco a permit to construct and maintain a recreation pier on a part of the Fort Mason Military Reservation; and

Whereas, there is a possible outstanding interest of the State of California in and to a portion of said real property to be granted to the United States of America, which portion of said real property is included within the lines of Lewis street, San Francisco, California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lewis street (extended easterly), distant thereon westerly 319.990 feet from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue (extended northerly), said point being on the northeasterly line of the real property so described in said Resolution No. 34579; running thence from said point of beginning along the boundary line of the real property so dscribed in said Resolution No. 34579, the following courses and distances: Northwesterly 69.6205 feet to a point which is distant 1709.046 feet northerly at right angles from the northerly line of Bay street and 356.876 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; thence easterly 46.048 feet to a point which is distant 1710.003 feet northerly at right angles from the northerly line of Bay street and 310.838 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; thence at a right angle northerly 12 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 47 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 29 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 156 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 59 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 140.26 feet to a point which is distant 1690.704 feet northerly at right angles from the northerly line of Bay street and 373.188 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; thence southeasterly 47.9936 feet to the southerly line of Lewis street (extended easterly); thence, leaving said boundary line, easterly along said line of Lewis street 27.770 feet to the point of beginning.

And Whereas, it is necessary that said real property be granted to the United States of America free from such interest of the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the State of California is hereby requested to grant to the City and County of San Francisco whatever interest the State of California may own or claim to said portion of said real property.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman.

Bay Bridge Legislation.

Supervisor Peyser reported in regard to the San Francisco Bay Bridge that bills had been introduced in the joint houses and that the matter is under submission. Six bills have been introduced, he said, which, when adopted, will facilitate the construction of the bridge.

No. 1 amends title to act—provides revenues are secured for direct charge on tolls.

No. 2 provides for interim bond certificates in the event bonds are not ready for delivery.

No. 3 provides for right to borrow $10,000,000 more from Reconstruction Finance Corporation if needed.

No. 4 provides for retirement of bonds with consent of bondholders before due.

No. 5 provides rates of toll must be sufficient to cover insurance costs, maintenance and operation and take care of interest and redemption of bonds.

No. 6 provides that money advanced by State ($6,500,000) for construction of bridge shall be reimbursed from tolls. Also, no competing ferry or bridge may be constructed within ten miles of proposed bridge, except those now in existence.

Supervisor Peyser announced that the joint committee would meet in Sacramento on Wednesday at 2:30 p. m. and that all members of the Board, including the Mayor, have been asked to attend.

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri and Spaulding indicated their intention to attend. The Clerk was directed to arrange for transportation.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the Judiciary Committee be granted authority to appear before committees of the Legislature in matters deemed of interest to San Francisco, subject to approval of City Attorney.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

On recommendation of Supervisors' Committee on New Charter Installation.

Regulation of Vending Machines.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 171, Ordinance No. 3.04113, as follows:

Imposing a fee for inspection and regulation on persons, firms or corporations owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement, or for vending service or merchandise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of inspection and regulation, every person, firm or corporation owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement or for vending service or merchandise, set in motion by the deposit of a coin or slug in any receptacle attached or affixed to such machine, shall make application to the Chief of Police for a permit to operate said machine or machines; provided that nothing herein shall apply to any appliances prohibited by section 330 of the Penal Code of the State of California. The applicant shall be of good character, and shall submit a description of the

type of machine to be operated at the time of making such application and shall pay the filing fee provided herein.

Section 2. Permits provided for herein shall be issued for one year, and may be renewed by the Chief of Police on application and the payment of the filing fee, provided permits issued in accordance with provisions of subdivisions (d), (e), (f) and (g) may be renewed by the permittee on the payment of the licenses provided for herein unless revoked by the Chief of Police. Permits granted for the operation of the aforementioned machines shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permits upon the payment of the fee hereinafter set forth; provided, that a permittee operating two or more types of machines as herein described shall be subject to the payment of one filing fee only, and said filing fee shall be the highest filing fee applicable to the types of machines operated.

(a) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $200.
$2.50 per quarter, per machine.

(b) Machines operated for skill or amusement and vending candy or merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent.
Filing fee, $200.
$2.50 per annum, per machine.

(c) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $40.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(d) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(e) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
15 cents per annum, per machine.

(f) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(g) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
20 cents per annum, per machine.

Section 3. The Tax Collector shall issue to each permittee a receipt for such machine for which a fee is paid, and said receipt shall be placed on the machine so as to be visible to the public at all times.

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation authorized to operate machines under the provisions of this ordinance shall forward to the Chief of Police, bi-monthly, a report showing the addresses of premise' wherein any of said machines have been installed, or removed; the type of said machine installed or removed, and the date of such insta' lation or removal.

Section 5. The Chief of Police shall regulate the distribution of the machines hereinbefore mentioned; provided that machines in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of Section 3 shall not be permitted to operate within 1000 feet of the boundaries of any school.

Section 6. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police, provided, however, that any permittee whose permit is revoked shall have the right of appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to ma-

chines used in any business which is taxed under the provisions of Section 14 of Article XIII of the State Constitution.

Section 8. Machines operated in amusement parks and penny arcades and subject to the payment of fees for the conduct of such places shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. Machines vending sanitary napkins or installed or maintained in accordance with the regulations or orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission of the State of California, shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation shall, at the time of applying for a permit for the operation of said machine, present and file with the Tax Collector a written affidavit, properly acknowledged, setting forth that said person, firm or corporation is the sole owner or distributor of the machines for which a permit is asked and has the sole control of the distribution of said machines, and that no other person, firm or corporation has any interest in or any control over the placement of the machines for which the applicant asked the permit.

Section 11. No permit under this ordinance shall be assigned or transferred without the authorization of the Chief of Police and the payment of the fee required of new applicants.

Section 12. The payment or collection of any fee or charge for the inspection, maintenance or operation of any coin-in-the-slot machine herein mentioned shall not be considered as a license or permission to maintain any so-called coin-in-the-slot machine the use of which is prohibited by law or ordinance, or to use, maintain or operate any of the coin-in-the-slot machines herein mentioned so that such use, operation or maintenance of such machine shall constitute a violation of any law or ordinance.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

EXPLANATORY.

This ordinance provides for the necessary regulation of coin-in-the-slot machines by the Police and Health Departments and the inspection by said departments of each type of machine before it is licensed for operation. Similar legislation has been adopted by many cities in the United States.

The Committee has had this ordinance under consideration for eight (8) months, and has held approximately eighteen (18) meetings. With the exception of one or two objectors who contended they could not pay any fee for inspection, no substantial opposition was presented to the committee.

The annual fees anticipated from the enforcement of this ordinance will total approximately $25,000, plus the filing fee required of each permittee.

Heretofore operators have placed these machines throughout the City without regard to legality or location. It is intended that police supervision of distribution and inspection will eliminate undesirable features of this business and likewise justify the fees established in accordance with the general authority of Section 24 of the charter.

The competition offered by the vending machine to the merchants doing business at a fixed place was given serious consideration by the committee and the rates fixed are presumed to be commensurate with the regulation intended.

The business of operating skill and amusement machines requires constant supervision by the Police Department because of its similarity to games of chance and the illegally designed machine.

This matter was first presented to the Committee by a representative of the Retail Grocers Association which desired legislation regulating a machine vending groceries which is now in operation in many large cities.

There are approximately 100 operators and distributors owning or controlling 12,000 machines in this City and County.

January 16, 1933—Over one week.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Investigation of State Legislation Affecting San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 228, Ordinance No. 9.05136, as follows:

Providing for the investigation by the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney of all bills introduced in the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California, in so far as said bills affect the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the expense thereof and making an appropriation for said expense.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and the City Attorney have advised the Board of Supervisors that in all probability there will be many measures offered in the coming session of the Legislature which will have to do with the curtailment of the amounts of money now received from the State of California by the City and County of San Francisco, and if such measures are adopted and such curtailments made it would tend to increase the tax rate to be paid by the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That for the purpose of investigating the various measures which may be presented to the 1933 session of the State Legislature and protecting the interests of the City and County of San Francisco and the taxpayers thereof, as far as this can be lawfully accomplished, the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney, or one of his assistants to be selected by him, are hereby directed to examine and investigate all measures introduced in the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California and to make weekly reports to this Board of all measures which may in any way affect the City and County of San Francisco or the taxpayers thereof, and also to take such steps as may be lawful and proper to oppose the passage of any legislation which will adversely affect the interest of said City and County of San Francisco or its taxpayers, and in a like manner to advocate the passage of any and all measures which may be for the benefit of the City and County or of the residents and taxpayers thereof.

Section 3. That the said members of the Board of Supervisors and the said City Attorney be allowed their actual expenses in carrying out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 4. That many of said measures which may be introduced in the State Legislature may involve the welfare of the citizens of the City and County, as well as the property of said City and County, and that for the purpose of meeting the expense of investigating said measures and reporting thereon the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars is hereby appropriated from the 1932-33 Emergency Reserve Fund, from which said sum the Controller is requested to draw his warrant reimbursing any of the members of the above-mentioned committee for their expenses in carrying out the purpose of this ordinance when proper vouchers are submitted to said Controller showing payment of said expenses.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of $1,316.70 to Wells Fargo & Co.—Erroneous Taxes Paid During Years 1930, 1931 and 1932.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 584, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,316.70 be and is hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded) and paid to Wells Fargo & Co., being refund of taxes paid on erroneous assessment of property during the years 1930, 1931 and 1932. (Lot 3, Block 2643.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Ronconvieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing Controller to Print on Warrants "Buy American, Favor San Francisco Products, and Employ Citizens of San Francisco."

(Code No. 5.2)

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 585, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby authorized to have printed on all warrants issued by the City and County of San Francisco the slogan, "Buy American, Favor San Francisco Products, and Employ Citizens of San Francisco."

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved to lay over for three weeks and that those interested in foreign trade be notified to attend.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Roncovieri—8.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Stanton—2.

Adopted.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—12.

No—Supervisor Spaulding—1.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Stanton—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing the Payment of Warrants by the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 586, as follows:

Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 241 to Director of Public Works for $332.55, No. 242 to J. B. West for $125, No. 243 to J. B. West for $125, No. 244 to The San Francisco News for $29.48, No. 245 to Meyer Rosenberg for $444.79, No. 246 to Meyer Rosenberg for $235.74—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Public Health Committee was, on motion, *laid over three weeks*:

Swill Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 208, Ordinance No. 3.04116, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits by the Director of Health and imposing a fee upon persons, firms or corporations collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for the violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The term swill, when used hereinafter, shall be applied to and be construed to mean waste food products from hotels, restaurants, hospitals and institutions or food dealers, which waste is kept separate from non-food waste.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of collecting swill or hauling swill through any street or public place in the City and County of San Francisco shall obtain a permit from the Director of Health to so do, and no person, firm or corporation shall engage in the business of collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco, or haul the same through any street or public place therein without first having obtained such permit.

Section 3. The Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall have power to establish rules and regulations governing the collection and disposal of swill in accordance with the United States or State laws or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco. The permit granted to any person, firm or corporation to collect or haul swill pursuant to this ordinance may be revoked for the violation of any rule or regulation so established by the Director of Health.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall not grant or refuse to grant, or revoke any permit under this ordinance except after a full hearing, publicly had on the matter of granting, refusing to grant or revoking such permit, at which the applicant or permittee may appear in person or by counsel, and all competent evidence offered in support

of the application to grant or revoke said permit shall be heard by said Director of Health. In the granting or refusing to grant, revoking or refusing to revoke any permit hereunder the Director of Health shall exercise a sound discretion as to what shall be for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. Permits issued by the Director of Health as provided herein shall be forwarded to the Tax Collector and issued to permittees upon the payment of a $25 fee to cover the cost of inspection and regulation as provided for by the Director of Health.

Section 6. Permits issued hereunder shall be for a period of one year and shall be renewed by the Tax Collector upon the payment of the fee provided for herein unless said permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Health.

Section 7. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be inconsistent or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the said City and County hereby declare that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause or phrase, irrespective of the fact that any one or more of said sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared inconsistent or invalid. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Director of Property to Sell Incinerator Plant.
(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 588, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days' published notice, the incinerator plant located in the block bounded by Alameda, Fifteenth, Rhode Island and De Haro streets, San Francisco, California.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, said plant to be removed by the purchaser within 120 days from the date of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Streets Committee*:

Approving the Highway Commission's Action re the Sears Point Toll Road.
(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 548, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is vitally interested in the success of the Golden Gate bridge project; and

Whereas, at the request of many organizations, civic bodies and numerous individual sportsmen, the State Highway Commission has negotiated the purchase of the Sears Point toll road as a necessary and important link in the main feeder to the Golden Gate bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approves the action of the State Highway Commission in its effort to cooperate in making this great undertaking a success, and that we urge our

representatives in the Legislature to do all in their power to secure legislative ratification of the Commission's action.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Certain Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 230, Ordinance No. 12.061118, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Jennings street by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins, with 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Fitzgerald avenue between the center and northwesterly lines of Jennings street; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The improvement of Jennings street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of Army street, from Castro street to a line 75 feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Castro street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks, 6 feet in width; by the construction of a vitrified clay pipe side-sewer; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of Orizaba avenue between Randolph street and Sargent street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers; and by the construction of a 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The improvement of Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed From Jersey Farm Company for Water Pipe Line Easement to San Francisco County Jail, San Mateo County, and Payment of $350 Therefor Out of County Jail Bond Fund.

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 587, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Jersey Farm Company to a right-of-way easement for pipe lines to serve water to the proposed San Francisco County Jail in San Mateo County, California; and that the sum of $350 be paid for said right of way from the 1931 County Jail Bond Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Opposing Abolishment of Care and Relief of World War Veterans by Federal Government.

(Code No. 5.2)

The following recommendation of the Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 583, as follows:

Whereas, legislation now pending before the national Congress, supported by the National Economy League and other organizations, proposing the elimination of certain veteran welfare measures, would undoubtedly have the effect of transferring the cost of a large amount of essential veteran relief from the Federal government to the various States and political subdivisions thereof; and

Whereas, statistics show that approximately 25 per cent of the disabled and wounded veterans of the World War are now residing in the State of California, which is illustrated by the fact that more veteran relief cases are now administered in this State than in New York or any eastern center of population; and

Whereas, the proposed elimination of the so-called "presumptive clause" of the World War Veterans' Act would shift to the local governments the duty of caring for disabled World War veterans, which is now assumed by the Federal government; and

Whereas, the proposal to abolish all pension rights for veterans of the Spanish-American War, the Indian wars and the Civil War would transfer an additional burden of taxation for the care of dependent veterans of those conflicts upon local subdivisions of government; and

Whereas, the policy of providing pensions and other necessary relief for war veterans has been established as a Federal function in this nation ever since the War of the Revolution; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby memorializes the United States Senators from California and members of the House of Representatives from San Francisco to oppose all legislation having for its purpose the abolition of essential care and relief of war veterans by the Federal government; and be it

Further Resolved, That the representatives of the Board of Supervisors at the State Legislature are hereby directed and instructed to urge the adoption by the Legislature of a similar memorial to the Congress of the United States, to the end that a burden of taxation of major proportion may not be shifted upon the government of our State or its political subdivisions, and to the further end that the essential and proper relief and care of war veterans resident in this State may not be impaired.

Privilege of the Floor.

Steven Malatesta and Major Grant, representing veterans' organizations, urged the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Report of Chas. M. Wollenberg on Unemployment Relief.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

January 11, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I am handing you herewith a recapitulation and details of the expenditures for relief for the month of October, 1932.

On page 2 you will note the increased number of families for the month to have been 968.

The average food cost per family of $0.1977 through grocery delivery, as against $0.2988 where orders are given to the Italian group, is very interesting, as it indicates the saving to the City under our present method.

In other words, the Italian family is getting one-third less in food by receiving orders upon retail grocery stores than those fed through our grocery delivery system.

The high cost of $0.30 per day per person under the Central Relief Bureau, formerly known as the Associated Charities, is due to the fact that there are heavy rent and gas expenditures necessary through shut-offs and evictions in this group.

The total expenditures for the month were within 7 per cent of the prediction made by me at the time of the bond issue.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

RECAPITULATION FOR OCTOBER, 1932.

Food Relief.

	Associated Charities	Eureka Benevolent Society	Italian Board of Relief	Total
Groceries	$124,162.22	$2,680.92	$14,759.06	$141,602.20
Checks for meat and bread	94,446.74	1,962.77	7,708.56	104,118.07
Milk	39,557.12	716.80	2,448.59	42,722.51
Total distribution cost of food	$258,166.08	$5,360.49	$24,916.21	$288,442.78

Other Relief.

A—Rent	$26,020.85	.....	$506.58	$26,527.43
B—Rent allowance (full time)	15,200.80	.....	220.00	15,420.80
C—Gas and electric	8,130.60	$158.94	700.11	8,989.65
D—Water and fuel	3,532.04	14.35	353.83	3,900.22
E—Sundries	4,452.85	.....	.....	4,452.85
Total of A, B, C, D, E relief	$ 57,337.14	$ 173.29	$ 1,780.52	$ 59,290.95

Operating Expense.

Car fare to unemployed men	$ 7,662.31	$ 96.10	$ 133.54	$ 7,891.95
Postage and supplies	1,328.57	.....	12.49	1,341.06
Telegrams (needy families)	27.97	.....	.....	27.97
Clinic aid	141.85	.....	.....	141.85
Clothing and furniture	15.40	.....	.....	15.40
Moving (454 families)	102.17	.....	51.40	153.57
Automobile insurance (investigation)	204.84	.....	.....	204.84
Grocery delivery (cost)	9,181.56	.....	.....	9,181.56
Findings, bags, cartons, etc.	1,449.76	.....	.....	1,449.76
Equipment, supplies and miscellaneous expenses	1,274.40	.....	.....	1,274.40
Boxes used in distribution	4,919.86	.....	.....	4,919.86
Agency operation	13,261.74	.....	.....	13,261.74
Total operating expenses	$ 39,570.43	$ 96.10	$ 197.43	$ 39,863.96
Group of homeless boys and girls	679.83	.....	.....	679.83
Total distribution and operating	$355,753.48	$5,629.88	$26,894.16	$388,277.52
Municipal kitchen	8,282.95	.....	.....	8,282.95
Men's shelter	3,350.53	.....	.....	3,350.53
Women's division	19,637.08	.....	.....	19,637.08
Grand total	$387,024.04	$5,629.88	$26,894.16	$419,548.08

AVERAGES FOR OCTOBER, 1932.

	A. C.	Eureka	Italian	Total
Number of families receiving relief on October 1st	12,220	243	707	13,170
Number of families receiving relief on October 31st	13,057	247	834	14,138
Average number of days of relief to each family	25.89	24.34	34.50	26.92
Average number of persons (men, women and children) per family	3.43	3.90	4.44	3.48
Total number of individual days of relief extended during month	1,172,241	23,786	129,591	1,325,618
Total expenditures family relief	$355,753.48	$5,629.88	$26,894.16	$388,277.52

Food Relief Averages.

	A. C.	Eureka	Italian	Total
Food cost per family	$19.77	$21.70	$29.88	$20.40
Food cost per average family per day	.75½	.88	.84½	.757
Food cost per person per day	.22	.22½	.19	.21¾

Average Cost, Including Administration Expenses, Rents, Etc.

	A. C.	Eureka	Italian	Total
Average cost per family	$27.25	$22.79	$32.25	$27.46
Average cost per average family per day	1.04	0.94	0.93	1.02
Average cost per person per day	0.303	0.24	0.21	0.293

Month of October, 1932.

CITIZENS AGENCY FOR UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF.

Family Relief.

Food Relief:		
Groceries	$124,162.22	
Checks for meat and bread	94,446.74	
Milk	39,557.12	
Total distribution cost of food		$258,166.08
Other Relief:		
A—Rent	26,020.85	
B—Rent allowance (full time)	15,200.80	
C—Gas and electric	8,130.60	
D—Water and fuel	3,532.04	
E—Sundries	4,452.85	
Total of A, B, C, D, E relief		57,337.14
Operating Expense:		
Carfare to unemployed men	7,662.31	
Postage and supplies	1,328.57	
Telegrams (needy families)	27.97	
Clinic aid	141.85	
Clothing and furniture (salvage)	15.40	
Moving (454 families)	102.17	
Automobile insurance (investigators)	204.84	
Grocery delivery (cost)	9,181.56	
Findings, bags, cartons, etc. (grocery department)	1,449.76	
Equipment and supplies and miscellaneous expenses (grocery department)	1,274.40	
Boxes used in distribution of groceries	4,919.86	
Agency operation	13,261.74	
Total operating expenses		39,570.43
Group of homeless boys and girls		679.83
Grand total		$355,753.48
Number of families receiving relief on October 1st		12,220
Number of families receiving relief on October 31st		13,057
Average number of days of relief to each family		25.89
Average number of persons (men, women and children) per family		3.43
Total number of individual days' relief extended during month		1,172,241
Total expenditures family relief		$355,753.48

Food Relief Averages:

Food cost per family	$19.77
Food cost per average family per day	.75½
Food cost per person per day	.22

Average Cost, Including Administrative Expenses, Rents, Etc.:

Average cost per family	27.25
Average cost per average family per day	1.04
Average cost per person per day	.303

Month of October, 1932.

EUREKA BENEVOLENT SOCIETY.

Family Relief.

Relief Food:

Groceries	$2,680.92	
Checks for meat and bread	1,962.77	
Milk	716.80	
Total distribution cost of food		$5,360.49
Other Relief:		
Gas and electric	158.94	
Water and fuel	14.35	
Total other relief		173.29
Operating expense:		
Carfare to unemployed	96.10	
Total operating expense		96.10
Grand total		$5,629.88

Number of families receiving relief on October 1st	243
Number of families receiving relief on October 31st	247
Average number of days of relief to each family during month	24.34
Average number of persons (men, women and children) per family	3.90
Total number of individual days of relief extended during month	23,786
Total expenditures family relief	$5,629.88

Food Relief Averages:

Food cost per family	$21.70
Food cost per average family per day	.88
Food cost per person per day	.22½

Average Cost, Including Administration Expenses, Rents, Etc.:

Average cost per famliy	22.79
Average cost per average family per day	.94
Average cost per person per day	.24

Month of October, 1932.

ITALIAN BOARD OF RELIEF.

Family Relief.

Relief Food:

Groceries	$14,759.06	
Checks for meat and bread	7,708.56	
Milk	2,448.59	
Total distribution cost of food		$24,916.21

Other Relief:		
Rent	506.58	
Rent allowance (full-time work)	220.00	
Gas and electric	700.11	
Water and fuel	353.83	
Total other relief		1,780.52
Operating expense:		
Carfare to unemployed men	133.54	
Moving	51.40	
Stationery supplies	12.49	
Total operating expenses		197.43
Grand total		$26,894.16
Number of families receiving relief October 1st		707
Number of families receiving relief October 31st		834
Average number of days of relief to each family during month		34.50
Average number of persons (men, women and children) per family		4.44
Total number of individual days of relief extended during month		129,591
Total expenditures family relief		$26,894.16
Food Relief Averages:		
Food cost per family	$29.88	
Food cost per average family per day	.84½	
Food cost per person per day	.19	
Food cost per family for calendar month of October	26.71	
Average Cost, Including Administrative Expenses, Rents, Etc:		
Average cost per family	32.25	
Average cost per average family per day	.93	
Average cost per person per day	.21	
Average cost per family for calendar month of October	28.83	

Month of October, 1932.

HOMELESS MEN.

Housing:		
Total for month (men-nights)		54,249
Average for month (per night)		1749.96
Total cost	$3,350.53	
Average cost per man per night		$0.061762
Feeding:		
Total meals served in month		154,947
Average meals in a day		4998.3
Total cost	8,282.95	
Average cost per man per day		$0.152684
Total cost of food supplies	6,910.30	
Payroll cost of food supplies	1,372.65	
Total cost of operating kitchen	$8,282.95	
Food cost community kitchen	8,282.95	

Housing is furnished at the following community shelters:

	Men	*Cost*
280 Natoma street	13,675	$ 169.25
239 Minna street	10,035	1,017.87
1261 Howard street	24,310	1,520.80
Total housed	48,020	

Cleanups: 1261 Howard street	6,229	642.61
Total cost of shelters		$3,350.53

Month of October, 1932.

WOMEN'S DIVISION.

Food relief	$13,557.92
Housing and rents	600.75
Housing and food—girls' clubs	1,152.97
Goodwill Industries (handicapped)	85.50
Moving expenses	71.50
Carfare—Relief workers and unemployed	832.65
Miscellaneous relief to women returned to homes	82.62
Gas, electric and water	259.55
Telephone expenses	213.26
Miscellaneous expenses—Printing, etc.	183.73
Payroll	2,596.63
Total	$19,637.08

Total unemployed women registered	7,322
Total unemployed women registered for relief	3,983
Number of registrants on relief	1,245
Cases receiving relief	1,402
Cases of dependents receiving relief	549
Cases of individuals receiving relief	1,951

January 12, 1933.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Attached hereto find a copy of the report of the Committee on the investigation of the cost of delivery of groceries to those receiving relief, under our present system, as against purchasing direct from the retail grocers on the cost plus 10 per cent basis.

This indicates, at the present time, a saving to the City of 21.6 per cent.

Since this study was made we have effected certain economies in the management and control and a 20 per cent reduction in cost of deliveries, which will increase this percentage.

Of course, as the writer points out, there are certain intangible leakages that are hard to guard against, which would reduce this percentage, yet we are safe to say 20 per cent or better is the saving to the City.

With the purchase of $208,000 worth of groceries in the month of December, you can readily see that the cost to the City would be approximately $500,000 a year if we change our system and continue to give the same quantity of food to those on relief.

I trust you will study this report. I am sure you will find it of interest.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

REPORT OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON METHODS OF RELIEF.

Of the 13,881 famlies on the relief rolls on January 4, 1933, 12,662 families (representing approximately 50,000 men, women and children) were on the rolls of the Citizens' Agency.

When admitted to relief by the Citizens' Agency a family receives the food ration as follows:

1. Partly in the form of cash for the purchase of meat and a major portion of their bread requirements.
2. Milk delivered by milk distributors direct to the home.
3. Groceries, vegetables, fruit, butter and eggs, fat, etc., purchased in quantity, handled through the Agency warehouse, and delivered by the Agency direct to the home.

The cost of the food covered by item No. 3, plus the cost of handling, is slightly more than one-half of the cost of the total food relief covered by items 1, 2 and 3.

This report has to do with item No. 3.

The question before the subcommittee is:

Should we retain the present system of distribution in kind, or should we substitute for it a system of food orders on retail stores?

The subcommittee has conducted an independent study of the current system and the detail of its operation, and has also investigated the methods of relief in effect in some seventy-five different cities of the country. We have also consulted with representatives of wholesale food dealers and retail food dealers.

The arguments pro and con divide themselves into three classifications, to which we shall refer in turn.

First, the disturbance of the normal channels of trade. The present relief system has been in effect in San Francisco for many years. It is the number of beneficiaries dependent upon relief, and not the system itself, which has undergone recent profound change. When a few hundred families were involved it was not a matter of particular consequence that under our system the retail food dealer did not share in approximately half the food expenditure. But with 13,000 families involved the exclusion of the retailer from participation in half the expenditure is of real importance. The subcommittee feels strongly that just as far as practicable the relief operation should make use of the regular channels of trade. Other things being equal, or nearly so, we believe that the system should be changed to include the retailer to the full extent of the food expenditure.

Second, the effect of the system on the beneficiaries. Not only must health be maintained, but self-respect also.

In the maintenance of health it is clear that under the present system the major portion of the ration (items No. 2 and No. 3 above) actually reaches the beneficiaries in the form of food. On the other hand, we are aware that under the food order system some cities have found that not infrequently food orders are used to purchase commodities other than food. However, owing to the character of the great majority of the families now on relief we do not think this abuse would grow to serious proportions; and in so far as it did exist there are practicable methods of reducing, but not eliminating, its incidence. Therefore, in the matter of health we feel that the present system enjoys an advantage. but that under a food order system satisfactory safeguards could be developed against minority abuse.

The maintenance of self-respect revolves around delicate and, on the whole, intangible considerations. It would be folly to attempt a discussion of the question in a few paragraphs. We shall give a brief comment and our conclusion. The present system, which includes delivery to the home in relief motor trucks, gives public evidence as to who is receiving relief. This is undesirable. The use of food orders would diminish, but not entirely avoid, this objection. Furthermore, the use of food orders would enable beneficaries to make all, instead of only a part of, their own food purchases at stores of their choice. We believe that in these respects a change of system would be advantageous.

The third factor is cost. Food for relief is bought in quantity and at low cost, quality considered. Some food is donated. It is frequently possible, because of an over-supply, or for other reasons, to make purchases at especially attractive figures. Satisfactory quality is essential, but advertised brands are not. Wherever practicable, foodstuffs are bought in bulk, and not in packages. The result is a low food cost.

In investigating the cost factor our primary interest has not been in the relationship between our food costs and regular retail prices, because more than 200 retail grocers have offered, if a food order system is adopted, to supply relief beneficiaries on a basis of the retailer's cost plus 10 per cent, and upwards of 700 retail grocers, through their representative, have offered cost plus 15 per cent. In

our investigation we have compared our own costs with the more favorable of these proposals: retailer's cost plus 10 per cent. The results follow:

(a) For the five weeks, October 29 to December 3, the actual cost of the total issue of food (inventory of October 29, plus purchases during the five weeks, less inventory of December 3) was	$162,045.11	
(b) During the month of October (the last month for which final figures were available at the time of our investigation) the total expense of handling and delivering was 18 8/10 per cent of the cost of the food. Applying this percentage (18 8/10) to the food cost of $162,045.11 for the five-week period under review, we get an expense of		30,464.48
(c) Making a total cost plus expense of	$192,509.59	
(d) Applying regular wholesale prices (retailer's cost) to the total issue of food in paragraph (a) above, we get a total retailer's cost of		208,932.70
(e) Adding 10 per cent		20,893.27
(f) We get the total on a basis of retailer's cost plus 10 per cent	$229,825.97	
(g) Paragraph (c) shows a total cost under the present system of	$192,509.59	
(h) Paragraph (f) shows a total cost under a food order system of		229,825.97
(i) The food order cost exceeds the present cost by		37,316.38
		or 19 4/10%
(j) Now, in paragraph (b) we figured the total expense of the food operation at 18 8/10 per cent; but some of the expense items included in this 18 8/10 per cent would *not* be saved if the present system were discontinued; such items are lunches and carfare for relief beneficiaries who work at the food depot; if the food depot were closed, these men would work elsewhere for their food orders and they would require the same lunches and carfare; therefore, we deduct these items from the 18 8/10 per cent and get a remaining total expense of 16 6/10 per cent; applying this percentage (16 6/10) to the food cost of $162,045.11, we get an expense of		26,899.49
(k) Making a total cost plus expense of		188,944.60
(l) Comparing this amount ($188,944.60) with the total cost under a food order system ($229,825.97)—see paragraph (h)—we find that the food order cost exceeds the present cost by	$ 40,881.37	
		or 21 6/10%

From the viewpoint of the taxpayer, upon whom falls the cost of the relief bonds, we believe that 21 6/10 per cent, and not 19 4/10 per cent, is the proper basis from which to proceed to our further observations.

We present several qualifications not susceptible to precise arithmetical measurement. On balance these qualifications tend to reduce the percentage of excess cost considerably below 21 6/10 per cent.

1. Approximately 40 per cent of relief beneficiaries exercise their right to select commodities, either by written order or by personal visit to the relief grocerteria; the remaining 60 per cent receive the prevailing assortment, which varies with the size of the family. Selection is made through the use of a given number of units of scrip. Whether a family selects or does not select, the cost of the food for that family is in theory the same. For various reasons connected

with price changes and the tastes of beneficiaries the theory has differed from the practice. The selected ration has tended to cost more than the regular ration. Your subcommittee believes:

(a) That during November perhaps as much as 5 per cent of the total food cost was accounted for by the excess cost of selected rations; and

(b) That the refinements and adjustments in the scrip arrangements which have since been introduced are now tending to reduce the percentage of excess cost arising from selection.

2. The subcommittee is aware of instances in which the beneficiaries have complained, that owing, for example, to unusual dietary habits they are unable to consume certain foodstuffs contained in the regular ration. In so far as such instances occur, waste is involved which would not occur in a food order system. We believe that such waste exists, but only to a very limited degree. We base our belief on the following considerations:

(a) The small number of pertinent complaints received.

(b) A beneficiary who is dissatisfied with certain foodstuffs in the regular ration may normally be expected to cure his own complaint by exercising his right of selection.

(c) The regular ration is not a hit-or-miss affair, but is made up on a scientific basis and does not contain queer and unusual foods.

3. The subcommittee is aware that some pilferage goes on at the food depot. Our investigation indicates that losses from this source, in terms of percentage of the cost of food handled, are negligible.

4. We have taken note of overages of weight and measure in respect of certain foodstuffs in the packing of rations. We find that:

(a) Only the lower-cost foodstuffs have been involved.

(b) At worst, losses from this source have been small.

(c) Since November effective measures have been introduced to minimize, if not to eliminate, such overages.

5. In our cost comparisons, as heretofore presented in this report, we used actual October expense figures as the basis of the expense percentage, and to these figures, to be on the safe side, we added the rent on the building which houses the new grocery depot. In November we were not yet occupying the building and were not yet paying the rent. Furthermore, in our expense figures we took no account of known savings which became effective December 1 in respect of the cost of deliveries and boxes. These savings should amount to upwards of 2 per cent of the food cost.

6. In our cost comparisons we have taken no account of the cost of issuing and mailing orders under a food order system.

Our conclusions are:

First, that we should favor a change in system if cost permitted.

Second, that, weighing all the factors affecting cost, the cost of a food order system during the period under review would have exceeded our actual cost by substantially more than 10 per cent.

Third, that currently both the expense ratio and the excess costs on account of selection and overages should go down.

Under these circumstances we cannot recommend a change.

We do recommend that, in addition to the regular monthly check of costs, a fresh comparison of our costs with the cost of a food order system be made quarterly.

We further think that we should give prompt and careful consideration to any proposals which may be made by the committee of wholesale grocers and other food suppliers who are studying the general question of food relief.

Some of our observations are in effect criticisms of certain phases of the operation of the food depot. In view of the difficulties connected with the operation we think those in charge have done a good job and deserve commendation. We point out that until recently the

operation was carried on in severely overcrowded quarters; that the major portion of the personnel works one week in four, which means, to a large degree, a new crew every Monday; and that the business has grown 600 per cent in eighteen months.

The subcommittee is indebted to Mr. E. J. Sultan, whose volunteer services have been of the greatest value.

ANNE de GRUCHY TREADWELL,
W. P. FULLER, Jr.,
For the Subcommittee.

Note: Because of absence from San Francisco, Mr. John O'Connell, a member of the subcommittee, has not yet had an opportunity to sign the report.

January 11, 1933.

Invitation Accepted.

Communication from Central Mission Improvement Association, Inc., inviting attendance at installation of officers of said organization at headquarters, No. 1400 Van Ness avenue South, Tuesday evening, January 17, 1933.

Read and *accepted*.

Mayor to Appoint Committee for Reception to U. S. Frigate "Constitution."
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 590, as follows:

Whereas, the famous old U. S. Frigate "Constitution," which played such an important part in the naval history of the United States of America, is scheduled to come into port at San Francisco in the near future; and

Whereas, the Frigate "Constitution" symbolizes the greatest, most glorious and victorious days of the naval history of the United States of America; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors be and are hereby respectfully requested to name a committee to devise ways and means for the due and proper reception to be given to the great old Frigate "Constitution" on her arrival in the port of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 23, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 4

Monday, January 23, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 23, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Peyser excused on official business in Sacramento.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 16, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Permit to Rezone Southwest Corner of California and Steiner Streets.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at southwest corner of California and Steiner streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Picard, attorney for the appellants, and Mr. H. S. Young, attorney for the respondent; Mr. Art Meussdorffer, property owner, and Milton Meyer, City Planning Commissioner, were heard at length.

Refused Adoption.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Rezoning Southwest Corner of California and Steiner Streets From Second Residential to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 604, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 801, granting the application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the

southwest corner of California and Steiner streets, is hereby disapproved.

Aye—Supervisor Power—1.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Regulation of Vending Machines.
(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Supervisors' Committee on New Charter Installation.

Bill No. 171, Ordinance No. 3.04113, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits and imposing a fee for inspection and regulation on persons, firms or corporations owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement, or for vending service or merchandise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of inspection and regulation, every person, firm or corporation owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement or for vending service or merchandise, set in motion by the deposit of a coin or slug in any receptacle attached or affixed to such machine, shall make application to the Chief of Police for a permit to operate said machine or machines; provided that nothing herein shall apply to any appliances prohibited by section 330a of the Penal Code of the State of California. The applicant shall be of good character, and shall submit a description of the type of machine to be operated at the time of making such application and shall pay the filing fee provided herein.

Section 2. Permits provided for herein shall be issued for one year, and may be renewed by the Chief of Police on application and the payment of the filing fee, provided permits issued in accordance with provisions of subdivisions (d), (e), (f) and (g) may be renewed by the permittee on the payment of the licenses provided for herein unless revoked by the Chief of Police. Permits granted for the operation of the aforementioned machines shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permits upon the payment of the fee hereinafter set forth; provided, that a permittee operating two or more types of machines as herein described shall be subject to the payment of one filing fee only, and said filing fee shall be the highest filing fee applicable to the types of machines operated.

(a) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:

Filing fee, $200.

$2.50 per quarter, per machine.

(b) Machines operated for skill or amusement and vending candy or merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent.

Filing fee, $200.

$2.50 per annum, per machine.

(c) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:

Filing fee, $40.

50 cents per annum, per machine.

(d) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:

Filing fee, $10.

50 cents per annum, per machine.

(e) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
15 cents per annum, per machine.
(f) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.
(g) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
20 cents per annum, per machine.

Section 3. The Tax Collector shall issue to each permittee a receipt for such machine for which a fee is paid, and said receipt shall be placed on the machine so as to be visible to the public at all times.

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation authorized to operate machines under the provisions of this ordinance shall forward to the Chief of Police, bi-monthly, a report showing the addresses of premises wherein any of said machines have been installed, or removed; the type of said machine installed or removed, and the date of such installation or removal.

Section 5. The Chief of Police shall regulate the distribution of the machines hereinbefore mentioned; provided that machines in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of Section 2 shall not be permitted to operate within 1000 feet of the boundaries of any school.

Section 6. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police, provided, however, that any permittee whose permit is revoked shall have the right of appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to machines used in any business which is taxed under the provisions of Section 14 of Article XIII of the State Constitution.

Section 8. Machines operated in amusement parks and penny arcades and subject to the payment of fees for the conduct of such places shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. Machines vending sanitary napkins or installed or maintained in accordance with the regulations or orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission of the State of California, shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation shall, at the time of applying for a permit for the operation of said machine, present and file with the Tax Collector a written affidavit, properly acknowledged, setting forth that said person, firm or corporation is the sole owner or distributor of the machines for which a permit is asked and has the sole control of the distribution of said machines, and that no other person, firm or corporation has any interest in or any control over the placement of the machines for which the applicant asked the permit.

Section 11. No permit under this ordinance shall be assigned or transferred without the authorization of the Chief of Police and the payment of the fee required of new applicants.

Section 12. The payment or collection of any fee or charge for the inspection, maintenance or operation of any coin-in-the-slot machine herein mentioned shall not be considered as a license or permission to maintain any so-called coin-in-the-slot machine the use of which is prohibited by law or ordinance, or to use, maintain or operate any of the coin-in-the-slot machines herein mentioned so that such use, operation or maintenance of such machine shall constitute a violation of any law or ordinance.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such

decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

EXPLANATORY.

This ordinance provides for the necessary regulation of coin-in-the-slot machines by the Police and Health Departments and the inspection by said departments of each type of machine before it is licensed for operation. Similar legislation has been adopted by many cities in the United States.

The Committee has had this ordinance under consideration for eight (8) months, and has held approximately eighteen (18) meetings. With the exception of one or two objectors who contended they could not pay any fee for inspection, no substantial opposition was presented to the committee.

The annual fees anticipated from the enforcement of this ordinance will total approximately $25,000, plus the filing fee required of each permittee.

Heretofore operators have placed these machines throughout the City without regard to legality or location. It is intended that police supervision of distribution and inspection will eliminate undesirable features of this business and likewise justify the fees established in accordance with the general authority of Section 24 of the charter.

The competition offered by the vending machine to the merchants doing business at a fixed place was given serious consideration by the committee and the rates fixed are presumed to be commensurate with the regulation intended.

The business of operating skill and amusement machines requires constant supervision by the Police Department because of its similarity to games of chance and the illegally designed machine.

This matter was first presented to the Committee by a representative of the Retail Grocers Association which desired legislation regulating a machine vending groceries which is now in operation in many large cities.

There are approximately 100 operators and distributors owning or controlling 12,000 machines in this City and County.

Privilege of the Floor.

J. W. Ehrlich, attorney for vending machine operators; J. Locowitz, owner of cigarette vending machines; Lewis Walcher, owner of candy vending machines, and Mrs. Best were heard at length.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved to strike out subdivisions a, b and c in section 2.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Roncovieri, Stanton—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power—8.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend section 5. After the word "mentioned" insert "and shall place upon all machines a metal tag,

3x4 inches, indicating that the machine is approved by the Chief of Police."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Power, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—6.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Motion for Reconsideration.

Before the foregoing result was announced, Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved for a reconsideration of the matter at 3:30 p. m. February 6, 1933.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Lands Out of County Road Fund—E. R. Zion, $138, and W. S. Hyde, $700.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 593, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners:

E. R. Zion, $138—Portion of Lot 1A, Assessor's Block 6650.

W. S. Hyde, $700—Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6650.

The above described property is required for the improvement of Laidley and Thirtieth streets, San Francisco.

Said sums of $138 and $700 to be paid out of the County Road Fund, Appropriation 150—14, 1932-33.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $4.80 Out of County Road Fund to E. M. Sink for Land Required for Widening of Corbett Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 594, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named owner:

E. M. Sink, $4.80—A portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 2778.

The above described property is required for the widening of Corbett avenue, San Francisco.

Said sum of $4.80 is to be derived from County Road Fund, Appropriation 178A, unallocated balance, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $150 Out of Bernal Heights Boulevard Fund, 1931, to Eliza Storey for Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 595, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Eliza Storey et al. to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 5631, San Francisco, required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of $150 be paid for said lot from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $2,500 Out of 1927 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund for a Right of Way for Corral Hollow Pipe Line, Alameda County.

(Code No. 15.0341)

Also, Resolution No. 596, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Daniel Sullivan et ux. to a right-of-way easement for the Corral Hollow pipe line, in Alameda county, California, and that the sum of $2,500 be paid for said right of way, which sum shall include $700 on deposit in court and $1,800 from 1928 Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, 1931-1932 Appropriation 289.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $602 Out of 1928 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund for Land Required for Proposed Amazon Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 597, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Catherine Dunne Company to Lot 9, in Assessor's Block 6316, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed Amazon Reservoir, and that the sum of $602 be paid for said land from the Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund of 1928, Appropriation No. 235.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Assessment Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 598, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation No. 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, in payment to the hereinafter named, being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate and collected on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

(1) To Mrs. M. R. Hill, erroneous duplicate assessment, Vol. 5, page 85, line 21, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls of 1932..$ 7.77

(2) Julia Jacobson, erroneous assessment, Vol. 16, page 224, line 17, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls of 1932..... 5.66
(3) R. H. Eddy, erroneous assessment, Vol. 5, page 103, line 18, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls of 1932..... 1.21
(4) Alfio and Rosa L. Previtera, erroneous assessment, Vol. 23, Lot 49, Block 3558, 1932 Real Estate Roll..... 10.30
(5) H. Stoneson, erroneous payment for redemption of Lot 2, Block 2144, Sale No. 1587, and which should have been payment for Lot 23, Block 5842, Sale No. 3262..... 20.14

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Accepting Franchise Percentages of Receipts From Market Street Railway Company.

(Code No. 15.09)

Also, Resolution No. 599, as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company for the months of September, October, November and December, 1932, showing gross receipts from passenger fares upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue, etc.	$ 762.23
Parkside, etc.	1,997.42
Gough Street R. R. Co.	155.24
Total	$2,914.89

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Providing for the Trading of Easement of the City and County for Easement Over Land of Thomas P. McInerney, and Repealing Bill No. 201, Same Subject-Matter.

(Code No. 15.0243)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 231, Ordinance No. 15.02432, as follows:

Providing for trading a certain easement of the City and County of San Francisco for a certain easement over land of Thomas P. McInerney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the San Francisco Water Department and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property, hereinafter described as Parcel 1, to Thomas P. McInerney in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel A, which parcels are situated in the City and

County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: An easement for water pipes and a sewer along a strip of land 6 feet wide, 3 feet each side of that portion of the line common to Lots 11 and 12, Block 2889, as said lots are shown on the recorded map of Laguna Honda Park Subdivision, extending northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard to a point distant 6 feet southwesterly along said lot line from the most northerly corner of said Lot 11.

Parcel A: An easement for water pipe lines along the southeasterly 3 feet of that certain tract of land conveyed to Thomas P. McInerney by deed dated June 8, 1927, and recorded June 13, 1927, in Book 1487, at page 327, Official Records of San Francisco, which 3-foot strip of land extends from the northeasterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard to the northeasterly line of Lot 11, Block 2889, as said lot is shown on the recorded map of Laguna Honda Park Subdivision.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said Parcel 1 to Thomas P. McInerney. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Thomas P. McInerney upon receipt of a deed to said Parcel A.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 15.02431, Bill No. 201, approved November 22, 1932, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Providing for Exchange of Lands of City and County for Lands Owned by Loretta C. White, and Payment of $7,331 Out of 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Bill No. 232, Ordinance No. 12.17412, as follows:

Providing for trading certain land of the City and County of San Francisco for certain land of Loretta C. White, required for widening Army street at Potrero avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading that certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Loretta C. White in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel 1, required for widening Army street at Potrero avenue, subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter mentioned. Said parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, distant northwesterly thereon 172.54 feet from the northwesterly line of Peralta avenue; thence northwesterly along said line of Holladay avenue 49.79 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 145 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 15 degrees 47 minutes 03 seconds, a distance of 25.12 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 611.84 feet, central angle 2 degrees 43 minutes 46 seconds, a distance of 29.15 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 20 minutes 59 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southwesterly, perpendicular to the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, 21.14 feet to the aforesaid line of Holladay avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Army street, distant northeasterly thereon 190 feet from the northeasterly line of Hampshire street; thence continuing northeasterly along said line of Army street 55.783 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1200 feet, central angle four degrees 26 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 92.947 feet; thence easterly and southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 25 feet, central angle 74 degrees 01 minute 02 seconds, a distance of 32.362 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 611.84 feet, central angle 3 degrees 36 minutes 22 seconds, a distance of 38.308 feet to the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue; thence deflecting 15 degrees 00 minute 03 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said line of Holladay avenue 14.589 feet; thence westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 145 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 55 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 88.465 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of Army street produced northwesterly; thence southwesterly along said parallel line, 104.779 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 36 feet to the southeasterly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 239, 240 and 241, Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The terms and conditions hereinbefore referred to are as follows:

As a further consideration the sum of $7,331 shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco to Loretta C. White from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund. This sum shall include damages in full for moving the gasoline service station of Standard Stations, Inc., from said Parcel 1; relocation of the building adjacent to the corner, and grading of the rear portions of the corner lot and the lot adjoining the corner.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Loretta C. White. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Loretta C. White upon receipt of a deed to said Parcel 1 from Loretta C. White, and to record said deed from Loretta C. White.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect ten days after final passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Amending Section 70 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Education) re Janitors by Adding Two Each to Items 198 and 199½ and Reduction of Four in Item 200.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 233, Ordinance No. 9.05330, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 70 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the number of positions under Item 199½ thereof from 16 to 18 and decreasing the number of positions under Item 200 thereof from 141 to 137 and adding two positions to Item 198.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 70 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 70. BOARD OF EDUCATION, NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
150	1		Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	$ 75
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenters at $9 per day.....	
153	1	A156	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
154	3	A354	Painters at $9 per day.....	
155	7	B4	Bookkeepers	175
156	1	B4	Bookkeeper	150
157	1	B4	Bookkeeper (Part Time).....	87.50
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	375
160½	1	B60	Business Manager, Board of Education.	450
161	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
167	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	1	B308	Comptometer Operator at $5 per day...	
171	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operators at $5 per day	
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	215
174	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	150
178	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	82.50
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	80
184	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	67.50
185	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	65
185½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	52
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographers, $5 per day.....	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, $2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	175
194	3	B512	General Clerk-Typists	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	150
196	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	140
197	1	C102	Janitress	165
198	111	C102	Janitresses	140
199	1	C102	Janitress	95
199½	18	C105	Special Janitors	162.50

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
200	137	C104	Janitors	155
201	6	C104	Janitors	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time)	16
203		C104	Janitors at $2.50 per evening	
204	11	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitors	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitors	275
206	1	G302	Employment Clerk	215
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	75
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time)	50
211	14	J78	Stockman	200
212	1	J80	Foreman Stockman	265
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O-102	Moving Picture Operator (Projectionist)	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Workers	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, $4 per evening	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
219	1	L364	Pediatrician (Part Time)	75
220	1	O60	Head Gardener, $8 per day	
221	1	O58	Gardener, $6 per day	
222	1	O58	Gardener, $5.50 per day	
223	7	O58	Gardeners, $5 per day	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224	1	2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225	1	1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226	1	3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227	1	1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

EXPLANATORY.

Request of Board of Education.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Amending Section 36 of Annual Salary Ordinance by Including Item 7½, Exposition Auditorium, One Electrician, $237.50.
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 234, Ordinance No. 9.05331, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 7½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 36. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	A158	Carpenter	$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium	250
3	1	C101	Dressing-Room Maid (part time) at $3 per day	
4	4	C104	Janitor	155
5	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
6	2	C152	Watchman	180
7		C152	Watchman, Relief (as needed)	155
7½	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
8	1	J64	Chair Repairer at $6.50 per day	
9	1	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
9½			Organ Repairer (part time)	75

EXPLANATORY.

Item 7½ added. This employment existed in the Department of Public Works for several years prior to January 8, 1932, and the incumbent was assigned exclusively to maintenance work in the Auditorium. On that date the position was transferred to the Auditorium payroll. In preparing the budget for the current fiscal year the employment was transferred back to the Department of Public Works, and the work performed was charged to the Auditorium on work order. In order to simplify bookkeeping, request is being made to transfer the employment back to the Auditorium payroll.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease the San Francisco County Jail Site in San Mateo County.
(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 236, Ordinance No. 12.17391, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease the San Francisco County Jail site in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease to the highest bidder or bidders a portion or portions of that certain 244-acre tract of land in San Mateo County, California, described in deed dated October 20, 1932, and recorded October 20, 1932, in Book 580, at page 67, Official Records of San Mateo County, excepting land required for construction of buildings and for other purposes.

Section 2. The Director of Property, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized to execute such lease or leases.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Peyser, Stanton—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OF REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Telegram.

Sacramento, Calif., January 23, 1933.

Emmet Hayden, President Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Most urgent that Board this afternoon pass resolution urging immediate approval of San Francisco-Alameda County Bay Bridge bills. Resolution should stress necessity of full approval of bills by February 1st, in view of language of Reconstruction Finance Corporation contract requiring action before that date. It should also be pointed out that benefit will result to entire State by relief of unemployment and stimulating industry. Assembly Ways and Means Committee meets tonight. Wire resolution to chairman of that committee, chairman of Senate Finance Committee, as well as to the Speaker of the Assembly and the President of the Senate. I also ask that I be excused by Board because of attendance here on official business.

JEFFERSON E. PEYSER.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented for Supervisor Peyser and *adopted*:

Favorable Action by Legislature on San Francisco Bay Bridge Bills Urged.

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 600, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Senate of the State of California Senate Bills Nos. 87 to 92, both inclusive, which said bills have to do with the transbay bridge; and

Whereas, there is pending in the Assembly of the State of California companion bills to those above mentioned, dealing with the same subject; and

Whereas, in order that the State of California may avail itself of the proffered loan of $62,000,000 from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States Government it is necessary that said bills should be finally enacted by the present session of the State Legislature in accordance with the requirements of said Reconstruction Finance Corporation and before the 1st day of February, 1933; and

Whereas, the construction of said transbay bridge will be of great benefit to all of the State of California and especially to the northern part thereof, and will afford immediate relief of the unemployment situation and stimulate industry throughout the State; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has obligated itself to do its full part towards building roads and streets in order to meet the contemplated westerly approaches to said bridge and to care for the traffic which will be accommodated by said bridge; and

Whereas, if the construction of said bridge is not financed from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation there is a grave probability that the construction of said bridge will be delayed for a number of years, to the loss of all of the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, does hereby earnestly urge the respective committees of the Senate and of the Assembly of the State of California, by whom the aforesaid bills are being considered, to forthwith recommend that each of the aforesaid bills do pass in such form as will be satisfactory to said Reconstruction Finance Corporation, to the end that the aforesaid loan of $62,000,000 may be received from said Reconstruction Finance Corporation by February 1st of the present year; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Senate of the State of California and the Assembly thereof be and each of said bodies is hereby urged to forthwith enact said bills as emergency measures; be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted by wire to the chairman of the Senate Finance Committee and to the chairman of the Assembly Ways and Means Committee and to the President of the Senate and to the Speaker of the Assembly.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Requesting Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance of Memorial Day, May 30, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Presented for Supervisor Peyser by Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. 601, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Memorial Day, May 30, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Controller Requested to Prepare Budget Report.

Presented for Supervisor Miles by Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. 602, as follows:

Whereas, there appeared in the newspapers of January 22nd throughout the Nation a copyrighted article released by the Associated Press from New York City, purporting to set forth certain facts relative to the 1933 budgets of the ten largest cities of the United States; and

Whereas, the figures purporting to set forth a basis for comparison of the budgets of San Francisco and Los Angeles are obviously in error and misleading; and

Whereas, the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco has called this fact to the attention of his Honor the Mayor and the honorable Board of Supervisors, and has offered to prepare a report setting forth the actual figures and basis for comparison of budget costs; and

Whereas, the publication of this article, appearing in all large daily newspapers throughout the country, is apt to reflect unwarranted discredit upon the City of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be hereby requested to file with the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors a true report covering this matter at his earliest possible convenience; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of the Controller's report be forwarded to the proper authorities of the Associated Press with a request for a correction of the aforementioned article.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Board Expresses Appreciation of Legislative Work Done by Harrison S. Robinson, C. H. Purcell and C. C. Carleton.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board of Supervisors express appreciation to Harrison S. Robinson, C. H. Purcell, engineer of the California Highway Commission, and C. C. Carleton, Chief of the Division of Contracts and Rights of Way, Department of Public Works,

Sacramento, California, for their splendid work and able presentation to the State Legislature and its committees of the proposed San Francisco and Alameda Bay Bridge project.

Motion *carried.*

Produce Merchants' Conference.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Mayor be requested to call a conference with the Chief of Police and representatives of produce merchants for Friday, January 27, 2 p. m., for formulation of a plan to enable better enforcement of the wholesale peddlers' license ordinance.

Motion *carried.*

Aquatic Park Hearing.

Supervisor Colman announced a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee for Wednesday, January 25, 1933, at 3:30 p. m., to consider matters pertaining to Aquatic Park and Golden Gate Ferries.

Charter Amendment, Retirement System, Fire Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented a communication from the David Scannell Club, urging submission of the following proposed Charter amendment, providing for the inclusion in the Retirement System of all members of the Fire Department, to-wit:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending the first paragraph and subdivision (d), respectively, of Section 169 thereof, and by adding a new subdivision to said Section 169, to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, and by repealing Section 171 of said Charter, all relating to the pension and retirement contributions, benefits and allowances of the members of the Fire Department.

Section 1. The first paragraph of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the effective date of this amendment, herein defined as the date of ratification of this amendment by the Legislature of the State of California, and persons who shall become members of the Fire Department after that date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after the said effective date, subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter."

Section 2. Subdivision (d) of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the Retirement System, the City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System during each fiscal year a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (g) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the Retirement System because of service rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to meeet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit."

Section 3. Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended by the addition of a new subdivision thereto to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, to read as follows:

"(g) Each person who is a member of the Retirement System under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the Retirement System 4 per cent of the salary received by him during such month, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death and retirement

provided for him under this section. Should a member be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, then such contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System. Any increase or decrease of the salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children, or parents who shall be receiving a pension at the time of such change."

Section 4. Section 171 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby repealed.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Representatives of Board in Sacramento Instructed to Prepare Legislation Looking Toward the Payment of Quarterly Installments of Taxes Instead of Semi-Annually, as Heretofore.

(Code No. ——)

The following was presented by Supervisor Peyser and *referred to Judiciary and Finance Committees*:

Resolution No. 103, as follows:

Whereas, the present method of payment of taxes, requiring the annual levy to be paid in two installments, with the attendant penalties for failure to pay said taxes at the appointed time, has become a serious burden to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, particularly at this time of unemployment and stress; and

Whereas, the payment of taxes in quarterly installments would materially aid the taxpayer in meeting his obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby instruct its representatives in the State Legislature this session to prepare and present legislation amending the present laws requiring the payment of taxes in semi-annual installments, so that taxpayers might be permitted to pay their taxes in quarterly installments.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 30, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 28—New Series No. 5

Monday, January 30, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 30, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 23, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Licensing Wholesale Peddlers of Wood, Coal and Charcoal
(Code No. 3.041)

The following recommendation of the Supervisors' Committee on New Charter Installation was taken up:

Bill No. 207, Ordinance No. 3.04117, as follows:

Imposing a license tax on wholesale peddlers of coal, charcoal — wood, defining such wholesale peddlers; providing for the identification of vehicles operated by such licensed peddlers; requiring a permit to be issued by the Chief of Police and a bond to be filed by such licensed wholesale peddlers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of a wholesale peddler, peddling coal, charcoal and/or wood at wholesale shall pay an annual license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $500, said license to be paid at the time of issuance thereof.

Section 2. For the purpose of this ordinance a wholesale peddler is defined to be and include all persons, both principal and agent, and all firms, corporations, partnerships or any other joint or singular enterprise engaged in by a person or persons not having a regular fixed and established place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, who travel from place to place or have a stand upon any public street, sidewalk or alley or any other place, unenclosed or vacant lot

or parcel of land, and who sells, or exhibits or offers for sale, any of the commodities or products mentioned herein to dealers for the purposes of resale, or to any public institution, hotel or restaurant within the City and County of San Francisco. The person or firm so engaged shall not be relieved from the provisions of this section by reason of association, temporarily, with any local dealer or merchant, or by conducting any temporary business in connection with, or as a part of, or in the name of any local dealer or merchant. Any sale by a peddler to a dealer, for the purpose of resale, or to a public institution, hotel or restaurant, shall classify him as a wholesale peddler, and in addition to being guilty of a violation of this ordinance, he shall be liable for the difference between that which he paid for a license as a peddler and what he should pay as a wholesale peddler.

Section 3. Each such peddler shall have his name, address and number of his license printed conspicuously on both sides of his truck or other vehicle in letters and figures not less than three inches in height, and he shall always carry the permit hereinafter provided for with him and shall exhibit the same whenever required by any police or other officer authorized by the laws of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the calling of a wholesale peddler within said City and County of San Francisco without having first obtained a permit from the Chief of Police thereof upon a written application and after a hearing thereon, and without first having filed a bond and paid the license fee provided for in this or other ordinances.

Section 5. Upon payment of the license fee to the Tax Collector of said City and County he shall issue a numbered license to such wholesale peddler, but no license shall be issued by the Tax Collector to any such wholesale peddler until and unless the aforementioned permit has been issued and such applicant shall have first filed with the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, and the same has been approved by said Controller, a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in California, as surety, in the sum of $5,000, to adequately protect the interests of said City and County and the public in the particulars hereinafter set forth; which policy of insurance or other bond shall bind the obligors thereunder that such applicant shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and with the provisions of all other applicable ordinances of said City and County and the statutes of the State of California regulating or concerning the sale of the commodities or products in this ordinance mentioned, by peddlers, and shall pay all judgments rendered against such applicant for any violation thereof to the person recovering such judgments, and shall pay all judgments rendered against the applicant for injuries to persons and loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of the business of such peddler within said City and County of San Francisco, and shall pay all judgments recovered by any person against said applicant arising out of any misrepresentation or deception practiced upon any person transacting business with said applicant as such peddler within said City and County; and any person injured by such negligent operation of said business or having a claim or cause of action as herein provided shall have a right of action directly on said policy or bond.

Section 6. Sections 1, 2, 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall not apply to any coal miner who peddles coal mined by him except as in this section provided. Such miner shall be required to obtain the permit herein provided for, but shall be entitled to such permit without the payment of said license fee; provided, however, that such miner shall first file with the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, in connection with his application for a permit, an affidavit setting forth his name and address, the amount and variety of coal he proposes to sell, the place where said coal is mined, and that said coal was actually mined by him; provided, however, that any miner as herein defined who shall engage in the business of such peddling shall

not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco or between the same two blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, or within 1000 feet of the boundaries of the fixed place of business of any wholesaler of coal for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 7. Any peddler, as herein defined, who shall engage in the business of such peddling, shall not remain in any one place upon a street, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco, or between the same two (2) blocks on any street in the City and County of San Francisco, or opposite the same block on the same street in the City and County of San Francisco, or within the same block upon any alley in the City and County of San Francisco, for more than thirty (30) minutes at one time while actually engaging in such business of peddling.

Section 8. Any permit issued under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in force for the period of one year from and after the date of its issuance unless revoked, and any person, firm or corporation desiring to renew any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof, which application for renewal shall be made in the same manner as for the issuance of said original permit.

Section 9. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Chief of Police for any violation of the condition of said permit or for any violation of this ordinance by the holder of said permit. Before any permit shall be revoked by the Chief of Police, notice in writing shall be given to the holder of said permit of the time and place of hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, which said notice shall briefly state the reasons for revoking said permit, and shall be mailed to the holder of said permit at his place of business or residence, as the same appears on his application for said permit, and when said notice is so mailed the Chief of Police shall have power, upon hearing the matter of the revocation of said permit, to revoke the same or make any order in the premises as may be proper.

Section 10. Any person, firm or corporation that shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a license shall be considered as a separate and distinct offense.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

EXPLANATION.

The provisions of this ordinance are identical with those contained in an ordinance passed August 29, 1932, imposing a license fee on wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products. This ordinance does not apply to retail peddlers of wood, coal and charcoal, nor does it affect any person maintaining a fixed place of business in the City and County of San Francisco. Section 6 exempts California coal miners from the payment of the license fees imposed by this ordinance.

Privilege of the Floor.

Annette Adams, Mrs. J. M. Butcher, representing the Consolidated Milling Company, and Frances V. Meeker, representing 90 per cent of the buyers, were heard in opposition to the measure.

William T. Doyle, attorney for J. Cal Ewing, San Francisco retail coal dealers; Mr. Fitch, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and John O'Connell, representing the Teamsters' Union, were heard in favor.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved as an amendment to section 1 that the amount of license be reduced from $500 to $250.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Power—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon withdrew his motion for seriatim consideration.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Brown moved that action on the foregoing be postponed until the first meeting in July.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—5.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Indefinite Postponement.

Ordering the Improvement of Certain Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up and *indefinitely postponed*:

Bill No. 230, Ordinance No. 12.061118, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the

succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Jennings street by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins, with 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Fitzgerald avenue between the center and northwesterly lines of Jennings street; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

And the improvement of Jennings street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of Army street, from Castro street to a line 75 feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Castro street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks, 6 feet in width; by the construction of a vitrified clay pipe side-sewer; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of Orizaba avenue between Randolph street and Sargent street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers; and by the construction of a 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

And the improvement of Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

NEW BUSINESS.

Authorizing Execution of Agreement Between City and Market Street Railway Company for Renewal of Railway Tracks, Necessary in Connection With Improvement of the Bay Shore Boulevard, and Providing for Payment of $3,000 Out of 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund to Market Street Railway Company.

(Code No. 15.09)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 606, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter called "City," to enter into the following written agreement with Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, hereinafter called "Railway," in connection with the improvement of Potrero avenue and Bay Shore boulevard, from Marin street to Army street, San Francisco, California:

"Whereas, the City desires to widen Potrero avenue, from Army street to Bay Shore boulevard, in the City and County of San Francisco, and, in aid of this improvement, desires to have the railway tracks now being maintained and operated by Railway over a private right of way on Potrero avenue, from Army street to San Bruno avenue, moved and relaid to what will be the center line of Potrero avenue after the widening of Potrero avenue shall have been completed, as shown on plan prepared by the City Engineer of the Department of Public Works of City and made a part hereof. File No. A-9918 and dated July, 1932; and

"Whereas, Railway is willing to grant the request of City to relocate its tracks in the center of Potrero avenue, from Army street to San Bruno avenue, subject to the terms, covenants and conditions herein contained;

"Now, therefore, it is mutually agreed by and between the parties hereto as follows, to-wit:

"1. In consideration of all the covenants and agreements herein assumed by City, Railway agrees to convey by quitclaim deed to City all its right, title and interest in and to the said private right of way over which it was maintaining and operating its railway, as aforesaid, between Army street and San Bruno avenue, which right of way is shown as Parcel 'A' on said plan, and will at its own cost and expense furnish all overhead work and all rails, special work, ties, bolts and labor in connection with the removing of the rails from said private right of way and replacing the same in the new location in the center of Potrero avenue, as herein set forth, which new location is shown as Parcel 'B' on said plan.

"2. The City shall at its own cost and expense excavate where the tracks of the Railway are to be laid in their new location, and will also furnish the necessary ballast for the relaying of the tracks of Railway, and shall do all the necessary paving of the tracks in the new location, and repaving where the tracks were removed.

"The Railway Company will furnish City with print showing the depth of trench and section of track for excavation and ballast.

"City shall also excavate down to and uncover the ties wherever rails are to be removed or shifted. The intent is that the City is to do all necessary excavation in the removal of old tracks and in the construction of the tracks in the new location.

"City shall also pay to Railway, upon this agreement becoming effective, the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000), which sum is 50 per cent of the cost to Railway in acquiring the private right of way herein referred to and which it is abandoning, as herein set forth.

"3. It is mutually agreed that Railway shall forthwith make application to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for a supplemental permit under Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco authorizing it to extend its said street railway from San Bruno avenue and Potrero avenue, there connecting with the tracks of Railway on San Bruno avenue; thence along Potrero avenue to and connecting with the tracks of Railway on Army street, so as to permit the operation of the cars of Railway over, along and upon said route. Said extension shall be deemed to be an extension from the car line on San Bruno avenue authorized to be operated and maintained under the operating permit secured from City on February 9, 1931, in pursuance of Section 6a and Section 6b of Chapter II, Article II, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as then in force. This agreement shall become effective upon the granting of said supplemental permit above mentioned, otherwise said agreement to be null and void."

Be it Further Resolved, upon said agreement becoming effective, that the above-mentioned sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) be paid to Market Street Railway Company from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund, and that said quitclaim deed be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference of the foregoing to the Public Utilities Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Peyser, Power, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Rereferred.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was *rereferred to said committee*:

Approving the Highway Commission's Action re the Sears Point Toll Road.

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 548, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is vitally interested in the success of the Golden Gate bridge project; and

Whereas, at the request of many organizations, civic bodies and numerous individual sportsmen, the State Highway Commission has negotiated the purchase of the Sears Point toll road as a necessary and important link in the main feeder to the Golden Gate bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approves the action of the State Highway Commission in its effort to cooperate in making this great undertaking a success, and that we urge our representatives in the Legislature to do all in their power to secure legislative ratification of the Commission's action.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Holladay Avenue Southerly From Army Street.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 607, as follows:

Whereas, on the 19th day of December, 1932, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 551, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of December, 1932, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 551, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Holladay avenue, southerly from Army street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Holladay avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, distant northwesterly thereon 172.54 feet from the northwesterly line of Peralta avenue; thence northwesterly along said line of Holladay avenue 49.79 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 145 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 15 degrees 47 minutes 03 seconds, a distance of 25.12 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 611.84 feet, central angle 2 degrees 43 minutes 46 seconds, a distance of 29.15 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 20 minutes 59 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southwesterly, perpendicularly to the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, 21.14 feet to the aforesaid line of Holladay avenue and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Holladay avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Holladay avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors December 19, 1932.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved December 21, 1932.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted, in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did also cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 551; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of Holladay avenue described in Resolution No. 551 be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Holladay avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 551 be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets described in Resolution No. 551 shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 551; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said streets shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter

III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works, and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Intention to Change Grades on Alley (No Name) Between Surrey and Sussex Streets, From Diamond Street Southeasterly.
(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 609, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 1986 of the Department of Public Works, adopted January 20, 1933, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 23, 1933, to-wit:

Alley (No Name) Between Surrey and Sussex Streets, From Diamond Street Southeasterly.

At the northeasterly corner of Diamond street, 248 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At the southeasterly corner of Diamond street, 246 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly end of the curve from Diamond street, 248 feet.

40 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249 feet.

119 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 258.68 feet.

197 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 257 feet.

284 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249.57 feet.

529 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 248 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at the first angle southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 243.90 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 629 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 240 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of (from the north), at the second angle northwesterly from the last described point, 244 feet.

On alley (no name) as above described be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Surrey and Sussex streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Department of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Extension of Ninety Days' Time, From January 28, 1933, to Charles L. Harney, Within Which to Complete Improvement of De Long Street Between Orizaba Avenue and Head Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 610, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, Charles L. Harney be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time, from and after January 28, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of De Long street between Orizaba avenue and Head street. Work has been held up, waiting for fill to settle.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Approving Map of Duboce Avenue at Alpine Terrace, and Declaring Same to Be an Open Public Street.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 611, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the widening of Duboce avenue at Alpine terrace," approved by the Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 1944, January 11, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and that Parcel 1 shown hatched thereon be and is hereby declared to be an open public street, to be known as Duboce avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Extension of Thirty Days' Time, From January 19, 1933, to J. D. O'Hara, Within Which to Complete the Construction of Sidewalks on Thirty-third Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 612, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, J. D. O'Hara is hereby granted an extention of thirty days' time, from and after January 19, 1933, within which to complete the construction of sidewalks on Thirty-third avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, and on certain other streets. Delay occasioned by reason of contractor having to await completion of grading on Bosworth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Buildings and Lands Committee*:

Confirming Sale of Parcel 27, on Roosevelt Way, to Amanda Swanson.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Resolution No. 608, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned lands, including a portion of Lot 52, in Assessor's Block 2612, San Francisco, California, described as Parcel 27 in said ordinance, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line

of Roosevelt way and a line parallel with and distant 115.00 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Fourteenth street, said point of commencement being on the northerly line of the property designated Parcel 4, conveyed by Nellie M. Holstead et al. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Volume 372, page 98, Official Records of said City and County, and running thence westerly, along said northerly line, 31.948 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Sinnott; thence at right angles southerly, along said easterly line extended southerly, 29.293 feet to said northwesterly line of Roosevelt way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line, being the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 120 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 100.00 feet, central angle 25 degrees 02 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 43.691 feet to the above-mentioned parallel line and the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Amanda Swanson to purchase said parcel for the sum of $250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Amanda Swanson has deposited the sum of $50 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $250; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of $250; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Amanda Swanson. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Confirming Sale of Fire Department Lot, Third Avenue Near Parnassus Avenue (Lot 20, Block No. 1757), for $2,300.

(Code No. 12.1726)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 605, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17264, Bill No. 206, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned lands, including Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 1757, San Francisco, California; and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from City Realty Company to purchase said lot for the sum of $2,300 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, City Realty Company has deposited the sum of $230 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,300 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,400; and

Whereas, the Fire Commission has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of $2,300; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said land

to City Realty Company. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Confirming Sale of Parcel 28, on Roosevelt Way, to Edward J. Sinnott.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 613, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned lands, including a portion of Lot 52, in Assessor's Block 2612, San Francisco, California, described as Parcel 28 in said ordinance, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Roosevelt way and a line parallel with and distant 116 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Fourteenth street, and running thence westerly, along said parallel line, 31.948 feet to the true point of beginning of this description, said true point of beginning being the point of intersection of the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Sinnott and the northerly line of the property designated Parcel 4, conveyed by Nellie M. Holstead et al. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Volume 372, page 98, Official Records of said City and County, and running thence westerly, along said northerly line, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J. Ryan; thence at right angles southerly along said last-mentioned easterly line extended southerly, 39.515 feet to the northerly line of Roosevelt way; thence easterly and northeasterly along said line of Roosevelt way, being the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 104 degrees 28 minutes 39 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 100 feet, central angle 15 degrees 31 minutes 21 seconds, a distance of 27.092 feet to the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by S. R. Gantner et al.; thence deflecting 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said southerly prolongation, 29.293 feet to the true point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Edward J. Sinnott to purchase said parcel for the sum of $500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Edward J. Sinnott has deposited the sum of $50 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $500; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of $500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said land to Edward J. Sinnott. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Ha-

venner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Confirming Sale of Parcels 29, 30 and 34, on Roosevelt Way, to Gabriel Moulin.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 614, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned lands, including three portions of Assessor's Block 2612, San Francisco, California, described as Parcels 29, 30 and 34, in said ordinance, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 29: Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Roosevelt way and a line parallel with and distant 115 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Fourteenth street, and running thence westerly, along said parallel line, 56.948 feet to the true point of beginning of this description, said true point of beginning being the point of intersection of the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J. Ryan and the northerly line of the property designated Parcel 4, conveyed by Nellie M. Holstead et al. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Vol. 372, page 98, Official Records of said City and County, and running thence westerly, along said northerly line, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by G. and L. Magnoli; thence at right angles southerly, along said last-mentioned easterly line extended southerly, 42.690 feet to the northerly line of Roosevelt way; thence easterly, along said northerly line of Roosevelt way, being the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 100 feet, central angle 14 degrees 28 minutes 39 seconds, a distance of 25.288 feet to the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Sinnott; thence deflecting 75 degrees 31 minutes 21 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running northerly along said southerly prolongation, 39.515 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 30: Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Roosevelt way and a line parallel with and distant 115 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Fourteenth street, and running thence westerly, along said parallel line, 81.948 feet to the true point of beginning of this description, said true point of beginning being the point of intersection of the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by G. and L. Magnoli and the northerly line of the property designated Parcel 4, conveyed by Nellie M. Holstead et al. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Volume 372, page 98, Official Records of said City and County, and running thence westerly along said northerly line 24.546 feet to the easterly line of Block D, Park Hill Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, pages 165 and 166, Records of said City and County; thence deflecting 90 degrees 13 minutes 35 seconds to the left and running southerly along said easterly line of said Block D, 39.671 feet to the northerly line of Roosevelt way; thence easterly, along said northerly line of Roosevelt way, being the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 75 degrees 39 minutes 27 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 100 feet, central angle 14 degrees 06 minutes 58 seconds, a distance of 24.637 feet to the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J. Ryan; thence deflecting 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running northerly along said southerly prolongation 42.690 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 34: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Roose-

velt way, distant southeasterly thereon 142.75 feet from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista terrace (said point being on the easterly line of Block "D", Park Hill Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "I", pages 165 and 166, Records of the City and County of San Francisco); thence easterly along said line of Roosevelt way, on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 160 feet, central angle 15 degrees 08 minutes 33 seconds, a distance of 42.29 feet; thence deflecting 120 degrees 43 minutes 46 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southwesterly 48.16 feet to a point distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, from a point thereon 192 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista terrace; thence at right angles northwesterly, parallel with said line of Fifteenth street, 24.38 feet to the easterly line of said Block D; thence deflecting 65 degrees 30 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running northerly along said easterly line 34.70 feet to the southwesterly line of Roosevelt way and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Gabriel Moulin and Lenore C. Moulin, his wife, to purchase said parcels for the total sum of $2,000, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Gabriel Moulin has deposited the sum of $200 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said total sum of $2,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,000; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said parcels for the total sum of $2,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said lands to Gabriel Moulin and Lenore C. Moulin, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Confirming Sale of Parcel 33, on Roosevelt Way, to Marie Koenecke Klute.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 615, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned land, including a portion of Lots 24 and 25, in Assessor's Block 2645, San Francisco, California, described as Parcel 33 in said ordinance, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point in a line parallel with and distant 87.51 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Seventeenth street, distant easterly thereon 48.942 feet from the point of intersection of said parallel line with the southeasterly line of Roosevelt way, said parallel line being the southerly line of Lot 20, Block "Q", Park Land Tract No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 182, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence easterly, along said parallel line, 25 feet to the westerly line of the land now or formerly owned by T. W. McKee; thence at right angles northerly, along said westerly line, 56.296 feet to the southeasterly line of Roosevelt way; thence deflecting 120 degrees 38 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, along said southeasterly line,

29.058 feet to the northerly prolongation of the easterly line of the land now or formerly owned by E. T. Leder; thence deflecting 59 degrees 21 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running southerly along said northerly prolongation 41.485 feet to the point of commencement.

Being portions of said Lot 20 and Lot 21 of said Block Q.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Marie Koenecke Klute to purchase said parcel for the sum of $250, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Marie Koenecke Klute has deposited the sum of $50 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $250; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said parcel for the said sum of $250; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said land to Marie Koenecke Klute. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Requesting City Attorney to Prepare Legislation Necessary to Call for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 616, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby requested to prepare, within thirty (30) days, the legislation necessary to call for bids for garbage disposal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—10.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Spaulding—4.

Commending Senate and Assembly and Governor of California for Favorable Legislation for Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 618, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is placed in an enviable position by and through the contemplated erection of a bridge across the Golden Gate, and one across San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, much time, labor, study and perseverance have brought the prospect of these two bridges to fruition and immediate accomplishment; and

Whereas, the Senate and the Assembly of the State of California in Sacramento now assembled, and His Excellency James Rolph, Jr., Governor, have given due and careful consideration to several bills

positively required by and necessary to the launching of these two projects of magnitude, and have, after deliberation, enacted said bills into law; and

Whereas, said enactments by the Senate and the Assembly of the State of California and His Excellency James Rolph, Jr., Governor, remove all last obstacles to the prosecution and realization of these two bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco after contemplation fully recognizes the importance of the legislation passed by the Senate and the Assembly now in session and His Excellency James Rolph, Jr., Governor, which legislation has paved the way for a free and unobstructed erection of two of the greatest projects that California has witnessed; and be it

Further Resolved, That in view of the excellent and wise cooperation of the legislative bodies of the State of California now in session and Governor of the State of California, the Board of Supervisors of the City extends to said bodies individually and collectively sincere appreciation, hearty endorsement and grateful acknowledgment; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and that a copy be forwarded to the Speaker of both the Senate and Assembly, and one also to His Excellency, James Rolph, Jr., Governor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Retirement Board to Report on Cost and Effect Upon Retirement System of Proposed Charter Amendment.

(Code No. 4.07)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 619, as follows:

Resolved, That the Retirement Board of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System be, and it is hereby requested to forthwith furnish to the Board of Supervisors an actuarial report of the cost and effect upon the retirement system and upon the City and County of San Francisco, of the proposed amendment to the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, amending Section 169 of the Charter, which said amendment is now pending before this Board for consideration.

Be it further Resolved, That a copy of the proposed Charter amendment be submitted with this request to the said Retirement Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee, Ground-Breaking Ceremonies, San Francisco-Alameda County Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 620, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, by unanimous vote, has passed the necessary legislation for the immediate construction of the San Francisco-Alameda County Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, this structure will increase the avenues of ingress and egress into the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, there will be expended in this project approximately $67,000,000, which means the employment of many thousands of our citizens; and

Whereas, the construction of said bridge is an epochal event in the history of our community and the Bay region; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to confer with the California Toll Bridge Authority, Alameda County officials, and other organizations with a view to properly celebrating the commencement of activities and construction of said bridge.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Report of Supervisor Peyser on State Legislative Matters.

Supervisor Peyser reported for the Judiciary Committee that a measure known as the Breed bill, which proposed to cut $400,000 from amount received from the State for maintenance of San Francisco schools, was killed in committee.

He said the Ingalls bill, also referring to the public schools, proposes that the State contribution will remain the same—a minimum for county taxes becomes maximum, 30 per cent and 60 per cent for the year. Amendment proposed cutting them by 20 per cent. Copies will be sent to members. He asked members of the Board to give them careful consideration.

The bridge bills, he declared, had all been passed. A public expression of appreciation for their efforts in behalf of the bridge bills should be extended to Leland Cutler, George Presley, Richard Barrett, Frank MacDonald, John O'Connell, Archibald Mooney, James Ricketts and R. Corey.

On February 28, he declared, all contracts would be let, and on April 1, 1933, work will be started.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, to which the name of Supervisor Havenner was added, on motion of Supervisor Colman, was presented by Supervisor Peyser and *adopted*:

Commending Commercial, Business and Labor Organizations and Citizens Re Enactment of Legislation Relating to Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 617, as follows:

Whereas, all necessary legislation for the immediate construction of the San Francisco-Alameda County Bay Bridge has been enacted by the Legislature of the State of California; and

Whereas, the expeditious manner in which the said legislation was enacted was effected through the untiring and united efforts of all groups of San Franciscans; and

Whereas, this united front and cooperative effort overcame numerous obstacles that presented themselves; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for the people of San Francisco, does hereby commend the untiring, unselfish and splendid efforts of Messrs. Leland W. Cutler, George Presley, Richard Barrett, R. Corey, Frank C. MacDonald, John A. O'Connell, Archibald Mooney, James Ricketts, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, and numerous other public-spirited citizens, together with all business, commercial and labor organizations; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to each of the aforementioned persons and the organizations they represent.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to add the Governor's name, the names of the members of the State Toll Bridge Authority and Chief Engineer Purcell to the resolution.

So ordered.

Committee on Federal Aid for Unemployed.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a special committee of members of this Board and any other City officials and others deemed proper, to deal with the question of obtaining federal aid for unemployment relief, said committee to report back in the near future.

So ordered.

Rules for Clerks.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Rules Committee consider the establishment of a rule that clerks be instructed to notify other committees of pertinent matters pending in their committees.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned to meet Thursday, at 2:30 p. m., to consider Charter amendments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 6, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 6

Thursday, February 2, 1933

Friday, February 3, 1933

Monday, February 6, 1933

9.0533

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1933, 2:30 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, February 2, 1933, at 2:30 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the consideration of proposed Charter amendments.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Quorum present.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for the consideration of the proposed Charter amendment incorporating the Fire Department in the Retirement System.

So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Supervisor Hayden was elected to preside.

Privilege of the Floor.

Pending the arrival of Mayor Rossi's proposed Charter amendment reducing municipal salaries, Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor and stated in part as follows:

"If there is nothing before the Board, I have something."

He proposed three Charter amendments to go on the ballot if the Supervisors authorized a special election on a salary reduction amendment.

His first amendment, Mr. Uhl said, would make it illegal for a man and his wife to hold two city positions, and for any city employee to draw more than one salary. If it were adopted either the man or wife would have to quit, making one of the positions available for the unemployed.

In the second measure Mr. Uhl said that he proposed elimination of the Treasurer's office held by Duncan Matheson. This office, he said, could be consolidated with that of the Tax Collector without impairing the efficiency of the City Government.

Under the third Uhl proposal, the voters would be asked to modify the pension system so that no retired employee would receive more than $85 a month, or abolish the Retirement System after permitting those eligible this month to take their pension.

"Personally I am for abolishing the Retirement System," Mr. Uhl explained. "If we did that the money now frozen in the fund would be available for tax relief. There's $12,000,000 in that fund. Half of

that belongs to the employees. If we gave them their money back we would have $6,000,000 and our troubles would be over."

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that at 6 p. m. the Board take a recess until 8 p. m. Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that at 6 p. m. we take a recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Stanton—2.

Proposed Charter Amendment.

Thereupon the following matter heretofore presented by the David Scannell Club was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending the first paragraph and subdivision (d) respectively of Section 169 thereof, and by adding a new subdivision to said Section 169 to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, and by repealing Section 171 of said Charter, all relating to the pension and retirement contributions, benefits and allowances of the members of the Fire Department.

Section 1. The first paragraph of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the effective date of this amendment, herein defined as the date of ratification of this amendment by the Legislature of the State of California, and persons who shall become members of the Fire Department after that date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after the said effective date, subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter."

Section 2. Subdivision (d) of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the Retirement System, the City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System during each fiscal year a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (g) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the Retirement System because of service rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit."

Section 3. Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended by the addition of a new subdivision thereto to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, to read as follows:

"(g) Each person who is a member of the Retirement System under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the Retirement System 4 per cent of the salary received by him during such month, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death and retirement provided for him under this section. Should a member be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, then such contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System. Any increase or decrease of the

salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children, or parents who shall be receiving a pension at the time of such change."

Section 4. Section 171 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby repealed.

Communication From Retirement Board.

Whereupon the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 2, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: In accordance with your Resolution No. 619, I have examined the proposed Charter amendment affecting Section 169 of the Charter, which now provides for the retirement of persons who were members of the Fire Department on January 8, 1932. While it is impossible in the limited time available to make an exhaustive and exact valuation of all the changes in the proposed amendment, it is possible to state generally their principal financial effect under the Retirement System.

The amendment makes two principal changes, as follows:

1. It requires all members of the Fire Department as defined in Section 162 of the Charter to contribute 4 per cent of their salaries to the Retirement System. Members who were in the service prior to January 8, 1932 (called old firemen hereafter) now contribute nothing. Members entering the service after January 8, 1932 (called new firemen hereafter), now contribute an average of 4.45 per cent of their salaries.

2. It provides that all members of the Fire Department shall be eligible to the benefits provided in Section 169. Only old firemen have been eligible to such benefits heretofore. Members entering the service after January 8, 1932, have been eligible to the less liberal benefits provided in other sections of the Charter and in Ordinance No. 9134. Only the principal change in benefits will be considered here, namely, the liberalization of service retirement by the continuation to the widow, and children under 16, of pensions in case of death after retirement for service.

Effect of Contribution Changes.

Contributions by old firemen of 4 per cent of their salaries will mean an immediate receipt by the Retirement System of approximately $112,000 more from that group than under the present Charter provisions. For example, if this contribution had been in effect for the current fiscal year, the City and County's contribution for the year's service would have been approximately $438,000 instead of $550,000 on account of the 1143 old firemen. This amount to be received from old firemen will decrease about $5,000 each year as old firemen are replaced by new, through death, retirement or otherwise.

It should be remembered that new firemen now contribute at an average rate of 4.45 per cent. The proposed amendment reduces this rate to 4 per cent. The difference of forty-five one-hundredths of 1 per cent, which would be added to the City's contribution, is tied up with the change of benefits for new firemen and will be included in the estimates under that heading.

Effect of Benefit Changes.

The more liberal service retirement benefits for new firemen increase the total retirement contributions required from all sources for the average new fireman from 8.90 per cent to approximately 13 per cent of his salary. Since at present the contribution for new firemen is divided equally between the City and the member, that is, 4.45 per cent from each, and since the new firemen will contribute only 4 per cent under the proposed amendment, the City and County's contribution is increased from 4.45 per cent to approximately 9 per cent, including the forty-five one-hundredths of 1 per cent, referred to in the preceding

paragraph. These percentages are based on the average individual who enters the service at age 29 and retires at age 57, after 28 years' service.

At present there are fifty new firemen in the service, drawing approximately $100,000 in salaries per year. At the 4.50 per cent additional rate, therefore, the Charter amendment would require from the City an additional contribution next year of approximately $4500. This would decrease the actual benefit to the City under the proposed amendment, during the first year, from $112,000 to about $108,000. Each year in the future, however, old firemen who now contribute nothing, and whose proposed contribution of 4 per cent is a gain to the City, will be replaced by new firemen, for whom, as the Charter now stands, the City would contribute an average of 4.45 per cent, but whose inclusion under the Charter amendment at more liberal benefits, requires an additional contribution from the City of about 4.50 per cent of their salaries.

Although it is difficult to forecast the rate at which old firemen will be replaced by new, an inspection of the status in the department at July 1, 1931, shows that at that time approximately 48 per cent of the members of the department had been in the service ten years or less. If this rate of replacement continues, the members of the Department ten years from the present time will be divided approximately equally between old and new firemen.

In about ten years, therefore, the City would be receiving no benefit under the proposed Charter amendment, because the 4 per cent from the old firemen would be offset by the additional 4.50 per cent required from the City for new firemen. After the ten years, replacement of the old firemen will proceed even more rapidly, being practically completed at the end of twenty-five years. The excess of the additional 4.50 per cent over the 4 per cent from the remaining old firemen, having increased each year, will then be costing the City, if the present payroll is not increased, about $130,000 each year more than the cost under present Charter provisions. This annual additional cost would continue as long as the amendment remained in force.

The case may be stated in another way. The City will be required by the amendment to contribute 4.50 per cent of the salary of every new fireman in addition to the contribution required under the present Charter. Every time an old firemen is replaced by a new, therefore, the $108,000 net advantage to the City at the beginning is decreased by this additional 4.50 per cent plus the 4 per cent no longer received from the replaced old firemen, a total of 9.50 per cent. This percentage applied to the average salary gives a decrease of over $200 per year for each replacement. Fifty new firemen have been appointed in the last year. If this rate of replacement continues, the City's advantage under the new arrangement will decrease at the rate of about 50 multiplied by $200, or $10,000 per year.

There is still another way to illustrate the effect in the change of benefits. Based on actual experience for the ten years ending June 30, 1931, the average value of the allowance granted to each member of the Fire Department upon retirement for service was approximately $18,000. This, of course, included the continuation of the pension to the widow and children. The average value of the allowance provided for new firemen at age 57 upon retirement for service is approximately $13,000. This benefit does not include the payment of a pension to widows and children. The proposed Charter amendment, therefore, increases the value of the service retirement allowance by approximately $5,000 for each individual.

The principal financial effects of the proposed amendments may be summarized, therefore, as follows:

(a) The amount received from the 4 per cent contribution by old firemen will start at $112,000 per year and decrease each year by approximately $5,000.

(b) The extra cost to the City on account of increased benefits to new firemen will start at approximately $4,500 per year and increase about $5,000 each year thereafter.

(c) The annual amount received from old firemen and the annual additional contribution required from the City on account of new firemen will offset each other in about the tenth year.

(d) After the tenth year the additional contribution will exceed the amount received from old firemen, this excess increasing to approximately $130,000 in the twenty-fifth year. Thereafter, on the basis of the present payrolls, the net additional cost to the City under the proposed amendment will continue at about $130,000 per year.

No attempt has been made here to determine the effect of the provision that changes in salaries in the future shall not change pensions outstanding. Neither has any attempt been made to determine the effect of permitting new members of the Fire Department to retire regardless of age, after twenty-five years' service, or the effect of providing a pension to widows and children of new firemen who die after qualification for retirement. These last two items would tend to increase the cost to the City under the proposed amendment. I believe, however, that the statements in the foregoing paragraphs will enable you to understand the general financial effect of the proposed amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

RALPH R. NELSON,
Secretary-Actuary.

Discussion.

Fire Department Pensions.

Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher said he had another Charter amendment dealing with the Fire Marshal's office, but would not submit it until some time later.

James Radford, retired Fire Chief, was granted the privilege of the floor. He urged submission of the measure to the people. He said new firemen were not justly treated as to pensions in the new Charter.

Under the proposal old firemen who contribute nothing toward their pension would give 4 per cent of their salary, as would the new men, and both groups would be entitled to the liberal pension provisions provided for those who were in the department before the new Charter became law.

Ralph R. Nelson, secretary of the Retirement System, said the proposal would save the city $108,000 the first year it was in effect.

This saving would decrease annually, and at the end of 10 years there would be none. After that there would be a gradual increase in the cost to the city. At the end of 25 years the new setup would cost the City $115,000 a year more than the pension arrangement authorized by the Charter.

Captain Fred Ireland was granted the privilege of the floor and said: "There is a saving for 10 years even under Mr. Nelson's figures, and I'm sure the members of the Fire Department would agree to a change if after 10 years the City found that our proposal cost more money."

William Nanry, Municipal Research Bureau, was also heard on the pending matter.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, upon motion, Mr. Nelson was requested to make a further study and submit a more detailed report at next Monday's meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto,

to be known as section 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the — day of March, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated section 70.1, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies.

Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $140 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $140 per month and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month, and do not

exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Sub. 3. Should any such emergency declared as herein provided be anticipated to continue into the next fiscal year following the one during which said emergency has been declared, the heads of all departments, the Controller and the Mayor, in preparing or submitting their respective annual budget estimates, shall base and estimate the net salaries and compensations to be paid to the officers and employees of their respective departments, or, in the case of the Mayor and the Controller, to be paid to the officers and employees of all departments, at amounts not to exceed the said salaries and compensations as reduced by the above-mentioned percentages on the above-mentioned salary and compensation rates, and the annual appropriation and salary ordinance shall fix said net salaries and compensations accordingly. When any emergency is declared after the annual budget is prepared or adopted, or after the annual appropriation or salary ordinances are enacted, and before the annual tax rate is fixed as provided by law, said budget and said appropriation and salary ordinances may be revised or reenacted, so that the deductions herein authorized to be made may be reflected in the amount of the tax levy.

Sub. 4. All of such deductions, whether made after the passing of the annual budget appropriation and salary ordinance or included therein, shall be deemed as temporary deductions from the salaries and compensations of said officers and employees, and shall be continued only during the anticipated period for which said emergency has been declared.

Sub. 5. In making the deductions herein provided for, the value of board, room and laundry or other maintenance furnished by the City and County to any officer or employee, when the same is made a part of his compensation by the Civil Service Commission, shall be added to the monetary salary or compensation paid to said employee, and the amount of deductions from said salary or compensation shall be based on said monetary salary plus the value of said board, room and laundry or other maintenance, provided that no deduction shall be made for quarters furnished to any officer or member of the Fire Department.

Sub. 6. During the period that any emergency shall exist after being so determined as hereinbefore provided, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, may reallocate any unencumbered balance, or any part thereof, to the credit of any department or office exclusive of moneys or appropriations

made or required to be made to any bond, bond interest, bond redemption, pension, utility, or trust fund, so that the same shall be available to meet the necessities of said emergency, irrespective as to whether the amount allocated to said department or office is fixed by this Charter or is the result of a tax provided by said Charter to be levied for said department. Should the period during which said emergency is anticipated to exist extend beyond the end of the fiscal year in which the same was declared to exist, the Mayor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, may reduce the amount of any mandatory appropriation provided to be allocated to any office or department; or may reduce the amount of any tax provided by the Charter to be levied for the support or maintenance of any department or office. Provided that no such deduction in appropriation, provided by this Charter to be made to any department, or in the reallocation of funds, or reduction in the amount of said tax otherwise provided to be levied to produce funds for any department, shall be greater than is necessary to reflect the deductions in salaries provided in this section to be made by reason of said emergency.

The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said Retirement System, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section.

Communication From Mayor.

The following communication was then read by the Clerk:

February 2, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Due to extraordinary economic conditions, I find it necessary to anticipate curtailments which must apply for the remaining months of this fiscal year as well as the fiscal year 1933-1934.

As a justification of my position, I offer advice from our Assessor that the assessment roll will be materially reduced, also increased commitments will have to be allowed to the Health and Public Welfare Departments, decrease in revenue from all sources must be anticipated, budgetary allocation for unemployment relief and increased allowance for bond interest and redemption must be provided.

Economies will have to be made in every possible direction. In order to present to your honorable Board a budget for the next fiscal year with curtailments properly distributed, economies to the extent of $3,000,000 in the personnel service allocation must obtain. This can only be accomplished through a Charter amendment.

Realizing the importance and the urgency for this action, I invited to confer with me representatives of the several municipal employees' groups, representatives of sixteen leading civic organizations, representatives of labor, and the members of your honorable Board. As a result of many conferences, at which all groups enjoyed the privilege of free expression, I determined the enclosed proposal, if presented to the electorate and adopted, would permit, during the period of emergency, the salary reductions above referred to.

Much discussion centered about the percentage of the deduction, some reasoning that a wider and more gradual range should apply. I am, therefore, handing to you such data as I have at hand in this connection for your consideration.

The enclosed amendment I offer with my commendation and trust that you will give it your immediate and favorable consideration, and thereby cause a special election to be held for its submission to the electorate at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Discussion.

His Honor Mayor Rossi in presenting his proposed Charter amendment explained that a $3,000,000 saving in salaries would have to be made next year to help relieve the taxpayers, raise funds for unemployment relief and care for the growing needs of the City without raising the tax rate.

His amendment, he said, would give the Supervisors the authority to put into effect a percentage pay cut during an emergency period by a three-fourths vote of all the members with the Mayor concurring. If conditions warranted it in 1934 the Supervisors and Mayor by unanimous vote could further reduce salaries to a maximum of 25 per cent.

Though the measure included all employees, including school teachers, it provided that workers paid by the day who had been reduced to a five-day week would be cut only 5 per cent.

The Mayor urged adoption of his amendment, pointing out that if a special election was called immediately and the measure approved by the people and ratified by the Legislature, it might possibly be applicable for three months of the current fiscal year, which ends July 1.

In this way $750,000 could be raised to meet a possible deficit this year.

To meet the present emergency the Mayor suggested the following percentage reductions in his amendment:

Salary per month	Reduction per cent
$100 to $120	3
$121 to $140	7
$141 to $185	10
$186 to $275	12½
$276 to $600	15
$601 to $834	18
$834 and over	20

This setup, the Mayor said, would yield only $2,750,000 and $139,000 more from Hetch Hetchy workers, although $3,000,000 was needed.

"My amendment will protect the basic pay of the City's workers," said the Mayor, "and at the same time provide relief for the taxpayers during these trying times."

Another amendment providing reductions from 7 to 15 per cent was also submitted by the Mayor, who said it had been agreed to by

employees during conferences in his office. "But I'm not recommending it."

Harry Ross, Deputy Controller, in reply to queries by Supervisors Shannon and Peyser, was heard in part as follows:

"If the City lived up to its budget until July 1, there would be a $2,000,000 deficit because of tax delinquencies. But under the new Charter there must be economies during the next six months if the money does not come in because the Charter prohibits a deficit. Therefore we will break even."

Mr. Ross also indicated there would be an eventual surplus of $1,500,000 which would go into a reserve fund as the money came in.

Supervisor Peyser then asked for figures to support the Mayor's contention that a $3,000,000 saving from wages was necessary for next year and Mr. Ross promised he would bring them in for the evening session.

Conference of Taxpayers and Civic Organizations for Consideration of Proposed Retrenchment Charter Amendments.

Thereupon the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., February 2, 1933.

Hon. Angelo J .Rossi and the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Representatives of sixteen taxpayers' and civic organizations have held a number of meetings at the request of the Mayor to consider the provisions of a proposed Charter amendment to provide for retrenchment. Of those present, representatives from the following organizations that have participated have acted with the authority of their organizations:

Building Owners' and Managers' Association, Bureau of Governmental Research, Chamber of Commerce, Citizens' City Budget Committee, Junior Chamber of Commerce, New Charter Citizens' Committee, Property Owners' Division of the Real Estate Board, San Francisco Real Estate Board and Taxpayers' Retrenchment League.

The proposed amendment to the San Francisco Charter, reported to have been agreed upon Saturday, January 28, by representatives of City officials and employees' organizations, and estimated as involving a maximum wage reduction for the purpose of tax retrenchment of $2,650,000, has been carefully considered.

After such consideration, it is the unanimous opinion of all the representatives of civic and taxpayers' organizations present that the Mayor's proposal—that reductions be made totaling $3,000,000 instead of $2,650,000 applicable to tax retrenchment—be approved. It is also the unanimous opinion of all of said representatives that the proposed Charter amendment, even though amended to produce $3,000,000, is still inadequate to meet the financial emergency with which San Francisco is confronted, in that it fails to authorize the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, by three-fourths vote of all members, to increase the percentages and scope of the reductions beyond the percentage rate of reductions fixed by the proposed amendment, in case such further reduction should be required by extraordinary necessities growing out of the emergency.

Preliminary budget estimate figures that have been compiled and considered by our committee indicate that the amount of retrenchment required in the budget for the next fiscal year will exceed $10,000,000. A summary of these estimates has been discussed at meetings in the Mayor's office on the pay reduction proposal. Copy of such summary is attached hereto. To meet this situation in whole or in large part, it is our opinion that heavy reductions in payroll expense are inevitable.

The members of this committee make no argument that the entire burden of retrenchment should fall upon City employees' salaries, but it is apparent to any one in touch with general and local business conditions that additional financing cannot be expected from the tax-

payers of San Francisco, whose incomes have been far more seriously cut than any City employee's income would be by any proposed Charter amendment.

The opinion of members of this committee that the proposed amendment is inadequate and the recommendation of the committee that the amendment should authorize the Mayor and the Supervisors to make additional reductions, if necessary, is based on our conviction that this authorization is required in order to prevent the number of unemployed in San Francisco from being increased by mandatory lay-offs and discharges of City employees, which is apparently the only alternative if further reduction becomes necessary and if the Mayor and the Supervisors are not empowered to spread such further reduction intelligently over the entire City payroll.

Very truly yours,

BRUCE CORNWALL,
Acting Chairman of Conference.

SAN FRANCISCO BUREAU OF GOVERNMENTAL RESEARCH.

City and County of San Francisco.

SUMMARY OF 1933-34 PRELIMINARY BUDGET ESTIMATES.

January 23, 1933.

I. Comparative Appropriations.

	1931-32 Appropriation	1932-33 Appropriation	1933-34 Preliminary Estimate (Exclusive of Pending Retrenchment Proposals)
TOTALS	$71,479,856	$64,279,363	$58,233,000
Deduct			
Water surplus to general fund and re-appropriated	—273,000	—715,000	—532,000
Vacated positions, not to be filled	— 75,000	— 50,000	— 50,000
Net appropriation	71,131,856	63,514,363	57,651,000
Deduct bond funds	18,606,191	12,166,700	(x)
Total appropriation from current revenues	52,525,665	51,347,663	57,651,000
Increase, preliminary estimate, 1933-34 over 1932-33 appropriation			6,303,337

II. Classification of Preliminary Estimates of 1933-34 *Expenditures.*

Salaries and wages	$25,015,000
Materials, supplies, equipment and contractual services	7,644,000
Structures and land—non-contract	934,000
Emergency reserve	300,000
Sub-total, subject to reduction	$33,893,000
Structures and land—contract	$ 150,000*
Bond interest and redemption	12,299,000**
Other fixed charges	2,934,000
Statutory aid	1,375,000
Unemployment relief	7,000,000
Subtotal, considered irreducible in whole or in large part	$23,758,000
Total, preliminary estimate of 1933-34 requirements	$57,651,000

* Exclusive of Joint Highway District.
** Exclusive of Hetch Hetchy Construction Interest met by bonds.

III. Preliminary Estimate of Amount of Required Retrenchment, Increased Taxation, or Additional Financing.

			Estimated Amount of Retrenchment, Increased Taxation or of Financing
1. Total expenditures, exclusive of bond funds			
1932-33 appropriations	$51,347,663		
1933-34 preliminary estimate	57,651,000		
1933-34 estimate of increased expenditures	$ 6,303,337	use	$ 6,303,000
2. Revenue other than taxes			
1932-33 revenue appropriations	$19,166,000		
1933-34 revenues, preliminary estimate	17,975,000		
1933-34 estimate of decreased revenues			$ 1,191,000
3. Estimated Necessary Retrenchment, Additional Financing or both			$ 7,494,000
4. Effect of Decreased Assessed Valuation			
Loss of revenue if tax-rate not increased			
a) On $75,000,000 (10%) decrease in assessment roll.	$2,970,000		
Alternatives			
b) On $100,000,000 (13%) decrease in assessment roll.	3,960,000		

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 6:30 p. m. took a recess until 8:30 p. m. this evening.

REASSEMBLED.

The Committee of the Whole reassembled at 8:30 p. m.

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Discussion.

The discussion on Mayor Rossi's proposed Charter amendment continued.

Supervisor Gallagher asked if the Mayor considered the possibility of asking the employees to work twelve months for eleven months' pay, citing figures which he claimed showed that such an arrangement would produce a saving of $3,200,000.

Mr. Ross, representing the Controller, pointed out that the whole payroll is less than $26,000,000. One-twelfth of that would be slightly over $2,000,000.

Cameron King, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees, spoke in part as follows:

"I represent 13,000 City employees who are against this wage cut, as well as 60,000 unemployed and 10,000 small merchants who are with us.

"The City employees on their own initiative have been contributing $1,500,000 a year voluntarily for the relief of the unemployed and are willing to continue to do that much, and more, if necessary.

"The Mayor has argued this $3,000,000 wage cut is needed for unemployment relief. How can this money relieve the unemployed if it is used to keep down the tax rate? Who would benefit under this measure? Not the small home owners, who pay 10 per cent of the taxes. This is a scheme to help the big taxpayers, 10 per cent of whom pay 90 per cent of the taxes. And let me tell you these big taxpayers,

most of whom live in London, Paris, Los Angeles and Burlingame, are not so terribly distressed."

John A. O'Connell, secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, argued that the suggested wage cut was part of a scheme of big employers under which they hoped to further reduce wages in private employments.

Thomas O'Connor spoke for the city asphalt workers. He also opposed reduction.

Sidney Hester, secretary of the Department of Public Works, was heard. Hester said the employees' executive relief committee favored reductions of 7, 11, 12 and 15 per cent.

"Our merchants will not support larger reductions," said Mr. Hester, "because a greater percentage cut would impair the purchasing power of 12,000 men and women."

Mr. Adolph Uhl was again heard on the pending question.

E. Haylan, representing Electrical Workers No. 151, and F. E. Johannson, of Engineers' Local No. 59, were also heard.

Henry Warfield, representing the United Knights of Civilization, urged the use of scrip instead of money, to relieve the situation.

Harry Hook and M. Thorp, representing the machinists; Ed Vandeleur, representing the municipal car men; Pierre Flaherty and M. McMillan, representing the per diem men; Manuel Jacobs, president of the Federation of Municipal Employees; William Varley, representing the Southern Civic Clubs, and Annie Scanlon, of the Women's Civic Center, were also heard in opposition to the proposed reductions.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole took a recess until tomorrow at 2 p. m.

Supervisor Peyser requested to be excused, as he would be unable to attend.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1933, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.
Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:55 p. m.
Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:55 p. m.
Supervisor Peyser appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.
Supervisor Miles appeared and was noted present at 4 p. m.

Discussion.

Harry Ross, representing the Controller's office; Harold J. Boyd, executive deputy, representing the Assessor; William Nanry, representing the Municipal Research Bureau, and George Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, were heard at length on the pending matter.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, thereupon moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report favorably the Mayor's proposed Charter Amendment making certain salary deductions.

Supervisor Havenner moved that further consideration of the motion of Supervisor Colman be postponed until the City Attorney can prepare legislation suggested by Supervisor Havenner.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, a recess was taken until 10 a. m., Monday, February 6, 1933, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—6.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1933, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 6, 1933, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met as a Committee of the Whole pursuant to recess from meeting of February 3, 1933.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Gallagher was reported absent on account of illness.

Supervisors Power and Roncovieri appeared and were noted present at 11 a. m.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 11:15 a. m.

SPECIAL ORDER—10 A. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Mayor's Proposed Charter Amendment for Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as section 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the 4th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated section 70.1, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies.

Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which

adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $140 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $140 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20)

per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Sub. 3. Should any such emergency declared as herein provided be anticipated to continue into the next fiscal year following the one during which said emergency has been declared, the heads of all departments, the Controller and the Mayor, in preparing or submitting their respective annual budget estimates, shall base and estimate the net salaries and compensations to be paid to the officers and employees of their respective departments, or, in the case of the Mayor and the Controller, to be paid to the officers and employees of all departments, at amounts not to exceed the said salaries and compensations as reduced by the above-mentioned percentages on the above-mentioned salary and compensation rates, and the annual appropriation and salary ordinance shall fix said net salaries and compensations accordingly. When any emergency is declared after the annual budget is prepared or adopted, or after the annual appropriation or salary ordinances are enacted, and before the annual tax rate is fixed as provided by law, said budget and said appropriation and salary ordinances may be revised or reenacted, so that the deductions herein authorized to be made may be reflected in the amount of the tax levy.

Sub. 4. All of such deductions, whether made after the passing of the annual budget appropriation and salary ordinance or included therein, shall be deemed as temporary deductions from the salaries and compensations of said officers and employees, and shall be continued only during the anticipated period for which said emergency has been declared.

Sub. 5. In making the deductions herein provided for, the value of board, room and laundry or other maintenance furnished by the City and County to any officer or employee, when the same is made a part of his compensation by the Civil Service Commission, shall be added to the monetary salary or compensation paid to said employee, and the amount of deductions from said salary or compensation shall be based on said monetary salary plus the value of said board, room and laundry or other maintenance, provided that no deduction shall be made for quarters furnished to any officer or member of the Fire Department.

Sub. 6. During the period that any emergency shall exist after being so determined as hereinbefore provided, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, may reallocate any unencumbered balance, or any part thereof, to the credit of any department or office exclusive of moneys or appropriations made or required to be made to any bond, bond interest, bond redemption, pension, utility, or trust fund, so that the same shall be available to meet the necessities of said emergency, irrespective as to whether the amount allocated to said department or office is fixed by this Charter or is the result of a tax provided by said Charter to be levied for said department. Should the period during which said emergency is anticipated to exist extend beyond the end of the fiscal year in which the same was declared to exist, the Mayor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, may reduce the amount of any

mandatory appropriation provided to be allocated to any office or department; or may reduce the amount of any tax provided by the Charter to be levied for the support or maintenance of any department or office. Provided that no such deduction in appropriation, provided by this Charter to be made to any department, or in the reallocation of funds, or reduction in the amount of said tax otherwise provided to be levied to produce funds for any department, shall be greater than is necessary to reflect the deductions in salaries provided in this section to be made by reason of said emergency.

The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said Retirement System, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section.

Privilege of the Floor.

Cameron King, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees, made an offer on behalf of municipal employees to continue and increase up to $2,400,000 its voluntary donation to unemployment relief in lieu of the proposed Charter Amendment on salary deductions submitted by his Honor the Mayor. He questioned the legality of putting into effect the proposed salary cuts if same carried in the election. He claimed that the Charter Amendment could not be ratified by the Legislature before 1934, in which event he declared the City would waste $45,000 by the election and, as well, lose the voluntary contributions of the employees.

Mr. Ross, representing the Controller; George Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, and Hon. Angelo J. Rossi were heard in reply.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment to the last paragraph that the words "which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934" be stricken out.

Mr. Vandeleur, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, opposed Supervisor Colman's amendment and urged that the entire last paragraph be stricken out.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole, at 12:30 p. m., took a recess until the afternoon session of the Board of Supervisors.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 6, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Gallagher excused on account of illness.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Motion for Reconsideration.

Supervisor Gallagher, at the meeting of January 23, moved for reconsideration of a vote whereby the following bill was refused passage, to-wit:

Regulation of Vending Machines.
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 171, Ordinance No. 3.04113, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits and imposing a fee for inspection and regulation on persons, firms or corporations owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement, or for vending service or merchandise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of inspection and regulation, every person, firm or corporation owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement or for vending service or merchandise, set in motion by the deposit of a coin or slug in any receptacle attached or affixed to such machine, shall make application to the Chief of Police for a permit to operate said machine or machines; provided that nothing herein shall apply to any appliances prohibited by section 330a of the Penal Code of the State of California. The applicant shall be of good character, and shall submit a description of the type of machine to be operated at the time of making such application and shall pay the filing fee provided herein.

Section 2. Permits provided for herein shall be issued for one year, and may be renewed by the Chief of Police on application and the payment of the filing fee, provided permits issued in accordance with provisions of subdivisions (d), (e), (f) and (g) may be renewed by the permittee on the payment of the licenses provided for herein unless revoked by the Chief of Police. Permits granted for the operation of

the aforementioned machines shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permits upon the payment of the fee hereinafter set forth; provided, that a permittee operating two or more types of machines as herein described shall be subject to the payment of one filing fee only, and said filing fee shall be the highest filing fee applicable to the types of machines operated.

(a) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $200.
$2.50 per quarter, per machine.

(b) Machines operated for skill or amusement and vending candy or merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent.
Filing fee, $200.
$2.50 per annum, per machine.

(c) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $40.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(d) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(e) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
15 cents per annum, per machine.

(f) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(g) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
20 cents per annum, per machine.

Section 3. The Tax Collector shall issue to each permittee a receipt for such machine for which a fee is paid, and said receipt shall be placed on the machine so as to be visible to the public at all times.

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation authorized to operate machines under the provisions of this ordinance shall forward to the Chief of Police, bi-monthly, a report showing the addresses of premises wherein any of said machines have been installed, or removed; the type of said machine installed or removed, and the date of such installation or removal.

Section 5. The Chief of Police shall regulate the distribution of the machines hereinbefore mentioned; provided that machines in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of Section 2 shall not be permitted to operate within 1000 feet of the boundaries of any school.

Section 6. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police, provided, however, that any permittee whose permit is revoked shall have the right of appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to machines used in any business which is taxed under the provisions of Section 14 of Article XIII of the State Constitution.

Section 8. Machines operated in amusement parks and penny arcades and subject to the payment of fees for the conduct of such places shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. Machines vending sanitary napkins or installed or maintained in accordance with the regulations or orders of the Industrial

Welfare Commission of the State of California, shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation shall, at the time of applying for a permit for the operation of said machine, present and file with the Tax Collector a written affidavit, properly acknowledged, setting forth that said person, firm or corporation is the sole owner or distributor of the machines for which a permit is asked and has the sole control of the distribution of said machines, and that no other person, firm or corporation has any interest in or any control over the placement of the machines for which the applicant asked the permit.

Section 11. No permit under this ordinance shall be assigned or transferred without the authorization of the Chief of Police and the payment of the fee required of new applicants.

Section 12. The payment or collection of any fee or charge for the inspection, maintenance or operation of any coin-in-the-slot machine herein mentioned shall not be considered as a license or permission to maintain any so-called coin-in-the-slot machine the use of which is prohibited by law or ordinance, or to use, maintain or operate any of the coin-in-the-slot machines herein mentioned so that such use, operation or maintenance of such machine shall constitute a violation of any law or ordinance.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

EXPLANATORY.

This ordinance provides for the necessary regulation of coin-in-the-slot machines by the Police and Health Departments and the inspection by said departments of each type of machine before it is licensed for operation. Similar legislation has been adopted by many cities in the United States.

The Committee has had this ordinance under consideration for eight (8) months, and has held approximately eighteen (18) meetings. With the exception of one or two objectors who contended they could not pay any fee for inspection, no substantial opposition was presented to the committee.

The annual fees anticipated from the enforcement of this ordinance will total approximately $25,000, plus the filing fee required of each permittee.

Heretofore operators have placed these machines throughout the City without regard to legality or location. It is intended that police supervision of distribution and inspection will eliminate undesirable features of this business and likewise justify the fees established in accordance with the general authority of Section 24 of the charter.

The competition offered by the vending machine to the merchants doing business at a fixed place was given serious consideration by the committee and the rates fixed are presumed to be commensurate with the regulation intended.

The business of operating skill and amusement machines requires constant supervision by the Police Department because of its similarity to games of chance and the illegally designed machine.

This matter was first presented to the Committee by a representative of the Retail Grocers Association which desired legislation regulating a machine vending groceries which is now in operation in many large cities.

There are approximately 100 *operators and distributors owning or controlling* 12,000 *machines in this City and County.*

Refused Passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—6.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally* passed by the following vote:

Providing for the Trading of Easement of the City and County for Easement Over Land of Thomas P. McInerney, and Repealing Bill No. 201, Same Subject-Matter.

(Code No. 15.0243)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 231, Ordinance No. 15.02432, as follows:

Providing for trading a certain easement of the City and County of San Francisco for a certain easement over land of Thomas P. McInerney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the San Francisco Water Department and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property, hereinafter described as Parcel 1, to Thomas P. McInerney in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel A, which parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: An easement for water pipes and a sewer along a strip of land 6 feet wide, 3 feet each side of that portion of the line common to Lots 11 and 12, Block 2889, as said lots are shown on the recorded map of Laguna Honda Park Subdivision, extending northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard to a point distant 6 feet southwesterly along said lot line from the most northerly corner of said Lot 11.

Parcel A: An easement for water pipe lines along the southeasterly 3 feet of that certain tract of land conveyed to Thomas P. McInerney by deed dated June 8, 1927, and recorded June 13, 1927, in Book 1487, at page 327, Official Records of San Francisco, which 3-foot strip of land extends from the northeasterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard to the northeasterly line of Lot 11, Block 2889, as said lot is shown on the recorded map of Laguna Honda Park Subdivision.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said Parcel 1 to Thomas P. McInerney. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Thomas P. McInerney upon receipt of a deed to said Parcel A.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 15.02431, Bill No. 201, approved November 22, 1932, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 70 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Education) re Janitors by Adding Two Each to Items 198 and 199½ and Reduction of Four in Item 200.
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 233, Ordinance No. 9.05330, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 70 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the number of positions under Item 199½ thereof from 16 to 18 and decreasing the number of positions under Item 200 thereof from 141 to 137 and adding two positions to Item 198.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 70 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 70. **BOARD OF EDUCATION, NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
150	1		Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	$ 75
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenters at $9 per day.....	
153	1	A156	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
154	3	A354	Painters at $9 per day.....	
155	7	B4	Bookkeepers	175
156	1	B4	Bookkeeper	150
157	1	B4	Bookkeeper (Part Time).....	87.50
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	375
160½	1	B60	Business Manager, Board of Education.	450
161	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
167	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	1	B308	Comptometer Operator at $5 per day...	
171	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operators at $5 per day	
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	215
174	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	150
178	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographers	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	82.50

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	80
184	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	67.50
185	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	65
185½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	52
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographers, $5 per day	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, $2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	175
194	3	B512	General Clerk-Typists	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	150
196	2	B512	General Clerk-Typists	140
197	1	C102	Janitress	165
198	111	C102	Janitresses	140
199	1	C102	Janitress	95
199½	18	C105	Special Janitors	162.50
200	137	C104	Janitors	155
201	6	C104	Janitors	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time)	16
203		C104	Janitors at $2.50 per evening	
204	11	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitors	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitors	275
206	1	G302	Employment Clerk	215
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	75
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time)	50
211	14	J78	Stockman	200
212	1	J80	Foreman Stockman	265
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O-102	Moving Picture Operator (Projectionist)	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Workers	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, $4 per evening	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
219	1	L364	Pediatrician (Part Time)	75
220	1	O60	Head Gardener, $8 per day	
221	1	O58	Gardener, $6 per day	
222	1	O58	Gardener, $5.50 per day	
223	7	O58	Gardeners, $5 per day	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224	1	2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225	1	1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226	1	3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227	1	1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 36 of Annual Salary Ordinance by Including Item 7½, Exposition Auditorium, One Electrician, $237.50.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 234, Ordinance No. 9.05331, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 7½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 36. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	A158	Carpenter ..... $	225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium.....	250
3		C101	Dressing-Room Maid (part time) at $3 per day	
4	4	C104	Janitor	155
5	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
6	2	C152	Watchman	180
7		C152	Watchman, Relief (as needed).....	155
7½	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
8	1	J64	Chair Repairer at $6.50 per day	
9	1	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
9½			Organ Repairer (part time).....	75

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Providing for Exchange of Lands of City and County for Lands Owned by Loretta C. White, and Payment of $7,331 Out of 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Bill No. 232, Ordinance No. 12.17412, as follows:

Providing for trading certain land of the City and County of San Francisco for certain land of Loretta C. White, required for widening Army street at Potrero avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading that certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Loretta C. White in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel 1, required for widening Army street at Potrero avenue, subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter mentioned. Said parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, distant northwesterly thereon 172.54 feet from the northwesterly line of Peralta avenue; thence northwesterly along said line of Holladay avenue 49.79 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 145 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 15 degrees 47 minutes 03 seconds, a distance of 25.12 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the

preceding curve, radius 611.84 feet, central angle 2 degrees 43 minutes 46 seconds, a distance of 29.15 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 20 minutes 59 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southwesterly, perpendicular to the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue, 21.14 feet to the aforesaid line of Holladay avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Army street, distant northeasterly thereon 190 feet from the northeasterly line of Hampshire street; thence continuing northeasterly along said line of Army street 55.783 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1200 feet, central angle four degrees 26 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 92.947 feet; thence easterly and southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 25 feet, central angle 74 degrees 01 minute 02 seconds, a distance of 32.362 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 611.84 feet, central angle 3 degrees 36 minutes 22 seconds, a distance of 38.508 feet to the southwesterly line of Holladay avenue; thence deflecting 15 degrees 00 minutes 03 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said line of Holladay avenue 14.589 feet; thence westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 145 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 55 degrees 35 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 88.465 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of Army street produced northwesterly; thence southwesterly along said parallel line, 104.779 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 36 feet to the southeasterly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 239, 240 and 241, Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The terms and conditions hereinbefore referred to are as follows:

As a further consideration the sum of $7,331 shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco to Loretta C. White from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund. This sum shall include damages in full for moving the gasoline service station of Standard Stations, Inc., from said Parcel 1; relocation of the building adjacent to the corner, and grading of the rear portions of the corner lot and the lot adjoining the corner.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Loretta C. White. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Loretta C. White upon receipt of a deed to said Parcel 1 from Loretta C. White, and to record said deed from Loretta C. White.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect ten days after final passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease the San Francisco County Jail Site in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 236, Ordinance No. 12.17391, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease the San Francisco County Jail site in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease to the highest bidder or bidders a portion or portions of that certain 244-acre tract of land in San Mateo County, California, described in deed dated October 20, 1932, and recorded October 20, 1932, in Book 580, at page 67, Official Records of San Mateo County, excepting land required for construction of buildings and for other purposes.

Section 2. The Director of Property, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized to execute such lease or leases.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Confirming Sale of Parcel 27, on Roosevelt Way, to Amanda Swanson.

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 608, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on January 7, 1933, for the sale of certain City-owned lands, including a portion of Lot 52, in Assessor's Block 2612, San Francisco, California, described as Parcel 27 in said ordinance, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Roosevelt way and a line parallel with and distant 115.00 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Fourteenth street, said point of commencement being on the northerly line of the property designated Parcel 4, conveyed by Nellie M. Holstead et al. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Volume 372, page 98, Official Records of said City and County, and running thence westerly, along said northerly line, 31.948 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Sinnott; thence at right angles southerly, along said easterly line extended southerly, 29.293 feet to said northwesterly line of Roosevelt way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line, being the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 120 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 100.00 feet, central angle 25 degrees 02 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 43.691 feet to the above-mentioned parallel line and the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Amanda Swanson to purchase said parcel for the sum of $250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Amanda Swanson has deposited the sum of $50 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $250; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of $250; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Amanda Swanson. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain School Land on Valencia Street in Accordance With Section 93 of the Charter.

(Code No. 12.1732)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 237, Ordinance No. 12.17322, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain school land on Valencia street in accordance with Section 93 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the report of the Board of Education that the hereinafter described land is not required for the purposes of the department, the Board of Supervisors hereby authorize the Director of Property to arrange for leasing the following described land, on a month to month basis, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental:

The old Horace Mann School lot, on the east side of Valencia street, 55 feet south of Twenty-second street, frontage 186.5 feet and approximately 150 feet in depth, situated in San Francisco, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Arrange for Leasing Certain Land on the East Side of Potrero Avenue Between Twenty-fifth and Andrew Streets.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Bill No. 238, Ordinance No. 12.17392, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to arrange for leasing certain land on the east side of Potrero avenue between Twenty-fifth and Andrew streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing four 15-foot strips of land for driveway purposes across that certain City-owned 30-foot parcel of land on the east side of Potrero avenue between Twenty-fifth and Andrew streets, San Francisco, California.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Swill Ordinance.
(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 208, Ordinance No. 3.04116, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits by the Director of Health and imposing a fee upon persons, firms or corporations collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for the violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The term swill, when used hereinafter, shall be applied to and be construed to mean waste food products from hotels, restaurants, hospitals and institutions or food dealers, which waste is kept separate from non-food waste.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of collecting swill or hauling swill through any street or public place in the City and County of San Francisco shall obtain a permit from the Director of Health to so do, and no person, firm or corporation shall engage in the business of collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco, or haul the same through any street or public place therein without first having obtained such permit.

Section 3. The Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall have power to establish rules and regulations governing the collection and disposal of swill in accordance with the United States or State laws or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco. The permit granted to any person, firm or corporation to collect or haul swill pursuant to this ordinance may be revoked for the violation of any rule or regulation so established by the Director of Health.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall not grant or refuse to grant, or revoke any permit under this ordinance except after a full hearing, publicly had on the matter of granting, refusing to grant or revoking such permit, at which the applicant or permittee may appear in person or by counsel, and all competent evidence offered in support of the application to grant or revoke said permit shall be heard by said Director of Health. In the granting or refusing to grant, revoking or refusing to revoke any permit hereunder the Director of Health shall exercise a sound discretion as to what shall be for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. Permits issued by the Director of Health as provided herein shall be forwarded to the Tax Collector and issued to permittees upon the payment of a $25 fee to cover the cost of inspection and regulation as provided for by the Director of Health.

Section 6. Permits issued hereunder shall be for a period of one year and shall be renewed by the Tax Collector upon the payment of the fee provided for herein unless said permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Health.

Section 7. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be inconsistent or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the said City and County hereby declare that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause or phrase, irrespective of the fact that any one or more of said sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared inconsistent or invalid. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bills were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $582,700 From Relief Bond Fund for the Purpose of Caring for and Maintaining the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 239, Ordinance No. 9.05137, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $582,700 from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue 1932, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue of 1932, the sum of $582,700 for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the preservation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriating $3,480 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for Purchase of Equipment for Controller's Office, and Declaring Existence of Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 240, Ordinance No. 9.05138, as follows:

Appropriating $3,480 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for purchase of two payroll machiens (Burroughs, Style 720700) and necessary stencil equipment, for the office of the Controller, and declaring the existence of an emergency as provided for by section 16 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended the sum of $3,480 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the purchase of two payroll machines (Burroughs, Style 720700), fully equipped, and necessary stencil equipment, for the office of the Controller, and necessary for account of additional work in the taking over of additional units of the City government.

Section 2. That the continuation of the work, and the appropriation of said $3,480 constitutes an emergency within the meaning of section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said office of the Controller.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Payment of Warrants, Islais Creek Reclamation District.
(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 621, as follows:

Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 247 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 248 to J. B. West for $125, No. 249 to J. B. West for $125, No. 250 to F. O'Shaughnessy for $60, No. 251 to George Partridge for $60, No. 252 to San Francisco and Fresno Land Company for $5,000, No. 253 to Louis Sutter for $2,000—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Assessments, State Property.
(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 622, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now standing of record in the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California is assessed for the year 1932 in the names of the original owners. Being State property the assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel the following assessments, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		*Installment*	*Amount*
6	32	913	Chas. E. and Anna E. Davis	2nd	$ 40.59
10	17	1503	Paul J. and Anne C. Videcoq	both	75.24
13	34	1758	Wilson C. and Dorothy B. Graff	both	117.62
14	3	1798	Marian Realty Co.	both	90.28
15	1E	1912	Henry and Thelma Doelger	both	58.60
15	9	1917	Fred and Mae Isaacson	both	81.18
15	17	2023	Hugh A. and Anna Rae	both	39.60
17	30	2320	Nils E. and Alma Johnson	both	77.22
17	14	2325	Fred and Mae Isaacson	both	77.22
17	6	2333A	Elin M. Bengston	both	97.02
17	1C	2357	Mary E. Randall	both	102.96
18	35	2464A	Henry and Hazel Stoneson	both	79.20
18	50	2479	Samuel F. and Sophie C. Johnson	both	77.22
19	22	2817	Fred E. and Lydia Breed	both	100.18
21	5	3038	Jay M. and Leona Goins	both	127.52
22	6	3182	Carl S. and Aurelia Richter	2nd	12.87
22	21	3275	August and May Hallgren	both	148.10
38	33	6304	Harry and Rose Apte	both	75.24
38	17	6503	Peder P. Johnsen	both	77.62
39	6	6562	Merriam A. Dronenburg	both	23.76
42	39	6971A	Henry and Hazel Stoneson	both	67.32
43	22	7097	George H. and Leonore S. Freeman	both	39.20

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Assessments, State Property.
(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 623, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now standing of record in the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California is assessed for the year 1932 in the names of the original owners. Being State property the assessment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel the following assessments, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		*Installment*	*Amount*
6	18	999	Harry J. and Rosa Lewis	both	$157.22
13	19	1785	Arthur and Jennie Johnson	both	95.04
13	19A	1785	Arthur and Jennie Johnson	both	91.08
14	6	1830	Seth L. and Myrtle M. Butler	2nd	29.90
14	2	1870	Emily B. Martin	both	77.22
14	3	1872	Harvey W. Armburst	both	82.54
15	2	2023	Marian Realty Co.	both	76.42
15	16	2024	Henry Doelger	both	85.14
15	18	2024	Henry Doelger	both	89.10
15	47	2024	Marian Realty Co.	both	48.70
15	12	2027	Ida J. Bluett	both	87.12
15	42	2057	A. Johnson	both	91.08
15	1E	2058	John E. and Ethel M. McCarthy	both	85.14
16	16	2140	Carl, Gertrude E. and Fred Gellert	both	156.42
16	18	2185	Ernest T. and Olive I. Adams	both	73.66
16	5	2200	Catherine Whiddon	both	154.04
17	10B	2317	B. Misky & Son, Inc.	both	73.26
17	3J	2320	Vera Campbell	both	81.18
17	25	2322	Carl and Fred Gellert	both	63.36
17	16	2325	James L. Furey	both	89.10
17	38	2343	G. Steinbauer	both	91.48
17	16	2345A	Arth M. and Gladys P. Hammill	both	68.50
17	34	2386	Henry and Thelma Doelger	both	73.26
18	24	2433	Henry and Hazel Stoneson	both	74.44
18	4	2462A	Parkside Realty Co.	both	102.96
18	13	2463A	Parkside Realty Co.	both	104.54
18	28	2465A	Gordon A. and Sarah L. Morris	both	97.82
18	16	2480	Marion F. Evers	both	83.16
18	10	2481	Ida J. Bluett	both	81.18
19	36	2706	O. A. and Vera Anderson	both	127.12
20	1	2883	Dorothy Mercer	both	125.14
20	12	2932	Louis A. and Mary White	both	114.44
20	15	2984	St. George Holden	both	99.80
21	5	3053	Henry Stoneson	both	97.82
21	53	3054	Henry and Hazel Stoneson	both	108.50
22	42A	3158	Albert R. and Agnes C. Peterson	both	69.30
22	5P	3211	Ernest G. and Laurette Albury	both	33.66
22	8	3262	Arvid Halsen	both	137.80
22	12	3264	A. J. and Mary A. Herzig	both	159.98
34	20	5655	Ernest L. Walker	2nd	23.36
36	29	5925	Eugene and Clarie White	both	30.10
36	24	5940	Arthur and Jennie Johnson	both	66.52
36	26	5940	Milton H. and Eunice Johnson	both	66.52
37	11	6234	Joseph Perasso	both	64.94
38	4A	6308	The Ruegg Co.	both	112.06

41	6	6784	William E. and Johanna G. Grossman	both	71.28
41	17	6916	Louise B. Ingel	both	133.46
41	22	6919	John J. and Helen M. Hartford	both	120.38
42	6E	6962	Thomas J. Sullivan	both	80.38
42	2	6993	Kenneth and Marion F. Evers	both	74.44
42	38	7049	Title Ins. and Guaranty Co.	both	94.24
43	7	7110B	S. J. Lager	both	88.30
43	10	7205	J. Frank Barrett and Harry H. Hilp..	both	107.72

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Repeal of Law Permitting Deficiency Judgments.
(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 635, as follows:

Whereas, since the fall of 1929 the United States of America has been beset with an economic depression of world-wide scope; and

Whereas, during the period from the fall of 1929 to the present date there has been a consistent declining tendency in the price trend of retail and wholesale commodities; and

Whereas, for a number of years prior to the fall of 1929 real estate showed a tendency to increase in value, but since said time the value of real estate has rapidly declined so that in many instances notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust are in existence almost to the full present value of the real estate; and

Whereas, many foreclosures of mortgages and deeds of trust have occurred within the past three years, and from present indications will continue in the future, notwithstanding that we are now emerging from the bottom of the depression, and will probably continue to do so, on account of the ambition, faith, hope and fortitude of the American people; and

Whereas, in many instances there has been a deficiency between the purchase price of real estate bought at foreclosure sales and the amount of notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust; and

Whereas, many court actions have been filed for the purpose of obtaining deficiency judgments against owners of real estate, when the notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust have been foreclosed without obtaining sufficient funds to pay said notes in full; and

Whereas, when said notes, mortgages and deeds of trust were executed, the mortgagees and beneficiaries thereof did not, in most cases, contemplate any benefits as a result of the deficiency judgment laws of the State of California, and are now benefiting from their right to obtain deficiency judgments solely because of said laws; and

Whereas, the right to obtain a deficiency judgment under such conditions under the laws of the State of California has a tendency to pauperize, make insolvent and bankrupt unfortunate investors and owners in real estate, thus having a tendency to prolong our present economic depression and cause much suffering; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby goes on record as requesting the Legislature of the State of California to enact such laws as may be necessary and advisable in order to effect a repeal of the laws of the State of California permitting deficiency judgments.

Referred to Judiciary and Public Welfare Committees.

Congress Urged to Set Aside Day for National Observance of Birthday of Susan B. Anthony.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisors Havenner and Breyer presented:

Resolution No. 625, as follows:

Whereas, Susan B. Anthony was the pioneer who blazed the trail leading to woman's suffrage in the United States; and

Whereas, Susan B. Anthony is honored and looked upon by the people of our country as a great national figure; and

Whereas, February 15th is the day of the birth of this great leader; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby urge Congress to set aside and apart February 15th for national observance of the birthday of Susan B. Anthony; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit copies of this resolution to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and to all members of the California delegation in the United States Congress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Legislature Memorialized to Enact Legislation Extending Statute of Limitation on Obligations Secured by Mortgages or Deeds of Trust on Real Property to Five Years.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 626, as follows:

Whereas, the economic conditions prevailing in the last four years have materially affected owners of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco and generally throughout the State of California; and

Whereas, most of said real estate owners have encumbrances on their respective properties in the nature of mortgages or deeds of trust as security for promissory notes evidencing loans made by said real estate owners; and

Whereas, under the laws of the State of California the time for enforcing the obligations on said promissory notes is a period of four years from their due date; and

Whereas, many of said obligations are now approaching the time when the holders of said obligations are obligated to institute legal proceedings to protect their legal rights; and

Whereas, owing to said economic stress prevailing the owners of said real property are unable to meet said obligations and the holders of said obligations are many times obliged to proceed to enforce the same owing to the condition of the title of said property; and

Whereas, it would be to the best interests of all parties concerned and a relief to citizens of our City and State if said period of the statute of limitations were extended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the plan and requests the Legislature to enact legislation extending the statute of limitations on obligations secured by mortgages or deeds of trust on real property for a period of five years; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge said members of the State Legislature to enact said legislation as a relief measure.

Referred to Judiciary and Legislative Committee.

Fire Marshal and Deputy Fire Marshal—Charter Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented for Supervisor Gallagher a proposed Charter amendment making the Fire Marshal and Deputy Fire Marshal members of the San Francisco Fire Department.

Referred to Committee of the Whole.

Unemployed Single Men.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a communication from a committee of unemployed single men requesting a hearing before the Board, and moved that two speakers be heard for five minutes each.

So ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

Thereupon, Bruce Jones, representing men who eat at the municipal kitchen, and Michael Kelly, representing same organization, were heard at length, making certain specific demands and alleging deficiencies in food and lodging.

Charity Workers' Protective Union Heard.

Harry Stermer, D. D. Daly and Victor Baker, representing the Charity Workers' Protective Union, were heard at length, making demand for better treatment and conditions in the matter of unemployment relief distribution.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that these matters be referred to the Citizens' Relief Committee and a request made that it report back to this Board.

So ordered.

Superintendent Wollenberg Requests Additional Appropriations for Rent, Bread, Light, Etc.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Superintendent Charles Wollenberg, of the Unemployment Relief Committee, requesting additional appropriations for rent, bread, light, heat, etc.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the communication be referred to the Citizens' Relief Committee (Supervisor Havenner suggested the Department of Public Health) for investigation and report.

So ordered.

Barter System.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Monday, February 6, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Having listened to the citizens opposed to the barter movement in San Francisco, permit me to extend to all of you an invitation to attend a meeting of the Family Relief Society, 2584 Folsom street, Tuesday, February 7, 1933, at 8:15 p. m., where barter system will be explained by citizens favoring same.

Respectfully,

CHRYSTAL SCHUESZLER,
Chairman, Publicity Committee.

Transient Merchant Ordinance.

Supervisor Colman presented for Supervisor Gallagher the following ordinance, which was *laid over for two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m.*:

Transient Merchants.
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 241, Ordinance No. 3.04118, as follows:

Defining transient merchants and temporary stores, providing for the regulation of transient merchants, providing for issuance of permit for transient merchants, and providing for a fee to be paid therefor, and for the revocation of said permit, and providing the penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The words "transient merchant" for the purpose of this ordinance shall mean and include all persons, both principals and agents, who engage or conduct in one or more locations or in no specified location within the City and County of San Francisco, a temporary store or temporary or transient business of selling goods, wares or merchandise with the intention of continuing in said business in said City and County for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days. A merchant who operates a main or branch temporary store shall be a transient merchant as to said temporary store notwithstanding the fact that said merchant may operate other stores which are not temporary.

Section 2. As used in this ordinance, the words "temporary store" shall mean a store, store room, office, room, doorway space, vacant lot or other place, opened and/or maintained for the exhibition and present or future sale to the public, of goods, wares or merchandise, where the seller or owner of such goods, wares or merchandise intends to operate such store, store room, office, room or other place, for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to sales made to dealers by commercial travelers or selling agents in the usual course of business, for resale, nor to any sales of goods, wares or merchandise at and during the continuance of any annual fair, nor to any sales by societies acting for charity, religious or public purposes, nor to wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products who hold permits under Ordinance No. 3.04112 of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to auctioneers who are conducting auctions in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6803 (New Series) as amended, nor to operators of amusement parks licensed as such by said City and County, nor to peddlers licensed as such by said City and County, nor to sales of goods, wares and merchandise conducted at any public museum or art gallery owned by said City and County.

Section 4. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean any individual, association, partnership, or corporation, or the operator for or the agent of any such person, who sells to the public at retail as a transient merchant any goods, wares or merchandise, except as herein provided.

Section 5. Every transient merchant desiring to do business in the City and County of San Francisco shall make an application in writing to the Chief of Police of said City and County for a permit to operate as a transient merchant, which application shall be filed with said Chief of Police at least ten (10) days before such applicant shall be authorized to begin such business. The application shall contain a statement under oath, setting forth such information as the Chief of Police shall prescribe.

Section 6. Upon the granting of said permit the Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon filing of the bond referred to in Section 7 and payment of the following fees: One hundred ($100) dollars per week or fraction thereof for the first two weeks and seventy ($70) dollars per week or fraction thereof for every week thereafter, plus in all cases two ($2) dollars for each one hundred ($100) dollars of retail inventory value of goods, wares or merchandise to be sold or exhibited for sale. Said

values shall be stated in a sworn inventory filed with said Tax Collector at the time of the payment of said fee. The full amount of said fee shall be paid for each temporary store, whether a main store or a branch store. If for any reason the value of goods, wares or merchandise actually sold or exhibited for sale by said transient merchant shall exceed the value on which a fee has been based, said transient merchant shall pay without further demand a proportionate additional fee.

Section 7. The bond referred to in Section 6 shall be executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars. It shall be conditioned that the principal will pay to the City and County of San Francisco all amounts which may become due under the terms of this ordinance, shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and all other applicable ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations of any department thereof, and with all statutes of the State of California, relative to the conduct of the business in which said principal may be engaged, and that he shall pay all judgments recovered or rendered against him for any violation of said ordinances, statutes, rules or regulations, and further conditioned that said principal shall pay any and all judgments which may be recovered by any person, firm, association or corporation for injuries to person, loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of said business by said principal, as well as all damages sustained by any person, firm, association or corporation by reason of any misrepresentation or deception practiced by said principal or his agents or employees on any such person, firm, association or corporation transacting business with such transient merchant.

Section 8. Any person desiring to continue said business of transient merchant for a period longer than that stated in said application and permit, or desiring to renew said permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof. In the event of the renewal of said permit, said transient merchant shall pay the license fee herein provided in the same manner as he paid the license fee under his original license, and the liability insurance policy or bond herein referred to shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 9. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police. Applicants or permittees may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals any denial or revocation of permit hereunder.

Section 10. Any person whom said Chief of Police believes to be a transient merchant, but who declares himself not to be a transient merchant, upon filing such declaration in writing, and under oath as said Chief of Police shall direct, may, in the discretion of said Chief of Police, and in lieu of the payment of any fee or posting of any other bond, and as a condition of transacting business as a vendor of merchandise without the payment of any such fee, be required to file with said Chief of Police a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the declarant as principal and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of one thousand five hundred ($1,500) dollars upon the same conditions as those set forth in Section 7 of this ordinance and further conditioned to secure the payment of the fee required in the event that he proves to be a transient merchant, provided that if such person remains in business in the same place in said City and County of San Francisco for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days he shall be considered and deemed not to be a transient merchant.

Section 11. Actual operation of a business or store for less than one hundred twenty (120) days shall be prima facie evidence that said store or business was opened with the intention of operating less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 12. Any person who causes to be advertised in any manner a sale of goods as herein contemplated without complying with the provisions of this ordinance, or any person who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed six (6) months, or both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a permit shall be considered as separate and distinct offense.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Section 51 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Board of Supervisors Opposes Recall Election.
(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisors Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 624, as follows:

Whereas, a national industrial depression, which has caused widespread distress, compels the utmost conservation of public funds; and

Whereas, there is agitation for a recall which would necessitate the expenditure of at least $500,000, of which $50,000 would come from the pockets of our San Francisco taxpayers; and

Whereas, public opinion generally is against the expenditure of such a sum of money on a questionable recall; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular session assembled this 6th day of February, 1933, that we voice our opposition to the proposed recall as ill advised and unnecessary.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Transcripts of Milk Hearings Authorized.

Supervisor Spaulding, seconded by Supervisor Breyer, moved that a reporter be provided for next Thursday's meeting of the Health Committee, when the Milk Ordinance is up for discussion; also, that transcripts of previous meetings be authorized.

So ordered.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of continuing consideration of proposed Charter amendments.

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

The question being on Supervisor Colman's motion to strike out clause in last paragraph, "which will be effective after the fiscal year 1933-1934," in the Mayor's proposed Charter amendment on salary deductions.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Canepa, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to strike out the last paragraph.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment to the amendment of the last paragraph as follows: "On the fourth line after the word 'maximum' insert the words 'percentages of'." Also, "Strike out the last three lines commencing with the word 'up,' and insert in lieu thereof the words 'a percentage which shall not exceed an increase of 50 per cent over and above the maximum percentages of deductions in each bracket herein provided'."

Amendment to the amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—8.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Canepa's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—5.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—9.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's proposed amendment to the last paragraph, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Stanton moved that paragraphs (b) and (c), subdivision 2 of Section 70.1, be amended by changing "$140" to "$150."

There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment to insert in subdivision 2, Section 70.1, the following:

(i) Provided, that the deductions from salaries of seasonal clerks, included in paragraph (b) shall be made on the following basis, to-wit: 1 per cent during first month of their employment and an additional 1 per cent for each five months of employment during the fiscal year up to and not exceeding 7 per cent of said salary.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—6.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that paragraph (c), subdivision 2, Section 70.1, be reduced from 10 per cent to 9 per cent.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—9.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that paragraph (d), subdivision 2, Section 70.1, be reduced from 12½ to 10 per cent.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Stanton—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Explanations of Vote.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I take it this vote by the Committee of the Whole, making this recommendation to the Board, is in effect a vote as to the attitude of the members of the Board on the provisions of the Charter amendment. I desire to make it clear, for the purpose of the record, and as I have stated to my colleagues before, when a considerable portion of the people desire an amendment submitted, it has been my policy in the past not to obstruct the submission of the amendment to the people, even if I do not agree with some of the provisions of the amendment, and I do know it has been difficult to grasp it, and I offer no criticism of anybody for failing to make it more equitable, but I do think the spread of salaries included in subdivisions (d) and (c) are inequitable, and I think a large part of the people of San Francisco would prefer a somewhat wider distribution than the range shown. I have talked with some of the members of the Board and some agree with me, but it seemed to be impossible to get any agreement as to what rearrangement might be made, and I am not going to take up the time of the Board by endeavoring to do it here. However, I do think that if the salaries could be redivided somewhat in accordance with the income tax arrangement it would be more satisfactory.

At this time I am not going to vote for the amendment. However, if it goes to the Board with the approval of the majority of the Committee of the Whole, I will not object to it or oppose it.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I don't know as this is about as good a time to explain my vote as any other.

Since I have been a member of the Board I have never had a more difficult problem to consider a vote on than this Charter amendment. It must be passed at a certain time in order to be given consideration by the Legislature. The figures that we will have before us in June, and if this could be considered at that time we could go at it in an intelligent manner, the assessment roll will be before us then and we will know what the Assessor's estimate is, but at the present time everything is in the dark, through the fault of no one in particular. I endeavored to obtain from Mr. Ross some definite idea of the figures, and of what the City's financial condition would be, and he was unable to give a definite answer. Therefore, it is a great problem.

Now, in the meeting in the Mayor's office I objected to the scale that was submitted to us, thinking that possibly a more equitable scale could be worked out so that the men in the $200 class would not be so seriously affected as the men in the upper classes. I figure a man getting $200 a month, if $10 is taken away from him, would reduce his pay to $180, and the man getting $280, with $10 taken away from him, would be getting $260, and the man with $360, if only $10 is taken away, would still be getting $350. Now, the man on the $200 salary might be a man with a wife and three children, and therefore I felt that those in the higher brackets could afford a greater reduction than those in the lower. However, I realize that if we start upsetting these figures, as suggested by Supervisor McSheehy, we are going to reduce the amount the Mayor has told us will be necessary to get, and I am not sufficient of a statistician to do that, and therefore, as I said before, I am going to do this as the best remedy at the present time.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Of course, it is not perfect, no work of any human being is perfect, and I am going to vote in favor of it

as it is, because, principally, I feel that if we do not submit something at this time to the hard-pressed and over-burdened taxpayers, who must be spared, something perhaps much more drastic and detrimental will be submitted by the people, by people less friendly to the employees. For that reason I will make the best of something I think might be improved.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: I am not going to take up the time of the Board, and will merely state that I don't care to go into any long argument, and I am going to take the same position as Supervisor Havenner, and the Municipal Federation of Employees came before the Board and absolutely promised that they would agree to raise the same amount of money this amendment is intended to raise, and for that reason, on their pledge, I am going to vote against the amendment in the Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: There are five reasons why I object to the Mayor's proposed Charter amendment for salary reductions, as a whole:

1. I find that there is no deficit in this fiscal year's budget.
2. The same will be taken care of by the 13,700 City employees in the same manner that they contributed to the relief of unemployment this year and next year.
3. In the making up of next year's budget we can make it up with this understanding and create a tax rate for this amount only.
4. We have no assurance whatsoever that this Charter amendment will pass, and if it does not pass it will be mandatory upon us to pass a tax rate similar to the one we passed last year, with almost the assurance from the Assessor that he will cut 10 per cent off the assessed valuation as it now exists, which will mean approximately three millions of dollars, and which will have to be reflected in the tax rate.
5. This special election will cost approximately $40,000, which will be public moneys wasted if the Charter amendment does not carry. Part of Section 8, Article II of the Constitution of the State of California has been referred to the City Attorney for an opinion, and no matter what his decision might be, some citizen could compel court action, which might delay the presentation of the Charter amendment, if carried by the people, to the Legislature. Now, why take all these chances when we have the power in our own hands to make our own budget and keep faith with our City employees in this year's budget?

These are my reasons for voting *no*, and I wish the same incorporated in the records.

Committee of Whole Arises and Reports in Favor of Mayor's Proposed Charter Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Peyser moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and recommend to the Board the proposed Charter amendment of the Mayor providing for salary deductions.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—10.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon, the Mayor's proposed Charter amendment, in words and figures following, was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1.

Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as section 70.1, providing for deductions from the

salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the 4th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated section 70.1, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies.

Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $150 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month, and do not

exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Sub. 3. Should any such emergency declared as herein provided be anticipated to continue into the next fiscal year following the one during which said emergency has been declared, the heads of all departments, the Controller and the Mayor, in preparing or submitting their respective annual budget estimates, shall base and estimate the net salaries and compensations to be paid to the officers and employees of their respective departments, or, in the case of the Mayor and the Controller, to be paid to the officers and employees of all departments, at amounts not to exceed the said salaries and compensations as reduced by the above-mentioned percentages on the above-mentioned salary and compensation rates, and the annual appropriation and salary ordinance shall fix said net salaries and compensations accordingly. When any emergency is declared after the annual budget is prepared or adopted, or after the annual appropriation or salary ordinances are enacted, and before the annual tax rate is fixed as provided by law, said budget and said appropriation and salary ordinances may be revised or reenacted, so that the deductions herein authorized to be made may be reflected in the amount of the tax levy.

Sub. 4. All of such deductions, whether made after the passing of the annual budget appropriation and salary ordinance or included therein, shall be deemed as temporary deductions from the salaries and compensations of said officers and employees, and shall be continued only during the anticipated period for which said emergency has been declared.

Sub. 5. In making the deductions herein provided for, the value of board, room and laundry or other maintenance furnished by the City and County to any officer or employee, when the same is made a part of his compensation by the Civil Service Commission, shall be added to the monetary salary or compensation paid to said employee, and the amount of deductions from said salary or compensation shall be based on said monetary salary plus the value of said board, room and laundry or other maintenance, provided that no deduction shall be made for quarters furnished to any officer or member of the Fire Department.

Sub. 6. During the period that any emergency shall exist after being so determined as hereinafetr provided, the Controller, with

the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, may reallocate any unencumbered balance, or any part thereof, to the credit of any department or office exclusive of moneys or appropriations made or required to be made to any bond, bond interest, bond redemption, pension, utility, or trust fund, so that the same shall be available to meet the necessities of said emergency, irrespective as to whether the amount allocated to said department or office is fixed by this Charter or is the result of a tax provided by said Charter to be levied for said department. Should the period during which said emergency is anticipated to exist extend beyond the end of the fiscal year in which the same was declared to exist, the Mayor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, may reduce the amount of any mandatory appropriation provided to be allocated to any office or department; or may reduce the amount of any tax provided by the Charter to be levied for the support or maintenance of any department or office. Provided that no such deduction in appropriation, provided by this Charter to be made to any department, or in the reallocation of funds, or reduction in the amount of said tax otherwise provided to be levied to produce funds for any department, shall be greater than is necessary to reflect the deductions in salaries provided in this section to be made by reason of said emergency.

The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in Section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said Retirement System, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of the said fiscal year.

Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 9 p. m. this day.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 9 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Power—3.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Power appeared and was noted present at 9:45 p. m.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 10:15 p. m.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion duly made and carried the Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for consideration of the following proposed Charter amendment presented by the David Scannell Club, relative to Fire Department pension system, to-wit:

Charter Amendment, Retirement System, Fire Department.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending the first paragraph and subdivision (d), respectively, of Section 169 thereof, and by adding a new subdivision to said Section 169, to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, and by repealing Section 171 of said Charter, all relating to the pension and retirement contributions, benefits and allowances of the members of the Fire Department.

Section 1. The first paragraph of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the effective date of this amendment, herein defined as the date of ratification of this amendment by the Legislature of the State of California, and persons who shall become members of the Fire Department after that date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after the said effective date, subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter."

Section 2. Subdivision (d) of Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the Retirement System, the City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System during each fiscal year a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (g) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the Retirement System because of service rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to meeet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit."

Section 3. Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended by the addition of a new subdivision thereto to be known as subdivision (g) thereof, to read as follows:

"(g) Each person who is a member of the Retirement System under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the Retirement System 4 per cent of the salary received by him during such month, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death and retirement provided for him under this section. Should a member be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement,

then such contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System. Any increase or decrease of the salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children, or parents who shall be receiving a pension at the time of such change."

Section 4. Section 171 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby repealed.

Discussion.

Captain Radford, representing the David Scannell Club, urged the submission of the amendment and declared that it had been agreed to increase the contribution of new men in the department from 4 per cent to 5 per cent, and suggested that change in subdivision (g), Section 3.

Supervisor McSheehy moved such amendment and it was *so ordered.*

Captain Ireland, representing the David Scannell Club, was also heard, urging the submission of the ordinance.

William Nanry, of the Municipal Research Bureau, was also heard.

Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats.

Captain Raymond, representing the pilots and marine engineers on fire boats, urged that a new section be added to the proposed Charter amendment amending Section 169 of the Charter, which said section shall read as follows:

"Section 5. Pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats who were occupying their respective positions as such pilots and as such marine engineers in the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco on the date of the ratification of this amendment, and who had been occupying their respective positions as such pilots and marine engineers for one year prior to the date of the ratification of this amendment, shall be deemed to be members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, with all the benefits and subject to all the provisions of this section; and thereafter appointees to either of said positions shall be subject to the age qualifications provided for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the Fire Department."

Motion.

Supervisor Power, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to include the proposed amendment.

Captain Ireland, of the David Scannell Club, declared that he had no authority from his organization to include the proposal of the pilots and marine engineers.

Thereupon, Supervisor Power's motion to include was *carried* and the City Attorney authorized to formulate the proposed Charter amendment, as amended, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Police Department—Charter Amendment.

Presented by Supervisor Peyser, with the recommendation of the Judiciary Committe:

Petition to the honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to submit to a vote of the registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election called and held pursuant to Article XI, Section 8 of the Constitution of the State of California, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section

166 and by repealing that portion of any section in the Charter, in so far as it conflicts with any part of the above section as amended, relating to the pension and retirement contributions, benefits and allowances of members of the Police Department, to read as follows:

Section 166. Persons who are and persons who shall in the future become members of the Police Department, on the day of the ratification of this amendment by the legislature, shall become members of the retirement system on that date, subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158, 159, 162 and 163 of this Charter.

(a) Any member of the Police Department who shall have completed twenty-five years of service as a member of the Police Department and has reached the age of fifty-five years, next preceding the date of his retirement, may retire from service at his option. Any member of the Police Department who shall become physically disabled by reason of any bodily injury received in the performance of his duty, may be retired from service on satisfactory proof thereof. The retirement board, by unanimous vote, may retire from service any aged, disabled, or infirm member of the Police Department who has arrived at the age of sixty-five (65) years, who may be ascertained to be, by reason of such age, infirmity or other disability, unfit for the performance of his duties. Such retired member shall receive a monthly pension, payable throughout his life, equal to one-half of the amount of the salary attached to the rank held by him for three years prior to the date of his retirement.

And provided that such retirement is based on disability alone, in case the disability of such member shall cease, his pension shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement. Should any said retired member die leaving a widow, who shall have been married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, such widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid such pension; provided, further, that should said widow die leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen (16) years, said pension shall continue to be paid such child or such children, collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen (16) years; and provided, further, that should said retired member die, leaving no widow, but leaving an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen (16) years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen (16) years.

(b) The family of any member of the Police Department, who may be killed or die as the result of any injury received during the performance of his duty, or from sickness, clearly, unmistakably and directly caused by and resulting from the discharge of such duty, or while eligible to a pension on account of years of service in the department, or who has served twenty-five (25) years in the department, shall receive the following benefits:

First: Should the decedent leave a widow to whom he was married prior to the date of the injury resulting in death, his widow shall, as long as she may live, and remain unmarried, be paid a monthly pension equal to one-half of the salary attached to the rank held by the decedent at the time of his said injury; provided, however, that should said widow die, leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen (16) years, said pension shall continue to such child or children collectively until the youngest arrives at the age of sixteen (16) years.

Second: Should the decedent leave no widow, but leave an orphan child, or children under the age of sixteen (16) years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen (16) years.

Third: Should the decedent leave no widow and no orphan child or children, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely on him for support, such parents so depending shall collectively receive said

pension during such time as the retirement board may unanimously determine its necessity.

(c) When any member of the Department shall die from natural causes and before retirement, and when no pension is payable to his widow or children, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary a death benefit, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system.

(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the retirement system, the City and County shall contribute to the retirement system during each fiscal year a sum together with the members' contribution provided in subdivision (e) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the retirement system because of service rendered during such year by persons becoming members under the provisions of this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year, it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contribution, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contributions as may be necessary to make up such deficit.

(e) Each member of the Police Department included within the pension provisions of this section shall contribute to said pension fund in the manner as hereinafter in this section provided.

The administrative head of the Police Department shall cause to be shown on each and every payroll of said department a deduction of four (4) per cent of the amount of salary, as shown on each payroll, of each such member whose name appears thereon, and shall certify to the Controller on each such payroll the amount to be deducted from the compensation of each such member whose name appears thereon, and shall cause to be drawn a payroll check in favor of the retirement board for the total amount of deductions shown on each payroll of such department, and said board shall deposit said payroll check to the credit of the Police Pension Fund. It shall be the duty of the administrative head of the Police Department to cause to be furnished a copy of each and every payroll hereinbefore mentioned to the said retirement board.

Should a member be separated from city service through any cause other than death or retirement, then such contributions with interest shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of contributions of other members of the retirement system.

Section 168 or part of any other section in this Charter in so far as it conflicts with any part of section 166 as amended, is hereby repealed.

JOHN G. LAWLOR.

January 31, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: We are in receipt of information that a petition has been filed with your Board by John G. Lawlor a citizen who has no official connection with this department, to submit to the votors of San Francisco a Charter amendment relating to the pension and retirement provisions of the Police Department.

We wish to call your attention to the fact that the proposed amendment does not emanate from this department and has never been submitted for the consideration of this department, and we hereby protest against any such amendment being submitted to the voters of San Francisco.

Under our rules and regulations, efforts to influence legislation affecting the department cannot be made except through this Board or the

Chief of Police, and we desire to call your attention to the fact that no request has been made by any member or group of members of this department to have the proposed Charter amendment submitted.

If our information is correct and such a proposed amendment is to be considered by your Board, we trust that the same will be rejected.

Yours respectfully,
THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS,
By Chas. F. Skelly, Secretary.

Refused Submission.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Canepa, the foregoing proposed Charter amendment was *refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner in this connection moved that the Rules Committee consider a rule—how matters referred to committee can be reported to the Board without recommendation without hearing thereon in committee.

Proposed Charter Amendment Making Tax Collector Ex-Officio Treasurer (Uhl Petition).

61.1 Section 1. Making the Tax Collector Ex-Officio Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, said Tax Collector to discharge the duties of the Treasurer.

Section 2. Repealing Section 31. Also repealing that portion of Section 5 which provides for the election of the Treasurer, and which office shall cease and be of no avail after expiration of the term of the present Treasurer.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing be *filed.*

So ordered.

Proposed Charter Amendment—Retirement System (Uhl).

(1) Modifying the contribution of the taxpayers to the Retirement Fund on the basis that the maximum sum paid to municipal employees shall be $85 per month, and that the ratio paid toward same shall be on the basis of three dollars contribution by the municipal employee and one dollar by the taxpayers.

Alternate Proposal.

Abolish the Retirement Fund, provided, however, that persons retired prior to February 1, 1933, shall continue to be paid as heretofore, and the money necessary to make said payments shall be raised through a tax levy.

That police and firemen retired on disability or killed in line of duty, or who die subsequently from injuries received while on duty, shall receive benefits provided in present Charter.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Spaulding, moved that the foregoing be *filed.*

So ordered.

Proposed Charter Amendment Providing for the Man or the Wife to Hold One Municipal Position (Uhl).

Motion to file carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Power—5.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Proposed Charter Amendment Providing One Remunerative Salary to a Municipal Employee.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the City Attorney prepare amendment for submission to the people.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri Shannon Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Fire Marshal and Deputy Fire Marshal—Charter Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed Charter amendment including the Fire Marshal and Deputy Marshal in the Fire Department be prepared by the City Attorney for submission to the people.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon the Board, at the hour of 12 midnight, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 20, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 7

Tuesday, February 14, 1933

Wednesday, February 15, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 14, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for consideration until next meeting.

Milk Ordinance.

The following entitled ordinance, returned by the Health Committee without its recommendation, was taken up on motion of Supervisor Spaulding:

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 251, Ordinance No. 17.051, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Rereferred to Health Committee for hearing. Copies of the ordinance to be sent to the members of the Board.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved inasmuch as the matter is under consideration by the Grand Jury that all data and transcripts in Milk hearings be sent to the Grand Jury and that it comply with Dr. Geiger's letter asking for a thorough investigation.

Supervisor Havenner moved as a substitute for the whole that it be the sense of the Board that if the Grand Jury requests us to forward record of Health Committee on Milk Ordinance it stands ready to do so.

So ordered.

Meeting Announcement.

Thereupon, it was announced by Supervisor Spaulding that the Health Committee would meet to consider the Milk Ordinance on Thursday at 2 p. m.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5464 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the subject matter was *laid over until April* 3, 1933.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. Hanley Jr. was granted the privilege of the floor and requested that the foregoing matter be postponed until an architect's report on the subject is ready.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up on a motion for reconsideration made by Supervisor Gallagher at the meeting of January 23, 1933:

Regulation of Vending Machines.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 171, Ordinance No. 3.04113, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits and imposing a fee for inspection and regulation on persons, firms or corporations owning or having

control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement, or for vending service or merchandise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of inspection and regulation, every person, firm or corporation owning or having control of any automatic machine used for games of skill or amusement or for vending service or merchandise, set in motion by the deposit of a coin or slug in any receptacle attached or affixed to such machine, shall make application to the Chief of Police for a permit to operate said machine or machines; provided that nothing herein shall apply to any appliances prohibited by section 330a of the Penal Code of the State of California. The applicant shall be of good character, and shall submit a description of the type of machine to be operated at the time of making such application and shall pay the filing fee provided herein.

Section 2. Permits provided for herein shall be issued for one year, and may be renewed by the Chief of Police on application and the payment of the filing fee, provided permits issued in accordance with provisions of subdivisions (d), (e), (f) and (g) may be renewed by the permittee on the payment of the licenses provided for herein unless revoked by the Chief of Police. Permits granted for the operation of the aforementioned machines shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permits upon the payment of the fee hereinafter set forth; provided, that a permittee operating two or more types of machines as herein described shall be subject to the payment of one filing fee only, and said filing fee shall be the highest filing fee applicable to the types of machines operated.

(a) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $250.
$2.50 per quarter, per machine.

(b) Machines operated for skill or amusement and vending candy or merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent.
Filing fee, $200.
$2.50 per annum, per machine.

(c) Machines operated for skill or amusement, set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $40.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(d) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $5, $25 and $50.

(e) Machines vending merchandise and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
15 cents per annum, per machine.

(f) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 5-cent piece, or more, or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
50 cents per annum, per machine.

(g) Machines vending service and set in motion by the deposit of a 1-cent piece or its equivalent:
Filing fee, $10.
20 cents per annum, per machine.

Section 3. The Tax Collector shall issue to each permittee a receipt for such machine for which a fee is paid, and said receipt shall be placed on the machine so as to be visible to the public at all times.

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation authorized to operate machines under the provisions of this ordinance shall forward to the Chief of Police, bi-monthly, a report showing the addresses of premises

wherein any of said machines have been installed, or removed; the type of said machine installed or removed, and the date of such installation or removal.

Section 5. The Chief of Police shall regulate the distribution of the machines hereinbefore mentioned; provided that machines in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of Section 2 shall not be permitted to operate within 1000 feet of the boundaries of any school.

Section 6. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police, provided, however, that any permittee whose permit is revoked shall have the right of appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to machines used in any business which is taxed under the provisions of Section 14 of Article XIII of the State Constitution.

Section 8. Machines operated in amusement parks and penny arcades and subject to the payment of fees for the conduct of such places shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. Machines vending sanitary napkins or installed or maintained in accordance with the regulations or orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission of the State of California, shall not be subject to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation shall, at the time of applying for a permit for the operation of said machine, present and file with the Tax Collector a written affidavit, properly acknowledged, setting forth that said person, firm or corporation is the sole owner or distributor of the machines for which a permit is asked and has the sole control of the distribution of said machines, and that no other person, firm or corporation has any interest in or any control over the placement of the machines for which the applicant asked the permit.

Section 11. No permit under this ordinance shall be assigned or transferred without the authorization of the Chief of Police and the payment of the fee required of new applicants.

Section 12. The payment or collection of any fee or charge for the inspection, maintenance or operation of any coin-in-the-slot machine herein mentioned shall not be considered as a license or permission to maintain any so-called coin-in-the-slot machine the use of which is prohibited by law or ordinance, or to use, maintain or operate any of the coin-in-the-slot machines herein mentioned so that such use, operation or maintenance of such machine shall constitute a violation of any law or ordinance.

Section 13. If any section, subsection; sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

EXPLANATORY.

This ordinance provides for the necessary regulation of coin-in-the-slot machines by the Police and Health Departments and the inspection by said departments of each type of machine before it is licensed for operation. Similar legislation has been adopted by many cities in the United States.

The Committee has had this ordinance under consideration for eight (8) months, and has held approximately eighteen (18) meetings. With the exception of one or two objectors who contended they could not pay any fee for inspection, no substantial opposition was presented to the committee.

The annual fees anticipated from the enforcement of this ordinance will total approximately $25,000, plus the filing fee required of each permittee.

Heretofore operators have placed these machines throughout the City without regard to legality or location. It is intended that police supervision of distribution and inspection will eliminate undesirable features of this business and likewise justify the fees established in accordance with the general authority of Section 24 of the charter.

The competition offered by the vending machine to the merchants doing business at a fixed place was given serious consideration by the committee and the rates fixed are presumed to be commensurate with the regulation intended.

The business of operating skill and amusement machines requires constant supervision by the Police Department because of its similarity to games of chance and the illegally designed machine.

This matter was first presented to the Committee by a representative of the Retail Grocers Association which desired legislation regulating a machine vending groceries which is now in operation in many large cities.

There are approximately 100 *operators and distributors owning or controlling* 12,000 *machines in this City and County.*

Refused Passage.

January 23, 1933, *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—6.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Reconsideration Refused.

In accordance with motion made by Supervisor Gallagher on January 23, 1933, the roll was called on reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing ordinance was refused passage, and the said motion for reconsideration was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon served notice that he would introduce the Erlich ordinance at a subsequent meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $5,757 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries of Additional Employments in the New Health Center Building.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 244, Ordinance No. 9.05137, as follows:

Setting aside a supplemental appropriation of $5,757 out of Appro-

priation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 116 (Personal Service, Department of Public Works), $4,985, and to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office, Department of Public Health), $772, for payment of additional employments in the new Health Center Building, fiscal year 1932-1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the sum of $4,985 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 116 (Personal Service, Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works), and the sum of $772 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office, Department of Public Health); same being for the payment for additional employments at the new Health Center Building, fiscal year 1932-1933, to-wit:

1 Janitor (6½ months), at $155 per month	$1,007.50	
1 Window Cleaner (5 months), at $160 per month	800.00	
2 Watchmen (7 months), at $155 per month	2,170.00	
1 Elevator Operator (6½ months), at $155 per month	1,007.50	
		$4,985.00
1 Plant Electrician (half time), 6½ months, at $237.50 per month		772.00
		$5,757.00

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Final Passage.

The final emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $5,000 for the Continued Audit of the Books of the Controller, Etc.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 245, Ordinance No. 9.05145, as follows:

Appropriating and authorizing the expenditure of $5,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the expense of continuing the service of Lybrand, Ross Bros. & Montgomery, certified public accountants, now engaged in an audit of the Controller's books, under authority of Resolution No. 514, to make an audit of the records and procedure in connection with bail bonds, inheritance tax, tax redemption, social welfare, and other branches of the City government, and necessary to be accomplished prior to July 1, 1933; and declaring the existence of an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) the sum of $5,000 for the expense of continuing the service of Lybrand, Ross & Montgomery, certified public accountants, now engaged in an audit of the books of the Controller of the City and County, under authority of Resolution No. 514, to make an audit of the records and procedure in connection with bail bonds, inheritance tax, tax redemption, social welfare, and other branches of the City government, for the inauguration of Charter installation procedure, and necessary to be accomplished prior to July 1, 1933.

Section 2. That the said appropriation of $5,000 and the continuation of the audit, as set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance, constitutes an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter, being necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Controller.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Requested by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Appropriating $1,925 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), 1932-1933, for the Employment of Two Additional Auditors, Office of Controller, and Declaring Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 246, Ordinance No. 9.05139, as follows:

Appropriating $1,925 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, for the employment of two additional auditors in the office of the Controller, fiscal year 1932-1933, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) the sum of $1,925 for the employment of two additional auditors in the office of the Controller, for the period ending June 30, 1933.

Section 2. That the continuation of the work in the office of the Controller and the appropriation of the said $1,925 constitutes an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said office of the Controller.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Requested by the Controller and approved by the Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Amending Section 16 of the Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding Item 7½ (One Electrician), and Increasing Employments of Item 9 (Engineers) from Two to Three (War Memorial).

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 247, Ordinance No. 9.05332, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 7½ and increasing the number of employments under Item 9 from 2 to 3.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 16. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	2	C52	Elevator Operator	135
4	1	C106	Janitor Sub-Foreman	150
5	8	C104	Janitor	135
6	2	C152	Watchman	135
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, per day	6
7½	1	E108	Electrician	220
8	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
9	3	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines	220
			AS NEEDED	
10	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, per week	75
11	1	A170	Stage Property Man, per week	75
12		A170	Stage Property Man, per day	12.50
13	1	E109	Stage Electrician, per week	75
14	3	C101	Dressing Room Maids, for part-time services not to exceed five (5) hours a day, at daily rate not to exceed three (3) dollars, and monthly total not to exceed seventy-five ($75) dollars.	
15	2	C105	Concierge, for part-time services not to exceed five (5) hours a day, at daily rate not to exceed three ($3) dollars, and monthly total not to exceed seventy-five ($75) dollars.	

EXPLANATORY.

Trustees of War Memorial request addition of an engineer and an electrician to permanent staff.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Power, Stanton—2.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60 (Controller's Office), by Eliminating Items 7, 22 and 31, and Reducing Item 8 Salary From $100 to $75, and Adding Two Bookkeepers at $190 and One Bookkeeping Machine Operator at $165, Effecting a Monthly Saving of $160.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 248, Ordinance No. 9.05333, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 by eliminating Items 7, 22 and 31 thereof, which items established, respectively, positions of 1 Chief Clerk at $375, 1 Storekeeper at $150, and 1 General Clerk-Typist at $155, and by reducing the salary provided for the position enumerated under Item 8 thereof from $100 to $75, the present salary of the incumbent, and by increasing the employments under Item 5½ from 1 to 3 and under Item 23½ from 1 to 2. These changes produce a net payroll saving of $160 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 60. **CONTROLLER**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
4	6	B4	Bookkeeper	175
5	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5½	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
6½	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
9	3	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk	190
11	2	B222	General Clerk	185
12	1	B222	General Clerk	175
13	3	B222	General Clerk	160
14	3	B228	Senior Clerk	250
15	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
16	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
17	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
18	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
19	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
21	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
23	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23¼	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
23½	2	B310	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
24	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
25½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27	2	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
29	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
30	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
33	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	250
34			Seasonal Temporary Clerks	150

EXPLANATORY.

Recommendation of the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—14.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriating $4,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for Expense by Registrar of Voters in the Cancellation of Registrations of Electors and the Reregistration of Electors.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 249, Ordinance No. 9.05140, as follows:

Appropriating $4,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the expense of cancellation of registrations of electors who neglected to vote at either the primary election in August or general election in November, 1932 (according to State law), and advising them of necessity of reregistering in order that the privilege of voting may be accorded them.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and authorized to be ex-

pended the sum of $4,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for expense by the Registrar of Voters in the cancellation of registrations of electors who neglected to vote at either the primary election held in August or general election held in November, 1932 (according to State law), and advising said electors of the necessity of reregistering in order that the privilege of voting may be accorded to them.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of Registrar and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Creating Assessor's Revolving Fund of $2,000 and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 250, Ordinance No. 9.0111, as follows:

Creating revolving fund for the office of the Assessor to be known as the Assessor's Revolving Change Fund and providing for the administration thereof and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A revolving fund to be known as the Assessor's Revolving Change Fund is hereby created which shall not exceed the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) Dollars and which said fund shall be used by the Assessor for the purpose of making change for those persons who pay the taxes on unsecured personal property between the first day of March and the first day of August of each year. The Assessor shall keep a full, true and correct account of all amounts taken from said fund for the purposes herein indicated and render said accounts to the Controller and to the Treasurer whenever requested to so do. The Assessor shall have the custody and control of said fund and shall be responsible therefor and shall, within ten days after the first day of August of each year, return the amount of said fund to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Should said Assessor deem it advisable to deposit said fund or any portion thereof in any bank or banks in the City and County of San Francisco, he may do so, and if said fund is so deposited, the same may be withdrawn from said bank or banks upon the order of the Assessor or upon the order of such person or persons as he shall designate.

Section 2. There shall be appropriated each year from the moneys in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, not otherwise appropriated or incumbered, the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) Dollars which said sum shall be payable to the Assessor for the purpose of establishing the above mentioned revolving fund, and the said amount to be returned by the Treasurer to the fund from which the same has been taken when the same is repaid to the Treasurer between the first and tenth days of August, each year, as hereinbefore set forth.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors in making this ordinance does, by more than a three-fourths vote of all of its members, declare that the same is an emergency measure and enacts the same as such, the facts constituting said emergency and necessitating the passage of this ordinance as an emergency measure being the uninterrupted operation of the Assessor's office; and this Board does hereby declare

that said emergency does actually exist and that this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its enactment.

I, the undersigned Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby recommend the establishment of the above mentioned revolving fund.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

The amount of appropriation approved.

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Referred.

The following matter was, on motion, *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

Purchase of Land for McLaren Park, at $375.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Resolution No. 631, as follows:

Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from James Henry Sweetman, et al., to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 6071, San Francisco, required for McLaren Park; and that the sum of $375.00 be paid for said land from the Reserve for Land Purchases—General.

(Recommendation of Director of Property.)

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing the Controller to Cancel Sale of Properties Sold for Delinquent Taxes, 1929. Properties Now Vested With the City.

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 632, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now vested in the City and County of San Francisco was assessed in the names of the original owners for the years 1928 and sold for delinquent taxes June 27, 1929, that said sales should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel said 1929 sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code:

Lots 9 and 10, Block 5607, Annie E. Hassett, Sale No. 2229—$4.86. Acquired by deed recorded August 15, 1928.

Lots 37 and 38, Block 5607, John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove, Richard J. Welch, Sale No. 2231—$12.27. Acquired by deed recorded July 24, 1928.

(Requested by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Refund of Taxes Paid on Duplicate Assessments of Personal Property.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 633, as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and are hereby authorized

in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, in payment to the hereinafter named; being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate on assessments of Personal Property, to-wit:

To Steve Eliadas, per Vol. 2, Page 214, Line 13, of 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....$29.90

To S. A. Brown, per Vol. 43, Page 26, Lot 30, Block 7120, and Vol. 1, Page 171, Line 16, of 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls 2.42

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Power, *laid over for one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M. on that day.*

Endorsing the Establishment of an Air Terminal, Yerba Buena Island Shoal, and Requesting the State to Deed Said Land to the City and County.

(Code No. 15.05)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Resolution No. 520, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco, by virtue of its geographical location, as well as its importance as an industrial and business center, is the logical air transportation center of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, commercial aviation, by its rapid advancement in recent years, has now become an indispensable part of our transportation and communication system; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Bay region is now served by four major air transportation companies with transport arrivals and departures approximating 3000, and carrying approximately 10,000 passengers, 70,000 pounds of mail and 24,000 pounds of freight and express each month; and

Whereas, these services, greatly increased as they will be in years to come, to give their maximum benefits to San Francisco, will require one centrally located and quickly and easily accessible terminal for the entire San Francisco metropolitan area, to supplement the splendid independent airports which are now located in the various bay cities; and

Whereas, there lies within the city limits of San Francisco a tract of land which is ideal for this purpose, located on Yerba Buena Island shoal, approximately midway between San Francisco and Alameda counties, and which could be reclaimed at a cost much less than the cost of any other suitable metropolitan air terminal site; and

Whereas, the construction of the sixty million dollar San Francisco-Oakland transbay bridge will soon bring this site within fifteen minutes' average travel time of the business centers of San Francisco and Oakland, thus providing the San Francisco area with potential air terminal facilities unequalled in the world for combined accessibility, size, favorable topographical and meteorological conditions, minimum flying hazards, convenience for both land and sea planes, and general serviceability; and

Whereas, this land now belongs to the State of California, and could be transferred, for the development of such a terminal, to the City and County of San Francisco at no cost to this City, and its ownership could be held by the City and County without expense until construction of the terminal is required in the future; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors unanimously endorses the proposal that a "Golden Gate Air Terminal" be established on Yerba

Buena Island shoal at such time as air traffic requires it, and that the City proceed immediately to take all possible steps to obtain title to this land from the State of California at the coming session of the State Legislature, convening January 2, 1933.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Legislature Memorialized to Enact Legislation Extending Statute of Limitation on Obligations Secured by Mortgages or Deeds of Trust on Real Property to Five Years.

(Code No. 5.3)

On recommendation of the Judiciary Committee.

Resolution No. 626, as follows:

Whereas, the economic conditions prevailing in the last four years have materially affected owners of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco and generally throughout the State of California; and

Whereas, most of said real estate owners have encumbrances on their respective properties in the nature of mortgages or deeds of trust as security for promissory notes evidencing loans made by said real estate owners; and

Whereas, under the laws of the State of California the time for enforcing the obligations on said promissory notes is a period of four years from their due date; and

Whereas, many of said obligations are now approaching the time when the holders of said obligations are obligated to institute legal proceedings to protect their legal rights; and

Whereas, owing to said economic stress prevailing the owners of said real property are unable to meet said obligations and the holders of said obligations are many times obliged to proceed to enforce the same owing to the condition of the title of said property; and

Whereas, it would be to the best interests of all parties concerned and a relief to citizens of our City and State if said period of the statute of limitations were extended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the plan and requests the Legislature to enact legislation extending the statute of limitations on obligations secured by mortgages or deeds of trust on real property for a period of five years; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge said members of the State Legislature to enact said legislation as a relief measure.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Memorializing Congress to Enact Legislation to Permit Loans to Small Business Men in Amounts Not to Exceed $10,000.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Judiciary and Public Welfare Committees.

Resolution No. 627, as follows:

Whereas, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation was set up by the government of the United States for the care and sustenance of going concerns which are in need of financial help; and

Whereas, the banks of the country and the railroads have been the recipients of loans approximating $1,500,000,000, while the banks continue to require the usual collateral for loans made by them; and

Whereas, the Home Loan Bank is financed by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for the purpose of maintaining homes in the names of their owners at least during these days of financial strin-

gency, and an appropriation of $90,000,000 has been set aside for the purpose of crop production loans; and

Whereas, there does not seem to be any proposition set forth in any of the governmental bills or held forth by any of the governmental agencies for the small businesses, the bone and sinew of this nation, that are daily liquidating or forced into bankruptcy, and which are in dire need of financial aid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Congress to enact legislation permitting loans to the so-called "small business man" in an amount not to exceed $10,000, on the basis of chattel mortgages or other available securities; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the President of the United States, Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the Senate, Representative Welch and Representative Kahn, Senator Johnson and Senator Shortridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Conditional Acceptance of the Roadway of Intersection of Cayuga Avenue and Milton Street, Including the Curbs.

(Code No. 12.0812)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 242, Ordinance No. 12.08126, as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of intersection of Cayuga avenue and Milton street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadway of the intersection of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadway having been paved with asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and is in good condition throughout (sewers and gas mains are laid therein, but there are no water mains, said water mains not being necessary at this time), to-wit:

Intersection of Cayuga avenue and Milton street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Full Acceptance of Roadway of Milton Street Between Cayuga Avenue and Bosworth Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 243, Ordinance No. 12.08117, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Milton street between Cayuga avenue and Bosworth street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadway of the following named street, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (ex-

cept those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadway having been paved with concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and is in good condition throughout, and has sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Milton street between Cayuga avenue and Bosworth street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Intention to Change Grades on Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues Between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 628, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 2004 of the Department of Public Works, adopted January 25, 1933, and written recommendation of said Department filed January 28, 1933, to-wit:

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 157 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 159.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 163.58 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 164.66 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 167.77 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 166.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 160.05 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 155 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 158 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 134 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 137 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 144.36 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 146.13 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 151.69 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 150.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 142.84 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 137 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 140 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

On thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades,

inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Department of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Extension of Thirty Days' Time, From February 2, 1933, to J. D. O'Hara, Within Which to Complete the Improvement of Sargent Street Between Orizaba Avenue and Bright Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 629, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, J. D. O'Hara is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time, from and after February 2, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Bright street. Contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Ordering Improvement of Certain Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 244, Ordinance No. 12.061119, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Jennings

street by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Fitzgerald avenue between the center and northwesterly lines of Jennings street; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof; and

The improvement of Jennings street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of Army street from Castro street to a line 75 feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Castro street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks, 6 feet in width; by the construction of a vitrified clay pipe side-sewer; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Acceptance of Deed From Louis Depaoli for Land Required for Widening of Cayuga Avenue.
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 630, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated January 19, 1933, from Louis Depaoli et ux., to certain land in Lot 68, Assessor's Block 6786, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Cayuga avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

The Board of Supervisors thereupon resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the following proposed Charter amendments:

Supervisor Miles in the Chair.

All members heretofore noted, being present.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS.

Fire Department Retirement System.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 thereof providing for retirement allowances of certain employees of the Fire Department and repealing Section 171 of said Charter.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 28th day of March, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 of said Charter and repealing Section 171 thereof.

Section 1. Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the date on which this amendment becomes effective, which is hereby fixed as July 1, 1933, and persons who shall become members of the Fire Department thereafter, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after the said date, subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter:

(a) Any member of the Fire Department who shall have completed twenty-five years of continuous service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, or any member of the Fire Department who shall have reached the age of fifty-five years and shall have completed twenty years of continuous service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, may retire from service at his option. Any member of the Fire Department who shall become physically disabled by reason of any bodily injury received in the performance of his duty may be retired from service on satisfactory proof thereof. The Retirement Board, by unanimous vote, may retire from service any aged, disabled or infirm member of the Fire Department who has arrived at the age of sixty years and who has completed twenty years of continuous service as a member of the department next preceding such age, who may be ascertained to be, by reason of such age, infirmity or other disability, unfit for the performance of his duties. Such retired member shall receive a monthly pension, payable throughout his life, equal to one-half the amount of the salary attached to the rank held by him three years prior to the date of his retirement, hereinafter referred to as "pension" in this and following sections; provided, that where such retirement is based on disability alone, in case the disability of such member shall cease, his pension shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement. Should any said retired member die leaving a widow, who shall have been married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, such widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid said pension; provided further, that should said widow die leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years; and provided, further, that should said retired member die leaving no widow, but leaving an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

(b) The family of any member of the Fire Department who shall die as a result of any injury received during the performance of his duty, or from sickness clearly, unmistakably and directly caused by and resulting from the discharge of such duty, or while eligible to a pension on account of years of service in the department, or who has served twenty consecutive years in the department and attained the age of fifty-five years, shall receive the following benefits:

First, should the decedent leave a widow to whom he was married prior to the date of the injury resulting in death, his widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid a monthly pension equal to one-half of the salary attached to the rank held by the decedent at the time of his said injury; provided, however, that should said widow die, leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, said pension shall continue to such child or children collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years.

Second, should the decedent leave no widow, but leave an orphan

child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or such children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

Third, should the decedent leave no widow and no orphan child or children, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely upon him for support, such parents so depending shall collectively receive said pension during such time as the Retirement Board may unanimously determine its necessity.

(c) When any member of the department shall die from natural causes and before retirement, and when no pension is payable to his widow or children, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary a death benefit, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the Retirement System.

(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the Retirement System, the City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System during each fiscal year a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (f) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the Retirement System because of services rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit.

(e) No benefits shall be provided under the Retirement System for, nor shall any contributions be required of, persons who become members of the Retirement System under this section, in addition to the benefits specifically provided and contributions specifically required herein. Any pension payable because of the death or retirement of any of such persons shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California. Any increase or decrease of the salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children or parents who are receiving a pension at the time of such change.

(f) Each person who is a member of the Retirement System under the provisions of this section and who was employed in the Fire Department on January 8, 1932, shall contribute each month to the Retirement System on and after the said effective date hereof, four per cent of his gross salary before deduction for any reason other than absence from duty, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section. Any other person who is a member of the Retirement System under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the Retirement System five per cent of his gross salary before deduction for any reason other than absence from duty, to be applied on the cost of benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section. Accumulated contributions for services in the Fire Department prior to the effective date of this amendment standing to the credit of each of such last mentioned persons in the Retirement System shall be retained by the System, and should a member be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, then all of his said contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System.

(g) For the purposes of this section, pilots and marine engineers employed on fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department on the said effective date shall be considered members of the said Fire Department on and after the said date, notwithstanding the provisions of

Section 162 of the Charter; and thereafter appointees to either of said positions shall be subject to the age qualifications provided for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the Fire Department. Accumulated contributions standing to the credit of each of the above mentioned pilots or engineers in the Retirement System shall be retained by such System, and in the event of his separation from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System, or otherwise applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section, the balance of such cost to be contributed by the City and County to the Retirement System, as provided in this Charter.

Section 2. Section 171 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby repealed.

Communications.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. Clarence Holmes, Building and Apartment House Owners' Association, Municipal Research Bureau, Tax Retrenchment League, opposing the proposed Fire Department Pension inclusion in the Retirement System.

Communication from Frank B. Keesling, New Charter Citizens' Committee, opposing, in present form, proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Communication from E. Clarence Holmes, from Conference of Taxpayers and Civic Groups, opposing proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment, and submitting alternative proposition.

Communication from San Francisco Labor Council, opposing Pilots and Marine Engineers' inclusion in proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Communications from the Building Trades Council, International Marine Engineers, B. Howard, International Union of Operating Engineers, Operating Engineers' Local No. 59, Operating Engineers' Local No. 45, also opposing the inclusion of the Pilots and Marine Engineers of the Fire Boats in the proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Communication from Brotherhood of Boilermen, Welders, etc., for inclusion in the proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Communication from E. J. Powers and James Anderson, representing Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats, urging inclusion of their drafts in the Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Privilege of the Floor.

Capt. Harrison and M. Raymond, representing Pilots and Marine Engineers, were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length, urging the inclusion of Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats in the proposed Fire Department Pension Charter Amendment.

Edward Van de Leur, president, San Francisco Labor Council, was also heard. He declared that he was not opposed to the pension for the Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats, but was opposed to the age limit fixed in the draft submitted.

Capt. Ireland of the Fire Department was also heard to the effect that he had no authority from his organization, the David Scannell Club, to include the Pilots and Marine Engineers of the Fire Boats, and suggested that they go on a separate amendment.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed amendment was stricken out and the following *ordered submitted*, on motion of Supervisor Peyser, by the following vote:

Fire Department Retirement System.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 thereof, providing for retirement allowances of certain employees of the Fire Department and for contributions by said employees to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 4th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 of said Charter.

Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the 8th day of January, 1932, shall become members of the retirement system on that date, subject only to the following provisions, in additions to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter:

(a) Any member of the Fire Department who shall have completed twenty-five years of actual service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, or any member of the Fire Department who shall have reached the age of fifty-five years and shall have completed twenty years of actual service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, may retire from service at his option. Any member of the Fire Department who shall become physically disabled by reason of any bodily injury received in the performance of his duty may be retired from service on satisfactory proof thereof. The Retirement Board, by unanimous vote, may retire from service any aged, disabled or infirm member of the Fire Department who has arrived at the age of sixty years and who has completed twenty years of actual service as a member of the Department next preceding such age, who may be ascertained to be, by reason of such age, infirmity or other disability, unfit for the performance of his duties. Such retired member shall receive a monthly pension, payable throughout his life, equal to one-half the amount of the salary attached to the rank held by him three years prior to the date of his retirement, hereinafter referred to as "pension" in this and the following sections; provided, that where such retirement is based on disability alone, in case the disability of such member shall cease, his pension shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement. Should any said retired member die leaving a widow, who shall have been married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, such widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid said pension; provided further, that should said widow die leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, said pension shall continue to be paid to such child or such children collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years; and provided, further, that should said retired member die leaving no widow but leaving an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

(b) The family of any member of the Fire Department who shall die as a result of any injury received during the performance of his duty or from sickness clearly, unmistakably and directly caused by and resulting from the discharge of such duty, or while eligible to a pension on account of years of service in the department, or who has had twenty years of actual service in the department and attained the age of fifty-five years, shall receive the following benefits:

First, should the decedent leave a widow to whom he was married prior to the date of the injury resulting in death, his widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid a monthly pension equal to one-half of the salary attached to the rank held by the decedent

at the time of his said injury, provided, however, that should said widow die, leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, said pension shall continue to such child or children collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years.

Second, should the decedent leave no widow, but leave an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or such children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

Third, should the decedent leave no widow and no orphan child or children, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely upon him for support, such parents so depending shall collectively receive said pension during such time as the retirement board may unanimously determine its necessity.

(c) When any member of the department shall die before retirement, and when no pension is payable to his widow or children, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary a death benefit, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system.

(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the retirement system, the City and County shall contribute to the retirement system during each fiscal year, a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (f) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the retirement system because of service rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequently to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit.

(e) No benefits shall be provided under the retirement system for, nor shall any contributions be required of, persons who become members of the retirement system under this section, in addition to the benefits specially provided and contributions specifically required in this section. Any pension payable because of the death or retirement of any of such persons shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California. Any increase or decrease of the salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children or parents who are receiving a pension at the time of such change.

(f) Each person who is a member of the retirement system under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the retirement system, commencing on July 1, 1934, four per cent of his gross salary before deduction for any reason other than absence from duty, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section. Should any person who is a member under the provisions of this section be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, then all of his contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the retirement system.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Providing for Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal.

The following was taken up and *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend

the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 37 thereof providing for the positions of Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the 28th day of March, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 37 of said Charter to read as follows:

Fire Marshal.

Section 37. The Fire Commission shall appoint a Fire Marshal and a Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, said appointments to be made from the uniformed rank of the Fire Department, said appointments to be made, and said appointees to hold their respective positions, in conformity with the Civil Service provisions of this Charter, provided, however, that the incumbent in the position of Fire Marshal and the incumbent in the position of Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, at the time this amendment is ratified by the State Legislature, if they have held their respective positions for one year continuously prior thereto, shall be deemed appointed to their respective positions under the Civil Service provisions of said Charter, and shall thereafter be entitled to all the benefits of said provisions to the same extent as if said persons had been appointed to said positions after examination and certification by said Civil Service Commission. Said incumbents shall also, upon the ratification of this amendment, become members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System subject to all the provisions of Section 169 of the Charter and with all the rights, privileges and benefits of the members of the Fire Department who were such on the 8th day of January, 1932.

The Fire Marshal shall investigate the cause, origin and circumstances of every fire occurring in the City and County, for the purpose of ascertaining whether said fire was accidental or of criminal origin, and shall keep a record of the result of said investigations. If, after said investigation, he shall be of the opinion that any fire was of criminal origin he shall take the requisite proceeding to cause the apprehension and arrest of any person or persons whom he may believe to have been responsible for said fire and shall request the District Attorney to prosecute said persons for any public offense they may have committed in connection with said fire.

The Board of Supervisors may empower the Fire Marshal to sell property saved or salvaged from any fire and for which no owner can be found. Said Fire Marshal may prohibit the disturbance or removal of any property or substance from or upon any building or property where a fire has occurred until the completion of the investigation as herein provided. The Fire Marshal may call upon police officers to assist in the protection or salvaging of property and shall have such other powers and duties as by ordinance may be prescribed relative to the protection of property at fires and the storage of property salvaged therefrom. He shall have such duties appertaining to the enforcement of laws relative to the transportation, storage, sale and use of inflammable liquids, combustible materials and explosives as the Fire Commission by rules, or the Supervisors by ordinance, may prescribe.

In the absence or inability of the Fire Marshal to act, the Chief Deputy Fire Marshal shall perform the duties and functions of his office.

The compensation of the Fire Marshal and the Chief Deputy Fire Marshal shall be fixed in the same manner as the salaries of those other officers and employees of the City and County whose compensations are not fixed by this Charter.

Officers and Employees to Hold No More Than One Remunerative Position.

The following was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 142.1, providing that officers and employees of the City and County shall not hold more than one remunerative office or employment in the Municipal Government.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 28th day of March, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated Section 142.1 and which said section shall read as follows:

Officers and Employees Limited to One Position.

No officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco shall hold more than one remunerative office or employment in the municipal government of the City and County of San Francisco. A remunerative office or employment is one in which the compensation fees or emoluments are more than six hundred dollars per year. For the purposes of this section employment in the San Francisco School Department shall be considered as employment in the municipal government. Nothing in this section contained shall prevent one officer or employee from giving his services to more than one department of the municipality where said services are of a similar character, or where said services are rendered to said departments on a part-time basis. Officers or employees of the City and County of San Francisco who at the date of the ratification of this amendment hold offices or employments in any department of the City and County, and also in the School Department of said City and County, shall not be subject to the provisions of this amendment so long as their present offices or employments in said respective departments continue.

Privilege of the Floor.

J. Linehan, Superintendent of High School of Commerce Night School, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard in opposition to the proposed amendment. He declared that there were very few in the City government affected by the amendment proposed, that seven or eight municipal employees who were also employed as teachers in the night schools were particularly adapted by their knowledge and experience to give the best service as such in the School Department. Others affected would be part-time workers, such as janitors, that in the interest of economy are moved from one public building to another as their services are required.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the proposed amendment be stricken out.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher—4.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Charter Amendment Refused Submission.

Thereupon, the question being taken on the submission of the foregoing proposed Charter amendment, the same was *refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Proposed Charter Amendment Making Tax Collector Ex-Officio Treasurer (Uhl Petition).

The following matter heretofore ordered filed was taken up:

61.1 Section 1. Making the Tax Collector Ex-Officio Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, said Tax Collector to discharge the duties of the Treasurer.

Section 2. Repealing Section 31. Also repealing that portion of Section 5 which provides for the election of the Treasurer, and which office shall cease and be of no avail after expiration of the term of the present Treasurer.

Privilege of the Floor, Adolph Uhl.

Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor and heard during the submission of the foregoing proposed Charter amendment, which he said would consolidate the work of the Tax Collector and Treasurer and save the City $30,000 a year.

Pilots and Marine Engineers, Proposed Charter Amendment.

Supervisors McSheehy and Stanton thereupon presented:

Section 5. Pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats who were occupying their respective positions as such pilots and as such marine engineers in the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco on the date of the ratification of this amendment, and who had been occupying their respective positions as such pilots and marine engineers for one year prior to the date of the ratification of this amendment, shall be deemed to be members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, with all the benefits and subject to all the provisions of this section; and thereafter appointees to either of said positions shall be subject to the age qualifications provided for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the Fire Department.

RECESS.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the Committee of the Whole at 7:05 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m. tomorrow.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 1933, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering proposed Charter amendments.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Gallagher excused on account of illness.

Supervisor Breyer appeared and was noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Privilege of the Floor.

City Attorney Jno. O'Toole, E. Vandeleur, Capt. Harrison, representing pilots and marine engineers, president of the S. F. Labor Council, and Ralph Nelson, actuary of the retirement system, were heard as to the effect of the proposed Charter amendment relating to pension and retirement of pilots and marine engineers on fireboats.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon the Committee of the Whole arose and the following business was transacted.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the following *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats to Be Included in Retirement System.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding to Section 169 of said Charter a new subdivision to be known as subdivision (g), providing that pilots and marine engineers employed on January 8, 1933, on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department, shall be entitled to the benefits and subject to the contributions provided under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, by Section 169 of the Charter, for other members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on Tuesday, the 4th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 of said Charter by adding thereto a new subdivision to be known as subdivision (g) and reading as follows, to-wit:

(g) For the purposes of this section, pilots and marine engineers employed on January 8, 1933, on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department, shall be considered members of said Fire Department on and after the effective date of this amendment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 162 of the Charter, and shall thereafter receive benefits from, and make contributions to, the Retirement System as provided in Section 169 of said Charter. Accumulated contributions standing to the credit of each of the above-mentioned pilots or engineers in the Retirement System shall be retained by such system, and in the event of his separation from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System, or otherwise applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section, the balance of such cost to be contributed by the City and County to the Retirement System, as provided in this section.

Persons hereafter appointed to either the position of pilot or marine engineer of fire boats shall not be subject to the age qualifications provided for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the Fire Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—4.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Peyser explained his vote by saying: "I believe that these men have made a showing that entitles them to have the people of San Francisco to pass upon their amendment. I am not endorsing it but merely passing it on to the people for their approval or disapproval."

Peninsula League of Municipalities Meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from S. A. Buck, Mayor of Burlingame, inviting attendance at the next regular meeting of the Peninsula League of Municipalities to be held at the Metropolitan Grill, 297 Grant Avenue,

South San Francisco, Thursday, February 16, at 6:30 p. m., at which time the following subjects will be discussed:

(a) Measures concerning municipalities pending in the legislature.
(b) Business licenses.
(c) Gas Rates as they affect Peninsula Cities.
(d) New phases of the unemployment situation.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Meeting Relative to Ocean Shore Boulevard.

Communication from T. Louis Chess, Executive Secretary, San Mateo County Federation of Improvement Clubs and Associations, advising of motion duly made and carried unanimously, to the effect that it was the sense of an informal mass meeting held the evening of February 7 at Half Moon Bay by the people of the Coast Side under the auspices of the San Mateo County Federation of Improvement Clubs and Associations, that a communication be addressed to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors requesting the whole-hearted support of the San Francisco Administration to the end that this project (the commencement of the work on the Ocean Shore Boulevard, otherwise known as Joint Highway No. 9), and in the event that the present plan to purchase rights of way cannot be amicably consummated that a new survey be ordered to ascertain the feasibility of a new route in order that the whole project may be no longer delayed by litigation.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Letter of Appreciation.

Also, communication from Sidney Hotchner, District Commander, American Legion, expressing appreciation on behalf of the Department of California, American Legion, of the recent resolution of the Board of Supervisors criticizing the apparent attempt in Washington to transfer to State and County Governments the burden now properly carried by the Government itself in the matter of the expense of veteran hospitalization, etc.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Relative to Employment of Bona Fide Residents of San Francisco on Local Public Works.

Also, communication from Robert B. Coons, President, San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, transmitting report and recommendation drawn by the Municipal Affairs Committee of said organization relative to employment of bona fide residents of San Francisco on local public works.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

George Washington Birthday Celebration, South of Market Boys, Inc.

Also, communication from South of Market Boys, Inc., extending to all members of the Board of Supervisors a sincere invitation to attend their George Washington Birthday meeting on Thursday evening, February 23, 1933, at Eagles Hall, Golden Gate Avenue near Hyde St., at which time Honorable John L. McNab will deliver the patriotic address.

Read and *accepted.*

The following recommendation of Judiciary and Finance Committees *was laid over until next meeting, Tax Collector to report effect of legislation*:

Representatives of Board in Sacramento to Prepare Legislation Looking Toward Payment of Quarterly Installments of Taxes Instead of Semi-Annually, as Heretofore.

(Code No. ——)

Resolution No. 603, as follows:

Whereas, the present method of payment of taxes, requiring the

annual levy to be paid in two installments, with the attendant penalties for failure to pay said taxes at the appointed time, has become a serious burden to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, particularly at this time of unemployment and stress; and

Whereas, the payment of taxes in quarterly installments would materially aid the taxpayer in meeting his obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby instruct its representatives in the State Legislature, this session, to prepare and present legislation amending the present laws requiring the payment of taxes in semi-annual installments, so that taxpayers might be permitted to pay their taxes in quarterly installments.

Fixing Date of Charter Amendment Election.

Thereupon the following was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 636, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 4th day of April, 1933, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendments to the Charter of said City and County; and said Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above mentioned date, for the purpose of voting on said amendments; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendments to said Charter, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter to be known as Section No. 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Amendment No. 2. Amending Section 169 of the Charter providing for retirement allowance of certain employees of the Fire Department; and providing for contributions by said employees to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Amendment No. 3. Amending Section 37 of the Charter providing for the positions of Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, and describing the duties of said positions.

Amendment No. 4. Amending Section 169 of the Charter by adding a new subdivision thereto to be designated "sub g," thereof, giving to pilots and marine engineers who were employed on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department on January 8, 1933, the same retirement rights as are given to the other members of said department by Section 169 of the Charter. Be It

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above mentioned election, and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on the said 4th day of April, 1933, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County of the above amendments to the Charter thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—4.

Authorizing Delegates to Attend Meeting of Advisory Council of Tax Research Bureau at Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. 253, Ordinance No. 9.05141, as follows:

Authorizing officials of the City and County of San Francisco to attend a meeting of the Advisory Council of the Tax Research Bureau in Assembly Chamber, State Capitol, Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933, at 2 p. m., and providing for their expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That officials of the City and County of San Francisco, appointed by the President of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to represent the City and County of San Francisco at a meeting of the Advisory Council of the Tax Research Bureau in Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Section 2. That the expense of said officials in attending said meeting shall not exceed in amount the sum of $100, and shall be subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0561, approved February 16, 1932.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—4.

Repeal of Law Permitting Deficiency Judgments.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 635, as follows:

Whereas, since the fall of 1929 the United States of America has been beset with an economic depression of world-wide scope; and

Whereas, during the period from the fall of 1929 to the present date there has been a consistent declining tendency in the price trend of retail and wholesale commodities; and

Whereas, for a number of years prior to the fall of 1929 real estate showed a tendency to increase in value, but since said time the value of real estate has rapidly declined so that in many instances notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust are in existence almost to the full present value of the real estate; and

Whereas, many foreclosures of mortgages and deeds of trust have occurred within the past three years, and from present indications will continue in the future, notwithstanding that we are now emerging from the bottom of the depression, and will probably continue to do so, on account of the ambition, faith, hope and fortitude of the American people; and

Whereas, in many instances there has been a deficiency between the purchase price of real estate bought at foreclosure sales and the amount of notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust; and

Whereas, many court actions have been filed for the purpose of obtaining deficiency judgments against owners of real estate, when the notes secured by mortgages and deeds of trust have been foreclosed without obtaining sufficient funds to pay said notes in full; and

Whereas, when said notes, mortgages and deeds of trust were executed, the mortgagees and beneficiaries thereof did not, in most cases, contemplate any benefits as a result of the deficiency judgment laws of the State of California, and are now benefiting from their right to obtain deficiency judgments solely because of said laws; and

Whereas, the right to obtain a deficiency judgment under such conditions under the laws of the State of California has a tendency to pauperize, make insolvent and bankrupt unfortunate investors and owners in real estate, thus having a tendency to prolong our present economic depression and cause much suffering; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby goes on record as requesting the Legislature of the State of California to enact such laws as may be necessary and advisable in order to effect a repeal of the laws of the State of California permitting deficiency judgments.

Referred to Welfare and Judiciary Committees.

Charter Amendment Notice.

The following notice was *ordered published*:

Notice is hereby given by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that amendments to the Charter of the City and County have been by said Board of Supervisors submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for approval or disapproval at a special election to be held on the 4th day of April, 1933, and that copies of said Amendments in convenient pamphlet form may be obtained on application therefor at the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco.

The proposed Amendments are:

Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter to be known as Section No. 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Amendment No. 2. Amending Section 169 of the Charter providing for retirement allowance of certain employees of the Fire Department; and providing for contributions by said employees to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Amendment No. 3. Amending Section 37 of the Charter providing for the positions of Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, and describing the duties of said positions.

Amendment No. 4. Amending Section 169 of the Charter by adding a new subdivision thereto to be designated "sub g," thereof, giving to pilots and marine engineers who were employed on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department on January 8, 1933, the same retirement rights as are given to the other members of said department by Section 169 of the Charter.

Federal Aid for Unemployment Relief.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the City Attorney be instructed to prepare such documents as may be necessary to set under way legislation for necessary measures to obtain from the Federal Government funds for unemployment relief.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 27, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 8

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, February 20, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 20, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of February 14 and 15, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Report on Unemployment Relief.

The following was read by the Clerk:

February 10, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Under date of February 7, 1933, Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, wrote to the Citizens' Relief Committee, referring to that committee certain communications and complaints of the Charity Workers' Protective Union.

The Citizens' Relief Committee considered these matters at its meeting held on February 8, 1933, and hereby reports, as requested in the letter of February 7.

The communications acted on are the following:

(a) A demand for investigation and correction of the system now used in connection with the payment of rent for the unemployed.

This matter was referred to the Subcommittee on Family Relief, with directions that it investigate and report to the Citizens' Advisory Committee.

(b) A protest against restrictions upon the use of automobiles by those on relief.

This matter has been under consideration by the Citizens' Advisory Committee for several weeks. On January 18, 1933, the Citizens' Advisory Committee adopted a resolution, declaring the following policy:

"The use of automobiles by those on relief should not be permitted, exception to be made only when the use of an automobile contributes to partial self-support; and that the Subcommittee on Family Relief develop a plan to make this effective on or before February 15th next."

Pursuant to this resolution the Subcommittee on Family Relief made a further study of the question, and at the meeting of the Citizens' Advisory Committee held on February 8th presented its report, recom-

mending the adoption of a plan providing that the use of automobiles by recipients of relief be prohibited except where such use contributes to partial self-support, defining the conditions under which such contributions to partial self-support should be deemed to exist, and requiring applicants for relief to deposit with an "auto bureau" license plates and white certificates of all cars not used for partial self-support. A copy of the report of the Subcommittee on Family Relief is attached hereto.

The foregoing report of the Subcommittee on Family Relief, with respect to the use of automobiles, was approved and adopted by the Citizens' Relief Committee.

In view of the action which has thus been taken, the Citizens' Advisory Committee deems it inadvisable to reconsider the problem, at least until a trial of the proposed procedure shall have demonstrated whether it is workable and proper.

(c) A protest by the Charity Workers' Protective Union against the method now in force of distributing groceries in return for work, and demanding that wages be paid in money for all work done.

The method now followed is that which has been in force for many months. The Citizens' Advisory Committee has no authority, it believes, to employ men to do City work for wages. Its sole authority is to act as an advisory committee in connection with the distribution of necessary relief. The work done in return for this relief is in the nature of a work test." If any change is to be made in the direction of the communication just referred to, such change would not be within the powers of the Citizens' Advisory Committee, but would have to be established by the proper officials of the city government.

(d) Among the communications sent to us are three which were, no doubt, included by inadvertence, as they deal with matters which are not within the jurisdiction of the Citizens' Relief Committee. They cover a protest against the proposed barter system and the support given to it by city officials, a protest against the proposed Charter amendment reducing salaries of city employees, and a protest against an action of the Board of Education with reference to the use of a school auditorium for meetings of the unemployed.

We return herewith the three communications relating to the matters just mentioned.

Respectfully,
CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
By ARTHUR WARREN, Secretary.

Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Spaulding, the following were granted the privilege of the floor:

B. B. Jones, 574 Third street, representing men in the bread line, protested conditions and food afforded the unemployed as filthy and inadequate, and demanding better treatment.

L. B. Weiss, representing unemployed property owners, urged preference in employments for residents of San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Transient Merchants.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Supervisors' Committee on Charter Installation.

Bill No. 241, Ordinance No. 3.04118, as follows:

Defining transient merchants and temporary stores, providing for the regulation of transient merchants, providing for issuance of permit for transient merchants, and providing for a fee to be paid therefor, and

for the revocation of said permit, and providing the penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The words "transient merchant" for the purpose of this ordinance shall mean and include all persons, both principals and agents, who engage or conduct in one or more locations or in no specified location within the City and County of San Francisco, a temporary store or temporary or transient business of selling goods, wares or merchandise with the intention of continuing in said business in said City and County for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days. A merchant who operates a main or branch temporary store shall be a transient merchant as to said temporary store notwithstanding the fact that said merchant may operate other stores which are not temporary.

Section 2. As used in this ordinance, the words "temporary store" shall mean a store, store room, office, room, doorway space, vacant lot or other place, opened and/or maintained for the exhibition and present or future sale to the public, of goods, wares or merchandise, where the seller or owner of such goods, wares or merchandise intends to operate such store, store room, office, room or other place, for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to sales made to dealers by commercial travelers or selling agents in the usual course of business, for resale, nor to any sales of goods, wares or merchandise at and during the continuance of any annual fair, nor to any sales by societies acting for charity, religious or public purposes, nor to wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products who hold permits under Ordinance No. 3.04112 of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to auctioneers who are conducting auctions in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6803 (New Series) as amended, nor to operators of amusement parks licensed as such by said City and County, nor to peddlers licensed as such by said City and County, nor to sales of goods, wares and merchandise conducted at any public museum or art gallery owned by said City and County.

Section 4. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean any individual, association, partnership, or corporation, or the operator for or the agent of any such person, who sells to the public at retail as a transient merchant any goods, wares or merchandise, except as herein provided.

Section 5. Every transient merchant desiring to do business in the City and County of San Francisco shall make an application in writing to the Chief of Police of said City and County for a permit to operate as a transient merchant, which application shall be filed with said Chief of Police at least ten (10) days before such applicant shall be authorized to begin such business. The application shall contain a statement under oath, setting forth such information as the Chief of Police shall prescribe.

Section 6. Upon the granting of said permit the Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon filing of the bond referred to in Section 7 and payment of the following fees: One hundred ($100) dollars per week or fraction thereof for the first two weeks and seventy ($70) dollars per week or fraction thereof for every week thereafter, plus in all cases two ($2) dollars for each one hundred ($100) dollars of retail inventory value of goods, wares or merchandise to be sold or exhibited for sale. Said values shall be stated in a sworn inventory filed with said Tax Collector at the time of the payment of said fee. The full amount of said fee shall be paid for each temporary store, whether a main store or a branch store. If for any reason the value of goods, wares or merchandise actually sold or exhibited for sale by said transient merchant shall exceed the value on which a fee has been based, said transient merchant shall pay without further demand a proportionate additional fee.

Section 7. The bond referred to in Section 6 shall be executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars. It shall be conditioned that the principal will pay to the City and County of San Francisco all amounts which may become due under the terms of this ordinance, shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and all other applicable ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations of any department thereof, and with all statutes of the State of California, relative to the conduct of the business in which said principal may be engaged, and that he shall pay all judgments recovered or rendered against him for any violation of said ordinances, statutes, rules or regulations, and further conditioned that said principal shall pay any and all judgments which may be recovered by any person, firm, association or corporation for injuries to person, loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of said business by said principal, as well as all damages sustained by any person, firm, association or corporation by reason of any misrepresentation or deception practiced by said principal or his agents or employees on any such person, firm, association or corporation transacting business with such transient merchant.

Section 8. Any person desiring to continue said business of transient merchant for a period longer than that stated in said application and permit, or desiring to renew said permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof. In the event of the renewal of said permit, said transient merchant shall pay the license fee herein provided in the same manner as he paid the license fee under his original license, and the liability insurance policy or bond herein referred to shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 9. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police. Applicants or permittees may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals any denial or revocation of permit hereunder.

Section 10. Any person whom said Chief of Police believes to be a transient merchant, but who declares himself not to be a transient merchant, upon filing such declaration in writing, and under oath as said Chief of Police shall direct, may, in the discretion of said Chief of Police, and in lieu of the payment of any fee or posting of any other bond, and as a condition of transacting business as a vendor of merchandise without the payment of any such fee, be required to file with said Chief of Police a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the declarant as principal and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of one thousand five hundred ($1,500) dollars upon the same conditions as those set forth in Section 7 of this ordinance and further conditioned to secure the payment of the fee required in the event that he proves to be a transient merchant, provided that if such person remains in business in the same place in said City and County of San Francisco for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days he shall be considered and deemed not to be a transient merchant.

Section 11. Actual operation of a business or store for less than one hundred twenty (120) days shall be prima facie evidence that said store or business was opened with the intention of operating less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 12. Any person who causes to be advertised in any manner a sale of goods as herein contemplated without complying with the provisions of this ordinance, or any person who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed six (6) months, or both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such busi-

ness without a permit shall be considered as separate and distinct offense.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Section 51 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

EXPLANATION.

Section 1. "Transient merchant" is one who conducts a temporary store for less than 120 days.

Section 2. "Temporary store." Any place where goods are sold for less than 120 days.

Section 3. Exemptions:

Commercial travelers.

Annual fair.

Sales for charity, religious or public purposes.

Permittees under Wholesale Peddlers Ordinance.

Amusement parks.

Public museums or art gallery owned by the City and County.

Section 4. Defines "person."

Section 5. Permit to be obtained from Chief of Police.

Section 6. Fees:

$100 per week for first two weeks.

$70 per week thereafter.

$2 per $100 of goods.

Section 7. Requires bond of $500 to secure license fees and for public liability and property damage.

Section 8. Renewal of permit is made in same manner as application for permits.

Section 9. Provides for revocation of permits for cause and appeal to Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 10. Persons whom Chief of Police believes to be transient merchants, but who declare they are not transient merchants, must post bond of $1,500 to secure payment of fees. Bond is released if persons remain in business more than 120 days.

Section 11. Actual operation of a store for less than 120 days is prima facie evidence of intent to operate less than 120 days.

Section 12. Penalty clause.

Section 13. Saving clause.

Section 14. Repeals conflicting ordinances.

Privilege of the Floor.

Gerald O'Gara, representing the Junior Chamber of Commerce, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard, urging the passage of the ordinance.

Thos. Slevin, Assistant City Attorney, was also heard as to the inclusion of wholesale peddlers of wood, coal and charcoal.

Motion.

Supervisor Canepa moved, inasmuch as there arose a question as to whether the ordinance included wholesale peddlers of wood, coal and charcoal, that the question be referred to the City Attorney for opinion.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Endorsing the Establishment of an Air Terminal, Yerba Buena Island Shoal, and Requesting the State to Deed Said Land to the City and County.

(Code No. 15.05)

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 520, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco, by virtue of its geographical location, as well as its importance as an industrial and business center, is the logical air transportation center of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, commercial aviation, by its rapid advancement in recent years, has now become an indispensable part of our transportation and communication system; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Bay region is now served by four major air transportation companies with transport arrivals and departures approximating 3000, and carrying approximately 10,000 passengers, 70,000 pounds of mail and 24,000 pounds of freight and express each month; and

Whereas, these services, greatly increased as they will be in years to come, to give their maximum benefits to San Francisco, will require one centrally located and quickly and easily accessible terminal for the entire San Francisco metropolitan area, to supplement the splendid independent airports which are now located in the various bay cities; and

Whereas, there lies within the city limits of San Francisco a tract of land which is ideal for this purpose, located on Yerba Buena Island shoal, approximately midway between San Francisco and Alameda counties, and which could be reclaimed at a cost much less than the cost of any other suitable metropolitan air terminal site; and

Whereas, the construction of the sixty million dollar San Francisco-Oakland transbay bridge will soon bring this site within fifteen minutes' average travel time of the business centers of San Francisco and Oakland, thus providing the San Francisco area with potential air terminal facilities unequalled in the world for combined accessibility, size, favorable topographical and meteorological conditions, minimum flying hazards, convenience for both land and sea planes, and general serviceability; and

Whereas, this land now belongs to the State of California, and could be transferred, for the development of such a terminal, to the City and County of San Francisco at no cost to this City, and its ownership could be held by the City and County without expense until construction of the terminal is required in the future; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors unanimously endorses the proposal that a "Golden Gate Air Terminal" be established on Yerba Buena Island shoal at such time as air traffic requires it, and that the City proceed immediately to take all possible steps to obtain title to this land from the State of California at the coming session of the State Legislature, convening January 2, 1933.

Communications.

The following communications were presented by Supervisor Spaulding and read to the Board:

Communication from G. W. Laws, Rear Admiral, U. S. Navy, Commandant, Twelfth Naval District, in response to request for an expert opinion as to the advisability of establishing an airport terminal at Yerba Buena Shoal.

Also, communication for Halsey E. Manwaring, manager, Palace Hotel, in reply to query as to desirability of proposed air terminal at Yerba Buena Island Shoal, declaring that inasmuch as the City is obligated for a number of years to come to pay $100,000 a year for the present airport at Mills Field that the City should concentrate all

of its efforts in making that a success, as the water conditions are much better than at the proposed site.

Communication from the North Central Improvement Association protesting against proposed legislation requesting the State of California to set aside certain tide lands on Yerba Buena Island Shoals for the purposes of air terminal.

Also, communication from E. S. Hartshorn, Colonel, General Staff, Chief of Staff, Headquarters Ninth Corps Area, Office of the Commanding General, Presidio of San Francisco, in reply to a request for an opinion as to the feasibility of constructing an air terminal on north shore of Yerba Buena Island, and stating that except for a strip of 300 yards wide adjacent to the north shore of this island, all of this shoal is navigable water and, therefore, comes under the jurisdiction of the Engineer Department, Lieutenant Colonel H. A. Finch, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer, whose office is in the Custom House, and except for a very small part of the northeastern tip, Yerba Buena Island is under the jurisdiction of the United States Navy, and declaring in view of these facts and of the further fact that the United States Department of Commerce maintains an agency for the fostering of civilian aviation, from which technical opinions can be obtained on all such matters, it is not believed to be appropriate for this headquarters to render a technical opinion on this subject.

Communication from M. H. Gates, secretary, Board of State Harbor Commissioners, declaring said board had no occasion to make any study of aviation conditions around San Francisco Bay, and therefore is unable to offer an opinion that would be of value.

Privilege of the Floor.

Captain Flynn, former Superintendent of Mills Field, opposed proposed air terminal at Yerba Buena Island.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, said he had an estimate of $9,000,069 for filling and leveling the proposed Yerba Buena Island site.

Mr. McMillan, representing himself and Central Mission Improvement Club, in opposing the proposed air terminal, declared that San Francisco had already exceeded by 3.65 per cent the bond limit permitted under the Charter and that the City's credit was damaged by the fact to such an extent that the Postal Saving Bank has refused to accept San Francisco bonds as collateral for loans.

D. Mathewson, Treasurer, and Harry Ross, representing the Treasurer, were sent for and explained that Mr. McMillan's statement was erroneous; that he had not allowed for exemptions of bonds of self-sustained utilities, and that instead of exceeding the bonded limit San Francisco still had a margin of some $67,000,000.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner stated that: "I desire, for the purpose of the record, to answer and characterize as false the statement just made by the gentleman (Mr. McMillan) that the bonded indebtedness of San Francisco exceeded the limit and move that my statement be published in the record."

So ordered.

Mr. Eckhoff, representing the Junior Chamber of Commerce, was then heard in favor of the proposed legislation.

Motion.

Supervisor Spaulding moved as an amendment that the word "air" be stricken out of the resolution and that the proposed site be approved for general terminal purposes.

Amendment lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Spaulding—2.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain School Land on Valencia Street in Accordance With Section 93 of the Charter.

(Code No. 12.1732)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 237, Ordinance No. 12.17322, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain school land on Valencia street in accordance with Section 93 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the report of the Board of Education that the hereinafter described land is not required for the purposes of the department, the Board of Supervisors hereby authorize the Director of Property to arrange for leasing the following described land, on a month to month basis, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental:

The old Horace Mann School lot, on the east side of Valencia street, 55 feet south of Twenty-second street, frontage 186.5 feet and approximately 150 feet in depth, situated in San Francisco, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Arrange for Leasing Certain Land on the East Side of Potrero Avenue Between Twenty-fifth and Andrew Streets.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Bill No. 238, Ordinance No. 12.17392, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to arrange for leasing certain land on the east side of Potrero avenue between Twenty-fifth and Andrew streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing four 15-foot strips of land for driveway purposes across that certain City-owned 30-foot parcel of land on the east side of Potrero avenue between Twenty-fifth and Andrew streets, San Francisco, California.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refund of $69.72 to Birdie Vojkovich, Being Amount Collected in Error by the Tax Redemption Department, City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 637, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $69.72 be and is hereby authorized in payment to Birdie Vojkovich out of Appropriation No. 84 (taxes refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, said amount having been collected in error by the Tax Redemption Department on property acquired by the City and County by decree, August 20, 1928, per Book 281 of Judgments, at page 342.

(Recommended by Assessor and Controller and approved by City Attorney.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Purchase of Land for McLaren Park, at $375.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 631, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from James Henry Sweetman et al. to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 6071, San Francisco, required for McLaren Park, and that the sum of $375 be paid for said land from the Reserve for Land Purchases—General.

(Recommendation of Director of Property.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
No—Supervisor Peyser—1.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Cancellation of Treasurer's Surety Bond, in Sum of $250,000, Covering Moneys Deposited in New York City, and Dated December 31, 1931. Subsequent Surety Bond in Sum of $400,000, Dated December 31, 1932, Executed for Same Purpose.

(Code No. 4.04)

Also, Resolution No. 638, as follows:

Whereas, the National City Bank of New York, as principal, and the Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, as surety, under date of December 31, 1931, executed a depositary bond to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in the sum of $250,000, covering moneys deposited by said Treasurer in New York City, and did subsequently serve notice to the said Treasurer of the cancellation of said bond; and

Whereas, at the request of said Treasurer and said bank the said surety did, on December 31, 1932, execute and deliver to the said Treasurer another depositary bond, in the sum of $400,000, in lieu of and for the purpose of replacing the former bond in the sum of $250,000, said bond being executed in pursuance of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series) of said City and County;

Now, therefore, in order that no question may exist as to the cancellation of said bond dated December 31, 1931, and of the fact that the bond for the sum of $400,000 is in place and in lieu of the former

bond, it is hereby resolved that all liability on the said bond dated December 31, 1931, arising after the date of the succeeding bond dated December 31, 1932, is hereby cancelled, and the subsequent bond dated December 31, 1932, is hereby accepted in lieu of the preceding bond.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $500 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, to the Municipal Court, Criminal Departments, for Jury and Witness Fees.
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 254, Ordinance No. 9.05142, as follows:

Appropriating $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 60 (Jury and Witness Fees, Municipal Court), for the payment of jury and witness fees in the criminal departments of the Municipal Court.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 60 (Jury and Witness Fees, Municipal Court), for the payment of jury and witness fees in the criminal departments of the Municipal Court.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of the Clerk of the Municipal Court, approved by the City Attorney and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriating $34,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Revenue), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for Expense Connected With the Holding of Special Election April 4, 1933.
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, as follows:

Appropriating $34,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, for expense connected with the holding of special election April 4, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $34,000 for expenses connected with the holding of special election April 4, 1933.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of Registrar of Voters, approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriation of $44,340 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), for the Continuance of Additional Hospitalization.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 256, Ordinance No. 9.05144, as follows:

Setting aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office), and the sum of $24,780 to the credit of Appropriation No. 168 (Personal Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $5,760 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $13,200 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital), for the continuance of additional hospitalization during the fiscal year 1932-1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office), the sum of $24,780 to the credit of Appropriation No. 168 (Personal Service, San Francisco Hospital), the sum of $5,760 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $13,200 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital), for the expense of the continuance of additional hospitalization during the fiscal year 1932-1933.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of the Director of Public Health, approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Judiciary and Finance Committees was on motion of Supervisor Peyser made a *Special Order of Business for 2:15 p. m. next Monday*:

Representatives of Board in Sacramento to Prepare Legislation Looking Toward Payment of Quarterly Installments of Taxes Instead of Semi-Annually, as Heretofore.

(Code No. ——)

Resolution No. 603, as follows:

Whereas, the present method of payment of taxes, requiring the annual levy to be paid in two installments, with the attendant penalties for failure to pay said taxes at the appointed time, has become a serious burden to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, particularly at this time of unemployment and stress; and

Whereas, the payment of taxes in quarterly installments would materially aid the taxpayer in meeting his obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby instruct its representatives in the State Legislature, this session, to prepare and present legislation amending the present laws requiring the payment of taxes in semi-annual installments, so that taxpayers might be permitted to pay their taxes in quarterly installments.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was on motion of Supervisor Spaulding *indefinitely postponed*:

Swill Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 208, Ordinance No. 3.04116, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of permits by the Director of Health and imposing a fee upon persons, firms or corporations collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for the violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The term swill, when used hereinafter, shall be applied to and be construed to mean waste food products from hotels, restaurants, hospitals and institutions or food dealers, which waste is kept separate from non-food waste.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of collecting swill or hauling swill through any street or public place in the City and County of San Francisco shall obtain a permit from the Director of Health to so do, and no person, firm or corporation shall engage in the business of collecting swill in the City and County of San Francisco, or haul the same through any street or public place therein without first having obtained such permit.

Section 3. The Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall have power to establish rules and regulations governing the collection and disposal of swill in accordance with the United States or State laws or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco. The permit granted to any person, firm or corporation to collect or haul swill pursuant to this ordinance may be revoked for the violation of any rule or regulation so established by the Director of Health.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall not grant or refuse to grant, or revoke any permit under this ordinance except after a full hearing, publicly had on the matter of granting, refusing to grant or revoking such permit, at which the applicant or permittee may appear in person or by counsel, and all competent evidence offered in support of the application to grant or revoke said permit shall be heard by said Director of Health. In the granting or refusing to grant, revoking or refusing to revoke any permit hereunder the Director of Health shall exercise a sound discretion as to what shall be for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. Permits issued by the Director of Health as provided herein shall be forwarded to the Tax Collector and issued to permittees upon the payment of a $25 fee to cover the cost of inspection and regulation as provided for by the Director of Health.

Section 6. Permits issued hereunder shall be for a period of one year and shall be renewed by the Tax Collector upon the payment of the fee provided for herein unless said permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Health.

Section 7. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be inconsistent or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the said City and County hereby declare that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause or phrase, irrespective of the fact that any one or more of said sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared inconsistent or invalid. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*, copies to be furnished members:

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 251, Ordinance No. 17.051, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. Pasteurizing Plant: Any place or establishment devoted to the processing, handling, distributing and sale of milk, cream and milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. Dairy Farm: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. Score Card: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card.

Subdivision 5. Market milk or cream, wherever such terms are used in this ordinance, shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their

natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. Cream: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, such that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. Ice Cream: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. Ice Milk: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice cream shall be conspicuously labeled and ve-

hicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. Goat's Milk: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and processing of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sales, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. Buttermilk: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on any unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed.

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever.

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit.

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substance or substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk.

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner, is hereby declared to be impure and unwholesome and must not be sold for human consumption.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test. Such milk must be kept in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Unfit for human consumption."

Section 3. Unfit Milk Defined:

Subdivision 1. All milk, excepting that which is consigned in bulk to the wholesale trade, and certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, and manufacturing milk, is hereby declared unfit for human consumption, and it shall be unlawful to offer or expose for sale or for exchange any such unfit milk.

Subdivision 2. Milk shall be graded as follows: Certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, manufacturing milk and milk unfit for consumption.

Subdivision 3. Certified Milk: Certified milk is milk produced and certified to by the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society as required by Section 3, Subdivision 9, of this ordinance. It must come from a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 nonpathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5). Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.

Subdivision 4. Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk: No person, firm or corporation shall sell or exchange, or offer or expose for sale or exchange, as and for Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk, any milk which does not conform to the rules and regulations and the methods and standards for production, processing and distribution of Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. Manufacturing Milk: Milk containing more than one million non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter obtained from dairy cows in no way unfit for the production of milk for use by man as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health shall be known as manufacturing milk and shall not be sold for human consumption as market milk.

Dairy farms producing manufacturing milk shall be conducted in such a manner as not to endanger the public health. Dairy barns and milk houses on said farms shall be thoroughly cleansed at least once a day. Utensils and equipment shall be efficiently sterilized after each using.

Manufacturing milk may be used wholly or in part in the manufacture of foodstuffs, provided that during the process of manufacture or immediately prior thereto said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above 145 degrees Fahrenheit the time during which such temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes except for milk that is re-pasteurized for manufacturing purposes. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.

Subdivision 6. Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. Milk for pasteurization must be kept at a temperature below fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit up to the time of delivery to the pasteurization plant and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to

a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 8. Method of Pasteurization: Pasteurizing of milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents.

Subdivision 9. The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, except in bulk to the wholesale trade, and the name and address of the producer or distributor (who shall be responsible for the quality of the contents and correct labeling as required by this ordinance) shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container. Milk sold or exchanged, or offered or exposed for sale or exchange, as and for graded milk under the designation, label or other representation of Grade "A" Market Milk Pasteurized shall have the name of the grade and whether raw or pasteurized marked on the container or cap of the container in capital letters not less than one-eighth (⅛) inch high and one-sixteenth (1/16) inch wide; and provided, however, that milk not suitable for human consumption shall be plainly marked "Unfit for human consumption" as provided in Section 3, Subdivisions 5 and 6, of this ordinance.

No distinguishing names, marks or words other than those specified in this ordinance shall appear on any bottle, cap, can or container to signify or describe the quality or in any other way distinguish the milk or cream contained therein from similar products of the same class or grade.

No wording except that necessary to describe the quality or grade of milk or cream shall appear on the cap, bottle, can or other container of milk or cream. No wording shall appear on the cap, can, bottle or other container except that prescribed in this ordinance.

Subdivision 10. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time before using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easiy cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 11. No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themseves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk.

Subdivision 12. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco, any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any

skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Subdivision 13. Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk, handle milk or milk utensils in any processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 14. Methods for Serving Milk: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in Section 2, Subdivision 6, of this ordinance.

Subdivision 15. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If graded, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. Permits Required by Vendors of Milk: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, scoring at least 75 per cent or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw

milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant constructs said cooling plant in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and provided further, that all milk received at said cooling plant shall be handled in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such application and such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

No person, firm or corporation not engaged in the business of preparing and processing raw milk for human consumption at a fixed place of business shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing market milk.

Subdivision 5. Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of

San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. Official Number of Permits: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. More than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. Names on Wagons: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. Rule Governing Renewal of Permit: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored or kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

Milk Coming From Outside the City and County to Be Exposed for Inspection.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

Milk Coming From Outside of the City and County to Be Plainly Marked With the Shipper's Name, Address, Permit Number and Number of Tanks or Cans Contained in the Shipment.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

Milk to Be Tightly Covered.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Sale of Milk and Cream in Quantities of One Quart or Less.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

Milk and Cream Bottles to Be Capped and Marked.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

Names of Brands on Bottles and Caps or Covers Must Not Differ.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

Transfer of Milk on Street.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Penalty for Violation of Provisions of This Ordinance.

Section 18. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 19. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 20. Constitutionality: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 21. This ordinance shall be in effect immediately.

February 20, 1933—Health Committee recommends against passage.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Granting to Market Street Railway Company a Supplemental Permit Under Section 132 of the Charter to Construct and Operate a Street Railway Over Certain Streets.

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Bill No. 257, Ordinance No. 15.0912, as follows:

Granting to Market Street Railway Company a supplemental permit under Section 132 of the Charter to construct and operate a street railway over certain streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 132 of the Charter and in order to make effective that certain written agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company, dated February 1, 1933, which agreement was authorized by Resolution No. 606 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted January 30, 1933, a supplemental permit is hereby granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to construct and operate, in conjunction with and as an extension of its existing lines on San Bruno avenue, a street railway upon and over Potrero avenue, commencing with the intersection of Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, there connecting with the tracks of Market Street Railway Company on San Bruno avenue, thence along and upon Potrero avenue to and upon Army street, there connecting with the tracks of Market Street Railway Company on Army street, subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. The said extension shall be operated in the same manner and subject to the same terms and conditions under which the said street railway line on San Bruno avenue is operated when this supplemental permit becomes effective.

2. Said supplemental permit shall expire concurrently with the permit granted Market Street Railway Company under the provisions of Section 6a of Chapter II, Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as they existed when said permit was granted, and shall be subject always to the right of the City and County to acquire and possess the operative railway property of Market Street Railway Company, as provided in said Section 6a of Chapter II, Article II of said Charter, and Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco in force January 8, 1932.

Section 2. This supplemental permit shall not become effective until Market Street Railway Company files in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an acceptance, in writing, of the provisions of this ordinance, and thereupon the said provisions shall be taken and deemed to be a contract between Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, and the City and County of San Francisco.

This ordinance shall be effective only upon Market Street Railway Company performing all of the terms and conditions of that certain agreement dated February 1, 1933, and entered into between Market Street Railway Company and the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Resolution No. 606, approved January 31, 1933.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding—5.

Leave of Absence, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

The following was read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of twenty days, commencing February 20, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 639, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing February 20, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Power, Spaulding—4.

Controller Requested to Report to Board of Supervisors the Income Received to Date From Revenue Ordinances Enacted Under Authority of New Charter.

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 640, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby requested to report to the Board of Supervisors the income received to date from revenue ordinances enacted under the authority of the new Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Power, Spaulding—4.

Requesting State Director of Public Works and Chief Engineer to Take Official Cognizance of the Airport Site at Yerba Buena Shoal in Construction of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 15.05)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 641, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is negotiating for the shoals just north of Yerba Buena Island for a future airport; and

Whereas, the State Department of Public Works is now designing important structural changes upon Yerba Buena Island in connection with the construction of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which designs should include provisions for the traffic to and from said proposed airport should it be built; and

Whereas, the operation of said airport would depend entirely upon the construction of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the possibility of obtaining connection between the bridge and the airport for traffic; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby requests the Hon. Earl Lee Kelly, as Director of the Department of Public Works of the State of California, and the Hon. Charles H. Purcell, as chief engineer of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, to take official cognizance of the plans for the proposed Yerba Buena Airport, and to cause engineering studies to be made of the possible traffic connections for both automobiles and interurban cars between the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the proposed Yerba Buena Airport, in order that such structural features as may be necessary for this communication can be incorporated in plans for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Power, Spaulding—4.

Mayor to Appoint Committee for Observance of Armistice Day.
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 642, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for a fitting observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Power, Spaulding—4.

Riley Tax Bill Meeting.

Supervisor Hayden announced a meeting in Larkin Hall, Civic Center, Thursday evening, February 24, 1933, under the auspices of the State Board of Equalization to discuss the proposed Riley Tax Bill now pending in the Legislature. He impressed the Board with the importance of attending and participating in the discussion.

Opening of Bids for San Francisco-Alameda Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved that the Board of Supervisors accept the invitation of the Governor to attend the official ceremonies at the opening of bids for the first unit of construction of the San Francisco-Alameda Transbay Bridge to be held at Sacramento February 28, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 6, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 9

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, February 27, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 27, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of February 14 and 15, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Report on Municipal Kitchen, 52 Ritch Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 23, 1933.

Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Dear Sir: On Tuesday, February 21st, I made an inspection of the municipal kitchen at 52 Ritch street, and found this particular kitchen in a fine sanitary condition, and all foodstuffs cooked or served therein fit for human consumption. I cannot, after a complete survey, find any cause for complaint from any phase of the handling, distribution of foodstuffs, or the feeding of the unemployed.

I have inspected this plant personally on many occasions since its opening, in January, 1931, and have not at any time found cause for complaint. Inspectors in that particular district have checked this plant continually and no cause for complaint has ever been found. No case of food poisoning has ever originated in this plant, despite the fact that over 3,500,000 meals have been served on the premises since January, 1931.

In my opinion the food served is wholesome and served in sufficient quantity to satisfy any but the most unreasonable individual. An average of 7800 meals are served each day. On Tuesday, February 21st, the food served for supper consisted of bread, lamb, curry and rice, carrots, potatoes, coffee with milk and sugar. On Monday, bread, beef stew, onions, tomatoes, coffee, sugar and milk.

Eighty-seven per cent of the men served are residents of this city. The food is served in a large rat-proof, concrete building, with plenty of light and ventilation. The floors are washed twice daily and the tables are scrubbed every morning in a satisfactory manner. A second helping is given all those who desire additional food. The quality of food served is the best obtainable and the variety is of such a nature as to constitute a satisfactory and wholesome meal.

All in all the food served is of the best as to quality, variety and quantity and served under ideal sanitary and healthful conditions.

Respectfully,
JNO. J. BURKE,
Chief Food Inspector.

Unemployment Relief.

Victor J. Baker, 1253 Octavia street, and J. Finegold, 1390 Rhode Island street, were heard at length, complaining of the administration of relief funds and urging certain improvements.

Also, communication from Homeless Youths, requesting certain provision for maintenance and support of their organization.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee, to meet Friday at 2:30 p. m.

Homeless Youth Hearing.

Supervisor Power announced that the Public Welfare Committee would meet on Friday, March 3, 1933, 2:30 p. m., to consider requests of Provisional Committee of Homeless Youth, West Coast Division, and requested that the members of the Board sit in as a Committee of the Whole to hear the requests.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:15 P. M.

Representatives of Board in Sacramento to Prepare Legislation Looking Toward Payment of Quarterly Installments of Taxes Instead of Semi-Annually, as Heretofore.

(Code No. 5.3)

The following recommendation of Judiciary and Finance Committees was taken up and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 603, as follows:

Whereas, the present method of payment of taxes, requiring the annual levy to be paid in two installments, with the attendant penalties for failure to pay said taxes at the appointed time, has become a serious burden to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, particularly at this time of unemployment and stress; and

Whereas, the payment of taxes in quarterly installments would materially aid the taxpayer in meeting his obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby instruct its representatives in the State Legislature, this session, to prepare and present legislation amending the present laws requiring the payment of taxes in semi-annual installments, so that taxpayers might be permitted to pay their taxes in quarterly installments.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Milk Ordinance.

The following matter, which the Health Committee recommends against passage, was taken up:

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 251, Ordinance No. 17.051, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of per-

mits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. Pasteurizing Plant: Any place or establishment devoted to the processing, handling, distributing and sale of milk, cream and milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. Dairy Farm: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. Score Card: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card.

Subdivision 5. Market milk or cream, wherever such terms are used in this ordinance, shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. Cream: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, such that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. Ice Cream: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. Ice Milk: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice cream shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. Goat's Milk: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and processing of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sales, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. Buttermilk: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on any unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed.

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever.

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit.

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substance or substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk.

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner, is hereby declared to be impure and unwholesome and must not be sold for human consumption.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test. Such milk must be kept in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Unfit for human consumption."

Section 3. Unfit Milk Defined:

Subdivision 1. All milk, excepting that which is consigned in bulk to the wholesale trade, and certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, and manufacturing milk, is hereby declared unfit for human consumption, and it shall be unlawful to offer or expose for sale or for exchange any such unfit milk.

Subdivision 2. Milk shall be graded as follows: Certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, manufacturing milk and milk unfit for consumption.

Subdivision 3. Certified Milk: Certified milk is milk produced and certified to by the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society as required by Section 3, Subdivision 9, of this ordinance. It must come from a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 nonpathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5). Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.

Subdivision 4. Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk: No person, firm or corporation shall sell or exchange, or offer or expose for sale or exchange, as and for Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk, any milk which does not conform to the rules and regulations and the methods and standards for production, processing and distribution of Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is

greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. Manufacturing Milk: Milk containing more than one million non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter obtained from dairy cows in no way unfit for the production of milk for use by man as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health shall be known as manufacturing milk and shall not be sold for human consumption as market milk.

Dairy farms producing manufacturing milk shall be conducted in such a manner as not to endanger the public health. Dairy barns and milk houses on said farms shall be thoroughly cleansed at least once a day. Utensils and equipment shall be efficiently sterilized after each using.

Manufacturing milk may be used wholly or in part in the manufacture of foodstuffs, provided that during the process of manufacture or immediately prior thereto said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above 145 degrees Fahrenheit the time during which such temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes except for milk that is re-pasteurized for manufacturing purposes. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.

Subdivision 6. Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. Milk for pasteurization must be kept at a temperature below fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit up to the time of delivery to the pasteurization plant and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 8. Method of Pasteurization: Pasteurizing of milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to

which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents.

Subdivision 9. The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, except in bulk to the wholesale trade, and the name and address of the producer or distributor (who shall be responsible for the quality of the contents and correct labeling as required by this ordinance) shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container. Milk sold or exchanged, or offered or exposed for sale or exchange, as and for graded milk under the designation, label or other representation of Grade "A" Market Milk Pasteurized shall have the name of the grade and whether raw or pasteurized marked on the container or cap of the container in capital letters not less than one-eighth (⅛) inch high and one-sixteenth (1/16) inch wide; and provided, however, that milk not suitable for human consumption shall be plainly marked "Unfit for human consumption" as provided in Section 3, Subdivisions 5 and 6, of this ordinance.

No distinguishing names, marks or words other than those specified in this ordinance shall appear on any bottle, cap, can or container to signify or describe the quality or in any other way distinguish the milk or cream contained therein from similar products of the same class or grade.

No wording except that necessary to describe the quality or grade of milk or cream shall appear on the cap, bottle, can or other container of milk or cream. No wording shall appear on the cap, can, bottle or other container except that prescribed in this ordinance.

Subdivision 10. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time before using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 11. No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk.

Subdivision 12. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco, any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Subdivision 13. Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk, handle milk or milk utensils in any processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as such premises have been inspected and declared in writing

free of contagion by an employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 14. Methods for Serving Milk: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in Section 2, Subdivision 6, of this ordinance.

Subdivision 15. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If graded, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. Permits Required by Vendors of Milk: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, scoring at least 75 per cent or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant constructs said cooling plant in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and provided further, that all milk received at said cooling plant shall be handled in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be

required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such application and such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

No person, firm or corporation not engaged in the business of preparing and processing raw milk for human consumption at a fixed place of business shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing market milk.

Subdivision 5. Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. Official Number of Permits: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. More than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy

farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. Names on Wagons: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. Rule Governing Renewal of Permit: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored or kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be

given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

Milk Coming From Outside the City and County to Be Exposed for Inspection.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

Milk Coming From Outside of the City and County to Be Plainly Marked With the Shipper's Name, Address, Permit Number and Number of Tanks or Cans Contained in the Shipment.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

Milk to Be Tightly Covered.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Sale of Milk and Cream in Quantities of One Quart or Less.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

Milk and Cream Bottles to Be Capped and Marked.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

Names of Brands on Bottles and Caps or Covers Must Not Differ.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

Transfer of Milk on Street.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Penalty for Violation of Provisions of This Ordinance.

Section 18. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 19. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 20. Constitutionality: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 21. This ordinance shall be in effect immediately.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Spaulding offered a certain amendment to the ordinance, which was *referred to the City Attorney for incorporation in the ordinance presented.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health; Philip Erlick, representing raw milk dealers, and L. Arnstein, representing the Department of Public Health and chairman of the Advisory Committee, were heard.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Brown the following recommendation of the Supervisors' Committee on Charter Installation was *laid over until July 17, 1933:*

Transient Merchants.
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 241, Ordinance No. 3.04118, as follows:

Defining transient merchants and temporary stores, providing for the regulation of transient merchants, providing for issuance of permit for transient merchants, and providing for a fee to be paid therefor, and for the revocation of said permit, and providing the penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The words "transient merchant" for the purpose of this ordinance shall mean and include all persons, both principals and agents, who engage or conduct in one or more locations or in no specified location within the City and County of San Francisco, a temporary store or temporary or transient business of selling goods, wares or merchandise with the intention of continuing in said business in said City and County for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days. A merchant who operates a main or branch temporary store shall be a transient merchant as to said temporary store notwithstanding the fact that said merchant may operate other stores which are not temporary.

Section 2. As used in this ordinance, the words "temporary store" shall mean a store, store room, office, room, doorway space, vacant lot or other place, opened and/or maintained for the exhibition and present or future sale to the public, of goods, wares or merchandise, where the seller or owner of such goods, wares or merchandise intends to operate such store, store room, office, room or other place, for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to sales made to dealers by commercial travelers or selling agents in the usual course of business, for resale, nor to any sales of goods, wares or merchandise at and during the continuance of any annual fair, nor to any sales by societies acting for charity, religious or public purposes, nor to wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products who hold permits under Ordinance No. 3.04112 of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to auctioneers who are conducting auctions in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6803 (New Series) as amended, nor to operators of amusement parks licensed as such by said City and County, nor to peddlers licensed as such by said City and County, nor to sales of goods, wares and merchandise conducted at any public museum or art gallery owned by said City and County.

Section 4. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean any individual, association, partnership, or corporation, or the operator for or the agent of any such person, who sells to the public at retail as a transient merchant any goods, wares or merchandise, except as herein provided.

Section 5. Every transient merchant desiring to do business in the City and County of San Francisco shall make an application in writing to the Chief of Police of said City and County for a permit to operate as a transient merchant, which application shall be filed with said Chief of Police at least ten (10) days before such applicant shall be authorized to begin such business. The application shall contain a statement under oath, setting forth such information as the Chief of Police shall prescribe.

Section 6. Upon the granting of said permit the Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon filing of the bond referred to in Section 7 and payment of the following fees: One hundred ($100) dollars per week or fraction thereof for the first two weeks and seventy ($70) dollars per week or fraction thereof for every week thereafter, plus in all cases two ($2) dollars for each one hundred ($100) dollars of retail inventory value of goods, wares or merchandise to be sold or exhibited for sale. Said values shall be stated in a sworn inventory filed with said Tax Collector at the time of the payment of said fee. The full amount of said fee shall be paid for each temporary store, whether a main store or a branch store. If for any reason the value of goods, wares or merchandise actually sold or exhibited for sale by said transient merchant shall exceed the value on which a fee has been based, said transient merchant shall pay without further demand a proportionate additional fee.

Section 7. The bond referred to in Section 6 shall be executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and author-

ized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars. It shall be conditioned that the principal will pay to the City and County of San Francisco all amounts which may become due under the terms of this ordinance, shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and all other applicable ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations of any department thereof, and with all statutes of the State of California, relative to the conduct of the business in which said principal may be engaged, and that he shall pay all judgments recovered or rendered against him for any violation of said ordinanees, statutes, rules or regulations, and further conditioned that said principal shall pay any and all judgments which may be recovered by any person, firm, association or corporation for injuries to person, loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of said business by said principal, as well as all damages sustained by any person, firm, association or corporation by reason of any misrepresentation or deception practiced by said principal or his agents or employees on any such person, firm, association or corporation transacting business with such transient merchant.

Section 8. Any person desiring to continue said business of transient merchant for a period longer than that stated in said application and permit, or desiring to renew said permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof. In the event of the renewal of said permit, said transient merchant shall pay the license fee herein provided in the same manner as he paid the license fee under his original license, and the liability insurance policy or bond herein referred to shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 9. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police. Applicants or permittees may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals any denial or revocation of permit hereunder.

Section 10. Any person whom said Chief of Police believes to be a transient merchant, but who declares himself not to be a transient merchant, upon filing such declaration in writing, and under oath as said Chief of Police shall direct, may, in the discretion of said Chief of Police, and in lieu of the payment of any fee or posting of any other bond, and as a condition of transacting business as a vendor of merchandise without the payment of any such fee, be required to file with said Chief of Police a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the declarant as principal and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of one thousand five hundred ($1,500) dollars upon the same conditions as those set forth in Section 7 of this ordinance and further conditioned to secure the payment of the fee required in the event that he proves to be a transient merchant, provided that if such person remains in business in the same place in said City and County of San Francisco for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days he shall be considered and deemed not to be a transient merchant.

Section 11. Actual operation of a business or store for less than one hundred twenty (120) days shall be prima facie evidence that said store or business was opened with the intention of operating less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 12. Any person who causes to be advertised in any manner a sale of goods as herein contemplated without complying with the provisions of this ordinance, or any person who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed six (6) months, or both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a permit shall be considered as separate and distinct offense.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Section 51 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

EXPLANATION.

Section 1. "Transient merchant" is one who conducts a temporary store for less than 120 days.

Section 2. "Temporary store." Any place where goods are sold for less than 120 days.

Section 3. Exemptions:

Commercial travelers.

Annual fair.

Sales for charity, religious or public purposes.

Permittees under Wholesale Peddlers Ordinance.

Amusement parks.

Public museums or art gallery owned by the City and County.

Section 4. Defines "person."

Section 5. Permit to be obtained from Chief of Police.

Section 6. Fees:

$100 per week for first two weeks.

$70 per week thereafter.

$2 per $100 of goods.

Section 7. Requires bond of $500 to secure license fees and for public liability and property damage.

Section 8. Renewal of permit is made in same manner as application for permits.

Section 9. Provides for revocation of permits for cause and appeal to Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 10. Persons whom Chief of Police believes to be transient merchants, but who declare they are not transient merchants, must post bond of $1,500 to secure payment of fees. Bond is released if persons remain in business more than 120 days.

Section 11. Actual operation of a store for less than 120 days is prima facie evidence of intent to operate less than 120 days.

Section 12. Penalty clause.

Section 13. Saving clause.

Section 14. Repeals conflicting ordinances.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $5,757 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries of Additional Employments in the New Health Center Building.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 244A, Ordinance No. 9.05137, as follows:

Setting aside a supplemental appropriation of $5,757 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 116 (Personal Service, Department of Public Works), $4,985,

and to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office, Department of Public Health), $772, for payment of additional employments in the new Health Center Building, fiscal year 1932-1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the sum of $4,985 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 116 (Personal Service, Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works), and the sum of $772 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office, Department of Public Health); same being for the payment for additional employments at the new Health Center Building, fiscal year 1932-1933, to-wit:

1 Janitor (6½ months), at $155 per month	$1,007.50	
1 Window Cleaner (5 months), at $160 per month	800.00	
2 Watchmen (7 months), at $155 per month	2,170.00	
1 Elevator Operator (6½ months), at $155 per month	1,007.50	
		$4,985.00
1 Plant Electrician (half time), 6½ months, at $237.50 per month		772.00
		$5,757.00

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Amending Section 16 of the Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding Item 7½ (One Electrician), and Increasing Employments of Item 9 (Engineers) from Two to Three (War Memorial).

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 247, Ordinance No. 9.05332, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 7½ and increasing the number of employments under Item 9 from 2 to 3.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 16. **WAR MEMORIAL**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	2	C52	Elevator Operator	135
4	1	C106	Janitor Sub-Foreman	150
5	8	C104	Janitor	135
6	2	C152	Watchman	135
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, per day	6
7½	1	E108	Electrician	220
8	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
9	3	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines	220
			AS NEEDED	
10	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, per week	75

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
11	1	A170	Stage Property Man, per week.....	75
12		A170	Stage Property Man, per day.....	12.50
13	1	E109	Stage Electrician, per week.....	75
14	3	C101	Dressing Room Maids, for part-time services not to exceed five (5) hours a day, at daily rate not to exceed three (3) dollars, and monthly total not to exceed seventy-five ($75) dollars.	
15	2	C105	Concierge, for part-time services not to exceed five (5) hours a day, at daily rate not to exceed three ($3) dollars, and monthly total not to exceed seventy-five ($75) dollars.	

EXPLANATORY.

Trustees of War Memorial request addition of an engineer and an electrician to permanent staff.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60 (Controller's Office), by Eliminating Items 7, 22 and 31, and Reducing Item 8 Salary From $100 to $75, and Adding Two Bookkeepers at $190 and One Bookkeeping Machine Operator at $165, Effecting a Monthly Saving of $160.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 248, Ordinance No. 9.05333, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 by eliminating Items 7, 22 and 31 thereof, which items established, respectively, positions of 1 Chief Clerk at $375, 1 Storekeeper at $150, and 1 General Clerk-Typist at $155, and by reducing the salary provided for the position enumerated under Item 8 thereof from $100 to $75, the present salary of the incumbent, and by increasing the employments under Item 5½ from 1 to 3 and under Item 23½ from 1 to 2. These changes produce a net payroll saving of $160 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 60. **CONTROLLER**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....$	833.33
2	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller.....	500
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
4	6	B4	Bookkeeper	175
5	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5½	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
6½	3	B14	Senior Accountant.....	275
8	1	B216	Clerk (part time).....	75
9	3	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk	190
11	2	B222	General Clerk	185
12	1	B222	General Clerk	175

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
13	3	B222	General Clerk	160
14	3	B228	Senior Clerk	250
15	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
16	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
17	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
18	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
19	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
21	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
23	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23¼	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
23½	2	B310	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
24	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
25½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27	2	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
29	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
30	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
33	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	250
34			Seasonal Temporary Clerks	150

EXPLANATORY.

Recommendation of the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Appropriating $4,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for Expense by Registrar of Voters in the Cancellation of Registrations of Electors and the Reregistration of Electors.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 249, Ordinance No. 9.05140, as follows:

Appropriating $4,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the expense of cancellation of registrations of electors who neglected to vote at either the primary election in August or general election in November, 1932 (according to State law), and advising them of necessity of reregistering in order that the privilege of voting may be accorded them.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended the sum of $4,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for expense by the Registrar of Voters in the cancellation of registrations of electors who neglected to vote at either the primary election held in August or general election held in November, 1932 (according to State law), and advising said electors of the necessity of reregistering in order that the privilege of voting may be accorded to them.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of Registrar and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Ordering Improvement of Certain Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 250A, Ordinance No. 12.061119, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Jennings street by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Fitzgerald avenue between the center and northwesterly lines of Jennings street; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof; and

The improvement of Jennings street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The improvement of the northerly one-half of Army street from Castro street to a line 75 feet easterly from and parallel with the easterly line of Castro street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks, 6 feet in width; by the construction of a vitrified clay pipe side-sewer; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Conditional Acceptance of the Roadway of Intersection of Cayuga Avenue and Milton Street, Including the Curbs.
(Code No. 12.0812)

Also, Bill No. 242, Ordinance No. 12.08126, as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of intersection of Cayuga avenue and Milton street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadway of the intersection of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadway having been paved with asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and is in good condition throughout (sewers and gas mains are laid therein, but there are no water mains, said water mains not being necessary at this time), to-wit:

Intersection of Cayuga avenue and Milton street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Full Acceptance of Roadway of Milton Street Between Cayuga Avenue and Bosworth Street.
(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 243, Ordinance No. 12.08117, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Milton street between Cayuga avenue and Bosworth street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadway of the following named street, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadway having been paved with concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and is in good condition throughout, and has sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Milton street between Cayuga avenue and Bosworth street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Authorizing Delegates to Attend Meeting of Advisory Council of Tax Research Bureau at Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933.
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 253, Ordinance No. 9.05141, as follows:

Authorizing officials of the City and County of San Francisco to attend a meeting of the Advisory Council of the Tax Research Bureau in Assembly Chamber, State Capitol, Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933, at 2 p. m., and providing for their expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That officials of the City and County of San Francisco, appointed by the President of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to represent the City and County of San Francisco at a meeting of the Advisory Council of the Tax Research Bureau in Sacramento, Friday, February 24, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Section 2. That the expense of said officials in attending said meeting shall not exceed in amount the sum of $100, and shall be subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0561, approved February 16, 1932.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of $12.28 Taxes Collected Through Error.
(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 643, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12.28 be and is hereby authorized out of Appropriation 84 (taxes refunded), in payment to Edward S. Norton, being refund of taxes collected through error, as per Vol. 44, Lot 17, Block 5801, of the 1932 Real Estate Rolls.

(Recommendation of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $597,000 From Relief Bond Fund for the Purpose of Caring for and Maintaining the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 259, Ordinance No. 9.05146, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $597,000 from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue 1932, for the purdpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue of 1932, the sum of $597,000 for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of March, 1933.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the pres-

ervation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

(Request of C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Buildings and Lands and Charter Installation was, on moton, *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Boiler Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 258, Ordinance No. 11.086, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the installation and inspection of high-pressure steam boilers, low-pressure steam boilers, direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, and for the issuance of the permits and certificates therefor, and establishing the fees to be charged for the inspection of said appliances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to proceed hereafter with the installation of any high-pressure steam boiler, low-pressure steam boiler, direct-fired hot-water heater, indirect-heated hot-water tank, or pressure tank in any fixed location in the City and County of San Francisco unless the permit so to do as herein provided shall have first been obtained from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works of said City and County. Nothing herein contained shall be construed as evidence of proper performance or adequate capacity of any of the appliances herein mentioned.

Section 2. (a) Whenever used herein, the following terms shall have the following definitions:

(1) High-presure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the generation of steam under pressure, exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(2) Low-presure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container having a heating surface of 3 square feet or more, used for the generation of steam under pressure, not exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(3) Direct-fired hot-water heater shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the purpose of heating water through the medium of heat directly applied to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat directly applied by electrically heated elements.

(4) Indirect-heated hot-water tank shall mean any closed metal vessel or container used for the purpose of storing hot water heated by any other method than the direct application of heat to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat produced by electrically heated elements.

(5) Pressure tank shall mean such metal vessel or container used for the storage or accumulation of air or other substance under pressure as is covered by the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(6) Safety valve shall mean a safety valve conforming to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders.

(7) Relief valve shall mean a valve which conforms to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its

safety orders and which is used for the purpose of relieving excess pressure other than steam.

(b) All of the above defined articles are herein referred to as appliances, and the term appliance in this ordinance shall mean each and any of the above defined articles.

Section 3. (a) The term installation shall not be construed to mean restoration or replacement of previously installed appliances, when such restoration or replacement is made by persons, firms or corporations permanently employing boiler inspectors certified by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California; provided, however, that such restoration or replacement does not change the duty performed by the appliances affected.

(b) The term location shall mean premises used or buildings operated as one unit under one management.

Section 4. (a) Applications for the permits herein mentioned shall be filed in duplicate with the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works upon forms furnished by such Bureau, which forms shall set out information necessary to be given. If the Bureau so requires, plans and specifications in duplicate shall be filed with the application. If it is found that the proposed installation conforms to the requirements of this ordinance, then upon payment of fee specified herein, an installation permit shall be issued to the applicant for the installation of the work. Thereafter, when the work has been completed, it shall not be put in operation until a final inspection shall be made. If upon such inspection it is found that the terms of this ordinance have been complied with, a certificate of final completion shall be posted and a duplicate copy of same issued to the owner or his authorized agent by the Bureau of Building Inspection, as provided for herein. When said installation permit is granted, there shall be attached thereto a copy of said plans and specifications, if such have been required to be filed.

Section 5. (a) Upon the filing of application for an installation permit, the Central Permit Bureau shall forthwith submit such application to such bureaus or commissions as have jurisdiction over the proposed work. If it shall be found that said application and the premises on which the proposed work is to be located conform to the laws and regulations governing such installation, then it shall be the duty of each of such bureaus or commissions to endorse approval upon such application and to transmit two copies thereof to the Central Permit Bureau, which Bureau shall forthwith issue the installation permit, and upon payment of the fee herein named, deliver it to the applicant. But if it shall be found that any such bureau or commission refuses to approve the application, the application shall be returned to the Central Permit Bureau with a statement of the reason for such refusal endorsed thereon.

Section 6. (a) All permits issued and certificates issued pursuant to this ordinance shall be kept displayed in a conspicuous place at or near where said appliance is installed. Installation permits shall be good for one year only; if installation is not begun within that period the permit becomes null and void.

Section 7. (a) Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to apply to the inspection of any appliance when the installation of such appliance is under the supervision and control of the Railroad Commission of the State of California as provided in the Public Utility Act of the State of California, approved the 23rd day of April, 1915.

Section 8. (a) No fees shall be charged for the issuance of permits or certificates, or for the making of inspections of appliances when the same are to be used by the City and County of San Francisco, the State of California, or by the United States of America, except as herein provided.

Section 9. (a) High-pressure steam boilers shall be installed in accordance with the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and/or its safety orders.

Section 10. (a) Low-pressure steam boilers shall be built and equipped in accordance with the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. They shall be equipped with safety valves and with an automatic electric or mechanical device by which the fuel supply is cut off when the water falls below the safe working level or the pressure exceeds that predetermined, which in no case shall be in excess of 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

Section 11. (a) Direct-fired hot-water heaters, except as otherwise stipulated in this section, shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5600 pounds per square inch of cross-section if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of cast iron, the maximum working pressure on such heaters shall not exceed that guaranteed by the manufacturer, less 10 per cent.

(c) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of other materials than cast iron or steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(d) In no case shall a direct-fired hot-water heater be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(e) Direct-fired hot-water heaters shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity, to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent.

(2) A heat-controlling device that will control the fuel supply and prevent the temperature of the heated water from rising in excess of 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(3) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(4) An inspector's valved test gauge connection between the pressure gauge and the heater proper.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the heater, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the heater.

(f) Coil heaters without additional storage capacity and self-contained heaters having a capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(g) Self-contained heaters having a capacity over 45 gallons, equipped as provided in paragraph (e) of this section, are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they conform to the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed a total of 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 30 inches.

(3) In the construction of such self-contained heaters the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such heaters be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pound per square inch.

(4) The exterior of the container of such heaters shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with

the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such self-contained heaters, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 12. (a) Indirect-heated hot-water tnaks shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5000 pounds per square inch of cross section, if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If indirect-heated hot-water tanks are made of other materials than steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(c) In no case shall an indirect-heated hot-water tank be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(d) Whenever an indirect-heated hot-water tank receives its heat or hot water from a coil or from a source of heat making possible a pressure in excess of that for which the tank is built, then such indirect-heated hot-water tank shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure, plus 10 per cent.

(2) If the source of heat supply is high-pressure steam, then a pressure-reducing valve must be installed on the steam line and be set to limit the pressure to that for which the tank is built.

(3) A heat-controlling device that will control the temperature of the water and prevent it from rising above 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(4) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the tank, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the tank.

(e) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(f) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity in excess of 45 gallons are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they comply with the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 24 inches.

(3) In the construction of such tanks the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such tanks be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(4) Such tanks shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such tanks, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification

shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 13. (a) Pressure tanks shall be built and equipped to conform to the pressure tank safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(b) In no case shall they be so located as to be inaccessible for examination.

Section 14. (a) If for any reason pipe lines connected to appliances are to be tested in excess of one and one-half times the allowable pressure of such appliance, then such lines shall be blanked off from the appliances before the test is made.

Section 15. (a) The certificates to be issued as provided in this ordinance shall in large type specifically declare that it is unsafe to person or property to operate the appliance covered by the permit unless the safety devices specified herein are in place and operative. Such safety devices shall be specifically limited in the certificate to such as secure safety to person and property, and shall exclude any additional appliances as are not essential to such safety.

Section 16. (a) All inspections provided for in this ordinance shall be made by inspectors of boilers of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works in conformity with the requirements of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall cause a certificate of final completion to be posted on or immediately adjoining the appliance or appliances inspected, and shall supply the owner or his authorized agent with a duplicate copy of same. This certificate shall be on forms furnished by the Department of Public Works, which forms shall state the date of final inspection, the location and description of the appliance or appliances, and shall be countersigned by the inspector of boilers making such inspection. The Bureau of Building Inspection shall file with the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, on forms furnished or approved by the Commission, such information in regard to the installations herein provided for as may be required by said Industrial Accident Commission.

Section 17. (a) The Department of Public Works is authorized, and it is its duty, in cases where it has reason to believe that the safety of person or property is endangered by the defective operation of any appliances installed before the passage of this ordinance, to cause an inspection to be made thereof. If such inspection shows that the safety of person or property is endangered by lack of, or failure to operate of, safety devices, then it shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works to shut down such appliances until such safety devices are installed, inspected, and a certificate of final completion issued. Such safety devices are to be limited: for low-pressure boilers, to safety valve or valves and automatic pressure reducer; for direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, to relief valve or valves.

Section 18. (a) When the Department of Public Works makes, upon request, an inspection of any appliances which do not carry a permit or certificate, then such inspection and each inspection thereafter shall be made only after the payment in advance of the fee herein scheduled shall have been made to the Central Permit Bureau.

Section 19. (a) The provisions of this ordinance shall govern installations owned by the City and County of San Francisco. By proper bookkeeping entries the Bureau of Building Inspection shall be credited with the amount of the fee herein scheduled and the department for which the inspection is made shall be charged therefor.

Section 20. (a) For the purpose of defraying the cost of issuing permits and certificates pursuant to this ordinance, and of making the inspection herein provided, the following fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of such permit, certificate, or of making such inspection:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:
Diameter of 12 inches or less.....$ 2.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches 5.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches. 7.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more..... 10.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface..... 8.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface..... 14.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface..... 20.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface..... 100.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers..... 4.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters..... 4.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:
Capacity of 100 gallons or less..... 3.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons 4.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more..... 5.00
(6) For pressure tanks:
Diameter of 36 inches or less..... 3.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches..... 5.00

(b) For inspections made on request the fee shall be the same as herein scheduled.

(c) Provided, however, that when more than one appliance is installed at the same location and at the same time, then the total fee charged shall be arrived at by taking the largest single fee and adding thereto one-half of the scheduled fee for each additional appliance installed.

Section 21. (a) If and when the Department of Public Works finds that any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any appliances without complying with the terms of this ordinance, it shall be the duty of said department to shut down the operation of the appliances so installed until inspection has been made and permit and certificate have been issued as provided herein. The fees in such cases shall be as follows:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:
Diameter of 12 inches or less.....$ 10.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches 25.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches. 35.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more..... 50.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface..... 40.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface..... 70.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface..... 100.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface..... 500.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers..... 20.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters..... 20.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:
Capacity of 100 gallons or less..... 15.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons 20.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more..... 25.00
(6) For pressure tanks:
Diameter of 36 inches or less..... 15.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches..... 25.00

Section 22. (a) All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as said conflict exists. No inspection shall be made on appliances except as herein provided.

Section 23. (a) In case any section or sections or part of any section of this ordinance shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, the remainder of this ordinance shall not thereby be invalidated, but shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 24. (a) This ordinance shall not be construed as imposing upon the City and County of San Franicsco, or any official or employee thereof, any liability for damages to person or property which may occur from or in connection with any failure of any of the appliances herein named.

Section 25. (a) Any person, firm or corporation violating this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine not exceeding $500 or to imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six months, or to both said fine and imprisonment; and each day that said violation shall continue shall be deemed a separate offense.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Proposed Charter Amendments.

Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard as to the necessity of repassing the proposed Charter amendments acted upon on February 14 last.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the said proposed Charter amendments were taken up and acted upon as follows, to-wit:

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1.

Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as section 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the 11th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated section 70.1, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies.

Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall

continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $150 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Sub. 3. Should any such emergency declared as herein provided be anticipated to continue into the next fiscal year following the one

during which said emergency has been declared, the heads of all departments, the Controller and the Mayor, in preparing or submitting their respective annual budget estimates, shall base and estimate the net salaries and compensations to be paid to the officers and employees of their respective departments, or, in the case of the Mayor and the Controller, to be paid to the officers and employees of all departments, at amounts not to exceed the said salaries and compensations as reduced by the above-mentioned percentages on the above-mentioned salary and compensation rates, and the annual appropriation and salary ordinance shall fix said net salaries and compensations accordingly. When any emergency is declared after the annual budget is prepared or adopted, or after the annual appropriation or salary ordinances are enacted, and before the annual tax rate is fixed as provided by law, said budget and said appropriation and salary ordinances may be revised or reenacted, so that the deductions herein authorized to be made may be reflected in the amount of the tax levy.

Sub. 4. All of such deductions, whether made after the passing of the annual budget appropriation and salary ordinance or included therein, shall be deemed as temporary deductions from the salaries and compensations of said officers and employees, and shall be continued only during the anticipated period for which said emergency has been declared.

Sub. 5. In making the deductions herein provided for, the value of board, room and laundry or other maintenance furnished by the City and County to any officer or employee, when the same is made a part of his compensation by the Civil Service Commission, shall be added to the monetary salary or compensation paid to said employee, and the amount of deductions from said salary or compensation shall be based on said monetary salary plus the value of said board, room and laundry or other maintenance, provided that no deduction shall be made for quarters furnished to any officer or member of the Fire Department.

Sub. 6. During the period that any emergency shall exist after being so determined as hereinbefore provided, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, may reallocate any unencumbered balance, or any part thereof, to the credit of any department or office exclusive of moneys or appropriations made or required to be made to any bond, bond interest, bond redemption, pension, utility, or trust fund, so that the same shall be available to meet the necessities of said emergency, irrespective as to whether the amount allocated to said department or office is fixed by this Charter or is the result of a tax provided by said Charter to be levied for said department. Should the period during which said emergency is anticipated to exist extend beyond the end of the fiscal year in which the same was declared to exist, the Mayor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, may reduce the amount of any mandatory appropriation provided to be allocated to any office or department; or may reduce the amount of any tax provided by the Charter to be levied for the support or maintenance of any department or office. Provided that no such deduction in appropriation, provided by this Charter to be made to any department, or in the reallocation of funds, or reduction in the amount of said tax otherwise provided to be levied to produce funds for any department, shall be greater than is necessary to reflect the deductions in salaries provided in this section to be made by reason of said emergency.

The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in Section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said Retirement System, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if

no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section.

Ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors February 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2.

Fire Department Retirement System.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 thereof, providing for retirement allowances of certain employees of the Fire Department and for contributions by said employees to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 11th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 of said Charter.

Section 169 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 169. Persons who are members of the Fire Department on the 8th day of January, 1932, shall become members of the retirement system on that date, subject only to the following provisions, in additions to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 163, both inclusive, of this Charter:

(a) Any member of the Fire Department who shall have completed twenty-five years of actual service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, or any member of the Fire Department who shall have reached the age of fifty-five years and shall have completed twenty years of actual service as a member of the Fire Department next preceding the date of his retirement, may retire from service at his option. Any member of the Fire Department who shall become physically disabled by reason of any bodily injury received in the performance of his duty may be retired from service on satisfac-

tory proof thereof. The Retirement Board, by unanimous vote, may retire from service any aged, disabled or infirm member of the Fire Department who has arrived at the age of sixty years and who has completed twenty years of actual service as a member of the Department next preceding such age, who may be ascertained to be, by reason of such age, infirmity or other disability, unfit for the performance of his duties. Such retired member shall receive a monthly pension, payable throughout his life, equal to one-half the amount of the salary attached to the rank held by him three years prior to the date of his retirement, hereinafter referred to as "pension" in this and the following sections; provided, that where such retirement is based on disability alone, in case the disability of such member shall cease, his pension shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement. Should any said retired member die leaving a widow, who shall have been married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, such widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid said pension; provided further, that should said widow die leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, said pension shall continue to be paid to such child or such children collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years; and provided, further, that should said retired member die leaving no widow but leaving an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

(b) The family of any member of the Fire Department who shall die as a result of any injury received during the performance of his duty or from sickness clearly, unmistakably and directly caused by and resulting from the discharge of such duty, or while eligible to a pension on account of years of service in the department, or who has had twenty years of actual service in the department and attained the age of fifty-five years, shall receive the following benefits:

First, should the decedent leave a widow to whom he was married prior to the date of the injury resulting in death, his widow shall, as long as she may live and remain unmarried, be paid a monthly pension equal to one-half of the salary attached to the rank held by the decedent at the time of his said injury, provided, however, that should said widow die, leaving a child or children under the age of sixteen years, said pension shall continue to such child or children collectively until the youngest child arrives at the age of sixteen years.

Second, should the decedent leave no widow, but leave an orphan child or children under the age of sixteen years, such child or such children collectively shall receive said pension until the youngest child attains the age of sixteen years.

Third, should the decedent leave no widow and no orphan child or children, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely upon him for support, such parents so depending shall collectively receive said pension during such time as the retirement board may unanimously determine its necessity.

(c) When any member of the department shall die before retirement, and when no pension is payable to his widow or children, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary a death benefit, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system.

(d) In addition to the other contributions required of the City and County under the retirement system, the City and County shall contribute to the retirement system during each fiscal year, a sum which, together with the members' contributions provided for in subdivision (f) of this section, shall be equal to the liabilities accruing under the retirement system because of service rendered during such year by persons who are members under this section. If subsequent to such fiscal year it shall be determined that such contribution by the City and County, together with the members' contributions, was not sufficient to

meet such liability, then the City and County shall make such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit.

(e) No benefits shall be provided under the retirement system for, nor shall any contributions be required of, persons who become members of the retirement system under this section, in addition to the benefits specially provided and contributions specifically required in this section. Any pension payable because of the death or retirement of any of such persons shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California. Any increase or decrease of the salaries of members of the Fire Department shall not in anywise affect the pensions being paid to persons retired prior to such change, or to any widow, child, children or parents who are receiving a pension at the time of such change.

(f) Each person who is a member of the retirement system under the provisions of this section shall contribute each month to the retirement system, commencing on July 1, 1934, four per cent of his gross salary before deduction for any reason other than absence from duty, to be applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section. Should any person who is a member under the provisions of this section be separated from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, then all of his contributions, with interest, shall be refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the retirement system.

Ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors February 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 3.

Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 37 thereof providing for the positions of Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on the 11th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 37 of said Charter to read as follows:

Fire Marshal.

Section 37. The Fire Commission shall appoint a Fire Marshal and a Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, said appointments to be made from the uniformed rank of the Fire Department, said appointments to be made, and said appointees to hold their respective positions, in conformity with the Civil Service provisions of this Charter, provided, however, that the incumbent in the position of Fire Marshal and the incumbent in the position of Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, at the time this amendment is ratified by the State Legislature, if they have held their respective positions for one year continuously prior thereto, shall be deemed appointed to their respective positions under the

Civil Service provisions of said Charter, and shall thereafter be entitled to all the benefits of said provisions to the same extent as if said persons had been appointed to said positions after examination and certification by said Civil Service Commission. Said incumbents shall also, upon the ratification of this amendment, become members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System subject to all the provisions of Section 169 of the Charter and with all the rights, privileges and benefits of the members of the Fire Department who were such on the 8th day of January, 1932.

The Fire Marshal shall investigate the cause, origin and circumstances of every fire occurring in the City and County, for the purpose of ascertaining whether said fire was accidental or of criminal origin, and shall keep a record of the result of said investigations. If, after said investigation, he shall be of the opinion that any fire was of criminal origin he shall take the requisite proceeding to cause the apprehension and arrest of any person or persons whom he may believe to have been responsible for said fire and shall request the District Attorney to prosecute said persons for any public offense they may have committed in connection with said fire.

The Board of Supervisors may empower the Fire Marshal to sell property saved or salvaged from any fire and for which no owner can be found. Said Fire Marshal may prohibit the disturbance or removal of any property or substance from or upon any building or property where a fire has occurred until the completion of the investigation as herein provided. The Fire Marshal may call upon police officers to assist in the protection or salvaging of property and shall have such other powers and duties as by ordinance may be prescribed relative to the protection of property at fires and the storage of property salvaged therefrom. He shall have such duties appertaining to the enforcement of laws relative to the transportation, storage, sale and use of inflammable liquids, combustible materials and explosives as the Fire Commission by rules, or the Supervisors by ordinance, may prescribe.

In the absence or inability of the Fire Marshal to act, the Chief Deputy Fire Marshal shall perform the duties and functions of his office.

The compensation of the Fire Marshal and the Chief Deputy Fire Marshal shall be fixed in the same manner as the salaries of those other officers and employees of the City and County whose compensations are not fixed by this Charter.

Ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors February 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 4.

Pilots and Marine Engineers on Fire Boats to Be Included in Retirement System.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding to Section 169 of said Charter a new subdivision to be known as subdivision (g), providing that pilots and marine engineers employed on January 8, 1933, on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department, shall be entitled to the benefits and subject to the contributions provided under the San

Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, by Section 169 of the Charter, for other members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County, at a special election to be held on Tuesday, the 11th day of April, 1933, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 169 of said Charter by adding thereto a new subdivision to be known as subdivision (g) and reading as follows, to-wit:

(g) For the purposes of this section, pilots and marine engineers employed on January 8, 1933, on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department, shall be considered members of said Fire Department on and after the effective date of this amendment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 162 of the Charter, and shall thereafter receive benefits from, and make contributions to, the Retirement System as provided in Section 169 of said Charter. Accumulated contributions standing to the credit of each of the above-mentioned pilots or engineers in the Retirement System shall be retained by such system, and in the event of his separation from City service through any cause other than death or retirement, refunded to him under such conditions as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors for the refund of accumulated contributions of other members of the Retirement System, or otherwise applied on the cost of the benefits at death or retirement provided for him under this section, the balance of such cost to be contributed by the City and County to the Retirement System, as provided in this section.

Persons hereafter appointed to either the position of pilot or marine engineer of fire boats shall not be subject to the age qualifications provided for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the Fire Department.

Ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors February 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter Amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Fixing April 11, 1933, as Date for Special Election on Charter Amendments.

(Code No. 1.08)

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 644, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 11th day of April, 1933, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendments to the Charter of said City and County; and said Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above mentioned date, for the purpose of voting on said amendments; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendments to said Charter, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

Amendment No. 1—To add a new section to the Charter, to be known as Section No. 70.1, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Amendment No. 2—Amending Section 169 of the Charter, providing for retirement allowance of certain employees of the Fire Department, and providing for contributions by said employees to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Amendment No. 3—Amending Section 37 of the Charter, providing for the positions of Fire Marshal and Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, and describing the duties of said positions.

Amendment No. 4—Amending Section 169 of the Charter by adding a new subdivision thereto, to be designated "sub. g" thereof, giving to pilots and marine engineers who were employed on the fire boats in the San Francisco Fire Department on January 8, 1933, the same retirement rights as are given to the other members of said department by Section 169 of the Charter.

Be it further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above mentioned election, and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 11th day of April, 1933, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County of the above amendments to the Charter thereof. Resolution No. 636 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following matter was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Appropriating $34,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for Expense Connected With Holding Special Election April 11, 1933, and Rescinding Action Whereby Bill No. 255 Was Passed for Second Reading.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 260, Ordinance No. 9.05147, as follows:

Appropriating $34,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, for expense connected with the holding of special election April 11, 1933, and rescinding action whereby Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, was passed for second reading.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $34,000 for expense connected with the holding of special election April 11, 1933.

Section 2. Action whereby Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, was passed for second reading is hereby rescinded, because of change of date for holding special election.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriation of $427,178.81 for Completion of Boulevard Bond Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 261, Ordinance No. 9.05148, as follows:

Appropriating $427,178.81 from the Boulevard Bond Issue Fund of 1927 to the Department of Public Works for the purpose of completing the various boulevard bond projects now under way and such additional projects as may be within the purposes of said bond issue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is set aside and appropriated to the Department of Public Works from the Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927 issue, the sum of $427,178.81 for the purposes of completing such projects as have heretofore been undertaken and authorized to be paid from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund and also for such additional projects compiled by the Director of Public Works and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and which may be properly chargeable against said 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

I hereby recommend the above appropriation.

....., Mayor.

Approved:

....., Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Payment of Salaries of Relief Workers.

Supervisor Havenner asked that someone be present at any time today to explain why relief workers who have to investigate relief cases have not been paid salaries since the middle of January. He asked that someone from the Controller's office be asked to explain.

Charles Wollenberg, Director of Relief, was granted the privilege of the floor. He admitted that the relief workers had not been paid for several months, but that arrangements were being made through one of the banks to take care of the situation. "However," he said, "something should be done to provide for future payments."

Supervisor Miles announced that the Finance Committee would meet and consider the matter Thursday at 3:30 p. m.

State Legislation Affecting San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 650, as follows:

Resolved, That the chairman of the Finance Committee and the chairman of the Legislative Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the City Attorney or his representative, and the Controller or his representative, be and they are hereby authorized to represent the City and County of San Francisco on the hearing before committees of all bills and constitutional amendments now pending or hereafter introduced in the present session of the State Legislature which in any way affect the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco or have to do with the taxation of property therein.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, in lieu of Resolution No. 650, which was referred to the Judiciary Committee, that the Chairman of the Finance Committee, the Chairman of the Legislative Committee, the City Attorney or his representative and the Controller or his representative, be authorized to go to Sacramento, listen to the proposals made affecting taxation upon the City and County of San Francisco, and to report their opinions and findings thereon to the Board at the earliest possible date, and that they be considered absent from the City on official business and that their expenses therefor be allowed.

So ordered.

Instructions to Representatives of San Francisco Regarding Riley Tax Plan.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would suggest that if a determina-

tion is imminent at tomorrow night's meeting, that the representatives of the Board of Supervisors in Sacramento be instructed to oppose those features of the Riley tax plan which would entail a public tax on publicly owned utilities.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: That is already of record.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: And to bring the matter before the Board I would move further that the question of equalizing taxes upon public utility corporations be considered in advance of the so-called Riley tax plan.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: I second the motion.

So ordered.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee to Properly Celebrate Columbus Day.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 645, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to properly celebrate Columbus Day, October 12, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

San Francisco Chronicle Thanked for Sponsoring Dedicatory Services for San Francisco War Memorial.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisors Canepa and Miles presented:

Resolution No. 646, as follows:

Whereas, on February 22, 1933, the 201st anniversary of the birth of George Washington, the dedicatory services for the San Francisco War Memorial were held by the veterans of San Francisco, under the auspices and sponsorship of the San Francisco Chronicle; and

Whereas, this triumphant celebration was most fitting in character, complete in every detail, and perfectly administered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby extend its grateful acknowledgment to the San Francisco Chronicle for this memorable achievement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the publisher and editor of the San Francisco Chronicle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Appointing James B. McSheehy to Extend Congratulations and Best Wishes From Mayor and Board of Supervisors to President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 648, as follows:

Whereas, on March 4, 1933, in the City of Washington, D. C., Franklin D. Roosevelt will be inaugurated as President of the United States; and

Whereas, the Democratic Club of California has chartered a special train for the purpose of attending such inauguration; and

Whereas, James B. McSheehy, a member of the Democratic State Central Committee and a member of the Board of Supervisors of the

City and County of San Francisco for the past sixteen years, is to be one of this delegation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors appoint Supervisor James B. McSheehy to officially extend to President Franklin D. Roosevelt their congratulations and best wishes as the Chief Executive of the United States.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Leave of Absence Granted Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

The following was read by the Clerk:

February 25, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, for permission to absent himself from the State of California from March 2, 1933, to March 15, 1933, for the purpose of obtaining data on the latest type of incinerators.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this permission.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 649, as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence, from March 2, 1933, to March 15, 1933, with permission to leave the State, for the purpose of obtaining data on the latest type of incinerators.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee for Proper Observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 651, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Leave of Absence Granted Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education.

The following was read by the Clerk:

February 20, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Philip Lee Bush, President of

the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for ten days, beginning February 20th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 652, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing February 20, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Leave of Absence Granted James B. McSheehy, Member of the Board of Supervisors.

The following was read by the Clerk:

February 24, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Supervisor James B. McSheehy, a member of your Board, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, for a period of thirty days beginning Monday, February 27, 1933.

May I ask that your honorable Board concur with me in granting this request?

Very truly yours,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 653, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 27, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Temporary Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Shannon presented a communication from John P. Holland, Inc., declaring that the Scavengers' Protective Union has discontinued use of his equipment in unloading and handling refuse of San Francisco, and that the said City and County will suffer a loss of approximately $30,000 invested in equipment for this purpose, and offering to handle the City refuse for $1 per ton, taking over the bunkers of the Southern Pacific Company, complying with all demands of said company, and furnish a bond for faithful performance.

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary was heard on above subject, declaring, among other things, that he would insist that the work be done in a sanitary and inoffensive manner.

Referred.

Thereupon, the foregoing communication was *referred to the Health Committee.*

Recommending Investigation of Handling of Attendance at Corbett-Fields Boxing Contest, Seals Stadium, February 22, 1933. (Code No. ——)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 647, as follows:

Whereas, on the occasion of the holding of the Corbett-Fields boxing contest on February 22, 1933, at the Seals Stadium, handling and treatment of our citizens has been the cause of most vigorous protest and bitter complaint; and

Whereas, thousands of men and women (many of whom had purchased tickets in advance) were forced to remain on the sidewalks and street in front of said stadium, with the result that men and women fainted, and that in an angry protest some five hundred persons (according to newspaper reports) crashed the gate or gates, and a condition approaching a near riot occurred; and

Whereas, charges are made that this condition was purposely created by the management to induce the purchase of higher rather than the lowest priced seats, and that there were at 2:15 p. m. on that day thousands of unoccupied seats priced at $1.15; and

Whereas, it is further charged that because of this unusual and apparently unnecessary condition many citizens were not admitted until after the preliminary contests were over, and some citizens who held tickets purchased in advance were not admitted at all, as is evidenced by the fact that the management caused to be published a notice that unused tickets would be redeemed; and

Whereas, Seals Stadium is advertised to be the most modern institution of its kind in the West, and has been known to comfortably handle over 20,000 people; and

Whereas, it is stated that the management of the said contest was furnished with ample police protection for the orderly and comfortable handling of the expected attendance; and

Whereas, the management of the contest knew in advance what might be expected in the way of a crowd, and it was their obvious duty to be ready to care for our people as they deserve to be cared for, instead of being treated as so many cattle; and

Whereas, either the arrangements for the reception of the public were apparently hopelessly inadequate or something worse was the case; and

Whereas, it is the duty of this Board of Supervisors and the State Boxing Commission, in the interest of public welfare, to compel any and all persons, associations, etc., to keep faith with patrons of these affairs, and the public has no redress unless the constituted authorities take steps to punish all who may be liable for mismanagement and bad faith in the premises; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board of Supervisors is hereby instructed to make a thorough investigation of these complaints and charges of mismanagement, and to that end this Board hereby clothes them with the authority to require the attendance, by subpoena, or other means, of the following: Messrs. George A. Putnam and Ancil Hoffman; Lawrence White, manager for Young Corbett III; gatekeepers employed by the management; Chief of Police William J. Quinn and Captain Frederick Lemon, of the San Francisco Police Department, and the subordinate officers in charge of the police detail; the officer in charge of the mounted patrol, and any and all citizens who may have complaint or information to offer on the subject; and be it

Further Resolved, That, if necessary, said committee hold night meetings to accommodate citizens who wish to appear; and be it

Further Resolved, That if the said committee should find that the public was mishandled or mistreated on said occasion, that said committee consider the recommending of the revocation, not only of the boxing permits for said stadium, but all other permits issued therefor

until satisfactory evidence is adduced of the ability of said management of the Seals Stadium to accord to their patrons the treatment they have a right to expect.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.
JAMES B. McSHEEHY.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 13, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 10

Monday, March 6, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Volume Ten, Number 10, 1977

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco, California
1978

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 6, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 6, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 20, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Supervisors' Budget, 1933-1934.

Supervisor Miles, chairman of the Finance Committee, presented a budget estimate of supervisorial expenses for 1933-34, amounting to $93,780, which was adopted and ordered sent to Mayor Rossi and Controller Leavy.

Supervisor Miles explained by saying there is in personal services a reduction of $6,920, which was made by transferring one clerk at $2,400 a year and omitting four Supervisors next January, when the Charter will reduce the number of Board members from 15 to 11. A subvention of $1,950 to the State Board of Equalization, paid this year, will not appear in next year's budget.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Milk Ordinance.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Spaulding but refused recommendation by the Health Committee, was taken up:

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 251, Ordinance No. 17.051, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination

of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. Pasteurizing Plant: Any place or establishment devoted to the processing, handling, distributing and sale of milk, cream and milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. Dairy Farm: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. Score Card: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card.

Subdivision 5. Market milk or cream, wherever such terms are used in this ordinance, shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. Cream: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percent-

ages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, such that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. Ice Cream: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. Ice Milk: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice cream shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. Goat's Milk: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and

housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and processing of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sales, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. Buttermilk: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on any unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed.

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever.

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit.

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substance or substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk.

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner, is hereby declared to be impure and unwholesome and must not be sold for human consumption.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test. Such milk must be kept in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Unfit for human consumption."

Section 3. Unfit Milk Defined:

Subdivision 1. All milk, excepting that which is consigned in bulk to the wholesale trade, and certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, and manufacturing milk, is hereby declared unfit for human consumption, and it shall be unlawful to offer or expose for sale or for exchange any such unfit milk.

Subdivision 2. Milk shall be graded as follows: Certified milk, Grade "A" pasteurized milk, manufacturing milk and milk unfit for consumption.

Subdivision 3. Certified Milk: Certified milk is milk produced and certified to by the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society as required by Section 3, Subdivision 9, of this ordinance. It must come from a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 nonpathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5). Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.

Subdivision 4. Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk: No person, firm or corporation shall sell or exchange, or offer or expose for sale or exchange, as and for Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk, any milk which does not conform to the rules and regulations and the methods and standards for production, processing and distribution of Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible

by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. Manufacturing Milk: Milk containing more than one million non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter obtained from dairy cows in no way unfit for the production of milk for use by man as determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health shall be known as manufacturing milk and shall not be sold for human consumption as market milk.

Dairy farms producing manufacturing milk shall be conducted in such a manner as not to endanger the public health. Dairy barns and milk houses on said farms shall be thoroughly cleansed at least once a day. Utensils and equipment shall be efficiently sterilized after each using.

Manufacturing milk may be used wholly or in part in the manufacture of foodstuffs, provided that during the process of manufacture or immediately prior thereto said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above 145 degrees Fahrenheit the time during which such temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes except for milk that is re-pasteurized for manufacturing purposes. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.

Subdivision 6. Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. Milk for pasteurization must be kept at a temperature below fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit up to the time of delivery to the pasteurization plant and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 8. Method of Pasteurization: Pasteurizing of milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents.

Subdivision 9. The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, except in bulk to the wholesale trade, and the name and address of the producer or distributor (who shall be responsible for the quality of the

contents and correct labeling as required by this ordinance) shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container. Milk sold or exchanged, or offered or exposed for sale or exchange, as and for graded milk under the designation, label or other representation of Grade "A" Market Milk Pasteurized shall have the name of the grade and whether raw or pasteurized marked on the container or cap of the container in capital letters not less than one-eighth (⅛) inch high and one-sixteenth (1/16) inch wide; and provided, however, that milk not suitable for human consumption shall be plainly marked "Unfit for human consumption" as provided in Section 3, Subdivisions 5 and 6, of this ordinance.

No distinguishing names, marks or words other than those specified in this ordinance shall appear on any bottle, cap, can or container to signify or describe the quality or in any other way distinguish the milk or cream contained therein from similar products of the same class or grade.

No wording except that necessary to describe the quality or grade of milk or cream shall appear on the cap, bottle, can or other container of milk or cream. No wording shall appear on the cap, can, bottle or other container except that prescribed in this ordinance.

Subdivision 10. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time before using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 11. No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk.

Subdivision 12. Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk not Properly Labeled: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco, any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Subdivision 13. Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk, handle milk or milk utensils in any processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as such premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 14. Methods for Serving Milk: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be

removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in Section 2, Subdivision 6, of this ordinance.

Subdivision 15. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If graded, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. Permits Required by Vendors of Milk: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, scoring at least 75 per cent or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant constructs said cooling plant in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and provided further, that all milk received at said cooling plant shall be handled in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such application and such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

No person, firm or corporation not engaged in the business of preparing and processing raw milk for human consumption at a fixed place of business shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing market milk.

Subdivision 5. Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. Official Number of Permits: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. More than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. Names on Wagons: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall

have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. Rule Governing Renewal of Permit: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored or kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of

the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

Milk Coming From Outside the City and County to Be Exposed for Inspection.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

Milk Coming From Outside of the City and County to Be Plainly Marked With the Shipper's Name, Address, Permit Number and Number of Tanks or Cans Contained in the Shipment.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

Milk to Be Tightly Covered.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Sale of Milk and Cream in Quantities of One Quart or Less.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

Milk and Cream Bottles to Be Capped and Marked.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

Names of Brands on Bottles and Caps or Covers Must Not Differ.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

Transfer of Milk on Street.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Penalty for Violation of Provisions of This Ordinance.

Section 18. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 19. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 20. Constitutionality: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 21. This ordinance shall be in effect immediately.

Telegram.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

Sacramento, Calif., March 6, 1933.

J. Emmet Hayden, Chairman Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

For your information it is my opinion that certified milk produced under strict legal inspection is the only consistently safe raw milk, and that controlled pasteurized milk is the only other safe milk. Passing an ordinance permitting raw milk, Grade A, for San Francisco is retrogressive and not in keeping with the high standard maintained.

GILES S. PORTER, Director of Public Health.

Relative to "Chronicle" Article.

Supervisor Gallagher read into the record a communication from John Marshall, Jr., market specialist, addressed to the city editor of the "Chronicle," denying statement attributed to him in an article in the "Chronicle" of March 5, 1933, by Zilfa Estcourt, entitled "State Inquiry of San Francisco Milk Inspection Asked." The statements denied by the writer follow:

"I see no justification for any such request as Mr. Glass has made. It's just another political maneuver in the effort to defeat the ordinance.

"Local officials of the State Division of Animal Industry were inclined to take a light view of the Glass demands.

"John Marshall, of the local office of the State Agricultural Department, said the San Francisco milk inspection is held in high regard by the State."

Ordered *filed*.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, was heard. He declared that the ordinance just meant two things. "I want pasteurized milk from tuberculin tested cows and certified raw milk passed upon by the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society, which sees that milk is tuberculin tested, thoroughly washed premises, abortion tested, men and women employed thoroughly examined for disease.

Guaranteed raw milk presumably has the same test, but we don't know. We haven't any records of it in the Health Department."

Dr. Arnstein, member of the Board of Health; Dr. Adelaide Brown, Dr. A. E. Graupner, Dr. Gibbons, Dr. Randolph Flood, Dr. T. Henshaw Kelly, Dr. Mark Gerstle, Dr. Elizabeth Arthur, and a representative of the San Francisco Women's Club spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance.

Substitute Ordinance.

Supervisor Spaulding thereupon offered the following substitute ordinance, which was ordered printed and copies ordered sent to the members.

MILK ORDINANCE.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any place or establishment devoted to the processing, handling, distributing and sale of milk, cream and milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society

or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. Market milk or cream, wherever such terms are used in this ordinance, shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, such that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-

tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any

skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO*:

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk*: (*a*) *certified milk,* (*b*) *guaranteed pasteurized milk,* (c) *Grade A pasteurized milk and* (*d*) *Grade B pas-*

teurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.*

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk:* Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the

produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk: Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum:*

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regula-*

tion as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of

public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, from dairy farms scoring at least 75 per cent or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant constructs said cooling plant in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and provided further, that all milk received at said cooling plant shall be handled in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be pro-

vided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream,

buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said

sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED BY SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Amendment No. 1.

In Section 3, Subdivision 1, line 2, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 2.

In Section 3, Subdivision 2, line 1, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 3.

After Subdivision 3 of Section 2 insert the following:

Subdivision 3A. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test; said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Health. Only animals free from Brucilla abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Health or by other person or laboratory approved in writing by said Director of Health, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Health.

Amendment No. 4.

In Subdivision 6, Section 2, line 9, after the word "content," insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 5.

In Subdivision 4, Section 2, line 21, after the word "Director," strike out the words "of Public Health" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 6.

In Subdivision 5, Section 2, line 1, after the word "or," insert the word "Market."

Amendment No. 7.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 4, after the word "than," strike out the words and figures "eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18)" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20)."

Amendment No. 8.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10 and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial counts for market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk."

Amendment No. 9.

In Subdivision 15, Section 3, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 10.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following: "except certified milk and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In Subdivision 4, Section 4, strike out lines 16 to 19 inclusive and in lieu thereof insert the following: "No person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Certified Milk Dairy Farm or a Guaranteed Raw Milk Dairy Farm, and no person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Pasteurizing Plant within the City and County of San Francisco, shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing Market Milk in said 'City and County'."

Amendment No. 12.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 4, strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 13.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 15, after the word "Director" strike out the words "of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco" and in lieu thereof insert tne following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 14.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 37, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 15.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 29, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 16.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 2, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 17.

In Subdivision 7, Section 3, line 2, after the word "of" strike out the words "delivery to the Pasteurizing Plant" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "processing at the pasteurizing plant."

Amendment No. 18.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 1, strike out the word "more" and in lieu thereof insert the word "less."

Amendment No. 19.

In Subdivision 8, Section 3, line 3, after the word "and" strike out the words "forty (40) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 20.

In Subdivision 10, Section 3, line 3, strike out the word "before" and in lieu thereof insert the word "after."

Action Deferred.

On motion, duly made and carried, the foregoing substitute ordinance and proposed amendments were ordered sent to members and the subject-matter was thereupon *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m., Monday, March* 13, 1933.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $500 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, to the Municipal Court, Criminal Departments, for Jury and Witness Fees.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 254, Ordinance No. 9.05142, as follows:

Appropriating $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 60 (Jury and Witness Fees, Municipal Court), for the payment of jury and witness fees in the criminal departments of the Municipal Court.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 60 (Jury and Witness Fees, Municipal Court), for the payment of jury and witness fees in the criminal departments of the Municipal Court.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of the Clerk of the Municipal Court, approved by the City Attorney and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—9.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Peyser, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriating $34,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Revenue), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for Expense Connected With the Holding of Special Election April 4, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, as follows:

Appropriating $34,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, for expense connected with the holding of special election April 4, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $34,000 for expenses connected with the holding of special election April 4, 1933.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of Registrar of Voters, approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and recommended by the Mayor.)

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation of $44,340 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), for the Continuance of Additional Hospitalization.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 256, Ordinance No. 9.05144, as follows:

Setting aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office), and the sum of $24,780 to the credit of Appropriation No. 168 (Personal Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $5,760 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $13,200 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital), for the continuance of additional hospitalization during the fiscal year 1932-1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service, Central Office), the sum of $24,780 to the credit of Appropriation No. 168 (Personal Service, San Francisco Hospital), the sum of $5,760 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital), and the sum of $13,200 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital), for the expense of the continuance of additional hospitalization during the fiscal year 1932-1933.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Request of the Director of Public Health, approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Granting to Market Street Railway Company a Supplemental Permit Under Section 132 of the Charter to Construct and Operate a Street Railway Over Certain Streets.

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 257, Ordinance No. 15.0912, as follows:

Granting to Market Street Railway Company a supplemental permit under Section 132 of the Charter to construct and operate a street railway over certain streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 132 of the Charter and in order to make effective that certain written agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company, dated February 1, 1933, which agreement was authorized by Resolution No. 606 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted January 30, 1933, a supplemental permit is hereby granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to construct and operate, in conjunction with and as an extension of its existing lines on San Bruno avenue, a street railway upon and over Potrero avenue, commencing with the intersection of Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, there connecting with the tracks of Market Street Railway Company on San Bruno avenue, thence along

and upon Potrero avenue to and upon Army street, there connecting with the tracks of Market Street Railway Company on Army street, subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. The said extension shall be operated in the same manner and subject to the same terms and conditions upon which the said street railway line on San Bruno avenue is operated when this supplemental permit becomes effective.

2. Said supplemental permit shall expire concurrently with the permit granted Market Street Railway Company under the provisions of Section 6a of Chapter II, Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as they existed when said permit was granted, and shall be subject always to the right of the City and County to acquire and possess the operative railway property of Market Street Railway Company, as provided in said Section 6a of Chapter II, Article II of said Charter, and Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco in force January 8, 1932.

Section 2. This supplemental permit shall not become effective until Market Street Railway Company files in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an acceptance, in writing, of the provisions of this ordinance, and thereupon the said provisions shall be taken and deemed to be a contract between Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, and the City and County of San Francisco.

This ordinance shall be effective only upon Market Street Railway Company performing all of the terms and conditions of that certain agreement dated February 1, 1933, and entered into between Market Street Railway Company and the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Resolution No. 606, approved January 31, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Portion of Lot 27, Block 7146, From Abbey Buckley, and Payment of $228 Out of 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue. Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 654, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts a deed from Abbey Buckley to a portion of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 7146, San Francisco, California, required for Alemany boulevard, and that the sum of $228 be paid for said land from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Purchase From Hannah Tamony of Portion of Lot 1, Block 5868, and Payment of $150 Out of 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund. Required for Alemany Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 655, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named owner:

Hannah Tamony, $150—Portion of Lot 1, Block 5868, as per the current Assessor's Block Books.

The above described property is required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, San Francisco.

Said sum of $150 to be paid out of the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Purchase From Mary J. Goggin of Lots 31, 32, 33 and 34, Block 5627, and From A. W. Janofsky of Lot 35, Block 5629, and Payments of $400 and $100, Respectively, Out of 1931 Boulevards and Roads Bond Fund. Lands Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 656, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners to certain land required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid to them from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Mary J. Goggin, $400—Lots 31, 32, 33 and 34, Assessor's Block 5627, San Francisco.

A. W. Janofsky, $100—Lot 35, Assessor's Block 5629, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Authorizing Payments of Amounts Totaling $4,394.05 by the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 657, as follows:

Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 254 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 255 to J. B. West for $125, No. 256 to J. B. West for $125, No. 257 to F. O'Shaughnessy for $85, No. 258 to Geo. Partridge for $95, No. 259 to F. O'Shaughnessy for $65, No. 260 to Geo. Partridge for $65, No. 261 to San Francisco and Fresno Land Company for $3,350, No. 262 to Harry H. Lorentzen and Lillie A. Lorentzen for $75, No. 263 to Harry H. Lorentzen and Lillie A. Lorentzen for $75—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Refund of $7.92, Taxes Collected Through Error. Volume 15, Lot 20, Block 2077, 1932-1933 Real Estate Rolls.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 658, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7.92 be and is hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, to H. B. Masterson, being amount of taxes collected through clerical error. To be found in Vol. 15, Lot 20, Block 2077, of the 1932-1933 Real Estate Rolls.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Controller to Cancel Duplicate and Erroneous Assessments on the 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Roll.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 659, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following are duplicate and erroneous assessments on the 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Roll, and in accordance with provisions of section 3804A of the Political Code should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following duplicate and erroneous assessments, in accordance with the provisions of section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol. 16, page 134, line 22, assessed to Erick E. Erickson; tax....$ 9.29
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 14, page 197, line 6.

Vol. 16, page 96, line 17, assessed to Horace Craft; tax..... 4.44
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 15, page 8, line 13.

Vol. 16, page 111, line 3, assessed to Louis DiMartini; tax..... 19.39
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 4, page 114, line 2.

Vol. 17, page 30, line 11, assessed to Jennie McCarty; tax..... 35.15
Duplication of statement filed with Probate Department, Assessor's office.

Vol. 17, page 148, line 6, assessed to John Rodriquez; tax..... 2.42
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 12, page 220, line 11, auto registered, filed for and tax paid by D. Sickles of same address.

Vol. 17, page 177, line 22, assessed to A. Sei; tax.....2.83
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 10, page 183, line 21.

Vol. 17, page 193, line 7, assessed to G. M. Smith; tax..... 2.02
Duplication of paid entry in Vol. 11, page 33, line 22.

Vol. 16, page 17, line 14, erroneous assessment against Orland F. Atkinson; amount 4.04

Vol. 16, page 168, line 10, erroneous assessment against Harold P. Gill; amount 7.27

Vol. 16, page 23, line 16, erroneous assessment against J. Baldassini; amount 8.28

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Purchase From Yulupa Land and Water Company of Lots 5, 6 and 7, Block 5620, and Payment of $300 From Appropriation 170 (Boulevards and Roads Bonds, 1931), and Repealing That Portion of Resolution No. 489 Referring to Same Lots.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 670, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Yulupa Land and Water Company to Lots 5, 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5620, San Francisco, required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of $300 be paid for said land from Boulevards and Roads Bonds 1931 (1931-1932 Appropriation No. 170).

Be it Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 489, adopted October 31, 1932, in so far as it pertains to said Lots 5, 6 and 7, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Sidewalk Construction on Various Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 262, Ordinance No. 12.061119, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sixth avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Eleventh avenue (west one-half), 125 to 225 feet north of Moraga; Eleventh avenue (east one-half), Moraga to Noriega; Twenty-fourth avenue (east one-half), Kirkham to Lawton; Twenty-sixth avenue (east one-half), Kirkham to Lawton; Twenty-sixth avenue (west one-half), Kirkham to 250 feet south of Kirkham; Thirty-first avenue (east one-half), Irving to Judah; Thirty-third avenue, Irving to Judah; Fortieth avenue (east one-half), Irving to Judah; Fifteenth avenue (east one-half), Wawona street to West Portal avenue; Lawton street (north one-half), Twenty-second avenue to Thirty-first avenue; Twenty-second avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Lincoln way (south one-half), Sixth avenue to Seventh avenue; Oneida avenue (north one-half), Otsego avenue to Delano avenue; Italy avenue (south one-half), Mission to London; Twenty-second avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Fountain street (east one-half), Twenty-fourth to Twenty-fifth; Mt. Vernon avenue (north one-half), San Jose avenue to Howth street; Twenty-ninth street (south one-half), Castro street to 280 feet westerly; Cayuga avenue (west one-half), Santa Rosa avenue to 150 feet westerly; Wilder street (north one-half), Diamond to Natick; Boyce street (west one-half), Geary street to 75 feet southerly, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width are not at the present time constructed to the official grade; and of Laguna street (east one-half), Vallejo street to Broadway; California street (south one-half), Laguna to Octavia; Sacramento street (north one-half), Gough street to 82 feet 6 inches east of Gough street; Gough street (east one-half), Sacramento street to 127 feet 8¼ feet north of Sacramento street; Polk street (east one-half), Chestnut to Francisco;

Union street (south one-half), 100 feet to 127 feet 6 inches west of Scott street; Vallejo street (south one-half), Montgomery street to 68 feet 9 inches east of Montgomery street; Turk street (north one-half), 137 feet 6 inches to 206 feet 3 inches west of Franklin street; Douglass street, Seventeenth street to North End; Silver avenue (south one-half), Madrid to Edinburgh; Carolina street (east one-half), Twentieth to Twenty-second; Paul avenue (south one-half), Exeter to Crane, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are not at the present time constructed to the official grade.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Approving Map of Cayuga Avenue Between Tingley and Gorham Streets.
(Code No. 12.0821)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 671, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map showing the realignment of Cayuga avenue between Tingley and Gorham streets," approved by the Director of Public Works March 1, 1933, by Resolution No. 2156, be and is hereby approved, and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Cayuga avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Exchange of Certain Lake Merced Lands With Spring Valley Company, Ltd.
(Code No. 12.1741)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 263, Ordinance No. 12.17413, as follows:

Authorizing the exchange of certain Lake Merced lands with Spring Valley Company, Ltd.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property now under control of the San Francisco Water Department and hereinafter described as Parcels A and B, to Spring Valley Company, Ltd., in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcels 1, 2 and 3, all of which parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL A.

Commencing at a point which is distant 1612.69 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard and 2075.00 feet at right angles easterly from the southerly production of the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to December 28, 1925, said point being distant north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 165.91 feet from the southwesterly end of the 14th course of San

Francisco Parcel 55 as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County (said 14th course of Parcel 55 being assumed to bear north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east); thence along the northerly line of said Parcel 55, the following courses and distances: north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 81.34 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 39 degrees 24 minutes, a distance of 220.05 feet; thence south 62 degrees 15 minutes 30 seconds east, 132.79 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 130 feet, central angle 129 degrees 06 minutes, a distance of 292.92 feet; thence south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west, 138.70 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 22 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 707.58 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 46 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 281.00 feet; thence north 68 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 6.77 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of above mentioned Parcel 55.

Containing 1.840 acres.

PARCEL B.

Commencing at a point on the easterly boundary of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced (which is assumed to bear north 0 degrees 15 minutes west) distant northerly along said boundary 1798.52 feet from a granite monument set at the intersection thereof with the boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, in the State of California; thence running along the northerly boundary of San Francisco Parcel 55 of that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, at page 1, the following courses and distances: north 74 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds west, 503.42 feet to aforesaid company's monument No. 60; thence south 87 degrees 00 minutes west, 287.95 feet to aforesaid company's monument No. 59 and the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing along said northerly boundary, as follows: on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 61 degrees 30 seconds, a distance of 134.17 feet; thence north 31 degrees 30 minutes west, 217.30 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 80 degrees 40 seconds, a distance of 175.99 feet; thence north 49 degrees 10 minutes east, 105.57 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 100 feet, central angle 97 degrees 57 minutes, a distance of 170.95 feet; thence north 48 degrees 47 minutes west 17.81 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 550 feet, central angle 31 degrees 30 seconds, a distance of 302.38 feet; thence north 80 degrees 17 minutes west, 627.77 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 81 degrees 54 minutes, a distance of 178.68 feet; thence south 17 degrees 49 minutes west, 55.78 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 200 feet, central angle 72 degrees 10 minutes, a distance of 251.91 feet; thence south 89 degrees 59 minutes west, 900.00 feet; thence leaving said boundary of aforesaid Parcel 55, and running south 0 degrees 01 minute east, 173.29 feet; thence north 73 degrees 51 minutes east, 275.74 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 357.50 feet, central angle 25 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 155.99 feet; thence south 81 degrees 09 minutes east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1342.50 feet, central angle 18 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 433.47 feet; thence north 80 degrees 21 minutes east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 507.50 feet, central angle 61 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 544.74 feet; thence south 38 degrees 08 minutes 54 seconds east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tan-

gent to the preceding course, radius 292.50 feet, central angle 31 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 158.26 feet; thence south 69 degrees 09 minutes east, 36.40 feet; thence north 3 degrees 00 minutes west, 20.12 feet to the aforesaid monument No. 59 and the true point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of above mentioned Parcel 55.

Containing 11.863 acres; reserving, however, to the City and County of San Francisco the following described rights of way across said Parcel B:

1. The right to maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace and/or remove the existing Baden-Merced pipe line, with necessary accessories, within a strip of land 20 feet wide, 10 feet each side of the center line of said existing pipe line. Said center line being generally described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of the center line of said Baden-Merced pipe line with the northerly line of the Lake Merced Golf and Country Club property, and running along said center line as follows: north 6 degrees 19 minutes 30 seconds west 1300 feet, more or less, to an angle in said line, north 15 degrees 44 minutes 30 seconds east 1020.40 feet, north 31 degrees 22 minutes 30 seconds east 1112.2 feet, north 26 degrees 42 minutes west 1849.3 feet, north 5 degrees 38 minutes west 4313.66 feet, north 5 degrees 29 minutes west 1211.7 feet and north 29 degrees 21 minutes west 535.7 feet, more or less, to a point in the southerly line of San Francisco Parcel 56, as the same is described in said deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. The right to maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace and/or remove the existing Lake Merced suction and force mains, with necessary accessories, all within a strip of land bounded on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 10 feet, at right angles northeasterly, from the center line of the existing Lake Merced 23-inch force main, and on the southwest by a line parallel to and distant 10 feet at right angles southwesterly from the center line of the existing Lake Merced 22-inch suction main. The center line of the Lake Merced 22-inch suction main being generally described as follows:

Commencing at a granite monument set at the intersection of the boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo with the easterly line of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced and running north 47 degrees 57 minutes west 2483.6 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 416.2 feet, north 38 degrees 23 minutes west 135.17 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 305 feet, north 50 degrees 01 minute west 140.69 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 1270 feet, north 46 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west 84.04 feet, north 64 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds west 58.2 feet, south 88 degrees 21 minutes 30 seconds west 190.08 feet, and south 86 degrees 42 minutes west 329.24 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 hereinbefore referred to; and

The center line of the Lake Merced 23-inch force main, being generally described as follows:

Commencing at a point in the easterly line of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced, distant north 0 degrees 15 minutes west 27.04 feet from a granite monument set at the intersection of said easterly line with the line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, and running north 47 degrees 57 minutes west 2464.96 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 2265.56 feet, north 42 degrees 15 minutes west 84.05 feet, north 64 degrees 35 minutes west 61.11 feet, and south 86 degrees 42 minutes west 534.92 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 hereinbefore referred to.

Also reserving to the City and County of San Francisco, all subterranean waters under said lands described as Parcels A and B; provided, however, that the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., and its

successors in interest, shall have the right to drill new wells for and to take water from such new wells situate within the limits of said Parcels A and B for domestic or other use upon Parcels A and B or upon adjoining lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., or its successors in interest, but not for use elsewhere.

PARCEL 1.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sloat boulevard with the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to Dec. 28, 1925, if said center line be produced southerly along its then existing course, and running thence southerly along the southerly extension of said center line, whose bearing is assumed to be south 3 degrees 02 minutes 50 seconds east, a distance of 1700 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 100 feet, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 157.08 feet; thence north 86 degrees 57 minutes 10 seconds east, 259.41 feet to the easterly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by Spring Valley Company, Ltd., Dec. 21, 1931, and recorded in Volume 2317 of Official Records, at page 383, records of said City and County, and the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing north 86 degrees 57 minutes 10 seconds east, 152.94 feet to a point on the northerly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 as the same is described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County, said point being on the arc of a curve with a radius of 300 feet, at which point the tangent to said curve bears north 54 degrees 15 minutes 30 seconds east; thence northeasterly along the arc of the last named curve with a central angle of 22 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 120.13 feet; thence along the northerly line of aforesaid Parcel 55 the following courses and distances: north 31 degrees 19 minutes east, 311.01 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 69 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 390.02 feet; thence south 78 degrees 51 minutes east, 83.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, central angle 74 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 261.22 feet; thence south 4 degrees 01 minute east, 45.27 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 290 feet, central angle 26 degrees 40 minutes, a distance of 134.97 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 125 feet, central angle 145 degrees 26 minutes, a distance of 317.29 feet; thence north 57 degrees 13 minutes east, 341.57 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 340 feet, central angle 21 degrees 07 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 125.36 feet; thence north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 165.91 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 68 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 910.11 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 400 feet, central angle 74 degrees 20 minutes, a distance of 518.94 feet; thence south 36 degrees 56 minutes 20 seconds west, 334.03 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 45 degrees 02 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 235.85 feet to the easterly line of the above described property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Spring Valley Company, Ltd.; thence south 8 degrees 01 minute 50 seconds east along said easterly line, 60.53 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Containing 7.317 acres.

PARCEL 2.

Commencing at a point which is distant 1915.36 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard and 2333.17 feet at right angles easterly from the southerly production of the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to Dec. 28, 1925, said point being distant south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west,

138.70 feet from the northeasterly end of the 18th course of San Francisco Parcel 55 as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County, said 18th course of Parcel 55 being assumed to bear south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west); thence along the easterly line of said parcel 55 the following courses and distances: south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west, 27.59 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 125 feet, central angle 68 degrees 42 minutes, a distance of 149.88 feet; thence south 1 degree 51 minutes 30 seconds east, 25.16 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 200 feet, central angle 55 degrees 08 minutes, a distance of 192.45 feet; thence south 56 degrees 59 minutes 30 seconds east, 194.26 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 400 feet, central angle 25 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 174.53 feet; thence south 31 degrees 59 minutes 30 seconds east, 89.25 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 800 feet, central angle 11 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 165.22 feet; thence south 43 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds east, 51.56 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 250 feet, central angle 38 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 169.44 feet; thence south 82 degrees 39 minutes 30 seconds east, 44.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, central angle 54 degrees 04 minutes, a distance of 188.75 feet; thence south 28 degrees 35 minutes 30 seconds east, 36.54 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 300 feet, central angle 27 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 143.99 feet; thence south 56 degrees 05 minutes 30 seconds east, 45.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 150 feet, central angle 130 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 341.65 feet; thence south 74 degrees 24 minutes 30 seconds west, 247.35 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 300 feet, central angle 41 degrees 40 minutes, a distance of 218.17 feet; thence north 63 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds west, 156.34 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 300 feet, central angle 116 degrees 07 minutes, a distance of 607.99 feet; thence south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds east, 1887.27 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 500 feet, central angle 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 205.08 feet; thence south 23 degrees 32 minutes 30 seconds east, 42.76 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 500 feet, central angle 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 205.08 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west, 2046.50 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 549.78 feet; thence north 89 degrees 58 minutes east, 388.64 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 141 degrees 05 minutes, a distance of 861.83 feet; thence north 51 degrees 07 minutes west, 939.48 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 450 feet, central angle 28 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 222.97 feet; thence north 22 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 209.30 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 14.400 acres.

PARCEL 3.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the Skyline boulevard (which is assumed to bear south 3 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds west) and the southwesterly line of Parcel 55 of San Francisco County Lands as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County, said point being distant 100 feet easterly and 120.69 feet northerly from the California State Highway Monument set at Engineer's Station "P" 69 + 73.05, P. C.; thence running southeasterly along aforesaid line of Parcel 55 the following courses and distances: on the arc of a curve to the left whose center

bears north 67 degrees 11 minutes east, radius 500 feet, central angle 30 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 263.62 feet; thence south 53 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds east, 434.46 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 1000 feet, central angle 16 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 282.89 feet; thence south 69 degrees 14 minutes east, 699.64 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 300 feet, central angle 33 degrees 33 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 175.71 feet; thence leaving said line of said parcel 55 and running north 69 degrees 14 minutes west, 865.47 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 16 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 297.03 feet; thence north 53 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds west, 434.46 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 550 feet, central angle 19 degrees 31 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 187.40 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 10 feet, central angle 53 degrees 28 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 9.33 feet to the easterly line of the Skyline boulevard; thence north 3 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds east, along said easterly line, 105.34 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.023 acres.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said Parcels A and B to Spring Valley Company, Ltd. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Spring Valley Company, Ltd., upon receipt of a deed to said Parcels 1, 2 and 3, and to accept and record the deed to said Parcels 1, 2 and 3.

Section 3. The City and County of San Francisco shall, at its own expense, relocate any structures that may be affected by the proposed Lake Merced boulevard which is to be constructed by said City and County over said Parcels 1, 2 and 3. The City and County of San Francisco shall satisfactorily settle with the tenants of Spring Valley Company, Ltd., for any damage to crops caused by the construction of said boulevard. Grading of the boulevard hereinbefore mentioned shall be started within two years from the date of the deed conveying Parcels 1, 2 and 3 to the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Memorializing Legislature of the State of California re Gax Tax Fund Diversion.

(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 662, as follows:

Whereas, there is a movement on foot, with the approval of the administration of the State of California, to divert highway funds from the purposes for which they were originally created; and

Whereas, such diversion of highway funds will result in men being thrown out of employment, in material not being used, and in equipment allowed to waste; and

Whereas, the spirit and the letter and the origination and the initiation of the Gas Tax Fund was for and is to all purposes and intents for the construction, reconstruction and maintenance of primary roads throughout the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully request legislation of the State

of California now in session to oppose any and all such movements which have for their purposes the diversion of the gas tax moneys from the original intent and purpose of said fund, namely, the construction, reconstruction and maintenance of a primary road system throughout the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and that copies be sent to his Excellency the Governor of the State of California, to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House, and to each member of the San Francisco delegation in Sacramento.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Opposition to Legislation Diverting Gas Tax Funds.
(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 670, as follows:

Whereas, an attempt is being made at the present session of the Legislature to divert the expenditure of gasoline tax funds for other than highway purposes, as now provided by law; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does now go on record as opposing any legislation diverting the expenditure of any portion of the gasoline tax moneys for other than highway purposes in the manner now provided by law; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be immediately sent by telegraphic wire to the chairman of the Roads and Highways Committees of the State Senate and Assembly, and that a copy of this resolution be mailed to the President of the Senate and to the Speaker of the Assembly of the State of California.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Report of Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Peyser subsequently reported that the Judiciary Committee had met and considered the two resolutions and decided as follows: "That the Board of Supervisors go on record as unalterably opposed to any diversion of gas tax moneys to any other than the purpose for which the fund was originally set up, except that the committee makes no recommendation of contemplated action for payment of highway bond interest and redemption."

Thereupon, Supervisor Peyser presenetd the following resolution:

Diversion of Gas Tax Fund to General Fund Opposed by Board of Supervisors.

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 667, as follows:

Whereas, there is a movement on foot having for its purpose the diversion of highway funds, one, to the general funds of the State of California, and, two, the diversion of sufficient moneys to discharge bond interest and redemption on highway bonds; and

Whereas, the spirit and the letter and the origination and the initiation of the Gas Tax Fund was for and is to all purposes and intents for the construction, reconstruction and maintenance of primary roads throughout the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, in regular session assembled, does hereby oppose the diversion of any moneys derived from Gas Tax Funds to the General Fund of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors at this time makes no recommendation relative to the use of gas tax moneys for the discharge of interest and redemption of highway bonds; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and that copies be sent to his Excellency the

Governor of the State of California, to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House, and to each member of the San Francisco delegation in Sacramento.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Commending President and Board of Directors of the Grace Line for Their Enterprise in Building Liners "Santa Rosa," "Santa Paula," "Santa Lucia" and "Santa Elena."

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 668, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco, one of the foremost ports of the world, will be honored Tuesday with the arrival of the new Grace Liner "Santa Lucia," on its maiden voyage to its home port; and

Whereas, this liner is one of four modern and speedy liners built by the Grace Line for its New York-San Francisco and Northwest service, at a cost of $20,000,000. The vessels are the "Santa Rosa," "Santa Paula," "Santa Lucia" and the "Santa Elena." The first two, in order, have already visited their home port, the "Santa Lucia" arrives Tuesday, and the last of these all-American-built vessels, the "Santa Elena," is due April 18th, on her maiden voyage from the east coast; and

Whereas, the majority of officers and crew, numbering hundreds, are San Francisco residents; the vessels were constructed entirely of American material by American workmen; these liners represent valuable adjuncts to the United States merchant marine and will be of valuable assistance to the growth of business in San Francisco and the bay region; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby commend President Edward T. Ford and the board of directors of the Grace Line for their enterprise in building these freight and passenger carriers at such a tremendous cost and does thank them for undertaking such an expansive program at a time when faith in business recovery is an essential of big business.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

President to Appoint Committee of the Board of Supervisors to Attend Meeting of the County Supervisors' Association at Sacramento, March 8, 9 and 10, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 669, as follows:

Whereas, the President of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California has called a meeting of representatives from each county of the State, to be held Wednesday, March 8, Thursday, March 9, and Friday, March 10, 1933, in the City of Sacramento, for the purpose of preparing a legislative program to be sponsored by the association at the forthcoming session of the California Legislature; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of this Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of the Board of Supervisors to attend a meeting of county representatives in the city of Sacramento, Wednesday, March 8, Thursday, March 9, Friday, March 10, 1933, called by the President of the County Supervisors' Associa-

tion of the State of California. The purpose of said meeting is to prepare a legislative program to be sponsored by this association at the forthcoming session of the California State Legislature.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

In Memoriam—Anton J. Cermak.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 663, as follows:

Whereas, the victim of one of the most outstanding and atrocious murders of the present era has, after a struggle notable for its courage, its sturdiness and its will power, succumbed to the effects of the assassin's bullet; and

Whereas, there has died today at Miami, Florida, Anton J. Cermak, Mayor of Chicago, who rose from a Bohemian immigrant boy to an honored position second to none in the municipalities of the United States of America; and

Whereas, the circumstances of the death of the Mayor of Chicago are surrounded with a strangeness wherein it were that he should die for a greater one to live; and

Whereas, this note that has come to us of the sacrificing of Anton J. Cermak's life that the nation carry on through him for whom the bullet was intended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deeply and publicly proclaim their horror at the ghastliness of the death of the Mayor of Chicago, Anton J. Cermak; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of this Board and a copy be sent to the surviving daughter of the late Anton J. Cermak, Mayor of Chicago.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote:

Report of Supervisor Gallagher on Unemployment Relief Complaints.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 6, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen of the Board: Mindful that it is usually the case for committees of this Board to hear subjects and then refer them to other official bodies without the formality of communication, I therefore take advantage of this opportunity to bring pointedly before the Board my own reflections and recommendations as a member of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a member of the Public Welfare Committee, assembled Friday, March 3, 1933, to hear the complaints from the unemployed group or their representatives, and to hear the replies thereto of the officers in charge.

The first group that was heard were representatives of a group stating that they are organized under the title of Homeless Youth of America, San Francisco Division, represented by William Maher, Eldon L. Freze and Arthur Santoyato; said representatives, ranging in age from 17 to 25, stated (and there is every reason to believe their statements were true) that they had been battered from pillar to post all over this country; that they had been victims of the "Move On" system, and that they had in some instances been arrested as many as nearly sixty times for the purpose of seeing to it that they did move on; that there were 300 of them now in San Francisco; that some of them had been fed for periods of from 20 or more days, but had been refused further assistance.

It will be noted that despite their youth some of the leaders of this group made the statement that if not given relief "they proposed to go out and get it."

Mr. Charles M. Wollenberg admitted the correctness of many of their statements, but stated that his hands were tied, and that if the Board of Supervisors would give him the funds, remedy could be had. It is indicated that a sum of $1,000 more or less per month would take care of at least 300 of these boys, and probably more.

The Homeless Youth Group Committee indicated that if authorized to do so, and if given co-operation, they had plans for the disciplining, care and maintenance of their group, with the assistance of the Citizens' Committee. On motion, they were instructed to submit a plan. I beg to recommend, and I trust my colleagues will join me, that this plan be given immediate consideration by the Director of Relief and the Citizens' Relief Committee; that they point out wherein this plan will not work, and that they do so immediately.

I beg to recommend further that the Public Welfare Committee of this Board be constituted and authorized to deal directly with the Citizens' Relief Committee and Director of Relief to the end that no delay will ensue.

There were also heard complaints of the unemployed to the effect that severe restrictions had been made relative to the use of automobiles by those on relief. So far as my recollection of the answers was, it was to the effect that these regulations are necessarily stringent and due to complaints of citizens. I could not see from my reaction any good reason for the rule, and recommend that it be reconsidered.

On the complaint of rent relief, and heat and light, it seems to me that, as a result of the hearings, the Relief Committee should raise its sights! Admitting it will take more money, they in turn admitted their inability to deal with the most harassing, aggravating and desperate situation because of the proportionate amounts set up for relief. It does seem to me that, realizing this terrific and, I think, unusual and unprecedented condition through rents and gas and heat and light, that the Relief Committee should immediately recommend measures to relieve it, even though the funds in hand had to be drawn on to a greater amount than heretofore, has been the case.

With the idea of providing further relief these recommendations are made, and to the end that this increasing threat of violence to established institutions may be minimized.

Respectfully yours,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Member of the Board of Supervisors.

Program of Homeless Youth of America, San Francisco Division.

1. We plan to live an ideal life if it will be made possible for us.
2. We will prepare ourselves to be honorable citizens of this community, and be ready to do any work we are called upon to do, for a standard wage scale and not for charity.
3. We will not discuss or contradict religious or political questions.
4. We will study human nature and contrive to help our fellow youth.
5. We will organize an orchestra, glee club, and we will stage dramatic plays, also we will establish a library and a gymnasium.
6. Self-leadership. We have intelligent youths who think constructively and will see that things are carried out in an orderly manner.
7. Sanitation. We will practice cleanliness, by washing our persons, fumigating the entire place, and sterilizing all our eating utensils; we will exterminate all vermin that cause disease.
8. We have investigated and found that the lowest possible cost a homeless youth can be fed three wholesome meals a day on is approximately 5 cents.
9. The following things will have to be supplied by the City:
    1. 300 cots should be obtained by the City from the National Guard.

2. 600 blankets should be obtained by the City from the National Guard.
3. 300 plates, cups, saucers, spoons, forks, knives, etc.
4. 1 coffee urn, 1 refrigerator, 1 gas stove for cooking, and etc.
5. Gas, water and electricity must be paid by the City.

WM. MAHER, Acting Chairman.
ELDON L. FREZE, Acting Secretary.

In Re Relief Work.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: * * * I present this document. Is there any report from the committee of the whole, Supervisor Power?

SUPERVISOR POWER: No, I have not.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I present this document, then, which is self-explanatory, Mr. Chairman, under my name on the roll call, and ask that it be read by the Clerk.

(Thereupon there was read by the Clerk a communication addressed to the Board by Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, under date of March 6, 1933, in connection with relief work.)

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like to move the adoption, Mr. Chairman, of that report, in view of the fact there appears to be no report from the members of the Board on that subject. If I get a second, I will explain my reasons.

SUPERVISOR BREYER: I will second the motion.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Have I a second, Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Then I think, Mr. Chairman, one of the stiffest complaints we went against the other day was the statement by the unemployed that they had repeatedly put their claims before us and repeatedly they had been just simply referred to some other body. I find that in many of the cases, or in several of the cases at least, where they were referred letters, official letters have not gone forth from this Board, thus leading to the easily attainable situation that our group says they have been referred to the other group, who say they have not been not been received.

I am not an alarmist, but I don't like the tenor of this unemployed movement. I don't like to sit in a public hall, in a democratic form of government, filled to overflowing with people in desperate circumstances, apparently, and find it necessary to be guarded by the police force, and about one policeman to every ten persons present, and where they have a great mass meeting here in the Civic Center and even more police guards are necessary. At the last meeting here the other day many supervisors took advantage of the opportunity to stay away, without excuse. I don't think the San Francisco authority is any better off for these conditions, and I therefore feel that these complaints at least should receive some attention.

On my motion the homeless youth committee was asked to submit a plan, and they did submit a plan today, and I took the time to study that plan and to present it with a request to see what was contained in it. I have the original plan in my hands.

On the subject of the use of automobiles by those on relief, I, for my part, could see no sense in preventing those people from using automobiles which they had legitimately bought and paid for, and where no money that would come to them on relief was being expended on their upkeep. There was entered a complaint that the owners of automobiles who happened to be on relief, whether it was their old Fords or what, would have to take their license plates and white certificates and deposit with the automobile bureau of the relief committee, and I hardly see the necessity for that.

I find further that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, after allowing them two months until they go on relief, as far as the paying of their bills is concerned, say that when they come off relief they must still be faced by those bills. In other words, the Pacific Gas and Elec-

tric hands them the back bills, so that a man might easily find himself with five months' heat and light bills before him with nothing to pay them with.

I find further that in the matter of rent, the rent proposition, it is simply a ring-around-the rosie proposition as it is being handled now. The landlord, not mindful that he is taking in an indigent, proceeds to take a family in and lets them stay a month or two, until he finds out, and then throws them out. The Associated Charities then pays one month rent and lets them cheat the landlord for the next month or two, whatever they can, and then the Associated Charities sets them up again in another place, and it starts the same process over again, with the result that the unemployed gets no rest and the landlord gets no rent. The answer to that proposition by the relief committee, as to the reason it did not deal differently with that proposition, was that it had not received sufficient funds to properly take care of it. I cannot sit here as a member of the Board, a little better off in the world's goods than a number of these people, who are apparently worthy, and let the answer be that the people who are being ejected must continue in that manner for the simple reason that they cannot afford to give them more money, even though we have got it. I cannot sit here as a Supervisor and feel that some woman is giving birth to a child and having her heat turned off. There is money that can be used for that purpose, and for that reason, and for the reason that I hope some action may be taken by virtue of this report, and the further reason that there is no report here today by the committee of the whole, I have brought this report in here today.

SUPERVISOR POWER: Mr. Chairman, the reason there is no report here from the committee of the whole, or the Welfare Committee, whichever you wish to consider it, I sat here until seven-thirty, in fact sat alone until seven-thirty; most of the members were here at one time, but gradually had to leave, for some reason or other; I told the Relief Committee that there would be a report here one week from today and not this Monday. The reason I told the people interested that, Supervisor Gallagher, I thought it would be better to consult the Mayor's Relief Committee and Mr. Wollenberg before we brought a report in here.

Now, relative to the homeless youths, as I recall, you told them to submit a plan here today.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The committee did, and I have it here.

SUPERVISOR POWER: I haven't seen the report, and that is why I am going to ask that it wait another week.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is nothing before the Board from the Public Welfare Committee, as I understand it.

SUPERVISOR POWER: Not as yet, Mr. Chairman, and I ask, with all due deference to Mr. Gallagher, that this be allowed to go over until next Monday.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: My view, my reason for submitting this report, I didn't think there would be a report here today, and I didn't know what action had been taken by the committee, because I left, as you know, and you know why. Now, Supervisor Power, I did not intend to criticize you, and I hope you will understand it in that way, but in regard to this problem of the homeless youths, a week's time, a week's delay, means possible starvation to some people; a week's time means four or five hundred people evicted; a week's time means a couple of babies born without any heat; a week's time means light and heat turned off for people who need it; and I do not see any reason for waiting a week unless you disagree with the statements set forth in my report. I plead with you to remember that here in this room the other day there was an armed force guarding the officials, authorities of this city, and that at a mass meeting held the next day there was even a greater armed force used right here in the Civic

Center to guard the officials, and it seems to me, Supervisor Power, a week's time is too long.

SUPERVISOR POWER: Well, from my understanding of the situation, there are no people being evicted and these boys are not starving; Mr. Wollenberg is taking care of them at this time and will take care of them for another week at least.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Didn't you hear him state that he had provided them food for twenty-four days and that it would stop?

SUPERVISOR POWER: I heard him say that he had fed them for twenty-four days, yes, Supervisor, but can't we tell him, tell Mr. Wollenberg, to continue to feed them for another week and then take action relative to your report, or whatever the situation calls for?

In connection with the confiscation of automobiles, I disagree with you; I think their use is justly confiscated in the case of some of them.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Well, I still stand for the adoption of my report.

SUPERVISOR MILES: I understand that it takes an unanimous vote to adopt the report here today.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, it does not take a unanimous vote to adopt a report, but there is no report in here today from the Welfare Committee, before which this matter was heard.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Didn't we meet as a committee of the whole?

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think so.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: That was the order, that was your motion, wasn't it, Mr. Power?

SUPERVISOR POWER: That was my motion, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Board did not resolve itself into a committee of the whole, it was just merely the Welfare Committee, as I understand it, met as a committee, and there were a few members of the Board also present at the hearing.

SUPERVISOR POWER: I have no objection to this report going to the Mayor's Relief Committee, but a report will also go to them from the Welfare Committee.

SUPERVISOR MILES: I move that this resolution, or this report by Supervisor Gallagher, be referred to the Welfare Committee, and that the Clerk authorize the reporter of the Board to make a transcript of Supervisor Gallagher's remarks and that they also be submitted to the Mayor's Relief Committee, and then we will have the entire report before us and will report back to the Board on next Monday.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will second that motion; but I would like to make an amendment to it; I would like to have the entire transcript of what occurred here the other day also transcribed. There were many things said the other day that would give an entirely different color to what occurred here, from what Supervisor Gallagher said. You have not heard the statements made at that time by Mr. Wollenberg, and I want to express now my utmost confidence in Mr. Wollenberg and the relief committee and the manner in which they are doing their work. They have no intention of refusing help to those who are deserving and are in need of it, and he stated that as much money as you will give "we will spend." He also stated that the law prohibits the giving of help to any floaters, and I say this with no disrespect to any man, but he is not supposed to feed them for over three days, and yet Mr. Wollenberg said that he had kept them on the list of relief for twenty-four days, "and now if you will give me the money, and the law permits, I will go as far as you give me the money to go," so let's hear the other side, and let us have all that transpired at that meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: You want to incorporate that in the motion by Supervisor Miles, Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any second to that amendment?

SUPERVISOR MILES: In the first place, that would cost in the neighborhood of $100, and I understand that each organization appearing here on Friday submitted a request in writing to the Clerk, and I understand those have all been referred to the committee, and when they bring a report back, if there is any question as to what took place on Friday, I think we can have the reporter here and let him read it over to us from his notes, and effect a very considerable saving.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then, if there is no objection, Supervisor Gallagher's remarks and his report will be referred to the Relief Committee, and we will have a report back here on next Monday.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Please understand me, Supervisor Roncovieri, there is nothing in my statement and nothing in my remarks which I hope will be considered as being unduly critical of Mr. Wollenberg or his committee. I sense that I am perhaps over-critical in connection with this matter, and I also sense that possibly there is an extremely grave situation confronting us. I do know that Mr. Wollenberg distinctly said this, and the record so shows, when I asked Mr. Wollenberg, "What are you going to do about these three hundred boys as per this plan?" he said, "Gentlemen, I am rather helpless; we feed them for a while under the law, but then we are compelled to stop. Now, if your Board of Supervisors authorizes me to go ahead and feed them further, I will do so, and if they submit a plan by which they might manage their own affairs under their own supervision I might give them the necessary food or assistance."

The motion, as I get it, by Supervisor Miles is that this report be referred to the Welfare Committee, or this—what shall I call it—letter that I filed—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): Statement.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (continuing): This statement be referred to the Welfare Committee, and that a copy be immediately sent, of the remarks here, to the Relief Committee, so that next week when your proposition comes up they will have been dealing with it in the meantime.

I would like to ask permission of the floor to ask one of the young men I see in the audience, one of the homeless youths, a few questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is opposed to opening this matter up at this time. You had your hearing the other day, and there not being a motion before the Board, this will be referred to the Welfare Committee. I think that is the proper tribunal to hear the matter.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Pardon me, nobody has been denied the right here for some time to ask a citizen, or some person appearing here, a question.

THE CHAIRMAN: Only for the reason, Supervisor, that I shall oppose any attempt at this time to open up this matter.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I wanted to ask this question: Whether or not the young man knew as to whether or not food was at this time being refused to any one of the homeless youths? If you think I am opening up the question in that way, and you say I can't do it—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): I won't object to one question of that kind, but I shall object to opening up the question to discussion on the Board at this time.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (addressing a youth in the audience): Are you a member of the Homeless Youths Committee?

THE YOUTH: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Is it true—

THE CHAIRMAN: What is your name?

MANUEL DEVILA: Manuel Devila.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you a resident of San Francisco?

MANUEL DEVILA: For the first time, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: How long have you been here?

MANUEL DEVILA: Ten days.

THE CHAIRMAN: Where did you come from?

MANUEL DEVILA: Los Angeles.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (interrupting): Who got the right to ask questions here, Mr. Chairman? Can't you wait until I get through?

THE CHAIRMAN: I wanted to supplement your questions; I want to know who is addressing this Board.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I think that is rather arbitrary, and—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): I have asked my question. Proceed, Supervisor Gallagher.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: May I ask, you are one of the homeless youths?

MANUEL DEVILA: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Have you been refused food?

MANUEL DEVILA: They make it pretty hard to get; we don't get food down there, it's slop.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: He says they don't get any food, that it's slop.

THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the Board, you heard the report by Doctor Geiger, or by the Chief Food Inspector, who inspected the soup kitchen at Ritch street, and inspected all the food, and said that everything was in good shape and perfectly sanitary, and now are we going to let strangers come in here and after ten days refer to the food at Ritch street being "slop," and I know it is not so, because I have been down there myself.

MANUEL DEVILA: You never been in the bread line.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have been down there and seen the food, and seen the place, and had a cup of coffee down there myself; I know it is all right.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I am asking you whether any of these boys have been refused food or not.

MANUEL DEVILA: I really don't know, because I have never been there.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question now is on reference to the Welfare Committee and the Citizens' Relief Committee. Is there any objection? (No response.)

So ordered.

Residence Qualification of School Teachers.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a communication from Adolph Uhl, requesting that the San Francisco delegation to the Legislature support Assembly Bill No. 1397, relating to the employment of persons by school authority.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Favoring Consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco in 1939 to Celebrate Completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bridges.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 664, as follows:

Whereas, completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges will signalize the beginning of a new phase of San Francisco's existence as metropolis of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, these giant structures will be an attraction as engineering spectacles to tourists from all over the world, and should be fittingly publicized; and

Whereas, the bridges will make San Francisco more accessible from the north and east and enhance the City's position as queen of the Pacific, the future arena of world events; and

Whereas, a previous undertaking of vital interest to San Francisco, namely, building of the Panama Canal, was celebrated by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as favoring consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco in 1939 to observe completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges, and urge citizens and civic organizations to work towards that end.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Communication From Park Commissioners in Re Yacht Harbor Improvements.

The following was read by the Clerk:

February 28, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California:

On or about February 15 the attached request was addressed to your Board. As no communication has been received from your honorable body up to date, it is desired that this request be cancelled and that the letter enclosed herewith, bearing today's date, be substituted therefor.

B. P. LAMB, Secretary.

February 28, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Subject: Two fireproof piers and fire walls, Yacht Harbor.

The Board of Park Commissioners request that your honorable Board extend, by resolution, the time for the letting of the contract for the construction of two fireproof piers and fire walls at Yacht Harbor, on the Marina, to March 31, 1933, in accordance with the provisions contained in section 1 of Bill No. 59, Ordinance No. 9.0871, Code No. 9.087, titled "Contract Procedure Ordinance," approved by your honorable Board June 2, 1932.

The reason for the request for this extension of time is the delay caused by the study and deliberations of the Fire Commission and the subsequent minor changes in plan resulting therefrom.

B. P. LAMB, Secretary.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Power and *adopted*:

Extension of Contract for Two Fireproof Piers and Fire Walls, Yacht Harbor.

(Code No. 23.01)

Resolution No. 665, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for the letting of the contract for the construction of two fireproof piers and fire walls at Yacht Harbor, on the Marina, be extended to March 31, 1933.

This extension of time is required on account of the delay caused by the study, deliberation and resulting minor changes in the plans.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Request for Cost Estimate for Widening and Lighting Fell Street, Van Ness Avenue to Stanyan Street.
(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 666, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby respectfully requested to submit plans, specifications and estimated cost for widening Fell street, from Van Ness avenue to Stanyan street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and is hereby respectfully requested to submit estimated costs for properly installing lighting standards in and on and along Fell street, widened.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Budget Request for Convenience Station at North Beach and Tax for Broadway Tunnel.

Supervisor Canepa presented:

San Francisco, Calif., March 4, 1933.

Hon. Victor J. Canepa, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor Canepa: At the last regular meeting of the North Beach Promotion Association resolutions were adopted that your aid be asked in presenting these two requests to the City officials in charge of these matters:

No. 1. That a convenience station be constructed in this section, preferably in the vicinity of Columbus avenue and Broadway.

No. 2. That a few cents be included in the annual tax rate to start construction of the Broadway tunnel. This plan was followed in raising the initial funds for construction of the Golden Gate bridge. Of course, the opinion of the City Attorney would prevail on any plan adopted. Work of some kind will have to be started to relieve some of the unemployment.

Very truly yours,

NORTH BEACH PROMOTION ASSOCIATION.
RICHARD P. GORMAN, Secretary.

Referred to his Honor the Mayor for Budget consideration.

Letter of Thanks.

Communication from Hon. John C. Porter, Mayor of Los Angeles, thanking the Board of Supervisors for courtesies extended to the Los Angeles delegation to the Golden Gate bridge ceremonies.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Redwood Empire Supervisors' Unit Meeting.

Supervisor Miles presented a communication from the Redwood Empire Supervisors' Unit, inviting attendance on Tuesday, March 7, at 9 a. m., Room 426 State Capitol building, of Roads and Highways Committee; also, at 8 a. m., breakfast session at Hotel Senator, Thursday, March 9, 1933.

Read by Clerk. Same committee as County Supervisors' Association authorized to attend.

Mayor's Application for Federal Aid in Unemployment Relief.

His Honor Mayor Rossi appeared before the Board at this point in the proceedings and called attention to the desirability of obtaining federal aid in unemployment relief work. He presented the following communication, which was read by the Clerk:

March 4, 1933.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Governor of California, Sacramento, California.

My Dear Governor: I am herewith transmitting to you in triplicate the application of San Francisco for funds from the Reconstruction

Finance Corporation for relief of the needy residents of the City and County. While the application speaks for itself, it is my desire that you should be advised more in detail as to the present and immediate future needs of San Francisco.

San Francisco has been able to care for all of its relief work since the present emergency began. For the year 1931, $2,100,000 was expended from the proceeds of sale of bonds which were voted by the people for unemployment relief. In addition to this sum, $260,000 was voluntarily contributed to the same cause by the employees of the City. These sums were exclusive of the sums expended for hospitalization work, care of the aged in relief home, old age, blind, widows and orphans' pensions and allowances.

In 1932 the people of San Francisco responded more generously to the call of the needy, and in that year $3,503,604.44 was expended for general relief, which also did not include any of the amounts expended for the maintenance of our hospitals or relief homes, or in the payment of old age, blind, widows' and orphans' pensions. Of this amount $2,743,386.21 was provided by bond issues or by taxation, and most of the remainder came from the voluntary contributions of municipal employees.

The demands which will be made upon the City for the current year, based upon expenditures of the first two months, will be nearly double that of 1932, and from present indications San Francisco will be called upon to expend, during the present year, at least six million dollars for the relief of its needy, and this amount will be in addition to the sums needed for hospitals, homes, pensions, etc.

While San Francisco has borne its burden during the past two years without appeal either to the state or the nation, I feel that it will be impossible for our City to continue to do so during the coming year.

At the present time we are spending approximately $600,000 a month for relief of the unemployed. We have at the present time available cash to the approximate amount of one million dollars and two million in unsold relief bonds. It is difficult to state whether these bonds can, under existing conditions, be sold, but even if we admit that they could, all of these funds would, at the present rate of disbursement, be exhausted by August 1st of the present year. Should it be possible to provide relief funds by taxation, such funds will not be available until December 15th. Furthermore, it is extremely doubtful if such a course will be possible, for the reason that, owing to reduced assessment rolls, the ordinary governmental expenditures, which must include the care of our sick, our aged, our widows and orphans, will bring our tax rate almost to our charter limitations. Under existing conditions, a bond issue is not feasible, for the reasons, first, that there is grave doubt if the people would approve it; and second, it will not be possible to submit such an issue to the people for their approval until the November election, and therefore the bond money would not be available until January.

Under all of these conditions, I trust that you will not only recommend an allowance by the corporation, but will also endeavor to impress upon the disbursing officers the justness of our cause, for unless we can be assured of relief from the Federal Government we must at once curtail our local allowances, which will be a hardship indeed upon many of our people.

Hoping for your full co-operation and help in the premises, and with my profound personal regards, I have the honor to remain,

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor of San Francisco.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the following was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Mayor to Make Application for Loan From Reconstruction Finance Corporation to Care for Indigents; Providing for Representation of San Francisco, if Necessary, Before the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and Declaring an Emergency.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 264, Ordinance No. 19.0716, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to make application through the Governor of the State of California to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for funds to enable the City and County of San Francisco to care for the indigent sick, dependent poor and other persons in need by reason of unemployment, and authorizing said Mayor, the Director of Relief and the City Attorney to represent the City and County of San Francisco on the hearing of said application and making an appropriation to defray their expenses in so doing, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to make application through the Governor of the State of California to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for such moneys as said Reconstruction Finance Corporation may be willing to advance to the Governor of the State of California for the City and County of San Francisco in order to aid said City and County in caring for the indigent sick, dependent poor and other persons who are unable to support themselves by reason of unemployment, and to report to this Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible date the amount of money which may possibly be obtained from the said Reconstruction Finance Corporation for the remainder of the present fiscal year and for the fiscal year 1933-1934 for said purpose.

Section 2. In order that said application may be properly presented to said Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and that said Corporation may be fully advised as to the exact conditions in San Francisco and the need of San Francisco for additional funds for the purposes above mentioned, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief thereof, and John J. O'Toole, City Attorney thereof, or either of them, and/or a substitute for either of them, to be appointed by the Mayor, are hereby authorized, upon the Mayor determining the necessity thereof, to proceed to the city of Washington and to present such facts as may be pertinent to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and to urge the granting to San Francisco, through the Governor of the State of California, an allowance for the relief of the indigent sick, dependent poor and other persons in need by reason of unemployment, and the said Mayor, said Director of Relief and City Attorney, and each of them, is hereby granted permission to absent themselves from the City and County of San Francisco for a period not to exceed sixty (60) days from and after the date of this ordinance for the purposes herein specified.

Section 3. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund the sum of two thousand ($2,000.00) dollars, which said sum is hereby made payable to His Honor the Mayor for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the above mentioned persons to the city of Washington for the purposes herein mentioned, the said sum to be expended and accounted for pursuant to the provisions of section 219 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance No. 9.0561.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure by the vote hereinafter set forth and, by reason of the same being an emergency measure, reference to committee and the reading of this ordi-

nance and votes thereon at separate meetings is hereby waived. The Board does hereby declare the said emergency which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance to be as follows, to-wit:

That it is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco, in this—that unless immediate application is made to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for the allowance herein mentioned, the same cannot be considered until the month of May of the present year, and the funds of the City and County of San Francisco available for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor may become exhausted.

That by reason of said emergency this ordinance shall become effective forthwith.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 13, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 11

Monday, March 13, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 13, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 13, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:55 p. m.

Supervisor Stanton appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of February 27 and March 6, 1933, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was taken up and on motion *passed for second reading*:

Boiler Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 258, Ordinance No. 11.086, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the installation and inspection of high-pressure steam boilers, low-pressure steam boilers, direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, and for the issuance of the permits and certificates therefor, and establishing the fees to be charged for the inspection of said appliances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to proceed hereafter with the installation of any high-pressure steam boiler, low-pressure steam boiler, direct-fired hot-water heater, indirect-heated hot-water tank, or pressure tank in any fixed location in the City and County of San Francisco unless the permit so to do as herein provided shall have first been obtained from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works of said City and County. Nothing herein contained shall be construed as evidence of proper performance or adequate capacity of any of the appliances herein mentioned.

Section 2. (a) Whenever used herein, the following terms shall have the following definitions:

(1) High-presure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the generation of steam under pressure, exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(2) Low-presure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container having a heating surface of 3 square feet or more, used for the generation of steam under pressure, not exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(3) Direct-fired hot-water heater shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the purpose of heating water through the medium of heat directly applied to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat directly applied by electrically heated elements.

(4) Indirect-heated hot-water tank shall mean any closed metal vessel or container used for the purpose of storing hot water heated by any other method than the direct application of heat to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat produced by electrically heated elements.

(5) Pressure tank shall mean such metal vessel or container used for the storage or accumulation of air or other substance under pressure as is covered by the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(6) Safety valve shall mean a safety valve conforming to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders.

(7) Relief valve shall mean a valve which conforms to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders and which is used for the purpose of relieving excess pressure other than steam.

(b) All of the above defined articles are herein referred to as appliances, and the term appliance in this ordinance shall mean each and any of the above defined articles.

Section 3. (a) The term installation shall not be construed to mean restoration or replacement of previously installed appliances, when such restoration or replacement is made by persons, firms or corporations permanently employing boiler inspectors certified by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California; provided, however, that such restoration or replacement does not change the duty performed by the appliances affected.

(b) The term location shall mean premises used or buildings operated as one unit under one management.

Section 4. (a) Applications for the permits herein mentioned shall be filed in duplicate with the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works upon forms furnished by such Bureau, which forms shall set out information necessary to be given. If the Bureau so requires, plans and specifications in duplicate shall be filed with the application. If it is found that the proposed installation conforms to the requirements of this ordinance, then upon payment of fee specified herein, an installation permit shall be issued to the applicant for the installation of the work. Thereafter, when the work has been completed, it shall not be put in operation until a final inspection shall be made. If upon such inspection it is found that the terms of this ordinance have been complied with, a certificate of final completion shall be posted and a duplicate copy of same issued to the owner or his authorized agent by the Bureau of Building Inspection, as provided for herein. When said installation permit is granted, there shall be attached thereto a copy of said plans and specifications, if such have been required to be filed.

Section 5. (a) Upon the filing of application for an installation permit, the Central Permit Bureau shall forthwith submit such appli-

cation to such bureaus or commissions as have jurisdiction over the proposed work. If it shall be found that said application and the premises on which the proposed work is to be located conform to the laws and regulations governing such installation, then it shall be the duty of each of such bureaus or commissions to endorse approval upon such application and to transmit two copies thereof to the Central Permit Bureau, which Bureau shall forthwith issue the installation permit, and upon payment of the fee herein named, deliver it to the applicant. But if it shall be found that any such bureau or commission refuses to approve the application, the application shall be returned to the Central Permit Bureau with a statement of the reason for such refusal endorsed thereon.

Section 6. (a) All permits issued and certificates issued pursuant to this ordinance shall be kept displayed in a conspicuous place at or near where said appliance is installed. Installation permits shall be good for one year only; if installation is not begun within that period the permit becomes null and void.

Section 7. (a) Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to apply to the inspection of any appliance when the installation of such appliance is under the supervision and control of the Railroad Commission of the State of California as provided in the Public Utility Act of the State of California, approved the 23rd day of April, 1915.

Section 8. (a) No fees shall be charged for the issuance of permits or certificates, or for the making of inspections of appliances when the same are to be used by the City and County of San Francisco, the State of California, or by the United States of America, except as herein provided.

Section 9. (a) High-pressure steam boilers shall be installed in accordance with the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and/or its safety orders.

Section 10. (a) Low-pressure steam boilers shall be built and equipped in accordance with the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. They shall be equipped with safety valves and with an automatic electric or mechanical device by which the fuel supply is cut off when the water falls below the safe working level or the pressure exceeds that predetermined, which in no case shall be in excess of 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

Section 11. (a) Direct-fired hot-water heaters, except as otherwise stipulated in this section, shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5600 pounds per square inch of cross-section if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of cast iron, the maximum working pressure on such heaters shall not exceed that guaranteed by the manufacturer, less 10 per cent.

(c) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of other materials than cast iron or steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(d) In no case shall a direct-fired hot-water heater be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(e) Direct-fired hot-water heaters shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity, to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent.

(2) A heat-controlling device that will control the fuel supply and prevent the temperature of the heated water from rising in excess of 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(3) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(4) An inspector's valved test gauge connection between the pressure gauge and the heater proper.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the heater, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the heater.

(f) Coil heaters without additional storage capacity and self-contained heaters having a capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(g) Self-contained heaters having a capacity over 45 gallons, equipped as provided in paragraph (e) of this section, are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they conform to the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed a total of 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 30 inches.

(3) In the construction of such self-contained heaters the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such heaters be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pound per square inch.

(4) The exterior of the container of such heaters shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such self-contained heaters, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 12. (a) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5000 pounds per square inch of cross section, if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If indirect-heated hot-water tanks are made of other materials than steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(c) In no case shall an indirect-heated hot-water tank be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(d) Whenever an indirect-heated hot-water tank receives its heat or hot water from a coil or from a source of heat making possible a pressure in excess of that for which the tank is built, then such indirect-heated hot-water tank shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure, plus 10 per cent.

(2) If the source of heat supply is high-pressure steam, then a pressure-reducing valve must be installed on the steam line and be set to limit the pressure to that for which the tank is built.

(3) A heat-controlling device that will control the temperature of the water and prevent it from rising above 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(4) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the tank, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the tank.

(e) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(f) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity in excess of 45 gallons are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they comply with the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 24 inches.

(3) In the construction of such tanks the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such tanks be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(4) Such tanks shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such tanks, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 13. (a) Pressure tanks shall be built and equipped to conform to the pressure tank safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(b) In no case shall they be so located as to be inaccessible for examination.

Section 14. (a) If for any reason pipe lines connected to appliances are to be tested in excess of one and one-half times the allowable pressure of such appliance, then such lines shall be blanked off from the appliances before the test is made.

Section 15. (a) The certificates to be issued as provided in this ordinance shall in large type specifically declare that it is unsafe to person or property to operate the appliance covered by the permit unless the safety devices specified herein are in place and operative. Such safety devices shall be specifically limited in the certificate to such as secure safety to person and property, and shall exclude any additional appliances as are not essential to such safety.

Section 16. (a) All inspections provided for in this ordinance shall be made by inspectors of boilers of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works in conformity with the requirements of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall cause a certificate of final completion to be posted on or immediately adjoining the appliance or appliances inspected, and shall supply the owner or his authorized agent with a duplicate copy of same. This certificate shall be on forms furnished by the Department of Public Works, which forms shall state the date of final inspection, the loca-

tion and description of the appliance or appliances, and shall be countersigned by the inspector of boilers making such inspection. The Bureau of Building Inspection shall file with the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, on forms furnished or approved by the Commission, such information in regard to the installations herein provided for as may be required by said Industrial Accident Commission.

Section 17. (a) The Department of Public Works is authorized, and it is its duty, in cases where it has reason to believe that the safety of person or property is endangered by the defective operation of any appliances installed before the passage of this ordinance, to cause an inspection to be made thereof. If such inspection shows that the safety of person or property is endangered by lack of, or failure to operate of, safety devices, then it shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works to shut down such appliances until such safety devices are installed, inspected, and a certificate of final completion issued. Such safety devices are to be limited: for low-pressure boilers, to safety valve or valves and automatic pressure reducer; for direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, to relief valve or valves.

Section 18. (a) When the Department of Public Works makes, upon request, an inspection of any appliances which do not carry a permit or certificate, then such inspection and each inspection thereafter shall be made only after the payment in advance of the fee herein scheduled shall have been made to the Central Permit Bureau.

Section 19. (a) The provisions of this ordinance shall govern installations owned by the City and County of San Francisco. By proper bookkeeping entries the Bureau of Building Inspection shall be credited with the amount of the fee herein scheduled and the department for which the inspection is made shall be charged therefor.

Section 20. (a) For the purpose of defraying the cost of issuing permits and certificates pursuant to this ordinance, and of making the inspection herein provided, the following fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of such permit, certificate, or of making such inspection:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:	
Diameter of 12 inches or less	$ 2.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches	5.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches.	7.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more	10.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:	
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface	8.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	14.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	20.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface	100.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers	4.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters	4.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:	
Capacity of 100 gallons or less	3.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons	4.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more	5.00
(6) For pressure tanks:	
Diameter of 36 inches or less	3.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches	5.00

(b) For inspection made on request the fee shall be the same as herein scheduled.

(c) Provided, however, that when more than one appliance is installed at the same location and at the same time, then the total fee charged shall be arrived at by taking the largest single fee and adding thereto one-half of the scheduled fee for each additional appliance installed.

Section 21. (a) If and when the Department of Public Works finds that any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any appliances without complying with the terms of this ordinance, it shall be the duty of said department to shut down the operation of the appliances so installed until inspection has been made and permit and certificate have been issued as provided herein. The fees in such cases shall be as follows:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:	
Diameter of 12 inches or less	$ 10.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches	25.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches.	35.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more	50.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:	
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface	40.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	70.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	100.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface	500.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers	20.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters	20.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:	
Capacity of 100 gallons or less	15.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons	20.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more	25.00
(6) For pressure tanks:	
Diameter of 36 inches or less	15.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches	25.00

Section 22. (a) All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as said conflict exists. No inspection shall be made on appliances except as herein provided.

Section 23. (a) In case any section or sections or part of any section of this ordinance shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, the remainder of this ordinance shall not thereby be invalidated, but shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 24. (a) This ordinance shall not be construed as imposing upon the City and County of San Franicsco, or any official or employee thereof, any liability for damages to person or property which may occur from or in connection with any failure of any of the appliances herein named.

Section 25. (a) Any person, firm or corporation violating this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine not exceeding $500 or to imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six months, or to both said fine and imprisonment; and each day that said violation shall continue shall be deemed a separate offense.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was taken up and on motion *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. ——)

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. ——, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering

for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any place or establishment devoted to the processing, handling, distributing and sale of milk, cream and milk food products as such products are set forth and defined herein is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accaccordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. Market milk or cream, wherever such terms are used in this ordinance, shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk

fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, such that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent

of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissons and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved*

milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly

marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk: Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum:*

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenhit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified milk, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the

production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as such premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If graded, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale

or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled; neither shall any permit be granted to anyone handling milk through a central cooling plant outside the City and County of San Francisco handling raw milk only, from dairy farms scoring at least 75 per cent or better, and otherwise complying with the regulations of this ordinance, to ship raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco, unless such applicant constructs said cooling plant in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and provided further, that all milk received at said cooling plant shall be handled in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit in the manner prescribed for the service of notice by Section 1011 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or

corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby

declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED BY SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Amendment No. 1.

In Section 3, Subdivision 1, line 2, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 2.

In Section 3, Subdivision 2, line 1, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 3.

After Subdivision 3 of Section 2 insert the following:

Subdivision 3A. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test; said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Health. Only animals free from Brucilla abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Health or by other person or laboratory approved in writing by said Director of Health, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Health.

Amendment No. 4.

In Subdivision 6, Section 2, line 9, after the word "content," insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 5.

In Subdivision 4, Section 2, line 21, after the word "Director," strike

out the words "of Public Health" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 6.

In Subdivision 5, Section 2, line 1, after the word "or," insert the word "Market."

Amendment No. 7.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 4, after the word "than," strike out the words and figures "eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18)" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20)."

Amendment No. 8.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10 and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial counts for market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk."

Amendment No. 9.

In Subdivision 15, Section 3, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 10.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following: "except certified milk and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In Subdivision 4, Section 4, strike out lines 16 to 19 inclusive and in lieu thereof insert the following: "No person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Certified Milk Dairy Farm or a Guaranteed Raw Milk Dairy Farm, and no person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Pasteurizing Plant within the City and County of San Francisco, shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing Market Milk in said 'City and County'."

Amendment No. 12.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 4, strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 13.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 15, after the word "Director" strike out the words "of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 14.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 37, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 15.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 29, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 16.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 2, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 17.

In Subdivision 7, Section 3, line 2, after the word "of" strike out the words "delivery to the Pasteurizing Plant" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "processing at the pasteurizing plant."

Amendment No. 18.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 1, strike out the word "more" and in lieu thereof insert the word "less."

Amendment No. 19.

In Subdivision 8, Section 3, line 3, after the word "and" strike out the words "forty (40) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 20.

In Subdivision 10, Section 3, line 3, strike out the word "before" and in lieu thereof insert the word "after."

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $34,000 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for Expense Connected With Holding Special Election April 11, 1933, and Rescinding Action Whereby Bill No. 255 Was Passed for Second Reading.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 260, Ordinance No. 9.05147, as follows:

Appropriating $34,000 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, for expense connected with the holding of special election April 11, 1933, and rescinding action whereby Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, was passed for second reading.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1932-1933, the sum of $34,000 for expense connected with the holding of special election April 11, 1933.

Section 2. Action whereby Bill No. 255, Ordinance No. 9.05143, was passed for second reading is hereby rescinded, because of change of date for holding special election.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Appropriation of $427,178.81 for Completion of Boulevard Bond Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 261, Ordinance No. 9.05148, as follows:

Appropriating $427,178.81 from the Boulevard Bond Issue Fund of 1927 to the Department of Public Works for the purpose of completing the various boulevard bond projects now under way and such additional projects as may be within the purposes of said bond issue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is set aside and appropriated to the Department of Public Works from the Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927 issue, the sum of $427,178.81 for the purposes of completing such projects as have heretofore been undertaken and authorized to be paid from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund and also for such additional projects compiled

by the Director of Public Works and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and which may be properly chargeable against said 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

I hereby recommend the above appropriation.

....., Mayor.

Approved:

....., Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refund of Taxes Paid in Duplicate and Through Error.
(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 672, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded) Fiscal Year 1932-1933, in payment to the hereinafter named, being refund of taxes paid in duplicate and through error, to-wit:

To Bank of America (estate of Annie Malone), erroneous duplicate assessment of personal puroperty, to be found in Vol. 6, page 18, Lot 1 of Block 871, Real Estate Rolls, 1932.....$3.96

To Frank A. Meathe, claim exemption through clerical error, not allowed, to be found in Vol. 7, page 179, line 16, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, 1932..... 5.68

(Recommendations of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Controller to Cancel Assessments and Sales, City Property.
(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 673, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property sold to the State in June, 1928, and assessed in 1928, 1929, and 1930, in the names of the original owners, is now City property and that said sales and assessments should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		*Sale*	1927 *Tax*
14	2	1860A	Oscar Heyman and Bro.....	808	$26.60
33	39 to 43	5466	Vincenzo and Nieglia Filippo.....	1979½	28.50
36	5	5996	Fred and Selma Getjen.....	2208	13.68
	6		San Francisco Land and Title Co.....	2209	1.14
	5	5999	J. Jensen	2210	4.18
	1	6000	Bertha Zimmerman	2211	7.22
	5 & 6		Title Insurance & Guaranty Co.....	2212	7.98
	12 & 13		Richard and Theresa Hoch	2213	7.98
	1	6026	Julius Schiffman and Frances Rauer..	2237	2.28
	1A	6027	Carrie Scheim	2238	2.28
	1B		Otto H. Cook.....	2239	.76
	1C		Theodore S. Cook	2240	.76
	1D		Elizabeth O'Dea	2241	1.14

Vol.	Lot	Block		Sale	1927 Tax
36	1E	6027	Henry W. Cook	2242	1.14
	4A, 4B				
	4C		Linda Berti	2244	2.66
	5	6062	John and Emma Reinhard	2255	5.70
	4	6063	Frederick Wickert	2256	5.70
	3 & 4	6064	Edward and Clara Howard	2257	1.71
	9	6066	Alice Manning	2258	5.70
	1 & 2	6067	Michael W and Ida Lynch	2259	32.30
	2	6071	Mike Dudlyk	2260	.76
	7C		Henry W. Robl	2261	12.54
	1 & 2	6160	Paul T. Fratessa	2347	5.70
	1	6098	Raymond J. Torres	2287	15.20
	4 & 5		Emile Tiveston	2289	35.34
	9		Ramon & Eufiemia Vegas	2290	10.64
	11		Rose E. Martinez	2291	1.90
37	10	6105	L. T. Mathews	2297	1.14
	8	6106	Jessie R. and Edwin Woodward	2300	1.14
	2	6112	Matilda Paracchini	2302	12.16
	3 to 6	6116	Melville Rosenberg	2306	23.75
	6	6118	C. P. Whittier	2308	11.59
	5	6126	Jane Turner	2316	5.70
	7 to 11		Estelle B. Gatlin	2317	7.98
	3 to 9	6127	Estelle B. Gatlin	2318	11.02
	4	6136	Alice Manning	2327	4.56
	2	6137	Augustine M. Skelly et al	2328	3.42
	1 & 2	6140	Julia Schiffman and Francis Rauer	2331	9.88
	4	6150	Josefa Romera and Antonio Ramirez	2333	6.84
37	5	6150	Joseph R. Romera	2334	.76
	9A		A. J. Hart	2336	.76
	1 & 2	6151	Estelle B. Gatlin	2337	3.42
	8 to 11		Estelle B. Gatlin	2339	6.46
	17		Estelle B. Gatlin	2341	2.28
	19 to 23		Estelle B. Gatlin	2342	8.74
	12	6153	Paul F. Fratessa	2344	3.04
	5-6-7	6159	Estelle B. Gatlin	2348	9.12
	11 to 14	6164	Mary Brickenstadt	2358	3.04
	50	6179	F. C. and M. T. Sullivan	2379	5.70
	51		Frank C. and Minnie Sullivan	2380	1.90
	2	6180	Paul F. Fratessa	2381	1.52
	8	6183	Jno. E. Sullivan	2383	1.14
	9	6185	Christopher Staehle	2385	1.14
	10		Amelia Richberg	2386	1.14
	34 & 35	6186	E. J. McColgan and C. J. Edwards	2388	2.28
	15 & 16	6187	E. J. McColgan	2389	2.28
	56 & 57		Florence Russman	2393	6.84
	21 & 22	6188	Catherine Lally	2395	9.88
	23	6188	C. N. Tharsing	2396	1.14
	30 & 31		D. C. O'Rourke	2397	1.33
	8 & 9	6189	Jas. E. Espie	2399	3.04
	37		Emily Shuster	2403	1.14
	40A to 44		Emily Shuster	2405	5.32
	49 & 50		Albert B. Ebner	2406	1.14
	51 & 52		Jas. Lithgow	2407	4.56
	17 & 18	6211	E. L. Towle	2437	3.04
	32 & 33		Cathe Richard	2438	3.80
	21 & 22	6212	E. L. Towle	2439	3.80
	16	6213	Margaret P. Gahan	2444	5.32
	17		Margaret P. Gahan	2445	1.52
	27		Henry G. and Mary E. Nichols	2447	.76
	29		Wm. J. and Helen F. Keehley	2448	7.22
	38		Mary Brickenstadt	2452	.76
	11	6214	Oscar and Chester S. Anderson	2456	1.14

Vol.	Lot	Block		Sale	1927 Tax
	18		Wm. H. Keehley	2458	1.14
	19		Rose Keehley	2459	1.14
	22 & 23		Wm. H. Keehley	2460	17.86
	30		Oscar B. and Chester L. Anderson	2462	1.14
	33		C. N. Tharsing	2464	1.14
	4	6215	Evaline McKinnon	2466	1.14
	9		C. N. Tharsing	2467	1.14
	7 & 8	6232	Thos. F. Kennedy	2469	6.84
	22 & 23		Ferdinand and Marie Strausman	2470	4.56
	26 & 27		Franz and Marie Tuepel	2471	25.46
37	34	6232	Sofia Bodayla	2472	1.52
	18	6241	Rosetta Klas	2482	3.80
	19		Alma Klas	2483	3.80
	20		Barbara Klas	2484	3.42
	32		San Francisco Land & Title Co.	2485	6.08
	1	6242	Christian G. Siebel	2487	25.65
	4		G. W. and Maude A. Wilson	2488	3.04
	10		Elizabeth and C. Eisenhut	2489	3.04
	31		John Marks	2491	3.80
	42		Chas. and Minnie Knack	2492	7.98
	46		Christian G. Siebel	2493	2.28
	11	6260	Emma Wikse	2505	1.90
	14		Chas. Patten	2506	7.60
	1	6261	Stephen Rathy	2507	6.84
	1 to 7	6262	Continental Bldg. & Loan Assn.	2508	20.14
	1-2-3	6264	John M. and Nettie M. Mackin	2511	8.55
38	2	6288	Wm. R. Markt	2531	2.28
	1 & 2	6291	E. A. McDonald and John G. North	2532	3.80
	6		Anna Dennery	2533	1.71
	2	6292	Wm. R. Markt	2534	3.42
41	2A	6755	Ruby M. Brandt	2735	15.20
43	7 & 8	7068	Agnes Krantz	2914	11.40
	16 & 17	7093	Blanchard Co.	2939	15.20
	21 & 22	7119	Ray Higgins	2969	7.22
	13	7136	O. H. Ferguson	2989	1.90
44	14-15-16	6316	O. H. Ferguson	3038	26.98
					$740.24

Assessments.

Vol.	Lot	Block		1928	1929	1930
14	2	1860A		$ 75.04	$55.16	$56.56
36	5	5996		14.26	6.30	6.46
	6			1.18	1.18	1.22
	5	5999		4.36	4.34	4.44
	1	6000		15.04	Pd.	15.36
	5 & 6			8.32	8.28	8.48
	12 & 13			4.36	Pd.	4.44
	1	6026		1.98	1.98	2.02
	1A	6027		2.38	2.36	2.42
	1B			.80	.78	.80
	1C			.80	.78	.80
	1D			1.18	1.18	1.22
	1E			1.18	1.18	1.22
	4A-4B-4C			2.78	2.74	2.82
	5	6062		5.94	5.92	6.06
	4	6063		5.94	5.92	6.06
	3 & 4	6064		3.58	3.54	3.64
	9	6066		5.94	5.92	6.06
36	1 & 2	6067		34.46	14.58	10.90
	2	6071		.80	.78	.80
	7C			13.06	3.16	1.22
	8			25.34	3.54	1.62

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessments. 1928	1929	1930
	1	6098	15.84	3.94	2.02
	4 & 5		36.84	9.06	7.26
	9		22.18	4.34	2.42
	11		1.98	1.98	2.02
37	10	6105	2.38	2.36	2.42
	8	6106	2.38	Pd.	2.42
	2	6112	12.68	12.60	12.92
	3 to 6	6116	18.60	18.50	19.00
	6	6118	24.16	10.24	10.50
	5	6126	5.94	3.94	2.02
	7 to 11	6126	13,.44	13.04	8.50
	3 to 9	6127	11.66	11.44	11.74
	4	6136	4.76	4.72	4.84
	2	6137	3.56	3.54	3.64
	1 & 2	6140	10.30	10.24	10.50
	4	6150	1.18	1.18	1.22
	5		.80	.78	.80
37	51 & 52	6189	4.74	4.72	2.84
	17 & 18	6211	3.16	3.16	3.24
	32 & 33		3.96	3.96	4.04
	21 & 22	6212	3.96	3.94	4.04
	16	6213	5.54	1.58	1.62
	17		1.58	1.58	1.62
	27		1.58	1.58	1.62
	29		1.58	1.58	1.62
	38		1.58	Pd.	1.62
	11	6214	1.18	1.18	1.22
	18		1.18	1.18	1.22
	19		1.18	1.18	1.22
	22 & 23		18.62	Pd.	18.98
	30		1.18	1.18	1.22
	33		1.18	1.18	1.22
	4	6215	1.18	1.18	1.22
	9		1.18	1.18	1.22
	7 & 8	6232	7.12	7.12	7.28
	22 & 23		4.76	Pd.	4.84
	26 & 27		25.54	8.66	6.86
37	34	6232	1.58	1.58	1.62
	18	6241	3.96	3.94	4.04
	19		3.96	3.94	4.04
	20		3.56	3.54	3.64
	32		6.34	6.30	2.42
	1	6242	3.16	3.16	3.24
	4		7.12	Pd.	7.28
	10		1.58	2.16	3.24
	31		3.96	3.94	4.04
	42		2.28	2.36	2.42
	46		2.38	2.36	2.42
	11	6260	3.96	3.94	4.04
	14		7.92	7.88	8.08
	1	6261	7.12	7.10	7.28
	1 to 7	6262	45.10	44.90	46.10
37	9A	6150	1.58	1.58	1.62
	1 & 2	6151	3.56	3.56	3.64
	8 to 11		6.72	6.72	6.88
	17		2.38	2.36	2.42
	19 to 23		9.10	9.08	9.30
	12	6153	3.16	3.16	3.24
	5, 6, 7	6159	9.48	9.48	9.72
	1 & 2	6160	5.94	5.92	6.06
	10		4.36	4.34	4.44
	11 to 14	6164	6.34	Pd.	6.46
	50	6179	5.94	5.92	6.06

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessments. 1928	1929	1930
	51		1.98	1.98	2.02
	2	6180	1.58	1.58	1.62
	8	6183	1.18	1.18	1.22
	9	6185	1.18	Pd.	1.22
	10		1.18	1.18	1.22
	34 & 35	6186	2.36	2.36	2.44
	15 & 16	6187	2.36	2.36	2.44
	56 & 57		3.16	3.16	3.24
	21 & 22	6188	10.28	10.24	10.52
	23		1.18	1.18	1.22
	30 & 31		2.76	2.76	2.84
	8 & 9	6189	3.16	3.16	3.24
	37		1.18	1.18	1.22
	40A to 44		5.52	5.50	5.68
	49 & 50		2.36	2.36	2.44
	1, 2, 3	6264	17.82	17.74	18.18
38	2	6288	2.38	2.36	2.42
	1 & 2	6291	7.92	7.88	8.08
	6		3.56	3.54	3.64
	2	6292	3.56	3.54	3.64
41	2A	6755	5.14	5.12	5.26
43	7 & 8	7068	23.76		
	16 & 17	7093	41.98		
	21 & 22	7119	7.52		
	13	7136	3.96		
44	14,15,16	6316	56.24	Pd.	57.36
			887.18	517.24	622.52

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Permission to Build Bridge Over Jessie Street by The Emporium.

(Code No. 1.06101.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 671, as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby given The Emporium to erect, construct and maintain a bridge over Jessie street, between Fourth and Fifth streets, to connect The Emporium's main building with the Hulse warehouse, as per plans and specifications approved by the Bureau of Engineering, the Art Commission, the Fire Department and the Bureau of Building Inspection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Canepa explained that he voted for the foregoing because it would give employment to a considerable number of men.

Supervisor Shannon in voting aye said that he wanted to make the reservation and explanation that he knew nothing about the matter but did not want to hold up the work.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Unemployment Relief.

The following reports were read by the Clerk:

Report of Director of Relief on Complaints of Unemployed.

March 7, 1933.

Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, Laguna Honda Home, Dewey boulevard and Seventh avenue, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: So that the Public Welfare Committee may report back to the Board of Supervisors its findings in the matter, you are respectfully requested to report not later than Monday, March 13, 1933, the result of your investigation into the following complaints:

D. Daly, 1179 *Turk street, for Charity Workers' Protective League, at meeting of Public Welfare Committee, Friday, March* 3, 1933:

1. Complaint as to the use of armed guards or armed deputy sheriffs at George Washington School job, Thirty-first avenue and Geary street.
2. Complaint that men working under adverse conditions at Sharp's Park, etc., are not furnished suitable clothing, such as boots, shoes, slickers, etc.
3. Complaint that men who work on construction jobs have to ride to work in trucks not equipped properly for transporting humans, and that they are driven at high speeds over rough and dangerous roads with no regard for the safety of the men. Request better transportation.
4. Complaint as to poor lunches at Laguna Honda Home.
5. Complaint that men who have to work at Laguna Honda Home have to move patients in their street clothes, have to do general orderly work and are not furnished suitable clothing; have no antiseptic facilities after the day's work is done, all of which makes for insanitary conditions.

B. B. Jones, for Ritch Street Soup Kitchen Improvement Club, at meeting of Public Welfare Committee, Friday, March 3, 1933:

1. Food dispensed does not contain enough fruits and vegetables; general condition of kitchen insanitary; delousing of certain individuals should be made compulsory; clothes of the men, after being deloused, should not be destroyed, but cleansed and returned.

Mr. Frank L. Bither, 1320 *O'Farrell street, at meeting of Public Welfare Committee, Friday, March* 3, 1933:

1. Mr. Bither is the head of a household of three persons, himself, wife and child. He is pleased with the quality of the food issued, but sees no reason why a person should not have some choice in its selection, or that if desired, it should not be added to or lessened, as requested.

Regarding the use of automobiles by persons on relief: What is the total number of automobiles owned by persons on relief; what number of automobiles have been refused upkeep by the Citizens Advisory Committee?

What is the status of the Unemployment Fund at this time; how much money is left and in what proportion is it proposed to be expended?

Will you be able to report on the request of the Homeless Youths' plea for building, living accommodations, etc., in line with Supervisor Gallagher's letter of March 6th?

May we expect a report on light, gas, heat and rent in line with Supervisor Gallagher's motion in meeting Friday, March 3, 1933?

The remarks of Mr. V. D. Baker, principal speaker for the Charity

Workers' Protective League, at meeting Friday, March 3, 1933, are well known to you and need not be gone into at this time.

I believe this covers the situation fully and would appreciate a prompt reply at length, so that the Public Welfare Committee may report on these matters at meeting of the Board of Supervisors, Monday, March 13, 1933.

Very truly yours,

JAMES E. POWER, JR.,
Chairman, Public Welfare Committee.

Report of Director of Relief on Complaints Referred to Him.

Hon. James E. Power, Jr., Chairman, Public Welfare Commission, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: I am answering the complaints as of yours of the 7th.

D. Daly, 1179 *Turk street*. We have information that this man is a professional organizer of the Communist Party.

1. *Armed Guards*: The Playground Commission have, customarily, caretakers with special police badges. Their possession of these badges has nothing to do with the assignment of the unemployed to these projects.

2. *Sharp's Park*: A check with Mr. Gerard, Assistant Superintendent of the Parks, shows that the men have not worked in the rain, unless caught unawares. All mud was planked over, so that the men had no occasion to step in it. Mr. Gerard reports that the need for clothing is not urgent, but that there is need for shoe repairs. We are repairing seventy pairs of shoes a day at the Laguna Honda Home, and are now studying methods to increase this service.

3. *Transportation*: We have had no report of injury or complaint in the two years that men have been employed at Sharp's Park.

4. *Lunches at Laguna Honda Home*: Food plain and substantial. The employees, from the Superintendent down, drink the same coffee.

5. *Laguna Honda Home Hospital*: The unemployed are assigned to the hospital for janitorial work, but are excused if they express an aversion to working about sick people. Those working about the sick are furnished with smocks.

6. *Automobiles*: No accurate survey as to the number has been made. Four months ago as many as 30 per cent of the men on one job drove to it in automobiles. The enforcement of the restrictions has been in operation one week, and only 20 per cent have been refused the use of the car.

7. *Unemployment Fund*:

Total Bonds Sold	$4,323,621.00
Appropriation to February 28	3,052,900.00
Balance	1,270,721.00
March Appropriation	597,000.00
Balance Cash	673,721.00
Unsold Bonds	2,160,000.00
Reserve Fund Set Up	100,000.00
Total Funds Available, Including Unsold Bonds	$2,933,721.00

8. *Homeless Youth*: Covered in my letter to the Board of Supervisors.

9. *Rents, Gas and Light*: Also incorporated in letter to Board.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

Report of Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee.

March 9, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: By direction of your Honorable Board the transcript of the proceedings before you on March 6, 1933, in connection with certain phases of relief work, was referred to the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee for report.

The subject was considered at the meeting of the Advisory Committee held on Wednesday, March 8, 1933, and the committee directed me as chairman to report to your Honorable Board as follows:

Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, is sending to you a reply to the several points brought up for discussion at the March 6 meeting of your Board. The Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee is in accord with the views expressed by Mr. Wollenberg in his letter.

With specific reference to the requests or demands made by the groups which appeared before your Board, the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee reports as follows:

First: Demand was made that provision be made for the care of wandering homeless boys who might be in or come to San Francisco, the administration of such relief to be in the hands of the "Organized Homeless Youth of America," the City to turn over to this organization from time to time an amount of money to pay for the necessary food and shelter.

The Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee is opposed to the adoption of this plan for the following reasons:

(a) It developed at the hearing before your Board that many of those making the demand are not residents of San Francisco. Under the law of this State, each county is required and authorized to relieve and support indigent persons "lawfully resident" in the county. (General Laws, Act 5814, Political Code, sec. 4307.) To undertake a program of relief for non-residents would not only be in excess of the lawful powers of the City government, but would impose upon this community the burden of caring for great numbers of people whose care is a proper charge upon the communities in which they have resided, and who would be induced, by the adoption of a plan such as is proposed, to put the cost of their care upon San Francisco, where it does not legitimately belong.

(b) The City's relief program has, in general, been and is being conducted upon the plan of having the relief administered by the agencies of the City, and not by organizations set up by those receiving relief. This plan we consider essential to the economical and efficient administration of the funds available. The committee sees no reason why an exception should be made in favor of a particular group.

Second: Complaint is made of the recent action of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, declaring as a policy that the use of automobiles by those on relief should not be permitted, except where such use contributes to partial self-support.

This action was taken after careful study through a sub-committee and after the general use of automobiles by people on relief had produced widespread criticism throughout the community. The committee believes that the course taken by it was fair and just, both to the taxpayers and to those receiving relief. The plan for carrying the policy into effect has not been in operation long enough to enable the committee to determine its practical operation. If experience should show that the plan of restricting the use of automobiles is impracticable or unfair or that it works undue hardship, the committee is ready to reconsider the subject and to make such changes or modifications as may seem wise or necessary. At the present time the committee sees no reason to alter its prior action.

Third: It is suggested that the relief administration provide for payment of all rent, heat and light required by those on relief.

The funds available are not sufficient to provide for these needs in full, in addition to meeting the urgent demands for food relief. The additional cost that would be involved is indicated in the letter of the Director. The relief administration is now meeting rent payments in emergency cases, to prevent eviction and in cases where sickness, the presence of small children or similar conditions justify such action. Similarly, heat, water and light bills are met where such payment is necessary to prevent a shut-off and no other means of providing this service can be made available. The Advisory Committee does not see how it can go any further under present conditions. The problems of rent, gas, light and water are serious and difficult. The committee has a full appreciation of their importance and is giving them earnest and continued study in an effort to develop some plan by which these requirements can be better cared for. The committee, however, cannot and does not at present see any way in which these needs can be met in full in all cases.

Respectfully submitted,

M. C. SLOSS, Chairman,
Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee.

Report of Director of Relief on Homeless Youth Organization.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In reply to the complaint of the Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher regarding the relief program, I would answer as follows:

First—Organized Homeless Youth of America.

I would quote my letter to the Honorable Supervisor on this subject:

"Replying to yours of March 6, would call your attention to the law of the State of California under which the City and County of San Francisco operates.

"It prohibits the expenditure of county funds for relief of non-residents.

"Both William Maher, Chairman, and Eldon L. Freze, Secretary of the 'Organized Homeless Youth of America,' admitted before your committee the fact that they had arrived in San Francisco within the month. It would be necessary to change the State law to make a legal appropriation to furnish funds to an organization such as outlined.

"The State with its funds and the funds from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has established camps for the nonresident group, and these boys can go to same, where they will be well cared for. To establish a center for the 'Organized Homeless Youth of America' in San Francisco would be an invitation to all in the western part of the country to make this city their headquarters.

"According to the hearings before the Senate, the boys who might be classified in this group number over 350,000; a large percentage being in California and the Southwest due to our winter climate.

"I would remind you of our experience in 1913. On December 24 we had 6800 unemployed in San Francisco. On the 26th or 27th the Supervisors adopted a scheme of relief more liberal than any other city, and as a result we solved the unemployment problem of the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys, as well as helping Los Angeles. By January 2 we had over 17,000 in our midst ready to take advantage of your generosity. Three days later we had to rescind our action.

"As for the plan proposed, it is rather vague, and until more details are submitted as to location, rent, etc., I feel discussion is useless.

"However, I will submit your communication together with the 'Plan' to the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, who meet this Wednesday."

Second—Automobiles.

The Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee adopted the following resolution after many complaints had been received from organizations

and citizens generally regarding the use of automobiles by those on relief:

"Resolved, That we adopt the following policy: The use of automobiles by those on relief should not be permitted, exception to be made only when the use of an automobile contributes to partial self support; and that the Sub-Committee on Family Relief develop a plan to make this effective on or before February 15 next."

The enforcement of this rule has just started and I know of no hardship inflicted on anyone through same.

Third—Heat, Light and Rent.

From my notes, Mr. Gallagher stated that if the Director of Relief did not have sufficient funds to meet this problem, he would see that the Board of Supervisors would provide same.

The funds on hand will just carry food relief through July and August. Rent and gas can only be met to prevent actual eviction and absence of fuel. An additional amount of from $2,500,000 to $2,750,000 will be necessary to meet the full requirements of gas, light and rent.

We have endeavored to meet the emergencies of eviction and shut-off of gas, water, etc., as rapidly as reported to us and from my knowledge of the 15,000 cases that have passed through our hands in the last two months, I think we have met this successfully. Very little hardship, considering the magnitude of the problem, has been placed upon the families involved.

In reading the transcript of the meetings of the Supervisors on March 6 and my notes of the proceedings of the Committee meeting on March 3, I feel that there are several points that should be brought to your attention.

Threats were made by some speakers, and quoted by Mr. Gallagher to the Board, that if relief was not furnished they would "go out and get it."

I would call the attention of the Board to the fact that the men who made these threats were not residents of San Francisco and had all arrived here within the month. In the discussion held, it was brought out that they had visited many cities of the United States, and one boasted of having been arrested fifty-four times. A checkup indicates that their headquarters in San Francisco are that of the Communist Party.

The attack upon the kitchen and food supply, there, is also of interest and I would ask you to read page 12 of the transcript furnished by the reporter. For fear that it is not in your hands, I am quoting it in full:

"SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: May I ask, you are one of the homeless youths?

"MANUEL DEVILA: Yes, sir.

"SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Have you been refused food?

"MANUEL DEVILA: They make it pretty hard to get; we don't get food down there, it's slop.

"SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: He says they don't get any food, that it's slop.

"THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the board, you heard the report by Dr. Geiger, or by the Chief Food Inspector, who inspected the soup kitchen at Ritch street, and inspected all the food, and said that everything was in good shape and perfectly sanitary, and now are we going to let strangers come in here and after ten days refer to the food at Ritch street being 'slop', and I know it is not so, because I have been down there myself.

"MANUEL DEVILA: You never been in the bread line.

"THE CHAIRMAN: I have been down there and seen the food, and

seen the place, and had a cup of coffee down there myself; I know it is all right.

"SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I am asking you whether any of these boys have been refused food or not?

"MANUEL DEVILA: I really don't know, *because I have never been there.*"

You also listened to a talk upon the camps that have been set up by the State. I am going to give you a letter just received from one of the homeless youths, in order that you may get another viewpoint and, better than a viewpoint, the facts:

"State Labor Camps, Coulterville, Calif., February 20, 1933.

"Dear Mr. Hopkins: Hoping that you will forgive me for not answering your letter sooner, I want to thank you for what you have done for me. I appreciate it.

"I don't know whether you will be interested in what I am going to say next, but I'll take a chance anyway. I have been traveling partly to gain experience in dealing with the different types of people, as I had difficulty with my own father and friends before I left home, just because I couldn't understand them. Upon arriving in San Francisco I thought I had had enough experience, and wanted to get home because I could understand people, or so I thought, but as I say now, 'Good fortune knocked at my door just in the nick of time,' because at a camp of this kind, with all types of people together, I learned more than I ever did in my whole trip. On top of that I am building up a strong, healthy body, working out in the open every day.

"As for the treatment we get, well, it couldn't be better. We work six hours a day, excluding rainy days and Sundays, in one of the five gangs which consist of Kitchen Group, 13; Camp Detail, 17; Fire Timber Construction, 20; Road Construction, 56, and Wood Detail, 10, totaling 116 men.

"As I have given you all the information on our camp I may as well close up, as I do not want to take up too much of your time.

"Oh, yes, I almost forgot that you asked me a question regarding clothing. Everybody that has been in camp for more than ten days has received one pair of overalls, one pair of gloves, one pair of shoes, two work shirts, two pair of socks, two suits of underwear and a jumper. The only things we really miss, that is, most of us, are tooth brushes, paste, writing material and stamps.

"Thanking you for what you have done for me, I remain,

"Your friend,

"(Signed) .....

"Please excuse my terrible writing, which is now at the lowest."

In the transcript of the hearings of the 6th, Mr. Gallagher states that I stand ready to supply the homeless youth organization with funds, if the Supervisors so direct. If I said this, it was due to the disturbances in the room at the time that I was speaking.

I do not feel that this organization of nonresidents should receive any different treatment than our own people. If it is the desire of the Board of Supervisors to ignore the law and furnish funds for their support, I believe these funds should be given just as we furnish relief to all bonafide residents. I can conceive of no plan whereby City money should be paid over to this particular group to handle as they see fit, in their own methods of relief.

Respectfully submitted,

C. M. WOLLENBERG.
Director of Relief.

Telegrams.

The following were read by the Clerk:

Harry Hart alias Harry Lebell, age 22, appeared in Sacramento and reported to Communists, and represented himself to be the National

Organizer of the Homeless Youths of America. He stated that Kansas City is the National Headquarters for the organization. We are of the opinion that this organization might not have been Communistic inspired originally, but either the organization thought the Communistic Party would be useful to them, or the Communistic Party thought the Homeless Youths Organization was an asset to them. It appears under Communistic control.

SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Telegram from Los Angeles.

Re your inquiry League of Homeless Youth. Harry Hart alias Henry Bell Communist from New York is responsible for organizations on Coast. Recently in Sacramento. Have no information on others.

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Telegram from Washington, D. C.

Homeless Youth of America may be same as Unemployed League of Homeless Youth. Such organization or club was started in Los Angeles when a group moved into a vacant house and called it House No. 9, the first of several to be established over the country.

WASHINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Residents Only to Be Given Relief from Bond Moneys.

There was also read an excerpt from a circular of the Relief Bond Campaign Committee to the effect that $6,500,000 was the minimum amount required and that "Relief will be given to only bona fide residents who have lived in San Francisco at least one year."

Motion to Adopt Report.

Whereupon, Supervisor Power presented and moved the adoption of the following report:

Report of Public Welfare Committee on Complaints and Requests In Re Unemployment Relief.

March 13, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: As a result of a meeting of the Public Welfare Committee held Friday, March 3, 1933, to which all Supervisors were invited, to hear complaints and requests relative to Unemployment Relief, your committee, after several verbal communications with the Director of Relief, and after digesting written reports both from the Director and the Mayor's Advisory Committee, makes the following recommendations:

1. That the request of the Homeless Youth Group be denied for the reasons advanced by the Director of Relief and the Mayor's Advisory Committee in letters addressed to this Board with which this committee is in complete accord. The committee sees no logical reason why the already overburdened local taxpayers should be forced to care for non-residents. This committee respectfully suggests that this group of young men note well and heed the contents of the letter quoted by Mr. Wollenberg from one of the homeless youths now at a State Labor Camp at Coulterville, California, dated February 20th. This young man paints a much brighter and more encouraging picture than those who appeared before us. The state with its funds and the funds from the R. F. C. has established these camps for the non-resident groups and these young men should take advantage of the opportunity so offered to be well cared for.

2. That the complaints of D. Daly, 1179 Turk street, representing Charity Workers Protective League, be disregarded as unfounded. These complaints were investigated and found to have no merit whatever. A specific answer to each complaint may be found in the letter addressed to the committee from the Director of Relief.

3. That the request of Mr. Baker relative to the payment of wages

to those on relief and the complaint about the confiscation of the use of automobiles be denied at this time.

(a) The bond issue was strictly a relief measure, i. e., to provide the necessities of life to those in need, and the taxpayers were so informed at the time. The cost, if the request relative to wages was granted, would be three to five times more than the bond issue. For these reasons and in view of the opinion submitted by the City Attorney, this request cannot be granted.

(b) The request relative to the use of automobiles is denied in view of the report submitted by the Director of Relief and the Citizens' Advisory Committee. The ruling has only been in effect about two weeks and is therefore in the experimental stage and the committee thinks it should be given a fair trial.

4. That the request for the payment of all rent, heat and light required by those on relief be denied. The Director of Relief and the Citizens' Advisory Committee have submitted full reports on this subject which are before you at this time. Those actually facing eviction for non-payment of rent are taken care of as soon as reported. Those who have their gas, light, etc., shut off are taken care of within a few hours after same is reported. In view of the tremendous additional cost, namely, $2,500,000, to take care of same and the statement made by the Advisory Committee that it has a full appreciation of their importance and is giving them earnest and continued study in an effort to develop some plan by which these requirements can be better cared for, your committee makes the above recommendation.

5. Complaint of Mr. Frank Bither, 1320 O'Farrell street, relative to quantity of food received. The committee received no complaints about the quality of food being furnished to families on relief, all admitting that same was most wholesome, but there were a few complaints about the quantity and variety. The committee respectfully suggests that the Director of Relief have one of his investigators check Mr. Bither's case thoroughly as well as any other similar complaints. If any of said complaints be well founded, that the same be rectified immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

By James E. Power, Jr., Chairman,
Carl W. Miles, Member,
Alfred Roncovieri, Member.

Motion to Defer.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that further consideration of the subject-matter be deferred until the Board could obtain the written opinion of the City Attorney as to whether the City could legally expend relief moneys for the aid of transients.

Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, was heard at length on the subject.

Communication from Director of Relief.

March 7th, 1933.

Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Replying to yours of March 6, would call your attention to the law of the State of California under which the City and County of San Francisco operates.

It prohibits the expenditure of county funds for relief of nonresidents.

Both William Maher, chairman, and Eldon L. Freze, secretary of the "Organized Homeless Youth of America," admitted before your committee the fact that they had arrived in San Francisco within the month. It would be necessary to change the State law to make a legal appropriation to furnish funds to an organization such as outlined.

The State, with its funds and the funds from the Reconstruction

Finance Corporation, has established camps for the nonresident group, and these boys can go to same, where they will be well cared for. To establish a center for the "Organized Homeless Youth of America" in San Francisco would be an invitation to all in the western part of the country to make this city their headquarters.

According to the hearings before the Senate, the boys who might be classified in this group number over 350,000, a large percentage being in California and the Southwest, due to our winter climate.

I would remind you of our experience in 1913. On December 24 we had 6800 unemployed in San Francisco. On the 26th or 27th the Supervisors adopted a scheme of relief more liberal than any other city, and as a result we solved the unemployment problem of the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys, as well as helping Los Angeles. By January 2 we had over 17,000 in our midst ready to take advantage of our generosity. Three days later we had to rescind our action.

As for the plan proposed, it is rather vague, and until more details are submitted as to location, rent, etc., I feel discussion is useless.

However, I will submit your communication, together with the "plan" to the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, who meet this Wednesday.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

Motion to Grant Privilege of Floor.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the privilege of the floor for two representatives of the unemployed to speak for five minutes each.

Supervisor Power objected, declaring that all parties had been given a full and careful hearing at the meeting of the committee. He urged the adoption of the committee report.

F. G. Newman was granted the privilege of the floor. He said he was in charge of the registry camps at Redding and King City and offered to take care of seventy-five men, furnish their supper, transportation and breakfast in the morning if they would accept and go with him tonight to the camps.

The Chair thereupon asked those who would go to indicate by raising their hands. There was no response.

In reply to a question of Supervisor McSheehy, a Mr. Maher, representing the Homeless Youths, declared that the conditions in the camps were not proper for young men.

Vote on Motion to Defer.

Thereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's motion, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Question being raised as to Supervisor Breyer's vote, the foregoing vote was rescinded by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Spaulding—5.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Supervisor Havenner, before the result was announced, changed his vote from No to Aye and moved reconsideration at next meeting.

The Chair ruled motion out of order, for the reason that such motion, under the rule, can only be made on the main question.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board? A vote Aye sustains the Chair; a vote No overrules the Chair.

The roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—7.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

Excused—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Motion to Defer Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was again called on Supervisor Havenner's motion to postpone, and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Report Adopted.

Thereupon, the report of the Public Welfare Committee was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Referred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *referred to the Fire, Safety and Police Committee*:

Creating a Board of Liquor Permits, Providing the Procedure Therefor, and Imposing a Fee on Permittees.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 265, Ordinance No. 3.04119, as follows:

Creating a Board of Liquor Permits, providing the procedure therefor, and imposing a fee on permittees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A Board of Liquor Permits is hereby created, the membership of which shall consist of the president of the Police Commission, president of the Board of Education, chairman of the Health Advisory Board, Chief Building Inspector and a member of the Board of Supervisors to be appointed by the chairman thereof, whose duty it shall be to examine all applications for permits and grant permits to engage in the business of selling spirituous malt or legalized alcoholic beverages.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation desiring to engage in the business of selling spirituous malt or other legalized alcoholic beverages shall first obtain a permit from the Board of Liquor Permits and pay an annual fee of $25.

Section 3. Applicants for permits as herein provided shall make

application in writing on forms provided by the Board of Liquor Permits and pay a filing fee of $10 at time of filing said application.

Section 4. Applicants for liquor permits as herein provided shall be of good moral character, citizens of the United States, and residents of the City and County of San Francisco for one year immediately preceding the filing of said application.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be punishable by a fine of not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not to exceed six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Requesting Mayor to Appoint Committee for the Good Will Mission to the Century of Progress Exposition at Chicago.
(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 675, as follows:

Whereas, the Metropolitan City of Chicago plans for 1933 a display of accomplishment and development under the title "Century of Progress Exposition," at Chicago; and

Whereas, a plan is under way to send to said Exposition a representative group of the Sons and Daughters of California Pioneers on horseback from San Francisco to celebrate California Day on Saturday, July 7, 1933; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens of the City and County of San Francisco to devise ways and means and to have charge of all the details for the purpose of carrying forward the plan above outlined.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Extending Sympathy and Help to the City of Los Angeles.
(Code No. 19.072)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution 676, as follows:

Whereas, dire distress and misery and destruction has come to our sister City and County of Los Angeles in the southern part of California; and

Whereas, the hearts of the World and the good will of all the States of the Union are open and extended to said sister city in this hour of trying need, heavy death and sad destruction; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco extends to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of Los Angeles, and to all their people, sincere offers of sorrow and grief at the evil that has been visited on said city and county, and expresses the hope that the evils of destruction and death will be ameliorated by the good will and offers of help of sister cities in California and throughout the United States; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco extends its best words of solace and encouragement and offers to place at the disposal of the City and County of Los Angeles whatever means and powers said Board of Supervisors have to help allay suffering, remove distress and help in rebuilding the glorious city of the southland.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Collier's Thanked for Truthful Statement of S. F. Finances.
(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 678, as follows:

Whereas, there appeared in the national weekly commonly known as "Collier's," in its issue dated March 18th, 1933, an article dealing with the financial problems of the cities of the United States; and

Whereas, said article criticizes most severely the officials of various cities for not regulating their respective expenditures to meet the exigencies of existing extraordinary conditions; and

Whereas, in said article San Francisco is singled out as one of the cities of the United States that has done its full part to meet the extraordinary conditions which exist at the present time and by reason thereof has been placed in a most favorable position in the eyes of the people of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, do hereby extend to William L. Chenery, the editor of "Collier's," and to Charles Colebaugh, the managing editor thereof, and to Thomas H. Beck, the editorial director thereof, the sincere thanks and appreciation of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco for their action in giving to all of the people of the United States the true conditions regarding the financial affairs of the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is, hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to William L. Chenery, the editor of "Collier's," to Charles Colebaugh, the managing editor thereof, and to Thomas H. Beck, the editorial director thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Authorizing the Preparation and Mailing of Argument Favoring the Approval of Charter Amendment No. 1, Salary Deductions.
(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 679, as follows:

Authorizing the Preparation and Mailing of Argument Favoring the Passage of Charter Amendment No. 1, Providing for Deductions from Salaries and Other Fixed Appropriations in Time of Extraordinary Economic Conditions or Public Emergencies.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco caused to be submitted to the voters of the City and County, at the election to be held April 11, 1933, a charter amendment known as Charter Amendment No. 1, providing for deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby directs to be printed an argument in favor of the approval of said charter amendment, for the purpose of distributing the same to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco and that the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed to include a copy of said printed argument in each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Expression of Sorrow at the Passing of Newton P. Howe.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisors Miles and Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 680, as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Newton P. Howe, former chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Mendocino County, has been called to his reward; and

Whereas, Mr. Howe was distinguished for his work in behalf of his county and the measures for the general welfare of all counties north of San Francisco and was outstanding in his advocacy of the Golden Gate Bridge, and particularly was he noted for his good will towards San Francisco and its progress; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sincere sorrow and deep regret at the passing of Newton P. Howe, formerly chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Mendocino County, vice-president of the Redwood Empire Association of Mendocino County, and president of the Shore Line Highway Association; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to Mrs. Newton P. Howe.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Opposing the Abolition of the State Highway Commission.
(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisors Miles and Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 681, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as opposed to any legislation of the State Legislature having for its purpose the abolition of the Highway Commission of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be transmitted to the state legislators representing San Francisco, the State Highway Commission and the Redwood Empire Supervisor Unit of the Redwood Empire Association.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Peyser, Power—4.

Leave of Absence, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., March 11, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, for permission to absent himself from the State of California from March 11, 1933, to March 24, 1933, for the purpose of obtaining data on the latest types of Incinerators.

Permission was already granted Mr. Cleary by your Board to make this inspection between March 2 and March 15, 1933, but due to stress of more important assignments, he was unable to leave the city sooner.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this permission.

Respectfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted.*

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 682:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His

Honor the Mayor, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from March 11, 1933, to March 24, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Director of Property to Sell Buildings on Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Lands.

(Code No. 12.17351)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 683:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days' published notice, the following buildings which have been used in connection with constructing the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct:

16 buildings at Tesla Portal, Section 33, T. 3 S., R. 5 E., San Joaquin County, California;
18 buildings at Irvington Portal, near the Niles-Mission San Jose State Highway, about one mile northwesterly from Mission San Jose, Alameda County, California.
The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, said buildings to be removed by the purchaser or purchasers within thirty days from the date of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Mayor to Appoint Committee to Go to Sacramento to Oppose Repeal of Appropriation for Agricultural District No. 1.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 674, as follows:

Whereas, this Board did heretofore, on the 14th day of September, 1932, adopt Resolution No. 421, which reads as follows:

"BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PLEDGED TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO RAISE SUM OF $250,000 FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AGRICULTURAL EXPOSITION BUILDING. (Code No. 9.051).

"Resolution No. 421, as follows:

"Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, in the session of 1931, appropriated the sum of $250,000 for the construction of an agricultural exposition building for the use of No. 1-A Agricultural District Association; and

"Whereas, the Legislature also provided that the sum of money thus appropriated should not be available for expenditure until an additional sum of $250,000 should be paid to No. 1-A Agricultural District Association by the City and County of San Francisco or some other public or private corporation or agency; and

"Whereas, owing to the current economic and business depression it has been impossible during the past year to raise the said additional sum of $250,000 by private subscription; and

"Whereas, at the time of making the annual budget for the City and County of San Francisco for the current fiscal year, the problem of providing food and the necessities of life for many thousands of unemployed and destitute citizens was of such transcendent importance that the Board of Supervisors deemed it unjust and improper

to impose any additional tax charges for any other purposes whatsoever upon the taxpayers of the City and County until the unemployment emergency had passed; and

"Whereas, the legislative bodies of every other county in California have pursued the same policy and have been guided and controlled by the same principles and motives in making their annual county budgets and fixing their tax rates during the present economic emergency; and

"Whereas, the members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are unanimously and earnestly in favor of the construction of an Agricultural Exposition building in or adjacent to San Francisco; and

"Whereas, the Comptroller of the State of California has advised the Mayor of San Francisco that the appropriation of $250,000 for the Agricultural Exposition building will remain in effect until August 13, 1935; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, considering this project one of primary importance to the progress of this City and County, hereby pledges itself to make every legal effort to raise the additional sum of $250,000 necessary to make the State appropriation available for the construction of the Agricultural Exposition building at the earliest possible time; and be it further

"Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests his Honor the Mayor to conserve all surpluses obtained, except for unforeseen emergencies of more importance, to the end that there may be available a substantial contribution, if possible, prior to the convening of the Legislature at Sacramento in January, 1933; and be it further

"Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a special committee of the membership of this Board and other citizens to make immediate and vigorous efforts to preserve the appropriation heretofore made by the State Legislature and to raise the additional sum of money necessary to proceed with the construction of the Agricultural Exposition building without any avoidable delay.

"Adopted by the Board of Supervisors, September 14, 1932.

"Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

"I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

"Approved September 14, 1932.

"ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor."

And whereas, said resolution was signed by the Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, and is now of record in the minutes of this Board; and

Whereas, since the passage of said resolution the Board of Trustees of said district have procured, and will shortly have deeded to the State of California, a site for said Exposition buildings, which action will comply with one of the provisions of the appropriating act by which San Francisco gained the appropriation; and

Whereas, there will very shortly be paid into the treasury of said district $7,500 to apply as against the State's appropriation; and

Whereas, since the passage of said resolution by this Board, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, have, by resolution, duly passed and adopted on Monday, March 6th, 1933, voted to contribute the sum of $7,500 to this project; and

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of said district have procured from the Congress of the United States an amendment to the Emergency Relief Construction Act of 1932 (The Reconstruction Finance Corporation's Act), by which amendment it is possible to procure from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation sufficient funds with the help of this City and County and San Mateo County, to complete the whole project, estimated to be $1,296,000; and

Whereas, there has been introduced in the Legislature of the State of California, and is now pending, an act empowering the Governor of the State of California to borrow from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and/or the Secretary of Agriculture, or other governmental agency, funds for such purpose; and

Whereas, there are 125,000 days of labor in this project; and

Whereas, the grading alone upon the site selected would afford employment for 6000 men for the full period of four months; and

Whereas, it is the sense of this Board that it is infinitely better to furnish labor for the unemployed upon such public work as this than to have them remain idle, or performing some useless and idle work; and

Whereas, the President of the United States has announced that the policy of the national government will be to start the construction of public works throughout the land in order that the artisans, skilled mechanics and laborers be immediately employed; and

Whereas, there is now introduced in the Legislature of the State of California, an act—Senate Bill No. 692—by which the Legislature seeks to repeal Senate Bill No. 339, by which latter act the appropriation was made to San Mateo and San Francisco counties; and

Whereas, there is imminent danger of the passage of this repeal act, unless the City and County of San Francisco, by its opposition, prevents this action being taken; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, be now urgently requested to immediately appoint a committee to go to Sacramento on behalf of San Francisco and San Mateo counties, and oppose the repeal of this measure; and be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor be, and he is urgently requested, to appoint a committee of three members to serve with a committee from San Mateo county, to study the question of procuring the funds for this project from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation or other government agency for the purpose of procuring the funds necessary for the relief of the unemployed, and for the construction of this project, and that this Board of Supervisors hereby pledges itself to fully cooperate with the Board of Trustees of said district to the end that this splendid project be brought to San Francisco and San Mateo counties; and be it further

Resolved, That a certified copy of this resolution be sent to the Governor of the State of California, Hon. James Rolph, Jr., and to each member of the Assembly and of the Senate, and that the Clerk of this Board be requested to communicate with the chairman of the Governmental Efficiency Committee of the Senate, Mr. Ray Ingals, at Sacramento, by telegraph, and ascertain when a hearing will be had upon this proposition, and to arrange for said hearing for the committee so to be appointed by his Honor the Mayor, and that sufficient funds be appropriated forthwith for the expense of said committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Requesting Mayor to Appoint Committee to Study and Survey Structures in the Los Angeles Earthquake Area.

(Code No. 19.072)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 677, as follows:

Whereas, a catastrophe of major degree has been recently visited on the area of Los Angeles County and City; and

Whereas, numerous deaths of human beings, more numerous injuries to human beings, and untold destruction to properties and to buildings are noted by eye-witnesses of this awful catastrophe; and

Whereas, the greatest destruction to human life, and the greatest destruction to buildings and improvements are noted to have been in structures which were of light and/or nonproof against earthquake; and

Whereas, there are in the City and County of San Francisco numerous buildings and residences, and dwelling houses which are not proof against earthquake because of flimsy construction and/or because of building laws not stringently observed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of seven, composed of the Chief Building Inspector of the City and County of San Francisco, the City Engineer, and the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department, and four other reputable building and engineering experts, to make a survey and study of causes and conditions covering the destruction of buildings in the earthquake area of Los Angeles County; and be it

Further Resolved, That said Committee, after due and deliberate study and survey of said conditions and buildings, shall report to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco their findings, with recommendations covering and relative to the necessary improvements in the building laws of said City and County for the purpose of eliminating any faults or lapses that said building laws may now contain.

Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Authorizing Certain Officials of the City and County of San Francisco and Employees Thereof to Visit the Earthquake Area in the County of Los Angeles for the Purpose of Making a Study as to Earthquake Stresses Which Will Be Made Part of the Regulations Contained in the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco Now in Course of Preparation and Making an Appropriation for Said Purpose and Declaring this Ordinance to Be an Emergency Measure.

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Bill No. 266, Ordinance No. 11.087, as follows:

Authorizing certain officials of the City and County of San Francisco and employees thereof to visit the earthquake area in the County of Los Angeles for the purpose of making a study as to earthquake stresses which will be made part of the regulations contained in the building code of the City and County of San Francisco now in course of preparation and making an appropriation for said purpose and declaring this ordinance to be an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is, hereby requested to order that the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection and such other person as he may select, who is now engaged with the said Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection in the preparation of a building code for the City and County of San Francisco, to proceed to the earthquake area in the County of Los Angeles, to there make such investigation as they shall deem proper for the purpose of ascertaining the particular character and kind of construction which has best withstood the recent earthquake shocks in said county, to the end that proper provision may be made in the building code of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of providing against damage from earthquake shock.

Section 2. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund the sum of ..... Dollars to cover the expenses of said officers and employees hereinbefore mentioned.

Section 3. It is hereby declared that the immediate passage of this ordinance constitutes an emergency within the provision of section 16

of the Charter, said emergency being as follows: That the immediate passage of this ordinance is necessary for the purpose of protecting the property, health and safety of the people of the City and County of San Francisco in this, that if the said investigation herein requested to be made cannot be made at once it will be impossible to make same and it will be impossible for the persons requested to make said investigation to ascertain what particular character of construction has best withstood the earthquake shocks in Los Angeles County; and therefore, the Board of Supervisors, by a vote hereinafter set forth, does hereby declare that the emergency herein mentioned does actually exist and that this ordinance shall be passed without reference to or report from committee and without two readings and votes from separate meetings; and that this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage.

I, the undersigned, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, request that the above-mentioned appropriation be made.

.....
Mayor.

Appropriation is approved.

.....
Controller.

Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Unemployed Property Owners Unable to Pay Taxes.

Supervisor Havenner gave notice that at next meeting, when relief matters are up for consideration, that he will bring to the attention of the Board the case of a number of citizens of San Francisco and property owners out of employment who consider themselves not in a position to pay their taxes, and who are seeking some relief.

Additional Offer of J. P. Holland, Inc., for Garbage Disposal Franchise.

Communication from J. P. Holland, Inc., offering a minimum of $1,500 per month during life of franchise, in addition to his proposal of December 12, 1932, to dispose of garbage accumulated by scavengers and to collect from them $1 per ton and pay to City and County of San Francisco eleven cents of every dollar so collected.

Referred to Health Committee.

Visit of Japanese Training Squadron.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Malcolm A. Fraser, secretary to Mayor Rossi, calling attention to letter from Department of State at Washington, D. C., to Governor Rolph calling attention to proposed visit of Japanese Training Squadron in this port April 11-17, 1933, and requesting that the usual courtesies, etc., be extended.

Referred to the Industrial and Commercial Development Committee.

Diversion of Gas Tax Opposed.

The following was presented by the Clerk:

Communication from Governor Rolph acknowledging resolution adopted last week protesting against proposed legislation diverting the gas tax funds to the General Fund of the State.

Read and ordered *filed.*

State Economy Program Urged.

The Clerk read:

Telegram from Fact Finding Committee of State Senate urging support for its economy program which provides for reduction of salaries of judges.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Opposition to Curtailment of Veterans' Relief.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed to wire our representatives in the Senate a digest of the proceedings had in this Board in opposition to curtailment of veterans' aid.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Stanton—2.

Sale of Water Department Lands.

Supervisor Colman called attention to Bill 267, which provides for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department lands, which was ordered *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 20, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 12

Monday, March 20, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 20, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 20, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of March 13, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Legislative Matters.

Supervisor Peyser, under the rule of the Board giving right of way to consideration of matters pending in the State Legislature affecting San Francisco, spoke in part as follows:

Riley Tax Bill. First—This matter is coming up before the Legislature almost any day. Among other things, it provides that appropriations of the General Fund of the City for any biennial, exclusive of school budgets, shall not exceed 5% of the previous biennial and affects the City and County of San Francisco the same as section 20—that the expenditures of any city and county shall not exceed by more than 5% the expenses of the previous year, except when authorized by a 2-3 vote of the electors or when authorized by the State Board of Equalization. The Legislature may further restrict expenditures even below 5%.

Second—This bill makes three large centers of the state finance the other counties of the state.

Third—The term "gross receipts" is not defined; the term "transaction" is not defined.

Fourth—Not over 50% of the taxes shall be derived from property, the balance from gross receipts or transactions, which is in effect a "sales tax". Every time the dollar moves a tax would be paid.

Operative properties of public utilities are to be retained to counties for taxation. Under this bill, the State Board of Equalization has to make the assessment on these properties upon which we are to levy the tax. This would greatly embarrass the local assessor in the event that the State Board of Equalization should fix a lower assessment for operative property of public utilities than the local assessor fixes for similar property in the same location.

Home rule, he said, is taken from the counties under this Riley tax bill.

Mr. Mitchell, representing the Retail Grocers Association, was heard in opposition to the Riley tax bill.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved that this Board go on record as opposed to the Riley tax bill in its present form and suggested that the San Francisco delegates be notified of this action.

Referred.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the subject matter be referred to the Judiciary and Legislative Committee. *So ordered.*

Committee meeting, thereupon, called for Thursday, at 2 p. m.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. ——)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case de-

mands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent

by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria,

so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory

of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health*

of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million (1,000,000) bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand (50,000) bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes: provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and

County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance,

and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and

such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public

Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED BY SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Amendment No. 1.

In Section 3, Subdivision 1, line 2, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 2.

In Section 3, Subdivision 2, line 1, after the words "Certified milk," insert the words "Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 3.

After Subdivision 3 of Section 2 insert the following:

Subdivision 3A. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test; said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Health. Only animals free from Brucilla abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Health or by other person or laboratory approved in writing by said Director of Health, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Health.

Amendment No. 4.

In Subdivision 6, Section 2, line 9, after the word "content," insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 5.

In Subdivision 4, Section 2, line 21, after the word "Director," strike out the words "of Public Health" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 6.

In Subdivision 5, Section 2, line 1, after the word "or," insert the word "Market."

Amendment No. 7.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 4, after the word "than," strike out the words and figures "eighteen (18) per cent of milk fat and not more than eight (8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing eighteen (18)" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20)."

Amendment No. 8.

In Subdivision 7, Section 2, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10 and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial counts for market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk."

Amendment No. 9.

In Subdivision 15, Section 3, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 10.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following: "except certified milk and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In Subdivision 4, Section 4, strike out lines 16 to 19 inclusive and in lieu thereof insert the following: "No person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Certified Milk Dairy Farm or a Guaranteed Raw Milk Dairy Farm, and no person, firm, association or corporation not engaged in operating a Pasteurizing Plant within the City and County of San Francisco, shall be permitted to engage in the business of selling and distributing Market Milk in said 'City and County'."

Amendment No. 12.

In Subdivision 14, Section 3, line 4, strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 13.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 15, after the word "Director" strike out the words "of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "of Agriculture of the State of California."

Amendment No. 14.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 37, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 15.

In Subdivision 4, Section 3, line 29, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 16.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 2, strike out the words "non-pathogenic."

Amendment No. 17.

In Subdivision 7, Section 3, line 2, after the word "of" strike out the words "delivery to the Pasteurizing Plant" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "processing at the pasteurizing plant."

Amendment No. 18.

In Subdivision 5, Section 3, line 1, strike out the word "more" and in lieu thereof insert the word "less."

Amendment No. 19.

In Subdivision 8, Section 3, line 3, after the word "and" strike out the words "forty (40) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hun-

dred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 20.

In Subdivision 10, Section 3, line 3, strike out the word "before" and in lieu thereof insert the word "after."

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, declared for pasteurized and certified raw milk. Mr. Krobitzch's raw milk, he said, may be all that is claimed for it in the way of tests, inspections, etc., but the Health Department has no record of it.

Frank S. Glass, secretary of National Milk Producers' Association, was heard at length, urging amendment to the proposed ordinance permitting Mr. Krobitzch to market his product under his copyright name of Guaranteed Raw Milk. He agreed that his client would bear the entire cost of inspection.

Robt. W. Krobitzch, owner of the Troutmere Guernsey Farm, exhibited trophies won by the excellence of his cattle and milk products. He declared that his guaranteed raw milk is sold to the best trade—95 per cent to hotels and restaurants. If compelled to sell as "certified," it would destroy his business.

Requirements of the Board of Health.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

March 9th, 1933.

Mr. F. Havenner, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mr. Havenner: In response to the inquiries of Supervisor Havenner as to requirements to insure the safety and potability of a milk supply, the following methods, much abbreviated, are offered. It is, of course, apparent that certified raw milk is handled under rigid requirements of the Milk Commission appointed by the San Francisco County Medical Society, who employ veterinarians, physicians and laboratory workers, and equitably pro-rate the cost to the various producers of certified milk.

The ideas of the Director of Public Health may be briefly summarized in order to insure safety of milk.

1. *Ventilated Milk Room*—Every milk farm must have a clean, light, well-screened milk room and at least two windows for proper ventilation.

2. *Sterilized Utensils*—Only sterilized utensils may be used in handling milk, and utensils must not be used for any other purpose than that for which designed.

3. *Cooling the Milk*—Cool the milk to 50 degrees F. or below as soon as possible after it is drawn.

4. *White-washed Walls*—Cow stable walls must be white-washed before May 15 and October 15 of each year.

5. *Cleaned Twice Daily*—The stable must be cleaned twice daily and manure and urine removed to a point sufficiently remote to eliminate the possibility of fly infection.

6. *Disposal of Human Excreta on Dairy Farms*—The erection and maintenance of privies, septic tanks, or any other method of sewage disposal shall be supervised and the ultimate disposal of sewage controlled in a manner satisfactory to the Department of Public Health.

7. *Clean Employees*—Employees must be clean and wear clean outer garments. All employees should be examined by physicians, preferably connected with the Department of Public Health, every six months. Such employees before being given employment should have a complete physical examination, especially with reference to tuberculosis and

venereal disease. Moreover, these examinations should be made within the Department of Public Health, and valid laboratory specimens obtained. Additional laboratory tests, such as bacteriological examinations of feces and urine, and examinations of swabs from nose and throat should be made. All of these examinations should be negative before employment. It may be necessary to obtain pictures as well as finger prints of these individuals in order to insure authenticity, and place on their record, possibly in duplicate, one of which is to be kept within the Department of Public Health.

Furthermore, these employees should be immunized against smallpox, typhoid fever, and possibly against diphtheria if found to be susceptible.

8. *Clean Cows*—Cows should be curried daily, and flanks, udders, sides and tails clipped.

9. *Cement Floors*—Cement or other impervious material should be used in construction of floors and should be properly graded and drained.

10. *Tuberculin Tests*—All cows are to be tuberculin tested every six months by an approved veterinarian, under State and Government supervision. All records are to be in duplicate, being kept within the Department of Public Health.

11. *Abortion Tests*—All cows should be tested for abortion disease by a veterinarian of the Department of Public Health and the subsequent laboratory tests made in the Department of Health laboratories. These tests should be by standard technique, examinations to be made once a month until herd is clean and then every three months thereafter. All records are to be in duplicate, being kept within the Department of Health.

12. *Soap and Towels*—All dairies should be equipped with wash basins, soap and towels for cleansing hands before milking.

13. *Clean Hands*—Before milking, hands must be washed clean.

14. *Window space*—Every cow stable should have at least four square feet of window space for every 500 cubic feet of air space.

15. *Water Supply*—Water supply on these dairies should be examined and certified to by the Department of Public Health in accordance with Treasury standard of the U. S. Government.

16. *Communicable Disease*—Any cases of communicable disease occurring in the families of the milkers or employees should be reported immediately to the Department of Public Health.

17. Pooled samples of raw milk should be taken from each producer, preferably once a week and examined by laboratory methods, the technique of which must be approved by the Director of Public Health, for germs of the streptococcus hemolyticus group, beta types. The isolation of such organisms under these approved laboratory methods, whether they be of a bovine or a human type, should mean the prompt shut-off of this milk supply, or its pasteurization until complete field and laboratory investigations can be made of each individual cow and each milk handler.

Pasteurization is an additional safeguard. It means heating of clean milk to 140-145 degrees F., preferably the latter, for thirty minutes. It does not change the healthful and nutritional quality of the milk, except in that the anti-scorbutic Vitamin C may be affected. This is, however, of no consequence since the presence of this vitamin is a doubtful component of cow's milk, whether raw or pasteurized, and orange juice and tomato juice are always supplied as adjunct to any diet by every individual.

Sincerely,

J. C. GEIGER, M. D.
Director.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Spaulding, the subject matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30, *Monday, March* 27, 1933.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Sidewalk Construction on Various Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 262, Ordinance No. 12.061119, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sixth avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Eleventh avenue (west one-half), 125 to 225 feet north of Moraga; Eleventh avenue (east one-half), Moraga to Noriega; Twenty-fourth avenue (east one-half), Kirkham to Lawton; Twenty-sixth avenue (east one-half), Kirkham to Lawton; Twenty-sixth avenue (west one-half), Kirkham to 250 feet south of Kirkham; Thirty-first avenue (east one-half), Irving to Judah; Thirty-third avenue, Irving to Judah; Fortieth avenue (east one-half), Irving to Judah; Fifteenth avenue (east one-half), Wawona street to West Portal avenue; Lawton street (north one-half), Twenty-second avenue to Thirty-first avenue; Twenty-second avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Lincoln way (south one-half), Sixth avenue to Seventh avenue; Oneida avenue (north one-half), Otsego to Delano avenues; Italy avenue (south one-half), Mission to London; Twenty-second avenue (west one-half), Judah to Kirkham; Fountain street (east one-half), Twenty-fourth to Twenty-fifth; Mt. Vernon avenue (north one-half), San Jose avenue to Howth street; Twenty-ninth street (south one-half), Castro street 280 feet westerly; Cayuga avenue (west one-half), Santa Rosa avenue 150 feet westerly; Wilder street (north one-half), Diamond to Natick; Boyce street (west one-half), Geary street to 75 feet southerly, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width are not at the present time constructed to the official grade; and of Laguna street (east one-half), Vallejo street to Broadway; California street (south one-half), Laguna to Octavia; Sacramento street (north one-

half), Gough street to 82 feet 6 inches east of Gough street; Gough street (east one-half), Sacramento street to 127 feet 8¼ inches north of Sacramento street; Polk street (east one-half), Chestnut to Francisco; Union street (south one-half), 100 feet to 127 feet 6 inches west of Scott street; Vallejo street (south one-half), Montgomery street to 68 feet 9 inches east of Montgomery street; Turk street (north one-half), 137 feet 6 inches to 206 feet 3 inches west of Franklin street; Douglass street, Seventeenth street to North End; Silver avenue (south one-half), Madrid to Edinburgh; Carolina street (east one-half), Twentieth to Twenty-second; Paul avenue (south one-half), Exeter to Crane, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are not at the present time constructed to the official grade.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Authorizing the Exchange of Certain Lake Merced Lands With Spring Valley Company, Ltd.
(Code No. 12.1741)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 263, Ordinance No. 12.17413, as follows:

Authorizing the exchange of certain Lake Merced lands with Spring Valley Company, Ltd.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property now under control of the San Francisco Water Department and hereinafter described as Parcels A and B, to Spring Valley Company, Ltd., in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcels 1, 2 and 3, all of which parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL A.

Commencing at a point which is distant 1612.69 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard and 2075.00 feet at right angles easterly from the southerly production of the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to December 28, 1925, said point being distant north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 165.91 feet from the southwesterly end of the 14th course of San Francisco Parcel 55 as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County (said 14th course of Parcel 55 being assumed to bear north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east); thence along the northerly line of said Parcel 55, the following courses and distances: north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 81.34 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 39 degrees 24 minutes, a distance of 220.05 feet; thence south 62 degrees 15 minutes 30 seconds east, 132.79 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 130 feet, central angle 129 degrees 06 minutes, a distance of 292.92 feet; thence south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west, 138.70 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 22 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 707.58 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 46 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 281.00 feet; thence north 68 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 6.77 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of above mentioned Parcel 55.

Containing 1.840 acres.

PARCEL B.

Commencing at a point on the easterly boundary of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced (which is assumed to bear north 0 degrees 15 minutes west) distant northerly along said boundary 1798.52 feet from a granite monument set at the intersection thereof with the boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, in the State of California; thence running along the northerly boundary of San Francisco Parcel 55 of that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, at page 1, the following courses and distances: north 74 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds west, 503.42 feet to aforesaid company's monument No. 60; thence south 87 degrees 00 minutes west, 287.95 feet to aforesaid company's monument No. 59 and the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing along said northerly boundary, as follows: on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 61 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 134.17 feet; thence north 31 degrees 30 minutes west, 217.30 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 80 degrees 40 minutes, a distance of 175.99 feet; thence north 49 degrees 10 minutes east, 105.57 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 100 feet, central angle 97 degrees 57 minutes, a distance of 170.95 feet; thence north 48 degrees 47 minutes west 17.81 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 550 feet, central angle 31 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 302.38 feet; thence north 80 degrees 17 minutes west, 627.77 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 125 feet, central angle 81 degrees 54 minutes, a distance of 178.68 feet; thence south 17 degrees 49 minutes west, 55.78 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 200 feet, central angle 72 degrees 10 minutes, a distance of 251.91 feet; thence south 89 degrees 59 minutes west, 900.00 feet; thence leaving said boundary of aforesaid Parcel 55, and running south 0 degrees 01 minute east, 173.29 feet; thence north 73 degrees 51 minutes east, 275.74 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 357.50 feet, central angle 25 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 155.99 feet; thence south 81 degrees 09 minutes east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1342.50 feet, central angle 18 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 433.47 feet; thence north 80 degrees 21 minutes east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 507.50 feet, central angle 61 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 544.74 feet; thence south 38 degrees 08 minutes 54 seconds east, 300 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 292.50 feet, central angle 31 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 158.26 feet; thence south 69 degrees 09 minutes east, 36.40 feet; thence north 3 degrees 00 minutes west, 20.12 feet to the aforesaid monument No. 59 and the true point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of above mentioned Parcel 55.

Containing 11.863 acres; reserving, however, to the City and County of San Francisco the following described rights of way across said Parcel B:

1. The right to maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace and/or remove the existing Baden-Merced pipe line, with necessary accessories, within a strip of land 20 feet wide, 10 feet each side of the center line of said existing pipe line. Said center line being generally described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of the center line of said Baden-Merced pipe line with the northerly line of the Lake Merced Golf and Country Club property, and running along said center line as follows: north 6 degrees 19 minutes 30 seconds west 1300 feet, more or less, to an angle in said line, north 15 degrees 44 minutes 30 seconds east

1020.40 feet, north 31 degrees 22 minutes 30 seconds east 1112.2 feet, north 26 degrees 42 minutes west 1849.3 feet, north 5 degrees 38 minutes 30 seconds west 4313.66 feet, north 5 degrees 29 minutes west 1211.7 feet and north 29 degrees 21 minutes west 535.7 feet, more or less, to a point in the southerly line of San Francisco Parcel 56, as the same is described in said deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. The right to maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace and/or remove the existing Lake Merced suction and force mains, with necessary accessories, all within a strip of land bounded on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 10 feet, at right angles northeasterly, from the center line of the existing Lake Merced 23-inch force main, and on the southwest by a line parallel to and distant 10 feet at right angles southwesterly from the center line of the existing Lake Merced 22-inch suction main. The center line of the Lake Merced 22-inch suction main being generally described as follows:

Commencing at a granite monument set at the intersection of the boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo with the easterly line of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced and running north 47 degrees 57 minutes west 2483.8 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 416.2 feet, north 38 degrees 23 minutes west 135.17 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 305 feet, north 50 degrees 01 minute west 140.69 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 1270 feet, north 46 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west 84.04 feet, north 64 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds west 58.2 feet, south 88 degrees 21 minutes 30 seconds west 190.08 feet, and south 86 degrees 42 minutes west 329.24 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 hereinbefore referred to; and

The center line of the Lake Merced 23-inch force main, being generally described as follows:

Commencing at a point in the easterly line of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced, distant north 0 degrees 15 minutes west 27.04 feet from a granite monument set at the intersection of said easterly line with the line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, and running north 47 degrees 57 minutes west 2464.96 feet, north 44 degrees 18 minutes west 2265.56 feet, north 42 degrees 15 minutes west 84.05 feet, north 64 degrees 35 minutes west 61.11 feet, and south 86 degrees 42 minutes west 534.92 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 hereinbefore referred to.

Also reserving to the City and County of San Francisco, all subterranean waters under said lands described as Parcels A and B; provided, however, that the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., and its successors in interest, shall have the right to drill new wells for and to take water from such new wells situate within the limits of said Parcels A and B for domestic or other use upon Parcels A and B or upon adjoining lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., or its successors in interest, but not for use elsewhere.

PARCEL 1.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sloat boulevard with the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to Dec. 28, 1925, if said center line be produced southerly along its then existing course, and running thence southerly along the southerly extension of said center line, whose bearing is assumed to be south 3 degrees 02 minutes 50 seconds east, a distance of 1700 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 100 feet, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 157.08 feet; thence north 86 degrees 57 minutes 10 seconds east, 259.41 feet to the easterly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by Spring Valley Company, Ltd., Dec. 21,

1931, and recorded in Volume 2317 of Official Records, at page 383, records of said City and County, and the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing north 86 degrees 57 minutes 10 seconds east, 152.94 feet to a point on the northerly line of San Francisco Parcel 55 as the same is described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County, said point being on the arc of a curve with a radius of 300 feet, at which point the tangent to said curve bears north 54 degrees 15 minutes 30 seconds east; thence northeasterly along the arc of the last named curve with a central angle of 22 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 120.13 feet; thence along the northerly line of aforesaid Parcel 55 the following courses and distances: north 31 degrees 19 minutes east, 311.01 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 69 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 390.02 feet; thence south 78 degrees 51 minutes east, 83.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, central angle 74 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 261.22 feet; thence south 4 degrees 01 minute east, 45.27 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 290 feet, central angle 26 degrees 40 minutes, a distance of 134.97 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 125 feet, central angle 145 degrees 26 minutes, a distance of 317.29 feet; thence north 57 degrees 13 minutes east, 341.57 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 340 feet, central angle 21 degrees 07 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 125.36 feet; thence north 78 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds east, 165.91 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 68 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 910.11 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 400 feet, central angle 74 degrees 20 minutes, a distance of 518.94 feet; thence south 36 degrees 56 minutes 20 seconds west, 334.03 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 45 degrees 02 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 235.85 feet to the easterly line of the above described property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Spring Valley Company, Ltd.; thence south 8 degrees 01 minute 50 seconds east along said easterly line, 60.53 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Containing 7.317 acres.

PARCEL 2.

Commencing at a point which is distant 1915.36 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard and 2333.17 feet at right angles easterly from the southerly production of the center line of Thirty-sixth avenue as the same existed prior to Dec. 28, 1925, said point being distant south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west, 138.70 feet from the northeasterly end of the 18th course of San Francisco Parcel 55 as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County (said 18th course of Parcel 55 being assumed to bear south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west); thence along the easterly line of said Parcel 55 the following courses and distances: south 66 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds west, 27.59 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 125 feet, central angle 68 degrees 42 minutes, a distance of 149.88 feet; thence south 1 degree 51 minutes 30 seconds east, 25.16 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 200 feet, central angle 55 degrees 08 minutes, a distance of 192.45 feet; thence south 56 degrees 59 minutes 30 seconds east, 194.26 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 400 feet, central angle 25 degrees 00 minutes, a distance of 174.53 feet; thence south 31 degrees 59 minutes 30 seconds east, 89.25 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 800 feet, central angle 11 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 165.22 feet; thence south 43 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds

east, 51.56 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 250 feet, central angle 38 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 169.44 feet; thence south 82 degrees 39 minutes 30 seconds east, 44.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, central angle 54 degrees 04 minutes, a distance of 188.73 feet; thence south 28 degrees 35 minutes 30 seconds east, 36.54 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 300 feet, central angle 27 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 143.99 feet; thence south 56 degrees 05 minutes 30 seconds east, 45.63 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 150 feet, central angle 130 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 341.65 feet; thence south 74 degrees 24 minutes 30 seconds west, 247.35 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 300 feet, central angle 41 degrees 40 minutes, a distance of 218.17 feet; thence north 63 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds west, 156.34 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 300 feet, central angle 116 degrees 07 minutes, a distance of 607.99 feet; thence south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds east, 1887.27 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 500 feet, central angle 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 205.08 feet; thence south 23 degrees 32 minutes 30 seconds east, 42.76 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 500 feet, central angle 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 205.08 feet; thence leaving said line of said Parcel 55 and running north 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west, 2046.50 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 549.78 feet; thence north 89 degrees 58 minutes east, 388.64 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 350 feet, central angle 141 degrees 05 minutes, a distance of 861.83 feet; thence north 51 degrees 07 minutes west, 939.48 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 450 feet, central angle 28 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 222.97 feet; thence north 22 degrees 43 minutes 40 seconds west, 209.30 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 14.400 acres.

PARCEL 3.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the Skyline boulevard (which is assumed to bear south 3 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds west) and the southwesterly line of Parcel 55 of San Francisco County Lands as described in that certain deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in Volume 2002 at page 1, Official Records of said City and County, said point being distant 100 feet easterly and 120.69 feet northerly from the California State Highway Monument set at Engineer's Station "P" 69 + 73.05, P. C.; thence running southeasterly along aforesaid line of Parcel 55 the following courses and distances: on the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 67 degrees 11 minutes east, radius 500 feet, central angle 30 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 263.62 feet; thence south 53 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds east, 434.46 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 1000 feet, central angle 16 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 282.89 feet; thence south 69 degrees 14 minutes east, 699.64 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 300 feet, central angle 33 degrees 33 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 175.71 feet; thence leaving said line of said parcel 55 and running north 69 degrees 14 minutes west, 865.47 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 16 degrees 12 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 297.03 feet; thence north 53 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds west, 434.46 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 550 feet, central angle 19 degrees 31 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 187.40 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 10 feet, central angle 53 degrees 28 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 9.33 feet to the easterly

line of the Skyline boulevard; thence north 3 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds east, along said easterly line, 105.34 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.023 acres.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said Parcels A and B to Spring Valley Company, Ltd. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Spring Valley Company, Ltd., upon receipt of a deed to said Parcels 1, 2 and 3, and to accept and record the deed to said Parcels 1, 2 and 3.

Section 3. The City and County of San Francisco shall, at its own expense, relocate any structures that may be affected by the proposed Lake Merced boulevard which is to be constructed by said City and County over said Parcels 1, 2 and 3. The City and County of San Francisco shall satisfactorily settle with the tenants of Spring Valley Company, Ltd., for any damage to crops caused by the construction of said boulevard. Grading of the boulevard hereinbefore mentioned shall be started within two years from the date of the deed conveying Parcels 1, 2 and 3 to the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recomendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 684, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now standing in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was sold to the State June 28, 1926, and was also assessed for the years 1926, 1927, 1928, 1929, and 1930. Being City property, these sales and assessments should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		*Sale*	1925 *tax*
15	1	2326	Jean Laclergue	1207	5.16
33	7A	6071	Thos. Rowley	1631	6.81
34	6	6104	Hannah M. Preble.....	1653	6.20

Assessments			1926	1927	1928	1929	1930
15	1	2326	.....15.74	16.34	17.02	16.94	17.38
33	7A	6071	..... Pd.	12.54	13.06	3.16	1.22
34	6	6104	..... 5.50	5.70	5.94	Pd.	6.06

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 685, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property, sold to the State June 27, 1927, and assessed for the years 1927, 1928, 1929, 1930, and 1931, is now City property and that these sales and assessments should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, that the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3804 of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		*Sale*	1926 *tax*
3	1	407	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	88	100.66
	1A	407	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	89	45.76
	1C	407	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	90	54.90
	1	426	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	95	91.50
	2	426	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	96	102.48
	2A	426	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	97	117.12
	4	426	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	98	183.00
	5	426	Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	99	69.54
	1	428	Whittell Realty Co.....	100	228.75
	1B		Whittell Realty Co.....	101	228.75
	2		Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	102	686.26
	2A		Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	103	686.26
	2B		Calif. Pac. Title Ins. Co.....	104	183.00
35	5	6263	Otto Goldsmith	1989	4.02
39	4	6958	Josephine Cresta	2292	18.30
	6		Amelia Capurro	2293	16.10
	8		Henrietta Cheli	2295	12.44
	9		Amelia Capurro	2296	5.86
	11		Josephine Cresta	2297	5.86
	15		Henrietta Cheli	2298	2.20
	16		Amelia Capurro	2299	2.20
	17		Josephine Cresta	2300	1.84
	19		Amelia Capurro	2301	1.84
	21		Henrietta Cheli	2302	1.84
	22		Amelia Cheli	2303	1.84
	23		Josephine Cresta	2304	11.98
	24		Amelia Cheli	2305	5.86
	28		Amelia Cheli	2306	7.68
	29		Henrietta Cheli	2307	7.68
	30		Amelia Capurro	2308	15.38
	32		Josephine Cresta	2309	16.48
	34		Amelia Cheli	2310	10.24
	35		Henrietta Cheli	2311	9.88
	36		Amelia Capurro	2312	20.14
	38		Josephine Cresta	2314	20.14
	2	6960	Josephine Cresta	2315	14.64
	4		Amelia Capurro	2316	5.50
	5 & 6		Anne E. Flammer, et al.....	2317	50.14
	8		Josephine Cresta	2318	8.06
39	9	6960	Henrietta Cheli	2319	6.58
	10		Amelia Cheli	2320	1.46
	12		Amelia Capurro	2321	9.52
	18		Amelia Cheli	2322	9.16
	20		Amelia Capurro	2323	5.12
39	22	6960	Henrietta Cheli	2324	1.82
	24		Amelia and Henrietta Cheli.	2325	5.12
40	24 & 25	7132	Geo. M. Perine.....	2462	5.12

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessments 1927	1928	1929	1930	1931
3	1	407	.....104.50				
	1A		..... 47.50				
	1C		..... 57.00				
	1	426	..... 95.00				
	2		.....106.40				
	2A		.....121.60				
	4		.....190.00				
	5		..... 72.20				
	1B	428	.....475.00				
	2		.....712.50				
3	2A	428	.....712.50				
	2B		.....190.00				
35	5	6263	..... 4.18	4.36	4.34	4.44	4.44
39	6	6958	..... 16.72	17.42	17.34	17.78	
	9		..... 6.08	6.34	6.30	6.46	
	16		..... 1.90	1.98	1.98	2.02	
	19		..... 1.90	1.98	1.98	2.02	
	30		..... 15.96	16.64	16.54	16.96	
	36		..... 20.90	21.78	21.68	22.22	
	4	6960	..... 5.70	5.94	5.92	6.06	
	5 & 6		..... 52.06	54.26	118.60	121.60	
	12		..... 9.88	10.30	22.86	23.44	
	20		..... 5.32	5.54	5.52	5.66	
40	24 & 25	7132	..... Pd.	5.54			

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Creating Positions of Executive Secretary, and of Confidential Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer, Appropriating Salaries Therefor, and Abolishing Position of Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 268, Ordinance No. 9.05149, as follows:

Creating a position as Executive Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer and a position as Confidential Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer in accordance with Civil Service Commission classification, appropriating salaries therefor and abolishing a position as Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Executive Secretary to the Chief Admintrative Officer at a salary of $250 per month, and one position as Confidential Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer at a salary of $175 per month are hereby created in the office of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Section 2. The position of Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer at a salary of $250 per month now established in that office but which has not been filled throughout the full year is hereby abolished and funds in the amount of $1,275 heretofore appropriated to Appropriation 74 as designated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Chief Administrative Officer—Personal Service) (Ordinance No. 9.05116) to cover the salary of this position is hereby reappropriated to Appropri-

ation No. 74 of said Ordinance No. 9.05116 to provide salaries of the two positions created under Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Amending Section 28 of Salary Ordinance (Annual)—Chief Administrative Officer—by Adding Item 2, Executive Secretary, and Item 3, Confidential Secretary, and Eliminating Position Heretofore Established of Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 269, Ordinance No. 9.05333, as follows:

Bill No. 269, Ordinance No. 9.05333, as follows:

Amending Section 28 of Ordinance 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Items 2 and 3 thereof and by eliminating a position heretofore established for one Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 28 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 28. **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer	$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

EXPLANATORY.

Items 2 and 3 added. One position as Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer at $250 abolished. This is an apparent increase in personnel but the incumbent in position under Item 4 is assigned to Delinquent Revenue Branch of Tax Collector's Office, although continued until July 1 on Chief Administrative Officer's payroll.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing the Execution of Lease Between the City and Spring Valley Co., Ltd., for Use of 4.2 Acres of Land Adjacent to Fleishhacker Playfield and Payment of $374.22 Out of Park Funds.

(Code No. 12.733)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 686, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, that certain written lease dated July 1,

1932, between Spring Valley Company, Ltd., as Lessor, and City and County of San Francisco, as Lessee, for using a certain 4.2 acre tract of land adjoining and in connection with the Fleishhacker Playground, San Francisco, California; and that the sum of $374.22 be paid as rental for said land for the fiscal year 1932-1933 from 1932-1933 Appropriation No. 33.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $14,000 Out of County Road Fund for City's Portion of the Improvement of Clement Street Between Fortieth and Forty-fifth Avenues (Fort Miley).

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 270, Ordinance No. 9.05150, as follows:

Appropriating $14,000 out of County Road Fund for City's portion of the cost of improvement of Clement street between Fortieth and Forty-fifth avenues, under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $14,000 is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the City's portion of the cost of improvement of Clement street between Fortieth and Forty-fifth avenues, under public proceedings.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Appropriating $1,500 from County Road Fund, and Payment to Walter E. Stone, for Account of Damage to Lot 9, Block 2702, Caused by the Extension of Nineteenth Street as Extended Westerly from Yukon Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 271, Ordinance No. 9.05151, as follows:

Appropriating $1,500 out of the County Road Fund, and authorizing its payment to Walter E. Stone, for account of damage to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 2702, and caused by the extension of Nineteenth street westerly from Yukon street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside from County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/28), and authorized in payment to Walter E. Stone, the sum of $1,500; being the amount of damages to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 2702, caused by the extension of Nineteenth street as extended westerly from Yukon street.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Authorizing Payments Out of County Road Fund for Account of Damages to Mrs. Edward McCafferey, Caused by Harrison Street Regrade, $210, and to J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market Street, Due to Market Street Extension Improvement, $300.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 272, Ordinance No. 9.05152, as follows:

Authorizing payments from County Road Fund for account of damages to property:

To Mrs. Edward McCafferey, caused by Rincon Hill regrade, $210, and

To J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market street, caused by Market Street Extension improvement, $300.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The following amounts are hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, for payment to the hereinafter named persons for account of damages to property, to-wit:

To Mrs. Edward McCafferey, caused by regrade of Rincon Hill, $210.

To J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market street, due to Market Street Extension improvement, $300.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Appropriating $412.50 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for Purchase of Eleven Filing Cabinets for Use of Clerk's Office, Municipal Courts.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 273, Ordinance No. 9.05153, as follows:

Appropriating $412.50 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for the purchase of Eleven Filing Cabinets, for the use of the Clerk's Office, Municipal Court.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $412.50 be and is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for the purchase of eleven filing cabinets for the use of the office of the Clerk of the Municipal Court.

(Approved by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Referred.

The following emergency ordinance was on motion *ordered referred to the Finance Committee*:

Committee to Make Survey of Buildings in Earthquake Area, Los Angeles County—Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.05154)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 274, Ordinance No. 9.051, as follows:

Authorizing certain officials of the City and County of San Francisco and employees thereof to visit the earthquake area in the County of Los Angeles for the purpose of making a study as to earthquake stresses which will be made part of the regulations contained in the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco now in course

of preparation and making an appropriation for said purpose and declaring this ordinance to be an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee consisting of eight members, composed of the Chief Building Inspector of the City and County, the City Engineer, the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department and four other reputable building and engineering experts, and also one member of the Board of Supervisors, to visit the earthquake area in the County of Los Angeles, and make a survey and such investigation as may be deemed proper for the purpose of ascertaining the particular character and kind of construction of buildings which have best withstood the recent earthquake disturbance, to the end that proper provision may be made in the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of providing against damage from earthquake shock, and to report its findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund the sum of seven hundred and fifty dollars ($750) to cover the expense of said officers and employees hereinbefore mentioned.

Section 3. It is hereby declared that the immediate passage of this ordinance constitutes an emergency within the provision of Section 16 of the Charter, said emergency being as follows: That the immediate passage of this ordinance is necessary for the purpose of protecting the property, health and safety of the people of the City and County of San Francisco in this, that if the said investigation herein requested to be made cannot be made at once it will be impossible to make same and it will be impossible for the persons requested to make said investigation to ascertain what particular character of construction has best withstood the earthquake shocks in Los Angeles County; and therefore, the Board of Supervisors by a vote hereinafter set forth, does hereby declare that the emergency herein mentioned does actually exist and that this ordinance shall be passed without reference to or report from committee and without two readings and votes from separate meetings; and that this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage.

I, the undersigned, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, request that the above mentioned appropriation be made.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Appropriation is approved.

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Collection of Fees for Issuance of Building Permits. (Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120, as follows:

An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard, or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The applicant or applicants for a building permit shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses of inspection and examination of the building and plans and specifications as specified in Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.) the sum of four ($4) dollars if the

estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall be five hundred ($500) dollars or less; the sum of five ($5) dollars if the estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall be more than five hundred ($500) dollars and less than one thousand ($1,000) dollars; and if the estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall exceed one thousand ($1,000) dollars, then the sum of five ($5) dollars for each one thousand ($1,000) dollars of the estimated cost or fraction thereof up to twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars, and ninety (90c) cents for each one thousand ($1,000) dollars or fraction thereof of the estimated cost above twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars.

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building.....$2.00
Permit for construction or alteration of billboard..... 2.00
Permit for erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney.. 2.00

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimneys may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars to the Central Permit Bureau, which Bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee an annual permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimney or chimneys; provided, however, that the holder of such annual permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any patent chimney or chimneys of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney or chimneys; and provided further that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for cancelling said permit.

EXPLANATORY

This proposed ordinance amends Ordinance No. 3.0417, *entitled, "Providing for the Collection of Fees by the Department of Public Works Upon the Issuance of Building Permits, and for Permits for Moving of Building, or for Construction or Alteration of Billboard, or for the Erection or Alteration of Patent Chimney"; and repeals Section* 55 *of Ordinance No.* 5132 (*N. S.*) *in effect July* 1, 1920, *entitled, "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco." It is necessary so that the department forced to bear the burden and expense of inspection receives credit for the fees charged.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee was on motion *laid over one week*:

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.
(Code No. 12.1729)

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San

Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance Quitclaim Deed to Certain Land.
(Code No. 12.1719)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 692, as follows:

Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a quitclaim deed from Joseph Hagan to Lot 15, Assessor's Block 209, San Francisco, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Lease of Certain Land at Intersection of Old San Bruno Road and San Bruno Avenue.
(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 277, Ordinance No. 12.17393, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain land at the intersection of old San Bruno road and San Bruno avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing certain City owned land located at the intersection of old San Bruno road and San Bruno avenue, 210 feet south of Oakdale avenue, San Francisco.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Providing for the Sale of Certain San Francisco Water Department Land in San Francisco.
(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 267, Ordinance No. 12.172522, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of that certain tract of land described as Parcel 22 of San Francisco County lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated as of March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Liber 2002 at page 1, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence easterly along the northerly boundary line of said tract 1019.46 feet to the northeasterly corner thereof; said corner being also the intersection of the southerly line of Judson avenue with the westerly line of Phelan avenue; thence southerly along the easterly boundary of said tract and said westerly line of Phelan avenue 300 feet more or less to a point distant 300 feet measured at right angles southerly from the easterly extension of said northerly boundary line; thence westerly parallel to and distant 300 feet measured at right angles southerly from said northerly boundary line 1021.32 feet more or less to the westerly boundary of said tract; thence northerly along said westerly boundary line 300 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was *laid over for one week*:

Regulating the Use of Public Rooms in Hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.
(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 278, Ordinance No. 11.0002, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the use of public rooms in hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the words "public rooms," for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be deemed to include any lobby, hallway, mezzanine, foyer, public rest or sitting rooms, or any other place used in common by the public and guests of a hotel.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to linger, loiter, sit, or stand in any public room in any hotel in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person to use any public room in any hotel for business purposes or social purposes in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 4. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not to exceed fifty dollars ($50) or by imprisonment in the City Jail for a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Eliminating Minimum Charge for Extra Passengers in Taxicabs.
(Code No. 11.0111)

The following recommendation of the Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 281, Ordinance No. 11.01112, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9029 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 22, fixing rates for sightseeing cars," and 24, setting maximum and minimum rates to be charged by taxicabs, of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," by eliminating minimum charge for extra passengers in Section 1 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9029 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Sections 22 and 24 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title to which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 22. *Rates for Sightseeing Cars.* Sightseeing buses, as defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series), as amended, shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip of not less than two hours' duration, and not more than two dollars and fifty cents per passenger per trip of not less than three hours' duration; and shall follow the route as designated or advertised by the owner or driver thereof.

Section 24. *Charges for Taxicabs.* No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any motor vehicle operated as a taxicab shall charge other maximum or minimum fares than in this section provided:

Maximum Rates.

(a) The maximum rate of fare is 25 cents for the first one-quarter mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional one-fifth (1-5) mile; ten (10) cents for each two minutes waiting time; and twenty-five (25) cents for each additional passenger over one.

Minimum Rates.

(b) The minimum rate of fare is fifteen (15) cents for the first one-quarter (¼) mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional two-fifths (2-5) mile; ten (10) cents for each two and one-half (2½) minutes waiting time.

Supervisor Stanton explained that the only change in the ordinance is the elimination of the 10c charge for extra passengers of taxicabs.

Supervisor Havenner asked for a vote on the amendment, which he favored, as well as on the ordinance itself, which he opposed.

There being no objection, the roll was called on the amendment as above stated, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Bill No. 281 and the same was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy—2.
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was *laid over one week*:

Police Department to Issue Permits to Pawnbrokers, Peddlers and Employment Offices.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 280, Ordinance No. 3.04121, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance adding to the powers and duties of certain departments in the matter of issuing certain permits or licenses and regulating or inspecting matters covered by such permits or licenses," by adding subdivisions 35, 36 and 37 thereto, authorizing the Police Department to issue permits for pawnbrokers, peddlers and employment offices.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto Subdivisions 35, 36 and 37, to read as follows:

Section 1. Permits shall be issued for the location and conduct of the businesses, enterprises for activities, enumerated hereinafter, by the department or office authorized by this ordinance to issue each such class of permit, and subject to the approval of other departments and offices of the City and County, where specifically designated in any such case; provided that permit or license fees, as required by ordinance shall be collected by the Tax Collector as provided in Section 3 hereof:

(1) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of amusement parks—by the Police Department;

(2) For the holding of masquerade balls—by the Police Department;

(3) For the holding of circuses or wild-west shows—by the Police Department;

(4) For balloon advertising—by the Police Department;

(5) For the use of street cars for displaying advertising signs—by the Police Department;

(6) For the discharge of firearms—by the Police Department;

(7) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of the business of second-hand dealer—by the Police Department: provided that if such business involves the storage and sale of used automobiles, each such permit shall also require the approval of the Fire Department;

(8) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of shooting galleries—by the Police Department;

(9) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of miniature and practice golf courses—by the Police Department: provided that if the establishment of any such business requires the remodeling of any structure, this shall also require a building permit as required by ordinance;

(10) For the operation of vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(11) For the maintenance of authorized stands for vehicles for hire —by the Police Department;

(12) For the establishment and maintenance of loading zones—by the Police Department;

(13) For the establishment and maintenance of passenger zones—by the Police Department;

(14) For the establishment of warning zones—by the Police Department;

(15) For the discharge of fireworks—by the Fire Department, subject also to the approval of the Police Department in so far as matters of traffic control are involved;

(16) For maintaining for burning bonfires—by the Fire Department;

(17) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto supply stations—by the Fire Department;

(18) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto parking stations—by the Fire Department;

(19) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto repair shops—by the Fire Department;

(20) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of commercial and public garages—by the Fire Department;

(21) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto sales departments—by the Fire Department;

(22) For the installation of oil burning apparatus and oil used in connection therewith—by the Fire Department;

(23) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dyeing and cleaning works—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Health Department;

(24) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dog hospitals and kennels or hospitals for sick animals—by the Health Department;

(25) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of laundries —by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(26) For the construction and maintenance of stables—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(27) For the establishment, and maintenance of medical colleges—by the Health Department;

(28) For the use of City and County property for decoration purposes—by the Real Estate Department, subject to the approval of any department having charge, management and control of the specific property involved;

(29) For the erection and maintenance of bill-boards on city property —by the Real Estate Department;

(30) For the establishment and operation of rock crushing machines

—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works;

(31) For the construction and maintenance of temporary buildings —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection, Fire Department and Health Department;

(32) For conducting blasting operations—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Fire Department;

(33) For the installation and operation of boilers, engines and cupola furnaces—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(34) For the construction and operation of gas works—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(35) *For the establishment and maintenance of employment offices—by Police Department;*

(36) *For peddling—by Police Department;*

(37) *For the maintenance and operation of pawnbroker establishments—by the Police Department.*

Section 2. The head of any department or office authorized to issue permits or licenses shall secure the approval of the City Planning Commission for any application for a permit or license, in any case where it is not clearly prescribed by the zoning ordinances and regulations of the City and County that the business enterprise or activity for which application for a permit is made, can legally be established on or at the location covered in the application for permit.

Section 3. All permits issued by departments or offices as outlined in Section 1 that require the payment of a permit or license fee shall be issued by the departments designated, as a permit for the conduct of the specified business, enterprise or activity at the specified location, and such permit shall be delivered to the applicant by the Tax Collector on the payment to the Tax Collector of the fee required by law or ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or provisions of existing ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. That ordinance shall take effect at 12 o'clock noon, on January 8, 1932.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ordering the Improvement of Clement Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 279, Ordinance No. 12.061120, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1932, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works,

and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Clement street between the westerly line of Fortieth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue produced, except that portion on the southerly one-half of Clement street between Fortieth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue produced, and except the intersection of Forty-third avenue, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	*Item*
1	Grading-excavation.
2	8-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
3	12-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
4	15-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
5	12x6 inch "Y" branches in place.
6	Brick manholes complete.
7	6-inch V. C. P. side sewer in place.
8	Brick catchbasins complete.
9	Brick catchbasins reset or rebuilt complete.
10	10-inch V. C. P. culvert in place.
11	Unarmored concrete curb.
12	Concrete curb reset.
13	One-course concrete sidewalks.
14	2x12 inch redwood header in place.
15	Asphalt-concrete pavement, remove and replaced, consisting of a 2 inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface and a 6 inch Class "F" concrete base.
16	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2 inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface on a 6 inch Class "F" concrete base.
17	Armored concrete curb.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Ninety Days' Time from March 24, 1933, Eaton & Smith, Garfield Street Between Orizaba Avenue and Head Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 687, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, Eaton & Smith are hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after March 24, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Garfield street between Orizaba avenue and Head street. Contractor has been delayed by weather conditions.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Endorsing 122.20 Miles of Secondary Highways In and About the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 688, as follows:

Resolved, That the outline of secondary highways which might be added to the State Highway System in and about the City and County of San Francisco totaling 122.20 miles, as set forth by the Engineer of said City and County in a letter dated March 3, 1933, and maps attached thereto, addressed to his Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, be and is hereby endorsed, and the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to give consideration to said outline of routes and mileage in said letter and maps attached thereto.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Director of Public Works Requested to Submit Plans, Specifications and Estimate for Widening Fell Street, Van Ness Avenue to Baker, Together With Substitute Plans, Specifications and Estimate for Widening Oak Street between Van Ness Avenue and Baker Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Resolution No. 689, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby respectfully requested to submit plans, specifications and estimated costs for widening Fell street, from Van Ness avenue to Baker street, and at the same time to offer substitute plans, specifications and costs for widening Oak street, between Van Ness avenue and Baker street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Approval of Assessment District—Nineteenth Street, Yukon to Caselli Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0825)

Also, Resolution No. 690, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing lands benefited by, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses of the improvement of Nineteenth street, from Yukon street to Caselli avenue," approved by the Department of Public Works, constituting the assessment district for the improvement of Nineteenth street, Yukon street to Caselli avenue, be and is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Nineteenth Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 282, Ordinance No. 12.061121, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 26, 1932, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street, from Yukon to Caselli avenue, including all intervening intersections and the necessary conform and approach work on streets adjacent thereto, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by construction of the following:

Item No.	*Item*
1	Embankment.
2	Concrete curb (unarmored).
3	2x16 inch Redwood curb. (2-2x8 inch.)
4	6 inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
5	2 inch asphaltic concrete on 8 inch macadam pavement.
6	Concrete sidewalk. (One course.)
7	1 inch asphaltic concrete on 4 inch macadam sidewalk.
8	Class "B" 2500 pound concrete in walls.
9	Bar reinforcing steel.
10	Fence with concrete posts and three pipe railing.
11	Concrete fence posts, in place.
12	8 inch vitrified clay pipe sewer.
13	10 inch vitrified clay pipe culvert.
14	Brick manholes, complete.
15	Brick catchbasins, complete.
16	8x6 inch "Y" or "T" branches.
17	6 inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.

That the said Department of Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as Lots 6, 9, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 43A, 44, 45, and 56C, of Block No. 2702, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof,

and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

In Memoriam: Frederick H. Clark.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 691, as follows:

Whereas, death has closed the career of one of San Francisco's most beloved teachers in the person of Frederick H. Clark, a former principal of the Lowell High School; and

Whereas, the deceased Frederick H. Clark was a graduate of the old San Francisco Boys' High School, a graduate of the University of California, and a historian of note, and was for more than forty years a teacher and leader in educational circles in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the late Frederick H. Clark was a splendid example to the youth who came under his benign influence, a true San Franciscan, a lover of family and family life, a kindly, courteous, and admired gentleman amongst gentlemen, one for whom thousands of his former students, now men and women in all walks of life in this city, have the highest regard and respect; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco pays public tribute and respect to the memory of Frederick H. Clark, whose influence for good was most notable, and further offers sympathy and condolence to his widow and children in this, their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this board, and that another copy be sent to the widow of the late Frederick H. Clark.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Compromise of Claim—I. H. Frank.
(Code No. 6.0222)

On motion of Supervisor Miles.

Bill No. 283, Ordinance No. 6.02224, as follows:

Compromise of claim of I. H. Frank against the City and County of San Francisco for five hundred dollars ($500), as a result of personal injuries sustained.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Municipal Court Action No. 47624 entitled: I. H. Frank vs. The City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of two thousand dollars ($2,000), for personal injuries sustained at the opera house, on January 26, 1933, be settled and compromised by the payment of the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) to said I. H. Frank, and the City Attorney is ordered to settle and compromise said matter for said sum.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111 as to Subdivision (b) Thereof, By Designating Cash Reserves for Self Insurers. (Code No. 11.0111)

On motion of Supervisor Peyser.

Bill No. 284, Ordinance No. 11.01113, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111 entitled, "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity, in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire, and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said commission, insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000, instead of $10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said commission a bond in such form as the commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation, for damages on account of injuries to property or person, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such persons, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a capacity of more than ten person, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on March* 15, 1933, *of* $23,600, *and thereafter shows an annual increase of* $700 *until the sum of* $25,000 *shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter cash reserve in said organizations is the sum of* $25,000, *shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the* 15*th day of each month, after March* 15, 1933, *advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.*

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding—2.

Report of Chief Administrative Officer on Methods of Garbage Disposal in Seattle, Portland, Etc.

The following was read, ordered *referred to Health Committee and copies sent to members*:

March 20, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to the policy of investigating thoroughly the subject of garbage disposal in other Pacific Coast cities, before recommending a final solution of our own problem, I spent the last week in investigating the situation in Portland, Seattle, Spokane, Victoria and Vancouver.

The detailed results of my investigation will be included in the report to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors within the next two weeks.

A brief summary of my findings is as follows:

Portland—The recently completed incinerator, constructed under plans and specifications prepared by the City Engineer of Portland, was tested from October 4, 1932, to December 6, 1932, and found by the City Engineer to comply with all specifications. The contract was accepted by the City Council on December 20, 1932, and the D-N Corporation, the contractor who built the incinerator, paid in full on that date.

The plant is now being operated only to sufficient capacity to keep one furnace hot, and practically all of Portland's garbage is being disposed of by the fill and cover method, although the City Engineer assured me that this would be in effect only long enough to complete the fill on some city property which it is desired to reclaim and enhance its value.

Seattle—Two incinerators have been abandoned in Seattle and fill and cover is being carried out successfully.

Vancouver—One incinerator is in partial operation, but only garbage from a very restricted area is burned. Most of the garbage is disposed of by the fill and cover method.

Victoria, B. C.—All of the garbage is disposed of by loading on barges and dumping at sea.

Spokane—An incinerator was completed two years ago, but only 8% of the city's garbage is consumed therein. The remainder is disposed of by fill and cover.

On the basis of my investigations, while the Portland and Seattle incinerators could, in all probability, be operated without grave nuisance, the fact remains that the great bulk of garbage is disposed of by fill and cover, despite the fact that modern plants have recently been erected in these cities. It is also to be noted that wherever incineration is in effect to even a very limited extent, the two-can system of collection is also deemed necessary and in effect.

Within two weeks I shall submit a complete and detailed report of my investigation with cost data, together with a recommendation as to the policy which, I believe, San Francisco should adopt.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Proposed Zoning Change—Laundries.

Communication from Wesley McKenzie, president Central Council of Improvement Clubs, and on behalf of various other organizations requesting favorable consideration of proposed amendment to the zoning ordinance, with particular reference to operation of laundries.

Also, communication from Lafayette Club, Inc., opposing indiscriminate granting of permits to open laundries in competition with old established firms.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 17, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In behalf of the citizens of Los Angeles, I thank you for your kind message of sympathy and your generous offer of assistance in our recent earthquake disaster.

Our people have shown remarkable courage and endurance, and I am glad to say that the work of relief and reconstruction is well

organized, and everything possible is being done throughout all the stricken areas.

Again thanking you, and with best wishes,

Sincerely,

JOHN C. PORTER,
Mayor.

Bonding City Employees.

Supervisor Gallagher gave notice that next Monday he would call up the ordinance presented by him, and referred to the Municipal Personnel Committee, relative to the bonding of City employees, same being over thirty days in committee.

The Clerk was, on motion, directed to communicate with the City Attorney and request that he have his opinion on the subject matter ready for the committee meeting on Friday.

Preference in City Employment to Unemployed Taxpayers.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, a representative of unemployed property owners was heard in reference to giving preference in City employment to property owners unable to pay taxes on account of unemployment.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Hearing on Approval of Plans, Etc.—San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Havenner declared that the special committee appointed by the Mayor to deal with the problem of approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge met Thursday to consider a resolution of endorsement of the plans of the approaches and terminal of the bridge in San Francisco County. The committee decided to recommend to the Board the adoption of the resolution. It will be necessary to hold the hearing on this matter immediately and I *move* that today we recess to meet again tomorrow at 10:30 a. m. for such hearing.

Motion *carried.*

Recess.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until to-morrow at 10:30 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 27, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 13

Tuesday, March 21, 1933
Monday, March 27, 1933
Wednesday, March 29, 1933


Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, MARCH 21, 1933, 10:30 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of public hearing and endorsement of plans, etc., of the San Francisco approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Miles, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Approving a Map Showing Route of Proposed State Highways in the City and County of San Francisco Connecting With the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and the Construction of Such Proposed State Highways by the State of California; and Directing That a Copy of Map Showing the Location of the Approaches to Said Bridge and the Location of the Proposed State Highways Connecting With Said Bridge Be Filed With the Director of Public Works, and That the Chief Administrative Officer Be Requested to Cause Said Map to Be so Filed; and Expressing on the Part of the Board of Supervisors Their Desire to Fully Cooperate in Every Way in Expediting the Early Completion of Said Bridge.

(Code No. 11.112)

Supervisor Havenner presented for the Streets and Citizens' Committee on Bridge Approaches:

Resolution No. 693, as follows:

Approving a map showing route of proposed State Highways in the City and County of San Francisco connecting with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and the construction of such proposed State Highways by the State of California; and directing that a copy of map showing the location of the approaches to said bridge and the location of the proposed State Highways connecting with said bridge be filed with the Director of Public Works, and that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to cause said map to be so filed; and expressing on the part of the Board of Supervisors their desire to fully cooperate in every way in expediting the early completion of said bridge.

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby ratifies and approves that certain map showing the terminus of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge in the City and County of San Francisco and the location of certain proposed State Highways connecting with said Bay Bridge, which said map is entitled "Map of Approaches to San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge —San Francisco Section," and approved by the California State Highway Commission on February 28, 1933; and does also ratify and approve the routes and plans designated on said map for the proposed State Highways within the City and County of San Francisco to lead

to and upon said bridge; and does hereby further approve the construction by the State of California of such portion of said highways as are within the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of said map be placed on file in the office of the City Engineer in the Department of Public Works, and the Chief Administrative Officer is hereby directed to cause a copy of said map to be so filed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby express to California Toll Bridge Authority and to the State Department of Public Works its desire to fully cooperate with both of said departments in their respective efforts to complete said bridge and said State Highways connecting therewith at the earliest possible date.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Woodruff, Chief of Design, State Engineering Department, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard in explanation of plans of San Francisco bridge approaches on exhibition in the chambers for public inspection. He explained at length to enquiring citizens and property owners the intent and effect of the proposed operations.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Miles, Shannon—5.

Status of Federal Building at Civic Center.
(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 708, as follows:

Whereas, it is customary, in connection with Federal construction work, to award the contract to the lowest bidder within ten days after the receipt of bids; and

Whereas, bids were received for the construction of the new Federal Building in the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, more than ten days ago; and

Whereas, the contract for this work has not yet been awarded; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco was put to considerable expense through litigation and purchase of property in connection with the site which was donated for the purpose of erecting a Federal Building in the Civic Center; and

Whereas, the site for the proposed Federal Building presents a most unattractive appearance in its present condition and constitutes a potential menace to traffic and pedestrians; and

Whereas, San Francisco building labor is sorely in need of the stimulation which the immediate construction of this building would supply; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be instructed to communicate with Senators Johnson and McAdoo as well as Representatives Kahn and Welch in an effort to determine the status of the contract for this work, and further, to prevail upon them to exert their best influence to the end that the commencement of this project may be expedited all possible.

Referred to Public Buildings and Land Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 11:45 a. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MARCH 27, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 27, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of March 20, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. ——)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or

processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and

pasteurization of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it*

shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million (1,000,000) bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand (50,000) bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food

products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any

milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars

with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to

be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or

can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Amendments to Milk Ordinance Submitted by Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Gallager presented:

Amendment No. 1.

After the end of Section 19 insert the following:

Section 19-A, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to

provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *The Dairy Herd, Testing Inspected Herds*: The dairy herd on any dairy farm receiving a permit to produce or ship guaranteed milk into the City and County of San Francisco shall undergo an annual physical examination which shall include the testing of said herd with tuberculin, and every bovine on the farm over six (6) months of age shall be required to submit to said physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health of San Francisco. The tuberculin shall be furnished by the owners of the herd tested.

Reacting Animals: All animals reacting to said tuberculin test shall be branded T. B. and removed from said dairy farm within fifteen days.

Additions to Dairy Herd: All additions made to said dairy herd shall undergo the physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health before said additions are admitted to the herd.

Animals Passed to Be Tagged: All bovines passed shall be tagged with a numbered metal tag bearing date of test and the words: "Tested and Passed, Board of Health, San Francisco."

Retesting of Herd Annually: The entire herd and every bovine on said farm over six (6) months of age shall be annually retested prior to the reissuance of a permit.

Regulation 17. *Dairy Herd Producing Milk for Pasteurization*: The dairy herd, on any farm receiving a permit to produce or ship milk into the City and County of San Francisco, shall be free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in two months, by a qualified veterinarian of the Board of Health.

Regulation 18. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 19. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 20. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 21. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 22. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 23. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 24. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 25. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person

who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 26. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Amendment No. 2.

In section 2, subdivision 5, line 2, after the word "or" insert the word "market."

Amendment No. 3.

In section 2, subdivision 7, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grades except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.

Amendment No. 4.

In section 3, subdivision 13, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 5.

In section 4, subdivision 1, line 20, strike out the period at the end of line 20 and in lieu thereof insert a comma and the following: "And unless the person owning and or operating said plant shall also pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall devote all his time to supervising the production of market milk at such plant in accordance with the terms of this ordinance."

Amendment No. 6.

In section 2, subdivision 6, line 9, after the word "content" insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 7.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 4, after the word "bottle" strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 8.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 3, after the word "and" strike out "forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 9.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 3, after the word "guaranteed" strike out the word "pasteurized" and in lieu thereof insert the word "Raw."

Amendment No. 10.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 10, strike out the words "Raw Guaranteed Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In section 3, strike out all of subdivision 3, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Subdivision 3. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Public Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test, said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Public Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Public Health. Only animals free from Brucella abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Public Health or by other persons or laboratory approved in writing by said director conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Public Health.

Amendment No. 12.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 13.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 8, after the words "Certified Milk" insert the words "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Genevieve Allen, representing the Home Makers Protective League, opposed the proposed legislation, declaring that it was based on an economic and not a health question.

Mrs. Dela Shisler, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, was heard in opposition and recited the results of her investigation of dairy farms from which the local supply of pasteurized and certified milk comes.

R. S. K. McMillan, representing himself as a citizen and a member of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposed the ordinance and criticized the conditions of several dairies from which local supply is derived.

Attorney Ehrlich and Dr. Kelly, representing the San Francisco Medical Society, were also heard at length.

(*Supervisor McSheehy requested stenographic report of Dr. Kelly's remarks.*)

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing subject matter was *laid over one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Boiler Ordinance.

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Buildings and Lands and Charter Installation.

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 258, Ordinance No. 11.086, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the installation and inspection of high-pressure steam boilers, low-pressure steam boilers, direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, and for the issuance of the permits and certificates therefor, and establishing the fees to be charged for the inspection of said appliances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to proceed hereafter with the installation of any high-pressure steam boiler, low-pressure steam boiler, direct-fired hot-water heater, indirect-heated hot-water tank, or pressure tank in any fixed location in the City and County of San Francisco unless the permit so to do as herein provided shall have first been obtained from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works of said City and County. Nothing herein contained shall be construed as evidence of proper performance or adequate capacity of any of the appliances herein mentioned.

Section 2. (a) Whenever used herein, the following terms shall have the following definitions:

(1) High-pressure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the generation of steam under pressure, exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(2) Low-pressure steam boiler shall mean any metal vessel or container having a heating surface of 3 square feet or more, used for the generation of steam under pressure, not exceeding 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

(3) Direct-fired hot-water heater shall mean any metal vessel or container used for the purpose of heating water through the medium of heat directly applied to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat directly applied by electrically heated elements.

(4) Indirect-heated hot-water tank shall mean any closed metal vessel or container used for the purpose of storing hot water heated by any other method than the direct application of heat to the shell and/or furnace walls and/or tubes, or of heat produced by electrically heated elements.

(5) Pressure tank shall mean such metal vessel or container used for the storage or accumulation of air or other substance under pressure as is covered by the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(6) Safety valve shall mean a safety valve conforming to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders.

(7) Relief valve shall mean a valve which conforms to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders and which is used for the purpose of relieving excess pressure other than steam.

(b) All of the above defined articles are herein referred to as appliances, and the term appliance in this ordinance shall mean each and any of the above defined articles.

Section 3. (a) The term installation shall not be construed to mean restoration or replacement of previously installed appliances, when such restoration or replacement is made by persons, firms or corporations permanently employing boiler inspectors certified by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California; provided, however, that such restoration or replacement does not change the duty performed by the appliances affected.

(b) The term location shall mean premises used or buildings operated as one unit under one management.

Section 4. (a) Applications for the permits herein mentioned shall be filed in duplicate with the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works upon forms furnished by such Bureau, which forms shall set out information necessary to be given. If the Bureau so requires, plans and specifications in duplicate shall be filed with the application. If it is found that the proposed installation conforms to the requirements of this ordinance, then upon payment of fee specified herein, an installation permit shall be issued to the applicant for the installation of the work. Thereafter, when the work has been completed, it shall not be put in operation until a final inspection shall be made. If upon such inspection it is found that the terms of this ordinance have been complied with, a certificate of final completion shall be posted and a duplicate copy of same issued to the owner or his authorized agent by the Bureau of Building Inspection, as provided for herein. When said installation permit is granted, there shall be attached thereto a copy of said plans and specifications, if such have been required to be filed.

Section 5. (a) Upon the filing of application for an installation permit, the Central Permit Bureau shall forthwith submit such application to such bureaus or commissions as have jurisdiction over the proposed work. If it shall be found that said application and the premises on which the proposed work is to be located conform to the laws and regulations governing such installation, then it shall be the duty of each of such bureaus or commissions to endorse approval upon such application and to transmit two copies thereof to the Central Permit Bureau, which Bureau shall forthwith issue the installation permit, and upon payment of the fee herein named, deliver it to the applicant. But if it shall be found that any such bureau or commission refuses to approve the application, the application shall be returned to the Central Permit Bureau with a statement of the reason for such refusal endorsed thereon.

Section 6. (a) All permits issued and certificates issued pursuant to this ordinance shall be kept displayed in a conspicuous place at or near where said appliance is installed. Installation permits shall be good for one year only; if installation is not begun within that period the permit becomes null and void.

Section 7. (a) Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to apply to the inspection of any appliance when the installation of such appliance is under the supervision and control of the Railroad Commission of the State of California as provided in the Public Utility Act of the State of California, approved the 23rd day of April, 1915.

Section 8. (a) No fees shall be charged for the issuance of permits or certificates, or for the making of inspections of appliances when the same are to be used by the City and County of San Francisco, the State of California, or by the United States of America, except as herein provided.

Section 9. (a) High-pressure steam boilers shall be installed in accordance with the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and/or its safety orders.

Section 10. (a) Low-pressure steam boilers shall be built and equipped in accordance with the Code of the American Society of

Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. They shall be equipped with safety valves and with an automatic electric or mechanical device by which the fuel supply is cut off when the water falls below the safe working level or the pressure exceeds that predetermined, which in no case shall be in excess of 15 pounds per square inch gauge pressure.

Section 11. (a) Direct-fired hot-water heaters, except as otherwise stipulated in this section, shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5600 pounds per square inch of cross-section if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of cast iron, the maximum working pressure on such heaters shall not exceed that guaranteed by the manufacturer, less 10 per cent.

(c) If direct-fired hot-water heaters are made of other materials than cast iron or steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(d) In no case shall a direct-fired hot-water heater be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(e) Direct-fired hot-water heaters shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity, to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent.

(2) A heat-controlling device that will control the fuel supply and prevent the temperature of the heated water from rising in excess of 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(3) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(4) An inspector's valved test gauge connection between the pressure gauge and the heater proper.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the heater, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the heater.

(f) Coil heaters without additional storage capacity and self-contained heaters having a capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(g) Self-contained heaters having a capacity over 45 gallons, equipped as provided in paragraph (e) of this section, are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they conform to the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed a total of 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 30 inches.

(3) In the construction of such self-contained heaters the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such heaters be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(4) The exterior of the container of such heaters shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with

the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such self-contained heaters, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 12. (a) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks shall be built and equipped to conform to the Code of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers as adopted by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and its safety orders. In no case shall the stresses in the longitudinal joints of steel shells, while operating under maximum working pressure plus 10 per cent, exceed: for welded construction, 5600 pounds per square inch of cross section, if single vee welded and/or thickness of shell is less than ¼ inch; 8000 pounds per square inch of cross-section if double vee welded and thickness of shell is ¼ inch or over; for riveted or seamless construction, 11,000 pounds per square inch of net cross-section.

(b) If indirect-heated hot-water tanks are made of other materials than steel, then their construction shall conform to the safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, but in no case shall the working stresses in the material exceed one-third the yield point.

(c) In no case shall an indirect-heated hot-water tank be installed if constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(d) Whenever an indirect-heated hot-water tank receives its heat or hot water from a coil or from a source of heat making possible a pressure in excess of that for which the tank is built, then such indirect-heated hot-water tank shall be equipped with:

(1) A water relief valve of ample relieving capacity to prevent a rise of pressure in excess of 6 per cent, when set to operate at the maximum working pressure, plus 10 per cent.

(2) If the source of heat supply is high-pressure steam, then a pressure-reducing valve must be installed on the steam line and be set to limit the pressure to that for which the tank is built.

(3) A heat-controlling device that will control the temperature of the water and prevent it from rising above 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

(4) A pressure gauge graduated to at least one and one-half times the allowable working pressure.

(5) Where the maximum City water pressure, as determined by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, at any time exceeds the maximum allowable pressure of the tank, a pressure-reducing valve shall be so installed as to reduce the incoming water pressure to that allowable on the tank.

(e) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity of 45 gallons or less are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance.

(f) Indirect-heated hot-water tanks having a water volume capacity in excess of 45 gallons are excluded from the requirements of this ordinance provided they comply with the following requirements:

(1) The water volume capacity does not exceed 100 gallons.

(2) The inside diameter of any part or parts subject to internal pressure shall not exceed 30 inches.

(3) In the construction of such tanks the working stresses specified in this section shall not be exceeded, but in no case shall such tanks be constructed for a pressure of less than 60 pounds per square inch.

(4) Such tanks shall bear a manufacturer's name plate. Such plate shall guarantee compliance with the requirements of this ordinance, or the manufacturer shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a guarantee of such compliance.

(5) The person, firm or corporation installing such tanks, before installation, shall file with the Bureau of Building Inspection a notification, on form to be supplied by said Bureau, which notification shall state, among other things, the place of installation, the general description of installation and a warranty that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with.

Section 13. (a) Pressure tanks shall be built and equipped to conform to the pressure tank safety orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

(b) In no case shall they be so located as to be inaccessible for examination.

Section 14. (a) If for any reason pipe lines connected to appliances are to be tested in excess of one and one-half times the allowable pressure of such appliance, then such lines shall be blanked off from the appliances before the test is made.

Section 15. (a) The certificates to be issued as provided in this ordinance shall in large type specifically declare that it is unsafe to person or property to operate the appliance covered by the permit unless the safety devices specified herein are in place and operative. Such safety devices shall be specifically limited in the certificate to such as secure safety to person and property, and shall exclude any additional appliances as are not essential to such safety.

Section 16. (a) All inspections provided for in this ordinance shall be made by inspectors of boilers of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works in conformity with the requirements of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall cause a certificate of final completion to be posted on or immediately adjoining the appliance or appliances inspected, and shall supply the owner or his authorized agent with a duplicate copy of same. This certificate shall be on forms furnished by the Department of Public Works, which forms shall state the date of final inspection, the location and description of the appliance or appliances, and shall be countersigned by the inspector of boilers making such inspection. The Bureau of Building Inspection shall file with the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, on forms furnished or approved by the Commission, such information in regard to the installations herein provided for as may be required by said Industrial Accident Commission.

Section 17. (a) The Department of Public Works is authorized, and it is its duty, in cases where it has reason to believe that the safety of person or property is endangered by the defective operation of any appliances installed before the passage of this ordinance, to cause an inspection to be made thereof. If such inspection shows that the safety of person or property is endangered by lack of, or failure to operate of, safety devices, then it shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works to shut down such appliances until such safety devices are installed, inspected, and a certificate of final completion issued. Such safety devices are to be limited: for low-pressure boilers, to safety valve or valves and automatic pressure reducer; for direct-fired hot-water heaters, indirect-heated hot-water tanks and pressure tanks, to relief valve or valves.

Section 18. (a) When the Department of Public Works makes, upon request, an inspection of any appliances which do not carry a permit or certificate, then such inspection and each inspection thereafter shall be made only after the payment in advance of the fee herein scheduled shall have been made to the Central Permit Bureau.

Section 19. (a) The provisions of this ordinance shall govern installations owned by the City and County of San Francisco. By proper bookkeeping entries the Bureau of Building Inspection shall be credited with the amount of the fee herein scheduled and the department for which the inspection is made shall be charged therefor.

Section 20. (a) For the purpose of defraying the cost of issuing permits and certificates pursuant to this ordinance, and of making the inspection herein provided, the following fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of such permit, certificate, or of making such inspection:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:	
Diameter of 12 inches or less	$ 2.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches	5.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches.	7.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more	10.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:	
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface	8.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	14.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	20.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface	100.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers	4.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters	4.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:	
Capacity of 100 gallons or less	3.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons	4.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more	5.00
(6) For pressure tanks:	
Diameter of 36 inches or less	3.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches	5.00

(b) For inspection made on request the fee shall be the same as herein scheduled.

(c) Provided, however, that when more than one appliance is installed at the same location and at the same time, then the total fee charged shall be arrived at by taking the largest single fee and adding thereto one-half of the scheduled fee for each additional appliance installed.

Section 21. (a) If and when the Department of Public Works finds that any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any appliances without complying with the terms of this ordinance, it shall be the duty of said department to shut down the operation of the appliances so installed until inspection has been made and permit and certificate have been issued as provided herein. The fees in such cases shall be as follows:

(1) For high-pressure fire-tube boilers:	
Diameter of 12 inches or less	$ 10.00
Diameter of more than 12 inches, but less than 20 inches	25.00
Diameter of 20 inches or more, but less than 42 inches.	35.00
Diameter of 42 inches or more	50.00
(2) For high-pressure water-tube boilers:	
Less than 300 square feet of heating surface	40.00
Single drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	70.00
More than one drum, 300 square feet or more, but less than 5000 square feet of heating surface	100.00
5000 square feet or more of heating surface	500.00
(3) For low-pressure steam boilers	20.00
(4) For direct-fired hot-water heaters	20.00
(5) For indirect-heated hot-water tanks:	
Capacity of 100 gallons or less	15.00
Capacity of more than 100 gallons, but less than 250 gallons	20.00
Capacity of 250 gallons or more	25.00
(6) For pressure tanks:	
Diameter of 36 inches or less	15.00
Diameter of more than 36 inches	25.00

Section 22. (a) All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as said conflict exists. No inspection shall be made on appliances except as herein provided.

Section 23. (a) In case any section or sections or part of any section of this ordinance shall be found to be unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, the remainder of this ordinance shall not thereby be invalidated, but shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 24. (a) This ordinance shall not be construed as imposing upon the City and County of San Francisco, or any official or employee **thereof, any liability for damages to person or property which may occur from or in connection with any failure of any of the appliances herein named.**

Section 25. (a) Any person, firm or corporation violating this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine not exceeding $500 or to imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six months, or to both said fine and imprisonment; and each day that said violation shall continue shall be deemed a separate offense.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of $37.27 (Taxes Collected Through Error) to Heyman Bros.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 694, as follows:

Resolved, that the sum of $37.27 be and is hereby authorized in payment out of appropriation 84 (taxes refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, to Heyman Bros.; being the amount of taxes collected through clerical error. Amount to be found in Vol. 16, Page 46, Lot 26, Block 2117, 1932 Real Estate Rolls.

(Recommendation of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $306,287.50 Out of Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1932, for the Payment of Interest During the Construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply; As Per Provisions of Ordinance No. 5.0311, Section 1.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 286, Ordinance No. 9.05156, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of the sum of $306,287.50 out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, and authorized for payment of interest due December 1, 1932, and June 1, 1933, during the construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply out of Hetch Hetchy Bond issue, 1932: as per provisions of Ordinance No. 15.0311, Section 1.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of the sum of $306,287.50 is hereby set aside out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, and authorized for payment of interest due December 1, 1932, and June 1, 1933, during the construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply out of Hetch Hetchy bond issue, 1932, as per the provisions of Ordinance No. 15.0311, Section 1.

(Request of the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Amending Section 19 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (M. H. de Young Memorial Museum) and Covering Temporary Employments, the Fund for Which Is Provided by Appropriation No. 50 (1932-1933 Annual Appropriation Ordinance)—Providing New Items 24, 25 and 26.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 287, Ordinance No. 9.05334, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Items 24, 25 and 26, covering temporary employment of persons engaged on repair work, funds for which are provided by appropriation item 50 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 19. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Director (part time)	$ 333.32
2	1		Assistant Director	375
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	1		Educational Director (part time)	104.16
7	1		Docent (part time)	100
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer (part time)	50
11	1		Printer (part time)	70
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary, Board of Trustees (part time)	170.84
19	1		Lecturer, $10 per Sunday	
20	2		Galleryman	125

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
21	12		Galleryman	120
22	2		Carpenter, $5.50 per day.....	
23	1		Watchman	125
			Temporary Employees as Needed.	
24			Plasterer Foreman, $11 per day.....	
25			Construction Superintendent	200
26			Other building material crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rate established for such classifications in a resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the city, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 29, 1932....	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Appropriation of $375 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), for the Payment of Cost of Burial of Three Honorably Discharged U. S. Soldiers.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 288, Ordinance No. 9.05157, as follows:

Appropriation of $375 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of new Appropriation No. 88-1, for the payment of cost of the burial of three honorably discharged United States soldiers.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside the sum of $375 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of new appropriation No. 88-1, for the cost of the burial of three honorably discharged United States soldiers.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $940 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of Treasurer's Office New Budget, Item 14-1, for Payment of Temporary Employees Detailed to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks From March 28 to June 30, 1933; and Declaring Same to Be an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 289, Ordinance No. 9.05158, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $940, out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of Treasurer's Office new Budget Item 14-1, for the payment of temporary employees detailed to handle the unemployment relief checks of the Revolving Fund of the Director of Relief, for the period March 28 to June 30, 1933, and declaring same to be an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Supplemental appropriation of $940 be and is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's office new budget Item 14-1 (Personal Service—Temporary) to pay the salaries of two temporary employees detailed to handle the unemployment relief checks of the Revolving Fund of the Director of Relief, for the period March 28 to June 30, 1933.

Section 2. That the continuation of the work of the Treasurer's Office, and the appropriation of the aforesaid sum for said purpose, constitute an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary immediately for the welfare of many of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the Treasurer's office.

Section 3. That by reason of the existence of said emergency, this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval by the Mayor.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $760 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to Meet the Cost of Vacations in the Coroner's Office.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 290, Ordinance No. 9.05159, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $760 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation No. 191 (Coroner's Personal Service) for the cost of vacation relief in the Coroner's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $760 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation No. 191 (Coroner's Personal Service) for the cost of vacation relief in the office of the Coroner.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Transferring $672.15 (Savings in Rent for 333 Kearny Street), From Appropriation No. 86 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 103 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service), to Meet the Cost of Rent of Office in the Phelan Building for the Months of January, February, March, and Incidental Expense.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Bill No. 292, Ordinance No. 9.0523, as follows:

Transferring the sum of $672.15 from Appropriation No. 86 (savings in the rental of No. 333 Kearny street), to the credit of Appropriation No. 105 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service) to meet the cost of rental of office in the Phelan Building, by Public Administrator, for the months of January, February, March, and incidental expense.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $672.15 is hereby transferred from Appropriation No. 86 (savings in the rental of offices at No. 333 Kearny street), to the credit of Appropriation No. 105 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service), to meet the cost of rental of office in the Phelan Building, by the Public Administrator, for the months of January, February, March, and incidental expense.

(Approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, and Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Authorizing City Attorney to Compromise and Settle Claim Against the City and County of San Francisco.
(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 293, Ordinance No. 6.02225, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to compromise and settle claim against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the claim of Rinko Kihara, a minor, by Chiyokichi Kihara, against the City and County of San Francisco, by the payment to said Chiyokichi Kihara, as guardian ad litem of Rinko Kihara, of the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of said Chiyokichi Kihara, guardian ad litem of Rinko Kihara, a minor, against the City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw a warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

(Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Assessment—City Property.
(Code No. 9.0411.)

Resolution No. 695, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1931 Real Estate Roll, Lot 38 in Block 2702 was sold to the state under Sale No. 1996. This lot is now divided and the portion shown on the 1932 roll as Lot 38A, Block 2702, has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco under deed dated August 12, 1931. Being city property, the 1931 assessment on this portion of lot 38, tax $66.26 should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel above assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Assessment—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)
(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 696, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property now standing in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was sold to the state June 27, 1929. Being city property these sales should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	*Block*		*Sale*	1928 *Tax*	1929 *Tax*	1930 *Tax*
1 to 5	6725	S. Sanfilippo	2890	$164.34		
6 & 7		John & Vernoica Svabeck	2891	40.78		
7A		H. C. & T. J. VanBilderbeek	2892	52.28		
8		Henry H. & Emma Krohn	2893	95.04		
9		Frederick Meon	2894	37.22		
11		G. & Emilia Bernini	2895	41.98		
12		John C. McCulloch	2896	32.48		
13		Aladino & Rose Luchesi	2897	32.08		
14		Cornelius Sweeney	2898	59.80		
15		Jas. V. & J. L. Brown	2899	20.20	20.10	20.60
22		E. J. & P. A. Mulcahy	2900	12.28		
27		Mary R. Hyde	2901	17.82		
28		Geo. M. Segurson, et al	2902	3.96		

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Assessment—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)

Also, Resolution No. 697, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932 roll, Lot 1, Block 2481 is assessed to S. A. Wight. Title to this property is now vested in the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, deed having been recorded May 10, 1932. Being state property this assessment should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 1, Block 2481, S. A. Wight, both installments, 1932 tax; $110.88.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Assessment—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)

Also, Resolution No. 698, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now standing of record in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, is assessed for the year 1932 in the names of the original owners. Being state property the assessments should be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*		1932	*Tax*
3	27	436F	Wm. H. & Pauline Scheetz	2nd	$12.87
17	10	2334B	Grace Lecoures	2nd	32.27
21	13	3081	Albert J. & Mary E. Nolan	both	122.76
41	5	6929	Roena E. Barrett	2nd	54.45

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $311,500 Out of Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1932, for Certain Construction Necessary to the Completion of the Hetch Hetchy Water Project.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Public Utilities and Finance Committees.

Bill No. 285, Ordinance No. 9.05155, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $311,500 out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, for the construction of the bay crossing pipe line between Irvington, Portal, and the easterly end of the present bay crossing pipe line, construction of the Alameda Creek siphon connecting the Alameda east tunnel with the Alameda west tunnel, and for the construction of Moccasin sewer and sewerage disposal to extend the present sewer system to a point below Moccasin Dam. All necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, the sum of $311,500, as supplemental appropriation, for the construction of the bay crossing pipe line between Irvington, Portal, and the easterly end of the present bay crossing pipe line, construction of the Alameda Creek siphon connecting the Alameda east tunnel with the Alameda west tunnel, and for the construction of Moccasin sewer and sewerage disposal to extend the present sewer system to a point below Moccasin Dam. All necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project.

(Approved by Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor, and Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Regulating the Use of Public Rooms in Hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 278, Ordinance No. 11.0002, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the use of public rooms in hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the words "public rooms," for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be deemed to include any lobby, hallway, mezzanine,

foyer, public rest or sitting rooms, or any other place used in common by the public and guests of a hotel.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to linger, loiter, sit, or stand in any public room in any hotel in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person to use any public room in any hotel for business purposes or social purposes in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 4. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not to exceed fifty dollars ($50) or by imprisonment in the City Jail for a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore recommended by the Committee on Safety, Fire and Police, was taken up and *laid over one week.*

Police Department to Issue Permits to Pawnbrokers, Peddlers and Employment Offices.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 280, Ordinance No. 3.04121, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance adding to the powers and duties of certain departments in the matter of issuing certain permits or licenses and regulating or inspecting matters covered by such permits or licenses," by adding subdivisions 35, 36 and 37 thereto, authorizing the Police Department to issue permits for pawnbrokers, peddlers and employment offices.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto Subdivisions 35, 36 and 37, to read as follows:

Section 1. Permits shall be issued for the location and conduct of the businesses, enterprises for activities, enumerated hereinafter, by the department or office authorized by this ordinance to issue each such class of permit, and subject to the approval of other departments and offices of the City and County, where specifically designated in any such case; provided that permit or license fees, as required by ordinance shall be collected by the Tax Collector as provided in Section 3 hereof:

(1) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of amusement parks—by the Police Department;

(2) For the holding of masquerade balls—by the Police Department;

(3) For the holding of circuses or wild-west shows—by the Police Department;

(4) For balloon advertising—by the Police Department;

(5) For the use of street cars for displaying advertising signs—by the Police Department;

(6) For the discharge of firearms—by the Police Department;

(7) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of the business of second-hand dealer—by the Police Department: provided that if such business involves the storage and sale of used automobiles, each such permit shall also require the approval of the Fire Department;

(8) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of shooting galleries—by the Police Department;

(9) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of miniature and practice golf courses—by the Police Department: provided that if the establishment of any such business requires the remodeling of any structure, this shall also require a building permit as required by ordinance;

(10) For the operation of vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(11) For the maintenance of authorized stands for vehicles for hire —by the Police Department;

(12) For the establishment and maintenance of loading zones—by the Police Department;

(13) For the establishment and maintenance of passenger zones—by the Police Department;

(14) For the establishment of warning signs—by the Police Department;

(15) For the discharge of fireworks—by the Fire Department, subject also to the approval of the Police Department in so far as matters of traffic control are involved;

(16) For maintaining or burning bonfires—by the Fire Department;

(17) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto supply stations—by the Fire Department;

(18) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto parking stations—by the Fire Department;

(19) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto repair shops—by the Fire Department;

(20) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of commercial and public garages—by the Fire Department;

(21) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto sales departments—by the Fire Department;

(22) For the installation of oil burning apparatus and oil used in connection therewith—by the Fire Department;

(23) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dyeing and cleaning works—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Health Department;

(24) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dog hospitals and kennels or hospitals for sick animals—by the Health Department;

(25) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of laundries —by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(26) For the construction and maintenance of stables—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(27) For the establishment and maintenance of medical colleges—by the Health Department;

(28) For the use of City and County property for decoration purposes—by the Real Estate Department, subject to the approval of any department having charge, management and control of the specific property involved;

(29) For the erection and maintenance of bill-boards on city property —by the Real Estate Department;

(30) For the establishment and operation of rock crushing machines —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works;

(31) For the construction and maintenance of temporary buildings —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection, Fire Department and Health Department;

(32) For conducting blasting operations—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Fire Department;

(33) For the installation and operation of boilers, engines and cupola furnaces—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(34) For the construction and operation of gas works—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(35) *For the establishment and maintenance of employment offices—by Police Department;*

(36) *For peddling—by Police Department;*

(37) *For the maintenance and operation of pawnbroker establishments—by the Police Department.*

Section 2. The head of any department or office authorized to issue permits or licenses shall secure the approval of the City Planning Commission for any application for a permit or license, in any case where it is not clearly prescribed by the zoning ordinances and regulations of the City and County that the business enterprise or activity for which application for a permit is made, can legally be established on or at the location covered in the application for permit.

Section 3. All permits issued by departments or offices as outlined in Section 1 that require the payment of a permit or license fee shall be issued by the departments designated, as a permit for the conduct of the specified business, enterprise or activity at the specified location, and such permit shall be delivered to the applicant by the Tax Collector on the payment to the Tax Collector of the fee required by law or ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or provisions of existing ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect at 12 o'clock noon, on January 8, 1932.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second* reading by the following vote:

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.
(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Opposing Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 30 (Riley Tax Plan).

(Code No. 5.33)

On recommendation of Legislative and Judiciary Committee.

Resolution No. 699, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as opposed to Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 30 (Riley Tax Plan), in its present form.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Board of Supervisors Opposes Senate Constitutional Amendments Nos. 36 and 38, and Senate Bills Nos. 542, 544 and 743.

(Code No. 5.33)

Also, Resolution No. 700, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as opposed to the following legislation pending before the Legislature of the State of California:

1. Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 36, providing that no county, city, town or other public or municipal corporation shall be released or dicharged from its or their proportionate share of taxes to be levied for state purposes, nor shall commutation for such taxes be authorized in any form whatsoever.

2. Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 38, providing that no person may cast his vote for or against a proposition to create a debt or liability upon the part of the state or of a county, city, or city and county, or other public corporation, unless he is at the time the owner of property, or of an interest in the property liable or which may become liable for taxation or assessment to pay such debt or liability, or a portion thereof.

3. Senate Bills Nos. 542, 544 and 743, which authorize the removal of the Sutro Library from the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Mayor's Veto.

The following matter was read and *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Office,
City Hall.
March 24, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am herewith returning Resolution No. 689, disapproved.

My reasons for vetoing this Resolution are as follows:

1. The cost of preparing specifications and estimated costs for

widening Fell street from Van Ness avenue to Baker street will involve a substantial expenditure. There are no funds at present available for this purpose.

2. The same applies to preparation of specifications and cost estimates for widening Oak street between Van Ness avenue and Baker street.

3. The more logical method of procedure will be to determine a route and when funds are available for the proposed construction, to prepare the detailed plans and specifications.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Report of Public Welfare Committee on Young Corbett-Jackie Fields Fight.

The following report was presented by Supervisor Power and made a *Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday* and copies ordered sent to members:

March 27, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to Resolution No. 647, introduced by Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, asking for an investigation of the treatment of the people in connection with the conducting of the Young Corbett-Jackie Fields Boxing Contest held on Washington's Birthday, February 22nd, the Public Welfare Committee held two lengthy hearings. At the committee hearing, the people were represented by Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, and Messrs. Putnam and Hoffman were represented by William B. Hornblower, through his associate, R. H. Schubert.

After carefully weighing the oral and documentary evidence submitted by both sides, the undersigned find as follows:

1. That the promoters of the above fight are guilty of mismanagement.

2. It appears that the rules and regulations of the State Athletic Commission relating to the proper handling of such contests were not complied with.

In view of the above findings, we respectfully suggest as a measure of protection to our fellow citizens and visitors that the findings be forwarded to the State Athletic Commission with the recommendation that they take the necessary action on same to avoid a repetition of what took place on that day. We further suggest that the State Athletic Commission take appropriate measures making it necessary to have all details as to the number of seats, various classifications and prices, together with the time and place of sale, available at least forty-eight (48) hours before the fight, so that the Commission may pass an official report on to the public through the press on which the public can confidently rely.

We further suggest that the management of Seals Stadium make some needed changes at the Stadium, if they intend holding any similar contests in the future, relative to the situation of box offices and the number and location of bleacher turnstiles, so that the people buying and holding different priced seats will be amply separated and not forced to converge at one spot to gain entrance. This, we believe, will alleviate any possibility of congestion.

In conclusion, we feel that the promoters of the Young Corbett-

Jackie Fields fight should be censured for their apparent neglect and lack of foresight in handling said fight.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,
By JAMES E. POWER, JR.,
Chairman,
CARL W. MILES, Member,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Member.

Six-Hour Day on Public Works

(Code No. 5.41)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 534, as follows:

Whereas, throughout our country millions of our citizens who are able and anxious to work are unable to find employment; and

Whereas, improvements in machinery during the past twenty years have displaced countless thousands of those who, before the making of said improvements, found steady employment; and

Whereas, the only permanent way in which the present economic stress of our country can be removed is by finding employment for all those who are able and willing to work; and

Whereas, the matter of unemployment is of particular interest to the people of the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that the citizens of that City and County voted a bond issue of $6,500,000 for the relief of those in need by reason of unemployment conditions and if said unemployment conditions cannot be remedied the City and County of San Francisco will have to continue with the giving of relief to those who are in need, all of which is a heavy burden upon the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the most effective way of relieving unemployment conditions will be the shortening of the ordinary work day so that such employment as may be forthcoming will be spread among the greatest possible number of persons; and

Whereas, the surest way to bring about the shortening of the ordinary work day will be to have the national, state and various municipal governments inaugurate a six-hour working day on all public work performed under their respective jurisdictions, thereby showing to others engaged in private enterprise the benefits to be derived from the shortened day of labor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby call upon the Congress of the United States to enact suitable legislation limiting the hours during which any particular individual may perform labor or render services on public works under the jurisdiction of the United States to six hours in any calendar day; and be it

Further Resolved, That said Board of Supervisors call upon the Legislature of the State of California to adopt similar legislation in regard to public works under the jurisdiction of the State of California or any political subdivision thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That in cases of emergency involving the immediate necessary preservation of public peace, property, health or safety of federal, state or county or municipal government the limitations for labor hereinbefore mentioned shall not apply; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Representatives in Congress from the City and County of San Francisco and to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature, with a request that any legislation tending to carry out the limitations for labor herein provided be diligently supported.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Baseball Day, April 15, 1933.
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 703, as follows:

Whereas, on April 15, 1933, The San Francisco News, the Bernal Boosters, Inc., the Mission Baseball Club and the Seals Baseball Club will hold their Sixth Annual Baseball Hunt on Bernal Heights; and

Whereas, this affair has for its purpose the promotion of baseball and the encouragement of the children in clean, active sport and is of city-wide interest to all youth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to proclaim Saturday, April 15, 1933, Baseball Day; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to represent the City and County at this event.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Proper Observance of Army Day, April 6, 1933.
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 704, as follows:

Whereas, April 6, 1933, has been designated by the War Department as Army Day, a special day for doing honor to the United States Army; and

Whereas, the Army has aided the citizens of San Francisco in great emergencies and always stands ready to defend them against danger to persons and property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for the proper observance of Army Day on April 6, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

In Memoriam: Louis Sloss.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 705, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has lost another pioneer and man of deep initiative and extensive interests, both financial and social, in the person of Louis Sloss; and

Whereas, the life of the deceased was intimately bound up with the initiation, development and accomplishment of interests that have made for the port of San Francisco and the establishment of industries out of the great northwest possession of Alaska; and

Whereas, his whole life was one of active participation in and for

the best interest of this City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco publicly expresses regret at the death of Louis Sloss; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and that the Board adjourn, when it does adjourn, out of respect to the memory of the late Louis Sloss.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: George Tourny.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 706, as follows:

Whereas, death has closed the long, honorable civic and financial career of George Tourny, president of The San Francisco Bank; and

Whereas, the deceased was a man of remarkable ability as a financier, as an executive, as an administrator and as a civic official whose life and services in the interest of the public weal should be stimulative to all who survive him; and

Whereas, his interest in his native city in matters of art, music, sports and numerous other activities indicates the well-rounded and well-developed mental and physical man; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby publicly express and deeply deplores the loss to the City and County of San Francisco of such an outstanding and upright gentleman as was the late George Tourny, and offers its sincere sympathy and condolence to his widow and the members of his family in this their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and that another copy be forwarded to the widow of the late George Tourny.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Congress Urged to Pass Bill Legalizing Wine With Alcoholic Content from Ten to Twelve Per Cent.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 707, as follows:

Whereas, at the instigation of President Roosevelt and through the prompt action of the Congress, the sale and consumption of beer has been legalized, in conformity with the campaign pledges of the Democratic party and the mandate of the people; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco appreciate and desire to commend the President and Congress for the dispatch with which this important legislation was enacted: and

Whereas, it will be necessary, in order to fully comply with the spirit of the pledge, to change the law so as to permit the manufacture, sale and consumption of light wines, the product of naturally fermented grapes, with an alcoholic content of from ten to twelve per cent; and

Whereas, the production of grapes is one of the most important industries in the State of California; and

Whereas, the enactment of legislation in this regard will provide a market for the tremendous surplus of California grapes; and

Whereas, legalization of light wines, which are non-intoxicating in fact, will promote true temperance; and

Whereas, legalization of light wines will immediately put to work

thousands of men now out of employment, not only in production of wine, but also in related industries; and

Whereas, legalization of light wines will add millions of dollars to California farm land values; will add millions of dollars to the annual income of California grape growers, and will provide still more millions in income to related industries for bottles, barrels, lumber, printing and for transportation, hotel, restaurant and public utility services; and

Whereas, legalization of wine will provide an additional source of revenue to the government through taxes to be levied thereon; and

Whereas, Representative Lea of California has introduced a bill in the House of Representatives proposing the legalization of wine with an alcoholic content from ten to twelve per cent; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President, the Vice-President and Congress be and they are hereby commended for the efficient and expeditious manner in which the beer bill was handled; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize Congress and does respectfully urge that the bill of Representative Lea legalizing wine with an alcoholic content from ten to twelve per cent be passed; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to all of California's representatives in the United States Congress, as well as to President Roosevelt, Vice-President Garner and Speaker of the House of Representatives Rainey.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $586,500 from Relief Bond Fund for the Purpose of Caring for and Maintaining the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Bill No. 295, Ordinance No. 9.05161, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $586,500 from the Relief Bond Fund, issue 1932, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Relief Bond Fund, issue of 1932, the sum of $586,500 for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of April, 1933.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the

City and County of San Francisco have been expended, and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the preservation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

(Request of C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Regulating the Retail Sale, Service and Dispensing of Beverages in Excess of Half of One Per Cent of Alcohol by Volume and Not in Excess of Three and Two Tenths Per Cent of Alcohol by Weight; Providing for Licenses to Dealers; Providing for the Granting, Denying and Revoking of Permits; and Prescribing Penalties for a Violation of This Ordinance.

(Code No. ————.)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. ——, as follows:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created.

Section 2. The Mayor shall appoint three qualified electors, other than City and County officials or employees, for terms of three years to constitute the Board of Beverage Commissioners. The compensation for each member thereof shall be twenty ($20) dollars per meeting of the board actually attended by such members, provided that the total amount paid to all members of the board shall not exceed four thousand five hundred ($4,500) dollars per year. The persons first appointed shall determine their terms by lot so that one such term shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in each of the years 1934, 1935 and 1936, and upon these and successive expirations, the Mayor shall appoint their successors for three-year terms.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by the filing of a written application with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on forms provided therefor, describing the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant. Upon the receipt of such application the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall inquire into the moral character of the applicant, and if his moral character be good, he shall become eligible to engage in business as a beverage dealer, whereupon the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made of the location of the proposed place of business and if, upon determining

that said applicant is of good moral character and the location of said proposed business is a desirable one for such business, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct such business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without the consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one year prior to the filing of an application hereunder.

Section 6. No permit shall be granted for a period in excess of one year. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits.

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each. Said installments shall date from the time that the license shall be issued.

Section 8. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twenty-five ($25) dollars per annum payable in quarterly installments of six dollars and 25 cents ($6.25) each.

Section 9. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom.

Section 10. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 11. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 12. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance

is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 13. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 14. No permit shall be granted by the Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, dispensing, furnishing or serving of such beverages for consumption on premises where minors customarily or habitually congregate or gather; and it shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer, under any circumstances, to sell such beverages to minors without the consent of their parents or guardians.

Section 15. The Board of Beverage Commissioners shall have the power to appoint a secretary at a salary not to exceed four thousand two hundred ($4,200) dollars per annum and such other employees as may be necessary to investigate and fully report any information necessary to said Board of Beverage Commissioners in connection with the provisions of this ordinance. Such employees may be provided for by ordinance.

Section 16. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 17. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 18. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 19. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 20. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Section 21. This ordinance shall take effect immediately and is hereby declared to be an emergency measure necessary to preserve the public peace, health and safety within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter. Following is a statement of facts constituting such emergency:

The Congress of the United States of America has enacted legislation

permitting the sale and possession of the beverages described in this ordinance; that there is no ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco nor law of the State of California regulating the possession and sale of said beverages; and if no ordinance such as this is enacted immediately said beverages will be sold indiscriminately.

RECESS.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to recess until 8 p. m. this evening for the consideration of the foregoing.

Motion *carried*—Supervisor McSheehy voting *no*.

RE-ASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled at 8 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 9:15 p. m.

San Francisco Chronicle Declared Official Newspaper.

(Code No. 3.03.)

Resolution No. 701, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advertised for bids for the official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco, for the period of one year from April 1, 1933, to April 1, 1934; and

Whereas, The San Francisco Chronicle Publishing Company was the lowest and best bidder for the doing of said advertising, and the bid of said San Francisco publishing company was accepted, and the contract for doing said official advertising was awarded to said San Francisco publishing company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Chronicle, a daily newspaper of general circulation published in the City and County of San Francisco, and having a bona fide daily circulation of at least eight thousand copies, is hereby selected as and declared to be the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, for one year from April 1, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

University Professors to Make Impartial Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration.

(Code No. 19.071.)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 702, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has for the past year been extending relief to a large group of its residents who, by reason of the present economic conditions, have been unable to obtain employment; and by reason of that fact have been unable to provide for themselves and their families; and

Whereas, in all probability unemployment conditions will continue to exist, at least to a considerable extent, during the coming fiscal

year, and that by reason thereof it will be necessary for San Francisco or other public agencies to care for many of the residents of the City and County during said year; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors is, by the laws of the State, directly charged with the administration of all funds raised by the City and County for relief purposes, and that while said Board has confidence in the administration of the relief funds as carried on by the Citizens' Relief Committee and by Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, various questions as to the standards of relief to be adopted in the future will arise during the coming year, especially by reason of the fact that application has been made to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for aid in our relief work, and that therefore it will be of great benefit to the City that an impartial survey be made as to the standards and methods of relief to be adopted during the coming fiscal year, should said relief continue to be necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in order that an impartial survey may be made of the standards of relief now in effect and which should in the future be followed in San Francisco, the President of the University of California, the President of the University of San Francisco, and the President of Leland Stanford, Jr., University, each, be respectfully requested to suggest to this Board the names of two disinterested persons skilled in the administration of relief, and that from said persons so suggested, the President of this Board be, and he is hereby, directed to appoint three persons to make a full and complete survey of the methods and standards of relief which should be adopted in all future relief work administered under the direction of this Board; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is respectfully requested that said names be suggested not later than April 15th, 1933, and that the persons selected by the President of this Board to make said survey report to this Board at their earliest opportunity; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to recommend an appropriation sufficient in amount to enable said persons selected to function for the purposes in this resolution set forth; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of this Board to the respective presidents of the universities hereinbefore mentioned with the request that each of said presidents suggest names in conformity with the terms hereof.

Referred to Finance and Public Welfare Committee.

Director of Relief to File Monthly Statement.

On motion duly made and *carried*, the Director of Unemployment Relief was requested to file a monthly statement of relief fund administration with the Board of Supervisors.

Limitation of Height of Buildings in Certain District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Report from the City Planning Commission submitting draft of ordinance, as requested, governing height of buildings in district bounded by Washington, Fillmore, a line parallel with, and midway between Union and Green streets and Van Ness avenue.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Consideration of Beverage Ordinance.

The Beverage ordinance heretofore presented by Supervisor Stanton was taken up.

Motion.

Supervisor Canepa moved final passage as an emergency ordinance.

Supervisor Brown raised the point of order that Section 13 of the Charter requires a separate and specific vote on the emergency clause.

City Attorney John O'Toole, being asked his opinion, declared that it was customary to pass the emergency clause as a part of the ordinance itself, providing there were the necessary three-fourths vote; but that if question was raised, a specific vote on the emergency could be required.

Communications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Lee S. Ginley, general manager Fat Boy Barbecue, in company with several other restaurant owners, commending the Board on its fairminded attitude in the matter of regulation of sale of 3.2 per cent beer, and stating that it is heartily in accord with the provision restricting sale to minors, but that at this time the zoning restriction would be unwise where established business is in close proximity to churches or schools.

Communication from P. L. Ryan, V. G., pastor of Star of the Sea church, suggesting the desirability of prohibiting the sale of beer within 250 or 300 feet of any church or school.

Telegram from Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco, opposing the creation of a new bureau for the regulation of the sale of beer, and stating that the subject should be handled by an appropriate department already functioning.

Telegram from San Francisco Real Estate Board, opposing the creation of a new bureau to regulate sale of beer, as an unnecessary expense, and suggesting the matter be left in the hands of the Police Department.

Communication from Board of Deacons and Deaconesses and Pastor of Twenty-first Avenue Baptist church, making formal protest against issuance of permits to serve beer or wine on various locations on Geary boulevard, Clement street, either east or west side of Twenty-first avenue between Anza and California streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and were heard at length:

A. N. Henry, of Henry & Henry, real estate dealers, asked "if all parts or requirements of the ordinance are complied with, must the permit be granted," and was advised that the matter was in the discretion of the Board of Beverage Commissioners if the ordinance passed.

He declared that such discretionary power was often very much abused.

Charles Kay, No. 1235 Market street, suggested a flat license of $100 per annum, and held that the Police Commission handle the beverage license permits, thereby saving the cost of a new commission.

Mrs. Best, representing Sunset Transportation and Improvement Club, was heard in reference to granting permits for sale of beverages in the proximity of schools, churches, playgrounds, etc., where children congregate.

Mrs. Mary Wagner was heard on same question.

William Hanry, representing Governmental Research Bureau, referring to Section 24 of the Charter, questioned the right of the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors to create a new commission. He declared that such action would be an unnecessary expense on the taxpayers, and would be a duplicate of existing facilities competent to handle the matter.

Milton Marks, representing California State Brewers' Association, was heard at length; as to the creation of a new bureau he had no opinion to offer, but thought that granting or withholding of permits to sell beer should not be left to the untrammeled "discretion" of any board. Section 5, he said, prohibits sale by firms, corporations and chain stores. As to Section 7, he suggested a graduated license

fee; as section stands, a small dealer pays as much as one doing a larger amount of business.

Section 14 should be amended, as in its present form beer could not be sold to adults if minors are present. The Charter (Section 35) gives all power to the Police Commission. He said that he was opposed to unlimited and uncontrolled discretionary power in any board. He believed that it would lead to great abuses.

James Hanley, Jr., opposed Section 15, providing for a Secretary of the Board of Beverage Commissioners at a salary of $4,200 per annum. He suggested that a salary of $250 per month would be ample.

James S. Mancuso, 117 Germania street, was also heard in regard to sale of beer near churches and schools.

Supervisor Hayden's Amended Ordinance.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, presented the following as an amendment to the committee's recommendation:

Regulating the Retail Sale, Service and Dispensing of Beverages in Excess of Half of One Per Cent of Alcohol by Volume and Not in Excess of Three and Two-tenths Per Cent of Alcohol by Weight; Providing for Licenses to Dealers; Providing for the Granting, Denying and Revoking of Permits; and Prescribing Penalties for a Violation of This Ordinance.

(Code No. ———.)

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. ——, as follows:

Regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Chief of Police shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages. The Police Commission shall serve as an advisory board to the Chief of Police in the hearings on permit applications, transfers and proposed revocations under the provisions of this ordinance, and may make such recommendation to said Chief as in its judgment the facts established by any such hearing shall warrant.

Section 2. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by the filing of a written application with the Chief of Police, on forms provided therefor, describing the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant. Upon the receipt of such application the Chief of Police shall inquire into the moral character of the applicant, and if his moral character be good, he shall become eligible to engage in business as a beverage dealer, whereupon the Chief of Police shall cause an investigatioo to be made of the location of the proposed place of business and if, upon determining that said applicant is of good moral character and the location of said proposed business is a desirable one for such business, said Chief of Police may grant said applicant a permit to conduct such business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without the consent in writing of the Chief of Police.

Section 3. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one year prior to the filing of an application hereunder.

Section 4. No permit shall be granted for a period in excess of one year. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Chief of Police that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Chief of Police. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Chief of Police may grant temporary thirty-day permits.

Section 5. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each. Said installments shall date from the time that the license shall be issued.

Section 6. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twenty-five ($25) dollars per annum payable in quarterly installments of six dollars and 25 cents ($6.25) each.

Section 7. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Chief of Police to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom.

Section 8. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Chief of Police. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 9. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Chief of Police and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 10. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 11. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries

or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 12. No permit shall be granted by the Chief of Police to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, dispensing, furnishing or serving of such beverages for consumption on premises where minors customarily or habitually congregate or gather; and it shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer, under any circumstances, to sell such beverages to minors without the consent of their parents or guardians.

Section 13. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Chief of Police may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise his sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 14. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Chief of Police.

Section 15. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 16. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 17. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Section 18. This ordinance shall take effect immediately and is hereby declared to be an emergency measure necessary to preserve the public peace, health and safety within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter. Following is a statement of facts constituting such emergency:

The Congress of the United States of America has enacted legislation permitting the sale and possession of the beverages described in this ordinance; that there is no ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco nor law of the State of California regulating the possession and sale of said beverages; and if no ordinance such as this is enacted immediately said beverages will be sold indiscriminately.

RECESS.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board took a recess until Wednesday, March 29, 1933, at 10 a. m.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 1933—10 A. M.

RE-ASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled for the purpose of considering proposed ordinance for the regulation and licensing the sale of beer.

Members Present.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Supervisor Miles appeared and was noted present at 11:15 a. m.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 1:05 p. m.

Privilege of the Floor.

Attorney Hirschner, representing Retail Grocers, was heard in opposition to the license fees, declaring that few of the smaller dealers would find it possible to make any profit and as a result tax would not yield as large a return as expected. He urged that license fee for retail grocers and others be reduced from $25 to $12 per annum.

Theodore Roche, president of the Board of Police Commissioners, stated the police could handle the work of issuing beer permits without adding to expense or personnel.

Mr. Roche recommended that the ordinance that now forbids aliens from handling liquor be modified so as not to work undue hardship on nearly 500 proprietors of soft drink parlors and restaurants.

An additional $10 filing fee for those seeking permits was suggested by Mr. Roche.

Communications.

The following were read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney advising that new positions in the municipal government can only be created by an appropriation ordinance, and that before the Board of Supervisors would have the authority to create a Board of Beverage Commissioners or provide for any employees to serve under it, but that an appropriation would have to be recommended by the Mayor which would provide for compensation of the members of the commission and its staff of employees.

Communication from his Honor, the Mayor, relative to proposed ordinance providing for the licensing and regulating of the sale of beer, stating that he is of the opinion that no additional expense should be visited upon the taxpayers and that every possible economy should be exercised by every department of our municipal government, and for that reason cannot recommend an appropriation for the creation of a new Board of Beverage Commissioners such as the ordinance contemplates.

Communication from R. J. Johnson suggesting that there be no overproduction of places where legalized beer may be sold, and that in the granting of licenses consideration be given to persons whose places of business for consumption on the premises shall be on a recognized trade or retail street now having that status under the zoning ordinance, and to those reputable business men ready and willing to spend not less than $500 on labor and materials fitting up his place of business, also suggesting prohibition of advertised beer sales by chain stores. Secretary's salary too high.

Communication from New Charter Citizens' Committee, Francis V. Keesling, chairman, opposing the proposal to create a new department and a Board of Beverage Commissioners for the local regulation of

3.2 per cent beer, and quoting sections of the charter upon which said opposition is based.

Supervisor Breyer in the chair.

Amendment to Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to Supervisor Hayden's proposed ordinance the following: Strike out "Chief of Police and Police Commission" and insert "Chief of Police, Director of Health and Tax Collector."

Supervisor Shannon raised the point of order that proper procedure was to vote first on Supervisor Hayden's proposed amendment, and then proceed to Supervisor Havenner's proposed amendment.

Point of order sustained by the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner appealed from the decision of the Chair, and the question being put by Supervisor Gallagher: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?" the roll was called and the Chair was sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri —5.

Excused—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Supervisor Hayden's Amendment Defeated.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Hayden's amendment and the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

RE-ASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled at 2:30 p. m. All members heretofore noted being present.

The Board thereupon proceeded to the discussion of the ordinance as originally presented, entitled:

"Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance."

Section 8.

Supervisor Canepa moved to amend Section 8, reducing license from $25 to $12 per annum and include provision suggested by Commissioner Roche, "each separate place of business."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri, Spaulding—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his vote by saying that he voted *no* because he believed these people are entitled to semi-annual or quarterly payments.

Amendments.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board proceeded to consider the ordinance seriatim.

Section 1.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Section 1 be amended to read: "A Board of Beverage Commissioners, consisting of the Chief of Police, Director of Public Health and the Tax Collector, is hereby created."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on Section 1 as originally presented and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Section 2.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Miles, moved to amend Section 2 by striking out the words: "The compensation of each member thereof shall be nine hundred ($900) dollars per annum, payable in equal monthly installments," and inserting in lieu thereof the words: "The members of said Commission shall serve without compensation."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Section 3.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Brown explained his vote by saying that he had no objection to the ordinance as a whole, but to those portions referring to Beverage Commission, "to which I am opposed."

Supervisor McSheehy offered the same explanation.

Section 4.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Section 4 be amended by providing for an "original" filing fee of $2.50, to be paid when application for permit is made.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, McSheehy, Miles, Roncovieri—4.

Whereupon, Section 4, amended as follows, was *adopted* without objection, to-wit:

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by the filing of a written application, together with an original filing fee of $2.50, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on forms provided therefor, describing the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant. Upon the receipt of such application the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall inquire into the moral character of the applicant, and if his moral character be good, he shall become eligible to engage in business as a beverage dealer, whereupon the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made of the location of the proposed place of business and if, upon determining that said applicant is of good moral character and the location of said proposed business is a desirable one for such business, said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall grant said applicant a permit to conduct such business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without the consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

RECESS.

Thereupon, at 5:45 p. m., the Board took a recess until 8 p. m.

RE-ASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled at 8 p. m. for the purpose of considering the proposed beverage ordinance.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Supervisor Hayden in the chair.

Consideration of the Beverage Ordinance was taken up.

Section 5.

Supervisor Canepa moved to amend Section 5 by inserting after the word "America," "or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States."

So ordered.

Supervisor Peyser moved to insert at the end of Section 5, "provided that any firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business in San Francisco for at least one year shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this ordinance."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Supervisor Havenner moved to insert after the words "United States" the following: "nor to any person who is less than twenty-one years of age."

No objection. *So ordered.*

Section 6.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following proposed amendment to Section 6, as prepared by Police Commissioner Theo. Roche, and moved its adoption:

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits upon payment of the initial license fee installment hereinafter mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 7.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following proposed amendment to Section 7, as suggested by Police Commissioner Theo. Roche, and moved its adoption, to-wit:

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 8.

(*See action previously taken, reducing fee to* $12.00.)

Section 9.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Section 9, prohibiting granting permits for establishment of saloon, public bar or barroom, was stricken out.

Supervisor Havenner moved to add a new Section to be known as Section 7a (subsequently Section 9), to read as follows:

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide public eating places, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eat-

ing counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption, and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend by inserting the words "with food" after the word "beverages" in the second line.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Thereupon, the Roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 10 (New).

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved to add a new Section (subsequently Section 10), as follows:

Section 10. Licenses shall be due and payable quarterly, in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which a licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall prorate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any one quarter.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 11 (New).

Supervisor Peyser moved to add a new Section, as follows:

Section 11. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages, provided in this ordinance in halls, assemblies and auditoriums under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. The fee for such permit shall be $10.00 for each day or fraction thereof, and shall be issued in the same manner heretofore provided for.

So ordered.

Sections 10 (14), 11 (15), 12 (17), 13 (18).

Whereupon, the following Sections as originally presented were taken up and *passed* by the following votes:

Section 10 (subsequently Section 14). Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 11 (subsequently Section 15). It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 12 (subsequently Section 17). The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 13 (subsequently Section 18). This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 14 (stricken out; see Section 19).

Supervisor Gallagher moved to strike out:

Section 14. No permit shall be granted by the Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, dispensing, furnishing or serving of such beverages for consumption on premises where minors customarily or habitually congregate or gather; and it shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer, under any circumstances, to sell such beverages to minors without the consent of their parents or guardians.

So ordered.

Section 15 (Stricken out).

Supervisor Peyser moved to strike out:

Section 15. The Board of Beverage Commissioners shall have the power to appoint a secretary at a salary not to exceed four thousand two hundred ($4,200) dollars per annum and such other employees as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this ordinance. Such employees may be provided for by ordinance.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Sections 16 (20) and 17 (21).

The following Sections were *adopted* by the following vote:

Section 16 (subsequently Section 20). In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 17 (subsequently Section 21). No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Sections 18 (22), 19 (23), 20 (24).

Section 18 (subsequently Section 22). All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 19 (subsequently Section 23). Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 20 (subsequently Section 24). If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Section 16.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to add a new Section as follows:

Section 16. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of re-sale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Motion to Adopt as a Whole.

Supervisor Canepa moved the adoption of the ordinance as amended.

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: I second the motion, without the emergency clause. In other words, the ordinance must be adopted first, without the emergency clause. The rule in the Legislature has been to pass the bill itself, and after the bill is passed the emergency clause is adopted.

CHAIRMAN: Your Charter provides that an emergency ordinance, to avoid the necessity for second reading, must be passed by twelve votes. The emergency clause is part of the ordinance. You must declare the emergency in the ordinance. The Chair will rule that this ordinance must carry with it the emergency clause; it cannot be separated, and requires twelve votes.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: We voted on this ordinance seriatim; we voted each section separately. If you don't like the language used by the gentleman who just took his seat regarding the emergency ordinance, I will move that we pass all Sections of the ordinance with the exception of Section 21.

CHAIRMAN: The Chair shall declare that, under the circumstances, a motion of that kind is equivalent to passage for second reading.

Mr. Wm. Nanry, secretary of the Governmental Research Bureau, being asked by Supervisor Peyser: "Is it not a fact, Mr. Nanry, that in passing an emergency ordinance, the ordinance may be passed first and the emergency clause passed later, as separate items?"

Mr. Nanry replied: "I believe that is the case."

CHAIRMAN: The Chair shall decide that a motion under those circumstances shall be a motion on passage for second reading.

Thereupon, Supervisor Peyser appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Havenner, being called upon, put the question: "An appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair holding that a vote on the Sections of this ordinance, exclusive of the Section declaring it to be an emergency measure, would be equivalent to passage for second reading. A vote 'Aye' sustains the decision of the Chair; a vote 'No' sustains the appeal from the decision of the Chair."

Whereupon, the Roll was called and the *appeal sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor Hayden—1.

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: I now renew the motion that we segregate the ordinance and that we adopt the ordinance down to and including Section 20.

Supervisor Shannon seconded the motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board recess until tomorrow at 10 a. m. and that the written opinion of the City Attorney be obtained.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon renewed the motion that Sections one to twenty, inclusive, be adopted:

Motion *carried* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Before the foregoing result was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from No to Aye and moved for reconsideration.

Supervisor Peyser raised the point of order that a motion for reconsideration applied to final passage only.

CHAIRMAN: Point of order is well taken.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on Section 21, the emergency Section, and the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Thereupon, the Chair declared the ordinance, which follows amended and re-numbered, *passed for second reading*, to-wit:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Regulating the Retail Sale, Service and Dispensing of Beverages in Excess of Half of One Per Cent of Alcohol by Volume and Not in Excess of Three and Two-Tenths Per Cent of Alcohol by Weight; Providing for Licenses to Dealers; Providing for the Granting, Denying and Revoking of Permits; and Prescribing Penalties for a Violation of This Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 294, Ordinance No. 3.04122, as follows:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created.

Section 2. The Mayor shall appoint three qualified electors, other than City and County officials or employees, for terms of three years to constitute the Board of Beverage Commissioners. The members of said Commission shall serve without compensation. The persons first appointed shall determine their terms by lot so that one such term shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in each of the years 1934, 1935 and 1936, and upon these and successive expirations, the Mayor shall appoint their successors for three-year terms.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and

two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by the filing of a written application together with an original filing fee of $2.50 with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on forms provided therefor, describing the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant. Upon the receipt of such application the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall inquire into the moral character of the applicant, and if his moral character be good, he shall become eligible to engage in business as a beverage dealer, whereupon the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made of the location of the proposed place of business and if, upon determining that said applicant is of good moral character and the location of said proposed business is a desirable one for such business, said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall grant said applicant a permit to conduct such business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without the consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; provided that any firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business in San Francisco for at least one year shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits upon payment of the initial license fee installment hereinafter mentioned.

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide public eating places, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of sell-

ing beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July, and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall prorate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any one quarter.

Section 11. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided in this ordinance, in halls, assemblies and auditoriums under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. The fee for such permit shall be $10.00 for each day or fraction thereof, and shall be issued in the same manner heretofore provided for.

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 16. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 17. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 18. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in

evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 19. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 20. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 21. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 22. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 23. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 24. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Explanation of Certain Votes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I desire to explain my vote. I am going to vote "No" for the reason that the Beverage Commission does not suit me. There is something about it that I do not like. I won't express myself any further, but it does not look right to me.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like to explain my vote, and say to the other members of this Board we discussed and decided the question of what institution would deal with this problem. Had the Board decided, Mr. Chairman, to place this in any department, or wherever it saw fit, as against my wishes, I would have respected the great urgency involved in this measure, and have voted for it, against my will, perhaps, but because of the emergency if I failed to vote "Aye" at this time, I must state fully the fact that a chaos relative to the legislation will exist on the night of April 6th. On account of the fact that I voted against it, and as a result of my refusal to do so. I may admit for myself that the provisions of this ordinance in toto do not please me, and I am mindful of the fact that it will be materially changed, and probably in the near future. Yet, if I am to take into consideration the protection of the business of San Francisco, I must take some action. The Board have, by proper vote, decided the issue as to where it will go. Taking into consideration the effect of a

vote "No" on the business of San Francisco now, I would be constrained to vote "Aye" nevertheless, regardless of my own view. As to the statement made by the speaker who has just preceded me, I sought to put a certain significance on the statement that there is something wrong. I presume he meant—I would like to feel that he meant that there is something wrong so far as he views the situation. I trust that is what he meant. It is true that there are a number of people who are deeply concerned about placing this matter in the hands of the Police Department. If it were Mr. Roche, and the ordinary members of that Commission, I think we might consent, although it does not belong there, it belongs in the Health Department as well as the Police Department. Therefore, in consideration of the fact that if this measure were passed, even though it be changed later, even though another department might be selected later, if arguments could be made to prevail, if this measure is not passed I can foresee approximately twenty thousand places in San Francisco purveying beverages we call "Beer" or of alcoholic content, from every conceivable place, and in any—under any conceivable condition they see fit to sell it. I don't want to see that come about, even in the face of my own personal views, I would sacrifice them in the light of the people sitting behind me, in the interest of the people who have legitimate established businesses, and certainly deserve some protection, and would not hold—speaking for myself—to my stubborn views and would attempt to reconcile my stubbornness, if I were stubborn, with the theory that although I have the power by a vote or two to deprive these people of protection, I would go on, and that I would convince people later of the error of their way if I could, but I would nevertheless consider vitally first of all the protection of these people now established, who desire protection, and will need it very badly.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, I don't yield to anybody in my solicitude for the interests of the people. I happen to know the people who are sitting behind the Supervisor, through their private expressions to me, are not in favor of the creation of a new commission to handle the permits for the regulation and sale of beer, so we are not passing this particular instrument, with its present positions, at their instance, or at their insistence. I have no doubt they want to see some sort of regulation carried out, although I may say I am advised, and I think all of us have been advised through the public press, that there is a very strong likelihood that this ordinance if it were adopted, it may not even go into effect, because I understand the State Legislature is going to enact a general law for the regulation of the sale of beer throughout the State of California, which will supersede the local legislation, and will not permit local legislation to become effective.

My objection to this ordinance as it stands is in the composition of the commission, in which you would vest this authority, and I am going to take upon myself the responsibility, very frankly, if my colleagues do not, the blocking of this piece of legislation, and I will do it through my right, if there be any means of reconsideration. I want the public to have a chance to digest the thing that has been done here today, and I have had sufficient experience in public life to know a program when I see one, and there has been every evidence here today of a most stubborn and powerful program; and I must say I have not been honored with any consultation concerning this program. I don't know its origin, or whence it emanated, but I know it is here, you can see it on every side. There is no discussion of anything except the insistence that a new commission be set up, and I don't believe the taxpayers of San Francisco want this commission, and I am willing to take it upon myself, this dreadful responsibility for a few days, to allow the taxpayers to study this, and to express myself; and then, if a shower of condemnation comes to me from the taxpayers, and I am convinced that my stand is a stubborn and harmful one, I think I can be made to change my view. I think the public

opinion will be commendatory on this, however, on those who prevent this from going through, and it is not going through tonight.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 a. m., March 30, 1933, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 14

Monday, April 3, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 3, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 3, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of March 27 and 29, 1933, were laid over for approval until next meeting.

State Legislative Matters.

Under the order giving right of way to reports of Judiciary and Legislative Committee on matters in Legislature affecting San Francisco, Supervisor Peyser said:

In Assembly Bill 657 provision is made for the postponement of the payment of second installments of taxes to May 15 without penalty. I am advised that, owing to the fact that San Francisco is on a cash basis, it would be impossible for us to permit the thirty (30)-day period to elapse without the payment of any money. I understand that Mr. Leavy would be favorable to an amendment to the effect that postponement may be permissible, and requiring the action of the Board of Supervisors of each county, and that postponement should be as follows:

Fifty per cent of the installment to be paid immediately; that is, about April 20; 25 per cent to be paid by May 15, and the last payment 25 per cent by June 15. The deferred payments to bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent, without penalty for the failure until that time, but if each respective installment is not paid at the time set forth, namely, May 15 and June 15, that the penalty would attach.

I should like to have instruction from the Board so that our representative may take such action as may be deemed necessary. Assembly Bill 657 would be detrimental to our community because, being on a cash basis, we would need some money. Mr. Leavy says he would be satisfied with 50 per cent of it.

I move that it be the sense of the Board that we oppose Assembly Bill 657 in this form and offer as a substitute the proposal I have just made.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Consideration of Mayor's Veto.

March 24, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am herewith returning Resolution No. 689, disapproved.

My reasons for vetoing this Resolution are as follows:

1. The cost of preparing specifications and estimated costs for widening Fell street from Van Ness avenue to Baker street will involve a substantial expenditure. There are no funds at present available for this purpose.

2. The same applies to preparation of specifications and cost estimates for widening Oak street between Van Ness avenue and Baker street.

3. The more logical method of procedure will be to determine a route and when funds are available for the proposed construction, to prepare the detailed plans and specifications.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Spaulding, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. ———.)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have

been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable*

disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum:*

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million (1,000,000) bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand (50,000) bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and

baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or

their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or

transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from

whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public

Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

AMENDMENTS TO MILK ORDINANCE SUBMITTED BY SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER.

Amendment No. 1.

After the end of Section 19 insert the following:

Section 19-A, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *The Dairy Herd, Testing Inspected Herds*: The dairy herd on any dairy farm receiving a permit to produce or ship guaranteed milk into the City and County of San Francisco shall undergo an annual physical examination which shall include the testing of said herd with tuberculin, and every bovine on the farm over

six (6) months of age shall be required to submit to said physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health of San Francisco. The tuberculin shall be furnished by the owners of the herd tested.

Reacting Animals: All animals reacting to said tuberculin test shall be branded T. B. and removed from said dairy farm within fifteen days.

Additions to Dairy Herd: All additions made to said dairy herd shall undergo the physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health before said additions are admitted to the herd.

Animals Passed to Be Tagged: All bovines passed shall be tagged with a numbered metal tag bearing date of test and the words: "Tested and Passed, Board of Health, San Francisco."

Retesting of Herd Annually: The entire herd and every bovine on said farm over six (6) months of age shall be annually retested prior to the reissuance of a permit.

Regulation 17. *Dairy Herd Producing Milk for Pasteurization*: The dairy herd, on any farm receiving a permit to produce or ship milk into the City and County of San Francisco, shall be free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in two months, by a qualified veterinarian of the Board of Health.

Regulation 18. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 19. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 20. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 21. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees

Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 22. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd

shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 23. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 24. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 25. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 26. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Amendment No. 2.

In section 2, subdivision 5, line 2, after the word "or" insert the word "market."

Amendment No. 3.

In section 2, subdivision 7, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grades except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.

Amendment No. 4.

In section 3, subdivision 13, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 5.

In section 4, subdivision 1, line 20, strike out the period at the end of line 20 and in lieu thereof insert a comma and the following: "And unless the person owning and or operating said plant shall also pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall devote all his time to supervising the production of market milk at such plant in accordance with the terms of this ordinance."

Amendment No. 6.

In section 2, subdivision 6, line 9, after the word "content" insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 7.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 4, after the word "bottle" strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 8.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 3, after the word "and" strike out "forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 9.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 3, after the word "guaranteed" strike out the word "pasteurized" and in lieu thereof insert the word "Raw."

Amendment No. 10.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 10, strike out the words "Raw Guaranteed Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In section 3, strike out all of subdivision 3, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Subdivision 3. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Public Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test, said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Public Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Public Health. Only animals free from Brucella abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equip-

ment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Public Health or by other persons or laboratory approved in writing by said director conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Public Health.

Amendment No. 12.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 13.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 8, after the words "Certified Milk" insert the words "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over four weeks*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which

ordinance is numbered 5464 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

The following matter was presented, read and *ordered filed*:

Report of Public Welfare Committee on Young Corbett-Jackie Fields Fight.

March 27, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to Resolution No. 647, introduced by Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, asking for an investigation of the treatment of the people in connection with the conducting of the Young Corbett-Jackie Fields Boxing Contest held on Washington's Birthday, February 22nd, the Public Welfare Committee held two lengthy hearings. At the committee hearing, the people were represented by Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, and Messrs. Putnam and Hoffman were represented by William B. Hornblower, through his associate, R. H. Schubert.

After carefully weighing the oral and documentary evidence submitted by both sides, the undersigned find as follows:

1. That the promoters of the above fight are guilty of mismanagement.

2. It appears that the rules and regulations of the State Athletic Commission relating to the proper handling of such contests were not complied with.

In view of the above findings, we respectfully suggest as a measure of protection to our fellow citizens and visitors that the findings be forwarded to the State Athletic Commission with the recommendation that they take the necessary action on same to avoid a repetition of what took place on that day. We further suggest that the State Athletic Commission take appropriate measures making it necessary to have all details as to the number of seats, various classifications and prices, together with the time and place of sale, available at least forty-eight (48) hours before the fight, so that the Commission may pass an official report on to the public through the press on which the public can confidently rely.

We further suggest that the management of Seals Stadium make some needed changes at the Stadium, if they intend holding any similar contests in the future, relative to the situation of box offices and the number and location of bleacher turnstiles, so that the people buying and holding different priced seats will be amply separated and not forced to converge at one spot to gain entrance. This, we believe, will alleviate any possibility of congestion.

In conclusion, we feel that the promoters of the Young Corbett-Jackie Fields fight should be censured for their apparent neglect and lack of foresight in handling said fight.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,
By JAMES E. POWER, JR.,
Chairman,
CARL W. MILES, Member,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Member.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Creating Positions of Executive Secretary, and of Confidential Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer, Appropriating Salaries Therefor, and Abolishing Position of Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recomendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 268, Ordinance No. 9.05149, as follows:

Creating a position as Executive Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer and a position as Confidential Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer in accordance with Civil Service Commission classification, appropriating salaries therefor and abolishing a position as Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Executive Secretary to the Chief Admintrative Officer at a salary of $250 per month, and one position as Confidential Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer at a salary of $175 per month are hereby created in the office of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Section 2. The position of Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer at a salary of $250 per month now established in that office but which has not been filled throughout the full year is hereby abolished and funds in the amount of $1,275 heretofore appropriated to Appropriation 74 as designated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Chief Administrative Officer—Personal Service) (Ordinance No. 9.05116) to cover the salary of this position is hereby reappropriated to Appropriation No. 74 of said Ordinance No. 9.05116 to provide salaries of the two positions created under Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Amending Section 28 of Salary Ordinance (Annual)—Chief Administrative Officer—by Adding Item 2, Executive Secretary, and Item 3, Confidential Secretary, and Eliminating Position Heretofore Established of Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 269, Ordinance No. 9.05335, as follows:

Amending Section 28 of Ordinance 9.0539, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Items 2 and 3 thereof and by eliminating a position heretofore established for one Secretary to Chief Administrative Officer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 28 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 28. **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer.....$	1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer.....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

EXPLANATORY.

Items 2 and 3 added. One position as Secretary to Chief Administra-

tive Officer at $250 abolished. This is an apparent increase in personnel but the incumbent in position under Item 4 is assigned to Delinquent Revenue Branch of Tax Collector's Office, although continued until July 1 on Chief Administrative Officer's payroll.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Appropriating $14,000 Out of County Road Fund for City's Portion of the Improvement of Clement Street Between Fortieth and Forty-fifth Avenues (Fort Miley).

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 270, Ordinance No. 9.05150, as follows:

Appropriating $14,000 out of County Road Fund for City's portion of the cost of improvement of Clement street between Fortieth and Forty-fifth avenues, under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $14,000 is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the City's portion of the cost of improvement of Clement street between Fortieth and Forty-fifth avenues, under public proceedings.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $1,500 from County Road Fund, and Payment to Walter E. Stone, for Account of Damage to Lot 9, Block 2702, Caused by the Extension of Nineteenth Street as Extended Westerly from Yukon Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 271, Ordinance No. 9.05151, as follows:

Appropriating $1,500 out of the County Road Fund, and authorizing its payment to Walter E. Stone, for account of damage to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 2702, and caused by the extension of Nineteenth street westerly from Yukon street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside from County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/28), and authorized in payment to Walter E. Stone, the sum of $1,500; being the amount of damages to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 2702, caused by the extension of Nineteenth street as extended westerly from Yukon street.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing Payments Out of County Road Fund for Account of Damages to Mrs. Edward McCafferey, Caused by Harrison Street Regrade, $210, and to J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market Street, Due to Market Street Extension Improvement, $300.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 272, Ordinance No. 9.05152, as follows:

Authorizing payments from County Road Fund for account of damages to property:

To Mrs. Edward McCafferey, caused by Rincon Hill regrade, $210, and

To J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market street, caused by Market Street Extension improvement, $300.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The following amounts are hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, for payment to the hereinafter named persons for account of damages to property, to-wit:

To Mrs. Edward McCafferey, caused by regrade of Rincon Hill, $210.

To J. J. Deasy, 3393 Market street, due to Market Street Extension improvement, $300.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $412.50 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for Purchase of Eleven Filing Cabinets for Use of Clerk's Office, Municipal Courts.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 273, Ordinance No. 9.05153, as follows:

Appropriating $412.50 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for the purchase of Eleven Filing Cabinets, for the use of the Clerk's Office, Municipal Court.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $412.50 be and is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for the purchase of eleven filing cabinets for the use of the office of the Clerk of the Municipal Court.

(Approved by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Compromise Claim of I. H. Frank, Against the City and County For $500—Account of Personal Injuries Sustained.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 283, Ordinance No. 6.02224, as follows:

Compromise of claim of I. H. Frank against the City and County of San Francisco for five hundred dollars ($500), as a result of personal injuries sustained.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Municipal Court Action No. 47624 entitled: I. H. Frank vs. The City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of two thousand dollars ($2,000), for personal injuries sustained at the opera house, on January 26, 1933, be settled and compromised by the payment of the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) to said I. H. Frank, and the City Attorney is ordered to settle and compromise said matter for said sum.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Providing for Collection of Fees for Issuance of Building Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120, as follows:

An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard, or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The applicant or applicants for a building permit shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses of inspection and examination of the building and plans and specifications as specified in Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.) the sum of four ($4) dollars if the estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall be five hundred ($500) dollars or less; the sum of five ($5) dollars if the estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall be more than five hundred ($500) dollars and less than one thousand ($1,000) dollars; and if the estimated cost of said building, structure, alteration or improvement shall exceed one thousand ($1,000) dollars, then the sum of five ($5) dollars for each one thousand ($1,000) dollars of the estimated cost or fraction thereof up to twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars, and ninety (90c) cents for each one thousand ($1,000) dollars or fraction thereof of the estimated cost above twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars.

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building.....$2.00
Permit for construction or alteration of billboard..... 2.00
Permit for erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney.. 2.00

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimneys may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars to the Central Permit Bureau, which Bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee an annual permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimney or chimneys; provided, however, that the holder of such annual permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any patent chimney or chimneys of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney or chimneys; and provided further that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for cancelling said permit.

Section 4. The provisions of any and all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing Lease of Certain Land at Intersection of Old San Bruno Road and San Bruno Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Bill No. 277, Ordinance No. 12.17393, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain land at the intersection of old San Bruno road and San Bruno avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing certain City owned land located at the intersection of old San Bruno road and San Bruno avenue, 210 feet south of Oakdale avenue, San Francisco.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Providing for the Sale of Certain San Francisco Water Department Land in San Francisco.
(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 267, Ordinance No. 12.172522, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of that certain tract of land described as Parcel 22 of San Francisco County lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated as of March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Liber 2002 at page 1, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence easterly along the northerly boundary line of said tract 1019.46 feet to the northeasterly corner thereof; said corner being also the intersection of the southerly line of Judson avenue with the westerly line of Phelan avenue; thence southerly along the easterly boundary of said tract and said westerly line of Phelan avenue 300 feet more or less to a point distant 300 feet measured at right angles southerly from the easterly extension of said northerly boundary line; thence westerly parallel to and distant 300 feet measured at right angles southerly from said northerly boundary line 1021.32 feet more or less to the westerly boundary of said tract; thence northerly along said westerly boundary line 300 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Eliminating Minimum Charge for Extra Passengers in Taxicabs.
(Code No. 11.0111)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

The following recommendation of the Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 281, Ordinance No. 11.01112, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9029 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 22, fixing rates for sightseeing cars," and 24, setting maximum and minimum rates to be charged by taxicabs, of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the trans-

portation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," by eliminating minimum charge for extra passengers in Section 1 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9029 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Sections 22 and 24 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title to which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 22. *Rates for Sightseeing Cars.* Sightseeing buses, as defined in Ordinance No. 5118 (New Series), as amended, shall not charge more than one dollar and fifty cents per passenger per trip of not less than two hours' duration, and not more than two dollars and fifty cents per passenger per trip of not less than three hours' duration; and shall follow the route as designated or advertised by the owner or driver thereof.

Section 24. *Charges for Taxicabs.* No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any motor vehicle operated as a taxicab shall charge other maximum or minimum fares than in this section provided:

Maximum Rates.

(a) The maximum rate of fare is 25 cents for the first one-quarter mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional one-fifth (1-5) mile; ten (10) cents for each two minutes waiting time; and twenty-five (25) cents for each additional passenger over one.

Minimum Rates.

(b) The minimum rate of fare is fifteen (15) cents for the first one-quarter (¼) mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional two-fifths (2-5) mile; ten (10) cents for each two and one-half (2½) minutes waiting time.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111 as to Subdivision (b) Thereof, By Designating Cash Reserves for Self Insurers. (Code No. 11.0111)

On motion of Supervisor Peyser:

Bill No. 284, Ordinance No. 11.01113, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111 entitled, "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity, in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire, and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said commission, insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the opera-

tion of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000, instead of $10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said commission a bond in such form as the commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation, for damages on account of injuries to property or person, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on March* 15, 1933, *of* $23,600, *and thereafter shows an annual increase of* $700 *until the sum of* $25,000 *shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter the cash reserve in said organizations is the sum of* $25,000, *shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the*

purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 15th day of each month, after March 15, 1933, *advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.*

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Ordering the Improvement of Nineteenth Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 282, Ordinance No. 12.061121, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 26, 1932, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten instalments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding instalments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding instalment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street, from Yukon street to Caselli avenue, including all intervening intersections and the necessary conform and approach work on streets adjacent thereto, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by construction of the following:

Item No.	*Item*
1	Embankment.
2	Concrete curb (unarmored).
3	2x16 inch Redwood curb. (2-2x8 inch.)

Item No.	*Item*
4	6 inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
5	2 inch asphaltic concrete on 8 inch macadam pavement.
6	Concrete sidewalk. (One course.)
7	1 inch asphaltic concrete on 4 inch macadam sidewalk.
8	Class "B" 2500 pound concrete in walls.
9	Bar reinforcing steel.
10	Fence with concrete posts and three pipe railing.
11	Concrete fence posts, in place.
12	8 inch vitrified clay pipe sewer.
13	10 inch vitrified clay pipe culvert.
14	Brick manholes, complete.
15	Brick catchbasins, complete.
16	8x6 inch "Y" or "T" branches.
17	6 inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as Lots 6, 9, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 43A, 44, 45, and 56C, of Block No. 2702, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Ordering the Improvement of Clement Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 279, Ordinance No. 12.061120, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1932, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Clement street between the westerly line of Fortieth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue produced, except that portion on the southerly one-half of Clement street between Fortieth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-second avenue produced, and except the intersection of Forty-third avenue, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	*Item*
1	Grading-excavation.
2	8-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
3	12-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
4	15-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.
5	12x6 inch "Y" branches in place.
6	Brick manholes complete.
7	6-inch V. C. P. side sewer in place.
8	Brick catchbasins complete.
9	Brick catchbasins reset or rebuilt complete.
10	10-inch V. C. P. culvert in place.
11	Unarmored concrete curb.
12	Concrete curb reset.
13	One-course concrete sidewalks.
14	2x12 inch redwood header in place.
15	Asphalt-concrete pavement, remove and replaced, consisting of a 2 inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface and a 6 inch Class "F" concrete base.
16	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2 inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface on a 6 inch Class "F" concrete base.
17	Armored concrete curb.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Awarding Contract for Printing, Publishing and Distributing the Delinquent Tax List, Etc., for Fiscal Year 1932-1933.

(Code No. 3.03.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 709, as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized and directed to procure proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinquent real estate taxpayers and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1932-1933, and award of contract to the lowest responsible bidder.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Refunds of Amounts, Through Error, Paid for Taxes.
(Code No. 9.059.)

Also, Resolution No. 710, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, in payment to the hereinafter named; being amount paid on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

(1) To Valente Calleri, the sum of $12.93, for automobile located and assessed in San Mateo County; per vol. 2, page 6, line 22 of Arbitrary Assessment Roll for 1932.

(2) To Herman Paul Odessey, Guardian of Estate of Penelope Odessey, minor, the sum of $7.37, for intangible personal property, declared in Alameda county, the residence of the minor, and taxes paid; per vol. 12, page 68, line 3 of 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.

(3) To Commercial Centre Realty Co., the sum of $767.60, paid on erroneous assessment for 1930, for improvements on Lots 1 and 23/24, Block 770, which improvements were destroyed by fire, April 25, 1929; per vol. 5, aforementioned lots.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

University Professors to Make Impartial Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration.
(Code No. 19.071.)

On recommendation of Finance and Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 702, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has for the past year been extending relief to a large group of its residents who, by reason of the present economic conditions, have been unable to obtain employment; and by reason of that fact have been unable to provide for themselves and their families; and

Whereas, in all probability unemployment conditions will continue to exist, at least to a considerable extent, during the coming fiscal year, and that by reason thereof it will be necessary for San Francisco or other public agencies to care for many of the residents of the City and County during said year; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors is, by the laws of the State, directly charged with the administration of all funds raised by the City and County for relief purposes, and that while said Board has confidence in the administration of the relief funds as carried on by the Citizens' Relief Committee and by Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, various questions as to the standards of relief to be adopted in the future will arise during the coming year, especially by reason of the fact that application has been made to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for aid in our relief work, and that therefore it will be of great benefit to the City that an impartial survey be made as to the standards and methods of relief to be adopted during the coming fiscal year, should said relief continue to be necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in order that an impartial survey may be made of the standards of relief now in effect and which should in the future be followed in San Francisco, the President of the University of California, the President of the University of San Francisco, and the President of Leland Stanford, Jr., University, each, be respectfully requested to suggest to this Board the names of two disinterested persons skilled in the administration of relief, and that from said persons so suggested, the President of this Board be, and he is hereby, directed to appoint three persons to make a full and complete survey of the methods and standards of relief which should be adopted in

all future relief work administered under the direction of this Board; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is respectfully requested that said names be suggested not later than April 15th, 1933, and that the persons selected by the President of this Board to make said survey report to this Board at their earliest opportunity; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to recommend an appropriation sufficient in amount to enable said persons selected to function for the purposes in this resolution set forth; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of this Board to the respective presidents of the universities hereinbefore mentioned with the request that each of said presidents suggest names in conformity with the terms hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Police Department to Issue Permits to Pawnbrokers, Peddlers and Employment Offices.
(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 280, Ordinance No. 3.04121, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance adding to the powers and duties of certain departments in the matter of issuing certain permits or licenses and regulating or inspecting matters covered by such permits or licenses," by adding subdivisions 35, 36 and 37 thereto, authorizing the Police Department to issue permits for pawnbrokers, peddlers and employment offices.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto Subdivisions 35, 36 and 37, to read as follows:

Section 1. Permits shall be issued for the location and conduct of the businesses, enterprises for activities, enumerated hereinafter, by the department or office authorized by this ordinance to issue each such class of permit, and subject to the approval of other departments and offices of the City and County, where specifically designated in any such case; provided that permit or license fees, as required by ordinance shall be collected by the Tax Collector as provided in Section 3 hereof:

(1) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of amusement parks—by the Police Department;

(2) For the holding of masquerade balls—by the Police Department;

(3) For the holding of circuses or wild-west shows—by the Police Department;

(4) For balloon advertising—by the Police Department;

(5) For the use of street cars for displaying advertising signs—by the Police Department;

(6) For the discharge of firearms—by the Police Department;

(7) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of the business of second-hand dealer—by the Police Department: provided that if such business involves the storage and sale of used automobiles, each such permit shall also require the approval of the Fire Department;

(8) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of shooting galleries—by the Police Department;

(9) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of miniature and practice golf courses—by the Police Department: provided that if the establishment of any such business requires the remodeling of any

structure, this shall also require a building permit as required by ordinance;

(10) For the operation of vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(11) For the maintenance of authorized stands for vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(12) For the establishment and maintenance of loading zones—by the Police Department;

(13) For the establishment and maintenance of passenger zones—by the Police Department;

(14) For the establishment of warning signs—by the Police Department;

(15) For the discharge of fireworks—by the Fire Department, subject also to the approval of the Police Department in so far as matters of traffic control are involved;

(16) For maintaining or burning bonfires—by the Fire Department;

(17) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto supply stations—by the Fire Department;

(18) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto parking stations—by the Fire Department;

(19) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto repair shops—by the Fire Department;

(20) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of commercial and public garages—by the Fire Department;

(21) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto sales departments—by the Fire Department;

(22) For the installation of oil burning apparatus and oil used in connection therewith—by the Fire Department;

(23) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dyeing and cleaning works—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Health Department;

(24) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dog hospitals and kennels or hospitals for sick animals—by the Health Department;

(25) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of laundries—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(26) For the construction and maintenance of stables—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(27) For the establishment and maintenance of medical colleges—by the Health Department;

(28) For the use of City and County property for decoration purposes—by the Real Estate Department, subject to the approval of any department having charge, management and control of the specific property involved;

(29) For the erection and maintenance of bill-boards on city property—by the Real Estate Department;

(30) For the establishment and operation of rock crushing machines—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works;

(31) For the construction and maintenance of temporary buildings—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection, Fire Department and Health Department;

(32) For conducting blasting operations—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Fire Department;

(33) For the installation and operation of boilers, engines and cupola furnaces—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(34) For the construction and operation of gas works—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(35) *For the establishment and maintenance of employment offices—by Police Department;*

(36) *For peddling—by Police Department;*

(37) *For the maintenance and operation of pawnbroker establishments—by the Police Department.*

Section 2. The head of any department or office authorized to issue permits or licenses shall secure the approval of the City Planning Commission for any application for a permit or license, in any case where it is not clearly prescribed by the zoning ordinances and regulations of the City and County that the business enterprise or activity for which application for a permit is made, can legally be established on or at the location covered in the application for permit.

Section 3. All permits issued by departments or offices as outlined in Section 1 that require the payment of a permit or license fee shall be issued by the departments designated, as a permit for the conduct of the specified business, enterprise or activity at the specified location, and such permit shall be delivered to the applicant by the Tax Collector on the payment to the Tax Collector of the fee required by law or ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or provisions of existing ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect at 12 o'clock noon, on January 8, 1932.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Award of Contract for Construction of Federal Building Urged.
(Code No. 5.2.)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 711, as follows:

Whereas, it is customary, in connection with Federal Construction work, to award the contract to the lowest bidder within ten days after the receipt of bids; and

Whereas, bids were received for the construction of the new Federal Building in the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, more than ten days ago; and

Whereas, the contract for this work has not yet been awarded; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco was put to considerable expense through litigation and purchase of property in connection with the site which was donated for the purpose of erecting a Federal Building in the Civic Center; and

Whereas, the site for the proposed Federal Building presents a most unattractive appearance in its present condition and constitutes a potential menace to traffic and pedestrians; and

Whereas, San Francisco building labor is sorely in need of the stimulation which the immediate construction of this building would supply; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be instructed to communicate with Senators Johnson and McAdoo, as well as Representatives Kahn and Welch, in an effort to determine the status of the contract for this work, and further to prevail upon them to exert their best influence to the end that the commencement of this project may be expedited as soon as possible.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Beverage Commission Ordinance.

The chair announced that as a result of a conference in the Mayor's office a new beverage ordinance which meets the requirements and obviates the objections of his Honor the Mayor had been prepared.

The matter was taken up seriatum and the Clerk being directed proceeded and read those sections of the Mayor's compromise ordinance which changed or amended the ordinance heretofore on March 30, 1933, was passed for second reading by the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Section 1.

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint the member of the Police Commission and the member of the City Planning Commission who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

After the foregoing section was adopted, Supervisor McSheehy appeared and objected, saying that he was in the Clerk's office dictating a statement and had asked to be notified when the roll was to be called. Whereupon, the foregoing action was rescinded and after Supervisor McSheehy had made an explanation of his position in the matter of the composition of the Commission in Section 1, the roll was again called and the section *adopted* again by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Section 2.

The Clerk read:

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as can be conveniently spared from their respective positions for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved to amend by striking out the words

"as can be conveniently spared from their respective positions" and inserting in lieu thereof the words, "as they may deem necessary".

Amendment *carried; no objection.*

Whereupon, Section 2, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Section 4.

The Clerk read:

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a verified written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5.00) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the word "may" in the 16th line be changed to "must".

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Peyser—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Section 4 as submitted and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Section 9.

The Clerk read:

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide public eating places, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that "public eating places," second and third lines, be stricken out and the word "restaurants" inserted.

Supervisor Peyser offered the following substitute for the proposed amendment, which was accepted and adopted: "restaurants, lunch rooms, buffet, grill room, lunch counter, dining room of hotels, dining room of boarding house and coffee shop."

Whereupon, Section 9 as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Section 20.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to strike out:

Section 20. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Peyser, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Section 25.

The Clerk read:

Section 25. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency measure and shall become effective immediately upon its passage and the reading of said ordinance and a vote thereon at separate meetings of the Board of Supervisors is hereby waived and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency does exist which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance which said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the Congress of the United States has enacted legislation permitting the sale and possession of the beverages described herein and that the City and County of San Francisco has no ordinance regulating the same; and if this ordinance does not become effective forthwith said beverages may be sold indiscriminately and that the City and County would thus be deprived of a large amount of revenues which could otherwise be collected by reason of the licensing of the sale of said beverages; and that furthermore the immediate enactment of this ordinance is necessary for the preservation of the property, health and safety of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the government thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the ordinance as amended and in words and figures following was finally passed as an emergency ordinance by the following vote:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Regulating the Retail Sale, Service and Dispensing of Beverages in Excess of Half of One Per Cent of Alcohol by Volume and Not in Excess of Three and Two Tenths Per Cent of Alcohol by Weight; Providing for Licenses to Dealers; Providing for the Granting, Denying and Revoking of Permits; and Prescribing Penalties for a Violation of This Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 296, Ordinance No. 3.04123, as follows:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint the member of the Police Commission and the member of the City Planning Commission who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a verified written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5.00) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that

said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; provided that any firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business in San Francisco for at least one year shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of the ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits upon payment of the initial license fee installment hereinafter mentioned.

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide restaurants, lunch rooms, buffet, grill room, lunch counter, dining room of hotel, dining room of boarding house, and coffee shop, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes

of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July, and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided in this ordinance, in halls, assemblies and auditoriums under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. The fee for such permit shall be $10.00 for each day or fraction thereof, and shall be issued in the same manner heretofore provided for.

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 16. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said rtailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 17. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 18. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 19. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any

circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 20. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 21. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 22. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 23. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 24. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Section 25. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency measure and shall become effective immediately upon its passage and the reading of said ordinance and a vote thereon at separate meetings of the Board of Supervisors is hereby waived and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by a vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency does exist which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance which said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the Congress of the United States has enacted legislation permitting the sale and possession of the beverages described herein and that the City and County of San Francisco has no ordinance regulating the same; and if this ordinance does not become effective forthwith said beverages may be sold indiscriminately and that the City and County would thus be deprived of a large amount of revenues which could otherwise be collected by reason of the licensing of the sale of said beverages; and that furthermore the immediate enactment of this ordinance is necessary for the preservation of the property, health and safety of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the government thereof.

Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 3, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am voting "No" on the emergency beverage ordinance as presented by the Police Committee of this Board and wish my vote so recorded and made part of the record for the following reasons:

1. The Commission consists of the Chief Administrative Officer, a member of the Police Commission and a member of the City Planning Commission. The last two members on said Commission are appointed by his Honor the Mayor and in case of resignation of either of these two members, his successor shall be appointed by his Honor the Mayor. The Chief Administrative Officer was appointed to his office by the present Mayor and cannot be removed except on written charges, which must be presented to the Board of Supervisors and requires a two-thirds vote, or in other words, Mayors may come and go but the Chief Administrative Officer will remain in office until removed by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

The Chief Administrative Officer receives $12,000 a year, the highest paid city official, and his position calls for the executive superintendence of one-half of the various executive offices in the city government. The Charter framers never contemplated placing this additional duty on said officer. The Commission will have the entire supervision over some 10,000 stores that will dispense beer that will have an alcoholic content of 3.2 per cent. Beer gardens, cafes, amusement parks of every kind and description will request permits to sell beer and investigations in detail must be made on all these applications. The Chief Administrative Officer is not responsible to the Mayor nor to the people. His power is almost unlimited and this additional power will almost destroy popular government. It will create a conflict, perhaps not under the present administration, but three years from now we might have a different Mayor, and I say this respectfully, than our present Mayor, but the Chief Administrative Officer will remain, as his removal is almost impossible.

The Chief Administrative Officer has ten executive departments under his supervision, with some 6,000 city employees and together with passing on some 10,000 stores that will dispense beer, one can see at a glance the extraordinary power vested in a man who is not responsible to the people.

There are nine commissions with some 50 commissioners that have been directly appointed by the Mayor and the ordinance authorizes the appointment of two of these men. Is there any reason in the world why three cannot be selected from this list and then the supervision of all stores selling beer as a beverage with 3.2 per cent alcohol would be directly under his Honor the Mayor and he would be responsible to the people.

Under this dual responsibility as called for, I feel as a member of this Board, that a great mistake is being made and perhaps not today or not this year, but sometime in the near future it will be proven that a mistake has been made.

For these reasons I am voting "No."

Dedication of New Dairy Delivery Plant.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that the Dairy Delivery Company invites the members of the Board to the opening of its new plant at 1325 Potrero avenue, Sunday, April 9, at 2 p. m., and in connection therewith he moved that the Clerk communicate with his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, and advise them of the opening of this new plant and that they take steps to see that there is civic recognition of this investment in the city and the opening of this modern plant.

He added that, unfortunately, he had a previous appointment for the same day to attend the memorial services and annual pilgrimage to the grave of the late Father Peter C. Yorke and asked Supervisor Colman to convey his regards to the management and his regrets for his inability to attend.

So *ordered.*

Annual Observance of Mothers' Day.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint the customary committee of citizens to conduct the annual observance of Mothers' Day at the Memorial Grove, Golden Gate Park, dedicated to the mothers of those men and women who have served in the wars of this country.

So *ordered.*

Employments of Former City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

The following was presented and read:

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in response to request of Supervisor McSheehy as to the title, work and activities of former City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

Appointment of Emergency Relief Administrator.

Also, communication from Wm. A. Smith, Private Secretary to Governor Rolph, advising of the appointment of R. C. Branion, Emergency Relief Administrator, to supervise county applications for loans from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the administration of funds made available by the corporation.

Citizens' Committee, Observance of Good Friday.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 712, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Good Friday, April 14, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Citizens' Committee, Commemorative Ceremonies, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 713, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to arrange with the Directors of the proposed San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge for the appointment of a Citizens' Committee to make arrangements for a suitable commemoration of the ground-breaking ceremonies when work is to be commenced.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Secretary of Navy Requested to Change Schedule of "Constitution" to Permit Not Less than One-Year Stay in the Bay Region.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 714, as follows:

Whereas, the United States Frigate Constitution, since its arrival in San Francisco, California, on March 24, 1933, has been visited each

day by over 20,000 people, many of whom have come from distant cities in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys and in the State of Nevada; and

Whereas, many thousands of people are engaged in business and different kinds of employment and cannot afford the time to stand in line several hours before being able to gain admission, and hence have been unable to avail themselves of the privilege of visiting this famous vessel; and

Whereas, no event since the admission of California to the Union has developed the patriotic enthusiasm and determination of our citizens to as great an extent as has the visit of the Constitution; and

Whereas, there are hundreds of thousands of adults and of school children in Northern and Central California and Nevada who will be unable to avail themselves of an opportunity to visit this famous vessel during the limited time assigned by the present schedule for her stay at the ports of the San Francisco Bay District;

Therefore, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in regular session assembled, this third day of April, 1933,

Be It Resolved, That the Secretary of the Navy be and he is hereby respectfully requested to so alter the visiting schedule of the Constitution that, upon her return to the Port of San Francisco in August, 1933, said vessel will remain in the San Francisco Bay area for a period of not less than one year after her aforesaid return in August, 1933.

Be It Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, the Secretary of the Navy, the Senators and Representatives from the States of California and Nevada, and the Governors of the States of California and Nevada, and that each and every one of said gentlemen be requested to do all within his power to bring about the stay of the Constitution at San Francisco Bay for the period hereinaforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Honorable Frank J. Foran, Member of Police Commission, Eight Days from April 1, 1933.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 1, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Frank J. Foran, Police Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of eight days, beginning April 1, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 715, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Frank J. Foran, member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of eight (8) days, commencing April 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Bonding City Employees.

Supervisor Brown moved that his ordinance providing for the bonding of city employees be placed on the calendar for next Monday and that copies be sent to members of the Board.

So *ordered.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 7:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 15

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, April 10, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 10, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 10, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Supervisor Stanton appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of March 21, 27 and 29, and April 3.

The following matter was taken up:

Consideration of Mayor's Veto.

March 24, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am herewith returning Resolution No. 689, disapproved.

My reasons for vetoing this Resolution are as follows:

1. The cost of preparing specifications and estimated costs for widening Fell street from Van Ness avenue to Baker street will involve a substantial expenditure. There are no funds at present available for this purpose.

2. The same applies to preparation of specifications and cost estimates for widening Oak street between Van Ness avenue and Baker street.

3. The more logical method of procedure will be to determine a route and when funds are available for the proposed construction, to prepare the detailed plans and specifications.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

The question being put, Shall the resolution stand notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor, the roll was called and the Mayor's *Veto sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hav-

enner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Spaulding—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.05.)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society

or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by

weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell,

exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO*:

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.*

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk*: *Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and*

used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor

shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain

supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be

taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which

milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

AMENDMENTS TO MILK ORDINANCE SUBMITTED BY SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER.

Amendment No. 1.

After the end of Section 19 insert the following:

Section 19-A, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health

shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *The Dairy Herd, Testing Inspected Herds*: The dairy herd on any dairy farm receiving a permit to produce or ship guaranteed milk into the City and County of San Francisco shall undergo an annual physical examination which shall include the testing of said herd with tuberculin, and every bovine on the farm over six (6) months of age shall be required to submit to said physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health of San Francisco. The tuberculin shall be furnished by the owners of the herd tested.

Reacting Animals: All animals reacting to said tuberculin test shall be branded T. B. and removed from said dairy farm within fifteen days.

Additions to Dairy Herd: All additions made to said dairy herd

shall undergo the physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health before said additions are admitted to the herd.

Animals Passed to Be Tagged: All bovines passed shall be tagged with a numbered metal tag bearing date of test and the words: "Tested and Passed, Board of Health, San Francisco."

Retesting of Herd Annually: The entire herd and every bovine on said farm over six (6) months of age shall be annually retested prior to the reissuance of a permit.

Regulation 17. *Dairy Herd Producing Milk for Pasteurization*: The dairy herd, on any farm receiving a permit to produce or ship milk into the City and County of San Francisco, shall be free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in two months, by a qualified veterinarian of the Board of Health.

Regulation 18. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 19. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 20. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 21. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the

regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 22. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 23. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 24. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 25. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 26. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Amendment No. 2.

In section 2, subdivision 5, line 2, after the word "or" insert the word "market."

Amendment No. 3.

In section 2, subdivision 7, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grades except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.

Amendment No. 4.

In section 3, subdivision 13, strike out all of lines 9 to 12 inclusive.

Amendment No. 5.

In section 4, subdivision 1, line 20, strike out the period at the end of line 20 and in lieu thereof insert a comma and the following: "And unless the person owning and or operating said plant shall also pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall devote all his time to supervising the production of market milk at such plant in accordance with the terms of this ordinance."

Amendment No. 6.

In section 2, subdivision 6, line 9, after the word "content" insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat."

Amendment No. 7.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 4, after the word "bottle" strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Amendment No. 8.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 3, after the word "and" strike out "forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Amendment No. 9.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 3, after the word "guaranteed" strike out the word "pasteurized" and in lieu thereof insert the word "Raw."

Amendment No. 10.

In section 3, subdivision 1, line 10, strike out the words "Raw Guaranteed Milk."

Amendment No. 11.

In section 3, strike out all of subdivision 3, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Subdivision 3. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Public Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test, said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Public Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Public Health. Only animals free from Brucella abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing

said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations by the Director of Public Health or by other persons or laboratory approved in writing by said director conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Public Health.

Amendment No. 12.

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 7, after the word "milk" insert the following "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Amendment No. 13.

In section 3, subdivision 12, line 8, after the words "Certified Milk" insert the words "and Guaranteed Raw Milk."

Motions.

Supervisor Spaulding moved that the Milk Ordinance be taken in the hands of the Board. Supervisor McSheehy opposed.

Supervisor McSheehy moved re-reference to committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Glass, representing the Natural Milk Producers' Association, and Marshal; Dr. Geiger, Chief Milk Inspector; T. Lydon and Dr. Kelly, representing the San Francisco County Medical Society, were heard at length on the pending ordinance.

Amendments.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the words "such pasteurized" be inserted after the word "which" in the fourth line of Subdivision 1 of Section 2.

No objection. It was so ordered.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the words "of the State of California" be added at the end of Subdivision 4 of Section 2.

No objection and it was so ordered.

Approved.

Section 1.

Thereupon, the ordinance was taken up seriatum and Section 1 was *approved without objection.*

Section 2.

Subdivisions 1, 2 and 3 *approved without objection.*

Subdivision 4. *Score Card.* Was *approved* with Supervisor Havenner voting *no* for the reason, as he explained, that the paragraph did not afford an adequate definition of the words "*Score Card.*"

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

In Section 2, Subdivision 5, line 2, after the word "or" insert the word "market".

No objection and it was so ordered.

Whereupon, Section 2, Subdivision 5, as amended, was *approved.*

Subdivision 6. *Milk Defined.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

In Section 2, Subdivision 6, line 9, after the word "content" insert a period and strike out the words "of not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat".

Supervisor Havenner moved as a substitute the following:

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Substitute *accepted* in lieu of proposed amendment.

Whereupon, Subdivision 6, Section 2, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Subdivision 7. *Cream.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

In Section 2, Subdivision 7, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all the words following and including the word "Francisco" in line 10, and in lieu thereof insert the following: Market cream shall be graded and shall conform to all standards set for market milk of the same grades except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.

Passed temporarily.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Canepa moved that subject matter lay over for further consideration until Wednesday, April 12, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding—4.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the subject matter was *laid over and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m., April 17, 1933.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Amending Section 19 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (M. H. de Young Memorial Museum) and Covering Temporary Employments, the Fund for Which Is Provided by Appropriation No. 50 (1932-1933 Annual Appropriation Ordinance)—Providing New Items 24, 25 and 26.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 287, Ordinance No. 9.05334, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Items 24, 25 and 26, covering temporary employment of persons engaged on repair work, funds for which are provided by appropriation item 50 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 19. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Director (part time)	$ 333.32
2	1		Assistant Director	375
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	1		Educational Director (part time)	104.16
7	1		Docent (part time)	100
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer (part time)	50
11	1		Printer (part time)	70
12	1		Mechanic	180

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary, Board of Trustees (part time)	170.84
19	1		Lecturer, $10 per Sunday	
20	2		Galleryman	125
21	12		Galleryman	120
22	2		Carpenter, $5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125
			Temporary Employees as Needed.	
24			Plasterer Foreman, $11 per day	
25			Construction Superintendent	200
26			Other building material crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rate established for such classifications in a resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the city, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 29, 1932	

Motion.

Supervisor Canepa, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved that the wages of the carpenter be increased from $5.50 per day to $8 in keeping with wage scale heretofore approved by the Board.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the subject matter was *laid over one week*. City Attorney's opinion to be obtained.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $306,287.50 Out of Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1932, for the Payment of Interest During the Construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply; As Per Provisions of Ordinance No. 15.0311, Section 1.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 286, Ordinance No. 9.05156, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of the sum of $306,287.50 out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, and authorized for payment of interest due December 1, 1932, and June 1, 1933, during the construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply out of Hetch Hetchy Bond issue, 1932; as per provisions of Ordinance No. 15.0311, Section 1.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of the sum of $306,287.50

is hereby set aside out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, and authorized for payment of interest due December 1, 1932, and June 1, 1933, during the construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply out of Hetch Hetchy bond issue, 1932, as per the provisions of Ordinance No. 15.0311, Section 1.

(Request of the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriation of $375 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), for the Payment of Cost of Burial of Three Honorably Discharged U. S. Soldiers.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 288, Ordinance No. 9.05157, as follows:

Appropriation of $375 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of new Appropriation No. 88-1, for the payment of cost of the burial of three honorably discharged United States soldiers.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside the sum of $375 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of new appropriation No. 88-1, for the cost of the burial of three honorably discharged United States soldiers.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $760 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to Meet the Cost of Vacations in the Coroner's Office.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 290, Ordinance No. 9.05159, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $760 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation No. 191 (Coroner's Personal Service) for the cost of vacation relief in the Coroner's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $760 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation No. 191 (Coroner's Personal Service) for the cost of vacation relief in the office of the Coroner.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Transferring $672.15 (Savings in Rent for 333 Kearny Street), From Appropriation No. 86 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 103 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service), to Meet the Cost of Rent of Office in the Phelan Building for the Months of January, February, March, and Incidental Expense.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Bill No. 292, Ordinance No. 9.0523, as follows:

Transferring the sum of $672.15 from Appropriation No. 86 (savings

in the rental of No. 333 Kearny street), to the credit of Appropriation No. 103 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service) to meet the cost of rental of office in the Phelan Building, by Public Administrator, for the months of January, February, March, and incidental expense.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $672.15 is hereby transferred from Appropriation No. 86 (savings in the rental of offices at No. 333 Kearny street), to the credit of Appropriation No. 103 (Public Administrator—Contractual Service), to meet the cost of rental of office in the Phelan Building, by the Public Administrator, for the months of January, February, March, and incidental expense.

(Approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, and Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing City Attorney to Compromise and Settle Claim Against the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 293, Ordinance No. 6.02225, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to compromise and settle claim against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the claim of Rinko Kihara, a minor, by Chiyokichi Kihara, against the City and County of San Francisco, by the payment to said Chiyokichi Kihara, as guardian ad litem of Rinko Kihara, of the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of said Chiyokichi Kihara, guardian ad litem of Rinko Kihara, a minor, against the City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw a warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

(Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $311,500 Out of Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1932, for Certain Construction Necessary to the Completion of the Hetch Hetchy Water Project.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Public Utilities and Finance Committees.

Bill No. 285, Ordinance No. 9.05155, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $311,500 out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, for the construction of the bay crossing pipe line between Irvington, Portal, and the easterly end of the present bay crossing pipe line, construction of the Alameda Creek siphon connecting the Alameda east tunnel with the Alameda west tunnel, and for the construction of Moccasin sewer and sewerage disposal to extend the present sewer system to a point below Moccasin Dam. All necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue 1932, the sum of $311,500, as supplemental appropriation, for the construction of the bay crossing pipe line between Irvington, Portal, and the easterly end of the present bay crossing pipe line, construction of the Alameda Creek siphon connecting the Alameda east tunnel with the Alameda west tunnel, and for the construction of Moccasin sewer and sewerage disposal to extend the present sewer system to a point below Moccasin Dam. All necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project.

(Approved by Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor, and Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *indefinitely postponed*:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Regulating the Retail Sale, Service and Dispensing of Beverages in Excess of Half of One Per Cent of Alcohol by Volume and Not in Excess of Three and Two-Tenths Per Cent of Alcohol by Weight; Providing for Licenses to Dealers; Providing for the Granting, Denying and Revoking of Permits; and Prescribing Penalties for a Violation of This Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 294, Ordinance No. 3.04122, entitled:

"Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance."

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Transferring Amounts to Appropriation 105 (Contractual Service, Purchaser of Supplies) to Pay for Scavenger Service: From Auditorium $98.28; From Library $109.62; From Recreation Department $198.45.

(Code No. 9.052.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 716, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby transferred from the hereinafter mentioned appropriations to the credit of Appropriation 105 (Contractual Service, Purchaser of Supplies) for payment for scavenger service rendered and to be rendered said departments to July 1, 1933, to-wit:

From Appropriation 111 (Auditorium—Non-Personal).....$ 98.28
From Appropriation 37-2 (Library Dept.)..... 109.62
From Appropriation 36-2 (Recreation Dept.)..... 198.45
(Approved by Departments.)
(Approved by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hav-

enner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Acceptance of Deeds for Extension of Shakespeare Street.

(Code No. 12.1711.)

Also, Resolution No. 717, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners to certain property required for the extension of Shakespeare street, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lands from the County Road Fund:

California Construction Company (portion of Lot 14 in Assessor's Block 7171).....$100.00

Nan B. Frank et al. (portion of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7171).. 300.00

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Acceptance of Deed for Land for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711.)

Also, Resolution No. 718, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Ruth E. Hagensen to Lots 11 and 12, Assessor's Block 5547, and Lots 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5548, San Francisco, required for Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of $2,040 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04.)

Also, Resolution No. 719, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:—No. 264 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 265 to J. B. West for $125, No. 266 to J. B. West for $125, No. 267 to Wm. Taaffe and Company for $169.48, No. 268 to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co. for $363.17, No. 269 to The San Francisco News for $8.23, No. 270 to Geo. Partridge for $50, No. 271 to Geo. Partridge for $50, No. 272 to S. F. and Fresno Land Co. for $3,000, No. 273 to The Islais Co., Ltd., for $2,000, No. 274 to H. Windt—J. Kullman for $700, No. 275 to Allen G. Wright for $1,500.50—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the president of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Acceptance of Deed to Land for Widening of Geneva Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 720, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts

that certain deed dated February 28, 1933, from J. Wiseman MacDonald to certain land required for the widening of Geneva avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Sidewalk Widths on Jarboe Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0731.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 297, Ordinance No. 12.073113, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eighty-five (85) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 24, 1933, by amending Section Eighty-five (85) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 85. The width of sidewalks on Jarboe avenue between Andover and Putnam streets shall be 10 feet; between Putnam and Bronte, the northerly side of, 18 feet; the southerly side of, 6 feet; between Bronte street and San Bruno avenue, 10 feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Condemnation Proceedings for Bernal Heights Boulevard.
(Code No. 6.0211.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 721, as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lots 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 44 in Block 5614; all of Lots 8, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 and 58 in Block 5620; all of Lots 7, 8, 16 and 17 in Block 5621; all of Lots 20 and 21 in Block 5622; all of Lots 23, 24, 25 and 26 in Block 5623; all of Lots 5, 27 and 28 in Block 5624; all of Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 31 and 33 in Block 5625; all of Lots 8, 10, 28 and 29 in Block 5626; all of Lots 10 and 12 in Block 5627; all of Lot 35 in Block 5628; all of Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 37 and 38 in Block 5629; all of Lots 1, 3, 6, 7, 10 and 11 in Block 5631; all of Lot 27 in Block 5641;

all of Lots 6, 7, 10, 40, 41, 42 and 43 in Block 5547; all of Lots 9, 10, 15, 22, 28, 33, 47 and 65 in Block 5549; all as per the current Block Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Also portion of Lot 7 in Block 5550, as per the current Block Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Esmeralda avenue, distant thereon 159.25 feet easterly from the easterly line of Alabama street; thence easterly along said line of Esmeralda avenue 30 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly belonging to Sophy E. R. Herrin; thence at right angles northerly along said property line 9.990 feet; thence deflecting 78 degrees 14 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northwesterly 30.643 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sophy E. R. Herrin; thence deflecting 101 degrees 45 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running southerly along said easterly property line 16.236 feet to the northerly line of Esmeralda avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 108, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction of a boulevard to be known as Bernal Heights boulevard, circling the top of Bernal Heights. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Assessment District Approval for Ortega and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0825)

Also, Resolution No. 722, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing lands benefited by and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses of the improvement of Ortega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues, including the crossing of Twenty-third avenue and Ortega street, and the crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Ortega street," approved by Department of Public Works, No. 2228, March 17, 1933, be and is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Ortega Street.
(Code No. 12.0611.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 298, Ordinance No. 12.061122, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-

tions therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 20, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue, including the crossings of Twenty-third avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch vitrified clay pipe sewers; by the construction of 8x6 inch "Y" branches; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; by the construction of brick manholes; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Block 2059; Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of Block 2060; Lot 1, Block 2061; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 32 and 33 of Block 2110; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, 16A, 17, 18 and 18A of Block 2111; Lots 1, 5, 6, 6A and 7 of Block 2112; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of

the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Ordering the Improvement of Kirkham and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 299, Ordinance No. 12.061123, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 25, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue; of Thirty-fourth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street, and of Ortega street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Forty-first avenue; where not already so improved; by the construction of armored and unarmored concrete curbs where directed; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues Between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.
(Code No. 12.0722.)

Also, Bill No. 300, Ordinance No. 12.07229, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of February, 1933, by Resolution No. 628, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 157.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 159.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 163.58 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 164.66 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 167.77 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 166.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 160.05 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 155.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 158.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 134.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 137.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 144.36 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 146.13 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 151.69 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 150.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 142.84 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 137.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 140.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Alley (No Name) Between Surrey and Sussex Streets From Diamond Street Southeasterly.

(Code No. 12.0722.)

Also, Bill No. 301, Ordinance No. 12.072210, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alley (no name) between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 31st day of January, 1933, by Resolution No. 609, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Alley (no name) between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Alley (no name)—Between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly,

At the northeasterly corner of Diamond street, 248.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At the southeasterly corner of Diamond street, 246.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly end of the curve from Diamond street, 248.00 feet.

40 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249.00 feet.

119 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 258.68 feet.

197 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 257.00 feet.

284 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249.57 feet.

529 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 248.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at the first angle southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 243.90 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 629 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 240.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of (from the north) at the second angle northwesterly from the last described point, 244.00 feet.

On Alley (no name)—as above described—be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Surrey and Sussex streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Approving Map of Widening of Southwesterly Side of Laidley Street at Thirtieth Street.

(Code No. 12.0821.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Also, Resolution No. 723, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the widening of the southwesterly side of Laidley street at Thirtieth street, approved by Department of Public Works Resolution No. 2256, March 22, 1933, be and is hereby approved and made official, and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Laidley street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Intention to Change Grades.

(Code No. 12.0721.)

Also, Resolution No. 724, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 2213 of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 13, 1933, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 16, 1933, to-wit:

Iowa Street.

86 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 21.09 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-third street, northerly line, 20.70 feet.
Twenty-third street, southerly line, 21.00 feet.
268 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.21 feet.
Westerly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.
Easterly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.
150 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 22.88 feet.
Twenty-sixth street intersection, 11.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Indiana Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 18.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-third street, 14.00 feet.
300 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 16.83 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 24.50 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 26.35 feet.
Easterly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.
Westerly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.
Easterly line of, 120 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.

Westerly line of, 89.57 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.
Army street, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Minnesota Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 16.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-third street, 13.00 feet.
Westerly line of, 433 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 10.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fourth street, 10.00 feet.
50 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 10.92 feet.
100 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 15.53 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 16.97 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 19.11 feet.
Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.
Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Tennessee Street.

Twenty-third street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-fourth street, 9.00 feet.
50 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 10.94 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 11.00 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 12.20 feet.
Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third Street.

Tennessee street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 13.00 feet.
Indiana street, 14.00 feet.
Northerly line of, at Iowa street, 20.70 feet.
Southerly line of, at Iowa street, 21.00 feet.
70 feet westerly from Iowa street, 36.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street, 9.00 feet.
Minnesota street, easterly line, 10.00 feet.

Twenty-fifth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street, easterly line, 11.00 feet.
Tennessee street, westerly line, 12.20 feet.

78 feet westerly from Tennessee street, 13.70 feet.
Minnesota street, easterly line, 16.97 feet.
Minnesota street, westerly line, 19.11 feet.
Indiana street, easterly line, 24.50 feet.
Indiana street, westerly line, 26.35 feet.
Iowa street, easterly line, 31.00 feet.
Iowa street, westerly line, 33.00 feet.
50 feet westerly from Iowa street, 35.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-sixth Street.

Tennessee street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 10.00 feet.
100 feet westerly from Minnesota street, 10.50 feet.
Indiana street, 10.00 feet.
Iowa street intersection, 11.00 feet.

On Iowa street between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 86 feet northerly therefrom; on Indiana and Minnesota streets between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 400 feet northerly therefrom; on Tennessee street between Twenty-third street and Twenty-sixth street; on Twenty-third street between Tennessee street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 70 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth street between Third street and Minnesota street; on Twenty-fifth street between Third street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 50 feet westerly therefrom; and on Twenty-sixth street between Tennessee street and Iowa street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 302, Ordinance No. 12.2004, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), entitled "Granting to the Felton Company, its successors or assigns, permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a single spur track from a point on the existing spur track in the easterly half of Second street, about 212 feet north of the intersection of the easterly line of Second street with the northerly line of Townsend street, thence running along Second street in a northerly direction and entering the property of the petitioners on the southeast corner of Second and Brannan streets," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King

and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), As to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 303, Ordinance No. 12.2005, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Schmidt Lithograph Company and Hawley & Munson, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the present spur track of the Southern Pacific Company on the westerly side of Second street and distant 125.75 feet, more or less, southerly from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right with a 250-foot radius for a distance of 31.75 feet, more or less; thence 28 feet, more or less, on a tangent; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 146.19 feet to a tangent on northerly side of Bryant street and 20.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on said tangent 158.5 feet, more or less; thence by a convenient curve to right into premises of Hawley & Munson on southerly line of Bryant street and 390 feet easterly of the easterly line of Second street, together with necessary switches, turnouts and crossings," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 304, Ordinance No. 12.2006, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from 7 a. m.*

to 9a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 305, Ordinance No. 12.2007, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from 7 a. m. to 9 a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 306, Ordinance No. 12.2008, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California, a corporation sole; M. S. Eisner and M. Fisher Company, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Second street as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street, distant 35 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bryant street; thence westerly on a curve to the right 125 feet to the point of a reverse curve; thence westerly on a curve to the left 125 feet to a point that is approximately 23 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of said Second street; thence westerly and parallel to said line of Second street and crossing Harrison street to a point that is 192.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Harrison street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 307, Ordinance No. 12.2009, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Jacob Z. Davis Estate Company, its successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street and distant about 248 feet north, more or less, from the north line of Harrison street; thence northerly and parallel to the center line of Second street, distant 23 feet from the west line thereof, to a point 100 feet, more or less, south of the south line of Folsom street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Bonding City Officials and Employees

Supervisor Brown called attention to the ordinance bonding City officials and employees, which, he said, was erroneously printed on

the Calendar. He moved that the matter lay over one week for presentation to the Board of the correct ordinance. *So ordered.*

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Buildings and Lands Committee was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *re-referred to the Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.

(Code No. 12.1729)

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Acquisition of Property in China Cove for Recreation Center.

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

(Code No. 12.1713.)

Resolution No. 725, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for sometime been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above mentioned purposes.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Hearing Unemployed Relief Complaints.

D. D. Daly, of 1179 Turk street, and Victor Baker, 1253 Octavia street, were, on motion, granted the privilege of the floor for the purpose of making statements in reference to unemployed relief as administered under the Citizens' Relief Committee and Director of Relief C. M. Wollenberg. The following is an excerpt from the statements made before the Board of Supervisors by the above named:

Demands heretofore made by the speakers on behalf of some 20 fraternal and labor organizations which, they alleged, they represented, as well as the Charity Workers' Protective Association of 3,000 members (approximately), were not adequately met in the report of the Welfare Committee presented at a recent meeting. Responsibility, they declared, was shunted by said committee from the Board of Supervisors to the Citizens' Relief Committee, and other agencies, resulting in nothing being done to meet the emergency.

Superintendent Wollenberg, it was declared, intends to deprive relief for one week from all those who are participating in the 24-hour strike of charity relief workers against conditions complained of. Superintendent Wollenberg, also, it was stated, has issued an order that the Relief Committee will no longer pay for gas for cooking, heating and lighting. Mr. Daly declared that the gas belongs to the people and not to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company. "It's a natural product, it's a product of nature." He demanded on behalf of those he said he represented, free use of automobiles, cash relief for all single men, gas for heating, lighting and cooking purposes, and cash payment to relief workers instead of groceries as now the practice.

The foregoing remarks were *ordered referred to Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee and to Director of Relief C. M. Wollenberg.*

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3.

(Code No. 3.041.)

Supervisor Canepa presented.

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance section 3 thereof, which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office of the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412, as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6.00) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of food stuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty ($20.00) dollars and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances), shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4.00) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspection hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspection for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount

thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to their several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporations occupying premises within their respective beats and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Referred to Charter Installation Committee.

Relative to Inspection Fee Ordinance
(Code No. 3.041.)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 726, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did, on the 11th day of July, 1932, enact Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as Inspection Fee Ordinance, which said ordinance was duly approved by the Mayor on the 12th day of July, 1932; and

Whereas, said ordinance was enacted in order to comply with the provisions of Section 24 of the Charter to the end that the cost of all regulation and inspection of the various matters referred to in said Section 24 should be provided for by fees charged for such inspection; and

Whereas, Section 3 of said ordinance is uncertain in this that it does not appear from said Section 3 the various kinds of buildings, structures, stores, premises or offices which should be inspected and regulated under said ordinance, and a large number of protests have come to individual officers of the City and County of San Francisco from persons claiming that their respective callings, offices or places of business should not be subject to inspection under said ordinance; and

Whereas, there is no desire to repeal said ordinance as a whole; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said ordinance be referred to the Charter Installation Committee of the Board of Supervisors with the request that said committee recommend to this Board such amendments to said ordinance as will definitely specify the kinds and character of buildings, structures, stores, premises and offices subject to regulation and inspection under said ordinance.

Referred to Charter Installation Committee.

Greyline Busses Cruising Protested.

Supervisor Colman, in matter of the Greyline Company transporting passengers without charge, moved that the City Attorney be requested to give the Board his opinion as to their authority in picking up passengers in San Francisco and to advise the Board as to what can be done in the matter.

So ordered.

Postponement of Tax Installment.

Supervisor Brown inquired as to legislation providing for postponement of payment of installments of taxes about to become delinquent and was advised City Attorney had not reported ordinance as yet.

Supervisor Brown called attention that in last week's Journal the time of his arrival at the Board's meeting was noted and requested that it be noted.

Unemployment Relief Survey.

Communication from Ray Lyman Wilbur, president of Stanford University, relative to appointment of presidents of universities to make survey of unemployment relief.

Referred to President Hayden.

Certified Report Upon Examination of Accounts of the Controller's Department

City and County of San Francisco

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

INDEX TO CERTIFIED REPORT UPON EXAMINATION OF ACCOUNTS OF THE CONTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT

City and County of San Francisco

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

Pages

Certificate 569

Proprietary Accounts Schedules:

Proprietary Balance Sheet, June 30, 1932 570-573
Revenues, Expenditures, and Current Proprietary Surplus 574, 575
Capital Surplus 576, 577
Summary of Revenues and Expenditures 578, 579
Departmental Revenues, General City and County 580, 581
Expenses, General City and County 582-585
Revenues and Expenses, Public Service Enterprises 586, 587
Bonded Indebtedness 588, 589
Bonded Indebtedness, Public Service Enterprises 590, 591
Summary of Properties 592, 593

Funding Accounts Schedules:

Summary of Funding Balance Sheets 594, 595
Current Fund Balance Sheet 596-599
Capital Fund Balance Sheet 600, 601
Special and Trust Fund Balance Sheet 602, 603
Current Fund Appropriations 604, 605
Capital Fund Appropriations 606

Supplementary Report Upon Examination of Accounts of Controller's Department 607

LYBRAND, ROSS BROS. & MONTGOMERY

Accountants and Auditors

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
San Francisco, California.

In accordance with Resolution No. 514 of your honorable Board, adopted November 21, 1932, we have examined the accounts of the Controller's department of the

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

The accounts of the public service enterprises were examined as at that date by other independent auditors, while those of the Treasurer's department were verified as of that date by the Controller's audit staff, in accordance with section 66 of the new Charter.

Our work in connection with these units was restricted to a review of the reports and supporting working papers and a reconciliation of the findings presented therein with the related accounts on the books of the Controller.

With respect to the accounts other than the foregoing, we have verified the assets and liabilities as at June 30, 1932, except that in the case of the property accounts we have accepted the recorded values at June 30, 1931, without verification.

Further, in accordance with the terms of our engagement, we made a general review and test check of the recorded revenues and expenditures and the allocation thereof to funds.

In addition, we reviewed the procedure in effect in various other divisions of the Controller's department, such as the payroll, tax redemption, inheritance tax, bail bonds, and social service divisions, and satisfied ourselves as to the adequacy of the internal check with respect to those functions.

On the foregoing basis, we certify that, in our opinion, the annexed schedules set forth the financial condition of the City and County of San Francisco as at June 30, 1932, and its revenues, expenditures, and surplus for the fiscal year then ended.

LYBRAND, ROSS BROS. & MONTGOMERY.

San Francisco, California, March 29, 1933.

PROPRIETARY BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

ASSETS

	Total	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Cash:				
On deposit with Treasurer	$ 23,937,115.12	$ 12,032,282.58	$ 10,220,402.31	$ 1,684,430.23
Other cash	341,407.63	135,603.98	205,803.65	.....
	$ 24,278,522.75	$ 12,167,886.56	$ 10,426,205.96	$ 1,684,430.23
Accounts receivable:				
Taxes and assessments, less allowance of $100,000.00 for delinquent taxes	$ 1,500,154.53	$ 1,253,315.84	.....	$ 246,838.69
Revenues accrued	1,158,720.96	1,010,148.84	.....	148,572.12
Other accounts receivable	740,270.29	735,314.79	4,955.50	.....
	$ 3,399,145.78	$ 2,998,779.47	$ 4,955.50	$ 395,410.81
Investment bonds	$ 11,459,768.04			$ 11,459,768.04
Properties, details annexed:				
Public service enterprises:				
Hetch Hetchy construction	$ 64,666,894.11	.....	$ 64,666,894.11	.....
Hetch Hetchy power	25,831,793.58	.....	25,831,793.58	.....
Water Department	45,236,168.13	49,093.90	45,187,074.23	.....
Municipal Railway	9,891,355.90	.....	9,891,355.90	.....
Auditorium	2,252,915.48	.....	2,252,915.48	.....
Airport	688,692.00	.....	688,692.00	.....
	$148,567,819.20	$ 49,093.90	$148,518,725.30	.....
General City and County	180,218,202.49	246,436.02	179,585,352.06	$ 386,414.41
	$328,786,021.69	$ 295,529.92	$328,104,077.36	$ 386,414.41

PROPRIETARY BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932 (Continued)

	Total	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Deferred charges:				
Materials and supplies	$ 678,642.67	$ 678,642.67	.....	.....
Police Relief and Pension Fund contributions	665,937.63	665,937.63	.....	.....
Tax judgments	371,971.26	371,971.26	.....	.....
Voting machines	398,392.44	398,392.44	.....	.....
Other	677,748.74	388,148.64	$ 289,600.10	.....
	$ 2,792,692.74	$ 2,503,092.64	$ 289,600.10	.....
Interfund accounts	.....	$ 1,417,615.14	$ 1,702,260.32	$ 670,130.84
	$370,716,151.00	$ 19,382,903.73	$340,527,099.24	$ 14,596,154.33

PROPRIETARY BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932 (Continued)

LIABILITIES

	Total	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Accounts payable:				
Warrants outstanding	$ 2,801,028.98	$ 2,034,110.24	$ 609,180.79	$ 157,737.95
Accrued bond interest	3,361,006.59	3,361,006.59	.....	.....
Other	1,296,318.85	247,230.79	1,049,088.06	.....
	$ 7,458,354.42	$ 5,642,347.62	$ 1,658,268.85	$ 157,737.95
Bonded indebtedness, details annexed:				
General City and County	$ 49,198,300.00	$ 1,082,100.00	$ 48,116,200.00	.....
Public service enterprises	112,707,900.00	2,102,900.00	110,605,000.00	.....
	$161,906,200.00	$ 3,185,000.00	$158,721,200.00	.....
Liability for special and trust funds:				
Public trusts:				
Employees' retirement fund	$ 12,189,202.89	.....	.....	$ 12,189,202.89
Other	860,666.41	.....	.....	860,666.41
Private trusts	245,819.08	.....	.....	245,819.08
Assessment and redemption funds	313,830.07	.....	.....	313,830.07
Other	828,897.93	.....	.....	828,897.93
	$ 14,438,416.38	.....	.....	$ 14,438,416.38
Other liabilities:				
Voting machines purchase contract	$ 398,392.44	$ 398,392.44		
Judgments for taxes illegally assessed	371,971.26	371,971.26		
	$ 770,363.70	$ 770,363.70		

PROPRIETARY BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932 (Continued)

	Total	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Reserves:				
Depreciation and amortization, public service enterprises....	$ 6,051,970.74	.....	$ 6,051,970.74	
Work in progress	295,529.92	$ 295,529.92	.....	
Other	121,555.94	121,555.94	.....	
	$ 6,469,056.60	$ 417,085.86	$ 6,051,970.74	
Deferred credits:				
Taxes collected for 1932-33.....	$ 1,764,079.87	$ 1,764,079.87	.....	
Other	81,435.17	81,430.17	$ 5.00	
	$ 1,845,515.04	$ 1,845,510.04	$ 5.00	
Interfund accounts	.....	$ 3,598,639.76	$ 191,366.54	
Surplus, details annexed	$177,828,244.86	$ 3,923,956.75	$173,904,288.11	
	$370,716,151.00	$ 19,382,903.73	$340,527,099.24	$ 14,596,154.33

REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CURRENT PROPRIETARY SURPLUS

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

Current proprietary surplus, June 30, 1931, as per annual report of the Auditor		$498,635.28
Add:		
Revenues, details annexed	$54,785,810.98	
Unamortized bond premiums as at June 30, 1931, which under the procedure heretofore in effect would have been amortized over the life of the bonds, now written off to surplus	2,354,522.22	
Reserve for additions and betterments on the Controller's books, carried as surplus on the books of the Water Department and so treated in this report	1,604,760.00	
Accrued revenues not recorded at June 30, 1931:		
State subventions $438,916.17		
Penalties and interest on delinquent taxes 206,764.83	645,681.00	
Reserve for insurance comparison as at June 30, 1931, on the Controller's books, carried as surplus on the books of the Municipal Railway and so treated in this report	93,017.77	
Correction of bond redemption reserve	445,833.32	
Contribution for 1930-31 by Hetch Hetchy water to 1928 Bond Interest Fund received in 1931-32	250,000.00	
Power account receivable from Hetch Hetchy construction, not recorded	417,041.20	
Prior year revenues, etc.	99,666.46	
Correction of entries transferring Water Department acquisition expense from current to capital ledger	112,365.89	60,808,698.84
		$61,307,334.12

REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CURRENT PROPRIETARY SURPLUS
(Continued)

Deduct:		
Expenditures, details annexed	$55,803,461.57	
Assumption of deficit in Police and Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund	278,248.11	
Water Department acquisition expense written off	917,186.40	
Reserve for delinquent taxes	100,000.00	
Deferred charges transferred to capital ledger:		
Railroad Commission valuation	220,883.88	
Properties abandoned	55,779.90	
Preliminary construction charges	7,817.51	57,383,377.37
Current proprietary surplus, June 30, 1932		$3,923,956.75
Composition of balance:		
Appropriated surplus		$2,677,677.96
Unappropriated surplus:		
Unappropriated balances of funds	$1,163,123.26	
Unappropriated proprietary resources	83,155.53	1,246,278.79
		$3,923,956.75

CAPITAL SURPLUS

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

Balance, June 30, 1931, as per annual report of the auditor			$157,885,721.91
Add:			
Bond maturities transferred to current ledger:			
As at June 30, 1931		$2,963,000.00	
For the current year		4,426,100.00	
		$7,389,100.00	
Capital additions through revenue		4,635,381.85	
Original amortization contribution, Water Department, heretofore shown as a reserve		4,152,652.24	
Capitalized in Hetch Hetchy construction:			
Bond interest	$2,118,204.16		
Bond discount and expense	897,428.06		
	$3,015,632.22		
Less, credits for:			
Bond premium	$1,452,044.62		
Interest earned	397,240.14		
Aqueduct and pipeline rental	300,000.00	2,149,284.76	
Net amount capitalized		$866,347.46	
Deferred charges transferred from current ledger		284,481.29	
Interest earned, etc.		52,396.00	17,380,358.84
			$175,266,080.75

CAPITAL SURPLUS (Continued)

Deduct:		
Depreciation:		
Municipal Railway	285,893.02	
Hetch Hetchy power	175,000.00	
Water Department	60,000.00	
	$520,893.02	
Liability of Hetch Hetchy construction to Hetch Hetchy power, unrecorded	417,041.20	
Correction of entries transferring Water Department acquisition expense from current to capital ledger	112,365.89	
Construction materials transferred from 1910 Hetch Hetchy to 1925-28 Hetch Hetchy, not recorded on books of former	61,492.53	
Contribution for 1930-31 by Hetch Hetchy Water to 1928 Bond Interest Fund received in 1931-32	250,000.00	1,361,792.64
Balance, June 30, 1932		$173,904,288.11

SUMMARY OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

REVENUES

Property taxes:		
Real and personal property	$32,661,824.94	
Costs, penalties and interest	184,589.10	
State refunds	109,075.16	$32,955,489.20
Other taxes:		
Business and vehicle licenses	$857,970.18	
Building permits, etc.	56,839.00	
Franchise taxes	321,912.32	1,236,721.50
Total taxes and licenses		$34,192,210.70
State subventions:		
Common schools	$1,408,569.27	
High schools	537,031.72	
Good roads	1,062,119.17	
Other	583,073.05	3,590,793.21
Interest earned on bank deposits		236,558.86
Rents, general city property		11,378.11
Court fines		111,930.52
Departmental revenues, details annexed		1,251,999.37
Public service enterprises*		15,390,940.21
		$54,785,810.98

SUMMARY OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
(Continued)

EXPENDITURES

	General City and County	*Public Service Enterprises*	*Total*
Operating expenses, details annexed	$33,068,175.75	$12,271,566.47*	$45,339,742.22
Bond maturities, including those of July 1, 1932	1,975,100.00	2,451,000.00	4,426,100.00
Additions to property from revenues.....	2,643,521.51	1,275,893.68	3,919,415.19
Interest on Hetch Hetchy construction bonds		2,118,204.16	2,118,204.16
	$37,686,797.26	$18,116,664.31	$55,803,461.57

*A summary of revenues and expenses of public service enterprises is shown on page 586.

DEPARTMENTAL REVENUES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932.

General government:		
Municipal courts	$105,659.75	
County Clerk	95,113.10	
Sheriff	53,010.54	
Coroner	1,433.64	
Registrar	2,438.00	
City Engineer	11,311.13	
Treasurer	11,337.64	
Supervisors	8,025.10	
Department of Public Works	30,547.39	
Other	368.12	$319,244.41
Protection to life and property:		
Recorder	$112,104.90	
Department of Electricity	58,070.96	
Public Administrator	4,656.22	
Public Pound	3,615.00	
Fire Department	2,779.82	
Police Department	615.08	181,841.98
Recreation:		
Golf links	$171,433.24	
Children's quarters	58,818.33	
Fleishhacker pool	87,283.34	
Beach chalet	15,068.60	
Recreation Commission	29,631.91	
Other	52,431.58	414,667.00
Charities, hospitals and corrections:		
Juvenile Detention Home	$47,692.85	
Board of U. S. Prisoners, Sheriff	21,712.40	
San Francisco Hospital	5,998.14	
Relief Home	7,119.50	82,522.89

DEPARTMENTAL REVENUES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
(Continued)

Education:		
Schools	$110,458.91	
Library	24,040.68	$134,499.59
Other:		
Central office, Board of Health	$96,837.03	
Highways, Department of Public Works	15,609.83	
General and miscellaneous	6,776.64	119,223.50
		$1,251,999.37

EXPENSES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932.

DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES

General Government:	
Supervisors	$81,345.75
Clerk of Supervisors	72,042.10
Mayor	42,940.34
Controller	128,781.90
Treasurer	51,388.77
Assessor	295,838.78
Tax Collector	148,562.93
Other financial	36,803.54
City Attorney	75,932.43
District Attorney	107,784.59
Public Defender	27,700.81
Other general executive:	
Department of Public Works	151,117.98
City Engineer	201,912.72
Bureau of Architecture	14,038.28
Civil Service Commission	51,670.17
City Planning Commission	13,323.81
Miscellaneous	10,063.81
Central Permit Bureau and Permit Appeals	4,899.88
Finance Committee	2,489.71
Chief Administrator	6,117.22
Director of Finance and Records	2,500.00
Judiciary:	
Municipal courts	228,837.94
Superior courts	233,676.65
County Clerk	172,374.88
Law Library	14,544.06
Grand Jury	35,914.16
Coroner	51,850.79
Sheriff	138,806.03
Elections	335,493.11
Care and maintenance of buildings	334,922.40

EXPENSES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
(Continued)

Telephone exchange	$ 7,740.00	
Rent of leased buildings	13,449.00	
Real Estate Department	5,663.52	$3,100,528.06
Protection of life and property:		
Police Department	$3,549,454.85	
Fire Department	3,286,317.27	
Recorder	109,363.44	
Inspection service	218,450.86	
Department of Electricity	181,973.16	
Public Pound	18,000.00	
Public Administrator	23,196.59	7,386,756.17
Recreation:		
Parks:		
General administration	$34,205.68	
Golden Gate Park	495,417.97	
Other parks and squares, operation and maintenance	129,699.16	
Museums, art gallery, etc.	190,943.06	
General recreation	280,234.79	
	$1,130,500.66	
Playgrounds	$401,694.44	
Music, entertainments and celebrations	53,054.12	
	$454,748.56	1,585,249.22

EXPENSES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
(Continued)

Charities, hospitals and corrections:		
General administration	$9,210.65	
Outdoor poor relief	56,611.54	
Poor in institutions (Relief Home)	467,347.45	
Care of children	897,639.51	
Hospitals	1,250,240.62	
Insane in hospitals	180,816.08	
Relief of needy, aged and blind	396,066.63	
Institutions for adults (county jails)	217,936.93	
Institutions for minors (Juv. Det. Home)	27,777.07	
Adult Probation Board	41,897.15	
Juvenile Court	57,388.47	
Miscellaneous	3,556.75	
Relief of unemployed	649,099.47	$4,255,588.32
Education:		
Schools:		
General administration	$256,321.46	
Instruction	7,351,460.08	
Operation and maintenance	1,114,291.03	
Other	631,746.14	
	$9,353,818.71	
Libraries:		
Administration	$14,700.00	
Operation	282,857.72	
Maintenance	7,739.99	
	$305,297.71	9,659,116.42

EXPENSES, GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
(Continued)

Conservation of Health:		
General administration	$57,492.19	
Statistics	14,355.96	
Prevention and treatment of communicable diseases	194,680.61	
Conservation of child life	204,049.40	
Food regulation and inspection	53,942.99	$524,521.15
Sanitation:		
Sewers and sewage disposal	$271,525.01	
Street cleaning	522,222.62	
Removal of dead animals	5,500.00	
Inspection service	11,663.60	810,911.23
Highways:		
General administration	$33,018.32	
Care and maintenance of highways and highway structures	586,943.36	
Other care of streets, roads and alleys	191.05	
Street lighting	723,939.03	1,344,091.76
Total departmental expenses		$28,666,762.33

GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES

Bond interest	$2,322,499.29	
Pensions and gratuities	1,349,091.09	
Judgments and losses	268,413.58	
Publicity and advertising	230,270.80	
Bureau of supplies	92,765.16	
Administration of public trusts	26,826.12	
Other expenses	111,547.38	4,401,413.42
Total expenses, general City and County		$33,068,175.75

REVENUES AND EXPENSES, PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

	Total	*Water Department*	*Hetch Hetchy Power*	*Municipal Railway*	*Auditorium*	*Airport*	*Public Utilities Commission*
Revenues	$15,417,705.69	$6,645,956.74	$5,481,854.48	$3,208,342.14	$68,588.55	$12,963.78	.....
Expenses	13,815,542.71	4,740,291.40	5,163,638.37	3,755,157.62	95,471.14	53,843.27	$7,140.91
Net revenues or *expenses* before audit eliminations	$1,602,162.98	$1,905,665.34	$318,216.11	*$546,815.48*	*$26,882.59*	*$40,879.49*	*$7,140.91*
Audit eliminations:							
Depreciation	$520,893.02	$ 60,000.00	$175,000.00	$285,893.02	.....	.....	.....
Comparison charges	619,397.45	269,505.99	63,699.24	286,192.22	.....	.....	.....
Aqueduct and pipeline rental	300,000.00	300,000.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond discount and expense	103,685.77	45,859.32	57,826.45	.....	.....	.....	.....
	$1,543,976.24	$675,365.31	$296,525.69	$572,085.24	.....	.....	.....
Less, interdepartmental interest earned	26,765.48	.....	26,765.48	.....	.....	.....	.....
	$1,517,210.76	$675,365.31	$269,760.21	$572,085.24	.....	.....	.....
Net revenues or *expenses* as adjusted	$3,119,373.74	$2,581,030.65	$587,976.32	$ 25,269.76	*$26,882.59*	*$40,879.49*	*$7,140.91*

REVENUES AND EXPENSES, PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES (Continued)

	Revenues	*Expenses*
Reconciliation of revenues and expenses:		
As above stated	$15,417,705.69	$13,815,542.71
Less, eliminations	26,765.48	1,543,976.24
Revenues and expenses as per preceding summary on page 6	$15,390,940.21	$12,271,566.47

Note: Bond maturities provided from current revenues were as follows:

Water Department	$1,000,000.00
Hetch Hetchy construction	1,250,000.00
Municipal Railway	201,000.00
	$2,451,000.00

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY

	Authorized	*Annual Redemption*	*Serial Maturity*	*Outstanding June 30, 1931*	*Sold*	*Redeemed*	*Outstanding June 30, 1932*
Issue of June 30, 1904, 3½ per cent:							
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension	$ 330,000	$ 8,200	1905-44	$ 114,800	.....	$ 8,200	$ 106,600
Library	1,647,000	41,100	1905-44	519,400	$ 56,000	41,100	534,300
Mission Park	293,000	7,300	1905-44	102,200	.....	7,300	94,900
Playgrounds	741,000	18,500	1905-44	259,000	.....	18,500	240,500
Issue of July 1, 1908, 5 per cent:							
Fire protection	5,200,000	130,000	1916-55	3,250,000	.....	130,000	3,120,000
Hall of Justice	1,000,000	50,000	1912-31	50,000	.....	50,000	.....
Hospital	2,000,000	100,000	1913-32	200,000	.....	100,000	100,000
School	5,000,000	200,000	1914-38	1,600,000	.....	200,000	1,400,000
Sewer	4,000,000	100,000	1915-54	2,400,000	.....	100,000	2,300,000
Miscellaneous, 4½ per cent:							
Polytechnic High School..Jan. 1, 1910	600,000	25,000	1914-37	150,000	.....	25,000	125,000
Hospital, jail .....Apr. 1, 1913	1,700,000	100,000	1916-32	100,000	.....	100,000	.....
School .....Mar. 1, 1918	3,500,000	175,000	1923-42	1,925,000	.....	174,000	1,751,000
Memorial Halls .....July 1, 1927	4,000,000	200,000	1932-51	4,000,000	.....	.....	4,000,000
Bernal Cut .....July 1, 1927	1,400,000	70,000	1932-51	1,400,000	.....	.....	1,400,000
Boulevard .....Nov. 1, 1927	9,380,000	469,000	1932-51	8,036,000	640,000	.....	8,676,000
Sewer .....Jan. 1, 1929	2,200,000	100,000	1934-55	1,520,000	84,000	.....	1,604,000
Hospital .....Jan. 1, 1929	3,500,000	175,000	1934-53	1,725,000	655,000	.....	2,380,000
County Jail .....Jan. 1, 1931	850,000	50,000	1936-50	.....	60,000	.....	60,000
Playgrounds .....Feb. 1, 1931	200,000	8,000	1936-60	200,000	.....	.....	200,000
Boulevards and roads....Feb. 1, 1931	900,000	36,000	1936-60	900,000	.....	.....	900,000
Public parks and squares.Feb. 1, 1931	1,400,000	56,000	1936-60	1,000,000	.....	.....	1,000,000

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS (Continued)

	Authorized	*Annual Redemption*	*Serial Maturity*	*Outstanding June 30, 1931*	*Sold*	*Redeemed*	*Outstanding June 30, 1932*
Miscellaneous, 5 per cent:							
Exposition . . . . . May 1, 1912	5,000,000	200,000	1915-39	1,601,000	. . . . .	195,000	1,406,000
City Hall and Civic Center . . . . . July 1, 1912	8,800,000	200,000	1917-60	6,000,000	. . . . .	200,000	5,800,000
School . . . . . Mar. 1, 1923	12,000,000	300,000	1928-67	10,800,000	. . . . .	300,000	10,500,000
Relief Home . . . . . Mar. 1, 1923	2,000,000	100,000	1928-47	1,600,000	. . . . .	100,000	1,500,000
Special and trust funds:							
Sunset Tunnel, 7 per cent				128,000	. . . . .	128,000	. . . . .
Total general City and County bonded indebtedness				$ 49,580,400	$ 1,495,000	$ 1,877,100	$ 49,198,300
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES							
Details on schedule annexed				106,109,900	9,053,000	2,455,000	112,707,900
				$155,690,300	$ 10,548,000	$ 4,332,100	$161,906,200

Note: Bonded indebtedness subject to the Charter limit of 12 per cent amounts to $50,197,200, as per details shown in supplementary report.

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

	Authorized	*Annual Redemption*	*Serial Maturity*	*Outstanding June 30, 1931*	*Sold*	*Redeemed*	*Outstanding June 30, 1932*
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project:							
July 1, 1910, 4½ per cent	$45,000,000	$1,000,000	1920-64	$ 34,000,000	.....	$ 1,000,000	$ 33,000,000
January 1, 1925, 5 per cent	10,000,000	250,000	1930-69	9,500,000	.....	250,000	9,250,000
July 1, 1928, 4½ per cent	24,000,000	600,000	1938-77	20,000,000	$ 3,576,000	.....	23,576,000
June 1, 1932, 5 per cent	6,500,000	169,000	1964-71	.....	1,152,000	.....	1,152,000
June 1, 1932, 5¾ per cent		169,000	1939-64	.....	4,325,000	.....	4,325,000
June 1, 1932, unsold		31,000	1939-71	.....	.....	.....	.....
				$ 63,500,000	$ 9,053,000	$ 1,250,000	$ 71,303,000

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES
(Continued)

	Authorized	*Annual Redemption*	*Serial Maturity*	*Outstanding June 30, 1931*	*Sold*	*Redeemed*	*Outstanding June 30, 1932*
Water Department:							
Spring Valley Water, July 1, 1928, 4½ per cent	$41,000,000	$1,000,000	1930-70	$ 40,000,000	.....	$ 1,000,000	$ 39,000,000
Municipal Railway:							
Geary Street Railway, July 1, 1910	$ 1,900,000	$ 95,000	1915-34	$ 382,000	.....	$ 96,000	$ 286,000
Market Street Railway, July 1, 1910	120,000	6,000	1915-34	23,000	.....	5,000	18,000
Municipal Railway, December 1, 1913	3,500,000	100,000	1918-52	2,204,900	.....	104,000	2,100,900
				$ 2,609,900	.....	$ 205,000	$ 2,404,900
				$106,109,900	$ 9,053,000	$ 2,455,000	$112,707,900

SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

	Balances June 30, 1931	*Net Additions*	*Balances June 30,1932*
General City and County:			
City Hall	$7,409,077.43	$124,359.27	$7,533,436.70
Civic Center, other improvements	2,162,229.83	2,354.32	2,164,584.15
Hall of Justice	1,275,708.02	1,713.16	1,277,421.18
Fire Department	9,793,530.74	59,463.20	9,852,993.94
Police Department	1,800,315.26	44,159.24	1,844,474.50
Corporation Yard	19,260.00	.....	19,260.00
Department of Electricity	788,242.44	24,025.99	812,268.43
Health Farm	378,326.61	907.00	379,233.61
Sanitation	17,979,196.32	352,150.00	18,331,346.32
Boulevards and streets	51,463,606.42	1,505,345.17	52,968,951.59
Charities and corrections	8,720,633.83	1,391,285.61	10,111,919.44
Schools	38,321,443.72	1,038,697.07	39,360,140.79
Library	3,174,074.58	163,732.84	3,337,807.42
Parks and Squares	21,087,681.25	13,832.10	21,101,513.35
Aquatic Park	645,532.39	110,717.38	756,249.77
Kezar Stadium	779,294.61	.....	779,294.61
Playgrounds	3,399,875.48	134,147.20	3,534,022.68
Municipal Golf Courses	351,857.93	12,496.25	364,354.18
War Memorial group	609,299.54	2,629,309.96	3,238,609.50
Board of Public Works, miscellaneous	257,324.79	108.51	257,433.30
Central Warehouse	94,817.66	5,517.31	100,334.97
De Young Museum	480,366.87	12,032.49	492,399.36
Swimming Pool and Bath House	412,977.10	.....	412,977.10
Palace of Fine Arts	283,638.28	88,506.37	372,144.65
Yacht Harbor	200,748.21	26,805.13	227,553.34
Miscellaneous	299,693.39	287,784.22	587,477.61
Total, general City and County	$172,188,752.70	$8,029,449.79	$180,218,202.49

SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES

(Continued)

	Balances June 30, 1931	Net Additions	Balances June 30, 1932
Public service enterprises:			
Hetch Hetchy water construction	53,263,873.64	11,403,020.47	64,666,894.11
Municipal Railway	9,854,726.40	36,629.50	9,891,355.90
Hetch Hetchy power	25,750,404.63	81,388.95	25,831,793.58
Water Department	44,221,803.19	1,014,364.94	45,236,168.13
Exposition Auditorium	2,252,915.48	.....	2,252,915.48
Municipal Airport	560,063.48	128,628.52	688,692.00
Total public service enterprises	$135,903,786.82	$12,664,032.38	$148,567,819.20
Grand total	$308,092,539.52	$20,693,482.17	$328,786,021.69

SUMMARY OF FUNDING BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 1932

RESOURCES	*Total*	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Cash on deposit with Treasurer	$23,937,115.12	$12,032,282.58	$10,220,402.31	$1,684,430.23
Less, warrants outstanding	2,801,028.98	2,034,110.24	609,180.79	157,737.95
Balance available	21,136,086.14	9,998,172.34	9,611,221.52	1,526,692.28
Imprest funds and cash in transit	275,603.98	135,603.98	140,000.00	.....
Investment securities	11,459,768.04	.....	.....	11,459,768.04
Taxes and assessments receivable, less allowance of $100,000.00 for delinquent taxes	1,500,154.53	1,253,315.84	.....	246,838.69
Revenues accrued	1,226,220.96	1,077,648.84	.....	148,572.12
Accounts receivable	735,314.79	735,314.79	.....	.....
Bonds authorized, not yet sold, par value	5,057,000.00	.....	5,057,000.00	.....
Interfund items:				
Accounts, net	.....	*1,426,335.31*	1,422,142.10	4,193.21
Unallocated charges	178,801.65	178,801.65	.....	.....
	$41,568,950.09	$11,952,522.13	$16,230,363.62	$13,386.064.34

SUMMARY OF FUNDING BALANCE SHEETS

(Continued)

ALLOCATION	*Total*	*Current Accounts*	*Capital Accounts*	*Special and Trust Accounts*
Encumbrances:				
Contracts, purchase orders, and work orders	$4,609,218.34	$1,049,129.94	$3,535,473.26	$24,615.14
Bond interest and redemption	6,169,371.00	6,169,371.00	.....	.....
	$10,778,589.34	$7,218,500.94	$3,535,473.26	$24,615.14
Reserves:				
Taxes collected in advance	1,764,079.87	1,764,079.87	.....	.....
Imprest funds and cash in transit	135,603.98	135,603.98	.....	.....
Total encumbrances and reserves	$12,678,273.19	$9,118,184.79	$3,535,473.26	$24,615.14
Unencumbered balances of appropriations	4,621,641.16	1,671,214.08	2,950,427.08	.....
Unappropriated balances of funds	24,269,035.74	1,163,123.26	9,744,463.28	13,361,449.20
	$41,568,950.09	$11,952,522.13	$16,230,363.62	$13,386.064.34

CURRENT FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

RESOURCES

	Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	*Other Cash*	*Taxes and Assessments*	*Revenues Accrued and Accounts Receivable*	*Interfund Charges*	*Interfund Accounts, Net*	*Totals*
General City and County:							
General Fund, 1931-32	$ 13,345.53	$ 12,000.00	$330,155.46	$651,196.75	$171,556.23	*$664,717.09*	$ 513,536.88
General Fund, 1930-31	.....	.....	345,266.31	22,500.00	.....	*345,140.23*	22,626.08
Bond Interest and Redemption	6,283,390.06	.....	225,240.97	.....	.....	*75,704.36*	6,432,926.67
Common School	306,888.36	250.00	272,456.82	.....	.....	*90,497.46*	489,097.72
Special School Tax	296,565.01	.....	47,762.34	.....	.....	*23,493.69*	320,833.66
Park	79,450.11	3,250.00	31,865.56	.....	.....	*12,458.72*	102,106.95
Library	50,990.34	.....	13,857.36	.....	.....	*4,869.00*	59,978.70
Recreation	41,716.51	6,000.00	19,391.10	.....	.....	*6,158.94*	60,948.67
De Young Museum	19,019.39	1,000.00	3,222.83	.....	.....	*983.27*	22,258.95
California Palace of Legion of Honor	30,535.97	1,000.00	3,222.83	.....	.....	*983.27*	33,775.53
County Roads	149,602.29	.....	.....	438,916.17	.....	*89,969.43*	498,549.03
Installation Department of Electricity	2,232.57	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,232.57
Firemen's Relief and Pension	*175,011.84*	.....	8,898.13	.....	.....	*6,274.17*	*172,387.88*
Police Relief and Pension	*103,235.58*	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*103,235.58*
Employees' Retirement	.....	.....	35,829.15	.....	.....	*9,220.28*	26,608.87
Publicity and Advertising	1,057.38	.....	8,072.65	.....	.....	757.37	9,887.40
Blind Pensions	24,402.19	.....	.....	.....	.....	*24,402.19*	.....
Needy Aged	*3,921.95*	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,921.95	.....
Tax Judgments	*2,521.05*	.....	9,385.87	.....	.....	3,586.12	10,450.94
Golden Gate Bridge & Highway District	.....	.....	2,906.12	.....	.....	*2,642.38*	263.74
Special Elections	50,000.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	50,000.00
Assessor, Personal Property Taxes	1,762,133.45	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,762,133.45
Tax Collector, Special	1,946.42	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,946.42
Redemption of Property	95,285.64	.....	*95,285.64*	.....	.....	.....	.....
Undistributed Bank Interest	65,172.54	.....	.....	.....	.....	*65,172.54*	.....
Delinquent Revenue	8,932.02	.....	*8,932.02*	.....	.....	.....	.....
	$8,997,975.36	$ 23,500.00	$1,253,315.84	$1,112,612.92	$171,556.23	*$1,414,421.58*	$10,144,538.77

CURRENT FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

(Continued)

RESOURCES (Continued)

	Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	*Other Cash*	*Taxes and Assessments*	*Revenues Accrued and Accounts Receivable*	*Interfund Charges*	*Interfund Accounts, Net*	*Totals*
Public service enterprises:							
Municipal Railway	$ 428,708.64	$ 4,000.00	.....	$ 9,086.29	.....	$ 2,545.27	$444,340.20
Water Revenue	95,455.80	66,027.89	.....	501,157.31	.....	.....	662,641.00
Water Extension Reserve	199,037.36	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	199,037.36
Water Depreciation Reserve	140,000.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	140,000.00
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	37,871.92	41,876.09	.....	190,107.11	.....	*14,584.00*	255,271.12
Hetch Hetchy Power Depreciation	254,965.76	.....	.....	.....	.....	14,584.00	269,549.76
Auditorium	*160,744.92*	.....	.....	.....	.....	*7,551.95*	*168,296.87*
Airport	6,907.05	200.00	.....	.....	.....	*6,907.05*	200.00
Public Utilities Commission	*2,004.63*	.....	.....	.....	$ 7,245.42	.....	5,240.79
	$1,000,196.98	$112,103.98	.....	$ 700,350.71	$ 7,245.42	*$ 11,913.73*	$ 1,807,983.36
	$9,998,172.34	$135,603.98	$1,253,315.84	$1,812,963.63	$178,801.65	*$1,426,335.31*	$11,952,522.13

Current Fund Balance Sheet, June 30, 1932, continued on next page

CURRENT FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

(Continued from previous page)

ALLOCATION

General City and County:	*Encumbrances and Reserves*	*Unencumbered Balances of Appropriations*	*Unappropriated Balances of Funds*
General Fund, 1931-2	$446,177.24	$ 45,603.29	$ 21,756.35
General Fund, 1930-1	22,626.08	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	6,169,371.00	145,394.07	118,161.60
Common School	83,899.79	111,878.89	293,319.04
Special School Tax	128,003.82	138,677.18	54,152.66
Park	78,724.32	.....	23,382.63
Library	28,098.75	18,826.48	13,053.47
Recreation	33,264.39	7,876.51	19,807.77
De Young Museum	2,701.89	15,929.66	3,627.40
California Palace of Legion of Honor	3,747.47	26,150.66	3,877.40
County Roads	36,989.93	128,585.13	332,973.97
Installation Department of Electricity	.....	2,232.57	.....
Firemen's Relief and Pension	.....	.....	*172,387.88*
Police Relief and Pension	.....	.....	*103,235.58*
Employees' Retirement	.....	.....	26,608.87
Publicity and Advertising	472.50	.....	9,414.90
Blind Pensions	.....	.....	.....
Needy Aged	.....	.....	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	.....	10,450.94
Golden Gate Bridge & Highway District	.....	.....	263.74
Special Elections	.....	50,000.00	.....
Assessor, Personal Property Taxes	1,762,133.45	.....	.....
Tax Collector, Special	1,946.42	.....	.....
Redemption of Property	.....	.....	.....
Undistributed Bank Interest	.....	.....	.....
Delinquent Revenue	.....	.....	.....
	$8,798,157.05	$691,154.44	$655,227.28

CURRENT FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932
(Continued)

ALLOCATION (Continued)

	Encumbrances and Reserves	*Unencumbered Balances of Appropriations*	*Unappropriated Balances of Funds*
Public service enterprises:			
Municipal Railway	$105,788.61	$326,920.03	$ 11,631.56
Water Revenue	132,481.80	29,001.89	501,157.31
Water Extension Reserve	30,037.85	168,999.51	.....
Water Depreciation Reserve	.....	140,000.00	.....
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	50,776.61	209,387.40	*4,892.89*
Hetch Hetchy Power Depreciation	.....	269,549.76	.....
Auditorium	.....	*168,296.87*	.....
Airport	200.00	.....	.....
Public Utilities Commission	742.87	4,497.92	.....
	$320,027.74	$980,059.64	$507,895.98
	$9,118,184.79	$1,671,214.08	$1,163,123.26

CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

FUND	Rate of Interest*	RESOURCES: Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	RESOURCES: Imprest Fund Cash	RESOURCES: Interfund Accounts	RESOURCES: Bonds Authorized But Not Sold—Par Values	RESOURCES: Total	ALLOCATION: Encumbrances	ALLOCATION: Unencumbered Balance of Appropriation	ALLOCATION: Unappropriated Balance of Funds
General City and County:									
1923 School	5%	$ 289.35	.....	.....	.....	$ 289.35	$ 160.00	$ 129.35	.....
1927 Boulevards	4½	659,158.99	.....	.....	$704,000	1,363,158.99	434,672.72	224,486.27	$704,000.00
1927 Bernal Cut	4½	88,075.33	.....	.....	.....	88,075.33	1,345.97	86,729.36	.....
1927 Memorial Halls	4½	1,826,121.37	.....	$7,806.28	.....	1,833,927.65	1,497,119.29	329,002.08	7,806.28
1929 Hospital	4½	354,374.71	.....	.....	1,120,000	1,474,374.71	323,006.40	65,967.31	1,085,401.00
1929 Sewers	4½	88,106.22	.....	.....	596,000	684,106.22	92,145.00	111,275.22	480,686.00
1931 Public Parks and Squares	4½	798.38	.....	.....	400,000	400,798.38	561.11	237.27	400,000.00
1931 Boulevards and Roads	4½	41,999.19	.....	.....	.....	41,999.19	37.50	41,961.69	.....
1931 County Jail	4½	60,000.00	.....	.....	790,000	850,000.00	.....	300,000.00	550,000.00
		$3,118,923.54	.....	$7,806.28	$3,610,000	$6,736,729.82	$2,349,047.99	$1,159,788.55	$3,227,893.28

CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932
(Continued)

FUND	Rate of Interest*	RESOURCES: Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	RESOURCES: Imprest Fund Cash	RESOURCES: Interfund Accounts	RESOURCES: Bonds Authorized But Not Sold—Par Values	RESOURCES: Total	ALLOCATION: Encumbrances	ALLOCATION: Unencumbered Balance of Appropriation	ALLOCATION: Unappropriated Balance of Funds
Public service enterprises:									
Hetch Hetchy:									
1928	4½	$2,414,148.77	$140,000	$ 1,084.97	$424,000	$2,977,063.80	$1,186,425.27	$1,790,638.53	.....
1932	5	1,152,000.00	.....	.....	.....	1,152,000.00	.....	.....	$1,152,000.00
1932	5¾	2,909,579.21	.....	1,415,420.79	.....	4,325,000.00	.....	.....	4,325,000.00
1932	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,023,000	1,023,000.00	.....	.....	1,023,000.00
Land Purchase Fund, Water Department	....	16,570.00	.....	.....	.....	16,570.00	.....	.....	16,570.00
		$6,492,297.98	$140,000	$1,414,335.82	$1,447,000	$ 9,493,633.80	$1,186,425.27	$1,790,638.53	$6,516,570.00
		$9,611,221.52	$140,000	$1,422,142.10	$5,057,000	$16,230,363.62	$3,535,473.26	$2,950,427.08	$9,744,463.28

*Rate of interest on bonds from which funds were derived.

SPECIAL AND TRUST FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

RESOURCES

	Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	*Investment Securities*	*Taxes and Assessments*	*Revenues Accrued*	*Interfund Accounts*	*Total*	*Encumbrances*	*Unappropriated Balances of Funds*
Public trusts:								
Employees' Retirement Fund	$48,513.35	$11,327,686.95	.....	$145,996.29	$1,084.97	$11,523,281.56	$ 684.91	$11,522,596.65
War Memorial	195,454.80	.....	.....	.....	1,121.81	196,576.61	23,930.23	172,646.38
Robinson Bequest, including interest	1,044.16	42,000.00	.....	1,260.00	.....	44,304.16	.....	44,304.16
Windel Bequest, including interest	122.35	16,946.95	.....	457.50	.....	17,526.80	.....	17,526.80
Olsen Bequest, including interest	.....	978.75	.....	22.50	.....	1,001.25	.....	1,001.25
Coit Bequest	82,256.30	36,000.00	.....	249.17	.....	118,505.47	.....	118,505.47
Marx Bequest	2,025.00	4,925.00	.....	75.00	.....	7,025.00	.....	7,025.00
Hertzein Bequest	819.05	5,000.00	.....	37.50	.....	5,856.55	.....	5,856.55
Sharp Bequest	1,648.81	26,230.39	.....	474.16	.....	28,353.36	.....	28,353.36
Phelan Bequest, Playground.	50,720.30	.....	.....	.....	315.99	51,036.29	.....	51,036.29
Municipal Employees' Contributions to Unemployed...	4,066.51	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,066.51	.....	4,066.51
	$386,670.63	$11,459,768.04	.....	$148,572.12	$2,522.77	$11,997,533.56	$24,615.14	$11,972,918.42
Private trusts:								
Police Court Bail	$ 6,864.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	$ 6,864.00	.....	$ 6,864.00
Street Improvement	5,186.85	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,186.85	.....	5,186.85
Special Permits	13,533.25	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,533.25	.....	13,533.25
Tearing Up Streets.....	11,169.93	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,169.93	.....	11,169.93
Excavation	13,873.85	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,873.85	.....	13,873.85
Municipal Court Bail.....	29,358.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	29,358.00	.....	29,358.00
Municipal Court Clerk's Special	3,705.80	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,705.80	.....	3,705.80
County Clerk's Special.....	71,602.86	.....	.....	.....	.....	71,602.86	.....	71,602.86
Duplicate Tax	19,780.71	.....	.....	.....	.....	19,780.71	.....	19,780.71
Absent Heirs	8,985.82	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,985.82	.....	8,985.82
Public Administrator	36,394.54	.....	.....	.....	.....	36,394.54	.....	36,394.54
Twin Peaks Tunnel Refund..	22,777.09	.....	.....	.....	.....	22,777.09	.....	22,777.09
Miscellaneous	2,586.38	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,586.38	.....	2,586.38

SPECIAL AND TRUST FUND BALANCE SHEET, JUNE 30, 1932

(Continued)

RESOURCES

	Cash With Treasurer Net of Warrants	*Investment Securities*	*Taxes and Assessments*	*Revenues Accrued*	*Interfund Accounts*	*Total*	*Encumbrances*	*Unappropriated Balances of Funds*
Assessment and Redemption funds:								
Sunset Tunnel, including interest	$48,444.31	.....	$246,829.95	.....	.....	$295,274.26	.....	$295,274.26
Other properties	18,547.07	.....	8.74	.....	.....	18,555.81	.....	18,555.81
	$66,991.38	.....	$246,838.69	.....	.....	$313,830.07	.....	$313,830.07
Other special and trust funds:								
Islais Creek Reclamation District:								
General Fund	$679,861.08	.....	.....	.....	.....	$679,861.08	.....	$679,861.08
Assessment	45,556.64	.....	.....	.....	.....	45,556.64	.....	45,556.64
Golden Gate Bridge & Highway District	1,048.74	.....	.....	.....	$1,670.44	2,719.18	.....	2,719.18
State of California	1,695.48	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,695.48	.....	1,695.48
Inheritance Tax	83,317.99	.....	.....	.....	.....	83,317.99	.....	83,317.99
School Teachers:								
Permanent Funds	15,594.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	15,594.00	.....	15,594.00
Library	35.19	.....	.....	.....	.....	35.19	.....	35.19
Institute	102.07	.....	.....	.....	.....	102.07	.....	102.07
	$827,211.19	.....	.....	.....	$1,670.44	$828,881.63	.....	$828,881.63
	$1,526,692.28	$11,459,768.04	$246,838.69	$148,572.12	$4,193.21	$13,386,064.34	$24,615.14	$13,361,449.20

CURRENT FUND APPROPRIATIONS

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

	Appropriations	*Expenditures*	*Encumbrances*	*Unencumbered Balances*
General Fund, 1931-32	$17,678,098.00	$17,198,317.47	$ 434,177.24	$ 45,603.29
General Fund, 1930-31	412,732.45	390,106.37	22,626.08	.....
Common School Fund	9,282,159.15	9,086,630.47	83,649.79	111,878.89
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	17,407,504.83	11,092,739.76	6,169,371.00	145,394.07
Special School Tax Fund	1,509,579.99	1,242,898.99	128,003.82	138,677.18
Park Fund	1,288,132.36	1,212,658.04	75,474.32	.....
Library Fund	459,130.91	412,205.68	28,098.75	18,826.48
Recreation Fund	515,755.50	480,614.60	27,264.39	7,876.51
De Young Museum Fund	109,696.44	92,064.89	1,701.89	15,929.66
California Palace of Legion of Honor Fund	98,817.49	69,919.36	2,747.47	26,150.66
County Roads Fund	1,422,341.40	1,256,766.34	36,989.93	128,585.13
Auditorium Fund, 1930-31	*168,296.87*	.....	.....	*168,296.87*
Auditorium Fund, 1931-32	57,779.25	57,779.25	.....	.....
Airport Fund	184,068.12	184,068.12	.....	.....
Installation Department of Electricity Fund	4,472.21	2,239.64	.....	2,232.57
Municipal Railway Fund	3,547,047.42	3,118,338.78	101,788.61	326,920.03
Water Revenue Fund	7,056,881.58	6,961,425.78	66,453.91	29,001.89
Water Extension Reserve Fund	1,389,101.46	1,190,064.10	30,037.85	168,999.51
Water Depreciation Reserve Fund	140,000.00	.....	.....	140,000.00
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	2,022,849.63	1,804,561.71	8,900.52	209,387.40
Hetch Hetchy Power Depreciation Fund	739,118.57	469,568.81	.....	269,549.76
Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund	304,257.70	304,257.70	.....	.....

CURRENT FUND APPROPRIATIONS (Continued)

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

	Appropriations	*Expenditures*	*Encumbrances*	*Unencumbered Balances*
Employees' Retirement Fund	$ 324,027.45	$ 324,027.45	.....	.....
Publicity and Advertising Fund	224,823.30	224,350.80	$ 472.50	.....
Blind Pensions Fund	68,009.85	68,009.85	.....	.....
Needy Aged Fund	327,428.95	327,428.95	.....	.....
Tax Judgments Fund	262,043.87	262,043.87	.....	.....
Special Elections Fund	50,000.00	.....	.....	$ 50,000.00
Public Utilities Commission Fund	78,513.47	73,272.68	742.87	4,497.92
Police Relief and Pension Fund	250,000.00	250,000.00	.....	.....
	$67,046,074.48	$58,156,359.46	$ 7,218,500.94	$ 1,671,214.08

CAPITAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932.

		Appropriation Numbers	*Appropriations*	*Expenditures*	*Encumbrances*	*Unencumbered Balances*
1904 Library	3½%		$ 51,100.00	$ 51,100.00	.....	.....
1923 School	5	300-B	20,103.66	19,814.31	$ 160.00	$ 129.35
1927 Boulevards	4½	171	1,624,810.94	965,651.95	434,672.72	224,486.27
1927 Bernal Cut	4½	172	103,573.40	15,498.07	1,345.97	86,729.36
1927 Memorial Halls	4½		4,102,503.49	2,276,382.12	1,497,119.29	329,002.08
1928 Hetch Hetchy	4½	283-290-B	13,133,388.98	10,156,325.18	1,186,425.27	1,790,638.53
1929 Hospital	4½	230	1,717,075.58	1,328,101.87	323,006.40	65,967.31
1929 Sewers	4½	169	524,999.74	321,579.52	92,145.00	111,275.22
1931 Public Parks and Squares	4½	67	118,717.70	117,919.32	561.11	237.27
1931 Boulevards and Roads	4½	170	120,239.42	78,240.23	37.50	41,961.69
1931 County Jail	4½		300,000.00	.....	.....	300,000.00
1931 Playgrounds	4½		4,289.69	4,289.69	.....	.....
			$21,820,802.60	$15,334,902.26	$ 3,535,473.26	$ 2,950,427.08

Supplementary Report Upon Examination of Accounts of Controller's Department

City and County of San Francisco

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932

April 3, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs:

Under date of March 29, 1933, we submitted a certified report upon our examination of the accounts of the Controller's department of the City and County of San Francisco as at June 30, 1932.

Supplementary thereto we present in the following paragraphs our comments regarding the more important items appearing in the schedules which accompanied that report and such other matters relating to our examination as we believe will be of interest to you.

Scope of Examination: The accounts of the public service enterprises were examined as at June 30, 1932, by other independent auditors, while those of the Treasurer's department were verified as of that date by the Controller's audit staff in accordance with section 66 of the new Charter.

Our work in connection with these units was restricted to a review of the reports and supporting working papers and a reconciliation of the findings presented therein with the related accounts on the books of the Controller.

With respect to the accounts other than the foregoing, we have verified the assets and liabilities as at June 30, 1932, except that in the case of the property accounts we have accepted the recorded values at June 30, 1931, without verification.

Further, in accordance with the terms of our engagement, we made a general review and test check of the recorded revenues and expenditures and the allocation thereof to funds.

In addition, we reviewed the procedure in effect in various other divisions of the Controller's department such as the payroll, tax redemption, inheritance tax, bail bonds, and social service divisions, and satisfied ourselves as to the adequacy of the internal check with respect to those functions.

The office of Controller was created by the new Charter, effective January 8, 1932, with greatly amplified responsibilities and directional functions, as compared with the former office of auditor. Considering the many problems of reorganization with which he has necessarily been confronted, and the comparatively short time since his appointment, the Controller, in our opinion, has made commendable progress in carrying out the provisions and intent of the new Charter. A comprehensive revision of the chart of accounts and accounting procedure is now in progress.

Necessary adjustments, as indicated in the Controller's report submitted under date of November 14, 1932, are being recorded on the Controller's books.

Revenues, Expenditures, and Current Proprietary Surplus: Following is a condensed summary for the year ended June 30, 1932, details of which will be found in the schedules accompanying our certified report. This summary has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of section 80 of the new Charter:

Current proprietary surplus, June 30, 1931, as per annual report of the Auditor.....	$498,635.28

Revenues:		
Taxes and licenses	$34,192,210.70	
State subventions	3,590,793.21	
Departmental revenues	1,251,999.37	
Public service enterprises	15,390,940.21	
Interest, rents, fines, etc	359,867.49	
Total revenues	$54,785,810.98	
Other additions to surplus	6,022,887.86	
		60,808,698.84
		$61,307,334.12
Expenditures:		
Operating expenses:		
General City and County	$33,068,175.75	
Public service enterprises	12,271,566.47	
	$45,339,742.22	
Bond maturities, including those of July 1, 1932	4,426,100.00	
Additions to property from revenues	3,919,415.19	
Interest on Hetch Hetchy construction bonds	2,118,204.16	
Total expenditures	$55,803,461.57	
Other deductions from surplus	1,579,915.80	
		57,383,377.37
Current proprietary surplus, June 30, 1932		$3,923,956.75

Of the foregoing surplus of $3,923,956.75 as at June 30, 1932, there had been appropriated $2,677,677.96, leaving an unappropriated balance of $1,246,278.79, as shown in the following summary:

Appropriated surplus:		
Encumbrances	$ 1,049,129.94	
Less, proprietary accounts payable included therein	178,270.04	
		$ 870,859.90
Unencumbered balances of appropriations		1,671,214.08
Reserve for cash other than Treasurer's cash		135,603.98
Total appropriated surplus		$2,677,677.96
Available current proprietary surplus, as shown on preceding page		3,923,956.75
Unappropriated current proprietary surplus as at June 30, 1932		$1,246,278.79

PROPRIETARY BALANCE SHEET COMMENTS.

The following paragraphs relate to various items appearing on the proprietary balance sheet which accompanied our certified report and further indicate the scope of our examination:

Cash: We reviewed the report and working papers covering the examination which the Controller's audit staff made of the Treasurer's department as at June 30, 1932, and satisfied ourselves that the work had been performed in a proper manner. The report indicates that the Treasurer has substantially complied with the legal requirements that, in placing funds with depositaries, he obtain in each case col-

lateral security having a market value of not less than ten per cent in excess of the amount of the deposits, and that interest be regularly collected at the rate of two per cent or more on the average daily balances on deposit.

Revolving funds in the custody of the various departments were confirmed by correspondence.

Accounts Receivable: A summary of the taxes and assessments receivable follows:

Delinquent taxes:		
For the fiscal years:		
1931-32	$ 718,830.52	
1930-31	237,659.76	
1929-30	105,666.31	
1928-29	47,709.09	
1927-28	21,373.19	
Prior	17,500.42	
	$ 1,148,739.29	
Penalties, costs, and interest	204,576.55	
	$ 1,353,315.84	
Less, allowance for losses	100,000.00	$1,253,315.84
Assessments:		
Sunset Tunnel	$ 246,829.95	
Twin Peaks Tunnel	8.74	246,838.69
		$ 1,500,154.53

The taxes and assessments were verified by reference to the supporting records. Included therein are unsecured personal property taxes, as follows:

Fiscal year 1930-31	$41,241.23
Fiscal year 1931-32	36,472.97
	$77,714.20

Revenues accrued consist of the following general City and County items:

State subventions:		
County roads	$438,916.17	
Orphans and half-orphans	74,919.29	
Widows' pensions	50,087.67	
Tubercular patients	32,129.91	
Needy blind	16,961.75	
Needy aged	85,608.34	
Fire boats	41,286.56	$739,909.69
Franchise revenues:		
Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co.	$195,475.67	
Market Street Railway	70,933.30	266,408.97
Interest accrued:		
On investment securities	$148,572.12	
On bank deposits	1,830.18	150,402.30
Other revenues accrued		2,000.00
		$1,158,720.96

The amounts receivable for State subventions are in agreement with copies of claims on file against the State and/or subsequent collections.

Franchise revenues were verified by reference to the supporting data on file and by correspondence with the franchise holders.

As pointed out by the Controller in his letter of January 6, 1933, addressed to the City Attorney, the franchise of the California Street Cable Railroad Company, which operates the California Street and Hyde street lines, expired February 17, 1929. The last payment received from this company covered franchise taxes for the calendar year 1928. The city has been negotiating for the purchase of the property and meanwhile the company has paid no franchise taxes.

Interest accrued on investment securities consisted principally of interest on bonds belonging to the Employees' Retirement Fund.

Other accounts receivable are herewith summarized:

General City and County:		
Due from municipal employees' contributions to Unemployed Fund		$34,112.31
Public Service Enterprises:		
Water Department:		
Consumers and agents	$493,150.46	
Sundry debtors	8,858.62	
		$502,009.08
Hetch Hetchy Power:		
Pacific Gas and Electric Company, agents	$188,672.89	
Sundry debtors	1,434.22	
		$190,107.11
Municipal Railway, sundry debtors		9,086.29
Hetch Hetchy construction, sundry debtors		4,955.50
		$740,270.29

The balance due from the municipal employees' contributions to Unemployed Fund represents advances by the City and County for relief of unemployed, the major portion of which has since been collected.

Investment Bonds: A summary follows:

	Par Values	Book Values
Employees' Retirement Fund:		
Government and municipal:		
San Francisco	$2,525,400.00	$2,582,989.05
Sacramento	416,000.00	459,148.32
Stockton	194,000.00	212,007.67
California	577,000.00	595,770.77
United States	424,000,00	419,886.87
Other	3,251,687.50	3,468,520.80
	$7,388,087.50	$7,738,323.48
Public Utilities	2,730,500.00	2,782,130.63
Railroads	819,100.00	807,232.84
Total Employees' Retirement Fund	$10,937,687.50	$11,327,686.95
Bequest Funds	130,000.00	132,081.09
	$11,067,687.50	$11,459,768.04

These bonds are kept in the joint custody of the Controller and the Treasurer. The aggregate of the par values was verified by the Controller's audit staff and we were informed that the maturities of the various issues would be verified at the next audit of the accounts of the Retirement System Board.

Since the foregoing bonds were purchased for investment purposes only, we have not attempted to determine their market values. None of the bonds were in default as to interest or principal at June 30, 1932.

Properties: A summary of the property accounts will be found on page 14 of our certified report.

We examined vouchers supporting a substantial amount of the recorded additions to the general City and County properties during the year and found that these additions represented proper capital charges.

Following the accepted practice in municipal accounting, no allowance has been made for depreciation of general City and County buildings and equipment. However, depreciation has been provided for on the properties of the public service enterprises.

We inquired regarding insurance on the properties and found that the Controller is now making a general survey of all departments with the intention of recommending a centralized insurance department. We therefore did not review the insurance coverage.

Deferred Charges: A summary of the materials and supplies follows:

General City and County:		
Schools	$100,720.34	
Fire Department	94,447.88	
Recreation Department	9,725.00	
Central warehouse	5,468.05	
		$210,361.27
Public Service Enterprises:		
Water Department	$242,871.91	
Municipal Railway	171,436.98	
Hetch Hetchy Power	53,972.51	
		468,281.40
		$678,642.67

The last physical inventory of school supplies was taken March 15, 1932, and the books were adjusted thereto.

The Fire Department last took a physical inventory on June 30, 1931, at which time the books were brought into agreement therewith. So far as we were able to determine, no recent physical inventory has been taken by the Recreation Department. The Central Warehouse supplies were inventoried as at April 30, 1932, and the values so determined were set up on the books, which previously thereto had contained no inventory account for these supplies. No inventory of the Central Warehouse supplies was taken as at June 30, 1932.

From a review of the controlling accounts covering the general City and County materials and supplies, it appeared to us that the receipts and issues had been properly recorded. As a check on the accuracy of the accounting, we recommend that physical inventories of all departments be taken periodically, or at least at the close of each fiscal year, and the books brought into agreement therewith. The Controller notified the Purchaser of Supplies that inventories for all departments were to be taken as at June 30, 1932.

The amounts of $665,937.63, $371,971.26 and $398,392.44, shown on the balance sheet which accompanied our certified report, as deferred charges for Police Relief and Pension Fund Contributions, Tax Judg-

ments, and Voting Machines, respectively, are offset by liabilities of equal amounts and will be charged to expense as the liabilities are paid.

Other deferred charges include the following:

General City and County:		
Interdepartmental:		
Service transfers		$178,801.65
Undistributed expenses		26,748.23
		$205,549.88
Miscellaneous		7,148.81
		$212,698.69
Public Service Enterprises:		
Water Department:		
Prepayment to East Bay Municipal Water District	$146,250.00	
Property abandoned	55,779.90	
Miscellaneous	9,178.15	
		211,208.05
Hetch Hetchy Power:		
Railroad Commission valuation	$220,883.88	
Miscellaneous	18,109.85	
		238,993.73
Municipal Railway:		
Prepaid rent	$5,169.56	
Accident reserve	9,678.71	
		14,848.27
		$677,748.74

The general City and County deferred charges were verified by reference to the Controller's records and supporting data.

Our examination of the interdepartmental service transfers developed that this item included completed jobs, practically all of an expense nature, amounting to some $145,000. Of that amount, approximately $70,000 had been taken up as at June 30, 1932, by the departments receiving the services, and warrants issued therefor, which were outstanding at that date. For the purposes of our report, we gave effect to a similar disposition of the balance of the completed jobs by setting up a reserve of $75,000.00 on the balance sheet with a corresponding charge to miscellaneous expenditures for the year.

Accounts Payable: We verified the outstanding warrants by reference to the warrant registers, which we found to be in agreement with the controlling account in the general ledger.

The accrued bond interest payable as at June 30, 1932, was verified by a review of the accruals and of the payments made for redemption of coupons maturing during the year ended that date.

A summary of the other accounts payable follows:

General City and County:	
Due Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District	$23,436.51

Public Service Enterprises:		
Hetch Hetchy Water:		
Estimated contract progress	$466,476.22	
Contract payments retained	159,508.41	
Purchase orders outstanding	130,322.46	
Other accounts payable	292,780.97	
		1,049,088.06
Water Department:		
Consumers' deposits	$22,896.06	
Consumers' advances for construction	22,628.18	
Other accounts payable	54,610.25	
		100,134.49
Municipal Railway, sundry creditors		96,744.25
Hetch Hetchy Power, sundry creditors		26,915.54
		$1,296,318.85

We verified the balance due Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District by correspondence with the auditor of that district.

Bonded Indebtedness: A schedule of bonded indebtedness will be found on page 12 of our certified report.

We reviewed the issues and retirements recorded on the Controller's books for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1932, and reconciled them with the records of the Treasurer's department. We satisfied ourselves that these transactions had been authorized by the Board of Supervisors and that they had been approved by proper legal authority.

Bonds redeemed and cancelled during the year were on hand and were inspected by us and compared with the records.

The bonded debt is segregated on the balance sheet which accompanied our certified report, as follows:

Current accounts:	
Bonds matured, including maturities of July 1, 1932	$ 3,185,000.00
Capital accounts:	
Bonds maturing subsequent to July 1, 1932	158,721,200.00
	$161,906,200.00

It has been the practice, heretofore, to provide for bond redemptions by setting up a monthly reserve out of current surplus, commencing one year in advance of the maturity dates of the bonds. However, no corresponding reduction of the liability carried in the capital section was recorded until the redemptions were made. Moreover, the accrual method referred to above did not conform to the basis on which redemptions are provided for in the annual budget.

In order to correct the duplication of the liability and to conform to the redemption basis used in the Budget, we restated the bonded indebtedness on the balance sheet as summarized above. The resulting increases in the surplus accounts were as follows:

Current surplus, for correction of provision to maturity basis used in Budget	$ 733,499.81
Capital surplus, for duplication of liability	3,185,000.00
Total increase in surplus	$3,918,499.81

Sections 103 and 104 of the City and County Charter provide that the bonded debt outstanding, exclusive of:

(a) Debt incurred for the purpose of financing public improvements, the cost of which is to be assessed against private property benefited thereby;
(b) Debt incurred for water supply, storage or distribution purposes;
(c) Debt heretofore incurred in aid of the Panama-Pacific International Exposition;

shall not exceed 12 per cent of the assessed value of all real and personal property in the City and County subject to taxation for City and County purposes.

The tax roll of March, 1932, shows the following assessed values of property subject to taxation for City and County purposes:

Real property:		
Land	$ 341,570,705	
Improvements	353,513,845	
		$695,084,550
Tangible personal property:		
Secured	$26,167,793	
Unsecured	69,041,268	
		95,209,061
Intangible personal property:		
Solvent credits	$116,531,852	
Securities	142,695,341	
		259,227,193
		$1,049,520,804

The bonded debt subject to the 12 per cent Charter limit is determined as follows:

Bonded debt, June 30, 1932		$161,906,200
Deduct, bonded debt to which the 12 per cent limit does not apply:		
Hetch Hetchy bonds	$71,303,000	
Spring Valley bonds	39,000,000	
Exposition bonds	1,406,000	
		111,709,000
Bonded debt subject to the 12 per cent limit		$50,197,200

From the foregoing it will be seen that the bonded debt subject to the Charter limit represents approximately 4¾ per cent of the assessed value of real and personal property subject to taxation for City and County purposes, as compared with the Charter limit of 12 per cent.

Bonds authorized but not issued at June 30, 1932, amounted to $5,057,000, as shown in the following summary:

General City and County		*Authorized*	*Issued*	*Unissued*
Boulevard	11/1/27	$9,380,000	$8,676,000	$ 704,000
Sewer	1/1/29	2,200,000	1,604,000	596,000
Hospital	1/1/29	3,500,000	2,380,000	1,120,000
County Jail	1/1/31	850,000	60,000	790,000
Public parks & squares	2/1/31	1,400,000	1,000,000	400,000
				$3,610,000

Public Service Enterprises:				
Hetch Hetchy	7/1/28	24,000,000	23,576,000	424,000
Hetch Hetchy	6/1/32	6,500,000	5,477,000	1,023,000
				$1,447,000
				$5,057,000

These unsold bonds were in the custody of the Treasurer and were verified by the Controller's audit staff.

Liability for Special Trust and Funds: We reviewed the terms of the various trusts and analyzed the accounts for the year, which appeared to be in order.

Under the provisions of the new Charter, which became effective January 8, 1932, members of the Police Department as at that date were given the privilege of becoming members of the Employees' Retirement System, which took over the functions previously exercised by the City and County in respect of pensions. In addition to other obligations assumed by the City and County in connection with the establishment of the Employees' Retirement System, the Charter provides that the City and County shall pay over to the retirement system a sum equal to the contributions, with interest, made to any other pension funds by members of the Police Department who become members of the Retirement System. The amount of such accumulated contributions, with interest, as at June 30, 1932, was $665,937.63, which has not been paid and for which no liability has been provided on the Controller's books. On the balance sheet which accompanied our certified report, we increased the liability to the Employees' Retirement Fund to include this amount and set up a deferred charge of an equal amount.

The Firemen's Relief and Pension and Police Relief and Pension funds showed deficits of $172,387.88 and $103,235.58, respectively, as at June 30, 1932.

The cash and securities belonging to the special and trust funds were in the custody of the Treasurer and were verified by the audit staff of the Controller.

Other Liabilities: A summary of the other liabilities follows:

Voting machines purchase contract:	
Principal	$384,054.41
Accrued interest	14,338.03
	$398,392.44
Judgments against the City and County for taxes illegally assessed	371,971.26
	$770,363.70

The first item represents a balance due the Automatic Voting Machine Corporation of Jamestown, New York, on purchases of voting machines, and was confirmed by correspondence with the vendor.

The liability for tax judgments was verified by reference to the records of the City Attorney and represents principal only. These judgments bear interest at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, which is charged to expense as paid.

Reserves: A summary follows:

General City and County:		
Work in progress	$295,529.92	
Interdepartmental service	75,000.00	
Donations received for specific purposes	46,555.94	
		$417,085.86

Public Service Enterprises:		
Depreciation and amortization:		
Municipal Railway	$4,970,387.56	
Hetch Hetchy Power	941,583.18	
Water Department	140,000.00	
		6,051,970.74
		$6,469,056.60

The reserve for work in progress covers the cost of uncompleted jobs carried in the current accounts ledger. As these are completed the final costs will be transferred to the property accounts in the capital ledger.

The reserve for interdepartmental service has been commented upon previously in this report.

The reserve for donations covers cash received for specific purposes. This was later transferred to the special and trust fund accounts ledger.

Deferred Credits: A summary follows:

General City and County:	
Taxes collected in advance	$1,764,079.87
Sundry items	4,454.65
	$1,768,534.52
Public Service Enterprises:	
Water Department:	
Rents collected in advance, insurance reserves, etc.	$73,744.06
Hetch Hetchy Power	3,236.46
	$76,980.52
	$1,845,515.04

The taxes collected in advance were verified by reference to the records of the Treasurer and the Assessor and will be taken up in the revenues for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933, to which they apply.

Official Bonds: The new Charter provides that surety bonds shall be furnished as follows:

Mayor	$ 25,000
Treasurer	200,000
Controller	100,000
Tax Collector	100,000
Assessor	50,000
Sheriff	50,000
County Clerk	50,000
Public Administrator	50,000
City Attorney	10,000
District Attorney	10,000
Public Defender	10,000
Supervisors (each)	5,000

We inspected all of these bonds and confirmed them by correspondence with the surety companies.

In addition to the foregoing, all employees who handle cash or securities, and various others holding positions of responsibility or trust have furnished bonds ranging from $1,000 to $50,000, depending

upon their responsibilities. These bonds were also inspected and confirmed by us.

In closing, we wish to express our appreciation to the Controller and his staff for their cooperation and the many courtesies extended to us during the course of our examination.

Very truly yours,
LYBRAND, ROSS BROS. & MONTGOMERY.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 17, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 28—New Series No. 16

Monday, April 17, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 17, 1933, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 17, 1933, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to notice heretofore published to canvass the vote of election of April 11, 1933.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Peyser—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Miles was elected to preside.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Board of Supervisors and Registrar of Voters to Canvass Returns of Election, April 11, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02.)

Resolution No. 727, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed to canvass the returns of the special Charter amendment election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on April 11, 1933, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 798 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Peyser—5.

Appointment of Clerks to Assist in Canvass of Returns of Election Held April 11, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02.)

Resolution No. 728, as follows:

Resolved, That the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of returns of the special election to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 11th day of April, 1933, and to assist in canvassing said returns and to act as several sets of clerks and various sets of clerks in canvassing said returns in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California under the order and direction of the Board of Supervisors, in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code; and be it

Further Resolved, That the official canvass of returns of said elections will now commence at the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is

designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct said canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to said election are on file in said office, and be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto and be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California;

Cameron H. King, Anthony G. Knight, E. R. Faucompre, W. E. Monahan, G. L. Sharp, J. A. Dawson, E. A. Griffith, C. A. Meese, T. J. Daly, George L. Kerwin, William Metz, Lester Stern.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Peyser—5.

Recess.

Whereupon, the Board moved to recess until 2 p. m.

MONDAY, APRIL 17, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 17, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of April 10, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.05.)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the

production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for

greater percentages of milk fat. Cream shall have been produced from nonreacting tuberculin tested cows, or shall be pasteurized and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized*

milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled

after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk: Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum:*

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the stan-

dards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any per-

mit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents

and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Communications.

The following were presented by Supervisor Spaulding and read by the Clerk:

Communication from The Salinas Valley Dairymen's Association, urging that subdivision 7 of the Milk Ordinance permit only such cream as shall have been produced from non-reacting tuberculin tested cows, and furnishing a list of milk producers of the Salinas Valley with the total quantity of milk available for sweet cream purposes, amounting to 7290 gallons, as an indication of the sufficiency of the supply of milk for cream producing purposes.

Communication from the Co-operative Milk Producers Association for San Francisco, Frank J. McGovern, manager, transmitting copy of resolution of its board of directors, March 30, endorsing proposed milk ordinance.

Communication from William C. Voorsanger, M. D., in reply to statement of Frank Glass, representing the National Milk Producers Association, to the effect that he has been misquoted by Mr. Glass, and that he has the utmost confidence in Dr. Geiger and the proposed milk ordinance which he now sanctions and which is pending before the Board of Supervisors.

Communication from J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health, in reply to statement of Mr. McMillan, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, in reference to can of Libby, McNeill and Libby's tomato juice, where on the label it is stated that babies fed on pasteurized milk must be supplied with tomato juice, and stating that he is advised by said firm that the objectionable statement was eliminated from their tomato juice labels more than a year ago.

Communication from G. A. Dondero, manager, Petaluma Co-operative Creamery, taking exception to the statement of Mr. Frank Glass at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on March 30, 1933, to the effect that the Petaluma Co-operative Creamery was bootlegging milk into San Francisco, and stating that all the milk shipped from said creamery for consumption in San Francisco comes from tuberculin tested cows, and every ranch has a permit and is under the supervision of Mr. E. J. Helgren, Health Officer, Santa Rosa, and the Board of Health of San Francisco.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Mott, wholesaler of cream, was heard. He declared that he did not know where sufficient cream could be had for the San Francisco market if the Gallagher amendment was adopted.

Mr. R. C. Claussen, Salinas Valley Dairymen's Association, declared that he could furnish 7200 gallons of cream daily.

Dr. Kelly of the County Medical Society was heard. He had no objection to the amendment.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, had no objection. The only question was could a sufficient supply be obtained.

T. Lydon, Chief Inspector, Health Department, questioned whether sufficient milk for cream producing purposes could be obtained.

Approved.

Section 2.

Subdivision 7—Cream.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend, as follows:

In section 2, subdivision 7, line 7, strike out the word "cream" and all words following to and including the word "Francisco" in line 10, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grades except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.

No objection and it was so ordered.

Thereupon, subdivisions 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 were *approved without objection.*

Subdivision 14—Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend fourth line from the end—after the word "milk" insert the words "or cream."

No objection and it was so ordered.

Section 3.

Subdivisions 1, 2 and 3 were *passed temporarily.*

Subdivision 4—Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend, as follows:

In section 3, subdivision 4, line 29, strike out the words and figures "one hundred and fifty thousand (150,000)" and insert in lieu thereof "fifty thousand (50,000)."

No objection and it was so ordered.

Subdivision 5—Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk.

Passed temporarily.

Subdivision 6—Manufacturing Milk.

Approved without objection.

Subdivision 7—Method of Pasteurization.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend, as follows:

In section 3, subdivision 7, line 3, after the word "and" strike out "forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Frank S. Glass, representing Natural Milk Producers Association, was heard at length on the proposed amendment.

Motion.

Supervisor Spaulding moved to postpone hearing until tomorrow at 10 a. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—11.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the hearing was continued and made a *Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and finally passed by the following vote:

Amending Section 19 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (M. H. de Young Memorial Museum) and Covering Temporary Employments, the Fund for Which Is Provided by Appropriation No. 50 (1932-1933 Annual Appropriation Ordinance)—Providing New Items 24, 25 and 26.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 287, Ordinance No. 9.05334, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Items 24, 25 and 26, covering temporary employment of persons engaged on repair work, funds for which are provided by appropriation item 50 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 19 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 19. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Director (part time)	$ 333.32
2	1		Assistant Director	375
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	1		Educational Director (part time)	104.16
7	1		Docent (part time)	100
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer (part time)	50
11	1		Printer (part time)	70
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Departmental Title	Monthly Rate
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary, Board of Trustees (part time)	170.84
19	1		Lecturer, $10 per Sunday	
20	2		Galleryman	125
21	12		Galleryman	120
22	2		Carpenter, $5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125
			Temporary Employees as Needed.	
24			Plasterer Foreman, $11 per day	
25			Construction Superintendent	200
26			Other building material crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rate established for such classifications in a resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the city, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 29, 1932....	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Ronconvieri, Spaulding—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Canepa explained his vote by saying he voted Aye under protest to prevent delay, but believed that carpenters' pay should be fixed at $8 instead of $5.50.

Police Department to Issue Permits to Pawnbrokers, Peddlers and Employment Offices.
(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 280, Ordinance No. 3.04121, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance adding to the powers and duties of certain departments in the matter of issuing certain permits or licenses and regulating or inspecting matters covered by such permits or licenses," by adding subdivisions 35, 36 and 37 thereto, authorizing the Police Department to issue permits for pawnbrokers, peddlers and employment offices.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto Subdivisions 35, 36 and 37, to read as follows:

Section 1. Permits shall be issued for the location and conduct of the businesses, enterprises for activities, enumerated hereinafter, by the department or office authorized by this ordinance to issue each such class of permit, and subject to the approval of other departments and offices of the City and County, where specifically designated in any such case; provided that permit or license fees, as required by ordinance shall be collected by the Tax Collector as provided in Section 3 hereof:

(1) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of amusement parks—by the Police Department;

(2) For the holding of masquerade balls—by the Police Department;

(3) For the holding of circuses or wild-west shows—by the Police Department;

(4) For balloon advertising—by the Police Department;

(5) For the use of street cars for displaying advertising signs—by the Police Department;

(6) For the discharge of firearms—by the Police Department;

(7) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of the business of second-hand dealer—by the Police Department: provided that if such business involves the storage and sale of used automobiles, each such permit shall also require the approval of the Fire Department;

(8) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of shooting galleries—by the Police Department;

(9) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of miniature and practice golf courses—by the Police Department: provided that if the establishment of any such business requires the remodeling of any structure, this shall also require a building permit as required by ordinance;

(10) For the operation of vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(11) For the maintenance of authorized stands for vehicles for hire —by the Police Department;

(12) For the establishment and maintenance of loading zones—by the Police Department;

(13) For the establishment and maintenance of passenger zones—by the Police Department;

(14) For the establishment of warning signs—by the Police Department;

(15) For the discharge of fireworks—by the Fire Department, subject also to the approval of the Police Department in so far as matters of traffic control are involved;

(16) For maintaining or burning bonfires—by the Fire Department;

(17) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto supply stations—by the Fire Department;

(18) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto parking stations—by the Fire Department;

(19) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto repair shops—by the Fire Department;

(20) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of commercial and public garages—by the Fire Department;

(21) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto sales departments—by the Fire Department;

(22) For the installation of oil burning apparatus and oil used in connection therewith—by the Fire Department;

(23) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dyeing and cleaning works—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Health Department;

(24) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dog hospitals and kennels or hospitals for sick animals—by the Health Department;

(25) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of laundries —by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(26) For the construction and maintenance of stables—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(27) For the establishment and maintenance of medical colleges—by the Health Department;

(28) For the use of City and County property for decoration purposes—by the Real Estate Department, subject to the approval of any department having charge, management and control of the specific property involved;

(29) For the erection and maintenance of bill-boards on city property —by the Real Estate Department;

(30) For the establishment and operation of rock crushing machines —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works;

(31) For the construction and maintenance of temporary buildings —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection, Fire Department and Health Department;

(32) For conducting blasting operations—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Fire Department;

(33) For the installation and operation of boilers, engines and cupola furnaces—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(34) For the construction and operation of gas works—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(35) *For the establishment and maintenance of employment offices—by Police Department;*

(36) *For peddling—by Police Department;*

(37) *For the maintenance and operation of pawnbroker establishments—by the Police Department.*

Section 2. The head of any department or office authorized to issue permits or licenses shall secure the approval of the City Planning Commission for any application for a permit or license, in any case where it is not clearly prescribed by the zoning ordinances and regulations of the City and County that the business enterprise or activity for which application for a permit is made, can legally be established on or at the location covered in the application for permit.

Section 3. All permits issued by departments or offices as outlined in Section 1 that require the payment of a permit or license fee shall be issued by the departments designated, as a permit for the conduct of the specified business, enterprise or activity at the specified location, and such permit shall be delivered to the applicant by the Tax Collector on the payment to the Tax Collector of the fee required by law or ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or provisions of existing ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect at 12 o'clock noon, on January 8, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance to Designate Classification, Traffic Checkers, to Be Used as Needed, in Connection with Traffic Surveys and Studies of Bay Bridge Approaches. Money for Which Authorized in Appropriation 150-8.

(Code No. 9.053.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 310, Ordinance No. 9.05336, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 (commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Item 64.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 43. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerk	$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
13	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
15	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	240
16	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
17	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
18	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
19	4	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
20	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
21	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
22	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	275
23	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
24	1	F502	Engineer of Assessments and Complaint	250
25	1	F506	Engineer of Grades	275
26	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investigation	275
27	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investigation	225
28	1	F514	Engineer of Street Improvement Plans	300
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
30	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
31	10	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
32	5	F606	Instrument Man	225
33	4	F610	Surveyor	250
34	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
35	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor	275
36	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
37	4	N252	Street Inspector	225
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
38	1	B312	Blue Printer	215
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
40	1	B316	Photographer, Department of Public Works	265
41		F102	Architectural Draftsman	250
42		F106	Architectural Designer	300
43	15	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction	225
44	12	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
47	2	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	225
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor Projects	300

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major Projects	400
50		F214	Construction Engineer	350
51	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
52		F352	Electrical Draftsman	250
53		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	300
54	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
55	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	250
56	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	300
57		F518	Office Engineer	250
58		F552	Structural Draftsman	250
59	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	275
59½	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
60		F556	Structural Engineering Inspector	250
61		F558	Structural Engineer	300
62	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
63	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400
64		F654	Traffic Checker	175

Explanatory.

Item 64 *is added to designate a classification for Traffic Checkers, to be used as needed, in connection with traffic surveys and studies of Bay Bridge approaches. The money for this work was authorized appropriated in the current appropriation ordinance under Item* 150-8.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refund of $19.80, Taxes, Collected Through Error.

(Code No. 9.059.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 729, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19.80 be and is hereby authorized out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded) in payment to Annie Reid; being refund of taxes collected through error; as per Vol. 24, Lot 15, Block 3595 of the 1932 Real Estate Rolls.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 730, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the property described on the 1927 Real Estate Roll, Lot 22, Block 3123, assessed to First Bank of Jamestown, was sold in June, 1928, for the second installment of 1927 tax, $6.08, Sale 1563; that this now stands of record in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, deed having been recorded January 25, 1928; being State property this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Controller to Cancel Assessment and Tax Sale—City Property and State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 731, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the Real Estate Roll of 1927 Lots 22 and 23, Block 6733, are listed as City property but through error were assessed and tax of $15.20 levied; that this assessment should be cancelled. Also, Lot 30, Block 6939, was listed as belonging to the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California but through error was assessed and sold to the State for 1927 taxes of $15.20; that this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said assessment and sale in accordance with Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)

(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 732, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed in the year 1927 in the name of the original owners and sold to the State for delinquent taxes in June, 1928; that Lot 27A, Block 1514, Lot 9, Block 2194 and Lots 2 and 3, Block 6962 were also assessed in 1928; that these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	*Block*	*Name*	*Sale*	1927 *Tax*
4	1513	Allen H. Browne	519	$15.58
4A		Maudie P. Browne	520	53.20
11		L. B. and J. Hammond	521	14.44
17-18		Maudie P. Browne	522	52.44
7-8	1514	D. K. Kollmar	523	11.40
23		M. C. and E. Hantzsche	524	21.04
24		M. Mirisian	525	44.50
27A		B. Hernster	526	23.75
				1928—52.28
9	2194	Edna M. Wolff	1161	73.34
				1928—76.42
21	6733	Geo. H. and H. F. Rublee	2714	7.60
2-3	6962	Stella, Erma and Esther Delucchi	2828	30.02
				1928—62.56
8	1513	Harriet Knighthart		28.50
5-6	1514	Wm. H. Greeley		22.80
17	1514	Frank and Harriet Knighthart		11.40
21		. . .		11.40

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 733, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that in June, 1928, Lot 12, Block 6989, assessed to Gerhard Bruns, was sold to the State for 1927 taxes of $3.80 under Sale 2846; that by deed recorded in December, 1927, this lot was acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and was merged into Lot 11. Being State property this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)
(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 734, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the property described in Real Estate Volume 2 as Lot 9, Block 285, now stands of record in the name of the Regents of the University of California; that in 1928 this property was sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1927. That Lots 5 and 6, Block 4647A, are also now recorded as State property; that these lots were sold to the State for 1927 taxes and were also assessed in 1928 and 1929. Being State property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 9, Block 285, Sale 80, $2,147.
Lots 5 and 6, Block 4647A, Sale 1827, $11.40.
Lots 5 and 6, Block 4647A, assessment 1928, $11.88; 1929, $11.82.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Approving Agreement of Messrs. Dewing, Weaver and Williamson, Shorthand Reporters, for Reporting of Proceedings of the Board and of Its Committees and Fixing Rates for Reporting and for Transcriptions.

(Code No. 1.04.)

Also, Resolution No. 735, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did heretofore, prior to the 1st day of November, 1932, enter into an agreement with Messrs. Dewing, Weaver and Williamson, shorthand reporters, to report the proceedings of the Board and of its committees when duly authorized to do so, and to make transcriptions of such proceedings as directed by resolution of said Board at the following rates:

For service in reporting proceedings of Board or committee meetings from date of convening meeting until 6 p. m., $10 per day.

Service of additional reporter after 6 p. m., $10 per day.

Transcription as follows: Original 20 cents per folio; original and one copy 30 cents per folio; original and two copies 33 cents per folio; original and three copies 36 cents per folio; original and four copies 40 cents per folio.

Said amount for said services to be paid monthly upon approval of Finance Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said agreement entered into as aforesaid be and the same is hereby approved, said approval to be effective as of November 1, 1933, and said Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to continue said agreement in force until the further order of this Board, said amounts due and to become due to be payable from Appropriation No. 2.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was on motion *laid over one week*:

Acquisition of Property in China Cove for Recreation Center.
(Code No. 12.1713.)

Resolution No. 725, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for sometime been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above mentioned purposes.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Memorializing President Not to Reduce Armed Forces of the Nation.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 736, as follows:

Whereas, His Excellency, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, is effecting economies in connection with the Federal Government; and

Whereas, the safety of the residents of the Pacific Coast is dependent upon the maintenance of our armed services to their present extent; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the President of the United States be petitioned not to effect a reduction in the numerical strength of the Army or Navy at this time; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to His Excellency, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying we should leave it to the President. "We just passed Supervisor Canepa's resolution expressing confidence in him. Why not leave it to his best judgment?"

Sale of Lands in San Mateo County.

The following was read by the Clerk:

March 31, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Herewith we hand you certified copy of Resolution No. 230, re sale of San Francisco Water Department property in Colma, San Mateo county, approved by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting March 27, 1933, together with draft of ordinance authorizing the sale, for your approval.

Yours very truly,

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.
FELTON TAYLOR,
Secretary.

Resolution No. 230, Public Utilities Commission:

Whereas, that certain lot designated Lot "A" in Block 1, "Map of the lands of the Castle Tract Homestead Association," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, October 12, 1871, is not required for purposes of the San Francisco Water Department, and in view of the price obtainable for this property, it is recommended that it be disposed of; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors by ordinance authorize the sale of said property by the Director of Property, all in accordance with the provisions of section 92 of the Charter.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of resolution approved by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting March 27, 1933.

FELTON TAYLOR,
Secretary.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Providing for the Sale of Certain San Francisco Water Department Land in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17252.)

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

Bill No. 313, Ordinance No. 12.172523, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in San Mateo County.

Section 1. Pursuant to section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Mateo County, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Price street with the southeasterly line of the county road leading from San Francisco to San Jose; and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Price street 104 feet 4½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 122 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles westerly 70 feet 1½ inches to the easterly line of said county road; and thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line of said county road 129 feet 9 inches to the northerly line of Price street and the point of commencement.

Containing 0.245 of an acre, more or less.

Being lot lettered "A" in Block No. 1, as the same is laid down, delineated and designated upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo, entitled "Map of Castle Tract Homestead Association," recorded October 12, 1871, in Book "A" of Original Maps, page 12; and Book "D" of Original Maps, page 22, and copied into Book 1 of Maps, page 7.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Stanton—6.

Re Tax Moratorium.

The following matters were taken up:

Communication from The San Francisco Real Estate Board.

April 17, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In connection with the proposed moratorium concerning the second installment of City and County taxes which would normally

become due April 20, 1933, it was the suggestion of a group of taxpayers of San Francisco, represented by the organizations hereinafter named, that, under authority of Assembly Bill No. 1309, recently passed by the Legislature of the State of California, the Board of Supervisors should, by resolution, provide for such a moratorium by authorizing the payment of such taxes in three installments, the first to be 50 per cent and the other two to be 25 per cent each; the first payable May 1, the second June 1, and the last June 20, 1933.

In making this suggestion the group concerned was of the opinion as then advised by the Controller that the City would be obliged to collect not less than 50 per cent of the second installment by May 1, 1933. If in any further revision of his figures the Controller is able to report to your honorable Board that the City will not require more than 40 per cent of this second installment of taxes by May 1, 1933, we would then suggest that the three installments become due May 1, June 1 and June 20, 1933, to be on the basis of 40 per cent, 30 per cent and 30 per cent.

The following named organizations have participated in conferences on this subject and at a meeting of their representatives held this morning authorized this communication. These organizations are: Apartment House Owners and Managers Association, Building Owners and Managers Association, Bureau of Governmental Research, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, San Francisco Real Estate Board.

Respectfully,

LESLIE E. BURKS,
Secretary-Manager.

Read and ordered spread in record.

Assembly Bill No. 1309.
Chapter 100.

An act to extend the time for the payment of taxes for the period of sixty days and declaring the urgency thereof.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

Section 1. The time for the payment of taxes upon all real property which became due and payable on January 20, 1933, is hereby extended to and including June 20, 1933.

None of the provisions of this act shall apply to or in, nor to taxes or payment of taxes of any consolidated city and county operating under a freeholder charter adopted under the provisions of section 8 of article XI of the State Constitution, which charter prohibits the drawing of any warrant against any fund of the city and county in which there is an insufficient unencumbered balance for the payment thereof. Provided, the legislative body of any such consolidated city and county shall by resolution provide for the payment of the tax mentioned herein in installments, the first of which said installments shall not exceed fifty per cent of the said tax, and the last of which said installments shall be payable not later than June 20, 1933, and the penalties now provided by law for the nonpayment of a second installment of taxes shall attach to each such installment for the nonpayment thereof. If the exception in this paragraph expressed be declared unconstitutional by final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of any of the other provisions of this act.

Section 2. The time for computing or determining delinquencies and penalties and the time for performing acts or duties by public officials with relation thereto, which is dependent or based upon the payment of any real property taxes on or before April 20, 1933, is hereby extended for a period of sixty days.

Section 3. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure within the meaning of section 1 of article IV of the Constitution, necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety and shall take effect immediately.

The facts constituting such necessity are as follows: There exists throughout the State of California economic conditions which make it impossible for property owners to pay this installment of taxes. Further delinquencies at this time will add a tremendous burden on the property which will have to bear the cost of government. It is essential that confidence be restored by giving property owners additional time to secure funds to meet their obligations. This act will aid in accomplishing this necessary result and should go into effect immediately.

WALTER LITTLE,
Speaker of the Assembly.

FRANK H. MERRIAM,
President of the Senate.

Approved April 17, A. D. 1933.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Governor.

Passed the Assembly April 12, 1933.

ARTHUR A. OHNIMUS,
Chief Clerk of the Assembly.

Passed the Senate April 14, 1933.

J. A. BEEK,
Secretary of the Senate.

This bill was received by the Governor April 17, 1933, at 11 o'clock a. m.

WM. A. SMITH,
Private Secretary of the Governor.

Read and ordered spread in the Journal.

Telegram.

Sacramento, Calif., April 17, 1933.

Hon. Jefferson E. Peyser, Chairman Legislative Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

I earnestly plead that you do everything possible to lighten tax burden upon property owners of San Francisco and that fullest measure of relief possible be granted pursuant to request Apartment House Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco.

JAMES A. MILLER.

Read and ordered spread in the record.

Privilege of the Floor.

Miss Lucella Ward, representing the Apartment House Owners' Association; Leonard Leavy, Controller; Edw. Bryant, Tax Collector; Wm. Nanry, representing the Bureau of Government Research; M. Robinson, citizen and property owner, and Geo. T. Baker, representing the Knights of Civilization were heard at length.

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to make the first installment payable May 14 instead of May 1 or 2 as proposed.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that May 5, June 2 and June 20 be fixed as last installment payment dates.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved as a substitute that May 8, June 2 and June 20 be the dates of payments.

Substitute accepted without objection.

Supervisor Peyser spoke in part as follows: Before this resolution is presented a statement should be made in regard to it. I don't feel that there is any measure that is coming before the Board that affects more vitally the citizens of San Francisco. The bill was intro-

duced in the Assembly and Senate which provided that there shall be a 60-day moratorium in the payment of taxes. Installments due April 20 were to be extended to June 20.

The bill made no exceptions. It was necessary to have some provision put in the legislation that would permit San Francisco to function. $5,425,000 must be repaid by May 15 for amounts we have borrowed up to this time. It will be necessary for us to borrow another million dollars during the next week. That will also have to be repaid before May 15. The total amount of taxes to be collected to date would not exceed $250,000. It is estimated that we will need in excess of $6,000,000, as follows:

First installment, 40 per cent, payable May 1, $4,530,507.
Second installment, 30 per cent, payable June 1, $3,397,903.
Third installment, 30 per cent, payable June 20, $3,397,903.

No interest to be charged on deferred payment.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Providing for Payment of Second Installment Taxes 1932-33, 40 Per Cent May 8, 30 Per Cent June 2, 30 Per Cent June 20, 1933.
(Code No. 9.032)

Resolution No. 737, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California has by an Act, approved by the Governor on the 17th day of April, 1933, authorized the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution, to provide that the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1932-1933, due and payable on January 20, 1933, and delinquent after five p. m. on April 20, of said year, may be made payable in such installments as the Board of Supervisors should by resolution provide; and

Whereas, it is the desire of the Board of Supervisors to make the payment of taxes as light upon the people as the financial and fiscal provisions of our Charter will permit; and

Whereas, it is the mandate of our Charter that it is not possible to conduct the business and affairs of the City except on a cash basis, that is to say, the Controller cannot approve demands of any character or certify to contracts, unless the amount of said demands and the consideration for said contracts are actually in the hands of the Treasurer; and

Whereas, it is necessary, in order to conduct the affairs of the City and County in their orderly manner, and that the payrolls of the City should be met, and our hospitals and relief homes should be supported, and that the aged, the blind, the widow and the orphan should receive their customary pension allowances, that at least a portion of the second installment of taxes should be paid as promptly as possible; and

Whereas, the State law provides certain penalties for the nonpayment of taxes, which it is the desire to minimize and postpone so far as the State law will permit; now, therefore,

For the purpose of complying with the provisions of the aforesaid act of the Legislature, and for the further purpose of affording to our taxpayers all possible relief, be it

Resolved, That the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1932-33 be and the same is hereby made payable in three installments, which said installments shall be payable as follows, to-wit:

Forty per cent of the amount due on said second installment on or before the 8th day of May, 1933, thirty per cent thereof on or before the 2d day of June, 1933, and thirty per cent thereof on or before the 20th day of June, 1933; and be it

Further Resolved, That the payment of said installments of taxes in the amounts herein provided shall be delinquent respectively at five o'clock p. m. on the 8th day of May, 1933; the 2d day of June, 1933, and the 20th day of June, 1933, and if any of said installments are not paid on or before the respective delinquency dates herein provided, there shall be added to the respective amounts becoming delinquent on said respective dates, the penalties made mandatory by State law for the nonpayment of the second installment of taxes before delinquency; and be it

Further Resolved, That no penalties shall attach for the nonpayment of said second installment of taxes except as herein provided; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby directed to instruct the Tax Collector to make such changes in his current tax bills as will carry out the intent of this resolution; and be it

Further Resolved, That any taxpayer may, at his option, pay his entire tax at the time of paying the first installment as herein provided, or the balance due at the time of paying the second installment, as herein provided.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Communication from Tax Collector Re Tax Moratorium.

Thereupon, the following was read by the Clerk:

April 17, 1933.

Hon. Alfred A. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Owing to the condition of the moratorium on the second installment of taxes, it will be necessary for this department to collect three more installments instead of one, if the recommendation of the Mayor is adopted by the Supervisors.

It will be necessary for me to use the present bill and split the installment accordingly. I will also be compelled to have a new bill made for the last two installments. This will necessitate two shifts in this department, and I request that the sum of $15,102.50 be granted to this department from the Emergency Fund.

I will need the following:

50 clerks—50 days	$12,500.00
10 operators—14 days	700.00
15 Marchant machines, 15 days @ $7.50 each	112.50
Envelopes—30,000	990.00
New bills for second and third installment	500.00
Binding bills, stamps, signs, stationery	300.00
	$15,102.50

I only have money enough to carry my present force to May 7th, which includes 40 extra clerks.

The above request for 50 clerks will keep them working until the end of June—until I make my settlement, and will provide for only 10 additional clerks.

Sincerely yours,

EDWARD F. BRYANT,
Tax Collector.

Approved: ALFRED J. CLEARY.

Approved: ANGELO J. ROSSI.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the following bills were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation to Revise Tax Rolls, Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 311, Ordinance No. 9.05162, as follows:

Making appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the Tax Collector and the Controller in revising the tax rolls and tax bills for the purpose of collecting the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1932-33 in installments and declaring that this ordinance is an emergency measure to take effect immediately upon its passage.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of fifteen thousand one hundred two and 50/100 dollars and to the Controller of said City and County the sum of five thousand dollars for the purpose of enabling the Tax Collector and the said Controller to make the necessary changes in the tax bills and tax rolls of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1932-33, in order to provide for the collection of the second installment of taxes of said year in three installments as provided by resolution this day adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure pursuant to the provisions of section 16 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and readings thereof and votes thereon at separate meetings of the Board of Supervisors are hereby waived, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote under which this ordinance is enacted hereby declare that the actual emergency exists, which said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the Legislature of the State of California has passed an act providing that the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1932-33 shall be payable in installments and that it is necessary in order that the office of the Controller and the office of the Tax Collector may properly function that the above-mentioned appropriations be forthwith made to each of said offices in order that the proper collections may be made in the tax bills and tax rolls of said City and County.

Section 3. That by reason of the aforesaid emergency this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

An Ordinance Amending Section 30 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, Commonly Called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding Item 18 Thereof and Declaring Same to Be an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 312, Ordinance No. 9.05337, as follows:

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend section 30 of Ordinance 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 30. **FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	B92	Chief Clerk	$ 325
2	1	B93	Tax Collector	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller	240
4	1	B102	Teller	215
5	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
6	1	B108	Chief Teller, Tax Collector's Office	300
7			Seasonal Clerk or Stenographer	150
8	3	B222	General Clerk	150
9	1	B222	General Clerk	215
10	19	B222	General Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
14	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
15	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
16	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
17	1	G152	License Adjuster	215
18	—	B308	Comptometer Operator (as needed)	150

Section 2. That the continuation of the work of the Tax Collector's Office and the establishment of the position herein added constitute an emergency within the meaning of section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary immediately for the welfare of many of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the Tax Collector's Office.

Section 3. That by reason of the existence of said emergency, this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval by the Mayor.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Declaring April 30 as President's Day.
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 738, as follows:

Whereas, a vivid chapter of American history is being enacted in Washington, with Franklin Delano Roosevelt, President of the United States, in the principal role; and

Whereas, President Roosevelt, through his clear analysis of the situation and his efficient, determined and courageous action has, with astounding expedition, cleared the governmental atmosphere of many vital and perplexing problems which confronted him on his inauguration; and

Whereas, the masterly manner in which the collossal problems of government have been met and solved by President Roosevelt is most worthy of commendation; and

Whereas, an expression of confidence in and approval of the actions of President Roosevelt will be an incentive and inspiration to continue the type of policy which he has invoked and to strive for even greater achievements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That April 30th be and is hereby declared Presidential Day and set aside as a day of civic rejoicing for such able leadership; and be it

Further Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance of this day; and be it

Further Resolved, That the citizens of this City are asked to offer prayers of thanksgiving, in their various places of worship, to the end that the President may continue to receive that Divine inspiration and guidance necessary to his spiritual health, and that his physical vigor and capacity be sustained during these days of national effort; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Excellency, the President of the United States, the Vice-President, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the San Francisco press.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Requesting Naval Construction for Pacific Coast.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 739, as follows:

Whereas, the administration in Washington is considering a program of naval construction, and as such a program is being strongly agitated by Chairman Vinson of the House Navy Committee, with the support of Secretary of Navy Swanson, Speaker Rainey and Majority Leader Byrns; and

Whereas, such program has as its two principal objects (1st) the building up of the United States Navy to the strength allocated this country in the Treaty of London and (2nd) for the purpose of increasing employment; and

Whereas, San Francisco Bay shipyards possess the necessary facilities and did so materially contribute to the successful shipbuilding operations of the country during the World War; and

Whereas, the lack of shipbuilding in the San Francisco Bay area and other Pacific Coast cities will have a tendency to depreciate the availability of numerous skilled crafts engaged in the shipbuilding industry; and

Whereas, in the event of a national emergency strategic locations of the shipbuilding industry would be imperative; and the absence of such facilities on the Pacific Coast due to inactivity and absence of skilled workers might prove of serious consequences; and

Whereas, said San Francisco Bay shipbuilding yards are now inoperative and large numbers of skilled workers and craftsmen identified with the industry are unemployed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That your Honorable Body petition our President Franklin D. Roosevelt, Chairman Vinson of the House Navy Committee, Secretary of the Navy Swanson, Speaker Rainey, and Majority Leader Byrns that a share of shipbuilding contracts be allocated to the San Francisco Bay district and to other Pacific Coast yards should the administration adopt a shipbuilding program.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Sale of "Poppies" During Memorial Week, May 26 to May 30, Inclusive.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 740, as follows:

Whereas, the American Legion Auxiliary and the San Francisco

County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, will conduct their annual sale of "poppies" from May 26 to May 30, inclusive, the proceeds to be used for child welfare and veteran relief work, the funds dispensed throughout the year in cases of extreme distress; and

Whereas, this is a commendable project, and all citizens who can afford to do so are asked to purchase and wear "poppies"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in meeting assembled do hereby endorse the annual "poppy" sale of the American Legion Auxiliary and the San Francisco County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, and do commend this worthy enterprise to the attention of the citizens for their support and consideration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Board of Supervisors Extends Official Welcome to Grace Liner Santa Elena, Wednesday, April 19, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Resolution No. 741, as follows:

Whereas, the new Grace liner Santa Elena, constructed at a cost of $5,000,000, and flying the American flag, arrives at this port Wednesday; and

Whereas, the new Grace liner Santa Elena is the latest and completes the fleet of four vessels placed in the New York to San Francisco fast passenger service by the Grace Line; and

Whereas, all four vessels, the Santa Rosa, Santa Paula, Santa Lucia, and Santa Elena, are named in honor of historic parts of this great State of California; and

Whereas, these vessels and the Grace Line, an American flag steamship line since its inception, contribute materially to the welfare of the citizenry of this City in trade and commerce; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the citizenry of San Francisco, extends official welcome to the new Grace liner Santa Elena.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Leave of Absence, Leland W. Cutler.

April 17, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Leland W. Cutler, member of the Park Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing April 17, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 742, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Leland W. Cutler, member of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 17, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Bicycle License Ordinance Proposed.

Supervisor Gallagher presented petition of Al Smith and various other messengers of the Western Union, Postal Telegraph, and Radio Corporation of America, for the enactment of an ordinance licensing bicycles and requiring a license plate to identify property and diminish theft of same.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Reception to Mathew Murphy, Consul for Irish Free State.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen of the Board, if you will kindly give way for a few minutes, due to the fact that we have a very distinguished visitor here with us today, Mr. Mathew Murphy, First Consul from the Irish Free State to the City of San Francisco. His Honor the Mayor, assisted by another distinguished Californian and American, but descended from the Old Sod, our colleague, Supervisor Gallagher, accompanied the distinguished gentleman here for presentation today, but first I would like to ask our Mayor to present the distinguished visitor.

MAYOR ROSSI: Mr. President, members of the Board and my fellow citizens: It was my proud privilege today as Mayor of this City to receive the new consul of the Irish Free State, who is not a visitor here but who will be a permanent resident, I believe. He told me just a few moments ago that the usual term for a consul who serves in any city will be approximately five years, and when your president says that he is a visitor I just want to correct that statement by him, because I am sure the longer he stays here the longer he will want to remain with us.

I feel highly honored in extending to the new consul, Mathew Murphy, San Francisco's most sincere welcome. I am sure that he will learn to like our city, because he has already told me that although he has resided in New York City for the past eight years, together with Boston and Washington, that after a few hours that he has been in San Francisco he has learned to love our city. I assured him that he will learn not only to love our city, but our state, and the entire Pacific Coast. So on behalf of the citizens of San Francisco I extend to him a most sincere and hearty welcome, and it is my proud privilege and my pleasure to present to you the consul from the Irish Free State, Mathew Murphy. (Applause.)

MATHEW MURPHY, Esq.: Ladies and gentlemen: I am afraid you are going to be a little disappointed when you hear me speak, because when people hear an Irishman speak they always expect to hear an orator. I am not an orator, but if anything could inspire me to be an orator it was the great reception which I got in San Francisco today.

When the Irish Free State government was formed, one of its first steps was to secure representation in other countries by sending out

its own consuls. The formation of such a service could naturally be nothing but a slow process, but they didn't lose any time in sending representatives to the United States. In 1922 a Minister Plenipotentiary was sent to Washington, and in 1925 we opened an office in New York. Now, there are various phases necessary in the establishing of a consular service, and it took us about two years to complete these formalities and the first consul general was formed in 1929 in New York. Later a consulate was formed in Boston, and then in Chicago, but the government felt that a representation could not be complete without sending a representative to the Pacific Coast. There was much speculation as to who should be selected to open the office on the Pacific Coast. I did not expect that great honor, because I had been eight years in the United States, and in Ireland they are always afraid to leave their representatives too long in one place here, because they have found from experience that those representatives are too much inclined to develop the point of view of the country in which they live rather than that of the country they represent, due to the wonderful hospitality accorded by your great country and the courtesies shown, and I know that I could never feel that I am in a foreign country while in any city in the United States. No matter what City Hall I go into I meet so many Murphys, Mulligans, Kellys, I meet so many Irish people I keep looking around to see if there is not a Shamrock growing around somewhere. I expected, really, to go back to Ireland at the end of my last term in Chicago, but out of a clear sky they asked me to come to San Francisco. When all of my friends heard that I was appointed here, they envied me, but I didn't realize until I came out on the boat how much that envy was justified. I met so many Californians coming out here on board, and I can only say their personal charm was only exceeded by their enthusiasm for their own state. Having arrived in the State of California, I don't blame them for that enthusiasm, and it makes the prospect of remaining here even rosier than I had hoped, and it makes me hope also that my term of office here may be longer than I had expected it would be.

Honorable Mayor, ladies and gentlemen, I wish to thank you very, very heartily for the wonderful reception you have given me here this afternoon.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Consul, we have a very cosmopolitan city here, and our officials number representatives from practically all of the nations of the world. Of course, I might say that predominating we have many who are descendants from the Irish race. We have a City Attorney holding office whose name is John J. O'Toole, whom I should like to present to you at this time.

Mr. JOHN J. O'TOOLE: Mr. President, Honorable Mayor, Consul General, and ladies and gentlemen: It is a pleasure, indeed, to join with the other officials of San Francisco in welcoming you here to our City as representative of the Irish Free State on the Pacific Coast. We hope and trust that your administration here will not only extend over the period of five years, but that it will be so successful that at the end of that time your government will insist on your remaining here for a longer period of time.

THE PRESIDENT: I am going to mention a few of the Irish names here and at the end I am going to have Supervisor Gallagher respond. We have Supervisor Gallagher, who you have already met; Supervisor Shannon, Supervisor McSheehy, Supervisor Power and your humble servant. Also, Supervisor Miles, Supervisor Peyser, Supervisor Canepa, Supervisor Brown, Supervisor Colman, Supervisor Stanton, Supervisor Roncovieri, Supervisor Havenner, Supervisor Spaulding and Supervisor Breyer. That makes up the members of our legislative body.

Now, on behalf of this body I am going to ask Supervisor Gallagher

to respond to the consul for the Irish Free State. Supervisor Gallagher.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Consul, Honorable Mayor, President of the Board, members of the Board and my fellow citizens: We feel more honored than would be the usual case on the arrival of Mr. Murphy today by the important office that he is to assume in San Francisco. The importance of San Francisco in the scheme of world affairs is evidenced by the fact that Mr. Murphy is sent to San Francisco as distinguished from hundreds of other cities in these United States to take up the duties of his office. His coming indicates the fact that people of Irish birth here in San Francisco, who have matters of importance to them to be dealt with by representatives of the Irish Free State have made it imperative that a representative be sent to San Francisco. We are glad of his arrival, first of all because of the type of representative he is; and, second, because of the fact that we have that recognition from the Irish Free State that has been granted to but very few other cities in the United States.

I would not want to be too facetious on this occasion, even though we are very happy he is with us. Mr. Murphy has traveled a long ways, and he has met all of the distinguished men in the communities he has visited, and he is very well known in Boston, New York and Chicago, but I think that he got the shock of his life today when he met an Italian Mayor who evidently had kissed the blarney stone. (Laughter.) When I say "Italian" I mean of Italian birth, though, of course, a citizen of this state and country. I was very much amused to sit and listen to his Honor, after Mr. Murphy had spoken so highly of our country and state, and our Pacific Coast, to, putting in the parlance of American slang, "pass it right back to him". Mr. Murphy will find, before he has been here many days, a sympathetic people, and beyond doubt he will find in this community, as is necessary or whenever the affairs of Ireland have needed help and encouragement, San Francisco has extended it whole-heartedly, extended it even more generously than San Francisco's portion. He will find surrounding him on every hand men and women agreeable to the idea that his work shall be helped in every particular. To be of service will be their one ambition, I am sure. He will not find—distinguished from other communities, any pronounced racial differences, and he will find, as in the complexion of this Board, men of many faiths, and men in whose veins is the blood of many nationalities, but yet this Board, in measures throughout the years, has almost always, cordially and enthusiastically endorsed every move that it was asked to endorse on behalf of the people of Ireland.

I am glad to see the consul here, I know how much he must do, and I pity him for the entertainment he must go through and for the thousands of speeches he must yet make in the various places he will have to go to meet the people in his official capacity. And I am sure, I think that with the liberties I understand are extended to consuls of other countries, he will find us frequent visitors. Of course, his trouble in that regard will be lessened by the fact that the country has restored in a small sense the freedom of personal liberty to people. I know I bespeak the sentiment of this Board—others can do it better possibly, in welcoming you, and your good wife, who will receive from the women of San Francisco their own good welcome, but I express on behalf of the Board our happy feeling at having you among us, and I am sure that we shall make your stay so worth while that I have not the slightest doubt that when your government says you may return, that you will like our treatment so well that you will say to your government "You make take the office, but I will keep my residence in San Francisco." (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to ask if any other members of the Board wish to say a word, under the circumstances?

Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Consul Murphy and Mr. Chairman: Due to a combination of circumstances over which I had no control I am not an Irishman. None the less, however, I want to extend to you the hearty welcome of our city, and I think you will find it the broadest minded, most liberal, and the most tolerant city in the whole world. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Any other member wish to respond? If not, we will proceed with the business of the Board; the consul is going to listen in for a moment.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 24, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 17

Thursday, April 20, 1933
Monday, April 24, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, APRIL 20, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, April 20, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to notice published for the purpose of concluding canvass of votes polled at election held on Tuesday, April 11, 1933.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Peyser, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Adopted.

Thereupon the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Vote Cast at Special Election to Amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco Held April 11, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 743, as follows:

Resolved, That at the special election to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco held April 11, 1933, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the whole number of votes cast in the 798 precincts was 100,427.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Peyser, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Aproval of Statement of Votes, Record Book "A-I", Special Election to Amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco Held April 11, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 744, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "A-I", statement of votes polled at special election to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, April 11, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the special election to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, April 11, 1933, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the amendments voted for and the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such amendments.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Peyser, Shannon, Stanton—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon the Board at 2:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By David H. Barry,
Chief Assistant Clerk.

MONDAY, APRIL 24, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 24, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

President Hayden appeared at 3:10 p. m.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Canepa appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 3:10 p. m.

Supervisor Stanton appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of meeting of April 17, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Milk Ordinance.
(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in

other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same

grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk or cream from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced*

on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than fifty thousand (50,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen

thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk: Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum:*

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization:* Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which*

said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

If grades, market cream of any grade shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade, except that the maximum bacterial count for cream shall be not more than three times as great as that of the corresponding grade of milk.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person,

firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director

of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health

to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be

unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Motion.

Market Cream.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that action of the Board of Supervisors on April 17, amending Section 2, Subdivision 7, providing that market cream shall conform to all standards set for market milk, be rescinded.

Communication.

Supervisor Spaulding presented and the Clerk read a communication from Dr. Geiger, transmitting report of T. P. Lydon, Chief Inspector, and Dr. Melody and County Agent Boissevain as to the insufficiency of the supply of milk for cream producing purposes and in contradiction of the claims made by Mr. Frank Glass, representing the Natural Milk Producers' Association, that there was an ample supply in the bay region to meet all San Francisco's requirements.

Telegram.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Telegram from J. C. Jorge, manager of Co-Operative Dairymen's League of Oakland, declaring that said league has at present 12,000 gallons per day of market milk complying with city's requirements.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion to rescind and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Peyser—2.

Communications.

Montreal Epidemic.

Supervisor Spaulding presented and the Clerk read a communication from Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, in contradiction of statement of Mr. Frank Glass of Natural Milk Producers' Association, that the outbreak of typhoid in Montreal, Canada, was due to pasteurized milk and transmitting in support of his contention a report of the Special Board of the U. S. Public Health Service, convened by the surgeon general, that epidemic was due to raw and not to pasteurized milk.

Supervisor Gallagher presented and Mr. Frank Glass read into the record a communication from the Health Officer of Montreal, Canada, tending to refute the contention that the epidemic in that city was caused by raw milk.

Passed Temporarily.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented the following which was *passed temporarily*:

In Section 3 strike out Subdivision 8, and in lieu thereof insert the following:

Subdivision 8. The class or grade of all market milk and market cream sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged in the City and County of San Francisco, which class or grades are designated as follows: Certified milk, guaranteed raw milk, grade A pas-

teurized milk and grade B pasteurized, registered trademarks, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.

Section 3.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend:

In Section 3, Subdivision 7, line 3, after the word "and" strike out "forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit" and in lieu thereof insert the following: "forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees Fahrenheit."

Communication.

Supervisor Spaulding presented and the Clerk read:

Communication from J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, transmitting report of group of sanitary engineers as to the pasteurization of milk and declaring that the Board of Health desires that the temperatures of pasteurization be recorded at 142 to 145 degrees Fahreneit for thirty minutes. These temperatures are considered safe for the ordinary strains of pathogenic organisms found in milk.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the proposed amendment of Supervisor Gallagher was, on his motion, segregated and the roll called on first part, which was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, McSheehy—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Section 3.

Subdivision 13. *Methods of Serving Milk.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment:

In Section 3, Subdivision 12, line 4, after the word "bottle" strike out the words "in sizes ½ pints, pints and quarts only."

Mr. Frank Glass declared that Troutmere Guernsey Farm delivered its milk in one-third quart bottles of peculiar shape and that the subdivision as it stands would exclude it in that size from the market.

Dr. Geiger thereupon agreed that he had no objection to specifying one-third quarts in order to cover that objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as amendment:

In Section 3, Subdivision 13, strike out all of lines 9 to 12, inclusive.

No objection and it was so ordered.

Whereupon, there being no objection, Subdivision 12, line 4, after the word "pints" the words "one-third quarts" were inserted.

Section 4.

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend:

In Section 4, Subdivision 1, line 20, strike out the period at the end of line 20 and in lieu thereof insert a comma and the following: "And unless the person owning and or operating said plant shall also pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall devote all his time to supervising the production of market milk at such plant in accordance with the terms of this ordinance."

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Spaulding thereupon moved that the subject matter be continued until Thursday, April 27, 1933, at 2 p. m.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner was excused conditionally in anticipation of his having to go to Sacramento.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Brown, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Give Bond for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Respective Bonds to Be Given by said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of said Bonds and Providing for the Form Thereof.

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 221, Ordinance No. 4.041, as follows:

Specifying the various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who shall give bond for the faithful performance of their respective duties where bonds are not specifically required by the Charter; fixing the amount of the respective bonds to be given by said officers and employees; providing for the payment of premiums thereon and for the custody of said bonds and providing for the form thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter mentioned, shall give bond to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said bonds shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will continue in force as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give bonds as herein provided, and the amount of each of said bonds are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section	2.	*Board of Supervisors.*	
		Clerk of Board of Supervisors	$10,000
Section	3.	*Mayor.*	
		Head Clerk-Stenographer	2,000
Section	4.	*Assessor.*	
		Chief Assistant Assessor	10,000
		Chief Teller	10,000
		Senior Clerk	2,000
		General Clerks (2), each	2,000
Section	5.	*District Attorney.*	
		Principal Attorney (Criminal) (Bond and Warrant Clerk)	10,000
		General Clerk	5,000
		Criminal Law Clerks (5), each	1,000
		Attorney-Criminal	1,000
Section	6.	*Treasurer.*	
		Head Clerk	25,000
		Senior Teller	10,000

	Head Clerk	5,000
	Senior Accountant	5,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	5,000
	Senior Tellers (2), each	5,000
	Tellers (4), each	5,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 7.	*Sheriff.*	
	Head Clerk (Cashier)	25,000
	Head Clerk	1,000
	General Clerk	1,000
	Superintendent of Jails (2), each	1,000
Section 8.	*Police Department.*	
	Property Clerk	10,000
Section 9.	*Fire Department.*	
	Chief Clerk (Secretary)	10,000
	General Clerk-Typist	2,000
Section 10.	*Park Department* (Main Office).	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Assistant Superintendent	2,000
	Secretary	5,000
	Surveyor	2,000
	Accountant	2,000
	Cashier	10,000
	Superintendent Clerk	2,500
	Timekeeper	2,500
	Assistant Timekeeper	500
	Stenographer-Clerk	2,000
	Bookkeeper-Stenographer	500
	Stenographer	500
	Relief Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Manager (Beach Chalet)	2,500
	Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Manager (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	500
	Cashiers (3) (Fleishhacker Playfield), each	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Playfield)	5,000
	Cashier (Mother's House)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Cafe)	5,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Cashiers in Booths (Fleishhacker Cafe), each	500
	Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	1,250
	Relief Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	500
	Starter (Harding Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Harding Golf Course)	1,250
	Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Lincoln Cafe)	500
	Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Harding Cafe)	500
	Manager (Restaurants)	5,000
	Cashier Clerk (Children's Playground)	2,500
	Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500

	Cashier Candy Booth (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier (Picnic Cafe, Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Director of Public Recreation	5,000
	Foreman (Kezar Stadium)	2,500
	Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Sharp Park Cafe)	500
	Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	1,250
	Cashier (Palace of Fine Arts)	500
Section 11.	*Recreation Department.*	
	Camp Manager (Supervisor)	3,000
	Bookkeeper	3,000
	Secretary	1,250
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
Section 12.	*Public Library.*	
	Business Manager	2,000
	Senior Clerk (Assistant Secretary)	2,000
Section 13.	*War Memorial.*	
	No recommendation received as yet.	
Section 14.	*California Palace of the Legion of Honor.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Engineer and Building Superintendent	2,000
	Organist	2,000
	Chief Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Head Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor (2), each	2,000
	Gallerymen (2), each	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Stenographers (2), each	2,000
	Typist	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Caretaker	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 15.	*M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Assistant to Director	2,500
	Recorder	2,000
	Secretary to Director	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Head Galleryman	2,000
	Printers (2), each	2,000
	Mechanic	2,000
	Assistant Mechanic	2,000
	Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor	2,000
	Head Caretaker	2,000
	Caretakers (6), each	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Gallerymen (8), each	2,000
	Carpenter	2,000

	Gallerymen (4), each	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 16.	*Municipal Court.*	
	Cashier and Head Clerk	3,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	And Holdup Bond for	1,000
	General Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	Jury Commissioner	2,000
	Court Room Clerks—Criminal (4), each	2,000
	Chief Assistant Clerk	1,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (4), each	1,000
	Court Room Clerks (8), each	1,000
	Civil Law Clerks—Criminal (2), each	1,000
	General Clerks—Criminal (13), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Copyists (2), each	1,000
	Clerk of Municipal Court	10,000
Section 17.	*Superior Courts.*	
	Secretary-Jury Commissioner	10,000
Section 18.	*Juvenile Court—Probation Office.*	
	Bookkeeper (Cashier)	5,000
	Senior Clerk (Chief Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Interpreter-Clerk (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General-Clerk Typist	1,000
	(Charles Schermerhorn) Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Assistant Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Chief Probation Officer	5,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Section 19.	*Adult Probation Department.*	
	Chief Adult Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officers (7), each	1,000
	Bookkeeper	2,000
	Probation Officer—Stenographer	1,000
Section 20.	*Chief Administrative Officer.*	
	Chief Administrative Officer	50,000
Section 21.	*Finance and Records.*	
	Director of Finance and Records	10,000
Section 22.	*Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*	
	Cashier	15,000
	General Clerks (24) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	1,000
	Head Clerks (2) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	10,000
	Teller	5,000

License Adjuster 1,000
General Clerk (Deputy) 1,000
General Clerk (Deputy) 1,000
Senior Clerk (Deputy) 1,000
Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Deputy) 1,000
License Adjuster 1,000
Chief Clerk 10,000
General Clerk 2,500

Section 23. *Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters.*
Registrar of Voters 3,000
General Clerk 1,000

Section 24. *Finance and Records—Recorder.*
Recorder 10,000

Section 25. *Finance and Records—County Clerk.*
Senior Civil Law Clerks (3), each 2,000
General Clerks (2), each 2,000
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each 5,000
Criminal Law Clerk 2,000

Section 26. *Finance and Records—Public Administrator.*
Bookkeeper 2,500
Head Clerk 2,500

Section 27. *Purchasing.*
Purchaser 25,000
Storekeepers (5), each 2,500
General Storekeepers (6), each 2,500
Chief Storekeeper 5,000
Stockman 1,000

Section 28. *Real Estate Department—Exposition Auditorium.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 29. *Real Estate Department.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Right of Way Agent 1,000
Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman 1,000

Section 30. *Department of Public Works—General Office.*
Director 25,000
Chief Clerk (Secretary) 5,000
Head Clerk 2,000

Section 31. *Department of Public Works—Central Permit Bureau.*
Head Clerk 10,000
General Clerks (3), each 2,000
General Clerk 1,000

Section 32. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Building Inspection.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 33. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.*
City Architect 10,000

Section 34. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Engineering.*
City Engineer 10,000
General Clerks (2), each 1,000
Senior Clerk 1,000
Surveyors (2), each 1,000

Section 35. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Sewer Repairs.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 36. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 37. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 38. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Cost Accounting.*
Head Clerk (Cost Accountant) 2,500
General Clerks (3), each 2,500

Section 39. *Department of Electricity.*
Chief 10,000
Senior Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 2,000
Superintendent of Plant 5,000

Section 40. *Department of Public Health—Central Office.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Health Director 5,000
Senior Accountant 5,000

Section 41. *Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home.*
Superintendent 10,000
Assistant Superintendent 3,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 42. *Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital.*
Superintendent 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 43. *Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home.*
Superintendent 2,000

Section 44. *Coroner.*
Coroner 10,000
Coroner's Chief Investigator 1,000
Coroner's Investigators (3), each 1,000

Section 45. *Controller.*
Chief Assistant Controller 50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller) 50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller) 25,000
Senior Clerk (Deputy Controller) 25,000
Supervisor of Payrolls 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Accountant—Audits 10,000
Head Clerks (2), each 2,000
Senior Bookkeeper 2,000
Tax Redemption Clerk 2,000
General Clerk 5,000

Section 46. *City Planning Commission.*
Secretary 500
Draftsman 500

Section 47. *Public Utilities Commission—General Office.*
Manager of Utilities 15,000
Secretary 1,000

Section 48. *Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Hetch Hetchy Power Operation.*
Chief Electrical Engineer and Manager 5,000
Electrical Engineer in Charge 2,500

	Chief Operator—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Labor Foreman	1,000
	Clerk—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Section 49.	*Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway.*	
	Manager	10,000
	Auditor	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
	Clerk	1,000
	Messenger	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Receivers (7), each	1,000
	Dispatchers (2), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Stenographer	1,000
	Claims Adjuster	5,000
	Cashier	2,500
	Receiver	1,000
	Conductor Acting Receivers (2), each	1,000
	Receiver	1,000
	Inspectors, Acting Claim Investigator	1,000
Section 50.	*Public Utilities Commission—Water Department.*	
	General Manager	10,000
	Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
	Cashier	1,250
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,500
	Manager Water Sales	1,250
	Shut Off Men (6), each	1,250
	Contractor and Building Inspector	1,250
	Shut Off Man	1,250
	Assistant Supervisor Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	General Clerk Collectors (21), each	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk Teller	5,000
	Senior Clerk Teller	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	2,500
	Head Clerk-Collector	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk-Collector	2,500
	Supervisor of Collections	5,000
	Chief Clerk	1,250
	Country Meter Man	1,250
	Assistant Superintendent Agriculture—Pleasanton	1,250
	Supervisor—Dock and Shipping	1,250
	Clerk	1,250
	General Clerk	1,250
	Temporary Collector	1,250
Section 51.	*Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Power Project.*	
	Chief Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Construction Engineer in Charge Coast Range	5,000
	Assistant Construction Engineer—Coast Range	2,500
	Assistant Engineer—Field Office	2,500
	Clerk—Coast Range Division	1,000
	Supervising Accountant	5,000
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	Cost Accountant	5,000

	Bookkeeper	5,000
	Tunnel Foremen (6), each	1,000
	Timekeepers (7), each	1,000
Section 52.	*Public Utilities Commission—Airport.*	
	Superintendent	2,500
	Assistant Superintendent	2,500
	Bookkeeper	1,000
Section 53.	*Board of Education.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Secretary	10,000
	Accountant	5,000
	Supervisor, Home Economics	1,000
	(Permit bonds of Commissioners to expire automatically.)	
Section 54.	*Retirement System.*	
	Secretary-Actuary	25,000

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and said bond shall be signed by the principal and by the surety. All of said bonds shall be executed in duplicate, the original thereof to be filed with the Controller and the duplicate thereof with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the state to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee, and when the law shall provide that the officer or employee giving said bond shall be liable for the acts and omissions of his deputies, assistants, clerks and employees said bond shall be so conditioned. That said bonds shall be further conditioned that any new employee appointed to any position covered by a bond shall be insured under any bond already issued covering said position without the payment of additional premium.

Section 57. All bonds issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility

or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer, and shall be so conditioned.

Section 61. The bonds given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department.

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the positions and bonds to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of re-publishing said ordinance in its entirety.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
No—Supervisor Spaulding—1.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Miles, Shannon—4.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jarboe Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 297, Ordinance No. 12.073113, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eighty-five (85) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 24, 1933, by amending Section Eighty-five (85) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 85. The width of sidewalks on Jarboe avenue between Andover and Putnam streets shall be 10 feet; between Putnam and Bronte, the northerly side of, 18 feet; the southerly side of, 6 feet; between Bronte street and San Bruno avenue, 10 feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Ortega Street.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 298, Ordinance No. 12.061122, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 20, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue, including the crossings of Twenty-third avenue and Twenty-fourth avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch vitrified clay pipe sewers; by the construction of 8x6 inch "Y" branches; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; by the construction of brick manholes; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Block 2059; Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of Block 2060; Lot 1, Block 2061; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 32 and 33 of Block 2110; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, 16A,

17, 18 and 18A of Block 2111; Lots 1, 5, 6, 6A and 7 of Block 2112; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Kirkham and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 299, Ordinance No. 12.061123, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 25, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue; of Thirty-fourth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street, and of Ortega street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Forty-first avenue, where not already so improved; by the construction of armored and unarmored concrete curbs where directed; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues Between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722.)

Also, Bill No. 300, Ordinance No. 12.07229, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirtv-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of February, 1933, by Resolution No. 628, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 157.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 159.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 163.58 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 164.66 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 167.77 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 166.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 160.05 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 155.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 158.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Kirkham street, 134.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Kirkham street, 137.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 144.36 feet.

120 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 146.13 feet.

220 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 151.69 feet.

320 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 150.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

500 feet southerly from Kirkham street, 142.84 feet.

Westerly line of, at Lawton street, 137.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Lawton street, 140.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues between Kirkham and Lawton streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Alley (No Name) Between Surrey and Sussex Streets From Diamond Street Southeasterly.

(Code No. 12.0722.)

Also, Bill No. 301, Ordinance No. 12.072210, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alley (no name) between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 31st day of January, 1933, by Resolution No. 609, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Alley (no name) between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Alley (no name)—Between Surrey and Sussex streets from Diamond street southeasterly.

At the northeasterly corner of Diamond street, 248.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At the southeasterly corner of Diamond street, 246.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly end of the curve from Diamond street, 248.00 feet.

40 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249.00 feet.

119 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 258.68 feet.

197 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 257.00 feet.

284 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 249.57 feet.

529 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 248.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at the first angle southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 243.90 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 629 feet southeasterly from the end of the curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 240.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of (from the north) at the second angle northwesterly from the last described point, 244.00 feet.

On Alley (no name)—as above described—be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Surrey and Sussex streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 302, Ordinance No. 12.2004, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), entitled "Granting to the Felton Company, its successors or assigns, permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a single spur track from a point on the existing spur track in the easterly half of Second street, about 212 feet north of the intersection of the easterly line of Second street with the northerly line of Townsend street, thence running along Second street in a northerly direction and entering the property of the petitioners on the southeast corner of Second and Brannan streets," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), As to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 303, Ordinance No. 12.2005, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Schmidt Lithograph Company and Hawley & Munson, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the present spur track of the Southern Pacific Company on the westerly side of Second street and distant 125.75 feet, more or less, southerly from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right with a 250-foot radius for a distance of 31.75 feet, more or less; thence 28 feet, more or less, on a tangent; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 146.19 feet to a tangent on northerly side of Bryant street and 20.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on said tangent 158.5 feet, more or less; thence by a convenient curve to right into premises of Hawley & Munson on southerly line of Bryant street and 390 feet easterly of the easterly line of Second street, together with necessary switches, turnouts and crossings," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 304, Ordinance No. 12.2006, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day or night, except from 7 a. m. to 9a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 305, Ordinance No. 12.2007, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from 7 a. m. to 9 a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 306, Ordinance No. 12.2008, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California, a corporation sole; M. S. Eisner and M. Fisher Company, their successors and assigns, to

lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Second street as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street, distant 35 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bryant street; thence westerly on a curve to the right 125 feet to the point of a reverse curve; thence westerly on a curve to the left 125 feet to a point that is approximately 23 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of said Second street; thence westerly and parallel to said line of Second street and crossing Harrison street to a point that is 192.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Harrison street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 307, Ordinance No. 12.2009, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Jacob Z. Davis Estate Company, its successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street and distant about 248 feet north, more or less, from the north line of Harrison street; thence northerly and parallel to the center line of Second street, distant 23 feet from the west line thereof, to a point 100 feet, more or less, south of the south line of Folsom street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Payment of $1,870 Out of County Road Fund, Per Appropriation by Ordinance No. 9.05115, for Acquisition of and Damages to Property Required for the Widening of Turk Street Between St. Joseph's and Masonic Avenues.

(Code No. 12.1711.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 749, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco pay Associated

Oil Company the sum of $1,870 from the supplemental appropriation mentioned in Ordinance No. 9.05115, Bill No. 66, approved May 10, 1932, upon the receipt of a full release of damages to the Associated Oil Company service station situated at Turk street and Masonic avenue, San Francisco, caused or to be caused by the widening, grading and construction of Turk street.

Chargeable to County Roads, Appropriation 150-34.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Refunding $1.98 Taxes Paid Through Error.

(Code No. 9.059.)

Also, Resolution No. 745, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1.98 be and is hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation No. 84 (Taxes Refunded) to A. and Z. Archibald; said amount having been collected through clerical error; as per Vol. 43, Lot 72, Block 7145 of the 1932-33 Real Estate Rolls.

(Recommendation of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $15,000 Out of County Road Fund for the Cost of the Improvement of Woodside Avenue Fronting City Property (Laguna Honda Home), Under Public Proceedings.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 314, Ordinance No. 9.05163, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $15,000 out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Woodside avenue fronting city property (Laguna Honda Home), under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $15,000 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Woodside avenue fronting city property (Laguna Honda Home), under public proceedings.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Authorizing the Exchange of Certain Lands With Louis Depaoli, Setting Aside $8,500 Out of County Road Fund, and Authorizing Payment of said Sum to Louis Depaoli for the Extension of Theresa Street Between Alemany Boulevard and Cayuga Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1741.)

Also, Bill No. 315, Ordinance No. 12.17413, as follows:

Authorizing the exchange of certain lands with Louis Depaoli, setting aside $8,500 out of County Road Fund, and authorizing its payment to Louis Depaoli, said lands being required for the extension of Theresa street between Alemany boulevard and Cayuga avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Louis Depaoli in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcels 1 and 2, all of which parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 182.643 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as shown on map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly, westerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 92 degrees 50 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 24.306 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Theresa street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 270 feet, central angle 9 degrees 11 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 43.321 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 385 feet, central angle 6 degrees 00 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 40.407 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 15 feet, central angle 108 degrees 02 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 28.288 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly along said southwesterly production 5.599 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant southwesterly thereon 211.071 feet from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as said street is shown on map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 84.104 feet; thence northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 106 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 27.853 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 475 feet, central angle 16 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 135.869 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Lot 17, Block 6795D of aforesaid map; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 56 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Alemany boulevard; thence at right angles northeasterly along said proposed line 50 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 14 of aforesaid map; thence at right angles northwesterly along last-named line 56 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 425 feet, central angle 19 degrees 42 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 146.179 feet; thence northwesterly, northerly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 15 feet, central angle 70 degrees 17 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 18.403 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue at the point of beginning.

Being all of Lot 15 and portions of Lots 14, 16, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 of Block 6795D of above mentioned map.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 9.501 feet from the southwesterly line of Lot 20A, Block 6780 of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 10.812 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 87 degrees 50 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 22.996 feet; thence northwesterly along the southwest-

erly line of Theresa street on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 9 degrees 52 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 55.134 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 335 feet, central angle 10 degrees 44 minutes 58 seconds, a distance of 62.850 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 15 feet, central angle 67 degrees 13 minutes 02 seconds, a distance of 17.597 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of aforesaid Lot 20A.

Section 2. As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco shall pay Louis Depaoli the sum of eight thousand five hundred and 00/100 dollars ($8,500.00), which sum is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Louis Depaoli. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Louis Depaoli upon receipt of a deed from Louis Depaoli to said Parcels 1 and 2 and to accept and record said deed from Louis Depaoli.

Chargeable to Appropriation 150, County Roads.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed From H. P. Weyer et ux. for Land Required for San Joaquin Pipe Line.

(Code No. 12.17151.)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 746, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from H. P. Weyer et ux., to certain land required for the San Joaquin pipe line in Stanislaus County, California, and that the sum of $600 be paid for said land; which sum shall include $300 on deposit in court and $300 from the Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund, Appropriation No. 290 (286/31-32).

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Woodside Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 316, Ordinance No. 12.061124, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communi-

cation filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 13, 1930, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola Drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersections of Portola Drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the resetting of the existing concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the reconstruction and resetting of the existing catchbasins with accompanying culvert pipe; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the reconstruction of the existing rubble wall; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete conform wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth Avenues, and of Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets Where Not Already Improved.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Bill No. 317, Ordinance No. 12.061125, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works,

and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, and of Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street, where not already so improved, by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Approving Assessment District for Lawton Street.
(Code No. 12.0825.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 747, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing lands benefited by and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses of the improvement of Lawton street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth avenues, including the crossings of Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues," approved by Department of Public Works Resolution No. 2330, dated April 7, 1933, be and is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Lawton Street Between the Easterly Line of Thirty-seventh Avenue and the Westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue, Including the Intervening Crossings.
(Code No. 12.0611.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 318, Ordinance No. 12.061126, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

April 10, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lawton street between the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue, including the intervening crossings, by the construction of the following vitrified clay pipe sewers with Y branches and brick manholes:

A 15-inch along the center line of Lawton street from the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue to the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue; an 18-inch along the center line of Lawton street from the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue to the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue; an 8-inch along the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue from the center line of Lawton street to the northerly line of Lawton street.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lot 1, Block 1882; Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38, Block 1883; Lot 1, Block 1884; Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 1885; Lot 1, Block 1904; Lot 1, Block 1905; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Block 1906; Lot 1, Block 1907, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 3:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Endorsement of Acquisition of China Cove for Bathing Beach and Playground Purposes.

(Code No. 23.03.)

Resolution No. 748, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for some time been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above-mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above-mentioned purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby signify its intention of providing the funds necessary to remedy the above-mentioned insanitary condition as soon as the same can be done without undue burden upon the taxpayers.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

No—Supervisor Spaulding—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Miles, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Sewage Disposal Plant, County Jail Building, San Mateo County.

(Code No. 18.05.)

Resolution No. 750, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco make application to the Board of Public Health of the State of California, for permission to construct, maintain and operate a sewage disposal plant on the former Sneath Ranch properties located

in San Mateo County, California, in connection with the new County Jail buildings.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Hayden, Stanton—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Budget Appropriation for Purchase of Bay Bridge Bonds.

The following was presented by the Finance Committee *and read by the Clerk.*

San Francisco, California, April 24, 1933.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Owing to the fact that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has declined to make a bid for the bonds for the construction of the Trans-Bay Bridge until the sum of $650,000, which was heretofore advanced by the State to cover preliminary expenses is repaid, it will be necessary for the Toll Bridge Authority to finance the purchase of $650,000 of the bridge bonds.

Mr. Leland Cutler, representing the Bridge Authority, and Mr. George Presley, of the Chamber of Commerce, have taken the matter up with the Chief Administrative Officer and myself, and I am herewith enclosing you a letter addressed to me by the former, which is self-explanatory. Attached to Mr. Cleary's letter is a copy of a proposed resolution which I recommend to your Board for favorable action.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

San Francisco, California,
April 24, 1933.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Your Honor: In connection with the financing of the Trans-Bay Bridge, the Refinancing Corporation has specified, as precedent to the purchase by said corporation of bridge bonds to the amount of $61,400,000, that certain revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority, in amount of $650,000, known as Series A, be first purchased.

The syndicate of bankers of the City and County of San Francisco have agreed to bid for the purchase of bonds of Series A, and are doing so in the expectation that the bonds so purchased by them will be repurchased from them by the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that the City and County of San Francisco will so repurchase three-fifths thereof and the County of Alameda will purchase two-fifths thereof.

The City and County of San Francisco is, by virtue of an act passed at a recent session of the State Legislature, authorized to buy these bonds at their par value.

I am forwarding herewith a proposed resolution to be passed by the Board of Supervisors in connection with this repurchase of said revenue bonds, and in the event said resolution should be passed by the Board of Supervisors, I will make recommendations in preparing future budgets for the purchase of these bonds by the City and County of San Francisco, within the time limit. Said budget items are to be provided from the gas tax.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Recommending to Mayor That Provision Be Made in Budget for Purchase of Bay Bridge Bonds, $390,000 Over a Period of Four Years.

(Code No. 12.112.)

Resolution No. 751, as follows:

Whereas, in connection with the proposed construction by the California Toll Bridge Authority of a toll bridge over the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, it is necessary that certain revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority, having an aggregate face or principal value of $650,000, hereinafter called the first block, or Series A, be first sold as a condition precedent to the submission of any bid by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for the purchase of the other revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority, having an aggregate face or principal value of $61,400,000, and hereinafter called the second block, or Series B; and

Whereas, unless the second block of such revenue bonds be so purchased by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation said toll bridge cannot be constructed and the advantage thereof and of the construction thereof at this time will be lost to the communities about the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is to the public interest of the City and County of San Francisco and to the inhabitants thereof that said toll bridge be constructed at this time; and

Whereas, under an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act relating to revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority and authorizing counties, cities and counties, and cities, to purchase the same, this act to take effect immediately," approved April 21, 1933, and in effect from and after the 21st day of April, 1933, any county or city and county or city may, until four years from and after the date upon which said act took effect, purchase revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority for the construction of any toll bridge out of moneys of the general fund of such county, city and county, or city, or out of any fund of the county, city and county, or city, available for the purpose of laying out, constructing, maintaining or repairing public roads, bridges or highways, whether such moneys are raised by taxation or are received from the State by the county, city and county, or city; and

Whereas, a group of the banks in the City and County of San Francisco are ready and willing to bid for and purchase said first block of bonds, provided they be gvien some legal or moral assurance that within the time limited by said act above recited the County of Alameda and the City and County of San Francisco will purchase from said banks, for not less than the par or face value thereof, all of the revenue bonds so to be purchased by said banks; and

Whereas, said banks desire that Alameda County shall buy from them, as aforesaid, an amount of such revenue bonds so to be purchased by said banks which shall have an aggregate face or principal value of $260,000, and desire that the City and County of San Francisco shall buy from them, as aforesaid, an amount of such revenue bonds so to be purchased by said banks which shall have an aggregate face or principal value of $390,000; and

Whereas, said first block of revenue bonds are to be sold to repay to the State of California certain moneys heretofore advanced by the State to make certain surveys, estimates and investigations in connection with the proposed construction of said toll bridge, and the moral, if not the legal, obligation for the repayment to the State of the moneys so advanced is principally that of Alameda County and

the City and County of San Francisco and fairly and reasonably in the proportions above suggested; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. That if and when said banks bid and purchase said first block of the revenue bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority having an aggregate face or principal value of $650,000, in consideration of the premise, the City and County of San Francisco should, within the time liimted by said act hereinabove recited, and out of such funds as may be authorized by law, purchase from said banks an amount of such revenue bonds so to be purchased by said banks which shall have an aggregate face or principal value of $390,000, and should make the purchase of said revenue bonds from said banks in as nearly equal annual installments as may be practicable.

2. That it is hereby recommended to the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco that he make provision in the budget now in the course of preparation for the City and County of San Francisco for the new fiscal year for the purchase from said banks of an annual installment of said revenue bonds, and that he make like provisions in future budgets for further purchases of such revenue bonds, until the City and County of San Francisco shall have purchased from said banks the amount thereof above suggested, or until said banks shall have otherwise disposed of the same; or that, in place of making the provisions in said budgets as above suggested, such public moneys be used from time to time for the purchase of said revenue bonds as may be available in any appropriate fund, provided such purchases are first properly approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

In Re Bay Bridge Bonds.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Just a moment. I want to make a motion that the letters be filed, to being with, and that the Chief Administrative Officer be informed that this Board at this time makes no commitment as to what funds this money will be paid out of, and to—if I get a second, I will explain.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: I second the motion, for the reason that the communication from the Chief Administrative Officer specifically states it, the money shall come out of the gas tax funds.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I am protecting myself, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, from any possible statement in the future that there is a commitment and that this money is to be taken from the gas tax fund, if that might be, under the new State set-up, possible. I want the Chief Administrative Officer to know now that this Board makes no commitment, despite his letter and the statement therein as to what funds it is to come out of——

THE PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The resolution we are asked to pass carries no mention of any fund——

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (interrupting): I know that——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN (continuing): I have not the same feeling at all as expressed by Supervisor Gallagher. If the gas tax money is available and there is no other fund available, I would vote for it without any hesitation. I personally do not feel that it is particularly sacred, when it comes to a question of relative importance. I am not going to go on record one way or the other. You don't have to state that, your motion is surplus, and you are asking the Board to pass something that might embarrass the Board later. You don't

have to say that. You are not deciding in this motion what fund it shall come out of.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: But the Chief Administrative Officer is deciding what fund——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN (interrupting): That might be all right, but it might not need to come out of that; we can decide that when we come to it——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER (interrupting): I hope the Supervisor will see his way clear to withdraw that motion. I am in entire sympathy with Supervisor Gallagher in his feelings in the matter, but I want to say I was present at Sacramento when this matter came up last week, and it came up as an emergency action which had to be taken immediately in order to safeguard the State against the possibility of a delay, and possible serious interference with the $64,000,000 loan from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and the act was drafted in Sacramento by the attorneys for the toll bridge, in conversation and conjunction with Mr. Leland Cutler, and Mr. George Presley, who is here today, and the act passed by the Legislature does not provide that the money shall come out of the gas fund, but states that it shall come out of any funds of the City and County, so we are not under any commitment, Supervisor, and I think it might be advisable if we did not, in connection with the adoption of the resolution, put ourselves on record with respect to an internal controversy on that matter.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: That might be entirely so, but I want to call your attention to a commitment here on the part of the Chief Administrative Officer, which implies a commitment of the Board. He says: "I am forwarding herewith a proposed resolution to be passed by the Board of Supervisors in connection with this repurchase of said revenue bonds, and in the event of said resolution should be passed by the Board of Supervisors, I will make recommendation in preparing future budgets for the purchase of these bonds by the City and County of San Francisco, within the time limit. Said budget items are to be provided from the gas tax."

Now, that is a pretty definite statement, and I want Mr. Cleary to understand now and here that he can't tell me what fund he is going to take this out of, nor anybody from on that side of the hall. I don't want to get in the way, and I subscribe to the principle involved in the resolution, but I want it distinctly understood, and I ask the Board now—I will agree now, to withdraw my motion if the Board will permit the stenographer to give me a transcript of the proceedings in this matter so that I may hold the record for future reference if it be a fact that at this time there is no commitment.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: I shall be glad to withdraw the second to the motion, with that understanding, that we be given a copy of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. No objection (bringing down the gavel).

Supervisor Brown suggested that the matter of purchase of Bridge Bonds be referred to Retirement Board in expectation that bonds might be purchased out of Retirement Fund.

So ordered.

Federal Conservation Camps in California.

MAYOR'S OFFICE.

San Francisco, California,
April 24, 1933.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On April 10th I received the following telegram:

"For the present, in the State of California, recruits for civilian conservation camps will be drawn from the lists of men already registered with the County for relief or accredited agencies in the com-

munity handling unemployment relief. Following qualifications for eligibility: Ages, eighteen to twenty-five, unmarried having dependents, need determined by investigation, legal residence in California and American citizenship. Do not encourage new registration. Please designate responsible person to compile lists of men eligible under these rules and be prepared to submit names upon short notice. R. C. Branion."

Upon my suggestion, on April 11th, the following reply was forwarded to Mr. Branion:

"In compliance with the request contained in your telegram of April 11th, Mayor Rossi has directed me to advise you that inasmuch as the only available list of eligibles for consideration for employment in the conservation camps is in the hands of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, he has appointed Mr. Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, to take charge of this work.

"On behalf of Mayor Rossi, may I thank you for your splendid cooperation. Maurice L. Rapheld."

Today I am in receipt of a letter from the Emergency Relief Administrator with form enclosures (all of which are herewith enclosed), which will make it necessary for your Board to confirm my appointment of Mr. Wollenberg to the position above referred to.

Would you be good enough to give this matter your immediate attention in order that the work of enrollment of unemployed may be facilitated and the list available when called for?

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

R. C. BRANION

Emergency Relief Administrator

Sacramento, California,
April 22, 1933.

Chairman, Board of Supervisors: On April 10, 1933, I sent you a Postal Telegraph Night Letter, requesting you to designate at once and send me the name of a responsible person in your County to act in the selection of young men between the ages of eighteen and twenty-five years, unmarried with dependents, who wish to enroll in the Federal Conservation Camps.

I am attaching herewith a letter of authorization for the signature of the person so authorized by you. Please have it signed in duplicate and returned to me immediately.

Very truly yours,

R. C. BRANION,
Emergency Relief Administrator.

Date.....

Mr. R. C. Branion, Emergency Relief Administrator, Room 133, Capitol, Sacramento, California.

Dear Sir: The Board of Supervisors of..... County hereby authorizes..... whose signature appears below, as the responsible person to accept applications in the selection of eligible young men for enrollment in the Federal Emergency Conservation Camps in California.

.....
Chairman, Board of Supervisors.

.....
Signature of Enrollment Agent for.....County

Appointment Confirmed.

Supervisor Miles moved that the appointment of C. M. Wollenberg to receive applications for enrollment in the Federal Emergency Conservation Camps in California.

No objection and it *was so ordered.*

Salary Deductions.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,
April 24, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I have been advised that Charter Amendment No. 1, approved by the electors of the City and County on April 11, 1933, has been approved by both Houses of the State Legislature and has been duly engrossed and signed by the officials of each House, and was today filed with the Secretary of State, and that said Charter amendment is now in full force and effect.

I direct your attention to the fact that the amendment provides that within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare by resolution that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of the amendment.

I, therefore, advise you that in my judgment, due to the unemployment of a large number of our citizens and residents, there actually exists in the City and County of San Francisco at this time a public emergency which adversely affects the life, health and welfare of the citizens of our City and County and that the said extraordinary economic conditions constitute a public emergency, which said public emergency does now exist, and I anticipate the approximate time during which said emergency shall continue to exist to be until July 1, 1934.

I, therefore, request your Honorable Board to comply with the provisions of the Charter amendment mentioned and to join with me in officially declaring that the aforesaid public emergency exists within the meaning of said amendment and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and by said resolution to provide for the making of the deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the terms of said Charter amendment.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following was presented and *adopted*:

Providing for Deductions From the Salaries and Compensation of Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 752, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; and

Whereas, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco has, in writing, advised the Board of Supervisors that, in his judgment, by

reason of said unemployment conditions hereinabove set forth, extraordinary economic conditions exist in said City and County which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1934, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing on the date of the approval of this resolution by the Mayor, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred dollars per month, the respective amounts or percentages of said salaries or compensation as are set forth in Subdivision 2 of Section 70.1 of said Charter, and said Section 70.1 is by reference thereto hereby made a part of this resolution for the purpose of determining and fixing the respective amounts to be deducted from said salaries and compensations.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Explanation of Votes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: I will not attempt at this time to go into any argument because I don't think I could convince any of my colleagues as to my views on this matter. My views were stated originally in the Mayor's office some two or three months ago, at the time this matter was brought up, and are for the reasons I never felt an emergency existed, and second, I always felt that when the City employees, by resolution, asked us to consider a reduction from their salary amounting to almost the amount of money this particular resolution calls for, should have been accepted, and I, up in the Mayor's office, and here in the Board, voted "No," and I feel that I am consistent. I haven't been shown yet, and I feel a great mistake has been made in the enactment of this particular ordinance, because the same results would have been accomplished without the ordinance, and I feel it would have resulted in much better feeling on the part of the employees. I have employed men for many years, and I know what cooperation means, and I try to treat, and try to feel towards the City employees as I feel towards the men in private employment, and I feel that a mistake has been made. I voted "No" before, and I shall vote "No" today, because I feel we have made a mistake.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman, I should first like to ask the City Attorney whether under the terms of the Charter amendment, the action of the Board of Supervisors at this time in declaring an emergency, is not mandatory?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It is, under the section which provides that within ten days after the amendment becoming effective the Board of Supervisors shall declare an emergency exists, and that it is anticipated that such emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations of all officers and employees shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: During the consideration of the proposed wage reduction amendment, I was not in agreement with the policy submitted, and I cast my vote consistently against all of the proposed reductions. However, the matter was submitted to the people, and the people have approved the Charter amendment, and the people have issued a mandate to this Board of Supervisors—not a discretionary situation at all—they have issued a mandate to this Board of Supervisors to declare an emergency within a certain period of time after the adoption of the amendment by the people, and therefore believing in the policy of government that questions of this nature should be submitted to the people, and being one who has consistently voted to submit such questions to the people, and the voters having expressed their will, I shall obey the mandate of the people and vote for the ratification.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: My views are somewhat as expressed by Supervisor Havenner. When the matter was before the Board here I voted against the reduction of salaries of City employees, but I feel that I am now compelled to vote for this resolution today.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, I have been consistently in favor of the proposed amendment, as there isn't a question in the world that the emergency exists, none in the world. I believe that in their hearts, in the hearts of 95 per cent of the City employees, there is a realization that even with this cut they are still infinitely better off than lots of their brothers and sisters who are working outside of the City Hall. I think they realize that in the full. If they should be in error, and not realize it, I realize it, and say it again, that without a question of a doubt there is an emergency. There is no reason why this great emergency should not be carried, at least to some degree, equally by all of us, and the taxpayers are in such a position now it is impossible for them, out of work as they are, to pay these high wages to the employees in the City government. And this is a fair and moderate cut, and the only method of comparison that is possible is to compare the wages received for like services rendered outside of the City Hall. There is no other way of finding out whether they are properly paid or not. I say, without doubt, 99 per cent of those on the outside would indeed welcome the fact if they were sure their cut was going to be as moderate. There is another consideration which has real virtue; we are not compelled to lay anybody off, and as long as our City employees do the right thing they haven't that dread danger over their heads that they may lose their position. Taking all of that into consideration, and the two weeks' vacation pay, and the fact that their pay is not deducted when sick, they have a most liberal compensation provided for them, and really I haven't a moment's hesitation in voting for this, and I say quite frankly it would be a disappointment to me if I did not feel that practically all of the City employees realize that even with this cut they are in a much better position than those on the outside.

Report on Garbage Disposal.

The following was presented, read and *referred to Health Committee*:

San Francisco, California,

April 24, 1933.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Under date of January 9, 1933, your Honorable Board on motion of Supervisor Brown, extended the contract in effect with the Scavengers' Protective Union for a period of ninety (90) days.

A motion was likewise adopted providing that the report of the Chief Administrative Officer on Garbage disposal be accepted, that the Health Committee study same, and also hear any proposals for the

solution of the garbage problem, and bring in some definite plan to the Board within forty-five (45) days.

Since the passage of this motion, an intensive study has been in progress to determine the advisability of adopting the Fill and Cover Method at Mills Field. Herewith is a report to the City Engineer by Mr. Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer, transmitted by the City Engineer, under date of April 24th, 1933; also, a report by Mr. Leslie Stocker on the subject, transmitted to the Public Utilities Commission.

These reports indicate the advisability of using a sanitary fill at Mills Field. However, before making a final recommendation on this subject, I am anxious to continue the experiments now being made at Bay Shore as to the practicability of utilizing a dredge for the purpose of making a sanitary fill. The members of your Board will doubtless wish to make a personal examination of this method before determining on a final and permanent policy.

Moreover, certain bills have been introduced in the Legislature on the subject of garbage disposal, the ultimate outcome of which must be known before a final recommendation is made.

In addition, we should have a final adjudication by the courts as to the City's legal rights, and I am advised by the City Attorney that eight (8) months may elapse before the matter can be finally adjudicated by the Supreme Court.

It is, therefore, my recommendation that the contract with the Scavengers' Protective Union be continued until December 31, 1933.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,

April 24, 1933.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Jesse C. Colman, Supervisor, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing April 26, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 753, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days commencing April 24, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Re U. S. Frigate "Constitution."

Washington, D. C.
April 18, 1933.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of April 4, with the attached copy of the resolutions adopted by Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, and which request that the "Constitution" return to that city for a period of one year.

In reply may I advise you that the Navy Department will be pleased to give consideration to this request provided certain definite arrangements can be made with the civic authorities for such an extended visit. As you know, the "Constitution" is not a self-supporting ship; there are no facilities for lighting or heating and only very limited ones for cooking on board. Under present conditions of operation, the tender "Grebe" furnishes these facilities. If the ship were to remain at San Francisco over the proposed period in question, the Navy Department would be unable to retain the "Grebe" as a tender with the ship. It would, therefore, become necessary to make arrangements to have the requirements of the ship furnished from ashore. These would include lighting, heating and adequate provisions for cooking and sanitation. In addition, of course, it would be necessary to provide a safe, permanent and accessible dock for the ship with adequate fire and police protection at all times.

The Navy Department would expect the City authorities to furnish the above facilities without charge to the Navy. The ship itself would continue to remain entirely under the jurisdiction of the Navy and its operation, so far as visiting hours, et cetera, are concerned, would be as prescribed by the commanding officer of the ship.

The question of fire and police protection is an important one. The ship is of such historical value, and contains so many articles which have been presented to the ship by patriotic citizens, that the Navy Department is always concerned with its safety.

Even if these conditions are met, the ship is committed to certain visits after August and these, of course, would have to be made before the ship finally comes to San Francisco.

The Commandant, Twelfth Naval District, and the Commanding Officer of the "Constitution" have been instructed to consider the conditions which will be required in connection with this project and to advise you when they will be prepared to discuss it with you.

Very respectfully,

W. B. PRATT, Acting.

Visit of the U. S. Frigate "Constitution."

Whereupon the following was presented by Supervisor Spaulding and *adopted.*

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 755, as follows:

Whereas, the recent visit of the U. S. Frigate "Constitution" to the City and County of San Francisco was the subject of widespread interest; and

Whereas, the famous old ship, during her entire stay here, was hostess to an average of 20,000 people each day; and

Whereas, the "Constitution" aroused in the people of the State of California a spirit of patriotic enthusiasm such as has not been demonstrated in many years of peace time; and

Whereas, the itinerary of the "Constitution" did not permit of a stay in San Francisco sufficient in length to permit a visit by even a small fraction of the people who desired to see her; and

Whereas, it was felt that if the "Constitution" were permitted to remain in San Francisco for a longer period of time the people, especially the children, of Northern California and bordering interior states, would have an opportunity to visit her; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, together with several civic organizations, did petition the naval authorities at Washington and did request that the "Constitution" be permitted to remain in San Francisco for a period of one year; and

Whereas, the naval authorities have, with certain stipulations concerning the maintenance and preservation of this historic old veteran, acceded to this request; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to devise ways and means of complying with the requirements set forth by the Navy Department as a condition to the granting of the "Constitution's" protracted stay in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, together with a request for the consideration of the dockage problem involved, be forwarded to his Excellency, Governor Rolph.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Inspection Fee Ordinance.

Communication from the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research suggesting the suspension or revocation of the all-inclusive features of Section 3 of the Inspection Fee Ordinance.

Referred to Charter Installation Committee.

Final Passage.

The following bill was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote :

Amending Section 30 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, Annual Salary Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 319, Ordinance No. 9.05338, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 30 of Ordinance No. 9.0539, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 18 thereof and declaring same to be an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 30 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 to read as follows:

Section 30. *Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1	B92	Chief Clerk	$ 325
2	1	B93	Tax Collector	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller	240
4	1	B102	Teller	215
5	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
6	1	B108	Chief Teller, Tax Collector's Office	300
7			Seasonal Clerk or Stenographer	150
8	3	B222	General Clerk	165
9	1	B222	General Clerk	215
10	20	B222	General Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	275

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
14	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
15	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
16	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
17	1	G152	License Adjuster	215
18		B308	Comptometer Operator (as needed)	150

Section 2. That the continuation of the work of the Tax Collector's office and the establishment of the positions herein added constitute an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary immediately for the welfare of many of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the Tax Collector's office.

Section 3. That by reason of the existence of said emergency this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Committee on Founding of German Day.
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 754, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor Angelo J. Rossi be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to cooperate with other organizations in the celebration of the 250th anniversary of the founding of German Day, October 1, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

San Francisco Representative, California Day at Chicago Exposition.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that, if deemed proper, the Mayor appoint San Francisco representative to Chicago Exposition on occasion of celebration there of California Day.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 7:05 p. m., the Board took a recess until Thursday, April 27, 1933, when hearing on Milk Ordinance will be continued.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By David A. Barry,
Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 1, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 18

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Thursday, April 27, 1933
Monday, May 1, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

S

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, April 27, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of consideration of the proposed "Milk Ordinance."

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3:05 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 4:10 p. m.

Supervisor Miles presiding.

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.05.)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered

for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Market Cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made

from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer

has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory

of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk or cream from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:*

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk: Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than 10,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths (3.5) per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized: Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum: The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health.*

When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than fifty thousand (50,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade "B" Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk*: *Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and*

used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenhit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified milk, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy

farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints, ⅓ quarts and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to

be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks

or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer

agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser asked to be excused at 5:15 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Power, moved that Supervisor Peyser be excused at 5:15 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Spaulding—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—6.

Excused—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Supervisor Stanton asked to be excused at 6:30 p. m.

No objection.

Supervisor Breyer, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that the Board do not proceed until absentees are brought in.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Spaulding—3.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Motion.

The following amendment proposed by Supervisor Havenner at last meeting was taken up on motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

In Subdivision 7 of Section 2, after the words "Market Cream," in line 7 of said subdivision, insert the words "at the expiration of sixty (60) days from and after the effective date of this ordinance."

Amendment.

Supervisor Colman moved as amendment the following:

1. Proposed amendment to Section 2, Subdivision 7, of the Milk Ordinance:

Eliminate the next to the last sentence in the first paragraph of Subdivision 7, reading as follows:

"Market cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk."

And substitute therefor the following:

"*Cream shall have been produced from non-reacting tuberculin-tested cows, or shall be pasteurized, and shall otherwise conform to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.* The Director of Public Health shall forthwith cause to be made a survey of the market milk conforming to the standards set by this ordinance which is available for separation into cream for use in San Francisco and shall at the same time make an investigation to determine when such milk, in sufficient quantity for such purpose, will be available. The Director of Public Health shall within sixty days from the effective date of this ordinance report in writing to the Board of Supervisors the results of such survey and investigation, together with his recommendation of the time when such market milk conforming to the standards set herein will be available for separation into cream in the quantity required in San Francisco. Upon receiving such report the Board of Supervisors shall fix a date on and after which *market cream shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market milk shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk.*

2. Proposed amendment to Section 2, Subdivision 14, Paragraph Tenth. Eliminate the words: "or cream."

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Geiger, in reply to query of Supervisor Peyser as to whether at the end of sixty days we could obtain a cream supply to conform to the requirement of the proposed amendment, said: "If San Francisco's milk shed area is 50 or 55 miles of territory around San Francisco, we cannot get sufficient tuberculin-tested cream at this time. There is a surplus in Oakland, in Butte County and in Lassen County. If we are to go out of our area we will have to expect inspections of other departments."

T. Russell Robertson, representing Salinas Valley Dairymen's Association, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that in the separation of milk the cream is driven to the center by centrifugal force and the T. B. germs, if there are any, because of their lightness, are driven into the cream, and as a result there is far more T. B. germs present in cream than in milk proportionately.

He declared, furthermore, that there is a surplus of cream in the Salinas Valley available for the San Francisco market. In the Salinas Valley, he said, a half a million dollars has been spent to produce T. B. tested milk. Now we have the product, but we have no market because of the contractor breaking his contract.

Frank Glass, representing Natural Milk Producers' Association: About a year and a half ago the city of Stockton did exactly what San Francisco did a few months ago by stating that after January first, a year ago, that the city of Stockton insisted on having T. B. tested milk, but the large dealers opposed the inclusion of any cream in that ordinance. The only way it was finally passed was that cream was eliminated.

The result was that the dairymen, at their own expense, did just what these dairymen did in Salinas Valley. They cleaned up and there was a surplus of T. B. tested cream available.

Now the nationally-owned milk companies are operating in Stockton in plants where they produce cream from T. B. tested herds.

That surplus of milk from T. B. tested cows, available for cream, as far as treating the people fairly, that surplus was used to beat the dairymen's price down. That is the only thing it was used for. When the people of Stockton realized the situation, the Health Officer of the city of Stockton desired to amend that ordinance to include cream to take up this surplus. The Parent-Teachers' Association, the Stockton Merchants' Alliance, etc., were all in favor of that ordinance. We had the devil's own time to even pass it, because the nationally-owned companies wanted to have the surplus of cream milk to control the price and keep it down. We finally succeeded in passing the ordinance in Stockton for T. B. tested cream.

The plants in the county receiving milk from T. B. tested cows and making it into cream want to continue to ship it into San Francisco. The opposition is not from the dairymen who are producing natural milk, not from the Health Officer, but from the dealers who want to ship low grade cream produced in manufacturing centers. The only way you are going to get T. B. free cream is to do what Dr. Geiger did for milk.

Supervisor Peyser: There is more milk consumed than there is cream. If it is to the advantage to the so-called trust not to have T. B. tested herds, why do they not object to T. B. tested milk?

Mr. Glass: They did object, but Dr. Geiger and Dr. Hassler would not stand for it.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's proposed amendment and the same was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Thereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's amendment and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Amendment.

Supervisor Breyer moved to amend:

Amendment No. 5.

In Section 4, Subdivision 1, line 20, strike out the period at the end of line 20 and in lieu thereof insert a comma and the following: "And unless the person owning and or operating said plant shall also pay the

salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall devote all his time to supervising the production of market milk at such plant in accordance with the terms of this ordinance."

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Glass: In re Supervisor Gallagher's amendment No. 5. That amendment is putting back into the law exactly what has been the law since April 2, 1926. Milk is coming into San Francisco in two ways: By ten-gallon cans filled at the dairy where it is produced, each can of which is identified by a number or a name. Other milk loses its identity when it comes into San Francisco. Milk that might comply with the ordinance from one dairy would be mixed or merged in the plant with that of another dairy. If we are going to leave it to the plant in the country to bring in milk without an inspector on the job, there is no earthly way for an inspector to know whether the plant permits milk to come into San Francisco without proper inspection.

T. P. Lydon, Chief Inspector: The man paid by a milk company is responsible to the milk company and not to the Board of Health. We have a man that goes down there twice a month and inspects all these dairies and takes samples.

Dr. Geiger: I am perfectly willing to accept the extra man and for that particular plant to pay the money to the City, but I do not want that man a resident there. I want him under my control, where I can place him wherever I want him.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would make this suggestion: Perhaps Mr. Glass and Mr. Lydon could get together and elaborate the amendment, take out the ambiguity and bring it back to us.

RECESS.

Supervisor Shannon: I move we recess until 10 o'clock Monday morning, with the understanding in respect to the last amendment presented by Mr. Breyer, that we request Dr. Geiger, Mr. Lydon and Mr. Glass to get together on this point.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 1, 1933, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 1, 1933, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 11:50 a. m.
Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 11:45 a. m.
Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 11:20 a. m.
Supervisor Power appeared and was noted present at 10:35 a. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 20 and 24, 1933, were considered read and approved.

MORNING SESSION—10 A. M.

SPECIAL ORDER—10 A. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Milk Ordinance.
(Code No. 17.05.)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard*

of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Market Cream at the expiration of sixty (60) days from and after the effective date of this ordinance shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of one per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with

pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not

less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing, shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk or cream from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO*:

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk: (a) certified milk, (b) guaranteed pasteurized milk, (c) Grade A pasteurized milk and (d) Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk*: *Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized*: *Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*: *The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.*

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of react-

ing animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant, when it must not contain more than fifty thousand (50,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade "B" Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk*: *Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenhit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the words "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hun-

dred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk, except certified milk, intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints, ⅓ quarts and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance

shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing

has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor

to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle or container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco,

to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to*

make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 20. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 21. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 22. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Privilege of the Floor.

Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, was granted the privilege of the floor. He urged that the Board support Dr. Geiger. He said in part: Dr. Geiger has given this matter great thought and has tried to reconcile the parties interested. I believe that the Board of Supervisors should show their confidence in Dr. Geiger by approving the recommendations he has made which are also the recommendations of the Chief Administrator. I know that Dr. Geiger arranged several meetings at which you (Supervisor Gallagher) were present, to see if this matter could be settled in a way that would meet no opposition. That was impossible.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: What happened at the meetings—the first one?

MR. CLEARY: We have had so many meetings that I do not know what happened at any particular meeting, Supervisor Gallagher. You had certain requests, the main one is that the Board of Health duplicate the inspection force of the medical association.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Isn't it a fact that in your office, at your call, I attended a meeting, and at that meeting the following propositions were made to me:

1. That the inspection be by the Board of Health.
2. That the dairies pay the cost.
3. That the standards be the same as certified.
4. That the inspectors be selected by Dr. Geiger and the Medical Milk Commission.

MR. CLEARY: No, that is not a fact. The first, namely, that the inspectors be appointed by Dr. Geiger. At every meeting held in my office it was specifically stated that the inspectors would be the milk commission which was now doing that and would continue to do it.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Cleary's memory is at fault. The only point we differed on is as to who would do the inspection. The point we differed on and the only point, Mr. Chairman, was, that the inspectors be selected by Dr. Geiger, which was agreed to. On certified standards, we were willing, willing on payment of fees involved, and still are. We disagreed on selection of medical milk inspectors. We do not want to put Mr. Krobisch in their hands. Any

other inspector would suit us. Would you tell us, Mr. Cleary, what streptococcus has got to do with this argument?

MR. CLEARY: As I say, I am not a medical expert, but it is my understanding from Dr. Geiger, the process of pasteurization absolutely kills the streptococcus bacilli in milk. On questions of medical technique I would prefer you to ask Dr. Geiger. On the question of medical milk commission making this examination, you will remember that was the point in issue. Neither Dr. Geiger, Mr. Arnstein or myself would consent to duplication of expense or inspection.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Does Dr. Geiger support your statement that pasteurization is the only method by which streptococcus in milk can be killed?

DR. GEIGER: The only method is by pasteurization.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: If streptococcus can only be killed by pasteurization, why let the medical milk commission go on certifying milk.

SUPERVISOR SPAULDING: I want to congratulate the San Francisco Chronicle on the editorial in this morning's paper and ask that the Clerk read same.

Clerk read editorial.

SUPERVISOR SPAULDING: In comment on that editorial, I might say that they are perfectly right in one sense. Here is an ordinance that has been pending before this Board for nearly six months. It has been before us in at least three or four meetings. The crux of the ordinance is contained in Section 3, milk for human consumption in San Francisco. If we get that behind us in this Board today, the policies of the department can be determined later. I move that we immediately proceed with Section 3. (Supervisor Peyser seconded the motion.)

SUPERVISOR BREYER: I rise to a question of personal privilege. In answer to Supervisor Spaulding, after this ordinance was presented to the Health Committee and after report was brought into this Board, there were introduced 41 amendments to the original ordinance. It became necessary to discuss these 41 amendments. To a great measure this is the reason for this particular delay.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Is there any part of the ordinance that you think there will not be any controversy over? Could we proceed with that and come back to Section 3?

SUPERVISOR BREYER: Should we not go along with Section 4? We were considering Section 4, why not finish that?

(Supervisor Gallagher entered and on learning that editorial had been read by the Clerk, asked the floor on the question of personal privilege.)

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I want to say that in connection with this editorial that I have been the victim of this thing for a long time. The Supervisor who had it read fosters it to a certain degree by his actions. I intend to answer these editorials and Chronicle reviews at the proper time.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I must decline to proceed until the call of the Board is completed.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: In view of Mr. Gallagher's attitude, in view of the fact that some members of this Board make it a practice to stay away from meetings every week until three o'clock, I move that the Sergeant-at-Arms be sent out to bring these members in and we now recess until two o'clock. (Seconded by Supervisor Peyser.)

SUPERVISOR SPAULDING: I hope that that will not prevail. Supposing this afternoon somebody doesn't get here till three or half past three. You are wrecking this ordinance. You have two special orders on for this afternoon.

Motion *lost*.

Amendment.

The following substitute for Supervisor Gallagher's Amendment No. 5, presented by Dr. Geiger, was accepted and *adopted*:

Amend Subdivision 1 of Section 4 and of line 20, replacing period with comma, and add the following:

"and unless the person owning or operating said cooling plant shall pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall supervise the production of market milk on dairy farms supplying said plant, and whose duty it shall be also to inspect and sample all milk received at said plant. Said inspector shall also perform such other duties not inconsistent with the provisions of this section, as shall be assigned to him by the Director of Public Health.

"Funds sufficient to defray the salary and maintenance of said inspector shall be deposited monthly with an authorized representative of the City and County of San Francisco."

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

Amendment No. 11.

In section 3, strike out all of subdivision 3, and in lieu thereof insert the following: "Subdivision 3. Guaranteed Raw Milk: Guaranteed Raw Milk is Market Milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum.

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of the Director of Public Health and all cows shall be tested at least once every six months for tuberculosis by the tuberculin test, said test to be made by a veterinarian employed by the Director of Public Health or a veterinarian authorized by said Director of Public Health. Only animals free from Brucella abortus shall be permitted on a Guaranteed Raw Milk dairy and as often as may be necessary the animals producing said milk shall be tested by the agglutination test. Any unhealthy animal or any animal reacting to either of the herein provided tests shall be immediately removed from the milking herd and no milk from any reacting animal shall be sold or used as any grade of Market Milk. It shall be produced on dairy farms scoring not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall be bottled with mechanical bottling and capping equipment on the dairy premises where produced, and must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination, and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less and so maintained until delivered to the consumer, when it shall contain not more than ten thousand bacteria per milliliter and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer.

All persons who come in contact with Raw Market Milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examinations

by the Director of Public Health or by other persons or laboratory approved in writing by said director conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the said Director of Public Health.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Kelly was heard as to duties and function of the San Francisco County Milk Commission.

Mr. McMillain, representing Central Mission Improvement Club, was heard in respect to certain investigations in dairy ranches.

Mr. Krobisch, owner of Troutmere Dairy Farm, was heard at length in opposition to the ordinance.

Frank Glass, representing Natural Milk Producers' Association, was also heard at length.

Amendment Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, McSheehy—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

Amendment No. 1.

After the end of Section 19 insert the following:

Section 19-A, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *The Dairy Herd, Testing Inspected Herds*: The dairy herd on any dairy farm receiving a permit to produce or ship guaranteed milk into the City and County of San Francisco shall undergo an annual physical examination which shall include the testing of said herd with tuberculin, and every bovine on the farm over six (6) months of age shall be required to submit to said physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health of San Francisco. The tuberculin shall be furnished by the owners of the herd tested.

Reacting Animals: All animals reacting to said tuberculin test shall be branded T. B. and removed from said dairy farm within fifteen days.

Additions to Dairy Herd: All additions made to said dairy herd shall undergo the physical and tuberculin test under the direction and supervision of the Director of Public Health before said additions are admitted to the herd.

Animals Passed to Be Tagged: All bovines passed shall be tagged with a numbered metal tag bearing date of test and the words: "Tested and Passed, Board of Health, San Francisco."

Retesting of Herd Annually: The entire herd and every bovine on said farm over six (6) months of age shall be annually retested prior to the reissuance of a permit.

Regulation 17. *Dairy Herd Producing Milk for Pasteurization*: The dairy herd, on any farm receiving a permit to produce or ship milk into the City and County of San Francisco, shall be free from disease as determined by physical examination at least once in two months, by a qualified veterinarian of the Board of Health.

Regulation 18. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 19. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 20. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 21. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 22. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in con-

tact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 23. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures wihch shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 24. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 25. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 26. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever,

measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved to strike out Regulations 16 and 17.
No objection.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Section 19-A, as amended, was *approved.*

Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the Milk Ordinance, in words and figures following, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Milk Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer,

agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Market Cream at the expiration of sixty (60) days from and after the effective date of this ordinance shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or

without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance,

composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk or cream from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO*:

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk*: (*a*) *certified milk*, (*b*) *guaranteed pasteurized milk*, (*c*) *Grade A pasteurized milk and* (*d*) *Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk*: *Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized*: *Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*: *The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health.*

When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than fifty thousand (50,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) *per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million* (1,000,000) *bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand* (50,000) *bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.*

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk: Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact,"

or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints, ⅓ quarts and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance, and unless the person owning or operating said cooling plant shall pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall supervise the production of market milk on dairy farms supplying said plant, and whose duty it shall be also to inspect and sample all milk received at said plant.

Said inspector shall also perform such other duties not inconsistent with the provisions of this section, as shall be assigned to him by the Director of Public Health.

Funds sufficient to defray the salary and maintenance of said inspector shall be deposited monthly with an authorized representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed

by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public

Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation

to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

Section 20, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or de-

fective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition

and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing, rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and

shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 17. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 18. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 19. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a

platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 20. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or

stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 21. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 22. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 23. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 24. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 21. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 22. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 23. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Feyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m. this day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

AFTERNOON SESSION—2 P. M.

PRESENTATION OF BUDGET, 1933-1934.

The following was presented, read and *referred to Finance Committee. Copies to members*:

Mayor's Budget and Recommendations, 1933-1934.

San Francisco, Cal., April 29, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In consonance with section 72 of the Charter, I am herewith presenting the estimated annual Budget for the ensuing fiscal year. In the drafting of this Budget, certain major factors had to be considered. They are the extraordinary economic condition existent, the unprecedented demands for hospitalization and welfare aid in all its branches, shrinkage of revenue, mandatory commitments and last, but by no means the least, the ability of the taxpayers to bear the burden of tax imposition. To deal fairly with these factors, I have faithfully striven and accordingly offer this compilation.

There has been included for relief $1,000,000—$700,000 of which is to be applied for unemployment relief and $300,000 for emergencies.

We have at present, slightly in excess of $2,180,000 unsold relief bonds. With the sale of these bonds, plus the above allocation of $700,000, there will be available approximately $3,000,000 for unemployment relief. I have reasons to believe that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, through the State Relief Administration, will match this amount, thereby making available approximately $6,000,000 or sufficient to meet our unemployment relief demands for the next fiscal year.

The present Congress has enacted certain measures severely curtailing hospitalization service and pensions to our War Veterans. A casual survey of the additional cost to San Francisco, because of the

shift of this responsibility, has been estimated to be in excess of $350,000. In the absence of definite figures, I have, as noted above, recommended that $300,000 be provided for emergency purposes.

We San Franciscans have always creditably provided for our less fortunate worthy citizens who require aid. May I submit the following list of amounts that I recommend to be applied for that purpose. These allocations are exclusive of the unemployment and emergency relief items:

a—Laguna Honda Home	$ 519,265	
b—City and County Hospital	1,105,800	
c—Emergency Hospitals	181,886	
d—Relief for the Blind	85,000	
e—Relief for the Aged	340,000	
f—Relief for Widows and Orphans	230,000	
g—Feeble Minded Home	130,000	
h—Maintenance of Minors (Orphans and Half Orphans)	625,000	
		$3,216,951

Of the major increase in mandatory commitments, I call your attention to the item of Bond Interest and Redemption, which shows an increase of $839,597 over the Budget allocation of the present fiscal year.

I recommend that the minimum of ten cents (10c) on each One Hundred Dollar ($100) valuation, as defined in section 78 of the Charter be allocated for parks and squares—this amount to be exclusive of the savings through salary deductions as they apply to this department. I am assured that the accrual from this deduction will be expended in the construction of a commemorative Easter Cross on top of Mt. Davidson.

Sufficient moneys have been allowed the Public Utilities Commission for capital expenditures in the Water Department, Hetch Hetchy Power operative and airport, and ample provision has been made in the Municipal Railways for depreciation and replacements to fully accommodate these items for the ensuing year.

Reductions have been made to a minimum, wherein I believe there may be no impairment of service or possibility of non-proper functioning of the various departments with particular consideration having been given to our Police and Fire Bureaus

This reduction, inclusive of salary deductions, amounts to $4,962,599. Under the appropriations made for the present fiscal year, there is evidenced a very definite falling off of revenue, exclusive of taxes. The anticipated deficiency in this respect is a grand total of $1,095,439. It is possible that there may be some changes in the recapitulation as set up, for there is now pending before the State Legislature, measures, should they be adopted, that would effect the Superior and Municipal Courts, the Tax Collector's and Controller's offices.

The recent Fremont School fire has quite forcibly conveyed to us the extreme fire hazards that obtain in certain of our school buildings. When parents send their dear ones to our public schools, every assurance must be held out to them for their safe return home. Insofar as fire hazards are concerned, protection lies in fireproof buildings and not in fire insurance policies. We cannot delay our program to overcome this condition. I, therefore, recommend and most earnestly urge your Honorable Board to proceed immediately with the details and necessary legislation to create a bond issue in an amount of approximately $3,500,000, the funds so raised to be used exclusively in the replacement of fire-trap school buildings, and thereby overcoming the hazards above mentioned. This matter has been taken up with Mr. P. L. Bush, President of the Board of Education, and the policy above referred to meets with his approval.

Section 72 of the Charter provides that the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors the estimated tax rate required by the

budget on appropriations. Predicated upon the assessment roll, which made possible the present tax rate of $3.96, I estimate the budget for 1933-1934, which I am herewith submitting, can be sustained by a tax levy of $3.76 on each $100 assessed valuation. However, I have been advised by the Assessor that there will be a reduction in the assessment roll. This shrinkage will proportionately effect the estimated tax rate herewith submitted.

For your benefit, I am offering the following tabulation in order that you can, at a glance, observe where the economies in connection with this budget obtain:

	1932-33	*1933-34*	*Decrease*
TOTAL BUDGET	$63,972,943	$55,542,674	$8,430,269
Less Bond Fund Appropriations	5,666,700	851,182	4,815,518
Current Revenue Budget	$58,306,243	$54,691,492	$3,614,751
Revenue other than Taxes	26,443,981	25,348,542	1,095,439
Revenue from Taxes	$31,862,262	$29,342,950	$2,519,312
Decrease in Current Revenue Budget		$ 3,614,751	
Increase in Bond Interest and Redemption:			
1933-34	$12,627,764		
1932-33	11,788,167	$ 839,597	
Decrease in Operating Budget 1933-34 compared to 1932-33		$ 4,454,348	
Decrease of Department request compared with Mayor's recommendation		$ 4,962,599	

Pursuant to the terms of the Charter, I am herewith submitting to your Honorable Board a draft of the annual appropriation ordinance as presented to me by the Controller, Leonard S. Leavy.

May I, in conclusion, add that the cooperative spirit of Boards and Commissions and Department Heads has been most gratifying, for many of the reductions reflected in this budget were made possible through their assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE, 1933-34

The following Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1933-34, was presented to the Board of Supervisors on Monday, May 1, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 320, Ordinance No. 9.05164, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided. Such estimated receipts, revenue, and income are computed on the accrual basis and cash received in payment thereof either before or after the close of the fiscal year shall not be considered as income or revenue but as payment on account.

The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance subject to the limitations provided herein. Where revenues for any fund or department are provided herein by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer.

Appropriations for bond interest or redemption, fixed charges and other appropriations not allocated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the Chief Administrative Officer, for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 80 of the Charter. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor, or reimbursement is recollectible in full from the departments, persons or institutions for which the service is rendered, shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of such receipts or collections. Each Department head to whom such a provisional appropriation is made shall file with the Controller monthly an estimate in detail of the proposed expenditures for the ensuing period, also a statement of the amount of expenditures made and the receipts or reimbursements received or receivable since the last report.

The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein, subject to the provisions of the Charter dealing with the appropriation and transfer of funds, the certification of obligations and the payment of warrants.

Section 3. The sums appropriated by this appropriation ordinance to the several departments shall be expended in accordance with a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as determined by the Controller and agreed to by the Mayor for departments under his jurisdiction and/or the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure, and shall not approve the incurring of liability on any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Mayor or Chief Administrative Officer and the approval of the Controller.

The several items of expenditure appropriated to the several departments being based upon estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to revise estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a potential shortage, the Controller may hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured.

Section 4. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as provided in Section 3.

Section 5. The existing Special and Trust funds are hereby continued and the receipts in, and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is authorized to set up additional special and trust funds as they may be created by additional bequests or other conditions.

Section 6. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance: From Revenue	Budget Allowance: By Transfer	Budget Allowance: Total	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
GENERAL FUND—											
From Schedule 1	.....	18,799,964	15,608,234	920,884	16,529,118	189,509	2,745,250	920,884	12,673,475	16,529,118	.....
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS											
Common School (Tentative)	.....	8,837,710	7,947,710	.....	7,947,710	.....	2,144,819	.....	5,802,891	7,947,710	.....
Special School (Tentative)	.....	360,000	360,000	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	360,000	.....
Library	.....	339,659	328,589	.....	328,589	.....	20,000	See Note (1)	308,589	328,589	.....
Recreation	.....	614,815	549,383	.....	549,383	.....	30,500	See Note (2)	518,883	549,383	.....
Park	.....	1,283,858	1,082,591	.....	1,082,591	.....	332,591	See Note (3)	750,000	1,082,591	.....
De Young Museum	.....	85,000	64,301	.....	64,301	.....	500	.....	63,801	64,301	.....
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	85,000	62,428	.....	62,428	.....	500	.....	61,928	62,428	.....
War Memorial	.....	109,013	90,225	.....	90,225	.....	20,000	.....	70,225	90,225	.....
Employees' Retirement	5,000	2,843,300	1,439,077	670,500	2,109,577	.....	.....	670,500	1,434,077	2,104,577	.....
Workmen's Compensation	.....	120,526	25,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000	25,000	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	6,981,634	5,646,130	12,627,764	.....	80,000	5,646,130	6,901,634	12,627,764	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	94,027	94,027	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	94,027	.....
Publicity and Advertising	.....	175,000	165,000	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	165,000	.....
County Road	102,010	1,102,110	1,102,110	.....	1,102,110	.....	1,000,000	.....	100	1,000,100	.....
Water Department	725,000	7,075,302	6,444,500	.....	6,444,500	.....	6,322,500	.....	.....	6,322,500	603,000
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	2,040,050	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....
Public Utilities Commission	.....	74,826	.....	74,226	74,226	.....	.....	74,226	.....	74,226	.....
Municipal Railway	157,000	3,192,190	2,992,393	.....	2,992,393	.....	2,722,073	.....	113,320	2,835,393	.....
Installation Fund Dept. of Electricity	.....	2,500	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	.....	2,500	.....
BOND FUNDS											
1932 Hetch Hetchy	.....	851,182	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	.....	851,182	.....
	(A)				(D)					(B)	(C)
Totals	989,010	60,663,796	48,230,934	7,311,740	55,542,674	189,509	8,312,465	7,311,740	29,342,950	55,156,664	603,000

(A) Estimated Balances as at June 30, 1933	989,010	
(B) Estimated Revenues year 1933-1934	55,156,664	56,145,674
(C) Estimated Balances as at June 30, 1934		603,000
(D) Available for 1933-1934 Budget		55,542,674

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Board of Supervisors	.....	93,780	83,965	.....	83,965	.....					
Mayor's Office	.....	46,180	40,598	.....	40,598	.....					
Mayor's Emergency Reserve	.....	300,000	300,000	.....	300,000	.....					
Assessor	.....	258,185	228,665	.....	228,665	.....					
City Attorney	.....	91,425	62,903	16,000	78,903	.....	.....	16,000	.....	.....	.....
District Attorney	.....	107,480	94,265	.....	94,265	.....					
Treasurer	.....	46,066	39,770	.....	39,770	.....					
Sheriff	.....	355,551	295,118	.....	295,118	10,000					
Public Defender	.....	18,380	15,482	.....	15,482	.....					
Police	.....	3,520,862	3,052,820	.....	3,052,820	.....					
Fire	.....	3,225,873	2,849,989	.....	2,849,989	74,644					
Board of Permit Appeals	.....	8,240	7,725	.....	7,725	.....					
Park	.....	532,113	99,469	.....	99,469	.....					
Art Commission	.....	34,900	32,075	.....	32,075	.....					
Aquarium	.....	50,000	40,500	.....	40,500	.....					
Airport	.....	186,114	167,621	.....	167,621	19,000					
Municipal Court	.....	221,415	188,752	.....	188,752	.....					
Superior Court	.....	221,480	202,394	.....	202,394	.....					
Law Library	.....	10,800	9,322	.....	9,322	.....					
Juvenile Court	.....	729,870	704,140	.....	704,140	.....					
Juvenile Detention Home	.....	29,795	27,795	.....	27,795	.....					
Adult Probation Department	.....	28,335	24,452	.....	24,452	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer	.....	20,000	16,515	.....	16,515	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer General Appropriations	.....	934,552	930,152	.....	930,152	.....					
Finance and Records	.....	8,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....					
Finance and Records—Tax Collector	.....	145,165	128,516	.....	128,516	.....					

(Schedule I continued on next page)

City and County of San Francisco BUDGET 1933-1934—GENERAL FUND (Continued) Schedule I

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters	.....	234,166	209,563	.....	209,563	.....					
Finance and Records—Recorder's Office	.....	100,100	84,982	.....	84,982	.....					
Finance and Records—County Clerk	.....	153,378	133,683	.....	133,683	.....					
Public Administrator	.....	39,184	33,586	.....	33,586	.....					
Purchasing Department	.....	547,452	478,356	41,236	519,592	.....	.....	41,236	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department	.....	18,300	11,423	.....	11,423	.....					
Real Estate Department—Auditorium	.....	57,159	49,863	.....	49,863	40,000					
Department of Public Works	.....	1,476,476	1,339,966	.....	1,339,966	45,865					
Department of Electricity	.....	201,578	178,274	.....	178,274	.....					
Department of Public Health	.....	2,696,085	2,471,324	.....	2,471,324	.....					
County Welfare	.....	787,260	691,716	.....	691,716	.....					
Coroner	.....	49,245	43,347	.....	43,347	.....					
Agricultural Commission	.....	19,290	13,286	.....	13,286	.....					
Dept. of Weights and Measures	.....	23,650	20,650	.....	20,650	.....					
Controller	.....	163,430	125,031	19,980	145,011	.....	.....	19,980	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	.....	13,680	12,300	.....	12,300	.....					
Street and Public Building Lighting (P. U. C.)	.....	874,000	789,000	55,000	844,000	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....
Civil Service Commission	.....	53,870	45,449	.....	45,449	.....					
Public Pound	.....	17,000	17,000	.....	17,000	.....					
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	Cr.788,668	788,668	.....	.....	.....	788,668	.....	.....	.....
Estimated Revenues from Schedule No. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,745,250	.....	12,673,475	.....	.....
Totals	.....	18,799,964	15,608,234	920,884	16,529,118	189,509	2,745,250	920,884	12,673,475	.....	.....

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934

Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses							
Marriage	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Business	670,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Vehicle	105,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Ferry	2,400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Penalties	12,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beverage	150,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	969,400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	969,400
Permits							
Building	32,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bill Board	100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
House Numbers	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Street Space	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department	4,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Boiler Permits	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	48,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	48,100
Fines							
Municipal Courts	45,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Superior Courts	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Traffic Courts	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	108,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	108,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) — Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Interest Revenue	75,000						75,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes	120,000						120,000
Franchises							
Telephone	240,000						
Railway	45,000						
Total	285,000						285,000
Subventions							
State-Bond Interest and Redemption			80,000				
Orphans and Half Orphans	145,000						
Widows' Pensions	126,500						
Blind Pensions	42,500						
Tubercular Patients	48,000						
Fire Boats		74,644					
Needy Aged	170,000						
County Roads			1,000,000				
Common School Fund			2,034,500				
Total	532,000	74,644	3,114,500				3,721,144
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenues	20,000						
Advertising Fees	2,500						
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	3,000						
—Excavation Fees	9,000						
Bureau of Engineering—Special Inspection		12,000					

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Bureau of Sewer Repairs—Tearing Up Streets	.....	33,865	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—General	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—S. F. Hospital	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—Laguna Honda Home	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff Fees	53,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees	90,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder	100,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department—Power	2,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Electricity	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court—Maintenance	36,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator	45,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound	3,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
War Memorial	.....	.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department							
Rents—General	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—Auditorium	.....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—School	.....	.....	102,819	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Airport		19,000					
Park			332,591				
Recreation			30,500				
Library			20,000				
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor			500				
De Young Museum			500				
Installation Fund—Dept. of Electricity			2,500				
Common School Fund (Federal Aid)			7,500				
Total	607,750	114,865	516,910				1,239,525
Bond Sales							
1932 Hetch Hetchy				851,182			851,182
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative			2,040,050				
Municipal Railway			2,722,073				
Water Department			6,322,500				
Total			11,084,623				11,084,623
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund					920,884		
Bond Interest and Redemption						5,646,130	
Employees' Retirement Fund						670,500	
Public Utilities Commission						74,226	
Total					920,884	6,390,856	7,311,740

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Taxes							
General Fund	12,673,475						
Common School Fund			5,802,891				
Special School Tax			360,000				
Library			308,589				
Recreation			518,883				
Park			750,000				
De Young Museum			63,801				
Calif. Palace Legion of Honor			61,928				
War Memorial			70,225				
Employees' Retirement			1,434,077				
Workmen's Compensation			25,000				
Bond Interest and Redemption			6,901,634				
Tax Judgments			94,027				
Publicity and Advertising			165,000				
County Road			100				
Municipal Railway			113,320				
Total	12,673,475		16,669,475				29,342,950
Total Revenues Other Than Taxes	2,745,250	189,509	14,716,033	851,182	920,884	6,390,856	25,813,714
Total Revenues From Taxes	12,673,475		16,669,475				29,342,950
Total Revenues	15,418,725	189,509	31,385,508	851,182	920,884	6,390,856	55,156,664

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS								
1	Personal Service	65,465							
2	Non-Personal Service	8,500							
3	Audit of Controller's Books	10,000	83,965		83,965				
	MAYOR								
4	Personal Service	34,598							
5	Contractual Service	6,000	40,598		40,598				
6	Emergency Reserve (Charter Section 79)		300,000		300,000				
	CITY AND COUNTY ASSESSOR								
7	Personal Service—Permanent	122,811							
8	Personal Service—Temporary	99,254							
9	Contractual Service	6,600	228,665		228,665				
	CITY ATTORNEY								
10	Personal Service	69,578							
11	Contractual Service	9,325	78,903		62,903				
	From Public Utilities Commission					16,000			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY								
12	Personal Service	89,165							
13	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals	2,100							
13-1	District Attorney's Secret Fund (Ord. 8625)	3,000	94,265		94,265				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TREASURER								
14	Personal Service	39,504							
15	Contractual Service	266	39,770		39,770				
	SHERIFF								
16	Personal Service—Permanent	233,193							
16-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,625							
17	Contractual Service	5,800							
17-1	Materials and Supplies	44,500	295,118		285,118				
	Maintenance of Federal Prisoners			10,000					
	PUBLIC DEFENDER								
19	Personal Service	15,482	15,482		15,482				
	POLICE DEPARTMENT								
20	Personal Service	2,959,820							
21	Contractual Service	25,000							
22	Materials and Supplies	39,000							
23	Contingent Fund	9,000							
24	Equipment	20,000	3,052,820		3,052,820				
	FIRE DEPARTMENT								
25	Personal Service	2,596,548							
26	Personal Service—Fire Boats	130,991							
27	Contractual Service	32,000							
27-1	Fixed Charges	1,200							
27-2	Materials and Supplies	30,000							
28	Equipment	59,250	2,849,989	74,644	2,775,345				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS								
29	Personal Service	7,625							
30	Contractual Service	100	7,725		7,725				
	PARK DEPARTMENT								
31	Operation and Maintenance (Park Fund)	1,082,591	1,082,591				1,082,591		
31-1	Convenience Stations	20,000							
32	Palace of Fine Arts	35,000							
33	Fleishhacker Playfield	44,469	99,469		99,469				
	Total Park Department		1,182,060						
	RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Recreation Fund)								
36	Personal and Non-Personal		549,383				549,383		
	LIBRARY DEPARTMENT (Library Fund)								
37	Personal and Non-Personal		328,589				328,589		
	WAR MEMORIAL (War Memorial Fund)								
38-1	Personal Service—Permanent	50,968							
38-2	Personal Service—Temporary	4,857							
38-3	Contractual Service	26,400							
38-4	Materials and Supplies	5,500							
38-5	Services of Other Departments	2,500	90,225				90,225		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	ART COMMISSION								
39	Personal Service	3,525							
40	Contractual Service	750							
41	Municipal Band	15,000							
42	Municipal Pop Concerts	6,500							
43	Municipal Pop Concerts Rent	1,000							
44	Municipal Chorus Director	4,000							
45	Municipal Chorus Expense	800							
47	Christmas Eve Celebration	500	32,075		32,075				
	CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR (California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund)								
48	Personal and Non-Personal Service	62,428	62,428				62,428		
	DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (De Young Memorial Museum Fund)								
49	Personal and Non-Personal Service	64,301	64,301				64,301		
	AQUARIUM								
51	Personal and Non-Personal Service	40,500	40,500		40,500				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	AIRPORT								
52	Personal Service—Permanent	10,863							
52-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,340							
53	Contractual Service	10,250							
53-1	Fixed Charges	2,458							
54	Proportion P. U. Commission Expense	600							
55	Materials and Supplies	1,560							
56	Land Purchases	105,000							
56-1	Buildings	23,850							
56-2	Equipment	1,700	167,621	19,000	148,621				
	MUNICIPAL COURT								
57	Personal Service	163,717							
57-1	Contractual Service	35							
58	Court Reporters	18,000							
59	Reporters' Transcriptions	6,000							
60	Jury and Witness Fees	1,000	188,752		188,752				
	SUPERIOR COURT								
61	Personal Service	116,394							
61-1	Court Reporters	13,500							
61-2	Reporters' Transcriptions	16,500							
61-3	Jury and Witness Fees	30,000							
62	Court Orders	5,000							
63	Examination of Insane	10,000							
66	Grand Jury	11,000	202,394		202,394				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	LAW LIBRARY								
67	Personal Service	9,322	9,322	.....	9,322	.....	.....	.....	.....
	JUVENILE COURT								
68	Personal Service	57,010	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
69	Contractual Service	2,130	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
70	Maintenance of Minors	625,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
71	State Schools	20,000	704,140	.....	704,140	.....	.....	.....	.....
	JUVENILE DETENTION HOME								
72	Personal Service—Permanent	18,650	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
72-1	Personal Service—Temporary	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
73	Contractual Service	1,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
73-1	Materials and Supplies	6,845	27,795	.....	27,795	.....	.....	.....	.....
	ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT								
74	Personal Service	23,887	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
75	Contractual Service	565	24,452	.....	24,452	.....	.....	.....	.....
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER								
76	Personal Service	15,015	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
77	Non-Personal Service	1,500	16,515	.....	16,515	.....	.....	.....	.....
78	Publicity and Advertising	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	.....	.....
79	Unemployment Relief	.....	700,000	.....	700,000	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER—Cont'd							
80	Bond Interest and Redemption	12,627,764				6,981,634		
	From Water Department							
	1928 Spring Valley Redemption						1,000,000	
	1928 Spring Valley Interest						1,665,000	
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Redemption						150,000	
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Interest						250,000	
	Newark-San Lorenzo Interest						47,025	
	From Municipal Railway Redemption						201,000	
	Municipal Railway Interest						102,045	
	From Hetch Hetchy 1932's						357,437	
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative						1,613,623	
	From County Road Fund						260,000	
81	Tax Judgments (Tax Judgment Fund)	94,027				94,027		
82	Auto Liability Claims	9,000						
83	Premium Official Bonds	14,000						
84	Taxes Refunded	8,000						
85	Money Refunded	2,000						
86	Rental 333 Kearny Street	10,752						
87	Rental Pipe Yard	7,400						
88	Other Judgments (6 Painters)	26,000						
88-1	Maintenance of Criminal Insane & Narcotics.	20,000						
88-2	Feeble Minded	130,000						
88-3	Transportation of Indigent Insane	3,000	230,152		230,152			
	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS							
89	Personal Service	5,100	5,100		5,100			

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TAX COLLECTOR							
90	Personal Service—Permanent	80,118						
90-A	Personal Service—Permanent (Delinquent Revenue)	7,359						
91	Personal Service—Temporary	35,689						
92	Contractual Service	5,350	128,516		128,516			
	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS							
94	Personal Service—Permanent	53,633						
95	Personal Service—Temporary Clerks	21,330						
96	Personal Service—Election Workers	22,275						
97	Contractual Service	37,325						
98	Equipment	75,000	209,563		209,563			
	RECORDER							
99	Personal Service	84,982	84,982		84,982			
	COUNTY CLERK							
100	Personal Service	133,625						
101	Non-Personal Service	58	133,683		133,683			
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR							
102	Personal Service	32,602						
103	Contractual Service	984	33,586		33,586			

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION: General Fund — Appropriation Receipts	General Fund — Fund Receipts	General Fund — Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds — Fund Receipts	Other Current Funds — Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES							
104	Personal Service	113,356	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
105	Contractual Service	262,677	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Telephone and Telegraph							
	Art Commission	25						
	Assessor	500						
	Board of Permit Appeals	20						
	Board of Supervisors	500						
	Chief Administrative Officer	1,000						
	City Planning Commission	35						
	Controller	150						
	Coroner	250						
	County Clerk	105						
	County Welfare	90						
	Dept. of Electricity	400						
	Dept. of Public Works	600						
	Fire Department	1,070						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,800						
	Juvenile Court	200						
	Municipal Court	250						
	Police Dept.	3,800						
	Public Administrator	300						
	Public Defender	100						
	Purchaser of Supplies	150						
	Sheriff	400						
	Tax Collector	85						
	Superior Courts	900						
	Treasurer	140						
	Adult Probation	85						

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Telephone and Telegraph—Continued							
	City Attorney	400						
	Civil Service	75						
	District Attorney	525						
	Real Estate Dept.	265						
	Recorder	80						
	Retirement System	25						
	Registrar of Voters	165						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures	35						
		17,525						
	Shop Expense							
	Dept. of Public Works	35,000						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,500						
	Fire Department	129,350						
	Assessor	200						
	Chief Administrative Officer	100						
	Controller	100						
	Coroner	500						
	Dept. of Electricity	1,000						
	Juvenile Court	100						
	Juvenile Detention Home	250						
	Mayor	200						
	Purchasing	50						
	Registrar	50						
	Sheriff	700						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Shop Expense—Continued							
	Supervisors	100						
	Tax Collector	200						
	Gas & Elect. Service for Shop	2,736						
	Sealer of Weights and Measures	300						
	Registrar of Voters	100						
		175,536						
	Towel Service							
	Dept. of Electricity	140						
	Dept. of Public Works	2,750						
	Fire Department	25						
	Police Department	676						
		3,591						
	Transportation							
	Rental of Truck—306 Days	2,142						
	Scavenger Service							
	Department of Electricity	23						

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued								
105	Contractual Service—Continued								
	Scavenger Service—Continued								
	Department of Public Works...	590							
	Fire Department	1,176							
	Dept. of Public Health.....	779							
	Juvenile Detention Home.....	41							
	Police Dept.	214							
	Sheriff	144							
		2,967							
	Other Contractual Service								
	Advertising Res. & Ordinances..	33,000							
	Printing Public Documents.....	3,000							
	Printing Journal & Calendars...	20,000							
	Rental of Tabulating Machines..	4,446							
	McGill Commodity Service.....	60							
	Repairs to Office Equipment....	30							
	Gas & Electricity—Warehouse..	330							
	Miscellaneous Contractual Service	50							
		60,916							
106	Materials and Supplies.....		121,462	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Printing, Stationery, Postage								
	Adult Probation	500							
	Agricultural Commission	250							
	Art Commission	225							
	Assessor	13,500							

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Board of Permit Appeals	60						
	Board of Supervisors	900						
	Chief Administrative Officer	250						
	City Attorney	500						
	City Planning Commission	130						
	Civil Service	2,700						
	Controller	11,500						
	Coroner	900						
	County Clerk	4,000						
	County Welfare	1,800						
	Dept. of Electricity	1,000						
	Dept. of Finance & Records	175						
	Dept. of Public Health	16,657						
	Dept. of Public Works	5,500						
	District Attorney	1,000						
	Fire Department	2,100						
	Juvenile Court	900						
	Law Library	350						
	License Tags	6,000						
	Mayor	1,500						
	Municipal Court	5,400						
	Police Dept.	11,000						
	Public Administrator	1,300						
	Public Defender	100						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Purchasing	8,000						
	Real Estate Dept.	800						
	Recorder	6,000						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures	250						
	Superior Court	1,050						
	Superior Court—Secretary	1,500						
	Sheriff	2,150						
	Tax Collector	6,000						
	Treasurer	1,200						
		117,147						
	Gas and Oil							
	Registrar of Voters	60						
	Street Cleaning Dept.	750						
	Sewer Repair	1,000						
	Public Works General	375						
	Building Repair	265						
	Traffic	65						
	Building Inspection	70						
	Engineering	450						
	Tax Collector	400						
	Registrar	80						
	Sheriff	185						
	Assessor	95						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION: General Fund — Appropriation Receipts	General Fund — Fund Receipts	General Fund — Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds — Fund Receipts	Other Current Funds — Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued								
106	Materials and Supplies Continued								
	Gas and Oil—Continued								
	Juvenile Court	160							
	Central Shop No. 2	290							
	Purchaser	50							
	Police Dept.	20							
		4,315							
107	Equipment		22,097						
	Furniture & Office Equipment	10,000							
	Warehouse Equipment	3,000							
	Postage Machines & Meters	1,716							
	Stoves, Heaters, Boilers — Fire Dept.	750							
	Lin., Rugs, Carpet & Shades—Fire Dept.	500							
	City Planning	100							
	Juvenile Court (3 Typewriters)	300							
	Sheriff (Office Equipment)	200							
	Municipal Court (File Cabinet)	1,000							
	Juvenile Detention Home	500							
	Chief Administrative Officer	500							
	Finance & Records	100							
	Recorder	570							
	Tax Collector	500							

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
107	Equipment—Continued							
	Public Administrator 318							
	Dept. of Public Works..... 1,000							
	County Welfare 918							
	Agricultural Commission..... 125							
	22,097	.....	519,592	.....	478,356	41,236	.....	.....	.....
	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT							
108	Personal Service	10,223	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
109	Contractual Service	1,200	11,423	.....	11,423	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Auditorium							
110	Personal Service—Permanent	23,274	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
110-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,555	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111	Contractual Service	11,034	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111-1	Materials and Supplies	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
			49,863	40,000	9,863	.....	.....	.....	.....
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS							
	General Office							
112	Personal Service	40,720	40,720	.....	40,720	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Bureau of Accounts							
113	Personal Service	21,261	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
114	Contractual Service	188	21,449	.....	21,449	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd							
	Bureau of Building Repair							
116	Personal Service	263,845						
117	Contractual Service	5,566						
117-1	Materials and Supplies	35,000						
118	Repairs to Public Buildings	48,206	352,617		352,617			
	Bureau of Building Inspection							
119	Personal Service	34,050						
120	Contractual Service	2,592	36,642		36,642			
	Bureau of Engineering							
121	Personal Service	164,004						
122	Contractual Service	10,400						
122-1	Materials and Supplies	4,800						
123	Contractual Service—Special Inspection	12,000		12,000				
124	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers	25,000	216,204		204,204			
	Central Permit Bureau							
125	Personal Service	14,175	14,175		14,175			
	Street Cleaning							
126	Personal Service—Salaries	16,443						
126-1	Personal Service—Wages	369,244						
127	Contractual Service	22,547						
127-1	Materials and Supplies	8,000						
127-2	Equipment	1,200	417,434		417,434			

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd							
	Sewer Repair							
128	Personal Service	11,175						
129	Contractual	137,109						
131	Non-Personal Service	33,498						
130	Materials and Supplies	15,000						
130-1	Equipment	6,750	203,532	203,532				
	Tearing Up Streets (Side Sewers)							
132	Personal and Non-Personal Service	33,865	33,865	33,865				
	Sewerage Pumping Station							
133	Personal Service	2,028						
134	Contractual Service	1,100						
134-1	Materials and Supplies	200	3,328	3,328				
	County Road Fund							
	Street Repair							
135	Personal Service	8,415						
135-1	Wages	172,109						
135-2	Truck Hire	18,427						
136-1	Services of Other Departments	8,659						
136	Contractual Service	14,128						
137	Materials and Supplies	54,917						
137-1	Equipment	10,300						
137-2	Fixed Charges	17,667						
137-3	Street Reconstruction (Jobs Over $1,000)	50,000	354,622					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	County Road Fund—Continued								
	Bridges								
138	Personal Service	49,228							
139	Contractual Service	2,923							
139-1	Materials and Supplies	549							
139-2	Services of Other Departments	1,626	54,326						
	General								
140	Bond Interest and Redemption	260,000							
141	Street Lighting	55,000							
142	Leavenworth Land Purchase—Interest.	4,380							
145	Joint Highway District No. 10	50,000							
146	Traffic Lines	8,322							
147	Traffic (Services of Dept. of Elec.)	5,000							
148	Traffic (Services of Police Dept.)	8,500							
149	Street Signs	5,000							
150	Street Construction	100,000							
150-1	Services of Bureau of Engineering	30,000							
150-2	Services of Real Estate Dept.	5,000							
150-3	Castro and Divisadero Streets	10,000							
150-4	Golden Gate Bridge Approaches	97,500							
	Unappropriated Balance	54,460	693,162						
	Total County Road		1,102,110				1,102,110		
	Total Department of Public Works.		2,442,076						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY								
154	Personal Service	160,624							
155	Contractual Service	1,490							
155-1	Materials and Supplies	16,160	178,274		178,274				
156	Installation Fund		2,500				2,500		
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH								
	Central Office								
157	Personal Service	476,123							
158	Contractual Service	27,689							
159	Materials and Supplies	17,035							
160	Fixed Charges	13,230							
161	Equipment	2,000	536,077		536,077				
	Laguna Honda Home								
162	Personal Service	199,781							
163	Contractual Service	24,604							
164	Materials and Supplies	68,880							
165	Foodstuffs	225,000							
166	Equipment	1,000	519,265		519,265				
	Isolation Hospital								
167	Personal Service	37,503	37,503		37,503				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH—Continued							
	San Francisco Hospital							
168	Personal Service	640,285						
169	Contractual Service	81,865						
170	Materials and Supplies	152,650						
171	Foodstuffs	230,000						
173	Equipment	1,000	1,105,800		1,105,800			
	Emergency Hospital							
174	Personal Service	156,765						
175	Contractual Service	11,510						
176	Materials and Supplies	10,221						
177	Foodstuffs	3,140						
179	Equipment	250	181,886		181,886			
	Hassler Health Home							
180	Personal Service	42,467						
181	Contractual Service	4,140						
182	Materials and Supplies	10,800						
183	Foodstuffs	32,450						
184	Fixed Charges	436						
185	Equipment	500	90,793		90,793			
	Total Department of Health		2,471,324					

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT							
186	Personal Service—Permanent	35,616	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
187	Contractual Service	1,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
188	Widows' Pensions	230,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
189	Maintenance of Aged	340,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
190	Blind Pensions	85,000	691,716	.....	691,716	.....	.....	.....
	CORONER							
191	Personal Service	41,882	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
192	Contractual Service	565	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
192-1	Materials and Supplies	900	43,347	.....	43,347	.....	.....	.....
	AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION							
193	Personal Service	10,896	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
194	Contractual Service	2,390	13,286	.....	13,286	.....	.....	.....
	SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES							
195	Personal Service	19,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
196	Contractual Service	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
196-1	Materials and Supplies	350	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
196-2	Equipment	200	20,650	.....	20,650	.....	.....	.....
	CONTROLLER							
197	Personal Service—Permanent	132,101	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
198	Personal Service—Temporary	5,580	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
199	Contractual Service	4,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
200	Equipment	2,580	145,011	.....	125,031	19,980	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION								
201	Personal Service	11,780	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
202	Contractual	440	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
203	Materials and Supplies	80	12,300	.....	12,300	.....	.....	.....	.....
	PUBLIC UTILITIES								
	Public Utilities Commission								
204	Personal Service	27,978	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
206	Legal Expense	16,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207	Contractual Service	21,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-1	Materials and Supplies	900	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-2	Equipment	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-3	Fixed Charges	1,226	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Unappropriated Balance	4,422	74,226	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From Municipal Airport	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	600	.....
	From Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,000	.....
	From Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	28,996	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,630	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Water	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	28,000	.....
	Lighting of Public Streets								
208	Personal and Non-Personal Service	800,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Lighting of Public Buildings								
209	Personal and Non-Personal Service	44,000	844,000	.....	789,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—Continued								
	Municipal Railway								
210	Personal Service	1,722,503							
211	Contractual Service	488,205							
211-1	Services of Other Departments	42,034							
212	Materials and Supplies	49,679							
214	Fixed Charges	505,651							
214-1	Replacements	184,321	2,992,393				2,992,393		
	Water Department								
216	Personal Service	780,235							
217	Contractual Service	481,911							
218	Materials and Supplies	156,174							
219	Fixed Charges	415,895							
220	Additions and Betterments	660,000							
221	Equipment—Automobiles and Trucks	7,000							
222	Bond Interest and Redemption	3,112,025							
223	Services of Other Departments	42,592							
224	Appropriation to General Fund	788,668	6,444,500				6,444,500		
	Hetch Hetchy Power Operative								
225	Personal Service	181,704							
227	Contractual Service	39,824							
227-1	Materials and Supplies	62,072							
227-2	Equipment	6,000							
228	Services of Other Departments	48,187							
229	Depreciation	41,800							
229-1	Fixed Charges	35,200							
230	Taxes	12,000							
231	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,613,263	2,040,050				2,040,050		

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—Continued								
	Hetch Hetchy Construction								
235-1	Coast Range Tunnel	180,980							
235-2	Interest During Construction	357,438							
235-3	Lands and Rights of Way Damage Claims	60,000							
235-4	Legal Expense	75,000							
235-5	Balance—General and Miscellaneous	149,764							
237	Proportion of P. U. Commission Expense.	28,000	851,182						851,182
	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT								
238	Common School Fund (Tentative)		7,947,710				7,947,710		
245	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)		360,000				360,000		
	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION								
248	Personal Service—Permanent	34,899							
248-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,300							
249	Contractual Service	1,250	45,449		45,449				
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Employees' Retirement Fund)								
250	Personal Service	26,517							
251	Contractual Service	1,435							
251-1	Materials and Supplies	210							
251-2	Equipment	215							
252	Fixed Charges	2,081,200	2,109,577				1,439,077		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures—Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM—Cont.							
252	Fixed Charges—Continued							
	From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,350	.....
	From Common School Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	432,000	.....
	From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	33,000	.....
	From Special School Tax	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,500	.....
	From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,150	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,500	.....
	From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	45,000	.....
	From Miscellaneous Bond Funds, etc.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	30,000	.....
	From Municipal Railway Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100,000	.....
253	Workmen's Compensation Insurance	.....	25,000	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....
254	PUBLIC POUND	.....	17,000	.....	17,000	.....	.....	.....
			55,542,674	189,509	16,207,393	132,216	31,771,518	6,390,856	851,182
	Transfer From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	Cr.788,668	788,668	.....	.....	.....
	TOTALS	.....	55,542,674	189,509	15,418,725	920,884	31,771,518	6,390,856	851,182

(*Budget estimates of Proposed Expenditures Fiscal Year* 1933-1934, *on file in Clerk's Office.*)

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over four weeks*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5465 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion of Supervisor Brown, *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Give Bond for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Respective Bonds to Be Given by said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of said Bonds and Providing for the Form Thereof.

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 221, Ordinance No. 4.041, as follows:

Specifying the various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who shall give bond for the faithful performance of their respective duties where bonds are not specifically required by the Charter; fixing the amount of the respective bonds to be given by said officers and employees; providing for the payment of premiums thereon and for the custody of said bonds and providing for the form thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter mentioned, shall give bond to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said bonds shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will continue in force as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give bonds as herein provided, and the amount of each of said bonds are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section 2. *Board of Supervisors.*

Clerk of Board of Supervisors	$10,000

Section 3. *Mayor.*

Head Clerk-Stenographer	2,000

Section 4. *Assessor.*

Chief Assistant Assessor	10,000
Chief Teller	10,000
Senior Clerk	2,000
General Clerks (2), each	2,000

Section 5. *District Attorney.*

Principal Attorney (Criminal) (Bond and Warrant Clerk)	10,000
General Clerk	5,000
Criminal Law Clerks (5), each	1,000
Attorney-Criminal	1,000

Section 6. *Treasurer.*

Head Clerk	25,000
Senior Teller	10,000
Head Clerk	5,000
Senior Accountant	5,000
Senior Bookkeeper	5,000
Senior Tellers (2), each	5,000
Tellers (4), each	5,000
General Clerk	5,000

Section 7. *Sheriff.*

Head Clerk (Cashier)	25,000
Head Clerk	1,000
General Clerk	1,000
Superintendent of Jails (2), each	1,000

Section 8. *Police Department.*

Property Clerk	10,000

Section 9. *Fire Department.*

Chief Clerk (Secretary)	10,000
General Clerk-Typist	2,000

Section 10. *Park Department* (Main Office).

Superintendent	5,000
Assistant Superintendent	2,000
Secretary	5,000
Surveyor	2,000
Accountant	2,000
Cashier	10,000
Superintendent Clerk	2,500
Timekeeper	2,500
Assistant Timekeeper	500
Stenographer-Clerk	2,000
Bookkeeper-Stenographer	500
Stenographer	500
Relief Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
Manager (Beach Chalet)	2,500

	Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Manager (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	500
	Cashiers (3) (Fleishhacker Playfield), each	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Playfield)	5,000
	Cashier (Mother's House)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Cafe)	5,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Cashiers in Booths (Fleishhacker Cafe), each	500
	Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	1,250
	Relief Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	500
	Starter (Harding Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Harding Golf Course)	1,250
	Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Lincoln Cafe)	500
	Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Harding Cafe)	500
	Manager (Restaurants)	5,000
	Cashier Clerk (Children's Playground)	2,500
	Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier Candy Booth (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier (Picnic Cafe, Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Director of Public Recreation	5,000
	Foreman (Kezar Stadium)	2,500
	Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Sharp Park Cafe)	500
	Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	1,250
	Cashier (Palace of Fine Arts)	500
Section 11.	*Recreation Department.*	
	Camp Manager (Supervisor)	3,000
	Bookkeeper	3,000
	Secretary	1,250
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
Section 12.	*Public Library.*	
	Business Manager	2,000
	Senior Clerk (Assistant Secretary)	2,000
Section 13.	*War Memorial.*	
	No recommendation received as yet.	
Section 14.	*California Palace of the Legion of Honor.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Engineer and Building Superintendent	2,000
	Organist	2,000
	Chief Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000

	Head Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor (2), each	2,000
	Gallerymen (2), each	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Stenographers (2), each	2,000
	Typist	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Caretaker	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 15.	*M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Assistant to Director	2,500
	Recorder	2,000
	Secretary to Director	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Head Galleryman	2,000
	Printers (2), each	2,000
	Mechanic	2,000
	Assistant Mechanic	2,000
	Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor	2,000
	Head Caretaker	2,000
	Caretakers (6), each	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Gallerymen (8), each	2,000
	Carpenter	2,000
	Gallerymen (4), each	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 16.	*Municipal Court.*	
	Cashier and Head Clerk	3,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	And Holdup Bond for	1,000
	General Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	Jury Commissioner	2,000
	Court Room Clerks—Criminal (4), each	2,000
	Chief Assistant Clerk	1,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (4), each	1,000
	Court Room Clerks (8), each	1,000
	Civil Law Clerks—Criminal (2), each	1,000
	General Clerks—Criminal (13), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Copyists (2), each	1,000
	Clerk of Municipal Court	10,000
Section 17.	*Superior Courts.*	
	Secretary-Jury Commissioner	10,000
Section 18.	*Juvenile Court—Probation Office.*	
	Bookkeeper (Cashier)	5,000
	Senior Clerk (Chief Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Interpreter-Clerk (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000

	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General-Clerk Typist	1,000
	(Charles Schermerhorn) Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Assistant Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Chief Probation Officer	5,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Section 19.	*Adult Probation Department.*	
	Chief Adult Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officers (7), each	1,000
	Bookkeeper	2,000
	Probation Officer—Stenographer	1,000
Section 20.	*Chief Administrative Officer.*	
	Chief Administrative Officer	50,000
Section 21.	*Finance and Records.*	
	Director of Finance and Records	10,000
Section 22.	*Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*	
	Cashier	15,000
	General Clerks (24) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	1,000
	Head Clerks (2) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	10,000
	Teller	5,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Deputy)	1,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	Chief Clerk	10,000
	General Clerk	2,500
Section 23.	*Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters.*	
	Registrar of Voters	3,000
	General Clerk	1,000
Section 24.	*Finance and Records—Recorder.*	
	Recorder	10,000
Section 25.	*Finance and Records—County Clerk.*	
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (3), each	2,000
	General Clerks (2), each	2,000
	General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each	5,000
	Criminal Law Clerk	2,000
Section 26.	*Finance and Records—Public Administrator.*	
	Bookkeeper	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
Section 27.	*Purchasing.*	
	Purchaser	25,000
	Storekeepers (5), each	2,500
	General Storekeepers (6), each	2,500
	Chief Storekeeper	5,000
	Stockman	1,000
Section 28.	*Real Estate Department—Exposition Auditorium.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
Section 29.	*Real Estate Department.*	
	Director	25,000
	Assistant Right of Way Agent	1,000
	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	1,000

Section 30. *Department of Public Works—General Office.*
Director 25,000
Chief Clerk (Secretary) 5,000
Head Clerk 2,000

Section 31. *Department of Public Works—Central Permit Bureau.*
Head Clerk 10,000
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,000
General Clerk 1,000

Section 32. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Building Inspection.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 33. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.*
City Architect 10,000

Section 34. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Engineering.*
City Engineer 10,000
General Clerks (2), each..... 1,000
Senior Clerk 1,000
Surveyors (2), each..... 1,000

Section 35. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Sewer Repairs.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 36. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 37. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 38. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Cost Accounting.*
Head Clerk (Cost Accountant)..... 2,500
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,500

Section 39. *Department of Electricity.*
Chief 10,000
Senior Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 2,000
Superintendent of Plant 5,000

Section 40. *Department of Public Health—Central Office.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Health Director 5,000
Senior Accountant 5,000

Section 41. *Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home.*
Superintendent 10,000
Assistant Superintendent 3,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 42. *Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital.*
Superintendent 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 43. *Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home.*
Superintendent 2,000

Section 44.	*Coroner.*	
	Coroner	10,000
	Coroner's Chief Investigator	1,000
	Coroner's Investigators (3), each	1,000
Section 45.	*Controller.*	
	Chief Assistant Controller	50,000
	Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)	50,000
	Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)	25,000
	Senior Clerk (Deputy Controller)	25,000
	Supervisor of Payrolls	10,000
	Head Clerk	5,000
	Senior Accountant—Audits	10,000
	Head Clerks (2), each	2,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	2,000
	Tax Redemption Clerk	2,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 46.	*City Planning Commission.*	
	Secretary	500
	Draftsman	500
Section 47.	*Public Utilities Commission—General Office.*	
	Manager of Utilities	15,000
	Secretary	1,000
Section 48.	*Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Hetch Hetchy Power Operation.*	
	Chief Electrical Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Electrical Engineer in Charge	2,500
	Chief Operator—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Labor Foreman	1,000
	Clerk—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Section 49.	*Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway.*	
	Manager	10,000
	Auditor	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
	Clerk	1,000
	Messenger	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Receivers (7), each	1,000
	Dispatchers (2), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Stenographer	1,000
	Claims Adjuster	5,000
	Cashier	2,500
	Receiver	1,000
	Conductor Acting Receivers (2), each	1,000
	Receiver	1,000
	Inspectors, Acting Claim Investigator	1,000
Section 50.	*Public Utilities Commission—Water Department.*	
	General Manager	10,000
	Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
	Cashier	1,250
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,500
	Manager Water Sales	1,250
	Shut Off Men (6), each	1,250
	Contractor and Building Inspector	1,250
	Shut Off Man	1,250
	Assistant Supervisor Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	General Clerk Collectors (21), each	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250

	General Clerk Teller	5,000
	Senior Clerk Teller	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	2,500
	Head Clerk-Collector	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk-Collector	2,500
	Supervisor of Collections	5,000
	Chief Clerk	1,250
	Country Meter Man	1,250
	Assistant Superintendent Agriculture—Pleasanton	1,250
	Supervisor—Dock and Shipping	1,250
	Clerk	1,250
	General Clerk	1,250
	Temporary Collector	1,250
Section 51.	*Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Power Project.*	
	Chief Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Construction Engineer in Charge Coast Range	5,000
	Assistant Construction Engineer—Coast Range	2,500
	Assistant Engineer—Field Office	2,500
	Clerk—Coast Range Division	1,000
	Supervising Accountant	5,000
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	Cost Accountant	5,000
	Bookkeeper	5,000
	Tunnel Foremen (6), each	1,000
	Timekeepers (7), each	1,000
Section 52.	*Public Utilities Commission—Airport.*	
	Superintendent	2,500
	Assistant Superintendent	2,500
	Bookkeeper	1,000
Section 53.	*Board of Education.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Secretary	10,000
	Accountant	5,000
	Supervisor, Home Economics	1,000
	(Permit bonds of Commissioners to expire automatically.)	
Section 54.	*Retirement System.*	
	Secretary-Actuary	25,000

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and said bond shall be signed by the principal and by the surety. All of said bonds shall be executed in duplicate, the original thereof to be filed with the Controller and the duplicate thereof with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the state to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and docu-

ments that may come into his possession as such officer or employee, and when the law shall provide that the officer or employee giving said bond shall be liable for the acts and omissions of his deputies, assistants, clerks and employees said bond shall be so conditioned. That said bonds shall be further conditioned that any new employee appointed to any position covered by a bond shall be insured under any bond already issued covering said position without the payment of additional premium.

Section 57. All bonds issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer, and shall be so conditioned.

Section 61. The bonds given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department.

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the positions and bonds to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of re-publishing said ordinance in its entirety.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Endorsement of Acquisition of China Cove for Bathing Beach and Playground Purposes.
(Code No. 23.03.)

Recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Resolution No. 748, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San

Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for some time been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above-mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above-mentioned purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby signify its intention of providing the funds necessary to remedy the above-mentioned insanitary condition as soon as the same can be done without undue burden upon the taxpayers.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed by the following*:

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance to Designate Classification, Traffic Checkers, to Be Used as Needed, in Connection with Traffic Surveys and Studies of Bay Bridge Approaches. Money for Which Authorized in Appropriation 150-8.

(Code No. 9.053.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 310, Ordinance No. 9.05336, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.0539 (commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Item 64.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 43. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerk	$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
13	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
15	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	240
16	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
17	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
18	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
19	4	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
20	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
21	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
22	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	275
23	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
24	1	F502	Engineer of Assessments and Complaint	250
25	1	F506	Engineer of Grades	275
26	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investigation	275
27	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investigation	225
28	1	F514	Engineer of Street Improvement Plans.	300
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
30	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
31	10	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
32	5	F606	Instrument Man	225
33	4	F610	Surveyor	250
34	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
35	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor	275
36	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
37	4	N252	Street Inspector	225
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
38	1	B312	Blue Printer	215
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
40	1	B316	Photographer, Department of Public Works	265
41		F102	Architectural Draftsman	250
42		F106	Architectural Designer	300
43	15	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction	225
44	12	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
47	2	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	225
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor Projects	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major Projects	400
50		F214	Construction Engineer	350
51	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
52		F352	Electrical Draftsman	250
53		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	300
54	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
55	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	250
56	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	300
57		F518	Office Engineer	250

Item No.	Quantity of Positions	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
58		F552	Structural Draftsman	250
59	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	275
59½	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
60		F556	Structural Engineering Inspector	250
61		F558	Structural Engineer	300
62	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
63	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400
64		F654	Traffic Checker	175

Explanatory.

Item 64 is added to designate a classification for Traffic Checkers, to be used as needed, in connection with traffic surveys and studies of Bay Bridge approaches. The money for this work was authorized appropriated in the current appropriation ordinance under Item 150-8.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Providing for the Sale of Certain San Francisco Water Department Land in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17252.)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 313, Ordinance No. 12.172523, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Mateo County, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Price street with the southeasterly line of the county road leading from San Francisco to San Jose; and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Price street 104 feet 4½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 122 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles westerly 70 feet 1½ inches to the easterly line of said county road; and thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line of said county road 129 feet 9 inches to the northerly line of Price street and the point of commencement.

Containing 0.245 of an acre, more or less.

Being lot lettered "A" in Block No. 1, as the same is laid down, delineated and designated upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo, entitled "Map of Castle Tract Homestead Association," recorded October 12, 1871, in Book "A" of Original Maps, page 12; and Book "D" of Original Maps, page 22, and copied into Book 1 of Maps, page 7.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Re-referred.

The following matters were *re-referred to the Streets Committee*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Bill No. 302, Ordinance No. 12.2004, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), entitled "Granting to the Felton Company, its successors or assigns, permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a single spur track from a point on the existing spur track in the easterly half of Second street, about 212 feet north of the intersection of the easterly line of Second street with the northerly line of Townsend street, thence running along Second street in a northerly direction and entering the property of the petitioners on the southeast corner of Second and Brannan streets," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), As to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 303, Ordinance No. 12.2005, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Schmidt Lithograph Company and Hawley & Munson, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the present spur track of the Southern Pacific Company on the westerly side of Second street and distant 125.75 feet, more or less, southerly from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right with a 250-foot radius for a distance of 31.75 feet, more or less; thence 28 feet, more or less, on a tangent; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 146.19 feet to a tangent on northerly side of Bryant street and 20.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on said tangent 158.5 feet, more or less; thence by a convenient curve to right into premises of Hawley & Munson on southerly line of Bryant street and 390 feet easterly of the easterly line of Second street, together with necessary switches, turnouts and crossings," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 304, Ordinance No. 12.2006, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day or night, except from 7 a. m. to 9 a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 305, Ordinance No. 12.2007, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from 7 a. m. to 9 a. m., and from 4:30 p. m. to 6:00 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 a. m., and between 4:30 p. m. and 6:00 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 306, Ordinance No. 12.2008, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California, a corporation sole; M. S. Eisner and M. Fisher Company, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Second street as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street, distant 35 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bryant street; thence westerly on a curve to the right 125 feet to the point of a reverse curve; thence westerly on a curve to the left 125 feet to a point that is approximately 23 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of said Second street; thence westerly and parallel to said line of Second street and crossing Harrison street to a point that is 192.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Harrison street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Amending Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 307, Ordinance No. 12.2009, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Jacob Z. Davis Estate Company, its successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street and distant about 248 feet north, more or less, from the north line of Harrison street; thence northerly and parallel to the center line of Second street, distant 23 feet from the west line thereof, to a point 100 feet, more or less, south of the south line of Folsom street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refunds of Amounts Paid in Excess for Account of Taxes.
(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 757, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be expended out of Appropriation No. 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, in payment to the following named persons; being refunds of amounts paid in excess for account of taxes, to-wit:

To F. W. McAdoo, the sum of $3.11; being duplicate payment for account of auto tax. Per Vol. 1, page 173, line 2, 1932 Arbitrary Assessment Roll.

To Leslie V. Chamberlain, the sum of $1.33; being duplicate payment for account of auto tax. Per Vol. 16, page 77, line 5, 1932 Uncollected Personal Property Roll.

(Recommendations of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Appropriating $540.00 Out of County Road Fund for City's Portion of Cost of Construction of Sewer in Thirty-seventh Avenue Between Moraga and Noriega Streets.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Resolution No. 758, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $540 be and is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the City's portion of the cost of construction of sewer in Thirty-seventh avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, fronting City property, and including engineering, inspection and advertising.

(Approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 759, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the property shown on the real estate rolls of 1926 to 1931, as Lots 36 and 37, Block 2619, is now City property; that these lots were sold for delinquent taxes in June, 1927. Being City property this sale and the assessments of subsequent years should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sale and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lots 36 and 37, Block 2619, Sale 934—1926 tax, $1.10 both; 1927, $1.14; 1928, $1.18; 1929, $1.18; 1930, $1.22; 1931, $1.22.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 760, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the property shown on the 1928 Real Estate Roll as Lot 5, Block 7083, was sold for delinquent taxes in June, 1929; that on the 1929 roll this lot is shown as Lots 5 and 5A. Lot 5 was acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, deed recorded December 27, 1928, and being State property, the sale affecting this portion should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Portion Lot 5, Block 7083, shown as Lot 5 on 1929 roll, portion sale 3173—$7.52 second installment—assessed Harriet K. and Geo. Hill.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Controller to Cancel Duplicate Assessment—Titus Manufacturing Company.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 761, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that through clerical error a duplicate assessment of personal property against the Titus Manufacturing Company was entered on the 1932 Supplemental Roll, page 2, line 23. This company has been in bankruptcy since 1930 and the original entry may be found on the 1930 assessment roll in Volume 19, page 101, line 17; that this duplicate assessment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Hearing of Appeal, Southwest Corner of O'Farrell and Octavia Streets, Set for 2 P. M., Monday, May 8, 1933, Chambers of Board.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 762, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of O'Farrell and Octavia streets, is hereby set for Monday, May 8, 1933, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Spring Valley Water Property.

(Code No. 12.7252)

Also, Resolution No. 763, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172522, Bill No. 267, approved April 4, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official news-

paper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on April 22, 1933, for the sale of the following described parcel of City-owned land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of that certain tract of land described as Parcel 22 of San Francisco County lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated as of March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Liber 2002 at page 1, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence easterly along the northerly boundary line of said tract, 1019.46 feet to the northeasterly corner thereof; said corner being also the intersection of the southerly line of Judson avenue with the westerly line of Phelan avenue; thence southerly along the easterly boundary of said tract and said westerly line of Phelan avenue 300.00 feet more or less to a point distant 300.00 feet measured at right angles southerly from the easterly extension of said northerly boundary line; thence westerly parallel to and distant 300.00 feet measured at right angles southerly from said northerly boundary line 1021.32 feet more or less to the westerly boundary of said tract; thence northerly along said westerly boundary line 300.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole, to purchase said parcel for the sum of $35,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said party has deposited the sum of $3,500 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $35,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $35,000; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of $35,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Exempting the Position of Director of Palace of Legion of Honor and de Young Memorial Museum from Residential Requirements.

(Code No. 4.055)

On recommendation of Committee on Municipal Personnel.

Resolution No. 764, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of the Charter and on the written recommendation of the boards of trustees of the Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum, the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission, the position of director of the said Palace of the Legion of Honor and the de Young Memorial Museum is hereby exempted from residential requirements.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Future Arterial Highway Development Committee.

(Code No. 12.08)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 765, as follows:

Whereas, upon completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay bridges the City and County of San Francisco will constitute the traffic hub of the Pacific Coast and will be required to supply facile, speedy and efficient accommodations for greatly increased automobile traffic; and

Whereas, upon restoration to normal economic conditions the City and County of San Francisco may confidently look forward to a substantial increase in population with its attendant traffic problems; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is nearing completion of the projects for which the recent Boulevard Bond Funds were voted; and

Whereas, several vital and major street and boulevard projects for the City and County of San Francisco are now being considered and their construction urged; and

Whereas, various Joint Highway Districts are proceeding with or contemplating the construction of highways connecting with the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee to be known as the Future Arterial Highway Development Committee, to be composed of City officials and engineers familiar with problems of this character, prominent engineers in private life, representatives of civic, commercial and improvement clubs, and other leading citizens, and that the purposes and functions for which said committee is formed shall be as follows:

1. To make a complete and thorough study of the street, boulevard and highway system in the City and County as it exists now.

2. To study, ascertain and recommend extensions and improvements necessary to adequately, efficiently and as economically as possible, cope with the traffic problem which will confront San Francisco upon the completion of the bridges, and upon increased population; and

3. To coordinate, as far as possible, the recommendations resulting from the study of local conditions with the problems and plans of surrounding cities, counties, and Joint Highway Districts, as well as with the State Highway System.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee, Governors' Convention, July, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 766, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange an appropriate reception to the Governors' Convention, composed of the Governors of the different States of the Union, which will meet the early part of July, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee to Welcome National Commander Louis Johnson of the American Legion, May 23, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 768, as follows:

Whereas, the American Legion, through its peace-time activities, has become recognized as a leader among national organizations devoted to civic betterment and progressive Americanism; and

Whereas, the National Commander of the American Legion, the Honorable Louis Johnson, will visit San Francisco on May 23, 1933; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee to welcome National Commander Louis Johnson of the American Legion on his arrival in San Francisco May 23, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Removal of State Offices to Sacramento.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 756, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Assembly of the State of California, for the approval of that body, Senate Bill No. 1186, which provides for the removal to the city of Sacramento certain State offices which are now maintained in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is a matter of great convenience, not only to all of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, but also to the people of the large communities adjacent thereto, that said offices should be maintained in the City and County of San Francisco, for the reason that the greater portion of the business transacted by said offices is transacted with the residents of the City and County of San Francisco and adjoining communities, and to remove the same to Sacramento will entail much hardship and inconvenience on said residents and citizens; and

Whereas, many of the aforesaid State offices are housed in the State Building in the Civic Center, and the City and County of San Francisco granted without cost to the State more than two-thirds of the site upon which said State Building is erected, which grant was made upon the assurance of the then officials of the State that the various offices to be housed in said building would be continued to be housed therein, and that the removal of said offices will be a breach of the conditions agreed to by said State officers for the grant to the State of the greater portion of said site of said State Building; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby protest against the removal of any of the State offices from the City and County of San Francisco, and does respectfully request the members of the Ways and Means Committee of the Assembly not to recommend the passage of said Senate Bill No. 1186, and also requests each member of said Assembly not to approve said bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith forwarded to each member of the Ways and Means Committee of the Assembly, and to each representative of San Francisco in said Assembly, and to Honorable Walter G. Little, Speaker of said Assembly.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden,

McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

School Building Survey.
(Code No. 21.3)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 767, as follows:

Whereas, a large and disastrous fire occurred in a public school building in the City and County of San Francisco within the past week; and

Whereas, it was demonstrated by such fire that school buildings of the age and type of construction such as that destroyed are dangerous to the lives of our children attending our schools, and are a fire hazard to the surrounding property of our citizens; and

Whereas, there are several school buildings of approximately the same age and type of construction as the one recently destroyed, which are occupied by the children of our City; and

Whereas, for the purpose of averting a recurrence of the burning of the Fremont School, prompt action should be taken to eliminate school buildings of the type destroyed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Board of Education to forthwith advise this Board as to the number of school buildings which should be replaced with modern buildings to the end that the safety of our school children shall be assured, and the fire menace to surrounding property eliminated, and the amount which in the judgment of said Board will be the cost of such replacement; and; be it

Further Resolved, That upon such data being received this Board give consideration to the best ways and means of providing the money to erect said school buildings.

Referred to Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Protests Against Switching Ordinances.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Central Council of Civic Clubs requesting that amendments to ordinances providing for the removal of certain switching restrictions on spur tracks be re-referred to Committee for public hearing.

Also, communication from Portola District Merchants' Association objecting to granting of petition of Southern Pacific Railroad for certain changes in switching hours on several of its spur tracks.

Also, communication from Visitacion Valley Improvement Association, executive board, requesting that legislation covering the removal of certain switching restrictions in the neighborhood of the Southern Pacific's Third Street Depot be referred back to committee for public hearing.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Increase in Directorate of Golden Gate Bridge.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

Communication from Wesley McKenzie, President, Central Council of Civic Clubs, advising that its Board of Directors, at last meeting, voted to endorse the McMurray Bill, now before the State Legislature, advocating an increase in the directorate of the Golden Gate bridge.

Livestock Building Appropriation.

Supervisor Peyser called attention to Senate Bill 467, which will withdraw appropriation of the State for a Livestock Building in San Francisco, and said that consideration of same would be before the

Legislature this week. "The City," he said, "should try and obtain that appropriation and requested that members of the Board who could be present in Sacramento at the time, indicate their willingness to attend. I will notify them of the date when I know it."

Supervisors Shannon, Havenner, McSheehy, Canepa, Gallagher and Roncovieri indicated they would go if nothing prevented.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the City Attorney be authorized to represent San Francisco in this matter.

So ordered.

Thereupon, Supervisor Peyser presented the following telegram and requested that he be authorized to send it tonight:

Telegram—Charge 5:55 *p. m.*

San Francisco, May 1, 1933.

Leo C. Lennon, Hotel Senator, Sacramento, California:

Senate Bill Four Sixty-seven, repealing act of nineteen thirty-one, which carried appropriation two hundred fifty thousand dollars for agricultural district one will be heard tonight by Assembly Ways and Means Committee. Please oppose favorable recommendation of Bill. Board of Supervisors will use every effort to match State appropriation this year.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
City and County of San Francisco.

Charge to City Attorney's Office, City Hall, San Francisco.

Supervisor Miles moved same be amended by striking out the last sentence.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Whereupon, the roll was called upon telegram as presented. Same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 8:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 8, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 19

Monday, May 8, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 8, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 8, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:45 P. M.

Supervisor Spaulding appeared and was noted present at 2:55 P. M.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of April 27 and May 1, 1933, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Rezoning Appeal, O'Farrell and Octavia Streets.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southwest corner of O'Farrell and Octavia streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Walter O'Brien, representing Mr. Demetri, the appealant, and also himself as a property owner in the vicinity, declared that the appealant merely wanted to transfer his grocery business from rented property to his own property on the opposite corner of O'Farrell and Octavia streets which necessitates the zone change proposed.

Amelia Barry, 3729 Geary street, owner of a lodging house adjoining property proposed to be changed, was heard in opposition.

W. W. Chapin, president, City Planning Commission, was also heard.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Breyer and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Upheld.

(Code No. 13.02.)

Resolution No. 773, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 855, denying the application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of O'Farrell and Octavia streets, is hereby disapproved.

Aye—Supervisor Power—1.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Give Bond for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Respective Bonds to Be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Bonds and Providing for the Form Thereof.

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 221, Ordinance No. 4.041, as follows:

Specifying the various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who shall give bond for the faithful performance of their respective duties where bonds are not specifically required by the Charter; fixing the amount of the respective bonds to be given by said officers and employees; providing for the payment of premiums thereon and for the custody of said bonds and providing for the form thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter mentioned, shall give bond to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said bonds shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will continue in force as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give bonds as herein provided, and the amount of each of said bonds are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section 2. *Board of Supervisors.*

Clerk of Board of Supervisors	$10,000

Section 3. *Mayor.*

Head Clerk-Stenographer	2,000

Section 4. *Assessor.*

Chief Assistant Assessor	10,000
Chief Teller	10,000
Senior Clerk	2,000
General Clerks (2), each	2,000

Section 5. *District Attorney.*

Principal Attorney (Criminal) (Bond and Warrant Clerk)	10,000
General Clerk	5,000
Criminal Law Clerks (5), each	1,000
Attorney-Criminal	1,000

Section 6. *Treasurer.*

Head Clerk	25,000
Senior Teller	10,000
Head Clerk	5,000
Senior Accountant	5,000
Senior Bookkeeper	5,000
Senior Tellers (2), each	5,000
Tellers (4), each	5,000
General Clerk	5,000

Section 7. *Sheriff.*

Head Clerk (Cashier)	25,000
Head Clerk	1,000
General Clerk	1,000
Superintendent of Jails (2), each	1,000

Section 8. *Police Department.*

Property Clerk	10,000

Section 9. *Fire Department.*

Chief Clerk (Secretary)	10,000
General Clerk-Typist	2,000

Section 10. *Park Department* (Main Office).

Superintendent	5,000
Assistant Superintendent	2,000
Secretary	5,000
Surveyor	2,000
Accountant	2,000
Cashier	10,000
Superintendent Clerk	2,500
Timekeeper	2,500
Assistant Timekeeper	500
Stenographer-Clerk	2,000
Bookkeeper-Stenographer	500
Stenographer	500
Relief Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
Manager (Beach Chalet)	2,500
Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
Manager (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	500
Cashiers (3) (Fleishhacker Playfield), each	500
Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
Manager (Fleishhacker Playfield)	5,000
Cashier (Mother's House)	500
Manager (Fleishhacker Cafe)	5,000
Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
Cashiers in Booths (Fleishhacker Cafe), each	500
Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	2,000
Assistant Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	1,250
Relief Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	500
Starter (Harding Golf Course)	2,000
Assistant Starter (Harding Golf Course)	1,250
Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
Assistant Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
Relief Cashier (Lincoln Cafe)	500
Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
Assistant Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
Relief Cashier (Harding Cafe)	500
Manager (Restaurants)	5,000
Cashier Clerk (Children's Playground)	2,500
Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
Cashier Candy Booth (Children's Playground)	500
Cashier (Picnic Cafe, Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
Director of Public Recreation	5,000
Foreman (Kezar Stadium)	2,500
Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
Assistant Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
Relief Cashier (Sharp Park Cafe)	500

	Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	1,250
	Cashier (Palace of Fine Arts)	500
Section 11.	*Recreation Department.*	
	Camp Manager (Supervisor)	3,000
	Bookkeeper	3,000
	Secretary	1,250
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
Section 12.	*Public Library.*	
	Business Manager	2,000
	Senior Clerk (Assistant Secretary)	2,000
Section 13.	*War Memorial.*	
	No recommendation received as yet.	
Section 14.	*California Palace of the Legion of Honor.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Engineer and Building Superintendent	2,000
	Organist	2,000
	Chief Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Head Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor (2), each	2,000
	Gallerymen (2), each	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Stenographers (2), each	2,000
	Typist	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Caretaker	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 15.	*M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Assistant to Director	2,500
	Recorder	2,000
	Secretary to Director	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Head Galleryman	2,000
	Printers (2), each	2,000
	Mechanic	2,000
	Assistant Mechanic	2,000
	Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor	2,000
	Head Caretaker	2,000
	Caretakers (6), each	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Gallerymen (8), each	2,000
	Carpenter	2,000
	Gallerymen (4), each	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 16.	*Municipal Court.*	
	Cashier and Head Clerk	3,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	And Holdup Bond for	1,000
	General Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	Jury Commissioner	2,000
	Court Room Clerks—Criminal (4), each	2,000
	Chief Assistant Clerk	1,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (4), each	1,000

	Court Room Clerks (8), each	1,000
	Civil Law Clerks—Criminal (2), each	1,000
	General Clerks—Criminal (13), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Copyists (2), each	1,000
	Clerk of Municipal Court	10,000
Section 17.	*Superior Courts.*	
	Secretary-Jury Commissioner	10,000
Section 18.	*Juvenile Court—Probation Office.*	
	Bookkeeper (Cashier)	5,000
	Senior Clerk (Chief Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Interpreter-Clerk (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General-Clerk Typist	1,000
	(Charles Schermerhorn) Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Assistant Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Chief Probation Officer	5,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Section 19.	*Adult Probation Department.*	
	Chief Adult Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officers (7), each	1,000
	Bookkeeper	2,000
	Probation Officer—Stenographer	1,000
Section 20.	*Chief Administrative Officer.*	
	Chief Administrative Officer	50,000
Section 21.	*Finance and Records.*	
	Director of Finance and Records	10,000
Section 22.	*Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*	
	Cashier	15,000
	General Clerks (24) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	1,000
	Head Clerks (2) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	10,000
	Teller	5,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Deputy)	1,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	Chief Clerk	10,000
	General Clerk	2,500
Section 23.	*Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters.*	
	Registrar of Voters	3,000
	General Clerk	1,000
Section 24.	*Finance and Records—Recorder.*	
	Recorder	10,000

Section 25. *Finance and Records—County Clerk.*
Senior Civil Law Clerks (3), each..... 2,000
General Clerks (2), each..... 2,000
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each..... 5,000
Criminal Law Clerk..... 2,000

Section 26. *Finance and Records—Public Administrator.*
Bookkeeper 2,500
Head Clerk 2,500

Section 27. *Purchasing.*
Purchaser 25,000
Storekeepers (5), each..... 2,500
General Storekeepers (6), each..... 2,500
Chief Storekeeper 5,000
Stockman 1,000

Section 28. *Real Estate Department—Exposition Auditorium.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 29. *Real Estate Department.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Right of Way Agent..... 1,000
Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman..... 1,000

Section 30. *Department of Public Works—General Office.*
Director 25,000
Chief Clerk (Secretary) 5,000
Head Clerk 2,000

Section 31. *Department of Public Works—Central Permit Bureau.*
Head Clerk 10,000
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,000
General Clerk 1,000

Section 32. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Building Inspection.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 33. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.*
City Architect 10,000

Section 34. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Engineering.*
City Engineer 10,000
General Clerks (2), each..... 1,000
Senior Clerk 1,000
Surveyors (2), each..... 1,000

Section 35. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Sewer Repairs.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 36. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 37. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 38. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Cost Accounting.*
Head Clerk (Cost Accountant)..... 2,500
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,500

Section 39. *Department of Electricity.*
Chief 10,000
Senior Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 2,000
Superintendent of Plant 5,000

Section 40. *Department of Public Health—Central Office.*

Director	25,000
Assistant Health Director	5,000
Senior Accountant	5,000

Section 41. *Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home.*

Superintendent	10,000
Assistant Superintendent	3,000
Senior Pharmacist	2,000

Section 42. *Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital.*

Superintendent	10,000
Head Clerk	5,000
Senior Pharmacist	2,000

Section 43. *Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home.*

Superintendent	2,000

Section 44. *Coroner.*

Coroner	10,000
Coroner's Chief Investigator	1,000
Coroner's Investigators (3), each	1,000

Section 45. *Controller.*

Chief Assistant Controller	50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)	50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)	25,000
Senior Clerk (Deputy Controller)	25,000
Supervisor of Payrolls	10,000
Head Clerk	5,000
Senior Accountant—Audits	10,000
Head Clerks (2), each	2,000
Senior Bookkeeper	2,000
Tax Redemption Clerk	2,000
General Clerk	5,000

Section 46. *City Planning Commission.*

Secretary	500
Draftsman	500

Section 47. *Public Utilities Commission—General Office.*

Manager of Utilities	15,000
Secretary	1,000

Section 48. *Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Hetch Hetchy Power Operation.*

Chief Electrical Engineer and Manager	5,000
Electrical Engineer in Charge	2,500
Chief Operator—Moccasin Power House	1,000
Labor Foreman	1,000
Clerk—Moccasin Power House	1,000
Clerk-Stenographer	1,000

Section 49. *Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway.*

Manager	10,000
Auditor	2,500
Head Clerk	2,500
Clerk	1,000
Messenger	1,000
Clerk	1,000
Receivers (7), each	1,000
Dispatchers (2), each	1,000
Clerk	1,000
Stenographer	1,000
Claims Adjuster	5,000
Cashier	2,500
Receiver	1,000

	Conductor Acting Receivers (2), each	1,000
	Receiver	1,000
	Inspectors, Acting Claim Investigator	1,000
Section 50.	*Public Utilities Commission—Water Department.*	
	General Manager	10,000
	Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
	Cashier	1,250
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,500
	Manager Water Sales	1,250
	Shut Off Men (6), each	1,250
	Contractor and Building Inspector	1,250
	Shut Off Man	1,250
	Assistant Supervisor Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	General Clerk Collectors (21), each	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk Teller	5,000
	Senior Clerk Teller	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	2,500
	Head Clerk-Collector	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk-Collector	2,500
	Supervisor of Collections	5,000
	Chief Clerk	1,250
	Country Meter Man	1,250
	Assistant Superintendent Agriculture—Pleasanton	1,250
	Supervisor—Dock and Shipping	1,250
	Clerk	1,250
	General Clerk	1,250
	Temporary Collector	1,250
Section 51.	*Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Power Project.*	
	Chief Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Construction Engineer in Charge Coast Range	5,000
	Assistant Construction Engineer—Coast Range	2,500
	Assistant Engineer—Field Office	2,500
	Clerk—Coast Range Division	1,000
	Supervising Accountant	5,000
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	Cost Accountant	5,000
	Bookkeeper	5,000
	Tunnel Foremen (6), each	1,000
	Timekeepers (7), each	1,000
Section 52.	*Public Utilities Commission—Airport.*	
	Superintendent	2,500
	Assistant Superintendent	2,500
	Bookkeeper	1,000
Section 53.	*Board of Education.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Secretary	10,000
	Accountant	5,000
	Supervisor, Home Economics	1,000
	(Permit bonds of Commissioners to expire automatically.)	
Section 54.	*Retirement System.*	
	Secretary-Actuary	25,000

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and said bond shall be signed by the principal and by the surety. All of said bonds shall be executed in duplicate, the original thereof to be filed with the Controller and the duplicate thereof with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond of any officer or employee is rquired by Charter or the general laws of the state to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee, and when the law shall provide that the officer or employee giving said bond shall be liable for the acts and omissions of his deputies, assistants, clerks and employees said bond shall be so conditioned. That said bonds shall be further conditioned that any new employee appointed to any position covered by a bond shall be insured under any bond already issued covering said position without the payment of additional premium.

Section 57. All bonds issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer, and shall be so conditioned.

Section 61. The bonds given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department.

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any Charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by Charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the positions and bonds to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of re-publishing said ordinance in its entirety.

Amendments.

Supervisor Brown offered the following proposed amendments to the ordinance which were accepted:

In line 2 of the title strike out the words "give bond" and insert the words "be bonded."

In the title, on the fourth line, strike out the words "respective bonds" and insert in lieu thereof the word "suretyship."

In the last line of the title strike out the word "bonds" and insert the word "suretyship."

In line 3, Section 1, strike out the words "give bond" and in lieu thereof insert the words "be bonded."

In line 6, Section 1, strike out the word "bonds" and insert in lieu thereof the word "suretyship."

In lines 7 and 8, Section 1, strike out the words "will continue in force," and insert in lieu thereof the words "will be required."

In line 10, Section 1, strike out the word "bonds" and insert in lieu thereof the word "suretyship." Strike out the word "amount" and write in the words "minimum amounts."

In line 11, Section 1, strike out the words "said bonds" and insert the word "suretyship."

In Section 25 add the following items:

Chief Clerk, $5,000,

Senior Clerk, $1,000.

In line 3, Section 55, strike out the words "and said bond shall be signed by the principal and by the surety. All of said bonds shall be executed in duplicate, the original thereof to," and insert in lieu thereof "the original bond or suretyship shall."

In line 5, Section 55, strike out the word "there" and insert the letter "a" before the word "duplicate."

In line 6, Section 55, strike out the word "thereof" and insert the words "or proper certificate shall be filed."

In line 7, Section 55, after the word "bond" insert the words "or suretyship."

In line 1, Section 56, after the word "bonds" insert the words "or suretyship."

Insert a period at the end of line 7 after the word "employee" and strike out the balance of the section. (Exoneration of bond clause suggested by Controller Leavy to be prepared by City Attorney and to be inserted here.)

In line 1, Section 57, after the word "bonds" insert the words "or suretyship."

In line 1, Section 59, after the word "bonds" insert the words "or suretyship."

In line 12, Section 59, after the second word "bond" insert the words "or suretyship."

In line 1, Section 60, after the word "bond" insert the words "or suretyship."

In line 4, Section 60, after the word "officer" insert a period and strike out the words "and shall be so conditioned."

In line 1, Section 61, after the word "bonds" insert the words "or suretyship."

At the end of Section 61 insert the following language:

"or the bonds given for all departments may be grouped so that all employees or officers of all departments will be covered by one bond."

In line 2, Section 63, strike out the words "positions and bonds" and insert in lieu thereof the words "or suretyship." And at the end of Section 63 insert the words "and the consent of the surety shall be evidenced by a proper rider or endorsement to said bonds or suretyship."

Insert new section to be known as Section 64, and to read as follows:

"Section 64. The surety of any said bond or suretyship may at any time terminate its liability on behalf of any officer and employee or other incumbent under said suretyship by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and likewise the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco may cause the termination of the suretyship's liability on behalf of any and every officer, employee or other incumbent, by notice in writing to the surety specifying the date of cancellation. Upon the determination of the notice of cancellation, and provided no loss has been reported, the pro rata unearned portion of premium shall be returned to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco."

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *P. M.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds was taken up:

Endorsement of Acquisition of China Cove for Bathing Beach and Playground Purposes.

(Code No. 23.03.)

Resolution No. 748, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for some time been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above-mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board

is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above-mentioned purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby signify its intention of providing the funds necessary to remedy the above-mentioned insanitary condition as soon as the same can be done without undue burden upon the taxpayers.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jos. Phillip, Director of Property, was heard. In response to inquiry he declared that the price of the property was reasonable and might cost much more in the future if the purchase is delayed. He said that the property fronted on a public street and that the cost of extending the outfall pipe which is contemplated would be delayed for some future time. Recent improvements in the sewage disposal system have so reduced this element of the cost and the City can proceed with the work without additional appropriation.

Mrs. Sigmund Stern was heard. She thanked the Board for favorable consideration of the matter and declared that when completed it is intended to dedicate it as a memorial to the late Senator James D. Phelan.

Mrs. M. Magner, representing the City and County of Federation of Women's Clubs. She declared that the organization had gone on record by resolution against expenditure of City money for the purpose indicated. She advanced ten reasons for protesting at this time.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, suggested that the last paragraph be changed by striking out the words "providing the funds necessary to remedy the above mentioned insanitary condition as soon as the same can be done without undue burden on the taxpayers" and inserting in lieu thereof the words, "submitting to the electors of San Francisco at the next election, the proposition of the right to use the proceeds of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue for this purpose. There being no objection it was so ordered.

R. H. Norton, representing the Richmond District, was also heard in favor of the proposed resolution.

Adopted.

Thereupon the foregoing resolution as amended and in words and figures following was *adopted* by the following vote:

Endorsement of Acquisition of China Cove for Bathing Beach and Playground Purposes.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 748, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of acquiring property commonly known as China Cove to be used as a recreation center and bathing beach in connection with the playground activities of said Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Recreation Commission has at the present time a sufficient amount of money in its funds to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, the Park Commission of the State of California has for some time been considering the matter of joining with the City and County of San Francisco in the purchase of said property, and said Park Commission of the State of California to pay one-half the cost thereof; and

Whereas, said property which will be acquired for the above mentioned purpose is increasing in value and if not purchased now can be acquired only at a cost far in excess of the figure for which the same can now be purchased; and

Whereas, if the said property could be acquired at the present time for the purpose herein mentioned, the placing of the same in condition will give employment to a large amount of labor; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having been informed that it is the intention of the proper officials and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to correct at the earliest possible moment the insanitary conditions now existing in the vicinity and this Board is desirous of lending its fullest cooperation therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and recommend the acquisition of China Cove for the purposes herein mentioned and requests the Park Commission of the State of California to join with the City and County of San Francisco in the immediate acquisition of said property for the above mentioned purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby signify its intention of submitting to the electors at the next election the proposition of the right to use the proceeds of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue for this purpose.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I intended to vote against this resolution except for the remark made by Mr. Norton. I cannot commit myself here today to use the sewer bonds for this purpose. I was the author of the bond issue for sewers, and I made it small because I wanted to get it through, and attend to some necessary sewers in the Fillmore and Western Addition districts. Now, I want the record to show, and I want to be given a copy of it, if I may, that I am not voting now—I have no objection to your buying the beach, fencing it off if you have to, improving it, by recreational facilities, if you will, but I will not commit myself now to using the residue in the unexpended bond issue, any unsold bond issue funds, for this purpose, and for this reason: We have open sewers in San Francisco in the residential districts, and by any process of reasoning they come first. So in consenting to vote for the resolution, so you might acquire the land and improve it, on the surface conditions, even if you have to prohibit bathing, that I would not object to, but I want the record to show I repeat, and I want a copy of the record if I can have it, that by my vote on this question I do not approve of the giving of the residue of the bond issue moneys, if sold, as an emolument to this recreational facility, while there are open sewers in residential districts.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: I have a resolution approved by the Streets Committee. May I ask the Clerk to read the resolution slowly so the members can understand?

THE PRESIDENT: This is for the purpose of providing for the use of the 1929 sewer bonds, is it?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Not for this purpose?

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: It is not committed to this purpose.

THE PRESIDENT: Read the resolution.

(Resolution read by the Clerk.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I just make this observation: You might answer it, Mr. Phillips? Do you think if we pass this resolution that we are passing a resolution that would be sufficient for the State Park Commission to make their appropriation? We are not defining any particular sewerage plant—do you see, Mr. Phillips?

MR. PHILLIPS: I don't think it would. I think it would be necessary to wait until after the election, and if the people consent, then the Board of Supervisors set it aside for this purpose.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You think it is sufficient at this time?

MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the question. Ready for roll call?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I want to explain my vote, that I vote for this resolution on the theory that the Board of Supervisors will later determine where this money is most needed, and it is not a commitment for any specific way, or any specific improvement of the sewerage system now existing.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: That is understood, and as the resolution reads, it does not commit us.

Adopted.

Thereupon, the following resolution, recommended by Finance and Streets Committees, was presented and *adopted*:

Proceeds of Sale of 1929 Sewer Bonds to Be Used for Sewerage Treatment Plants and Enlargement and Extension of Existing Sewers.

(Code No. 12.122.)

Resolution No. 774, as follows:

Determining that the sale of remaining Sewer Bonds dated January 1, 1929, for the purpose for which said bonds were voted is impracticable and unwise, and that the proceeds of the sale of said bonds should be used for installing sewerage treatment plants and enlargement and extension of existing sewers where necessary within the City and County of San Francisco, and seeking the consent of the voters thereto.

Resolved, That it is hereby determined and specifically declared that the use of proceeds from the sale of sewer bonds dated January 1, 1929, is impracticable and unwise, as the purposes for which the said bonds were voted have been completed, and a further expenditure of money on said projects as originally contemplated would be wasteful and uneconomical, and that the City is in need of acquiring, constructing and installing sewerage treatment plants and enlarging and extending sewers within the said City and County. Be it

Further Resolved, That a special election be called to obtain the consent of the people of the City and County of San Francisco to use the proceeds of the sale of said municipal bonds above mentioned, for the acquisition, construction and installation of sewerage treatment plants and enlargement and extension of existing sewers throughout the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $15,000 Out of County Road Fund for the Cost of the Improvement of Woodside Avenue Fronting City Property (Laguna Honda Home), Under Public Proceedings.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 314, Ordinance No. 9.05163, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $15,000 out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Woodside avenue fronting city property (Laguna Honda Home), under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $15,000 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Woodside avenue fronting city property (Laguna Honda Home), under public proceedings.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Authorizing the Exchange of Certain Lands With Louis Depaoli, Setting Aside $8,500 Out of County Road Fund, and Authorizing Payment of said Sum to Louis Depaoli for the Extension of Theresa Street Between Alemany Boulevard and Cayuga Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1741.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 315, Ordinance No. 12.17413, as follows:

Authorizing the exchange of certain lands with Louis Depaoli, setting aside $8,500 out of County Road Fund, and authorizing its payment to Louis Depaoli, said lands being required for the extension of Theresa street between Alemany boulevard and Cayuga avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Louis Depaoli in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcels 1 and 2, all of which parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 182.643 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as shown on map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly, westerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right.

tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 92 degrees 50 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 24.306 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Theresa street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 270 feet, central angle 9 degrees 11 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 43.321 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 385 feet, central angle 6 degrees 00 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 40.407 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 15 feet, central angle 108 degrees 02 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 28.288 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly along said southwesterly production 5.599 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant southwesterly thereon 211.071 feet from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as said street is shown on map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 84.104 feet; thence northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 106 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 27.853 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 475 feet, central angle 16 degrees 23 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 135.869 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Lot 17, Block 6795D of aforesaid map; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 56 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Alemany boulevard; thence at right angles northeasterly along said proposed line 50 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 14 of aforesaid map; thence at right angles northwesterly along last-named line 56 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 425 feet, central angle 19 degrees 42 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 146.179 feet; thence northwesterly, northerly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 15 feet, central angle 70 degrees 17 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 18.403 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue at the point of beginning.

Being all of Lot 15 and portions of Lots 14, 16, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 of Block 6795D of above mentioned map.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 9.501 feet from the southwesterly line of Lot 20A, Block 6780 of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said line of Cayuga avenue 10.812 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 87 degrees 50 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 22.996 feet; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Theresa street on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 320 feet, central angle 9 degrees 52 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 55.134 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 335 feet, central angle 10 degrees 44 minutes 58 seconds, a distance of 62.850 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 15 feet, central angle 67 degrees 13 minutes 02 seconds, a distance of 17.597 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of aforesaid Lot 20A.

Section 2. As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco shall pay Louis Depaoli the sum of eight thousand five hundred and 00/100 dollars ($8,500.00), which sum is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Louis Depaoli. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said deed to Louis Depaoli upon receipt of a deed from Louis Depaoli to said Parcels 1 and 2 and to accept and record said deed from Louis Depaoli.

Chargeable to Appropriation 150, County Roads.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Woodside Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 316, Ordinance No. 12.061124, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 13, 1930, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola Drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersections of Portola Drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the resetting of the existing concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the reconstruction and resetting of the existing catchbasins with accompanying culvert pipe; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks; by the construction of 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the reconstruction of the existing rubble wall; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a

2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete conform wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth Avenues, and of Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets Where Not Already Improved.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 317, Ordinance No. 12.061125, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, and of Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street, where not already so improved, by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Lawton Street Between the Easterly Line of Thirty-seventh Avenue and the Westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue, Including the Intervening Crossings.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

Also, Bill No. 318, Ordinance No. 12.061126, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lawton street between the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue, including the intervening crossings, by the construction of the following vitrified clay pipe sewers with Y branches and brick manholes:

A 15-inch along the center line of Lawton street from the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue to the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue; an 18-inch along the center line of Lawton street from the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue to the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue; an 8-inch along the center line of Thirty-eighth avenue from the center line of Lawton street to the northerly line of Lawton street.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lot 1, Block 1882; Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38, Block 1883; Lot 1, Block 1884; Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 1885; Lot 1, Block 1904; Lot 1, Block 1905; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Block 1906; Lot 1, Block 1907, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses

thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Releasing the National Surety Company from Liability After January 8, 1932, on the Bond Executed in Behalf of Thomas E. Shumate, Police Commissioner, January 9, 1930.

(Code No. 4.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 769, as follows:

Whereas, National Surety Company, a surety company duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and authorized to do business in the State of California, did, on the 9th day of January, 1930, execute and deliver to the City and County of San Francisco the official bond of Thomas E. Shumate as Police Commissioner of said City and County; which said bond was required pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of said City and County then in force and which said bond was given to insure the faithful performance by said Thomas E. Shumate of the duties of the office of Police Commissioner, to which said office he was bonded for the period of four years from the 8th day of January, 1930; and

Whereas, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, which became effective on the 8th day of January, 1932, the term of said Thomas E. Shumate, as such Police Commissioner, ceased and determined, and, while said Thomas E. Shumate was subsequently, under and by virtue of the authority of the said last mentioned Charter, reappointed Police Commissioner of said City and County, the said Charter does not require any bond for the faithful performance of his duties nor is any bond required by ordinance or otherwise; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the aforesaid bond given by said National Surety Company be, and the same is, hereby exonerated as of January 8th, 1932, and said National Surety Company is hereby released from all liability on said bond on and after the said 8th day of January, 1932, and said bond is cancelled as of said date.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Compromise and Settlement of Claim of John Beisel vs. City and County of San Francisco, and Payment of $500 in Settlement Thereof.

(Code No. 6.0222.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 322, Ordinance No. 6.02226, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to compromise and settle claim against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the suit of John Beisel against the City and County of San Francisco, by the payment to said John Beisel of the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of said John Beisel against said City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller of said City and County is hereby directed to draw a warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

Approved as to form:

John O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Claims.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 770, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 276 to Director of Public Works for $3,405; No. 277 to J. B. West for $125; No. 278 to J. B. West for $125; No. 279 to Geo. Partridge for $50; No. 280 to F. J. O'Shaughnessy for $15; No. 281 to H. Windt-J. Kullman for $249.67; No. 282 to H. Windt-J. Kullman for $346.49; No. 283 to H. Windt-J. Kullman for $544.43; No. 284 to Anglo California Securities Co. for $1300; No. 285 to San Francisco & Fresno Land Co. for $1,200 payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and tha tthe President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Refunds of Taxes Paid Through Error, Erroneous Assessment and Duplicate Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 771, as follows:

Resolved, That the following designated amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, and paid to the hereinafter named, being refunds of amounts paid for account of taxes, to-wit:

To Marie S. C. Cattermole, $23.76, paid through clerical error; to be found in Volume 1, Lot 18, Block 148, 1932-33 Real Estate Rolls.

To Leslie E. Walter, $7.27, erroneous assessment of automobile; per Volume 17, page 251, line 23, Uncollected Personal Property Roll, 1932.

To Peter A. Souris, $8.08, per Volume 17, page 198, line 2, Uncollected Personal Property Roll, 1932.

To Dr. F. J. Gruss, $25.33, erroneous assessment of personal property, per Volume 3, page 133, line 7 of 1932 Uncollected Personal Property Rolls.

To R. R. de Ycaza, $25.86, erroneous assessment, per Volume 16, page 113, line 23 of Uncollected Property (Personal) Rolls for 1932.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*:

Future Arterial Highway Development Committee.

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 765, as follows:

Whereas, upon completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay bridges the City and County of San Francisco will constitute the traffic hub of the Pacific Coast and will be required to supply facile, speedy and efficient accommodations for greatly increased automobile traffic; and

Whereas, upon restoration to normal economic conditions the City and County of San Francisco may confidently look forward to a substantial increase in population with its attendant traffic problems; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is nearing completion of the projects for which the recent Boulevard Bond Funds were voted; and

Whereas, several vital and major street and boulevard projects for the City and County of San Francisco are now being considered and their construction urged; and

Whereas, various Joint Highway Districts are proceeding with or contemplating the construction of highways connecting with the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee to be known as the Future Arterial Highway Development Committee, to be composed of City officials and engineers familiar with problems of this character, prominent engineers in private life, representatives of civic, commercial and improvement clubs, and other leading citizens, and that the purposes and functions for which said committee is formed shall be as follows:

1. To make a complete and thorough study of the street, boulevard and highway system in the City and County as it exists now.

2. To study, ascertain and recommend extensions and improvements necessary to adequately, efficiently and as economically as possible, cope with the traffic problem which will confront San Francisco upon the completion of the bridges, and upon increased population; and

3. To coordinate, as far as possible, the recommendations resulting from the study of local conditions with the problems and plans of surrounding cities, counties, and Joint Highway Districts, as well as with the State Highway System.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Ordering Sewering in Thirty-seventh Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 321, Ordinance No. 12.061127, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 17, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work,

the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer with Y branches and brick manholes.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding —13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Stanton—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Extension of Thirty Days' Time from April 28, 1933, Charles L. Harney, De Long Street between Orizaba Avenue and Head Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 772, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of thirty days time from and after April 28, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of De Long street between Orizaba avenue and Head street.

This fifth extension of time is requested for the contractor to allow fill to settle.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding —13.

Absent—Supervisors Shannon, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee was *laid over one week*:

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.

(Code No. 12.1729)

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San

Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OF REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Letter of Appreciation, Theresa Street Extension.

Supervisor Canepa presented communication from Mrs. Paul Tiscornia, 257 Theresa street, expressing appreciation for consideration given by the members of the Streets and Finance Committee in the matter of extension of Theresa street into Alemany boulevard.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Ocean Shore Boulevard Project.

Clerk read communication from San Mateo County Board of Supervisors requesting attendance at meeting Monday, May 8, to present views on Ocean Shore boulevard project.

Ordered filed.

Peninsula League of Municipalities Meeting.

Clerk presented communication from C. A. Buck, president of Mayors' and Councilmen's Association of Northern California, and Mayor of Burlingame, announcing that the next regular meeting of the Peninsula League of Municipalities will be held at Santa Clara on Thursday, May 18, at 6:30 p. m. Dinner will be served at the banquet room of Odd Fellows' Hall, after which there will be a discussion of subjects of general interest to city officials. Urging attendance.

Letter of Appreciation, Passage of Milk Ordinance.

Clerk read communication from Mary Jones Mentzer, M. D., Secretary, San Francisco County Medical Society, expressing its appreciation of the high regard for the health of San Francisco and your confidence in the Director of Public Health as exemplified in the passing of the milk ordinance.

Ordered filed.

Relative to Proposed School Bond Issue.

Communication from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, transmitting letter of Board of Education respecting bond issue to replace certain wooden school buildings that are in delapidated and dangerous condition.

Referred to the Public Works, Lands and Buildings Committee.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

School Bond Issue.

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 775, as follows:

Determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by a vote of more than two-thirds of all of its members, does hereby determine that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor and the acquisition of certain other property and structures to be used in and about said school house buildings and which are necessary and convenient to carry out the objects, purposes and powers of the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That the said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine that the cost of said school house buildings, lands, structures and other property necessary and convenient to be used in and about said school house buildings will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and that a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness for the purposes herein mentioned should be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—11.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Commendation of Fire Department, Key Route Docks Fire.

(Code No. 11.04)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 776, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its congratulations and hearty commendations to the officers and members of the Fire Department whose gallant and heroic conduct in the recent conflagration at the Key Route Docks on the Oakland side of the Bay upheld the highest traditions of the San Francisco Fire Department:

Captain F. L. Smith of the Fire Boat Dennis T. Sullivan, and Captain William J. Mathewson of Number 9 Engine, who, at the imminent risk of their lives, entered the burning ferry slip and prevented the spread of flames to the highly inflammable oil tanks in the vicinity which would have meant appalling disaster were it not for their

prompt and heroic action, have won the admiration and deepest gratitude of the citizens of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

In Memoriam: Bert Kahn.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 777, as follows:

Whereas, death has closed the career of Bert Kahn, a brother of the late Congressman Julius Kahn, and a brother-in-law of Congresswoman Florence P. Kahn, who was prominent in the political and civic life of San Francisco for many years; and

Whereas, the late Bert Kahn was identified with the upbuilding of the best in San Francisco and served for many years as appraiser of the Port of San Francisco in the Customs Service of the United States, and gave in that position honest and faithful performance of his intricate duties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco publicly deplores the death of the late good citizen of San Francisco, and extends to his widow sympathy and condolences in this, her bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and another copy be sent to the widow of the late Bert Kahn, and when the Board adjourns today, it do so out of respect to the memory of the late Federal Appraiser of the Port of San Francisco.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Luke Fay.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 778, as follows:

Whereas, Luke Fay, son of the late State Senator John Fay, a prominent San Franciscan and for many years identified with social and civic endeavors in the City of his birth, has recently passed away; and

Whereas, the late Luke Fay has, through his remarkable bibliographic knowledge, become one of the eminent collectors and preservers of Californiana, and through his love of books, has gathered a rare and unique bibliography, thus preserving for all time early history of his beloved State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular session assembled, publicly expresses regret for the death of the late Luke Fay, appreciates sincerely the splendid work he has done for the history of California, and offers his bereaved widow sincere sympathy and condolences in this, her loss; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this Board, that another copy be sent to the widow of the late deceased, and that when the Board adjourns today, it do so out of respect to the memory of the late Luke Fay.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Board of Supervisors Endorses World's Good Will Day, May 18, and Requests the Mayor to Give Every Aid and Assistance to Celebration on That Date.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 779, as follows:

Whereas, The Woman's International Peace League has set May 18 next as the date of observance of World's Good Will Day for the peoples of all nations of the earth; and

Whereas, we believe that this is a timely event in light of threatened and already occurring disturbance of conditions of peace and good will that we have hoped and prayed might prevail on earth; and

Whereas, our beloved President, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, has been and is exerting every energy to bring about disarmament and the prevalence of peace among all nations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we endorse this worthy movement and request his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, to give every aid and assistance to the celebration of May 18 in the interests of world peace and good will.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Appointment of Liaison Officer, Federal Relief Measures.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 780, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint some official to act as a liaison officer between this City and the Federal Government whose duty it shall be to study those Federal remedial measures adopted or contemplated for the relief of unemployment throughout the nation, and to call this Board's attention to such measures that this City may participate in the benefits afforded by such governmental action to whatever extent San Francisco may be entitled, so that our unemployed and needy citizens may be afforded relief.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Grey Line Bus at Ocean Boulevard.

Supervisor Peyser called attention to the removal of the Municipal buses from the Ocean boulevard and the operating of buses there by a private company. He asked just what had taken place there, and under what authority.

Edward Cahill, secretary of Public Utilities Commission, being sent for at request of Supervisor Peyser, said: "The Park Commission asked the Public Utilities Commission if it had any objection to Greyline buses operating at the beach. The Public Utilities Commission declared that it had no objection, as it had no jurisdiction. Public Utilities Commission cannot grant a franchise to any such organization as the Greyline."

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: Has the Park Commission authority to grant a franchise?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know. The Public Utilities Commission had nothing to do with it. We simply stated we had no objection. The Municipal bus cost four dollars for each one dollar income the bus

took in. Service did not warrant continuance of such expense. Deficit amounted to approximately $28,000.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be requested to advise the Board whether Greyline buses operating at the beach are doing so under proper authority.

So ordered.

Supervisor Colman moved that the matter be referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor Peyser asked that the City Attorney and a member of the Park Commission be present at the next meeting of the Board, to respond to questions for information that he wanted, and that the matter be a Special Order for 3:30 p. m.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7 P. M. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 15, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 20

Monday, May 15, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 15, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 15, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of May 8, 1933, was considerel read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Committee on Municipal Personnel was taken up:

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to Be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 221, Ordinance No. 4.041, as follows:

Specifying the various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who shall be bonded for the faithful performance of their respective duties where bonds are not specifically required by the Charter; fixing the amount of the suretyship to be given by said officers and employees; providing for the payment of premiums thereon and for the custody of said suretyship and providing for the form thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter mentioned, shall be bonded to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said suretyship shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will be required as long as said officers and employees hold their re-

spective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give suretyship as herein provided, and the minimum amounts of each suretyship are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section 2.	*Board of Supervisors.*	
	Clerk of Board of Supervisors	$10,000
Section 3.	*Mayor.*	
	Head Clerk-Stenographer	2,000
Section 4.	*Assessor.*	
	Chief Assistant Assessor	10,000
	Chief Teller	10,000
	Senior Clerk	2,000
	General Clerks (2), each	2,000
Section 5.	*District Attorney.*	
	Principal Attorney (Criminal) (Bond and Warrant Clerk)	10,000
	General Clerk	5,000
	Criminal Law Clerks (5), each	1,000
	Attorney-Criminal	1,000
Section 6.	*Treasurer.*	
	Head Clerk	25,000
	Senior Teller	10,000
	Head Clerk	5,000
	Senior Accountant	5,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	5,000
	Senior Tellers (2), each	5,000
	Tellers (4), each	5,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 7.	*Sheriff.*	
	Head Clerk (Cashier)	25,000
	Head Clerk	1,000
	General Clerk	1,000
	Superintendent of Jails (2), each	1,000
Section 8.	*Police Department.*	
	Property Clerk	10,000
Section 9.	*Fire Department.*	
	Chief Clerk (Secretary)	10,000
	General Clerk-Typist	2,000
Section 10.	*Park Department* (Main Office).	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Assistant Superintendent	2,000
	Secretary	5,000
	Surveyor	2,000
	Accountant	2,000
	Cashier	10,000
	Superintendent Clerk	2,500
	Timekeeper	2,500
	Assistant Timekeeper	500
	Stenographer-Clerk	2,000
	Bookkeeper-Stenographer	500
	Stenographer	500
	Relief Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Manager (Beach Chalet)	2,500
	Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Manager (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	500
	Cashiers (3) (Fleishhacker Playfield), each	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Playfield)	5,000

	Cashier (Mother's House)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Cafe)	5,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Cashiers in Booths (Fleishhacker Cafe), each	500
	Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	1,250
	Relief Starter (Lincoln Golf Course)	500
	Starter (Harding Golf Course)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Harding Golf Course)	1,250
	Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Lincoln Cafe)	500
	Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Harding Cafe)	500
	Manager (Restaurants)	5,000
	Cashier Clerk (Children's Playground)	2,500
	Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier Candy Booth (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier (Picnic Cafe, Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground)	500
	Director of Public Recreation	5,000
	Foreman (Kezar Stadium)	2,500
	Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Sharp Park Cafe)	500
	Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Sharp Park Golf)	1,250
	Cashier (Palace of Fine Arts)	500
Section 11.	*Recreation Department.*	
	Camp Manager (Supervisor)	3,000
	Bookkeeper	3,000
	Secretary	1,250
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
Section 12.	*Public Library.*	
	Senior Clerk (Assistant Secretary)	2,000
Section 13.	*War Memorial.*	
	No recommendation received as yet.	
Section 14.	*California Palace of the Legion of Honor.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Engineer and Building Superintendent	2,000
	Organist	2,000
	Chief Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Head Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor (2), each	2,000
	Gallerymen (2), each	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Stenographers (2), each	2,000
	Typist	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000

	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Caretaker	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 15.	*M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Assistant to Director	2,500
	Recorder	2,000
	Secretary to Director	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Head Galleryman	2,000
	Printers (2), each	2,000
	Mechanic	2,000
	Assistant Mechanic	2,000
	Janitor	2,000
	Assistant Janitor	2,000
	Head Caretaker	2,000
	Caretakers (6), each	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
	Gallerymen (8), each	2,000
	Carpenter	2,000
	Gallerymen (4), each	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Docent	2,000
Section 16.	*Municipal Court.*	
	Cashier and Head Clerk	3,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	General Clerk—Criminal	3,000
	Jury Commissioner	2,000
	Court Room Clerks—Criminal (4), each	2,000
	Chief Assistant Clerk	1,000
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (4), each	1,000
	Court Room Clerks (8), each	1,000
	Civil Law Clerks—Criminal (2), each	1,000
	General Clerks—Criminal (13), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Copyists (2), each	1,000
	Clerk of Municipal Court	10,000
Section 17.	*Superior Courts.*	
	Secretary-Jury Commissioner	10,000
Section 18.	*Juvenile Court—Probation Office.*	
	Bookkeeper (Cashier)	5,000
	Senior Clerk (Chief Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	Senior Probation Officer	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Probation Officer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	Interpreter-Clerk (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General-Clerk Typist	1,000
	(Charles Schermerhorn) Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officer	1,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000

	Assistant Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
	Chief Probation Officer	5,000
	Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Section 19.	*Adult Probation Department.*	
	Chief Adult Probation Officer	1,000
	Probation Officers (7), each	1,000
	Bookkeeper	2,000
	Probation Officer—Stenographer	1,000
Section 20.	*Chief Administrative Officer.*	
	Chief Administrative Officer	50,000
Section 21.	*Finance and Records.*	
	Director of Finance and Records	10,000
Section 22.	*Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*	
	Cashier	15,000
	General Clerks (24) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	1,000
	Head Clerks (2) (Deputies), each	1,000
	Teller (Deputy)	10,000
	Teller	5,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
	Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Deputy)	1,000
	License Adjuster	1,000
	Chief Clerk	10,000
	General Clerk	2,500
Section 23.	*Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters.*	
	Registrar of Voters	3,000
	General Clerk	1,000
Section 24.	*Finance and Records—Recorder.*	
	Recorder	10,000
Section 25.	*Finance and Records—County Clerk.*	
	Senior Civil Law Clerks (3), each	2,000
	General Clerks (2), each	2,000
	General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each	5,000
	Criminal Law Clerk	2,000
	Chief Clerk	5,000
	Senior Clerk	1,000
Section 26.	*Finance and Records—Public Administrator.*	
	Bookkeeper	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
Section 27.	*Purchasing.*	
	Purchaser	25,000
	Storekeepers (5), each	2,500
	General Storekeepers (6), each	2,500
	Chief Storekeeper	5,000
	Stockman	1,000
Section 28.	*Real Estate Department—Exposition Auditorium.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
Section 29.	*Real Estate Department.*	
	Director	25,000
	Assistant Right of Way Agent	1,000
	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	1,000
Section 30.	*Department of Public Works—General Office.*	
	Director	25,000
	Chief Clerk (Secretary)	5,000
	Head Clerk	2,000

Section 31. *Department of Public Works—Central Permit Bureau.*
Head Clerk 10,000
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,000
General Clerk 1,000

Section 32. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Building Inspection.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 33. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.*
City Architect 10,000

Section 34. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Engineering.*
City Engineer 10,000
General Clerks (2), each..... 1,000
Senior Clerk 1,000
Surveyors (2), each..... 1,000

Section 35. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Sewer Repairs.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 36. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 37. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 38. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Cost Accounting.*
Head Clerk (Cost Accountant)..... 2,500
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,500

Section 39. *Department of Electricity.*
Chief 10,000
Senior Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 2,000
Superintendent of Plant 5,000

Section 40. *Department of Public Health—Central Office.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Health Director 5,000
Senior Accountant 5,000

Section 41. *Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home.*
Superintendent 10,000
Assistant Superintendent 3,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 42. *Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital.*
Superintendent 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 43. *Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home.*
Superintendent 2,000

Section 44. *Coroner.*
Coroner 10,000
Coroner's Chief Investigator 1,000
Coroner's Investigators (3), each..... 1,000

Section 45. *Controller.*
Chief Assistant Controller..... 50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)..... 50,000

	Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)	25,000
	Senior Clerk (Deputy Controller)	25,000
	Supervisor of Payrolls	10,000
	Head Clerk	5,000
	Senior Accountant—Audits	10,000
	Head Clerks (2), each	2,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	2,000
	Tax Redemption Clerk	2,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 46.	*City Planning Commission.*	
	Secretary	500
	Draftsman	500
Section 47.	*Public Utilities Commission—General Office.*	
	Manager of Utilities	15,000
	Secretary	1,000
Section 48.	*Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Hetch Hetchy Power Operation.*	
	Chief Electrical Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Electrical Engineer in Charge	2,500
	Chief Operator—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Labor Foreman	1,000
	Clerk—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Section 49.	*Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway.*	
	Manager	10,000
	Auditor	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
	Clerk	1,000
	Messenger	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Receivers (7), each	1,000
	Dispatchers (2), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Stenographer	1,000
	Claims Adjuster	5,000
	Cashier	2,500
	Receiver	1,000
	Conductor Acting Receivers (2), each	1,000
	Receiver	1,000
	Inspectors, Acting Claim Investigator	1,000
Section 50.	*Public Utilities Commission—Water Department.*	
	General Manager	10,000
	Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
	Cashier	1,250
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,500
	Manager Water Sales	1,250
	Shut Off Men (6), each	1,250
	Contractor and Building Inspector	1,250
	Shut Off Man	1,250
	Assistant Supervisor Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	General Clerk Collectors (21), each	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk Teller	5,000
	Senior Clerk Teller	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	2,500
	Head Clerk-Collector	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk-Collector	2,500

	Supervisor of Collections	5,000
	Chief Clerk	1,250
	Country Meter Man	1,250
	Assistant Superintendent Agriculture—Pleasanton	1,250
	Supervisor—Dock and Shipping	1,250
	Clerk	1,250
	General Clerk	1,250
	Temporary Collector	1,250
Section 51.	*Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Power Project.*	
	Chief Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Construction Engineer in Charge Coast Range	5,000
	Assistant Construction Engineer—Coast Range	2,500
	Assistant Engineer—Field Office	2,500
	Clerk—Coast Range Division	1,000
	Supervising Accountant	5,000
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	Cost Accountant	5,000
	Bookkeeper	5,000
	Tunnel Foremen (6), each	1,000
	Timekeepers (7), each	1,000
Section 52.	*Public Utilities Commission—Airport.*	
	Superintendent	2,500
	Assistant Superintendent	2,500
	Bookkeeper	1,000
Section 53.	*Board of Education.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Secretary	10,000
	Accountant	5,000
	Supervisor, Home Economics	1,000
	(Permit bonds of Commissioners to expire automatically.)	
Section 54.	*Retirement System.*	
	Secretary-Actuary	25,000

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and the original bond or suretyship shall be filed with the Controller and a duplicate or proper certificate shall be filed with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond or suretyship of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the state to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds or suretyship are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds or suretyship shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee.

Section 57. All bonds or suretyship issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Whenever any officer or employee who is covered by any bond or suretyship written or issued under authority of this ordinance shall cease to hold the office or position for which said bond or suretyship was issued, the head of the department in which said officer or employee was serving shall notify the Controller of said fact, and shall also notify said Controller of the name of the officer or employee who has been appointed to succeed said officer or employee. Thereupon the Controller shall forthwith notify the company carrying the said bond or suretyship for said office position of said change in the occupancy thereof and arrange with said company that the new appointee shall be covered by said bond or suretyship.

Whenever any officer or employee shall cease to hold any office or employment covered by any bond or suretyship written pursuant to this ordinance and the head of the department concerned notifies the Controller of said fact, he shall advise said Controller if any liability has accrued in favor of the City or in favor of any officer thereof on said bond or suretyship, and if no such liability has accrued, the Controller shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that said bond or suretyship covering said officer or employee be exonerated so far as such officer or employee is concerned, and the Board may by resolution exonerate said bond or suretyship, provided that such exoneration shall not in any way release said bond or suretyship for any liability which may have accrued while said officer or employee was covered by said bond or suretyship.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds or suretyship herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond or suretyship written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond or suretyship given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordintnce shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer.

Section 61. The bonds or suretyship given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department and the bonds given for all departments may be grouped so that all employees and officers of all departments will be covered by one bond.

Supervisor Brown moved the adoption of the following amendment:

Add to Section 61:

All positions not heretofore bonded and for which bond is required under the provisions of this ordinance may be grouped under one schedule. In such event all other positions now bonded shall be added to the schedule as the respective anniversary dates of the existing bonds covering such positions shall be reached.

There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the suretyship to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of re-publishing said ordinance in its entirety, and the consent of the surety shall be evidenced by a proper rider or endorsement to said bonds or suretyship.

Section 64. The surety of any said bond or suretyship may at any time terminate its liability on behalf of any officer and employee or other incumbent under said suretyship by giving thirty (30) days' notice in writing to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and likewise the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco may cause the termination of the surety's liability on behalf of any and every officer, employee or other incumbent by notice in writing to the surety, specifying the date of cancellation. Upon the determination of the notice of cancellation and provided no loss has been reported, the pro rata unearned portion of premium shall be returned to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. Hanley, Jr., attorney, representing his brother, Herbert Hanley, insurance broker. He offered a proposed amendment to Section 59 of the ordinance providing that bids be called for in awarding the bonds. He called attention to Section 88 of the Charter relative to contractual relations in justification of his contention that bids should be called for in awarding this business.

Chair ruled that inasmuch as no member of the Board would not sponsor the proposed amendment it was not properly before the Board.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Roncovieri protested the consideration of the foregoing bill inasmuch as his request for comparative information as to amounts of bonds was not set forth on the calendar. He objected particularly to the omission of bonds for officials and employees of the School Department. He offered the following in explanation of his vote: "We are told that 40 per cent increase in number and in amount are being added by this ordinance. No explanation that is satisfactory to me or to any logical mind has been given why the increase of 40 per cent. For that reason, I believe it has been said it is a step in the right direction. It is a good thing to bond employees, but you can overdo any good thing. Why, at a time like this when we should exert ourselves to save every dollar we can, we are adding 40 per cent, is beyond me. I cannot see the purpose of it at this time. If the Supervisors will put it over a week and give us the explanation I will be glad to vote for it. I want my statement to be published in the record of the Board of Supervisors."

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance, as amended, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Re Operation of Gray Line Bus on Great Highway.

May 8, 1933—*Supervisor Peyser moved that City Attorney and a member of the Park Commission be requested to attend Board of Supervisors' meeting Monday, May* 15, 1933, *at* 3:30 *p. m.*

Captain Lamb, Secretary of the Park Commission, appeared and declared that a private bus line was operating on the Great Highway between the hours of 9 a. m. and 5 p. m. each day under agreement with the Park Commission. This, he said, is being tried merely as an experiment and courtesy to people at the beach and to determine if the service is warranted. The Park Commission consulted with the manager of the Public Utilities Commission in the matter who felt it was decidedly a losing proposition to continue the Municipal bus line. He did not know if the Gray Line intended to ask the Board of Supervisors for a franchise, but assumed they would ask it sometime and possibly extend the service a little further. He did not know if the Gray Line paid the City a percentage of gross receipts. The company is operating on a jitney license. In reply to Supervisor Gallagher, he said, the Great Highway is under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole declared that he had not yet completed his study of the situation.

Referred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week. Public hearing on resolution to be given before Streets Committee on Wednesday*:

Future Arterial Highway Development Committee.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 765, as follows:

Whereas, upon completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay bridges the City and County of San Francisco will constitute the traffic hub of the Pacific Coast and will be required to supply facile, speedy and efficient accommodations for greatly increased automobile traffic; and

Whereas, upon restoration to normal economic conditions the City and County of San Francisco may confidently look forward to a substantial increase in population with its attendant traffic problems; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is nearing completion of the projects for which the recent Boulevard Bond Funds were voted; and

Whereas, several vital and major street and boulevard projects for the City and County of San Francisco are now being considered and their construction urged; and

Whereas, various Joint Highway Districts are proceeding with or contemplating the construction of highways connecting with the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee to be known as the Future Arterial Highway Development Committee, to be composed of City officials and engineers familiar with problems of this character, prominent engineers in private life, representatives of civic, commercial and improvement clubs, and other leading citizens, and that the purposes and functions for which said committee is formed shall be as follows:

1. To make a complete and thorough study of the street, boulevard and highway system in the City and County as it exists now.

2. To study, ascertain and recommend extensions and improvements necessary to adequately, efficiently and as economically as possible, cope with the traffic problem which will confront San Francisco upon the completion of the bridges, and upon increased population; and

3. To coordinate, as far as possible, the recommendations resulting from the study of local conditions with the problems and plans of surrounding cities, counties, and Joint Highway Districts, as well as with the State Highway System.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Milk Ordinance.
(Code No. 17.05)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 308, Ordinance No. 17.052, as follows:

Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the production of and the traffic in milk, cream and milk food products in the said City and County, and of all milk and cream produced in other counties for consumption in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County and at such other places where milk is produced or handled for distribution or sale in said City and County, and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Wherever in the ordinance the following words, terms or names are used, they shall be construed as follows:

Subdivision 1. *Pasteurizing Plant*: Any plant or establishment where raw milk or cream is received for pasteurization, cooling or processing, or in which raw milk or cream is pasteurized, cooled or processed, or from which such pasteurized milk or cream is delivered for distribution to the retail trade, is deemed to be a pasteurizing plant within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. *Dairy Farm*: Any place or premises upon which milk is produced for sale or distribution is a dairy farm within the meaning of this ordinance.

Subdivision 3. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall

be construed to mean both the singular and plural, as the case demands, and shall include individuals, corporations, companies, societies and associations. When construing and enforcing the provisions of this ordinance, the act, omission or failure of any employee, officer, agent or other person acting for or employed by any individual, corporation, company, society or association, within the scope of his employment or office, shall in every case also be deemed to be an act, omission or failure of such individual, corporation, company, society or association, as well as that of the person. The provisions of this ordinance shall be construed to apply to hotel keepers, restaurant keepers and boarding house keepers and any person who shall serve meals to the public.

Subdivision 4. *Score Card*: The official score card for pasteurizing plants and dairy farms shall be the score card adopted by the Director of Public Health and the minimum standard of rating shall be in accordance with said score card. *Provided that the minimum standard of rating shall not be less than that fixed by the Director of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.*

Subdivision 5. *Market Milk or Cream*: Wherever the terms market milk or market cream are used in this ordinance they shall be construed to mean milk or cream in their natural fluid state, as prepared for human consumption, without being converted into any other form or product.

Subdivision 6. Milk is the fresh, clean, lacteal secretion obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows properly fed and kept, excluding that obtained within 18 days before and 8 days after parturition, free from foreign substances detrimental to its quality or to the quality of the products prepared therefrom, and contains not less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and not less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat. Nothing stated in this subdivision shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk at a uniform fat content by the addition of whole milk or cream, but the addition of skimmed milk or the removal of butter fat is prohibited. In the standardization of Grade A pasteurized milk, the milk and cream used for the enrichment of said milk shall be of the same grade.

Subdivision 7. *Cream*: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. Market Cream at the expiration of sixty (60) days from and after the effective date of this ordinance shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation "sour market cream."

Subdivision 8. *Ice Cream*: Ice cream is a frozen product made with pure, sweet milk, cream, skim milk, condensed milk, condensed skim milk, dried milk, dried skim milk, wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, pure milk fat, or any combination of any such products, with or without sweetening, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring, and containing not less than ten (10) per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Fruit Ice Cream: Fruit ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than three per cent by weight of clean, mature, sound fruit or the juice thereof, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

Nut Ice Cream: Nut ice cream is ice cream which contains not less than eight per cent of milk fat and not less than one per cent by weight of sound, non-rancid nut meats, with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring and containing not more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin.

When sold by manufacturer, distributor or retailer, ice cream shall not contain non-pathogenic bacteria in excess of fifty thousand (50,000) per gram.

Subdivision 9. *Ice Milk*: Ice milk is a frozen product made with pure sweet milk, evaporated or condensed milk, evaporated or condensed skim milk, dry milk, dry skim milk, pure milk fat or wholesome sweet butter made from sweet cream, or any combination of such products, with or without sweetening, clean, wholesome eggs or egg products, and with or without the use of harmless flavoring and coloring. Ice milk shall contain not less than four per cent of milk fat nor more than six-tenths of 1 per cent of pure, harmless, edible vegetable gum or gelatin. Ice milk when sold by the manufacturer shall contain not more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

All containers of ice milk shall be conspicuously labeled and vehicles conveying ice milk for sale and places where ice milk is sold shall display a conspicuous sign containing the words "Ice Milk Sold Here" in letters not less than six inches high, and no person shall use the name "Ice Cream" in connection with the sale of ice milk therewith either orally or written.

Subdivision 10. French ice cream, French custard ice cream, cooked ice cream, ice custards, parfaits and all similar frozen products are varieties of ice cream which shall contain not less than ten per cent of milk fat and not less than five dozen of clean, wholesome egg yolks, or one and five-tenths pounds of wholesome, dry egg yolk containing not to exceed seven per cent of moisture, or three pounds of wholesome, frozen egg yolk containing not to exceed fifty-five per cent of moisture, or the equivalent of egg yolk in other form, for each ninety pounds of ice cream mix, and which shall otherwise conform to the regulations promulgated by the Director of Public Health.

French ice cream or custard ice cream shall not contain at the time of delivery to ultimate consumer more than 50,000 non-pathogenic bacteria per gram.

Subdivision 11. *Goat's Milk*: Goat's milk is the unadulterated, fresh, clean, lacteal secretion, free from colostrum, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy goats, properly fed and housed, free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion as determined by a veterinarian in the employ of the Department of Public Health or approved by the State Department of Agriculture. The rules and regulations governing the production, distribution, sale, handling and *pasteurization* of goat's milk shall be the same as those set forth in this ordinance as governing the production, sale, handling, distribution and processing of cow's milk.

Subdivision 12. *Buttermilk*: Buttermilk is the product that remains when butter is removed from milk or cream in the process of churning and to which milk or cream no preservative or neutralizer has been added. Buttermilk to which water has been added is hereby declared adulterated and shall not be sold for human consumption.

Cultured buttermilk is pasteurized milk or pasteurized skim milk, or a combination thereof, with or without an admixture of buttermilk, which has been treated with special cultures of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product somewhat resembles buttermilk in appearance, composition and flavor. Cultured buttermilk must be so labeled as to distinguish it from natural buttermilk.

Subdivision 13. *Unlawful to Sell Skimmed Milk Not Properly Labeled*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange or deliver, or to offer for sale, exchange or delivery, or to cause or permit to be sold, exchanged or delivered, or to be offered for sale, exchange or delivery, or to have in possession for sale, exchange or delivery in the City and County of San Francisco any milk from which any part of the cream shall have been removed, or any skimmed milk, unless the same be offered for sale and sold as skimmed milk, or unless there shall be attached to the outside of every vessel, can or package from or in which such skimmed milk is sold or held for exchange or delivery, a tag upon which shall be printed in black letters at least one inch in height the word "skim" or the words "skimmed milk."

Skim milk shall contain less than 3 per cent of milk fat and not less than 8.8 per cent of solids not fat. Skim milk other than that used in manufacturing shall be pasteurized *and shall come from cows non-reacting to the tuberculin test* in accordance with the method set forth in this ordinance. Said pasteurized skim milk shall be labeled accordingly.

Milk or skim milk, properly pasteurized and combined with fruit or fruit juices, chocolate, chocolate syrups or other harmless syrups, with or without the addition of harmless colorings, may be used in the manufacture and sale of soft drinks under a trade name; provided said trade name does not contain the words "milk" or "cream" or any other word resembling milk or cream; and provided further, that said product shall be so colored or contain ingredients that cause it to look unlike milk or cream or any product thereof.

Subdivision 14. *Adulterated, Impure, Unhealthy and Unwholesome*: The terms adulterated, impure, unhealthy and unwholesome, when applied to milk, as used in this ordinance, mean:

First: Milk containing less than three and four-tenths (3.4) per cent of milk fat and less than eight and five-tenths (8.5) per cent of solids not fat.

Second: Milk drawn from cows within eighteen (18) days before or eight (8) days after parturition.

Third: Milk drawn from cows fed on unhealthful or unwholesome food.

Fourth: Milk drawn from cows kept in an unhealthy or insanitary condition, or from cows affected with any form of disease, or from cows which are supplied with impure or unwholesome water.

Fifth: Milk from which any part of the cream has been removed, *except skimmed milk.*

Sixth: Milk which has been diluted with water or with any other fluid, or to which has been added or into which has been introduced any foreign substance whatever, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Seventh: Milk drawn from cows that are in a state of filth and uncleanliness or by milkers who are themselves in a condition of filth and uncleanliness or otherwise physically unfit, *the determination of such fact or facts to be made by the Director of Public Health.*

Eighth: Any milk which is shown by analysis to contain any substances of any character not normal constituents of milk, or to have been deprived either wholly or in part of any constituent naturally or normally contained in milk, *except as permitted by this ordinance.*

Ninth: Any milk which has been processed in any insanitary pasteurizing plant, or produced on any insanitary dairy farm or factory

of dairy products, or other insanitary place, or which has been handled in an insanitary manner.

Tenth: Milk or cream from cows which have not passed the tuberculin test.

Milk which is adulterated, impure, unhealthy, or unwholesome as defined by this ordinance, shall not be sold for human consumption except as herein provided.

Section 3. *MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO*:

Subdivision 1. *Market milk for sale and distribution for human consumption in the City and County of San Francisco, shall consist of the following grades of milk*: (a) *certified milk*, (b) *guaranteed pasteurized milk*, (c) *Grade A pasteurized milk and* (d) *Grade B pasteurized milk, and no other milk, shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, exchanged or delivered for human consumption within the City and County of San Francisco. Provided, that Grade B pasteurized milk shall be sold, offered or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged only for cooking and baking purposes. Provided further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the delivery and sale of Raw Guaranteed Milk, Raw Grade A Milk or Raw Grade B Milk to wholesalers or to pasteurization plants for the purpose of pasteurizing the same.*

Subdivision 2. *Certified Milk*: *Certified milk is market milk which conforms to the rules, regulations, methods and standards for the production and distribution of certified milk adopted by the American Association of Medical Milk Commissions and must bear the certification of the Milk Commission of the San Francisco County Medical Society. Certified milk must be the produce of a dairy herd that shall consist solely of cows which have passed the tuberculin test in the manner prescribed by said Milk Commission. It must not contain more than* 10,000 *non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter of milk, which standard must be attained solely by measures directed towards cleanliness, proper cooling and prompt delivery. Certified milk must contain not less than three and five-tenths* (3.5) *per cent of milk fat and a minimum of solids not fat of eight and five-tenths* (8.5) *per cent. Certified milk must be handled and delivered in sealed containers and on ice within thirty hours after its production and in the manner directed by said Milk Commission. The dairy farm milk room, milkers and dairy utensils, etc., must all conform to the requirements of the said Milk Commission.*

Subdivision 3. *Guaranteed Milk Pasteurized*: *Guaranteed pasteurized milk shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*: *The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once each month by an official representative of an approved milk inspection service and by a tuberculin test. It shall be produced on dairies which score not less than ninety per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California. It must be delivered in containers having the pouring lip completely protected from contamination and shall be cooled immediately after being drawn from the cow to fifty degrees Fahrenheit or less, and so maintained until delivered for pasteurization, when it shall contain not more than fifteen thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter, and not less than three and one-half per cent of milk fat. It must be sold to the consumer within thirty hours after production and shall be labeled to indicate the date of sale to the consumer. All persons who come in contact with the raw guaranteed milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not be afflicted with any communicable disease or in a condition to disseminate the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable disease liable to be conveyed by the milk. The absence of such germs in all such persons shall be determined by bacteriological and physical*

examination under the direction of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, conducted at the time of employment and every six months thereafter in a manner approved by the Department of Agriculture and the Director of Public Health. When pasteurized it shall contain not more than three thousand non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer.

Guaranteed milk when raw shall be delivered in sterile containers to pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, to be there pasteurized and thereafter distributed in the manner provided by the regulation of the Director of Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 4. *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk*: Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk shall conform to the following requirements: It shall be the produce of healthy cows as determined by physical examination at least once in two months by a veterinarian under the supervision of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco and by the tuberculin test by such veterinarian, or by a veterinarian approved by the State Department of Agriculture, and from dairy farms scoring not less than 75 per cent on the score card approved by the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco. The tuberculin test must be repeated annually if no reacting animals are found in the herd. If reacting animals are found, they must be removed from the herd immediately and the tuberculin test repeated in six months, provided that in the first test the percentage of reacting animals found is less than 10 per cent of the herd. If the percentage of reactors found is greater than 10 per cent, the tuberculin test must be repeated in ninety days.

All cows are to be fed, watered, housed, and milked under conditions approved by the Director of Public Health.

All persons who come in contact with the milk must exercise scrupulous cleanliness and must not harbor the germs of typhoid fever, tuberculosis, diphtheria or other communicable diseases transmissible by milk. Absence of such diseases shall be determined by bacteriologic and physical examination to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Grade "A" Raw Milk from the dairy farm shall be delivered in sterile containers to the pasteurizing plants located in the City and County of San Francisco, and is to be kept at a temperature of fifty degrees Fahrenheit, or below, until it reaches the pasteurizing plant when it must not contain more than fifty thousand (50,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter and rapidly cooled after pasteurization to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit or below, and so maintained to the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk must not contain more than fifteen thousand (15,000) non-pathogenic bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the ultimate consumer.

Subdivision 5. *Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk*: *Grade "B" Pasteurized milk is market milk which shall conform to the following requirements as a minimum*:

The health of the cows shall be determined by physical examination at least once in six months by a veterinarian in the employ of the Director of Public Health. Grade "B" Pasteurized milk shall be produced on dairy farms that score not less than sixty-five (65) per cent on the dairy farm score card adopted by the Director of Public Health. It shall always be pasteurized and shall contain not more than one million (1,000,000) bacteria per milliliter before pasteurization and not more than fifty thousand (50,000) bacteria per milliliter at the time of delivery to the consumer. Grade "B" Pasteurized Milk shall be sold only in cans to the wholesale trade to be used for cooking and baking purposes; provided, however, that this latter provision shall not apply to Grade B Cream.

Grade "B" Raw Milk shall be delivered to the pasteurizing plants in the City and County of San Francisco and pasteurized in the same manner as in this ordinance is provided for Grade "A" raw milk.

Subdivision 6. *Manufacturing Milk*: *Manufacturing milk is such milk as is not fit for human consumption as milk, and may be sold and used in the City and County of San Francisco only under such regulation as the Director of Public Health may provide, and if used for the manufacturing of foodstuffs, provided that in the manufacture of said foodstuffs, or immediately prior thereto, said milk is subjected to a temperature of* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit for thirty consecutive minutes, and provided further, that for every degree above* 145 *degrees Fahrenheit to which said milk is heated, the time during which said temperature must be maintained may be decreased by one minute. The time for maintaining such temperature shall in no case be less than five minutes, except for milk that is re-pasteurized. Re-pasteurized milk shall not be sold as market milk.*

Milk not suitable for human consumption may be sold for industrial purposes, provided it is heated to a higher temperature than necessary for pasteurization, and delivered in a distinctive container plainly marked with the word "Not suitable for human consumption" in letters not less than one-quarter inch in length and one-twelfth stroke. Such milk must not be transported on a vehicle carrying milk for human consumption.

Subdivision 7. *Method of Pasteurization*: Pasteurizing milk consists of the heating of every portion of the milk to not less than one hundred and forty (140) degrees Fahrenheit nor more than one hundred and forty-five (145) degrees Fahrenheit and maintaining same at that temperature for thirty (30) minutes, and immediately cooling thereafter to a temperature of fifty (50) degrees Fahrenheit.

All milk except certified milk intended for human consumption in San Francisco shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

Each pasteurizing plant shall be equipped with a sufficient number of self-registering devices to accurately record the temperature to which and the length of time for which the pasteurized product has been heated. Such record shall be kept for two months and be available for inspection by the Director of the State Department of Agriculture or any of his agents, *and also by the Director of Public Health or his representative.*

Subdivision 8. *The class or grade of all milk or cream sold, offered, or exposed for sale, delivered or exchanged, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the name and address of the producer or distributor, shall at all times appear plainly and in a conspicuous place, or be securely attached to every bottle, cap, can or container, in which said milk is contained, in capital letters not less than one-eighth inch high and one-sixteenth of an inch wide. Milk not fit for human consumption shall be so marked.*

Subdivision 9. All utensils, equipment and apparatus used in the production, handling and processing of milk, cream and milk food products shall be thoroughly cleaned and sterilized each time after using, and shall be so constructed that all parts are free from spaces not easily cleanable and each surface coming in contact with the milk and cream is smooth and free from rust.

Subdivision 10. *No Person Shall Bring Into the City, Sell or Offer for Sale any Impure, Adulterated or Unwholesome Milk*: It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, by themselves or by their agents, servants or employees, to bring, or cause to be brought within, sell, offer for sale, exchange, deliver, distribute or have in his, its or their possession with intent to sell, expose or offer for sale or exchange or to distribute for human consumption, within the City and County of San Francisco, any impure, adulterated, unhealthy or unwholesome milk, except as provided by this ordinance.

Subdivision 11. *Persons Suffering from Communicable Disease*: No person suffering from a communicable disease, or who is a "contact," or who has been recently exposed to any contagious or infectious disease, shall be permitted to milk cows, handle milk or milk utensils in any pasteurizing or processing plant or upon any dairy farm, nor shall any milk be brought into, sold or offered for sale from any dairy farm, when a contagious or infectious disease exists on said dairy farm, until such time as said premises have been inspected and declared in writing free of contagion by an authorized employee of the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 12. *Methods of Serving Milk*: When served in any hotel, boarding house, lunch counter, restaurant or other place of public entertainment, market milk shall be served in the original bottle in sizes ½ pints, pints, ⅓ quarts and quarts only, the cap of which shall not be removed except in the presence of the customer or patron; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to cream so served.

The bottling of all milk except certified milk is prohibited except in regularly approved and authorized pasteurizing plants.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, exchange, offer or expose for sale or exchange, or have on hand for sale or exchange or serve in any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, saloon, lunch counter or other place of public entertainment any milk or cream to which any gelatin or other substance, except as provided in the general dairy law of California, has been added to increase the consistency of such milk or cream so as to make it appear richer or of better quality; provided, however, that nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the standardization of milk and cream as provided in this ordinance.

Subdivision 13. All grocery stores, delicatessens and other retail establishments handling milk for human consumption must have, in addition to all other sanitary appurtenances, an ice chest of sufficient capacity to hold the amount of milk handled in said premises, and said ice chest must be properly drained in accordance with the plumbing ordinances of the City and County, and must at all times have a capacity of ice or refrigeration which will maintain a temperature under 50 degrees Fahrenheit within said chest.

Section 4:

Subdivision 1. *Permits Required by Vendors of Milk*: No person, firm or corporation by themselves, or through their agents, servants or employees, shall produce, ship or send into, bring into, or offer for sale, or expose for sale, or sell or deliver for distribution, sale, use or consumption within the City and County of San Francisco, any milk without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco a permit so to do. One permit shall be required for each dairy, dairy farm or place of general sale or storage of milk, but no permit shall be granted to anyone where raw milk is received at a central cooling station or plant outside the City and County of San Francisco which handles more than one grade of milk, or where other milk products are made or handled.

No permit to ship or transport raw milk into the City and County of San Francisco from any central cooling plant outside the City and County shall be granted unless said milk so cooled and shipped or transported comes from dairy farms scoring at least seventy-five per cent, and otherwise complying with the provisions of this ordinance, and unless said cooling plant is constructed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Director of Public Health and said milk is handled as required by this ordinance, and unless the person owning or operating said cooling plant shall pay the salary and maintenance of a special inspector appointed by the Director of Public Health, who shall supervise the production of

market milk on dairy farms supplying said plant, and whose duty it shall be also to inspect and sample all milk received at said plant. Said inspector shall also perform such other duties not inconsistent with the provisions of this section, as shall be assigned to him by the Director of Public Health.

Funds sufficient to defray the salary and maintenance of said inspector shall be deposited monthly with an authorized representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 2. In order to procure permits required by this ordinance the applicant shall present to said Director of Public Health a written application, and shall state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the source or sources from which said applicant or applicants obtain or will obtain supplies of milk, the number of cows in the possession of such applicant, the average quantity of milk procured, produced or handled, and the average quantity disposed of by said applicant, and the manner and character of such disposition, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health, such application to be made to the said Director of Public Health upon printed blanks to be provided by the Director of Public Health for such purpose. Such application shall further state the specific brand or business name, if any, under which such milk is to be imported, sold, exchanged or distributed.

Subdivision 3. If the Director of Public Health, upon such investigation and inspection as he may make, shall determine that the statements therein made are true, and that the applicant does not intend to bring into, sell, expose, or offer for sale, exchange, deliver or distribute, within the City and County of San Francisco, any unwholesome milk as food for any human being, and that the production, transportation, storage and handling of the same is to be under sanitary conditions and in conformity with the regulations adopted as a part of this ordinance, he shall issue the appropriate permit therefor according to the nature of the business to be transacted or conducted by the applicant.

Subdivision 4. No permits shall be sold or assigned or transferred. Permits shall be subject at all times to revocation by said Director of Public Health in his discretion upon sufficient cause therefor shown; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five (5) days' notice in writing has been served on the owner of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on said permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against such owner and the time and place where such hearing shall take place; provided, however, that when the holder of any permit shall have been convicted in any court of any violation of this ordinance by any law relating to the production, transportation, storage, sale or distribution of milk, such permit may be revoked without notice.

Subdivision 5. *Procedure to Follow for Renewal of a Permit Revoked for Cause*: When a permit shall have been revoked by the Director of Public Health, no further permit shall be granted by said Director to the same person, firm or corporation until he, they or it shall file with said Director a bond in the sum of five hundred (500) dollars with two (2) sureties approved by the Director of Public Health, conditioned for the faithful observance of all the regulations of the law and of said Director of Public Health relating to production, importation, sale, delivery and distribution of milk.

Subdivision 6. As often as required, and at least once each year, every person or persons, firm or corporation maintaining a pasteurizing plant or dairy farm in, or bringing milk into the City and County

of San Francisco and holding a permit so to do, shall furnish the Director of Public Health a full and true statement of the sources and amounts of the supply of the milk imported, sold or distributed by him or them, with the names and addresses of the persons from whom the same is obtained and the amount from each source, and such other information as is required by applicants for permits as hereinbefore provided, and all applications for permits, and all such written statements required as aforesaid, shall be registered by the said Director of Public Health.

Subdivision 7. *Official Number of Permits*: When a permit is granted, the Director of Public Health will give the holder thereof an official number, and this number shall be used to mark the products of the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm as hereinafter prescribed.

Subdivision 8. *More Than One Dairy or Dairy Farm Under the Same Ownership or Control*: Two or more pasteurizing plants or dairy farms under the same ownership or control may use the same permit numbers, provided a serial letter is added in each case to designate the pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, and to enable its products to be identified.

Subdivision 9. *Names on Wagons*: All automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles from which market milk, cream, butter, ice cream, buttermilk or ice milk are sold, marketed, delivered, or peddled, shall have the name and address of the owner and permit number plainly painted thereon in letters at least three inches high, and one and a half inches wide, on both sides of such vehicles, or if such milk is sold or exposed or offered for sale, delivery, distribution, or exchanged within a store or house, then such permit number shall also be constantly exposed in some conspicuous manner at the place wherever such milk is sold, or kept, so as to be plainly apparent.

Subdivision 10. *Rule Governing Renewal of Permit*: Any applicant receiving and holding a permit such as is herein set forth, shall make application for the renewal of the same between January 1st and January 15th of each year, and said permit shall be renewed, provided the applicant complies and conforms to all of the provisions as set forth in this ordinance.

Section 5. In order to carry out the purposes and provisions of this ordinance, the said Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time and at all times to enter upon or into the premises of any producer or vendor or distributor of milk authorized under the provisions of this ordinance, and any refusal upon the part of such producer, vendor or distributor to allow such entry and such inspection as may be required and directed by the said Director of Public Health may be punished by the revocation of the permit of such producer, distributor or vendor by the said Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right and it shall be their duty to enter and have full access, egress and ingress to all places where milk is stored and kept for sale, and to all automobiles, motor trucks or other vehicles, railroad cars, steamboats, or conveyances of every kind used for the conveyance or transportation or delivery of milk, for the purpose of consumption in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. It shall be the duty of the said Director of Public Health to cause the dairy farms and other establishments from which milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco is obtained, to be inspected from time to time to satisfy said Director of Public Health that the provisions and requirements of this ordinance and all orders issued by said Director are constantly complied with.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, firm or corporation to obstruct or interfere with the said Director of Public Health or any officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public

Health in the performance of any of the duties required by this ordinance.

Section 9. It shall be the duty of the owner, agent or manager of any pasteurizing plant or dairy farm from which milk is brought into this City and County to forthwith report to the Director of Public Health of said City and County, in writing, anything of which he has knowledge or notice tending to render milk, obtained from such pasteurizing plant or dairy farm, unwholesome, impure or unhealthy.

Section 10. The Director of Public Health and all his officers, agents and employees shall have the right at any time to take samples of milk and cream from any person selling, exposing for sale, offering for sale, exchanging, delivering, or distributing in the City and County of San Francisco, or shipping into said City and County, milk or cream, provided that such samples shall not exceed in quantity one quart of milk and one quart of cream at any one time. Such samples shall be taken and sealed in full view of and in the presence of any person in charge of such milk or cream, and to said person shall be given at such time one-half of said sample or samples hermetically sealed by said Director of Public Health, or his officers, agents or employees. The other half of said sample shall be likewise hermetically sealed and delivered to said Director of Public Health by the officer, agent or employee of said Director of Public Health taking such sample. It shall be the duty of said officer, agent or employee, at the time said sample is taken, to attach to the bottle of container containing each half of said sample, a statement showing the number of the permit issued by said Director of Public Health displayed upon the tanks, or cans, or bottles, or wagons, from which such milk or cream was taken, and the date of the obtainment of the sample, and the name of the person by whom it was taken, and a memorandum thereof shall be made by the person obtaining such sample in a book kept for that purpose in the office of the Department of Public Health.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE EXPOSED FOR INSPECTION.

Section 11. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft to have the same tendered and exposed for inspection by the said Director of Public Health, his officers, agents or employees, according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health; provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection for a longer period than one hour. It shall be the duty of the owner or consignee of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by land and over any road or railroad leading into the peninsula of San Francisco, to cause the same to be tendered and exposed for inspection according to the requirements of said Director of Public Health, provided that said milk shall not be detained for inspection a longer period than one hour.

MILK COMING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO BE PLAINLY MARKED WITH THE SHIPPER'S NAME, ADDRESS, PERMIT NUMBER AND NUMBER OF TANKS OR CANS CONTAINED IN THE SHIPMENT.

Section 12. It shall be the duty of all owners or consignees of milk brought into the City and County of San Francisco by any water craft, railroad, or by land over any road, to attach to one tank or can of each shipment a tag setting forth the following facts in a clear and legible manner:

Name of shipper.....
Address.....Permit No.....
Number tanks.....Milk.....Cream.....

MILK TO BE TIGHTLY COVERED.

Section 13. It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human

consumption, any milk or cream in any tank or container, holding more than three gallons, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

SALE OF MILK AND CREAM IN QUANTITIES OF ONE QUART OR LESS.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or keep with the intention of selling, any milk or cream in quantities of one (1) quart or less, in stores or any other place (except where the milk or cream is consumed upon the premises), unless the milk or cream is contained in tightly closed sterile bottles or receptacles of a similar character.

MILK AND CREAM BOTTLES TO BE CAPPED AND MARKED.

Section 15. All bottles or receptacles of a similar character in which milk and cream are distributed or sold must have stamped or printed on the cap or covers, in a conspicuous and legible manner, the name, address and permit number of the person, firm or corporation bottling said milk or cream, and the specific kind of milk or cream contained therein.

NAMES OF BRANDS ON BOTTLES AND CAPS OR COVERS MUST NOT DIFFER.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful to distribute, sell, offer for sale, or expose for sale, any milk or cream in any bottle or receptacle bearing in any manner thereon the name or names of any person, firm or corporation other than or different from the name or names printed or inscribed upon said cover or can of such bottle or receptacle.

TRANSFER OF MILK ON STREET.

Section 17. No person shall transfer any milk from one can, bottle or receptacle on any street, alley or thoroughfare, or upon a delivery wagon, or other vehicle, or in any place in the City and County of San Francisco, except in a dairy or dairy farm the sanitary condition of which has been approved by the Director of Public Health.

Section 18. *Where this ordinance or the regulation of the Director of Public Health is silent upon any matter pertaining to the production, processing, pasteurization, transportation, sale, delivery, use or handling of milk, cream or of any of the products of either milk or cream, the provision of the state law or the regulations of the Director of Agriculture shall apply thereto.*

Section 19. *The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make such rules and regulations not in conflict with this ordinance or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.*

Section 20, Regulation 1. *The Dairy Farm; Location of Buildings*: No buildings used for dairy purposes shall be within two hundred yards of marshy ground, stagnant water, abattoir or other place or class of business detrimental to the production of sanitary milk, and no chicken coop, hogpen, or horse stable shall be adjacent to the buildings used for the drawing, keeping or handling of milk.

Regulation 2. *Surroundings to Be Clean*: The surroundings of all buildings shall be kept clean and in good order. The accumulation of dirt, rubbish, manure or decayed matter shall not be permitted.

Regulation 3. *Water Supply*: The dairy shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 4. *Examination of Water*: A sample of the water shall be furnished the Board of Health at any time requested.

Regulation 5. *Plans and Specifications*: When required plans and specifications in duplicate of the plants for which application for in-

spection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Board of Health for approval.

Regulation 6. *Construction of Stables, Milk Houses, Erection, Alteration, Maintaining of Insanitary Structures Prohibited*: No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 7. *Cubic Air Space Per Cow*: Milking sheds and cow barns in which cows are milked and not otherwise kept must have not less than 500 cubic feet of air space in the clear for each and every animal milked therein. If said sheds and barns are used for stables for housing of the cattle during the day or night, or at other times than the regular milking hours, then each animal must have not less than 1000 cubic feet of air space in the clear.

Regulation 8. *Light and Ventilation. Light*: The side wall of all milking sheds and cow barns must be provided with windows or openings of not less than four (4) square feet for each cow.

Skylights in Roof: Sheds or barns so constructed as to provide for two or more central milking strings shall be required to install skylights of a size and at such locations as to furnish light equivalent to two (2) square feet of window space for each cow to be accommodated in said central milking strings.

All windows in side wall of milking sheds or cow barns must open inward at the top for a distance of not less than 10 inches, securely fastened at the bottom.

Louvre ventilation must be provided in the roof of all milking sheds and cow barns.

Regulation 9. *Construction of Side Walls, Ceilings*: The side or area walls of all milking sheds or cow barns hereafter erected must be of concrete or of brick or of stone laid in cement mortar, and shall extend from the footing below the floor line upward to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level, and shall be at least six (6) inches thick at top if of concrete and eight (8) inches thick if of brick or stone.

Interior Finish of Side Walls: The exposed surfaces of the concrete, brick or stone walls must be covered with a layer of cement troweled to a smooth surface.

The side walls from the top of the concrete to the plate of the milking shed or cow barn if of wood shall be tight and so as to prevent dust from sifting through and so constructed as to be easily cleaned, and kept free from cobwebs and dirt.

Ceilings: When in the judgment of the Dairy Inspectors it is deemed necessary and so reported in writing the Director of Public Health shall require the ceiling of milking shed or cow barn to be sheathed with tongue and groove.

Regulation 10. *Construction of Floors*: Floors must be of concrete. The floors of all milking sheds and cow barns must be of concrete not less than four (4) inches in thickness, covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half (½) inch in thickness troweled so as to leave a "rough finish."

Gutter Drains: Gutter drains shall be constructed in rear of each string of cows at the time the floor is put down, and said gutter drains must have a uniform thickness as that of the stable floor and shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in width inside measurement and not less than four (4) inches in depth, with sufficient fall to carry off all liquid discharges.

Sewer: All gutter drains must be properly connected to a sewer or drain so as to efficiently carry off all discharges and wash waters to distance sufficient to avoid same becoming a source of contamination to the dairy or its water supply.

Regulation 11. All existing milking barns so constructed that their condemnation in the opinion of the Director of Public Health is unwarranted and where said milking barn is kept in a sanitary condition and no feature thereof constitutes a menace to the production of a sanitary milk as intended by this ordinance, permission to use said barns may be granted. Where barns are located on sloping ground or where the floor of said milking shed or barn is more than four feet above the ground and is otherwise approved by the Board of Health, the floors and gutter drains may be of wood and the side walls sheathed to a height of four (4) feet with smooth sheet metal.

Regulation 12. *Hand Basins in Stable*: Each milking shed and cow barn shall be provided with an adequate number of stationary hand basins, properly supplied with running water, soap and towels, conveniently located for use of milkers.

Water for Cleansing Stable: The stable shall further be supplied with stationary lines of pipes having hose bib outlets located at convenient points so that the stable can be properly hosed down and flushed out after each milking.

Regulation 13. *The Milk House, Wash Room, Milk Room*: There shall be a room which shall be used for no other purpose than to provide a place for handling the milk, storing clean milk utensils, and holding fresh milk previous to its removal from the dairy. The milk room shall be within easy access of the stable, but so placed that it cannot be easily reached by dust or odors from the stable or yard or other source. If under the same roof with the stable it shall be separated therefrom by a light, clean, ventilated passageway.

Floor of Milk and Wash Rooms: The floors of the milk room and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than three inches in thickness covered with a finishing layer of cement one-half inch in thickness troweled to a smooth surface.

A floor drain shall be installed in each milk room which shall be properly trapped to a sewer, and the floor of the milk room shall be so put down that all points slope toward the floor drain.

Foundation Walls: The foundation or area walls of all milk and wash rooms shall be of concrete not less than 6 (six) inches in thickness and extended to a height of not less than four (4) feet above the finished floor level.

Sidewalks and Ceilings: The outer walls or sheathing of all milk and wash rooms shall be tight, the inside shall be lined with tongue and groove lumber put on in a workmanlike manner and must be painted two coats of lead and oil paint of a light color or an approved waterproof paint—whitewash is strictly prohibited.

Windows and Ventilation: The milk and wash rooms shall be well lighted and ventilated with proper sash fitted window and louvre vent shafts.

Screening of Doors and Windows: All windows, ventilators or openings of milk and wash rooms shall be securely screened with finely woven wire mesh and all doors leading into said rooms must be provided with tightly closing screen doors, having metal springs to prevent the entrance of insects and flies.

Regulation 14. *Equipment, Sanitation of Wash Room, Dairy Appurtenances, Utensils, Bottles. Size and Equipment of Wash Room*: Every dairy and dairy farm must provide a properly constructed wash room, separate and apart from a dwelling place and of a size adequate to properly cleanse and sterilize all utensils, cans and dairy appurtenances.

Cleansing Equipment: All wash rooms in dairies or on dairy farms must be provided with a steam boiler or other approved apparatus capable of producing boiling water and live steam for the cleansing rinsing and sterilizing of all utensils and apparatus used in or about the dairy.

Racks and Shelves and Wash Trays: Wooden racks, pegs and shelves and wooden wash trays are prohibited in wash rooms. Racks and shelves must be of metal and wash trays may be of metal, enamel iron, cement or other similar non-absorbing materials.

Cleansing of Utensils: In dairies and milk depots, all cans, bottles and other utensils, after being used shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye or sodium carbonate (sal soda), or some substance containing a mixture of these with or without soap.

Not more than twenty (20) cans or fifty (50) bottles shall be washed in a tank or tub containing less than ten (10) gallons of water, unless said tank or tub is filled with a fresh solution as provided herein.

Regulation 15. *Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing*: After being washed off, all cans, bottles and other utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow of clean fresh water. The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water. After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination.

Wash Trays: Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

Cleaning of Brushes: All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily, and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors: Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 16. *Care of Herd*: All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

Sick Animals: Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of all such shall be discarded.

Regulation 17. *Men and Animals Must Be Clean*: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 18. *Feeding and Watering the Herd*: The feed provided for dairy herd must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

Manner and Time of Feeding: Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

Selection of Ration: The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

Dry and Dusty Feed: No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

Feed to Be Separated from Herd: All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from the animals.

Drinking Water: Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 19. *Care and Delivery of Milk. Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk*: Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk may be poured into a properly covered storage pail elevated not less than three (3) feet off the floor or the receiving pail shall be taken to the milk room and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

Cooling of Fresh Milk: The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central cooling station within two hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco must be shipped within four hours after it is drawn.

Mixing of Milk Prohibited: Night and morning milk shall not be mixed, nor shall ice be put into the milk.

Storing of Milk: Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room. The ice box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once a week and trapped to prevent the entrance of sewer gas, and in no instance shall this pipe be connected to a drain or sewer.

Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans: All tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall have the covers securely wired to the handles on each side of the tank or can.

Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited: No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk Prohibited: It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can, or utensil used in the delivery of milk, on, or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

Milk Cans Must Not Be Left on Sidewalk: Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

Transit of Milk from Point of Entry to Dairy: The transit of milk from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot shall be made within one hour from the time of arrival.

Care and Delivery of Milk to Consumer: While awaiting delivery to the City, milk shall be stored at a temperature of not more than 45 degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

Regulation 20. *Milkers and Milking—Personal Hygiene of Employees*: Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearances.

Outer Garments, Care of: A special suit of clean outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well-ventilated place.

Milkers to Wash Hands Before Milking: Before beginning to milk, the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

Cleaning of Udders and Flanks: No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited: The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in con-

tact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substance is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

Rejection of Fore Milk: The first three or four streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

Hours and Manner of Milking: Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

Bloody and Stringy Milk: If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

Milk of Cows Separated From Herd: Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

Domestic Animals and Others Excluded From Milk Room: Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animal be allowed therein.

Cleaning of Milk Room: The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

Regulation 21. *Employees' Living Quarters*: The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees, shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two feet, horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this ordinance, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health, and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

Regulation 22. *Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences*: Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and its appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the directions and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

Regulation 23. *Health of Employees*: The Health Officer or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farm, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he may demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

Regulation 24. *Sale of Milk to Be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs*: No person with throat trouble or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious diseases, on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

Section 21. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (25) dollars and not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 22. All orders and ordinances and parts of orders and ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 23. *Constitutionality*: If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411.)
(Code No. 9.0412.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 781, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property, now standing of record in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, was sold for delinquent taxes of 1929 and assessed for the years 1930 and 1931. Being City property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 3A, Block 5437, assessed to V. and B. Vozkovitch, Sale No. 2457; 1929, $9.84; 1930, $10.10; 1931, $10.10.

Lot 4A, Block 5437, assessed to V. and B. Vozkovitch, Sale No. 2458; 1929, $11.82; 1930, $12.12; Sale No. 2935, 1931, $12.12.

Approved by City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Duplicate Assessments, Personal Property Roll 1932.

(Code No. 9.0411.)

Also, Resolution No. 782, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following entries on the uncollected personal property roll for 1932 are duplicate assessments and should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following duplicate assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol. 16, page 24, line 17, J. Vernon Bamford, $5.25. Said party paid arbitrary assessment; paid entry in Vol. 1, page 68, line 10.

Vol. 17, page 32, line 11, James L. McDermott, $3.64. Said party paid personal property tax; paid entry in Vol. 12, page 60, line 22.

Vol. 17, page 189, line 4, Paul Sims. Entry is for 1931 escaped tax on automobile $4.04. Tax was paid in 1931; paid entry in Vol. 16, page 203, line 24, 1931 roll.

Vol. 16, page 148, line 21, Michael Foley, $3.03—household exemption was asked for but amount was not deducted.

Approved by City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Sheriff's Allowance, Annual State Convention.

(Code No. 5.93.)

Bill No. 323, Ordinance No. 5.934, as follows:

Providing for the allowance to the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco of certain expenditures incurred by said Sheriff in attending the annual State Convention of Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs and Civil Deputies at San Diego, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the attendance of William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, and L. A. Cunningham, his attorney, at the annual State Convention of Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs and Civil Deputies at San Diego, California, which said convention covered a period of six days, to-wit, from the 16th day of March to the 21st day of March, 1932, both days inclusive, be and the same is hereby approved, and that the payment of the expenses of said Sheriff and of his said attorney, amounting to $182.85 (as per vouchers submitted to this Board), which said amount includes transportation, meals and hotel expenses, be and the same is hereby approved and the payment of said sum to the said Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Communication From Mayor Relative to Proposed Bond Issue to Replace Wooden School Buildings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., May 8, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Enclosed you will please find copy of a letter I have this day received from the Board of Education, touching upon a bond issue to replace certain wooden school buildings, etc.

As you will perceive the letter is self explanatory, and I fully concur in the thought expressed therein. I have, on repeated occasions, very definitely expressed myself in connection with fire-trap school buildings and the fear that I held for the safety of the children attending these schools, would indeed have been a very sad realization, had the Fremont School conflagration taken place an hour earlier.

You cannot act too hastily in your efforts to correct this condition and I would therefore recommend that your Honorable Board make a request of the City Attorney for proper resolution, whereby you will be able to comply with the request herein contained.

Inasmuch as the State of California contemplates holding a special election within the next sixty days, may I urge that you give this matter your immediate consideration.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

May 8, 1933.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

My dear Mayor Rossi: The recent fire at the Fremont School has brought forcibly before the Board of Education the advisability of replacing certain wooden school buildings at the earliest date that funds may be provided.

To accomplish such, by including in a tax levy, would call for a sum apparently unjustified as a charge in any particular year.

This Board therefore feels, that in order not to delay this replacement over too long a period, that the Board of Supervisors should authorize, for submittal to the electorate, a request for a bond issue in such sum as our survey, now under way, develops as advisable.

We anticipate the figure so developed will be in your hands within the next few days.

Very truly yours,

BOARD OF EDUCATION, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

By (Signed) Philip L. Bush, President.

Protest.

Communication from Northern Federation of Civic Organizations, opposing proposed $3,500,000 bond issue for replacing so-called "fire trap" schools.

Whereupon, the following entitled ordinance was presented and read by the Clerk:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for School Bonds.

(Code No. 21.31.)

Bill No. 325, Ordinance No. 21.311, entitled "Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds, and fixing the date of said special election for Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933."

Privilege of the Floor.

Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, was, on motion of Supervisor Colman, granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that his sole interest was to provide for the immediate replacement of hazardous fire-trap school buildings within one or at the most two years.

At the request of Supervisor McSheehy he agreed to furnish report as to the number of seats vacant at present in the San Francisco schools, number of schools and number of buildings to be destroyed.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing ordinance was *laid over until the next meeting*, and the City Attorney requested to obtain from City's New York bond attorneys opinion as to the advisability of deferring the commencement of the redemption of the proposed bonds for a period of five years instead of one year.

Proposed Charter Amendment, Public Emergency.

Supervisor Peyser presented the following proposed amendment to the Charter and moved its submission:

The last paragraph of Section 25 of the Charter is amended to read as follows:

In preparation for or in case of a public emergency involving or threatening the lives, property or welfare of the citizens, or the property of the City and County, the Mayor shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to summon, organize and direct the forces of any department in the City and County in any needed service; to summon, marshal, deputize or otherwise employ other persons, or to do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency. The Mayor may make such studies and surveys as he may deem advisable in anticipation of any such emergency.

Supervisor Gallagher objected to the amendment until he knew what labor's attitude on the matter was.

Privilege of the Floor.

Van de Leur, president of the Labor Council, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he knew nothing of the proposed measure so far as labor's interests were concerned.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole declared that, under Section 9 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors could carry out the purpose of the proposed amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Peyser withdrew the proposed amendment.

Budget Hearing.

Supervisor Miles announced that the Finance Committee would report the Budget on Friday, May 19, 1933, and moved that at the conclusion of the business of the Board today that it take a recess until Friday, May 19, 1933, at 10:30 a. m.

So ordered.

Supervisor Miles moved that the first matter considered on Friday at 10:30 a. m. be the matter of school bond issue.

So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to consider at the same time the ordinance providing for use of $200,000 sewer bonds for China Cove project.

So ordered.

Communication From Adolph Uhl on Proposed Charter Amendments.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and read by the Clerk:

Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor: I will appreciate privilege of the floor in order to request the Supervisors to place before the voters at the June 27th special election the following Charter amendments:

(1) Merging the office of Treasurer with office of Tax Collector. By such merger a saving to the taxpayers of approximately $30,000 a year.

(2) Limit employment by the City and County of San Francisco to either the man or wife. This will spread employment.
(3) Official advertising: Budget to be printed in only the home edition of the newspaper doing the official printing.
(4) Changing word "may" to "shall" in the fifth paragraph, Section 88 of the Charter.
(5) $500 penalty for persons violating any section of the Charter.

I will be present at the Board meeting at 4 p. m. this afternoon, when I trust the matter might be heard.

With kind regards,

Yours very truly,

ADOLPH UHL.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl, representing himself as a taxpayer, was granted the privilege of the floor and urged the submission of the proposed amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that a Charter amendment merging of offices of Tax Collector and Treasurer be submitted to the people.
No second.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that Mr. Uhl's second amendment limiting employment in City government to either man or wife.

The Chair declared that, inasmuch as the amendment was not presented in proper form, there was nothing before the Board.

Luncheon and Inspection, Dairy Delivery Plant.

Communication from Dairy Delivery Company, inviting members of the Board of Supervisors and other officials to attend luncheon and to inspect plant at Potrero avenue and Army street.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his Honor the Mayor be advised that the Board of Supervisors accepts the invistation and leaves it to him to set the date and hour for inspection of the plant.

Motion *carried.*

Favoring of Full Compensation to All Veterans.

(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 783, as follows:

Whereas, President Roosevelt, through authority vested in him by Congress, has issued an executive order which has for its purpose the reduction or elimination to certain veterans of the World War, of their disability allowance; and

Whereas, it has been stated in the press that the officials charged with the execution of the President's order have apparently misconstrued his purpose and have, without proper consideration, given instructions for blanket cuts and stop-orders on the compensation of veterans whose disabilities are service-connected; and

Whereas, such procedure will work unnecessary, unwarranted and untold hardships upon the veterans of the World War, many of whom are solely dependent, for their subsistence, upon the compensation which has been awarded them for the injuries which they received in the war, and without which, because of their physical condition, they will become public charges; and

Whereas, because of the fact that these men fought for the United States as such, it is plainly the duty of the Federal Government to properly provide for them and not to shift the obligation to the states and municipalities; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as being in favor of the payment of full compensation to all veterans whose disability is directly connected with war service; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Power, Shannon—3.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee to Celebrate Flag Day, Elks' Lodge, Band Stand, Golden Gate Park, Sunday, June 11, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92.)

Supervisors Hayden and Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 784, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to co-operate with the B. P. O. E. No. 3 (Elks' Lodge) in the celebration of a flag day in the Golden Gate Park Band Stand on Sunday, June 11, at 2 p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Power, Shannon—3.

Petitioning His Excellency, the Governor, to Veto Senate Bill No. 476.

(Code No. 5.3.)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 785, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 476 having already passed both the Assembly and the Senate, is now before the Governor for signature; and

Whereas, the amendments to Sections 3804 and 3819 of the Political Code, proposed by said Senate Bill No. 476, are unnecessary and unwise, and the immediate effect thereof would be to nullify the equalization proceedings of the Boards of Supervisors in every county in the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, by virtue of this resolution, does petition His Excellency, the Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Governor of the State of California, to veto said Senate Bill No. 476.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Power, Shannon—3.

Purchase of Land for Playground, Arguello Boulevard and Edward Street.

(Code No. 12.1714.)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 786, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Commission, the City and County of San Francisco enter into a written agreement with H. W. Steinegger for the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described land, or a portion or portions thereof, situated in San Francisco, California, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Arguello boulevard with the northerly line of Edward street, and run-

ning thence northerly along the easterly line of Arguello boulevard 427.373 feet; thence easterly in a straight line parallel to the northerly line of Edward street and the easterly extension thereof 591.18 feet; thence southerly at right angles 475.14 feet to the easterly extension of the southerly line of Edward street; thence westerly along last-named extension 210 feet, more or less, to the boundary line of property now or formerly owned by Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association; thence northwesterly along said boundary 60.3 feet, more or less, to an angle point in said boundary, which point is also on the northerly line of Edward street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Edward street 391.302 feet to the point of commencement.

Said right to purchase said property and to use the same for recreational and other purposes prior to the acquisition thereof shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in the written agreement therefor prepared by the Director of Property and approved by the City Attorney and the Recreation Commission and this day presented to this Board for its approval.

Be It Further Resolved, That said written agreement as presented be and the same is hereby approved, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco. And be it

Further Resolved, That upon said agreement becoming effective, that the sum of $30,000 be paid for the tract of land described as Parcel 1 in said agreement, and that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to said Parcel 1. Said sum of $30,000 shall be paid from 1932-1933 Appropriation No. 36-6.

Privilege of the Floor.

Amelia Barry was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard in opposition on the ground that Golden Gate Park was not very far from this location and that the expenditure was unnecessary.

A. W. Scott, attorney for the Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association, was heard in favor.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Joint Committee on Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds. Meeting Thursday at 3:30 p. m.*

In Memoriam: Thomas A. Keogh.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 787, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with sorrow and regret the passing of Thomas A. Keogh, for many years a popular and lovable character in the public, political and social life of San Francisco and an intimate of many of the members of this Board of Supervisors and other officials of this administration. His genial personality and admirable traits of character will be sadly missed by his many friends.

To his bereaved widow and children this Board of Supervisors extends its deepest sympathy and condolence.

Resolved Further, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Thomas A. Keogh, and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and a copy be sent to the widow and family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Daniel Foley.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 788, as follows:

Whereas, In the recent demise of Daniel Foley, business agent of the United Laborers Association, Labor has lost a devoted and sterling champion. He was well and favorably known to all the members of this Board by reason of his earnest and sincere advocacy of the interests of those he so well and thoroughly represented. His was a personality marked by singleness of purpose and devotion to the welfare of his fellow workers that won the admiration of all.

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Daniel Foley, and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and a copy be sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Hearing of San Mateo County Supervisors on Ocean Shore Highway.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk acknowledge letter from San Mateo County Supervisors requesting hearing on May 8, 1933, in the matter of the Ocean Shore Highway, extended the apology of the Board of Supervisors for failing to give hearing at time requested and explain that owing to long session and heavy program it was not read, and advise San Mateo County Supervisors that they will be welcome at any subsequent meeting at their convenience preferably after the Budget is disposed of.

Motion *carried.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, there being no further business, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Miles, took a recess at 7:30 p. m. until Friday, May 19, 1933, at 10:30 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By DAVID H. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 29, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 21

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Friday, May 19, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, MAY 19, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, May 19, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors having recessed on May 15, 1933, to this day at 10:30 a. m., and said hour having been changed by order of President Hayden, said Board reassembled at 2 p. m. for the purpose of conducting a public hearing on the proposed Budget for 1933-1934.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Supervisor Spaulding appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

In accordance with motion made at last meeting, the following matters were primarily taken up:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for School Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor Miles, the following bill was *passed for second reading*:

(Code No. 21.31.)

Bill No. 325, Ordinance No. 21.311, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds, and fixing the date of said special election for Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The proposition of incurring a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the ac-

quisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings is hereby determined to be the amount of $3,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expense thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings are by issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition of the school house buildings, lands therefor, and other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the special State election to be held Tuesday, June 27, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special State election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said special State election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said special State election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"School House Bonds, 1933.—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor, and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes"

under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States. Said bonds shall be designated "School House Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

"SCHOOL HOUSE BOND, 1933."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, September 1 and March 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond

as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1933.

.....,

Mayor.

.....,

Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated September 1, 1933, number ————.

.....,

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION

San Francisco, ————, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,

Treasurer.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper pub-

lished daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Calling and Providing for Special Election to Obtain Consent of People to Use Balance in 1929 Sewer Bond Issue for Installing Sewerage Treatment Plants and Enlargment and Extension of Existing Sewers.

The following bill was presented to the Board and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(Code No. 12.122)

Bill No. 330, Ordinance No. 12.1222, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the people of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to obtain the consent of the people to use the remaining money on hand from the proceeds of the sale of sewer bonds dated January 1, 1929, for installing sewerage treatment plants and enlargement and extension of existing sewers within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the purposes for which sewer bonds dated January 1, 1929, voted by the people have been completed, and it would be impracticable and unwise to expend further money on the projects included within the purposes of the said bond issue, and there is need of the construction of extensions to and enlargements of existing sewers and also the construction and installing of sewerage treatment plants. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the people of said City and County a proposition to obtain the consent of the people to use the remaining money on hand from the proceeds of the sale of sewer bonds dated January 1, 1929, for the purposes of installing sewerage treatment plants and enlarging and extending existing sewers within the City and County of San Francisco. The money on hand not required for the purposes for which it was originally voted and to use for the purposes herein stated is in the amount of $201,166.

Section 2. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 3. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Special State Election to be held Tuesday, June 27, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Special State Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said Special State Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Special State Election.

Section 4. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Consent is hereby granted to use $201,166 cash on hand in the Treasurer's office realized from the sale of sewer bonds of the City and County of San Francisco dated January 1, 1929, for the purpose of installing sewerage treatment plants and enlargement and extension of existing sewers within the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to use the money to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the use of the money to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Section 5. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the using of the said cash on hand, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the people.

Section 6. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Providing for Appointment of Poundkeeper.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 798, as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, a corporation incorporated and organized under the laws of the State of California, be and it is hereby appointed Poundkeeper of the City and County of San Francisco, and as such Poundkeeper it shall have charge of the Public Pound as provided and established by Ordinance No. 11.141.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Leave of Absence, Dr. Geiger, Health Director.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

May 11, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, to attend the annual convention of the American Medical Association at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing June 6th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

May 10, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In accordance with Section 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby request permission to leave the State from June 6th to June 22nd, 1933, to attend the annual convention of the American Medical Association in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, June 12 to June 16, 1933, on official business to represent the Department of Public Health of San Francisco.

As requested by the Charter, a similar request has been made to the Mayor.

Sincerely,

J. C. GEIGER, M. D.,
Director of Public Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted.*

Leave of Absence, Dr. J. C. Geiger.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 797, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Health, be and is hereby given a leave of absence from June 6 to July 6, 1933, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—7.

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE, 1933-34

(Code No. 9.051)

The following ordinance was taken up:

Bill No. 320, Ordinance No. 9.05164, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided. Such estimated receipts, revenue, and income are computed on the accrual basis and cash received in payment thereof either before or after the close of the fiscal year shall not be considered as income or revenue but as payment on account.

The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance subject to the limitations provided herein. Where revenues for any fund or department are provided herein by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer.

Appropriations for bond interest or redemption, fixed charges and other appropriations not allocated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the Chief Administrative Officer, for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 80 of the Charter. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor, or reimbursement is recollectible in full from the departments, persons or institutions for which the service is rendered, shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of such receipts or collections. Each Department head to whom such a provisional appropriation is made shall file with the Controller monthly an estimate in detail of the proposed expenditures for the ensuing period, also a statement of the amount of expenditures made and the receipts or reimbursements received or receivable since the last report.

case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 70 of the Charter.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein, subject to the provisions of the Charter dealing with the appropriation and transfer of funds, the certification of obligations and the payment of warrants.

Section 3. The sums appropriated by this appropriation ordinance to the several departments shall be expended in accordance with a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as determined by the Controller and agreed to by the Mayor for departments under his jurisdiction and/or the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure, and shall not approve the incurring of liability on any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Mayor or Chief Administrative Officer and the approval of the Controller.

The several items of expenditure appropriated to the several departments being based upon estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to revise estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a potential shortage, the Controller may hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured.

Section 4. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as provided in Section 3.

Section 5. The existing Special and Trust funds are hereby continued and the receipts in, and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is authorized to set up additional special and trust funds as they may be created by additional bequests or other conditions.

Section 6. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance: From Revenue	Budget Allowance: By Transfer	Budget Allowance: Total	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
GENERAL FUND—											
From Schedule 1	.....	18,749,964	15,610,414	917,883	16,528,297	189,509	2,745,250	917,883	12,675,655	16,528,297	.....
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS											
Common School (Tentative)	.....	8,837,710	7,947,710	.....	7,947,710	.....	2,144,819	.....	5,802,891	7,947,710	.....
Special School (Tentative)	.....	360,000	360,000	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	360,000	.....
Library	.....	339,659	328,589	.....	328,589	.....	20,000	See Note (1)	308,589	328,589	.....
Recreation	.....	614,815	534,308	.....	534,308	.....	30,500	See Note (2)	503,808	534,308	.....
Park	.....	1,283,858	1,082,591	.....	1,082,591	.....	332,591	See Note (3)	750,000	1,082,591	.....
De Young Museum	.....	85,000	61,865	7,249	69,114	.....	500	7,249	61,365	69,114	.....
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	85,000	61,503	.....	61,503	.....	500	.....	61,003	61,503	.....
War Memorial	.....	109,013	93,125	.....	93,125	.....	20,000	.....	73,125	93,125	.....
Employees' Retirement	5,000	2,843,300	1,439,077	670,500	2,109,577	.....	.....	670,500	1,434,077	2,104,577	.....
Workmen's Compensation	.....	120,526	25,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000	25,000	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	6,978,704	5,649,060	12,627,764	.....	80,000	5,649,060	6,898,704	12,627,764	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	94,027	94,027	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	94,027	.....
Publicity and Advertising	.....	175,000	165,000	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	165,000	.....
County Road	102,010	1,102,110	1,102,110	.....	1,102,110	.....	1,000,000	.....	100	1,000,100	.....
Water Department	725,000	7,085,802	6,447,500	.....	6,447,500	.....	6,322,500	.....	.....	6,322,500	600,000
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	2,040,050	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....
Public Utilities Commission	.....	74,826	.....	67,374	67,374	.....	.....	67,374	.....	67,374	.....
Municipal Railway	157,000	3,192,190	2,990,806	.....	2,990,806	.....	2,722,073	.....	111,733	2,833,806	.....
Installation Fund Dept. of Electricity	.....	2,500	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	.....	2,500	.....
BOND FUNDS											
1932 Hetch Hetchy	.....	851,182	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	.....	851,182	.....
	(A)				(D)					(B)	(C)
Totals	989,010	60,674,296	48,216,061	7,312,066	55,528,127	189,509	18,312,465	7,312,066	29,325,077	55,139,117	600,000

(A) Estimated Balances as at June 30, 1933	989,010		
(B) Estimated Revenues year 1933-1934	55,139,117	56,128,127	
(C) Estimated Balances as at June 30, 1934		600,000	

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Board of Supervisors	.....	93,780	83,965	.....	83,965	.....					
Mayor's Office	.....	46,180	40,598	.....	40,598	.....					
Emergency Reserve	.....	300,000	300,000	.....	300,000	.....					
Assessor	.....	258,185	228,665	.....	228,665	.....					
City Attorney	.....	91,425	65,333	13,570	78,903	.....	.....	13,570	.....	.....	.....
District Attorney	.....	107,480	94,265	.....	94,265	.....					
Treasurer	.....	46,066	39,770	.....	39,770	.....					
Sheriff	.....	355,551	295,118	.....	295,118	10,000					
Public Defender	.....	18,380	15,482	.....	15,482	.....					
Police	.....	3,520,862	3,052,874	.....	3,052,874	.....					
Fire	.....	3,225,873	2,797,668	.....	2,797,668	74,644					
Board of Permit Appeals	.....	8,240	7,725	.....	7,725	.....					
Park	.....	532,113	99,469	.....	99,469	.....					
Art Commission	.....	34,900	32,075	.....	32,075	.....					
Aquarium	.....	50,000	40,500	.....	40,500	.....					
Airport	.....	186,114	168,205	.....	168,205	19,000					
Municipal Court	.....	221,415	207,652	.....	207,652	.....					
Superior Court	.....	221,480	216,794	.....	216,794	.....					
Law Library	.....	10,800	9,323	.....	9,323	.....					
Juvenile Court	.....	729,870	704,249	.....	704,249	.....					
Juvenile Detention Home	.....	29,795	27,795	.....	27,795	.....					
Adult Probation Department	.....	28,335	24,452	.....	24,452	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer	.....	20,000	16,515	.....	16,515	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer General Appropriations	.....	934,552	930,152	.....	930,152	.....					
Finance and Records	.....	8,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....					
Finance and Records—Tax Collector	.....	145,165	128,516	.....	128,516	.....					

(Schedule I continued on next page)

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters	.....	234,166	208,568	.....	208,568	.....					
Finance and Records—Recorder's Office	.....	100,100	84,982	.....	84,982	.....					
Finance and Records—County Clerk	.....	153,378	133,683	.....	133,683	.....					
Public Administrator	.....	39,184	33,586	.....	33,586	.....					
Purchasing Department	.....	547,452	488,528	32,524	521,052	.....	.....	32,524	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department	.....	18,300	12,098	.....	12,098	.....					
Real Estate Department—Auditorium	.....	57,159	50,116	1,247	51,363	40,000	.....	1,247	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Works	.....	1,476,476	1,353,653	.....	1,353,653	45,865					
Department of Electricity	.....	201,578	174,682	4,339	179,021	.....	.....	4,339	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Health	.....	2,696,085	2,471,324	.....	2,471,324	.....					
County Welfare	.....	787,260	692,094	.....	692,094	.....					
Coroner	.....	49,245	43,347	.....	43,347	.....					
Agricultural Commission	.....	19,290	13,286	.....	13,286	.....					
Dept. of Weights and Measures	.....	23,650	20,650	.....	20,650	.....					
Controller	.....	163,430	127,185	17,826	145,011	.....	.....	17,826	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	.....	13,680	12,300	.....	12,300	.....					
Street and Public Building Lighting (P. U. C.)	.....	874,000	789,000	55,000	844,000	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....
Civil Service Commission	.....	53,870	45,449	.....	45,449	.....					
Public Pound	.....	17,000	17,000	.....	17,000	.....					
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	Cr793,377	793,377	.....	.....	.....	793,377	.....	.....	.....
Estimated Revenues from Schedule No. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,745,250	.....	12,675,655	.....	.....
Totals	.....	18,749,964	15,610,414	917,883	16,528,297	189,509	2,745,250	917,883	12,675,655	.....	.....

Classification	General Fund				Receipts by Transfer		
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Licenses							
Marriage	10,000						
Business	670,000						
Vehicle	105,000						
Ferry	2,400						
Miscellaneous	20,000						
Penalties	12,000						
Beverage	150,000						
Total	969,400						969,400
Permits							
Building	32,000						
Bill Board	100						
House Numbers	1,500						
Street Space	3,000						
Police Department	4,000						
Fire Department	1,500						
Boiler Permits	6,000						
Total	48,100						48,100
Fines							
Municipal Courts	45,000						
Superior Courts	3,000						
Traffic Courts	60,000						
Total	108,000						108,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Interest Revenue	75,000						75,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes	120,000						120,000
Franchises							
Telephone	240,000						
Railway	45,000						
Total	285,000						285,000
Subventions							
State-Bond Interest and Redemption			80,000				
Orphans and Half Orphans	145,000						
Widows' Pensions	126,500						
Blind Pensions	42,500						
Tubercular Patients	48,000						
Fire Boats		74,644					
Needy Aged	170,000						
County Roads			1,000,000				
Common School Fund			2,034,500				
Total	532,000	74,644	3,114,500				3,721,144
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenues	20,000						
Advertising Fees	2,500						
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	3,000						
—Excavation Fees	9,000						
Bureau of Engineering—Special Inspection		12,000					

(Scheduled II continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Bureau of Sewer Repairs—Tearing Up Streets	.....	33,865					
Health Department—General	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—S. F. Hospital	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—Laguna Honda Home	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff's Fees	53,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees	90,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder	100,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department—Power	2,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Electricity	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court Maintenance	36,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator	45,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound	3,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
War Memorial	.....	.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department							
Rents—General	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—Auditorium	.....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—School	.....	.....	102,819	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund				Receipts by Transfer		
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Airport		19,000					
Park			332,591				
Recreation			30,500				
Library			20,000				
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor			500				
De Young Museum			500				
Installation Fund—Dept. of Electricity			2,500				
Common School Fund (Federal Aid)			7,500				
Total	607,750	114,865	516,910				1,239,525
Bond Sales							
1932 Hetch Hetchy				851,182			851,182
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative			2,040,050				
Municipal Railway			2,722,073				
Water Department			6,322,500				
Total			11,084,623				11,084,623
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund					917,883		
Bond Interest and Redemption						5,649,060	
Employees' Retirement Fund						670,500	
Public Utilities Commission						67,374	
De Young Museum						7,249	
Total					917,883	6,394,183	7,312,066

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Taxes							
General Fund	12,675,655						
Common School Fund			5,802,891				
Special School Tax			360,000				
Library			308,589				
Recreation			503,808				
Park			750,000				
De Young Museum			61,365				
Calif. Palace Legion of Honor			61,003				
War Memorial			73,125				
Employees' Retirement			1,434,077				
Workmen's Compensation			25,000				
Bond Interest and Redemption			6,898,704				
Tax Judgments			94,027				
Publicity and Advertising			165,000				
County Road			100				
Municipal Railway			111,733				
Total	12,675,655		16,649,422				29,325,077
Total Revenues Other Than Taxes	2,745,250	189,509	14,716,033	851,182	917,883	6,394,183	25,814,040
Total Revenues From Taxes	12,675,655		16,649,422				29,325,077
Total Revenues	15,420,905	189,509	31,365,455	851,182	917,883	6,394,183	55,139,117

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS								
1	Personal Service	65,465							
2	Non-Personal Service	8,500							
3	Audit of Controller's Books	10,000	83,965		83,965				
	MAYOR								
4	Personal Service	34,598							
5	Contractual Service	6,000	40,598		40,598				
6	Emergency Reserve (Charter Section 79)		300,000		300,000				
	CITY AND COUNTY ASSESSOR								
7	Personal Service—Permanent	122,811							
8	Personal Service—Temporary	99,254							
9	Contractual Service	6,600	228,665		228,665				
	CITY ATTORNEY								
10	Personal Service	69,578							
11	Contractual Service	9,325	78,903		65,333				
	From Public Utilities Commission					13,570			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY								
12	Personal Service	89,165							
13	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals	2,100							
13-1	District Attorney's Secret Fund (Ord. 8625)	3,000	94,265		94,265				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-934—Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TREASURER								
14	Personal Service	39,504							
15	Contractual Service	266	39,770		39,770				
	SHERIFF								
16	Personal Service—Permanent	233,193							
16-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,625							
17	Contractual Service	5,800							
17-1	Materials and Supplies	17,315							
17-2	Foodstuffs	27,185	295,118		285,118				
	Maintenance of Federal Prisoners			10,000					
	PUBLIC DEFENDER								
19	Personal Service	15,482	15,482		15,482				
	POLICE DEPARTMENT								
20	Personal Service	2,959,874							
21	Contractual Service	25,000							
22	Materials and Supplies—City Prison	5,401							
22-1	Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus	33,599							
23	Contingent Fund	9,000							
24	Equipment	20,000	3,052,874		3,052,874				
	FIRE DEPARTMENT								
25	Personal Service	2,544,227							
26	Personal Service—Fire Boats	130,991							
27	Contractual Service	32,000							
27-1	Fixed Charges	1,200							
27-2	Materials and Supplies	30,000							
28	Equipment	59,250	2,797,668	74,644	2,723,024				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS								
29	Personal Service	7,625	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
30	Contractual Service	100	7,725	.....	7,725	.....	.....	.....	.....
	PARK DEPARTMENT								
31	Operation and Maintenance (Park Fund)	1,082,591	1,082,591	.....	.....	.....	1,082,591	.....	.....
31-1	Convenience Stations	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
32	Palace of Fine Arts	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
33	Fleishhacker Playfield	44,469	99,469	.....	99,469	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total Park Department	.....	1,182,060	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Recreation Fund)								
36	Personal and Non-Personal	.....	534,308	.....	.....	.....	534,308	.....	.....
	LIBRARY DEPARTMENT (Library Fund)								
37	Personal and Non-Personal	.....	328,589	.....	.....	.....	328,589	.....	.....
	WAR MEMORIAL (War Memorial Fund)								
38-1	Personal Service—Permanent	50,968	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
38-2	Personal Service—Temporary	4,857	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
38-3	Contractual Service	29,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
38-4	Materials and Supplies	5,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
38-5	Services of Other Departments	2,500	93,125	.....	.....	.....	93,125	.....	.....

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	ART COMMISSION								
39	Personal Service	3,525							
40	Contractual Service	750							
41	Municipal Band	15,000							
42	Municipal Pop Concerts	6,500							
43	Municipal Pop Concerts Rent	1,000							
44	Municipal Chorus Director	4,000							
45	Municipal Chorus Expense	800							
47	Christmas Eve Celebration	500	32,075		32,075				
	CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR (California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund)								
48	Personal Service—Permanent	35,792							
48-1	Personal Service—Temporary	962							
48-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	1,000							
48-3	Services of Other Departments	7,249							
48-4	Non-Personal	16,500	61,503				61,503		
	DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (De Young Memorial Museum Fund)								
49	Personal Service—Permanent	62,695							
49-1	Personal Service—Temporary	819							
49-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	500							
49-3	Non-Personal	5,100	69,114				61,865		
	From Cal. Palace Legion of Honor							7,249	
	AQUARIUM								
51	Personal and Non-Personal Service	40,500	40,500		40,500				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	AIRPORT								
52	Personal Service—Permanent	11,447	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
52-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,340	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
53	Contractual Service	10,250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
53-1	Fixed Charges	2,458	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
54	Proportion P. U. Commission Expense	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
55	Materials and Supplies	1,560	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
56	Land Purchases	105,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
56-1	Buildings	23,850	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
56-2	Equipment	1,700	168,205	19,000	149,205	.....	.....	.....	.....
	MUNICIPAL COURT								
57	Personal Service	182,617	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
57-1	Contractual Service	35	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
58	Court Reporters	18,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
59	Reporters' Transcriptions	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
60	Jury and Witness Fees	1,000	207,652	.....	207,652	.....	.....	.....	.....
	SUPERIOR COURT								
61	Personal Service	130,794	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
61-1	Court Reporters	13,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
61-2	Reporters' Transcriptions	16,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
61-3	Jury and Witness Fees	30,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
62	Court Orders	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
63	Examination of Insane	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
66	Grand Jury	11,000	216,794	.....	216,794	.....	.....	.....	.....

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	LAW LIBRARY							
67	Personal Service	9,323		9,323				
	JUVENILE COURT							
68	Personal Service	57,119						
69	Contractual Service	2,130						
70	Maintenance of Minors	625,000						
71	State Schools	20,000	704,249		704,249			
	JUVENILE DETENTION HOME							
72	Personal Service—Permanent	18,650						
72-1	Personal Service—Temporary	1,000						
73	Contractual Service	1,300						
73-1	Materials and Supplies	4,550						
73-2	Foodstuffs	2,295	27,795		27,795			
	ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT							
74	Personal Service	23,887						
75	Contractual Service	565	24,452		24,452			
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER							
76	Personal Service	15,015						
77	Non-Personal Service	1,500	16,515		16,515			
78	Publicity and Advertising		165,000			165,000		
79	Unemployment Relief		700,000		700,000			

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER—Cont'd								
80	Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	.....	.....	.....	6,978,704	.....	.....
	From Water Department								
	1928 Spring Valley Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000,000	.....
	1928 Spring Valley Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,665,000	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	150,000	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	250,000	.....
	Newark-San Lorenzo Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	47,025	.....
	From Municipal Railway Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	201,000	.....
	Municipal Railway Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	102,045	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy 1932's	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	357,437	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,616,553	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	260,000	.....
81	Tax Judgments (Tax Judgment Fund)	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	.....	.....
82	Auto Liability Claims	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
83	Premium Official Bonds	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
84	Taxes Refunded	8,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
85	Money Refunded	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
86	Rental 333 Kearny Street	10,752	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
87	Rental Pipe Yard	7,400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88	Other Judgments (6 Painters)	26,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-1	Maintenance of Criminal Insane & Narcotics.	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-2	Feeble Minded	130,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-3	Transportation of Indigent Insane	3,000	230,152	.....	230,152	.....	.....	.....	.....
	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS								
89	Personal Service	5,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....	.....	.....	.....

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TAX COLLECTOR								
90	Personal Service—Permanent	80,118							
90-A	Personal Service—Permanent (Delinquent Revenue)	7,359							
91	Personal Service—Temporary	35,689							
92	Contractual Service	5,350	128,516		128,516				
	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS								
94	Personal Service—Permanent	53,633							
95	Personal Service—Temporary Clerks	20,335							
96	Personal Service—Election Workers	22,275							
97	Contractual Service	37,325							
98	Equipment	75,000	208,568		208,568				
	RECORDER								
99	Personal Service	84,982	84,982		84,982				
	COUNTY CLERK								
100	Personal Service	133,625							
101	Non-Personal Service	58	133,683		133,683				
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR								
102	Personal Service	32,602							
103	Contractual Service	984	33,586		33,586				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION						
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES							
104	Personal Service	114,816	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
105	Contractual Service	268,677	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Telephone and Telegraph							
	Art Commission	25						
	Assessor	500						
	Board of Permit Appeals	20						
	Board of Supervisors	500						
	Chief Administrative Officer	1,000						
	City Planning Commission	35						
	Controller	150						
	Coroner	250						
	County Clerk	105						
	County Welfare	90						
	Dept. of Electricity	400						
	Dept. of Public Works	600						
	Fire Department	1,070						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,800						
	Juvenile Court	200						
	Municipal Court	250						
	Police Dept.	3,800						
	Public Administrator	300						
	Public Defender	100						
	Purchaser of Supplies	150						
	Sheriff	400						
	Tax Collector	85						
	Superior Courts	900						
	Treasurer	140						
	Adult Probation	85						

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Telephone and Telegraph—Continued							
	City Attorney	400						
	Civil Service	75						
	District Attorney	525						
	Real Estate Dept.	265						
	Recorder	80						
	Retirement System	25						
	Registrar of Voters	165						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures	35						
		17,525						
	Shop Expense							
	Dept. of Public Works	35,000						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,500						
	Fire Department	129,350						
	Assessor	200						
	Chief Administrative Officer	100						
	Controller	100						
	Coroner	500						
	Dept. of Electricity	1,000						
	Juvenile Court	100						
	Juvenile Detention Home	250						
	Mayor	200						
	Purchasing	50						
	Registrar	50						
	Sheriff	700						

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION: General Fund — Appropriation Receipts	General Fund — Fund Receipts	General Fund — Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds — Fund Receipts	Other Current Funds — Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Shop Expense—Continued							
	Supervisors	100						
	Tax Collector	200						
	Gas & Elect. Service for Shop	2,736						
	Sealer of Weights and Measures	300						
	Registrar of Voters	100						
		175,536						
	Towel Service							
	Dept. of Electricity	140						
	Dept. of Public Works	2,750						
	Fire Department	25						
	Police Department	676						
		3,591						
	Transportation							
	Rental of Truck—306 Days	2,142						
	Scavenger Service							
	Department of Electricity	23						

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Scavenger Service—Continued							
	Department of Public Works... 590							
	Fire Department 1,176							
	Dept. of Public Health..... 779							
	Juvenile Detention Home..... 41							
	Police Dept. 214							
	Sheriff 144							
	2,967							
	Other Contractual Service							
	Advertising Res. & Ordinances.. 33,000							
	Printing Public Documents..... 3,000							
	Printing Journal & Calendars... 20,000							
	Rental of Tabulating Machines.. 4,446							
	McGill Commodity Service..... 60							
	Repairs to Office Equipment.... 30							
	Gas & Electricity—Warehouse.. 330							
	Miscellaneous Contractual Service 50							
	License Tags, Badges and Plates 6,000							
	66,916							
106	Materials and Supplies.....	115,462	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Printing, Stationery, Postage							
	Adult Probation 500							
	Agricultural Commission 250							
	Art Commission 225							
	Assessor 13,500							

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Board of Permit Appeals	60						
	Board of Supervisors	900						
	Chief Administrative Officer	250						
	City Attorney	500						
	City Planning Commission	130						
	Civil Service	2,700						
	Controller	11,500						
	Coroner	900						
	County Clerk	4,000						
	County Welfare	1,800						
	Dept. of Electricity	1,000						
	Dept. of Finance & Records	175						
	Dept. of Public Health	16,657						
	Dept. of Public Works	5,500						
	District Attorney	1,000						
	Fire Department	2,100						
	Juvenile Court	900						
	Law Library	350						
	Mayor	1,500						
	Municipal Court	5,400						
	Police Dept.	11,000						
	Public Administrator	1,300						
	Public Defender	100						

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Purchasing	8,000						
	Real Estate Dept.	800						
	Recorder	6,000						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures	250						
	Superior Court	1,050						
	Superior Court—Secretary	1,500						
	Sheriff	2,150						
	Tax Collector	6,000						
	Treasurer	1,200						
		111,147						
	Gas and Oil							
	Registrar of Voters	60						
	Street Cleaning Dept.	750						
	Sewer Repair	1,000						
	Public Works General	375						
	Building Repair	265						
	Traffic	65						
	Building Inspection	70						
	Engineering	450						
	Tax Collector	400						
	Registrar	80						
	Sheriff	185						
	Assessor	95						

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued								
106	Materials and Supplies Continued								
	Gas and Oil—Continued								
	Juvenile Court	160							
	Central Shop No. 2	290							
	Purchaser	50							
	Police Dept.	20							
		4,315							
107	Equipment		22,097	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Furniture & Office Equipment	10,000							
	Warehouse Equipment	3,000							
	Postage Machines & Meters	1,716							
	Stoves, Heaters, Boilers — Fire Dept.	750							
	Lin., Rugs, Carpet & Shades—Fire Dept.	500							
	City Planning	100							
	Juvenile Court (3 Typewriters)	300							
	Sheriff (Office Equipment)	200							
	Municipal Court (File Cabinet)	1,000							
	Juvenile Detention Home	500							
	Chief Administrative Officer	500							
	Finance & Records	100							
	Recorder	570							
	Tax Collector	500							

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASER OF SUPPLIES—Continued								
107	Equipment—Continued								
	Public Administrator 318								
	Dept. of Public Works..... 1,000								
	County Welfare 918								
	Agricultural Commission 125								
		22,097	.....	521,052	.....	488,528	.....	.....	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Construction.	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,604	.....	.....	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Power.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,564	.....	.....	.....
	Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,422	.....	.....	.....
	Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,725	.....	.....	.....
	Park Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,651	.....	.....	.....
	School Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,558	.....	.....	.....
	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT								
108	Personal Service	10,898	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
109	Contractual Service	1,200	12,098	.....	12,098	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Auditorium								
110	Personal Service—Permanent	24,773	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
110-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,556	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111	Contractual Service	11,034	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111-1	Materials and Supplies	6,000	51,363	40,000	10,116	1,247	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS								
	General								
112	Personal Service—Director	6,560							
112-1	Personal Service—General Office	24,008							
112-2	Personal Service—Telephone Exchange	10,152	40,720		40,720				
	Bureau of Accounts								
113	Personal Service	22,536							
114	Contractual Service	188	22,724		22,724				
	Bureau of Building Repair								
116	Personal Service—Superintendent	18,760							
116-1	Personal Service—Maintenance	191,612							
116-2	Personal Service—Wages	56,039							
116-3	Truck Hire	2,016							
117	Contractual Service	2,950							
117-1	Services of Other Departments	600							
117-5	Materials and Supplies	10,000							
117-6	Janitorial Supplies	6,000							
117-7	Engine Room Supplies	19,000							
118	Repairs to Public Buildings	48,206	355,183		355,183				
	Bureau of Building Inspection								
119	Personal Service	34,050							
120	Contractual Service	2,592	36,642		36,642				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	Bureau of Engineering								
121	Personal Service	164,004							
122	Contractual Service	10,400							
122-1	Materials and Supplies	4,800							
123	Contractual Service—Special Inspection	12,000		12,000					
124	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers	25,000	216,204		204,204				
	Central Permit Bureau								
125	Personal Service	14,175	14,175		14,175				
	Street Cleaning								
126	Personal Service—Salaries	16,443							
126-1	Personal Service—Wages	376,872							
126-2	Truck Hire	18,288							
127	Contractual Service	2,559							
127-1	Services of Other Departments	1,700							
127-2	Materials and Supplies	8,000							
127-3	Equipment	1,200	425,062		425,062				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	Sewer Repair								
128	Personal Service—Permanent	11,175	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
128-1	Personal Service—Wages	139,321	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
128-2	Team and Truck Hire	24,718	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
129	Contractual Service	180	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
129-1	Services of Other Departments	8,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
130	Materials and Supplies	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
130-1	Equipment	6,750	205,744	.....	205,744	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Tearing Up Streets (Side Sewers)								
132	Personal and Non-Personal Service	33,865	33,865	33,865	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Sewerage Pumping Station								
133	Personal Service	2,034	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
134	Contractual Service	1,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
134-1	Materials and Supplies	200	3,334	.....	3,334	.....	.....	.....	.....
	County Road Fund								
	Street Repair								
135	Personal Service	8,415	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
135-1	Wages	168,710	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
135-2	Truck Hire	18,427	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
136-1	Services of Other Departments	8,659	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
136	Contractual Service	14,128	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137	Materials and Supplies	54,917	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-1	Equipment	10,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-2	Fixed Charges	17,667	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-3	Street Reconstruction (Jobs Over $1,000)	50,000	351,223	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	County Road Fund—Continued								
	Bridges								
138	Personal Service	49,189							
139	Contractual Service	2,923							
139-1	Materials and Supplies	549							
139-2	Services of Other Departments	1,626	54,287						
	General								
140	Bond Interest and Redemption	260,000							
141	Street Lighting	55,000							
142	Leavenworth Land Purchase—Interest.	4,380							
145	Joint Highway District No. 10	50,000							
146	Traffic Lines	8,322							
147	Traffic (Services of Dept. of Elec.)	5,000							
148	Traffic (Services of Police Dept.)	8,500							
149	Street Signs	5,000							
150	Street Construction	100,000							
150-1	Services of Bureau of Engineering	30,000							
150-2	Services of Real Estate Dept.	5,000							
150-3	Castro and Divisadero Streets	10,000							
150-4	Bay Bridge Approaches	97,500							
	Unappropriated Balance	57,898	696,600						
	Total County Road		1,102,110				1,102,110		
	Total Department of Public Works.		2,455,763						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY								
154	Personal Service	161,371							
155	Contractual Service	1,490							
155-1	Materials and Supplies	16,160	179,021		174,682				
	From County Road Fund					4,339			
156	Installation Fund		2,500				2,500		
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH								
	Central Office								
157	Personal Service	476,123							
158	Contractual Service	27,689							
159	Materials and Supplies	17,035							
160	Fixed Charges	13,230							
161	Equipment	2,000	536,077		536,077				
	Laguna Honda Home								
162	Personal Service	199,781							
163	Contractual Service	24,604							
164	Materials and Supplies	68,880							
165	Foodstuffs	225,000							
166	Equipment	1,000	519,265		519,265				
	Isolation Hospital								
167	Personal Service	37,503	37,503		37,503				

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH—Continued								
	San Francisco Hospital								
168	Personal Service	640,285							
169	Contractual Service	81,865							
170	Materials and Supplies	152,650							
171	Foodstuffs	230,000							
173	Equipment	1,000	1,105,800		1,105,800				
	Emergency Hospital								
174	Personal Service	156,765							
175	Contractual Service	11,510							
176	Materials and Supplies	10,221							
177	Foodstuffs	3,140							
179	Equipment	250	181,886		181,886				
	Hassler Health Home								
180	Personal Service	42,467							
181	Contractual Service	4,140							
182	Materials and Supplies	10,800							
183	Foodstuffs	32,450							
184	Fixed Charges	436							
185	Equipment	500	90,793		90,793				
	Total Department of Health		2,471,324						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT								
186	Personal Service—Permanent	35,994							
187	Contractual Service	1,100							
188	Widows' Pensions	230,000							
189	Maintenance of Aged	340,000							
190	Blind Pensions	85,000	692,094		692,094				
	CORONER								
191	Personal Service	41,882							
192	Contractual Service	565							
192-1	Materials and Supplies	900	43,347		43,347				
	AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION								
193	Personal Service	10,896							
194	Contractual Service	2,390	13,286		13,286				
	SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES								
195	Personal Service	19,800							
196	Contractual Service	300							
196-1	Materials and Supplies	350							
196-2	Equipment	200	20,650		20,650				
	CONTROLLER								
197	Personal Service—Permanent	132,101							
198	Personal Service—Temporary	5,580							
199	Contractual Service	4,750							
200	Equipment	2,580	145,011		127,185				
	From Municipal Railway					2,888			
	Special School					5,343			
	Common School					6,139			
	Park Department					1,782			
	Water Department					1,674			

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION								
201	Personal Service	11,780	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
202	Contractual	440	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
203	Materials and Supplies	80	12,300	.....	12,300	.....	.....	.....	.....
	PUBLIC UTILITIES								
	Public Utilities Commission								
204	Personal Service	27,978	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
206	Legal Expense	13,570	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207	Contractual Service	21,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-1	Materials and Supplies	900	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-2	Equipment	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-3	Fixed Charges	1,226	67,374	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From Municipal Airport	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	600	.....
	From Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,500	.....
	From Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	24,996	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,278	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Water	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	28,000	.....
	Lighting of Public Streets								
208	Personal and Non-Personal Service	800,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Lighting of Public Buildings								
209	Personal and Non-Personal Service	44,000	844,000	.....	789,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—Continued								
	Municipal Railway								
210	Personal Service	1,731,256							
211	Contractual Service	488,205							
211-1	Services of Other Departments	40,447							
212	Materials and Supplies	49,679							
214	Fixed Charges	505,651							
214-1	Replacements	175,568	2,990,806				2,990,806		
	Water Department								
216	Personal Service	784,026							
217	Contractual Service	481,911							
218	Materials and Supplies	156,174							
219	Fixed Charges	415,895							
220	Additions and Betterments	660,000							
221	Equipment—Automobiles and Trucks	7,000							
222	Bond Interest and Redemption	3,112,025							
223	Services of Other Departments	37,092							
224	Appropriation to General Fund	793,377	6,447,500				6,447,500		
	Hetch Hetchy Power Operative								
225	Personal Service	181,704							
227	Contractual Service	39,824							
227-1	Materials and Supplies	62,072							
227-2	Equipment	6,000							
228	Services of Other Departments	45,257							
229	Depreciation	41,800							
229-1	Fixed Charges	35,200							
230	Taxes	12,000							
231	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,616,193	2,040,050				2,040,050		

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—Continued								
	Hetch Hetchy Construction								
235-1	Coast Range Tunnel	180,980							
235-2	Interest During Construction	357,438							
235-3	Lands and Rights of Way Damage Claims	60,000							
235-4	Legal Expense	75,000							
235-5	Balance—General and Miscellaneous	149,764							
237	Proportion of P. U. Commission Expense.	28,000	851,182						851,182
	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT								
238	Common School Fund (Tentative)		7,947,710				7,947,710		
245	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)		360,000				360,000		
	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION								
248	Personal Service—Permanent	34,899							
248-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,300							
249	Contractual Service	1,250	45,449		45,449				
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM								
	(Employees' Retirement Fund)								
250	Personal Service	26,517							
251	Contractual Service	1,435							
251-1	Materials and Supplies	210							
251-2	Equipment	215							
252	Fixed Charges	2,081,200	2,109,577				1,439,077		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures 1933-1934—Continued)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM—Cont.							
252	Fixed Charges—Continued							
	From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,350	.....
	From Common School Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	432,000	.....
	From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	33,000	.....
	From Special School Tax	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,500	.....
	From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,150	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,500	.....
	From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	45,000	.....
	From Miscellaneous Bond Funds, etc.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	30,000	.....
	From Municipal Railway Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100,000	.....
253	Workmen's Compensation Insurance	.....	25,000	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....
254	PUBLIC POUND	.....	17,000	.....	17,000	.....	.....	.....
			55,528,127	189,509	16,214,282	124,506	31,754,465	6,394,183	851,182
	Transfer From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	Cr.793,377	793,377	.....	.....	.....
	TOTALS	.....	55,528,127	189,509	15,420,905	917,883	31,754,465	6,394,183	851,182

(*Budget estimates of Proposed Expenditures Fiscal Year* 1933-1934, *on file in Clerk's Office.*)

Public Hearing on Budget, 1933-1934.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length:

Wm. H. Nanry, secretary of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, read and explained the following general recommendations of the Citizens' Budget Committee:

1. *Non-Filling of Present Vacancies—All Departments.*

It is recommended that *all* positions in *all* departments that represent an increase in personnel over the *present force* in each such department be eliminated. The detailed budget items in which such additional positions are included, in all departments except Welfare and Institutions, are referred to in the specific departmental recommendations, as follows: Assessor, 1; Police, 10; Fire, 6; Municipal Court, 1; Juvenile Court, 2; Tax Collector, 1; Purchaser, 5; Public Works: General Office 1, Building Repair 5, Engineering 2, Street Cleaning 2, Street Repair 1; Controller, 2; Utilities Commission, 2; Airport, 1; and Water Department, 1. This will affect 43 positions, or a budget reduction of $106,058.

2. *Non-Filling of Vacancies Created by Retirement.*

It is recommended that those positions to be vacated during the year by mandatory retirement on a pension, as provided by Charter, be not filled when so vacated, and that the appropriation item covering each such position be correspondingly reduced. The detailed statement of such positions is outlined in Schedule No. 1, attached to this report, and includes the following: Police, 3; Fire, 2; Municipal Court, 1; Recorder, 1; Public Works: General Office 1, Building Repair 1, Engineering 1, Sewer 1, Street Cleaning 7, Street Repair 2; Electricity, 1; Health Department, Central Office, 2; Agricultural Commissioner, 1; Municipal Railway, 3; and Water Department, 2. It is estimated that the non-filling of such vacancies will affect 29 positions and the consequent reduction in budget appropriations will effect a total budget reduction of $32,200.

3. *Non-Filling of Future Vacancies.*

It is also recommended that procedure be established whereby vacancies outlined above and all future vacancies that occur during the period of present business conditions be not filled, except in case of absolute necessity to carry on essential city operations. The budget reduction for this item would have to be applied as a deduction from totals and is estimated as $50,000.

4. *Salary Deductions.*

It is our understanding that the amounts of salary deduction under Charter Amendment No. 1, adopted April 11, are being recalculated. Our estimates indicate that additional amounts of such deductions under the personnel and rates proposed and the percentage rates of deduction provided by the amendment should be increased, as follows: Fire Department, $57,000; Parks, $34,400; Recreation, $19,200; Registrar, $2,190; Street Repair, $3,400; Health, $22,900. These will provide a total budget reduction of $139,090.

5. *No Salary Increases.*

It is recommended that no salary increases, whether based on seniority, reclassification or promotion, be allowed in the proposed budget or during the continuation of the present business conditions, except such increases as are fixed by Charter. Such increases as are apparent from analysis of the budget estimates are referred to in the recommendations relative to the departments in which they occur.

6. *Elimination of Salary Increases Since January* 1, 1931.

It is recommended that all salary and wage increases granted since January 1, 1931 (the rates as of such date are practically fixed by

Charter), be eliminated, except such increases as are required by mandatory Charter provisions. The detail of such salary and wage increases is outlined in the attached Schedule No. 2, covering 73 positions. The estimated amount of budget reduction, through the application of this policy, is estimated as $24,056.

7. *Revision of Rates for Those Appointed Since January* 1, 1931.

It is recommended that the rates fixed for all officers and employees appointed since January 1, 1931, be reestablished so as not to exceed the entrance rates specified in the Civil Service Commission's schedule of April 9, 1930, or—for the building trades and other classifications where outside prevailing rates can be easily ascertained—so as not to exceed the rates paid for similar service in private employment. The fixing of entrance rates on such basis is in accord with Charter provisions and with the general policy of "no increases," as established by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors during the last two years. The detail as to budget items affected by applying the entrance rates specified in the Civil Service Commission's schedule of April 9, 1930, is shown in the attached Schedule No. 3, covering 84 positions. The estimated amount of budget reduction involved in this proposed policy is $37,260.

8. *Revision of Salary and Wage Rates.*

It is recommended to the Mayor, the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Supervisors, that work be undertaken immediately on revision of salary and wage rates for the purpose of establishing these, as required by Charter, at a scale not in excess of rates paid for similar services in private employment. At a series of conferences last July and August, it was stated that studies for the purpose of revising schedules of compensation were to be undertaken immediately and would be completed and made effective in time for use in and application to the 1933-34 budget and annual salary ordinance. It is estimated that such revised schedules, if applied only to positions filled by persons appointed since January 1, 1931, would effect an annual salary reduction of at least $136,800.

9. *Rate for Temporary Clerks.*

It is recommended that the rate for temporary clerks, fixed in the last budget at $150 per month, be fixed at a rate of $5 per day. This is probably in excess of the maximum rate paid for similar services in private employment. The particular budget items affected are discussed under the heading of Assessor, Tax Collector, Registrar and Controller. The amount of reduction involved is estimated as $28,700.

10. *Reduced Working Time.*

It is recommended to the Mayor, the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Supervisors that provision be made by civil service rule and executive action for the staggering of employment or the reduction in working time, with equivalent reduction in pay and appropriations, for application to those departments and offices where the reduction in volume of work, or necessary reduction in appropriation requires that such staggering of employment or reduction in working time be established as a method of retrenchment, in lieu of dismissal of personnel. It is estimated that the application of a five-day-week work basis to the various offices and departments of the City, exclusive of institutions, Fire Department, Municipal Railway and Hetch Hetchy operative, as shown in the attached Schedule No. 4, would effect a saving of $997,870.

11. *Arbitrary Reductions.*

The Citizens' Budget Committee estimates indicate the necessity of a budget reduction of $3,000,000 to provide a tax reduction of from only 5 to 10 per cent for every taxpayer. The specific recommendations and suggestions acted upon by the committee, and included herein, if

accepted in their entirety by the Board of Supervisors, would fall short of this amount. Accordingly, in addition to such specific recommendations, a further proposal of arbitrary reduction, approximating 3 per cent of the total proposed appropriations, has been calculated and applied to all departments and funds, exclusive of emergency reserve, unemployment relief, institutions, welfare and social service aids, bond interest and redemption, tax judgments, pension and other fixed charges, and park and recreation, both of which operate under minimum Charter tax rates. Such arbitrary reductions, as shown in Schedule No. 5 attached hereto, together with specific recommendations included herein and recommendations along similar lines to be submitted separately to the Board of Education, appear to be necessary to produce the small amount of tax relief which is the objective of the work of this committee, and would effect a budget reduction of $582,760.

12. *Reductions in Force.*

Various reductions in force are recommended, to be effected wherever possible by transfer, to fill new positions where the creation of these cannot be eliminated or postponed, or by reduced working time. Such proposed reductions are based on decreased volume of work to be performed, curtailment of functions of the office under new Charter procedure, completion of work for which the employment was originally created, carrying into effect changes in procedure that have been officially approved, etc. Each proposed reduction is discussed under the heading of the department affected. The proposal includes the office of the Board of Supervisors, Board of Permit Appeals, Art Commission, Recorder, County Clerk, Real Estate Department, Department of Public Works—General Office, Accounting Bureau, Building Inspection, Engineering Bureau, Central Permit Bureau and Street Repair; Electricity, Department of Health, Central Office (plumbing inspection) and City Planning. These recommendations would affect about 70 employees and effect a reduction of approximately $200,000.

13. *Extension of Contract Provisions.*

It is recommended that Charter provisions relative to competitive bidding and contract procedure on street, building and sewer repair and reconstruction work costing over $1000 continue to be rigidly enforced, and, further, that the policy be adopted of requiring competitive bidding on all items of repair and reconstruction work costing over $500. It is estimated that the budget can be reduced by economies under such procedure by approximately 20 per cent of the items affected, as follows: Purchaser (shop), $20,000; Building Repair, $10,000; Sewer Repair, $26,000, and Street Repair, $40,000—a total reduction of $96,000.

14. *Printing and Advertising Costs.*

It is recommended that appropriations for printing and advertising (Purchaser's appropriation) be reduced by centralizing and having only one "set-up" of Supervisors' ordinances and resolutions, now separately printed in the calendar, the official newspaper and the journal; by elimination of the printing of ordinances in full when these are adopted "on final passage"; by consolidating Police Department advertisements relative to license applications; by simplifying and shortening, wherever possible, advertisements inviting bids, announcing awards, etc. It is estimated that by the above mentioned and other economies, printing and advertising costs can be reduced from one-third to one-half. Using one-third, this would effect a budget reduction of approximately $18,650.

15. *Auto Allowances.*

Last year a similar committee recommended that auto allowances for City officials and employees be established on a graduated scale based on the extent of use of individual machines, rather than the

flat $30 per month allowance established in the 1932-1933 budget. It was stated at that time that a survey would be undertaken during the year for the purpose of establishing such graduated scale. It is recommended that if such survey has not as yet been made, steps be taken immediately to establish proper rates of auto allowance on the basis of extent of individual use of machines, and that such revised schedule be placed in operation as soon as possible.

Adolph Uhl, representing himself as a taxpayer, urged that drastic cuts be made in the costs of government. He declared that all departments are over-manned and asked that employments be cut down or transfers made where needed. This Police Department, he said, is greatly over-manned. Not only that, he said, "I am reliably informed that police inspectors collect tribute from bookmakers, bootleggers and houses of prostitution." He declared that the largest property owners in the City are in dire distress and unable to pay. He cited a number of apartment houses and hotels which had been foreclosed and others that were in the hands of receivers and still others that were closed out for less than the mortgage.

He urged, however, that the Board put into the budget an item of $37,000 for the acquisition of seventy acres of land at Bay Point to be graded and filled in as a future commercial area to be given away without cost if necessary to manufacturers or other commercial enterprises which might be induced to set up their plants in this City.

S. Hester, secretary, Board of Public Works, refuted the statement of Mr. Uhl as to alleged overmanned departments and bureaus of the Board of Public Works.

Geo. Skaller, representing himself and taxpayers and the Civic League of Improvements Clubs, called attention to the distressful condition of property owners in all parts of San Francisco and urged the most drastic economies in all departments of the government during this period of depression. He complimented municipal employees for their unselfish contributions to unemployment relief and the Finance Committee for its splendid work in presenting a budget that took cognizance and the needs of the day and the plight of the taxpayer.

J. F. Kelly, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs. He urged that $2000 be provided for construction of concrete steps off Twenty-second street between Utah and Rhode Island as requested by the Southern Heights organization. He also asked for $12,000 for a playground on Twenty-fourth street, and $30,000 for a sewer in Schwerin street. He decried the elimination of employment and deductions in salaries of Municipal employees as adding to the depression rather than remedying it.

Archie Mooney, representing the Building Trades Council of San Francisco, protested any further reductions in the budget. He disagreed in some respects with the report of the Finance Committee, but on the whole commended it for its fairness.

Mrs. Best, representing Sunset Transportation and Improvement Club, urged that provision be made for the continued operation of the Municipal bus on the Great Highway.

W. C. Peoples (colored), residing at 2015 Buchanan street, discussed the disabilities of the colored citizenry during this depression and their necessity for municipal relief.

R. S. K. MacMillen, representing Central Mission Improvement Club, was also heard, urging that the utmost economy be exercised in making up this year's budget in order that the burden of the taxpayer might be lightened in the greatest degree possible.

Geo. Stimmel, of the Crocker Estate Co., was granted the privilege of the floor. He said in part: The apartment house situation in San Francisco is very bad. Many places have been sold for the mortgage others are in the hands of the receivers and owners don't know where to raise the money to pay the taxes. Coming downtown, he

said, to the commercial district, we find that some of the most prominent corners in San Francisco today stand vacant and no business is being transacted. Store vacancies are large and increasing in number, and there is even a larger percentage of offices vacant all over the City.

Mr. Loughenberg, representing the San Francisco Real Estate Board, was also heard to the same effect.

Jno. A. Sullivan, president of the San Francisco Real Estate Board, cited percentages of amount of gross income from property paid in taxes from 1927, increasing each year as follows: 11.3 per cent, 1927; 13.1 per cent, 1928; 14.1 per cent, 1929; 17.8 per cent, 1930; 19.7 per cent, 1931, and 25.4 per cent, 1932.

B. Levitt, representing Junior Chamber of Commerce. He favored the conclusions of the Citizens' Budget Committee and held very little hope for a high assessment roll, believing that it would be most likely 10 per cent lower than last year, rather than 5 per cent, as expected.

Jas. Arnott, home builder, cited conditions surrounding the home building industry and stated that hundreds of home purchasers who had lost their employment had to give up their equities in their homes because they could no longer pay the installments of principal and interest.

Lucella Ward, secretary of the Hotel and Apartment House Owners' Association, was also heard. Few of the apartment houses and hotels are paying their way and many have gone to the wall. The moratorium was felt to be a great relief, but even at that it will be very difficult to find the tax money.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 5:05 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 12, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 22

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, May 22, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

The Reporter Printing and Publishing Company
Los Gatos, Calif.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 22, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 22, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for approval.

In Memoriam: Milo F. Kent.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 801, as follows:

Whereas, death has closed the very colorful and active career of Milo F. Kent, former member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the life, comparatively short, of the late Milo F. Kent was filled with noble endeavor, high association, and outstanding honesty and integrity in a wide field of business, social and fraternal life, and civic and military accomplishments; and

Whereas, the candidness of spirit of the late deceased, his love of country and of order, his positive manifestation of the better things and ideals in life, particularly his discipline of self and his love of family, made him a figure in San Francisco life admired and beloved; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in regular session assembled, does hereby publicly express high regard for the character and virtues of its late confrere, coupling said admiration with sincere sorrow at San Francisco's loss of such an estimable gentleman as was the late Milo F. Kent, and further offers sympathy and condolences to his widow and his children in this, their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and that another copy be sent to the widow of the late deceased, and when the Board adjourns today it do so out of respect to the memory of former Supervisor Milo F. Kent.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Chair appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Havenner, Stanton, Shannon and Gallagher to officially represent the Board of Supervisors at the funeral of former Supervisor Milo F. Kent.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee of Citizens to Campaign for the Passage of the $3,000,000 Public School Building Bonds, Special Election on June 27.

(Code No. 21.31)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 802, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to conduct a campaign for the passage of the $3,000,000 Public School Building Bonds at Special Election of June 27, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Endorsing Constitutional Amendment No. 47.

(Code No. 5.3.)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 803, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 47, exempting private non-profit educational institutions from taxes has been voted by the Legislature of California, placed on the ballot for June 27, 1933; and

Whereas, California is the only State in the Union that taxes private non-profit educational institutions; and

Whereas, the hundred thousand pupils being educated in these private non-profit schools save annually to the State of California approximately $12,000,000, exclusive of capital outlay; and

Whereas, Constitutional Amendment No. 47 (No. 4 on the ballot of June 27, 1933), has been given the endorsement of Controller Ray L. Riley, numerous members in the State Government in addition to a splendid vote placing the amendment on the ballot by various metropolitan newspapers as well as by clubs, fraternal organizations, national organizations; and

Whereas, the exemption from taxation of the private non-profit schools in California would hardly make any appreciable difference in taxation income for the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby unanimously endorse Constitutional Amendment No. 47 (No. 4 on the ballot of June 27, 1933), exempting private non-profit educational institutions in the State of California from taxation.

Referred to Educational, Parks and Playgrounds and Judiciary Committees.

Resolution Re Closing of Klamath River.

Resolution No. 804, as follows:

Whereas, the Klamath River and territory immediately adjoining was set aside by State-wide vote in 1924 as a recreational area; and

Whereas, it was the mandate of the citizens of California that no obstructions, dams or other impediments to the free passage of migratory fish be permitted in the river; and

Whereas, serious interference with migrating fish is now being caused by commercial netting of salmon at the mouth of the Klamath River; and

Whereas, such activities unless immediately curbed will interfere with and ultimately cause the destruction of all the fish in the river; and

Whereas, two bills have been introduced in the California Legislature by Assemblyman H. B. Scudder (A. B. 1536-1759) to remedy this situation and restore the Klamath River to all of the people of California; and

Whereas, many of the citizens and people of this vicinity are regular visitors to the Klamath River, where they go to enjoy the fishing and recreational advantages found there; and

Whereas, continuance of commercial netting of salmon in the Klamath River will seriously interfere with the freedom of our people in their use of this area for recreational purposes; and

Whereas, the Klamath River is the only State controlled source of salmon spawn needed by the State Fish and Game authorities for restoration and propagation of salmon; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors in meeting here assembled does hereby approve and support Assembly Bills 1536-1759; and be it

Further Resolved, that the legislative representatives of this district be requested to lend their support to these bills and vote for their passage in the Legislature now assembled; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to His Excellency Governor James Rolph, Jr., State Capitol, Sacramento, California.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

In Memoriam: Dr. Alfred Roncovieri, Jr.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 805, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with sorrow and regret the passing in the fullness and prime of life of Dr. Alfred Roncovieri, Jr., devoted and loving son of our confrere, Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri, for whom this Board feels in this dark hour the most profound commiseration. Dr. Roncovieri was a distinguished and estimable character in the public, professional and social life of San Francisco and one full of promise for a brilliant future. He served his country with valor and distinction in the World War and, returning home to San Francisco, achieved through his outstanding character and native ability, a high place in his chosen profession. To his family this Board of Supervisors extends its deepest condolence and sympathy.

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Dr. Alfred Roncovieri, Jr., and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and another copy be sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up and *adopted*:

Advisory Arterial Highway Development Committee.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 765, as follows:

Whereas, upon completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay bridges the City and County of San Francisco will constitute the traffic hub of the Pacific Coast and will be required to supply facile, speedy and efficient accommodations for greatly increased automobile traffic; and

Whereas, upon restoration to normal economic conditions the City and County of San Francisco may confidently look forward to a substantial increase in population with its attendant traffic problems; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is nearing completion of the projects for which the recent Boulevard Bond Funds were voted; and

Whereas, several vital and major street and boulevard projects for the City and County of San Francisco are now being considered and their construction urged; and

Whereas, various Joint Highway Districts are proceeding with or contemplating the construction of highways connecting with the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee to be known as the Advisory Arterial Highway Development Committee, to be composed of City officials and engineers familiar with problems of this character, prominent engineers in private life, representatives of civic, commercial and improvement clubs, and other leading citizens, and that the purposes and functions for which said committee is formed shall be as follows:

1. To make a complete and thorough study of the street, boulevard and highway system in the City and County as it exists now.

2. To study, ascertain and recommend extensions and improvements necessary to adequately, efficiently and as economically as possible, cope with the traffic problem which will confront San Francisco upon the completion of the bridges, and upon increased population; and

3. To coordinate, as far as possible, the recommendations resulting from the study of local conditions with the problems and plans of surrounding cities, counties, and Joint Highway Districts, as well as with the State Highway System.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Compromise and Settlement of Claim of John Beisel vs. City and County of San Francisco, and Payment of $500 in Settlement Thereof.

(Code No. 6.0222.)

On recommendation of of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 322, Ordinance No. 6.02226, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to compromise and settle claim against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the suit of John Beisel against the City and County of San Francisco, by the payment to said John Beisel of the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of said John Beisel against said City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller of said City and County is hereby directed to draw a warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

Approved as to form:

John O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Ordering Sewering in Thirty-seventh Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 321, Ordinance No. 12.061127, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 17, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer with Y branches and brick manholes.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Re-referred.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *re-referred to Streets Committee.*

Ordering the Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth Avenues, and of Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets Where Not Already Improved.
(Code No. 12.0611.)

Bill No. 317, Ordinance No. 12.061125, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 8, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the De-

partment of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, and of Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street, where not already so improved, by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Accepting Moneys From the State, Provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, for Relief; Providing for the Keeping of Accounts by the Controller, and Providing for the Refund Thereof Under Certain Conditions.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 331, Ordinance No. 19.0717, as follows:

Accepting certain money provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States for relief work in the City and County of San Francisco, and appropriating the same to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purposes for which the same was received, and providing for accounts thereof to be kept by the Controller, and, further, providing for a refund thereof under certain conditions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That, whereas, the State of California has allocated to the City and County certain moneys provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States for relief work in the City and County of San Francisco, a portion of which said moneys has been received by the Treasurer of the City and County, all of said moneys received and to be received, from said Reconstruction Finance Corporation are hereby accepted to be used for the purposes for which they are received, and the same are hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee to be used for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County.

Section 2. The Controller is directed to set up on the books of his office, appropriate accounts showing the receipt and disbursement of any and all moneys received from the sources herein mentioned, and to furnish the Emergency Relief Administrator of the State of California copies of said accounts showing all disbursements made from said moneys and the purposes for which the same were made.

Section 3. That the City and County of San Francisco will refund to the State of California or to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States the amount of any item of expenditure made from the moneys herein mentioned, which shall be expended for any

purpose which shall not meet the approval of the said Emergency Relief Administrator of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Accepting Franchise Receipts From Market Street Railway Company for the Months of January, February and March, 1933, on the Parkside Transit, Parnassus and Ninth Avenues, and Gough Street Transit.

(Code No. 15.09.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 789, as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railroad Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of January, February and March, 1933, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue	$ 540.59
Parkside Transit	1,372.37
Gough Street Transit	107.46
Total	$2,020.42

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Payment of Expense of Employees of the Recreation Department Attending Session of the National Recreation Commission, Held at Monterey, April 20 to 22, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 326, Ordinance No. 5.935, as follows:

Approving and ratifying the attendance of certain employees of the Recreation Department at a session of the National Recreation Association, and authorizing the payment of their expenses incident to said attendance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Josephine Randall, Raymond Kimbell, H. B. Pack, J. Linares and Helen Proctor, having under the direction and authority of the Recreation Commission attended a session of the National Recreation Association, held in the City of Monterey from April 20 to April 22, 1933, both days inclusive, and the said attendance of said persons at the meeting of said association having been for the benefit and best interests of the Recreation Commission of the City and County

of San Francisco, the attendance of said persons at said meeting is hereby approved and the payment of the following expenses incurred by said persons by reason of their attendance at said meeting is hereby authorized, to-wit: Josephine Randall, $21.95; Raymond Kimbell, $22.10; H. B. Pack, $15.90; J. Linares, $19.90; Helen Proctor, $18.90.

Section 2. That the foregoing amounts having been made a charge against the Revolving Fund of the Recreation Commission the Controller is requested to reimburse said fund from Appropriation 36-2, 1932-33 Appropriation Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $36,130 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of Various Appropriations of the Department of Public Health, to Absorb Shortages in Said Appropriations. Declaring Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 327, Ordinance No. 9.05165, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $36,130 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of appropriations for the Central Office, Isolation Hospital and San Francisco Hospital of the Department of Public Health. Declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $36,130 be and is hereby made and authorized from Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the hereinafter designated appropriations of the Department of Public Health for the absorbing of shortages in said appropriations, to-wit:

To Appropriation 158 (Contractual Service—Central Office), the sum of $1,000.

To Appropriation 167 (Isolation Hospital—Personal Service), the sum of $1,200.

To Appropriation 168 (San Francisco Hospital—Personal Service), the sum of $20,835.

To Appropriation 169 (San Francisco Hospital—Contractual Service), the sum of $3,195.

To Appropriation 170 (San Francisco Hospital—Material and Supplies), the sum of $9,900.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the preservation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

(Requested by the Director of Public Health and approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Refund of $2.02—Erroneous Arbitrary Assessment.
(Code No. 9.059.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 790, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2.02 be and is hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, to P. Creighton. Being refund of payment of taxes on an erroneous assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $40,800 Out of Emergency Reserve for the Expense of Holding Special Election, June 27, 1933.
(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 328, Ordinance No. 9.05166, as follows:

Appropriating $40,800 out of Emergency Reserve (Appropriation 6), fiscal year 1932-1933, for the expense of holding special election, June 27, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $40,800 is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Emergency Reserve (Appropriation 6), for the expense of holding special election of June 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Accepting Offer of Transfer of $250,000 From City and County of San Francisco Officials Relief Fund, to Be Used for the Payment of Salaries of Employees of the City and County.
(Code No. 7.052.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 793, as follows:

Whereas, the Executive Committee of the City and County of San Francisco Officials Relief Fund for the unemployed have offered to transfer to the City and County the sum of $250,000 from the fund contributed by the officials and employees of the City and County for unemployment relief, so that the same may be used for the payment of salaries of employees of the City and County, thereby preventing their lay-off. Be it

Resolved, That the Treasurer is hereby directed to accept said sum of $250,000 and to hold the same for the payment of the salaries and compensations of the various employees of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Changing Name of Remaining Portion of City Hall Avenue to McAllister Street.

(Code No. 12.08.)

On recommendations of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 791, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of that remaining portion of City Hall avenue at Leavenworth and McAllister streets, more specifically described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street and the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue; thence westerly along the southerly line of McAllister street extended westerly 76.491 feet to the easterly line of Leavenworth street extended southerly; thence at right angles southerly along said line of Leavenworth street so extended 55.094 feet to the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue; thence deflecting 125 degrees 45 minutes 51 seconds to the left and running northeasterly along said line of City Hall avenue 94.267 feet to the southerly line of McAllister street and the point of beginning,

be and is hereby changed to McAllister street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Changing Name of Remaining Portion of City Hall Avenue at Larkin and Grove Streets to Grove Street.

(Code No. 12.08.)

Also, Resolution No. 792, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of that certain remaining portion of City Hall avenue at Larkin and Grove streets, more specifically described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Larkin street produced southerly and the southerly line of Grove street produced easterly; thence southerly along said line of Larkin street so produced 61.203 feet to the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue; thence northeasterly along said line of City Hall avenue 104.718 feet to the aforesaid line of Grove street so produced; thence westerly along last named line 84.971 feet to the easterly line of Larkin street produced southerly and the point of beginning,

be and is hereby changed to Grove street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, Ordering the Improvement of Various Streets by Construction of Sidewalks Therein.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 329, Ordinance No. 12.061128, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, in effect March 22, 1933, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

Leave of Absence, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, Civil Service Commissioner.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 794, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from May 27 to May 31, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence, E. B. DeGolia, City Planning Commission.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 795, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable E. B. DeGolia, a member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Alden Ames, Judge, Municipal Court.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 796, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alden Ames, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 5, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 799, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 17, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hay-

den, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence, Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of seven days, commencing May 27th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 800, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of seven days, commencing May 27, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Relative to Alleged Proposed Abandonment of Federal Post at Alcatraz Island.

Supervisor Peyser called attention to a rumored abandonment of Alcatraz Island disciplinary barracks as a federal post on the Pacific Coast. He, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that this Board of Supervisors petition the U. S. Army authorities against said proposed abandonment or removal to any other location as extremely detrimental to the legitimate interests of the San Francisco bay region.

Also, that copies of said petition be sent and protest against such abandonment or removal be made to the President of the United States, Senators Johnson and McAdoo and Congressmen Welch and Kahn of San Francisco and Carter of Oakland.

Motion *carried*.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Director of Property to Lease Land on Brenham Place.

(Code No. 12.1736)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 12.17362, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain land on the west side of Brenham Place near Clay street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing that certain lot and building situated on the west side of Brenham place, with a frontage of 25 feet and a depth of 68.75 feet, 77.5 feet north of Clay street, San Francisco, California.

Section 2. The term of the lease shall be 20 years to the highest responsible bidder subject to the following conditions:

1. The lessee shall make all necessary repairs to the building at his own expense.

2. Subject to the written approval of the Director of Property, the lessee shall have the right to add a loft to the building, erect a canopy over the entrance and make such other alterations as may be necessary or desirable.

3. The building shall be insured against damage by fire or earthquake at the lessee's expense. Such insurance shall be made payable to the lessor.

4. The lease shall not be assigned without the written approval of the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Budget Hearing.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the rest of the afternoon be given over for a further hearing of the public on the proposed budget for 1933-1934.

So ordered.

Finance Committee Report and Recommendations for the Fiscal Year 1933-34.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, May 19, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee herewith submits its report and recommendations on the Mayor's budget for the fiscal year 1933-34, presented to the Board of Supervisors May 1, 1933, and referred by the Board to your Finance Committee.

Your committee has labored arduously, and has studied minutely each item contained in said budget. In submitting its report, your committee is fully cognizant of the extreme economic stress that is prevalent, and that retrenchment is absolutely essential in all departments of the City government.

Your committee recognizes that the taxpayers of our City must be relieved of the tax burden so far as the same can be effected without loss of efficiency. As will be indicated by your committee's recommendations, every possible economy and retrenchment has been recommended so far as the same could be done consistent with the needs of our citizens and the continued efficiency of governmental functioning.

Before determining upon the recommendations herewith submitted, your committee summoned before it the various departments of the City government, with the view to eliminate any and all unnecessary expenditures and effect economies wherever possible.

The committee at this point wishes to express its appreciation to the various department heads and employees who have so generously and faithfully cooperated in every manner in the mutual endeavor to solve this problem.

The committee wishes to particularly express appreciation to the Controller and his staff, to the Director of Finance, and to the staff of the Civil Service Commission, who rendered extraordinary service in assisting your committee in supplying data and computations necessary in the presentation of this budget.

Attention is called to the fact that, though it is absolutely necessary to eliminate all unnecessary expenditures, it must be borne in mind that with the growth of the City the demands likewise increase, and particularly at the time when there is an unprecedented demand for hospitalization and welfare aid. Your committee feels that it is the responsibility and obligation of the City and County to provide amply for those in distress, and to furnish assistance to those who are in need.

In the report herewith submitted your committee recommends elimination of all new positions except as hereinafter set forth. It should be noted that in several instances departments have been able to eliminate employment by reclassifying and rearranging the duties of positions. Such reclassification, though the same appears in the budget as a new position, in that such position was not in existence in the last budget, is in reality a set-off and is not interpreted by your committee as a new position, for by this method great savings have been effected and employments reduced.

Such reclassification appears in the following departments: Recreation, Purchasing, Bureau of Engineering, Street Cleaning, Sewer Repair, Street Repair, Controller, Airport, Municipal Railway and Water Department.

The only new positions recommended by your committee as proposed in the Mayor's budget are as follows:

War Memorial: One elevator operator and one watchman. These two positions your committee feels are necessary by virtue of the great amount of new and additional work caused by the opening of the War Memorial buildings.

Probation Department: Your committee recommends the additional probation officer as proposed in the Mayor's budget. This department is a very important one, in that it provides for the welfare of the youth of today, and in times of economic stress a proper administration relieves the expenditure of large sums of money by the prevention of future delinquency.

Bureau of Building Repair: One elevator operator, three janitors, one window cleaner. These positions were necessary by virtue of the opening of the new Health Center building. These positions have been in existence since the opening of this building, and the money for the same was set up by special appropriation by this honorable Board.

Health Department: In this department, new positions have been set up. Your committee recommends approval of the same, in view of the large number of additional patients and the great amount of additional burden caused by the unusually large number of needy sick resulting from present economic conditions. These employments have likewise become necessary by virtue of the fact that 300 additional beds are occupied in the San Francisco Hospital, and the new wing of said hospital has been opened during the past fiscal year, in addition to the pending opening of the new Alemany Emergency Hospital. The enforcement of the gas appliance ordinance passed by your honorable Board has likewise created the necessity for additional personnel.

During the fiscal year 1932-33, various appropriations were made by the Board of Supervisors for the temporary employment of people listed under Health Department. In the 1933-34 budget they are set up as permanent employees. Your committee recommends that the various amounts set up for such employments as permanent employees be eliminated from the 1933-34 budget, and that the amounts necessary for their salaries be set up under temporary employments. While this does not reduce the budget of the Department of Health, in case there should be a decrease in the patients now being cared for, this department would automatically cut its temporary help. Should this help be established as permanent, they would very likely be carried on the payroll until they would be subject to retirement.

Your committee likewise recommends that all vacancies that may

occur during the ensuing fiscal year by virtue of retirements, deaths or resignations be not filled wherever the same can possibly be left vacant without impairing the efficiency of the service. By this procedure your committee estimates that a saving of approximately $75,000 will be effected, which will be a reduction by that amount of the total amount of the Mayor's budget.

Your committee recommends that there should be set up in the annual appropriation ordinance, under Estimated Revenues, an allowance of $75,000 for savings to be effected in this manner. $75,000

With the exceptions hereinafter noted, your committee recommends against salary increases for the ensuing fiscal year:

The Mayor has recommended an increase of $600 a year for the Assistant Director of Public Health. The duties of this office have been greatly increased by the additional burden imposed on the Health Department, and by the enlargement of its scope. Dr. Gray, the incumbent Assistant Director of Health, has shown excellent executive ability in the management of all activities of that department, and has made an enviable record as an expert in his profession. He has likewise endeared himself in the hearts of the people of San Francisco.

It further appears that the incumbent several years ago was promised an increase in salary which was never given. Your committee feels that the responsibilities attached to this office, together with the qualifications required for the performance of the duties necessary to efficient administration of the Health Department, warrant the increase, and the compensation recommended by the Mayor.

In regard to the sum of $700,000 recommended by the Mayor for unemployment relief, your committee has made a thorough investigation, and feels that, owing to the fact that already approximately 7500 persons have been removed from the relief list, and that during the summer period there is a natural decline owing to various employments that will be obtained by persons now on the relief list, and further recognizing from all indications that economic conditions will not be more aggravated than in the last fiscal year, your committee recommends that this item be eliminated from the budget.

In further substantiation of this position your committee submits the following facts:

There now remain unsold, unemployment relief bonds in the sum of $2,180,000. From every indication received from reliable sources, this amount will be matched by the Federal and State governments, making a total of approximately $4,360,000.

The Mayor has further included in his budget the sum of $300,000 for emergencies, from which your committee feels that in the event of necessity an additional $100,000 may be used for relief purposes. Likewise there is an approximate balance of $100,000 in the revolving fund of said relief fund, making a further sum of $200,000 available, which sum would be likewise matched, making an approximate total of $4,760,000. This amount, it is felt, will be sufficient to discharge the relief obligations for the ensuing fiscal year.

Such being the case, your committee feels that the inclusion of the sum of $700,000 in the budget at this time, which amounts to approximately 10 cents in the tax rate, is superfluous and is an unnecessary burden on the taxpayer..... $700,000

The Mayor has recommended that the request of the Public Utilities Commission for additions and betterments in the sum of $660,000 be allowed to the Water Department.

Your committee feels that this department has functioned ably and well, and that the Public Utilities Commission is to be commended for the splendid efficiency demonstrated in its conduct.

The Water Department is contributing to the general fund, for the discharge of bond interest and redemption, an estimated sum of $797,168, which represents a surplus that will be realized in the

ensuing fiscal year over and above all expenditures, including the proposed additions and betterments which have been recommended.

Your committee feels that these additions and betterments are absolutely required for the proper preservation and development of our water system, and the amount recommended is conservative and a minimum requirement.

Owing to the great economic depression, and the need for relieving the taxpayers of our community, your committee feels that said surplus should be expended as recommended in the Mayor's proposed budget.

In the proposed budget of the Water Department, however, a reserve has been set up in conformity with Section 128 of the Charter. An examination discloses the fact that the amount provided exceeds the requirements by the sum of $75,000, and your committee therefore recommends that there be deducted from the proposed amount of fixed charges, index 48, line 14, sheet 1, the sum of $75,000..... $75,000

Your committee further recommends that the amount proposed for fixed charges in the proposed budget of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System be reduced by $53,303. This recommendation is made on the ground that the allowance this year should not be in excess of that of last year, as every possible means of relieving the taxpayer should be used, and no taxes should be levied for the creation of unnecessary reserves at this period of economic stringency. $53,303

There has also been included in the budget of the Public Utilities Commission for lighting of public streets, as recommended by the Mayor, the sum of $800,000. Your committee recommends that this amount be reduced by the sum of $20,000. . . . . $20,000

Your committee is satisfied that the same amount used by the Public Utilities Commission last year for the lighting of public streets is ample to properly and efficiently light all the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco for the ensuing fiscal year.

In a further effort to reduce the tax burden for the ensuing fiscal year, your committee has exerted every effort to postpone the payment of the sum of approximately $30,000 for the purchase of parcel No. 3 of Fleishhacker Pool and Playfield. At the time of the submission of this report your committee has been unsuccessful in obtaining such postponement.

Your committee has likewise endeavored to obtain postponement of the payment of the sum of $105,000 for the purchase of land at San Francisco Airport, which efforts at the time of the submission of this report have not been successful.

Your committee further feels that in examining the allowance proposed for contractual services and materials and supplies, that the various departments of the City government should curtail expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year.

After an examination of the various contractual allowances for contractual services and materials and supplies proposed in the Mayor's budget, your committee recommends that each and every item thereof set forth in said proposed budget (exclusive of allowances for provisions) be reduced by the sum of 5 per cent, which will result in a net saving in the budget of the sum of approximately $130,000.....$130,000

These deductions are made in addition to any specific deductions herein set forth.

Your committee recommends no further salary reductions for the following reasons:

(1) Under a pledge made to the employees of the City and County

of San Francisco by the proponents of the new Charter, all salaries would remain the same as of January 8, 1931.

(2) The employees of the City and County have faithfully cooperated in contributing to unemployment relief, and have at all times shown their loyalty to the City government by their willingness to assist in all matters that would redound to the benefit of the taxpayer.

(3) By a Charter amendment recently adopted the salaries of all officials and City employees have been reduced to a point beyond which it is inconsistent to go, in view of the deflation of currency and the inflation of prices now taking place.

(4) Further, it is the declared wish of the President of the United States that salaries should be maintained and wherever possible increased.

(5) A pledge was given to the City employees at the time of the recent submission of the Charter amendment, that salaries would not be further reduced, and that no existing employments would be abolished; and further, that there will be no staggering of employment.

Your committee further recommends the following deductions and curtailments:

Board of Supervisors: Non-personal Services, deduct $1,500. This recommendation is made upon the theory that a full-time reporter is not required, and that a part-time reporter on necessary occasions will suffice..... $1,500

Sheriff: Materials and Supplies, index 7, sheet 1, page 9, deduct $1,000. . . .. $1,000

Superior Courts: Owing to the legislative enactments reducing the salaries of Superior Judges and the fees of court reporters, it was estimated that there would be a saving of approximately $16,000 in this department..... $16,000

Municipal Courts: A saving likewise will be made in this department, based on legislative enactments, which your committee estimates will represent an approximate saving of $6,000 in this department. $6,000

It is to be noted here that no salary deductions have been made in the proposed budget for either judges or court reporters of the Superior or Municipal Courts.

Tax Collector: Index 28, sheet 1, line 8, Seasonal Clerks and Stenographers, deduct $14,000. ..... $14,000

Tax Collector: Index 28, sheet 1, line 8, General Clerk-Stenographer, eliminate $1,800. ..... $1,800

Registrar of Voters: Index 29, sheet 2, line 38, Equipment, deduct $25,000. ..... $25,000

Public Administrator: Index 32, sheet 1, line 15, Safe Deposit Rental, eliminate $50. ..... $50

Purchaser of Supplies: Index 33, sheet 1, line 4, Contractual Services, deduct $15,157. ..... $15,157

Purchaser of Supplies: Index 33, sheet 1, line 6, Equipment, deduct $5,000. . . .. $5,000

Department of Public Works: Bureau of Building Repair, sheet 8, index 35, line 11, Repair and Maintenance of Buildings, Structures and Improvements, deduct $4,706..... $4,706

Department of Public Works: Street Cleaning, sheet 19, index 35, line 5, Contractual Services, deduct $6,346..... $6,346

This deduction is made because the amount set forth in the budget is an increase of the amount recommended herein for deduction, and your committee feels that this department should function with the same allotment as last year, owing to present economic conditions.

County Road Fund: Sheet 24, index 35, line 26. Your committee

recommends that under this head, the item of $50,000 for Joint Highway District No. 10 be eliminated and said sum be transferred to line 36, Unappropriated Balance and Salary Deductions, until such time as this sum is absolutely required.

County Welfare Department: Line 11, sheet 1, index 38, eliminate two social service investigators, $3,600..... $3,600

Bureau of Engineering: Index 35, sheet 17, eliminate line 26, $16,000 . . . . $16,000

This item, though it appears in this budget for miscellaneous extensions, in truth and in fact is proposed for a survey and sewage disposal studies. Your committee feels that owing to present conditions this expenditure is not warranted for such purposes.

Public Utilities Commission: Bureau of Public Relations, index 44, sheet 1, line 20, eliminate apprentice, $900..... $900

In the complete *recheck of the salary deductions*, the amount of $14,547 has been found as an additional amount to be applied in the reduction of the budget total..... $14,547

There remains unencumbered and unexpended in the 1929 sewer bond fund the sum of approximately $200,000, and your committee is advised by the City Attorney that the money cannot be used for any further sewer construction under the existing bond issue. This balance, therefore, he states, may be used for bond interest and redemption.

The annual redemption of sewer bonds is $100,000 and the interest at 4½ per cent is $96,750. Your committee therefore recommends that the sum of $196,750 be transferred from the 1929 sewer bond fund to the credit of the bond interest and redemption fund, thereby reducing the tax rate by this amount. $196,750

The *School Department* has only submitted a tentative budget. However, your committee has been informed that there may be an appreciable decrease in the total set forth in said proposed budget, thereby insuring further benefit to the taxpayer in the amount of said reduction.

Attention is called to the fact that with the deductions and eliminations herewith recommended, *capital expenditures are still provided for* in the budget for the ensuing fiscal year for the following projects: Water Department, Parks, Convenience Stations, Mills Field Land Purchase, Fleishhacker Playfield, Street Construction and Reconstruction, and work will likewise proceed in Aquatic Park, the Yacht Harbor improvement, and Telegraph Hill.

The attention of the Board is directed to the fact that much legislation may still be enacted in July of this year and your committee is unable therefore to advise on such matters. Such legislation may affect the amount of taxes at the time the tax rate is fixed in September of this year.

Your committee further calls attention to the fact that of the total budget of $54,161,015 herewith submitted *the Board of Supervisors has discretionary jurisdiction of only approximately 13½ million dollars, the balance of approximately 40½ million dollars being required to discharge mandatory charges required by State law and Charter provisions* consisting of judiciary, health, hygiene, public welfare, education, inspection, salaries, bond interest and redemption, parks, recreation, library, tax and other judgments.

The recommendations of your committee herewith submitted, which will be effected by the retrenchments set forth herein, will result in a saving to the taxpayer in the sum of $1,381,659. This sum reduces the Mayor's budget to be obtained from $29,342,950 to $27,961,291.

Referring to the Mayor's message in which it is stated that predicated upon the assessment roll which made possible the present tax rate of $3.96 the budget which he has submitted can be sustained by a

tax levy of $3.76, *your committee estimates that the budget it is herewith submitting* based upon the assessment roll which made possible the present tax rate of $3.96 *can be sustained by a tax levy of* $3.59 *on each* $100 *of assessed valuation.* However, attention is called to the fact that the Assessor has informed the committee that there will be a reduction in the assessment roll. Your committee therefore advises you that for *each* $2,000,000 decrease in the assessment roll the tax rate of $3.59 herewith estimated will be increased by 1 cent.

RECAPITULATION.

Mayor's Tax Budget	$29,342,950
Finance Committee's Proposed Tax Budget	27,961,291
Amount of Decrease	$ 1,381,659

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE COMMITTEE,
CARL W. MILES, Chairman,
VICTOR J. CANEPA,
JEFFERSON E. PEYSER.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl, representing himself as a taxpayer, was granted the privilege of the floor and read the following:

San Francisco, Calif., May 22, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Tax reduction last year was 8 cents—$4.04 to $3.96. The reduction was brought about by contemplated diversion of $400,000 from the million appropriated in the budget for unemployment relief, a sum diverted from the Depreciation Fund of Hetch Hetchy and diversion from the Water Department. This reduction, therefore, in taxes was not due to real economies.

Although not a member of the Citizens' Budget Committee, I respectfully urge adoption of the items set forth by the Citizens' Budget Committee that are not controversial. You must concede the following items are not controversial; such as are staggering employment, arbitrary reductions, etc.:

Non-filling of present vacancies.

Non-filling vacancies due to retirement.

Non-filling of vacancies which are inevitable.

These should be approved by your Honorable Board as they are sound economies. The matter of reduction in force is sound as it is a notorious fact that with few exceptions all departments of the City are overmanned.

Take for example the Board of Supervisors. Under the old Charter much of the administrative work and particularly making up the budget justified a Finance Committee stenographer—salary $375 a month. Under the new Charter the Finance Committee stenographer should be eliminated.

In 1925-26 the personnel under the Board of Supervisors was 15—today 14. Certainly that is overmanning of the department. The Board of Supervisors is now strictly a legislative body and therefore the number should be greatly reduced. In 1924-1925 with 18 members of the Board of Supervisors there was one chauffeur-messenger at a salary of $2,400 a year. Two special chauffeurs at a salary of $250 each is in the present budget. Gentlemen, I venture the statement that if you were obligated to pay the cost you would get along with one chauffeur, and the salary would not be $250. It makes a difference who foots the bill.

It is also disappointing that the Mayor fails to set the standard of economy in the matter of two chauffeurs—especially inasmuch as one of the chauffeurs is mostly on night duty and not official business.

The salary of the Mayor's chauffeurs is only $200 a month and one of the chauffeurs, I understand, is the Mayor's nephew.

With building at its lowest ebb the Department of Electricity carries a personnel of 69, as compared to 68 in 1924-25 when building was most active. From 1925-26, 141 intersections were equipped with traffic signals. They were built by six instrument-makers. During the present fiscal year only two intersections were equipped with traffic signals. Despite the small number installed the six instrument-makers are on the present payroll and are set up in the 1933-34 budget.

In 1924-25 there were 14 inspectors in the Electricity Department. In the proposed budget 13 inspectors are requested. If 14 were necessary in 1924-25 when building activities were most active, we could certainly get along with one-half the number or even less.

Police Department.

This department has the earmarks of being greatly overmanned. We find in the office of the Mayor and in the Chief Administrator's office three police officers in plain clothes.—What for? I understand an inspector of police acts as chauffeur for the Chief Administrator. I am also reliably informed that some of police inspectors collect tribute from bookmakers, bootleggers and houses of prostitution. The budget calls for 72 inspectors. Would suggest an arbitrary reduction of 22. It would result in a saving of $66,000. It is justified in this period of distress. Amazing to find we have 87 corporals, 95 sergeants and 43 lieutenants set up in the budget for the Police Department. If you ever observed how the corporals and sergeants loiter along with the police officer you would say—what for?— and if you were footing the bill you would lay at least 50 per cent of them off. That would be a saving to the taxpayer of $300,000.

Another piece of rank extravagance in the Police Department is the police launch. The budget calls for three marine gas engineers—$7200 a year. Lay up the police boat and lay off the police marine engineers. This is aside from the cost of gasoline, insurance, paint, etc. I was informed by the chief's office that the boat is temporarily laid up and that the marine engineers are painting same.

Fire Department.

Another case of overmanning is in the matter of battalion chiefs of the Fire Department. In 1924-25 there were 21 chiefs and assistants. In the 1933-34 budget thirty are set up. Today there are eleven districts which would call for 22 battalion chiefs. As a matter of fact, the Sacramento and Drumm District should be eliminated and also the one at 18th and Rivera streets. These were political creations. That would reduce the battalion chiefs to 20. Then there should be eliminated the positions of two assistant-chiefs which were created. You will find in checking up that there are two floating battalion chiefs for which there is no permanent or particular place. Reduce the number to 22 and make a saving of $30,000.

Sheriff's Department.

Employment of two farmers at Ingleside Jail at a salary of $4800, plus approximately $480 a year for retirement is not justified. Inside the jail walls two acres are a truck garden, and along Ocean avenue and San Jose avenue are an additional several acres. Number one on the civil service farmers list was sent to the Laguna Honda Home at a salary of $75 a month, plus food, lodging and laundry, as compared with the salary of $200 a month for farmers at Ingleside Jail, which is an absurd salary for a farmer.

This is a concrete example of the necessity of your Honorable Board to pass the salary standardization ordinance. The Charter provides for salary standardization and you should obey the Charter.

Board of Works.

The Board of Works is greatly overmanned, evidenced by the following facts. This information was furnished by Mr. Hester, Secretary of the Board of Works.

In 1925-26 we had 7 superintendents and foremen in the street repair department, covering a force of 93 laborers, etc. The 1933-34 budget calls for 15 superintendents and foremen, and two sub-foremen, with a crew of only 69 workers. This is exclusive of engineers, truck drivers and granite cutters.

Then in the street cleaning department we find a gardener who is presumed to work on Junipero Serra Boulevard. He was in the budget last year. Can't imagine why we should have had a gardener last year on Junipero Serra boulevard.

We find in the street cleaning department five watchmen employed as follows:

One at Forty-eighth avenue and Taraval street on the street sweeping dump.

One on Thirty avenue and Ortega street.

One at a refuse dump at Bayshore and Gerald.

I find no dump or watchman at 40th and Taraval. Why there should be a watchman on these dumps remains to be explained. No one would steal the rubbish or street sweepings dumped there. I am advised that there are two watchmen at the garage corner of Jones at Jefferson, where heavy trucks are garaged. Besides the two watchmen—one day and one night—there is a day garage man.

For the past several years, I have repeatedly called attention to conditions at 6th and Hubbell streets; the block rented by the City and in which block are high pressure pipes and costly valves, which are now overgrown with weeds. Also, car tracks and a large number of copper cables, upward of 300 reels. In 1929 I advocated selling this copper wire, at the then high price of copper—26c a pound, as compared with today's price of 7c a pound, and putting the money in a savings bank until transmission line is to be built. For the past several years, I have raised objection to the employment of an individual at $2700 a year, on this block, acting 90 per cent of the time as a watchman and 10 per cent as a stationary engineer. Imagine a watchman there when it requires a derrick to move the pipes, rails and copper cable.

Gentlemen, these are a few of the high spots. In the aggregate they total $450,000 and summarized as follows:

Dropping one chauffeur of the Mayor	$ 2,400
Dropping one chauffeur of the Supervisors	3,000
Dropping one Finance Committee stenographer	3,900
Dropping three supervisor's clerks	6,800
Dropping 6 instrument-makers	7,500
Dropping four electricity inspectors	10,800
Twenty-two police inspectors	66,000
50 per cent cut corporals and sergeants	300,000
Dropping seven battalion chiefs	30,000
Dropping three street repair men	7,500
Dropping five watchmen street cleaning department	9,000
Dropping watchman pipe yard	2,700
Dropping three marine engineers	7,200

Gentlemen, I believe between $1,500,000 and $2,000,000 can be saved on a thorough check of departments.

Calling your particular attention to the Organist in the Palace of the Legion of Honor—on part time— plays the organ one hour Saturday and one hour Sunday—$4200 per year or at the rate of $40 an hour. The union scale is $10 per hour. Also, I note in this year's budget that an additional organist is set up in the Legion of Honor for $1500 a year. During the depression these positions should positively be curtailed.

We find in the budget of the Public Utilities Commission a superintendent of agriculture—$7200 a year. It is hardly conceivable that the operations in agriculture by the Water Department should call for such a salary.

Automobiles.

It is regrettable that no record is kept covering the items of automobiles. How many are owned by the city, how many are rented, the cost of gasoline, tires, repairs, matter of insurance. Undoubtedly, a great saving can be made in this particular item.

The Public Utilities Commission purchased a Pierce Arrow for $3100, in place of using a Packard driven by an employee of the Public Utilities Commission who uses same going to and from work. A great abuse exists in the matter of automobiles, for example: An employee recently drove a Buick car by himself to Southern California not on official business. On returning he was arrested in Palo Alto for speeding. No doubt, many of the city automobiles are used by employees and officials during vacation periods. I would not be surprised that many of them would go to the Chicago Exposition this year. I therefore respectfully request that your Honorable Board pass an ordinance prohibiting city owned automobiles and autos rented by the city, to be used other than for official business and that the autos cannot leave the city except on official business and by written permission of the Chief Administrator. Penalty—$500.

Calling your attention to the item of two voting machine adjusters, salary $4800 a year, these adjusters are only needed before sending out voting machines. The position should, therefore, be part time and the amount set up accordingly in the budget.

Gentlemen, the largest saving in operation of the city government today is by eliminating the overmanning in the departments. I note that you have eliminated from the budget just one item of contemplated overmanning—namely an apprentice to the publicity man in the Public Utilities Commission at a salary of $900 per year.

Having pointed out the overmanning in many departments, I trust you will act favorably upon these suggestions.

I want to call your particular attention to the 70 acres of land owned by the Bay View Land Company, lying on Candlestick Point adjacent to the Bay View Park, comprising approximately 15 acres—owned by the City. This land has been offered to the city for $35,000. I consider this an extremely low price. I would urge you to purchase these 70 acres and about ten blocks of tide lands immediately adjacent thereto which would cost approximately $12,000. These blocks should be reclaimed for industrial purposes and from time to time additional blocks purchased in order to further increase municipally owned industrial lands. The City Advisory Relief Committee are favorable to placing the unemployed heads of families upon excavating these 70 acres and reclaiming these tide lands but cannot do so until the land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco.

I appeal to you to place these capital expenditures covering the purchase of the 70 acres and approximately 10 blocks of tide lands in this year's budget.

Respectfully submitted,

ADOLPH UHL.

Henry Warfield, 579 Guerrero street, representing the Knights of Civilization. He favored an increase in the tax rate instead of a cut and opposed reductions in salaries which policy he believed could be carried out if the city would adopt his scheme of issuing scrip to pay salaries and other government expenses and requiring its circulation twenty times in the business community before its final redemption.

Geo. G. Baker, 25 San Jose avenue, also representing the Knights of Civilization, was also heard to the same effect.

John F. Kelly, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, said

that since his last appearance before the Board of Supervisors he had been instructed by his organization to oppose the diversion of the earnings of the Water Department to the General Fund for the reduction of the tax rate. It should be used to reduce water rates, he said.

W. J. Varley, president, Longfellow-Mt. Vernon Improvement Club, raised the same objection.

Geo. Allen, representing the Visitacion Valley Improvement Club, requested an appropriation in the budget of $40,000 for the completion of the Visitacion Valley sewer.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 4:15 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 1:30 p. m., May 23, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, June 19, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 23

Tuesday, May 23, 1933
Wednesday, May 24, 1933
Thursday, May 25, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, MAY 23, 1933, 2:30 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 23, 1933, 2:30 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of continuing the public hearing on the budget.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Supervisor Shannon appeared at 2:50 p. m. and was noted present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Adopted.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *adopted*:

Earthquake Precautions.

(Code No. 11.07)

Resolution No. 806, as follows:

Whereas, there exists in the public mind a feeling that it would be well for the City and County of San Francisco, through its officials, to be absolutely sure in the event of catastrophe that all of the safety features involved have been attended to; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the attention of his Honor the Mayor be called to the excerpt from an editorial in the San Francisco Daily News of Friday, May 19, 1933, quoting Dr. Bailey Willis, noted seismologist, as follows:

"People on the streets are in danger from signs hung on weak or rusted ties, from parapet walls not adequately tied back, from cornices, balconies and other projections not securely built in, and also from water tanks standing on stilts without diagonal bracing. There are many buildings of doubtful strength to resist shock. The hopeful aspect of the situation is that we have the knowledge, the skill and the materials to tie, bond or strengthen even poor buildings as well as accessory details, and that eventually, if not right now, we can make our cities safe against earthquakes. It can be done now, if we choose."

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to immediately make recommendations to this Board as to what manner of steps could be taken to meet with the suggestions in said editorial, to the end that there may be assured safety in the public mind.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Communication.

San Francisco, May 23, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Having been informed that the contemplated cross to

be erected on Mount Davidson is to be an emblem and not erected for any particular religious group I respectfully withdraw my objection to same, as a matter of fact since it will be non-sectarian I am in sympathy with the erection of the cross.

Yours truly,

ADOLPH UHL.

Communication From Manager of Utilities.

I am advised that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors has recommended the reduction of the allowance for street lighting from $800,000 to $780,000, a $20,000 cut. This will not permit us to place back in service lights which have been taken out in order to balance the current year's budget.

I have had complaints from the following improvement clubs, who have not pressed their demands for restored lighting, expecting that the 1933-34 budget would allow us to put back the lighting which has been cut off:

Forest Hill Association, Marina Home Owners' Protective Association, Edgewood Neighborhood Club, Bay View Civic Association, Bay View Promotion Association, Parkside District Club, East and West of Castro Street Improvement Club, Southern Civic Clubs, Upper Sunset and Golden Gate Heights Improvement Club, North Beach Promotion Association, Excelsior District Improvement Club, Property Owners' Association of North Beach, Point Lobos Improvement Association, Portola Woman's Improvement Club, Marina Merchants' Association, Eureka Valley Improvement Association, Fillmore Street Merchants' Association, North Central Improvement Club, North Beach Merchants' Association, Longfellow-Mt. Vernon Improvement Club, Mission Street Merchants' Association, Mission Viaduct Terrace Improvement Club, South of Army Street Merchants' Association, St. Francis Home Association, Glen Park Community Club, 29th and Castro District Improvement Club, Haight-Ashbury Improvement Association, Crocker, Amazon Improvement Association, Visitacion Valley Improvement Association, Bernal Progressive Club, West End Improvement Association, Sunset Community Improvement Club, Westwood Heights Lands Association, Sunset Commercial Improvement Club, Southern Promotion Association, Park-Presidio Improvement Association.

The large number of these clubs cover all quarters of the City and indicate how serious the curtailment of lighting has become. All of the larger lights have been reduced in candlepower, so there is really nothing much further that can be done to reduce costs without actually taking out lights in residential districts which need them badly.

In addition to the above mentioned improvement associations, we have had many hundreds of individual complaints from residents of the City.

I am further informed that the Finance Committee has recommended a flat cut of 5 per cent in contractual services. Inasmuch as the entire budget of the Lighting Department consists practically of one contract for lighting, this will entail a further cut of $40,000. This is entirely out of the question, without making wholesale cut-outs of lighting in the residential districts of the City.

E. G. CAHILL,
Manager of Utilities.

Communication From Chairman of Citizens' Relief Committee.

May 22, 1933.

To the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: As Chairman of the Citizens' Relief Committee I enclose a copy of a resolution adopted by the committee at its meeting held on Friday, May 19th, urging and requesting your honorable Board to include in the budget for the next fiscal year an item of $700,000 for unemployment relief as recommended by the Mayor.

The resolution sets forth the reasons which actuated the committee in taking its position.

The committee wishes to be heard before action is taken by the Board and I would appreciate the courtesy of being advised of the time when this matter will be considered.

Very respectfully,

M. C. SLOSS, Chairman,
Citizens' Relief Committee.

At a meeting of the Citizens' Advisory Committee on Unemployment Relief held on Friday, May 19, 1933, the following resolution was adopted:

Resolved, That this Committee request and urge the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to include in the budget for the fiscal year 1933-34 an appropriation of $700,000 for unemployed relief, as proposed by the Mayor.

Resolved Further, That, in the judgment of the Committee, such appropriation is proper and necessary for the following reasons:

1. The cost to the City and County of unemployment relief during the fiscal year which will end June 30, 1933, including actual cost to date and estimated cost during the balance of the fiscal year, will amount to approximately $6,500,000.

2. It cannot safely be estimated that the cost for the year 1933-34 will be less than for the current year. In all probability it will be greater. At the beginning of the current year (July 1, 1932) the number of families on relief was 9817. From week to week thereafter the number increased steadily until it reached 16,802 in March, 1933, and while there has been a decline during the past seven or eight weeks, the families on relief still number 14,277. It is certain that the next fiscal year beginning July 1, 1933, will start with a considerably heavier load than that of a year earlier. That the burden during the coming year will probably be greater than during the past year is indicated by the fact that the average number of families on relief during the nine and a half months of the current year was 13,839 as against an average of 6580 during the year 1931-32. Even if the number of persons to be cared for should be less than during last year, the decline would be more than offset by the upward trend in food prices, already evident.

3. The funds available for the fiscal year (exclusive of direct local taxation and Federal aid) consist of:

Unsold balance of bonds	$2,180,000
Proportion of proposed State bond issue (estimated)	2,000,000
Total	$4,180,000

We cannot count on California receiving any part of one-half of the 500 million dollars to be provided under the recent act of Congress. The other half is contingent on matching by states and their subdivisions in the proportion of $3 of state and local money to $1 of federal money. Matching federal contribution, on this basis, with the State and City and County money in sight, the United States Government addition to our $4,180,000 would be $1,393,333, producing a total, from all sources, of only $5,573,333.

If, however, the $700,000 item in the budget is restored, the federal contribution would be correspondingly increased. The result would be as follows:

Unsold balance of bonds	$2,180,000
State bond issue	2,000,000
City and County taxes	700,000
Total	$4,880,000
Add federal contribution of one-third of above total.	1,626,666
Grand Total	$6,506,666

The last figure is substantially equal to the expenditure for the current year. (Both set-ups assume a favorable vote on the State bond issue at the election called for June—a result that is not certain.)

It should also be noted that the federal contribution may be applied to rent payments, and the additional funds which would be available with the inclusion of the $700,000 item would enable the relief administration to alleviate some part of the hardships to both landlords and tenants that have developed through the inability to provide for the payment of rents in any but a few cases. Even with the additional funds, it would not be possible to undertake to pay all of the rent of families on relief.

4. Even assuming that the cost of relief for the ensuing year (1933-34) does not exceed that of the current year, and that the proposed State bond issue receives the approval of the voters, the funds available (if the item of $700,000 be omitted) will leave a substantial deficiency, and the City and County will come to the last few months of the next fiscal year without money to carry on the work.

5. If there should be a deficiency it would be necessary either to stop the granting of relief or to propose another bond issue to the voters. It is very doubtful whether a proposal for another bond issue could be carried, and, in any event, the issuance of further bonds should be avoided. The necessity for relief on a large scale will undoubtedly continue for several years and the City cannot continue indefinitely to finance such an obligation by annual bond issues.

6. The social, economic and political consequences of a breakdown of the relief program would be so serious that adequate provision to prevent such a result should be made before the beginning of the fiscal year. After the tax levy is once made there is no way of supplying additional funds except by an issue of bonds.

Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Mayor and to the Board of Supervisors and that the Director of Relief, the Chairman of this Committee and other members of the committee be authorized to appear before the Board of Supervisors in support of this resolution.

Budget Hearing.

Supervisor Canepa moved the privilege of the floor to any one interested.

So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the City Attorney be asked at once to prepare the proper resolution to be incorporated in this budget providing the necessary sum of money for the purchase of lands in South Basin to be developed into future industrial sites.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Decatur was, on motion of Supervisor Miles, granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he represented those urging a budget appropriation for the erection of the Mt. Davidson cross. He hoped to have a cross of steel and concrete 100 feet high erected in that location. He declared that the cross was for all the people and quoted Lewis J. Byington, president of Public Utilities Commission, in support of the legality of such an appropriation.

Henry Wolff, president of the Civil Service Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and read said Commission's report to the Board of Supervisors on the budget recommendation of the Citizens' Budget Committee, which report was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, ordered filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and copies of the same sent to the Citizens' Budget Committee and to each component part of it. The Civil Service Commission's report follows:

Report of Civil Service Commission on Recommendations of Citizens' Budget Committee.

May 22, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee has requested the Civil Service Commission to express to you its views on the recommendations of the Citizens' Budget Committee which affect personnel and with which the Commission may be concerned. Accordingly we comply herewith and hope this report may be of assistance to you.

There are fifteen general recommendations included in the summary of the report of the Citizens' Budget Committee. These general recommendations are applied specifically to particular items of the various departments in the detail of the report of the Citizens' Budget Committee. We will discuss only the general recommendations but our statements will be understood to apply also to each specific item in the detailed report of the Citizens' Budget Committee where that general recommendation is made to apply.

The general recommendations of the Citizens' Budget Committee and the amounts of estimated savings as shown on the first page of their report are as follows:

General Recommendations.

	Possible or estimated savings as reported by the Citizens' Budget Committee
1. Non-filling of present vacancies, all departments....	$106,053
2. Non-filling of vacancies created by retirement.....	29,905
3. Non-filling of future vacancies	50,000
4. Salary deductions under Charter Amendment No. 1..	139,090
5. No salary increases	.....
6. Elmination of salary increases since January 1, 1931.	24,056
7. Revision of rates for those appointed since January 1, 1931	37,260
8. Revision of rates, salary standardization schedules..	136,800
9. Rate for temporary clerks	28,700
10. Staggered or reduced working time	997,870
11. Arbitrary reduction	582,760
12. Reduction in force	200,000
13. Extension of contract provision	96,000
14. Printing and advertising costs	18,650
15. Auto allowances	.....

We will take these up in order, discussing only those that concern personnel and are therefore of official interest to the Civil Service Commission.

May we say at the start that to prepare a detailed analysis of the proposed budget in so far as personnel is concerned there must be available at the time payrolls, rosters of personnel and similar records. At the request of his Honor the Mayor, the Personnel Expert of our Commission sat with the Mayor, the Controller and the Director of Finance and Records as the estimates were being considered and provided for them all this information. Lacking such data, no one could have analyzed the budget estimates accurately. We assume this and the fact that the report of the Citizens' Budget Committee was hurriedly prepared account for the fact that much of the detail in the Citizens' Budget Committee report is inaccurate. For instance, many of the positions listed in the report as being vacant are not vacant. Again on page 30 (item 260) the Committee refers to an increase on line 21 of the printed budget in number of employments recommended by the Mayor above that requested by the department. This item shows a request of 6 general clerks at $150 by the department and the Mayor recommended 7. If you will refer to line 18 of the printed budget you will note the department requested 46 gen-

eral clerks at $175. One of these employees is receiving only $150, so the Mayor reduced the number to be allowed and the salaries proposed to conform to present organization. Thus instead of an increase as reported, there is actually a reduction of $300 per year under the departmental request.

REPLIES TO GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS.

General Recommendation 1. Non-filling of Present Vacancies, All Departments.

Reply:

The Citizens' Budget Committee recommends a policy of non-filling present vacancies and reports that there are 43 of these and that a saving of $106,053 can be effected by such a policy. There are only 12 positions now vacant continued in the Mayor's budget. The compensation of all these vacanies totals only $29,040.

May we also say that this policy of non-filling of vacancies has been in effect for the past one and one-half years. In December, 1931, when the first salary ordinance was drawn prior to the installation of the present Charter, a provision was written into the salary ordinance that in the event of a vacancy in any position, the vacancy should not be filled without the approval of the Mayor and the chairman of the Finance Committee. This provision is also a part of the current salary ordinance.

As stated above, there are included in the Mayor's budget only 12 positions which are now vacant. Every other vacancy with these exceptions has been eliminated. We believe the proposed continuation of these positions is sound and proper and essential. They are as follows:

Department		Position	Rate	Yearly Salary
Assessor				
	1	Senior Improvement Appraiser	$265	$ 3,180
Police				
	3	Patrolmen	200	7,200
	2	Patrol Drivers	200	4,800
	3	Corporals	215	7,740
Purchaser				
	2	Watchmen	155	3,720
	1	Inspector of General Supplies	200	2,400
	12			$29,040

The Assessor is engaged in an intensive revaluation of all improvements in the City and County which furthermore is a continuous activity. The position referred to above is not now filled because there is no list of eligibles from which a permanent appointment may be made and our Commission has not been able to prepare and hold an examination due to a busy calendar. We are informed by the Assessor that the position will have to be filled at once. The Mayor's budget proposes a salary of $265 for the position, a reduction of $85 from the present salary.

There are nineteen positions in the uniformed force of the Police Department that are vacant at the present time. The Mayor's budget eliminated eleven of these vacancies at a saving of $26,400 and eliminated also two positions of hostler at a saving of $4,320, or a total of $30,720. A further elimination of eight positions, as recommended by the Citizens' Budget Committee, would of course curtail further the available police service. It is common knowledge that problems arising from existing conditions have taxed the facilities and the ingenuity of police administrators everywhere to the utmost during the past few years. The responsible heads of the department have said that further reductions will impair the efficiency of police service. No one, having a knowledge of the problems and organization of the San Francisco Police Department, can dispute this.

In the Purchasing Department two watchmen's positions and one position of inspector of general supplies now vacant at the Central Warehouse just now beginning to function to the fullest extent, are proposed for continuation. With an increasingly heavy inventory of supplies on hand, adequate protection must be provided. Until now, through the cooperation of the Chief of Police, an officer has visited the warehouse once or twice each night. The Purchaser of Supplies does not feel that this is sufficient protection. The salaries are proposed at $155 instead of the entrance salary of $145, because it is expected that the positions will be filled by transfer of watchmen from other departments and these men are now receiving the higher rate.

Delivery of supplies is now made direct to the department purchasing the supplies. Now that all purchases are to be delivered at the Central Warehouse, a proper inspection may be made, and for that reason the presently vacant position of inspector is essential.

This completes the analysis of the only positions now vacant that are proposed for continuation in the Mayor's budget. Our staff has reported to us that there are a total of 233 positions now established which are abolished in the Mayor's budget as submitted to the Board of Supervisors. Most of these positions are now vacant and many of them have remained vacant during most of this fiscal year because of adherence to the established policy of his Honor Mayor Rossi and the Board of Supervisors of non-filling vacant positions. The compensations of these 233 positions total $504,009. Thus Mayor Rossi, through this policy, has effected a saving in next year's budget of almost five times the amount requested by the Citizens' Budget Committee.

General Recommendation 2. Non-filling of Vacancies Created by Retirement.

Reply:

The Citizens' Budget Committee recommends a policy of non-filling of vacancies created by compulsory retirement during the next year. Twenty-nine of these are listed and the possible savings are estimaetd at $29,905 in one instance and at $32,200 in another. Information regarding these retirements was presented to Mayor Rossi by our personnel expert when Mayor Rossi was analyzing and considering budget estimates, with the following results:

(A) Elimination of the vacated position as of date of retirement; or

(B) Elimination of a different position as of date of retirement, it being necessary to continue and maintain the title of the vacated position because of Civil Service classification regulations; or

(C) Because of the elimination of other positions in the same department as of July 1st, it was deemed impracticable to eliminate further when the compulsory retirement occurred at a later date; and

(D) In some cases it was deemed impossible or unwise in the interest of efficient operation of the department to reduce the personnel.

Our analysis of these twenty-nine positions to be vacated by compulsory retirement follows:

Classification	Salary Rate	
Police Department		
Inspector, Detection of Crime	$230	See (C) above. Eleven abolished.
Lieutenant, Detection of Crime	250	
Policeman, Company "A"	200	
Fire Department		
General Clerk	$200	See (D) above. Eleven abolished.
Pilot, Fire Boat	255	
Municipal Court		
Courtroom Reporter	$200	State law requires. This in error. The position is Courtroom Clerk.

Recorder		
Chief Clerk	$300	See (B) above. General Clerk at $215 abolished and one Copyist abolished.
Public Works		
Administration		
General Clerk	$250	See (D) above. This employment is in the Bureau of Cost Accounts, the work of which has been greatly increased because of the provisions of section 95 of the Charter.
Building Repair		
Elevator Operator	$155	See (D) above.
Engineering		
Cartographer	$240	See (C) above.
Sewer		
Cribber	$7 day	See (C) above. Three Cribbers and three others abolished.
Street Cleaning		
District Director	$225	
Laborer	$6 day	
Laborer	6 day	See (C) and (D) above. Two abolished.
Laborer	6 day	
Laborer	6 day	
Laborer	6 day	
Laborer	6 day	
Street Repair		
Laborer	$6 day	See (C) above. Thirty-six abolished.
Asphalt Finisher, sub-foreman	8 day	
Department of Electricity		
General Clerk	$190	See (D) above. Last year one General Clerk-Steno. and eight others were eliminated.
Health Department		
Abattoir Inspector, Central Of.	$200	See (D) above.
Food Inspector, Central Office	200	See (D) above.
Laguna Honda Home		
Truck Driver, H. D.	$150+35	See (D) above.
Agricultural Commission		
Agricultural Inspector	$200	See (D) above.
Public Utilities		
Municipal Railway		
General Clerk-Stenographer	$175	
Watchman	$5.80 day	
Conductor	6 day	See (C) above. Seventy-one abolished.
Motorman	6 day	
Motorman	6 day	
Laborer	5.80 day	
Water Department		
General Clerk	$175	
Fireman, S. S. E.	185	See (C) above. Fourteen abolished.
Engineer, S. S. E.	220	
Watershed Keeper	150	
Head Pump Operator	190	

In other cases of compulsory retirement not included in the report submitted by the Citizens' Budget Committee, the positions were eliminated by Mayor Rossi and the saving reflected in the budget. However, we feel that it may be possible to effect further savings through this policy because it has been done heretofore by Mayor Rossi and the Board of Supervisors.

General Recommendation 3. *Non-filling of Future Vacancies.*

Reply:

The Citizens' Budget Committee recommends that procedure be established whereby all vacancies outlined under sections 1 and 2 and all vacancies occurring in the future other than by compulsory retirement be not filled, and estimates the possible savings at $50,000. Your Finance Committee has recommended inclusion of an item of $75,000 in reduction of expenditures due to non-filling of future vacancies. While it is impossible to say in what specific positions or departments this saving can be picked up, we do know that savings can be made because it has been done. If a provision can be made for control and procedure through the appropriation and salary ordinances we join in this recommendation.

General Recommendation 4. *Salary Deductions Under Charter Amendment No.* 1.

This deals with calculation of salary deductions under Charter Amendment No. 1 and we understand the Controller is replying to this.

General Recommendation 5. *No Salary Increases.*

Reply:

The Finance Committee has recommended only one of the two proposed increases in salary in the Mayor's budget, viz.: Dr. J. P. Gray, Assistant Director of Public Health. This increase is to carry out definite arrangements made in 1931 at the time of the appointment of the incumbent by Dr. Hassler, then Health Officer, to increase the salary at the end of 1931. This arrangement was made by the then Health Officer, with the approval of the Board of Health, and at that time these officials had full power to carry out the aforesaid arrangements. Before consummation thereof, Dr. Hassler had died and the increase was not made effective. After January 8, 1932, a provision of the Charter prohibited increasing salaries above the rate specified for such position in the Civil Service schedules, which could have been done under the former Charter. Now that Dr. Gray, the incumbent, is entering his fourth year of service, he is entitled under the provisions of Section 71 of the Charter to be advanced to the rate proposed, on the recommendation of the Director of Health and with the approval of the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

As we view the Citizens' Budget Committee's recommendation it would proscribe increases also in case of promotion. We must differ, if this means that when an employee, serving in a position of a given classification at a given salary qualifies by examination for a more responsible position of a different classification at a higher salary and is appointed to such position, that he should not receive the higher salary specified for the more responsible position.

A case in point is that of a social service investigator employed in the County Welfare Department at $185 per month who took an examination for senior social service investigator, which duties are much more responsible than the former and involve supervision of seven or eight subordinates. A position of senior social service investigator in the Department of Health, then filled at $225 per month, became vacant. The social service investigator resigned her position in the County Welfare Department and was appointed to the position in the Department of Public Health at a salary of $215. Her former position was filled at $150 per month. A saving in payroll in the two positions of $45 per month resulted. We do not think it would

be proper nor just to withhold the higher compensation in such cases, and we believe on further reflection the Citizens' Budget Committee will join us in that viewpoint. We are in full accord with the policy of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors that there shall be no increases in salary under present conditions and the only exceptions to that policy are the case referred to above and one other in the Recreation Commission which your Finance Committee has recommended against.

General Recommendation 6. *Elimination of Salary Increases Since January* 1, 1931.

Reply:

The Citizens' Budget Committee recommends that all increases in salary granted since January 1, 1931, be rescinded at a saving of $24,056. The committee reports there are seventy-three of these. Our staff has reported that six of these reported increases are not increases in salary but are entirely new positions. One is a correction of an error in preparing the first salary ordinance. Of the sixty-three instances reported which are apparently increases, it is interesting to note that fifty-six occurred under the provisions of the former Charter and that only seven have been granted under the provisions of the present Charter since January 8, 1932.

Section 71 of the Charter provides:

". . . Pending the adoption of salary standards as in this Charter provided, the salary and wage rates for positions subject to such standardization shall be as recommended by the officer, board or commission having appointing power for such positions and fixed by the budget and annual salary ordinance; provided, that any compensation paid as of January 1, 1931, to an incumbent who legally held a position in the City and County service at that time, shall not be reduced so long as such incumbent legally holds such position. No compensation shall be increased so as to exceed the salary or wage paid for similar services and of like character and for like service and working conditions in other City departments or in private employments, nor so as to exceed the rate fixed for such service or position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, except as such proposed schedule or compensation is amended as provided in this Charter, or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein."

Thus the matter of fixing of rates of pay and increases in salary is subject to joint action of the appointing officer and the Board of Supervisors within the limits set forth and the City Attorney has so advised our Commission and your Board. This opinion is strengthened by a decision last week in Superior Court against our Commission (*Dunn* v. *Civil Service*, which will probably be appealed), holding in effect that under our present Charter the power to fix rates of pay is joint—the appointing officer to recommend and the Supervisors to approve and fix by budget and salary ordinance and that the Supervisors cannot by a provision of the salary ordinance or by other means usurp the power of the appointing officer. If a salary rate can only be established by the joint recommendation and action of the appointing officer and your Board, we are extremely doubtful of the power of your Board to reduce a salary without the recommendation of the appointing officer, once the salary is legally established. These increases were in recognition of seniority of service, added responsibility or for other just and proper reasons and granted under the law. Moreover, Charter Amendment No. 1, approved last month by the people, providing for deductions in salaries ranging from 3 to 20 per cent, provides that the deductions "shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section."

Let us see the application of this recommendation of the Citizens' Budget Committee and as an illustration take the case of the Chief Assistant Assessor (schedule 2 of the Citizens' Budget Committee) whose salary in April, 1933, was $400 and from which a deduction of $60 is now made under the provisions of said Charter amendment, leaving a balance of $340. In this case it is recommended by the committee that the salary increase of $100 approved by your Board and effective July 1, 1932, shall be rescinded. Under the said Charter amendment the deduction from salary would be based on the present salary of $400, therefore his income would be $300 less $60 (15 per cent on his present salary) or a net salary of $240.

General Recommendation 7. Revision of Rates for Those Appointed Since January 1, 1931.

Reply:

The Citizens' Budget Committee recommends that the salary of all employees appointed since January 1, 1931, whose salaries were fixed at rates in excess of the entrance rate for such position as recommended in the Civil Service schedule be reduced to that rate. The committee reports 84 such cases (although only 77 are listed) and states that a saving of $37,260 can be made. Also, later, a further reduction is recommended for these employees under section 8.

The analyses of these 77 who were appointed since January 1, 1931, and whose compensation is higher than that recommended in the Civil Service schedule follows:

Permanent appointees who held their present positions at the present salary as emergency appointees on January 1, 1931..... 62

City Attorney O'Toole has held that the salary paid these employees on January 1, 1931, is protected by Sections 71 and 151 of the Charter, even though serving as emergency appointees on that date.

Employees permanently appointed after January 1, 1931, who served in the present position at the present salary under the old Charter but who entered the service after January 1, 1931, and therefore the salary is not protected by Sections 71 and 151 of the Charter 15

City Attorney O'Toole has ruled that these rates were fixed under the former Charter when appointing officers had power to fix salaries, subject in some cases to approval of the Board of Supervisors. These salaries were fixed legally and the Supervisors have not the power to reduce without the recommendation of the appointing officer.

Total 77

Thus of the seventy-seven cases cited in the report of the Citizens' Budget Committee, sixty-two are protected by Charter provisions and cannot be reduced. As for the other fifteen, the salaries cannot be reduced except by the joint action of the appointing officer and the Board of Supervisors. The arguments recited in recommendation 6 above against rescinding increases in salaries apply just as strongly here.

General Recommendation 8. Revision of Rates, Salary Standardization Schedules.

Reply:

The committee recommends that work be undertaken at once to establish salary standardization schedules at a scale not in excess of rates paid for comparable service in private employment. The committee estimates that these revised scales if applied only to those appointed after January 8, 1931, would save $136,800. The seventy-seven recommended for reduction under recommendation 7 above would be further reduced under this proposal.

Our commission has secured a very great deal of data regarding wages paid in private employment. In various occupations the wages paid in private employment by some employers are far below the

rates paid two or three years ago and far below that which will provide even the necessities of life. These deplorable conditions are imposed by the business depression. Other firms and corporations insist that they have not reduced their wages under the scales prevailing in 1930 when our original report and recommendation was submitted to the Board of Supervisors. Out of fourteen large firms contacted last winter only two stated their basic scales had been reduced under the 1930 levels. One firm stated their wage scale was higher now than in 1930 and that the average earnings were higher. We hope to be able to submit revised salary schedules during the coming year, but we feel our data must be obtained under conditions approaching the normal and that our recommendations as to revised scales should not reflect and are not expected to reflect depression salaries. Therefore we all must move slowly and carefully.

General Recommendation 9. *Rate for Temporary Clerks.*

Reply:

The committee recommends a $5 per day rate for temporary clerks and other temporary services such as janitors, watchmen, etc., subject to further reductions in income under Sections 10 and 11. The present rate is $150 per month, subject to a 7 per cent deduction under Charter Amendment No. 1. This is a net of $139.50. The rate for this service prior to the current year was $160 and the present earnings are a reduction of $20.50 from the former rate or 12.8 per cent. Under the committee's recommendations Nos. 10 and 11 and after making the deductions provided under the Charter Amendment No. 1 the net monthly earnings for temporary clerks would be reduced to $99.57 per month as against $160 per month last year and $150 per month this year.

General Recommendation 10. *Staggered or Reduced Working Time.*

Reply:

This is a recommendation for the staggering of employment or reduction in working time with equivalent reduction in earnings. This matter of staggered employment has been proposed before and at that time we carefully considered the legality of the application of the practice. Section 148 of the Charter reads:

"Whenever a position controlled by the Civil Service provisions of this Charter is to be filled, the appointing officer shall make a requisition to the Civil Service Commission for a person to fill it. Thereupon, the Commission shall certify to the appointing officer the name and address of the person standing highest on the list of eligibles for such position. . . ."

It is our unequivocal opinion, supported by City Attorney O'Toole, that staggering of employment is prohibited by this section of the Charter, since under this plan the employee working is the person highest on the list and he cannot be laid off and replaced by a person lower on the list.

General Recommendation 11. *Arbitrary Reductions.*

Reply:

This is a recommendation to arbitrarily reduce appropriations by $582,760 in addition to the reductions recommended in previous sections, in order to reach a total reduction of $3,000,000 in the budget. This is a flat reduction in appropriations in addition to Charter salary deductions and the reductions recommended by the committee heretofore. The great bulk of salaries representing not less than 90 per cent of total payrolls can be reduced only as deductions are provided under Charter Amendment No. 1. Therefore no reductions in salaries beyond those authorized by Charter Amendment No. 1 may be made and this recommendation cannot be operative except through further elimination of employment, which has already been reduced to eliminate all but essential positions.

General Recommendation 12. *Reduction in Force.*

Reply:

The committee recommends the reduction of personnel affecting 70 employees in fourteen departments as listed below. These proposals are based on reported decreases in volume of work and curtailment of functions under the new Charter, etc.

This is a matter of policy and administrative control over which the Civil Service Commission has no power.

We show in this list below the number of employments in eleven of these departments as of January 8, 1932, after giving effect to employments transferred in or out by provisions of the new Charter and omitting members of boards or commissions. This is to indicate the reductions already made in these departments by the appointing officers and Mayor Rossi.

	As of 1-8-32	*As proposed for 1933-34*	*Net change*
Supervisors	17	14	— 3
Recorder	44	37	— 7
Real Estate	6	6	..
County Clerk	65	60	— 5
B. P. W.—Gen. Office	16	16	..
Building Inspection	22	13	— 9
Street Repair	215	125	—90
Electricity	84	69	—15
City Planning	4	4	..
Plumbing Inspection	9	9	..
Art Commission	1	2	+ 1
Totals	483	355	—128

The Board of Permit Appeals referred to in the committee's report was not in existence until January 8, 1932, and the Charter authorizes it to appoint a secretary. The increase of one employment in the Art Commission is to provide a secretary as authorized by Charter.

In the case of the Bureau of Accounts it is not possible to make an accurate comparison. On January 8, 1932, the organization was thirty-two people. Several were transferred out and the bureau absorbed some of the personnel of the Bureau of Stores and Yards and certain other personnel. On the basis of available records the personnel has been reduced by five employments but we have not had time to check this.

From the foregoing it is seen there have been reductions from 483 employments to 355, a net reduction of 128 employments, the majority occurring in the Street Repair. Of the fourteen departments listed reductions in personnel have been effected in seven and if the Bureau of Accounts is included, in eight departments. In four departments no reductions have occurred and two others were not in existence on January 8, 1932.

General Recommendations 13, 14 *and* 15.

These do not concern the Civil Service Commission.

CONCLUSION.

We trust that our views and statements will be of assistance to your Honorable Board in this matter. We were amazed to see the effect of the application of the committee's recommendations. Many of them are cumulative. We submit, for instance, by way of illustration, the case of the license adjuster whose employment is listed in recommendation 3 of the Citizens' Budget Committee's report with the recommendation that the present salary be reduced from $250 to $190. Under the Charter amendment providing for deductions in salary the present rate is subject to a deduction of 12½ per cent or $31.25, leaving a net of $218.75. Under that amendment the deduction would continue to be based on the present salary even if the salary is reduced as recommended to $190, and his net income would be $158.75.

But under recommendation 8 the committee recommends a revision in the present entrance rate of $190. We do not know what the committee has in mind as to a revised rate, but in other instances the committee suggests a reduction of about 17 per cent in order to reduce rates to that paid in private employment and we will use that percentage reduction in order to show the effect in this case. This would bring the salary of this employee to a little under $160, and would be reduced to $128.75 after Charter amendment deduction. Then under recommendation 10 he would be put on a five-day basis and his salary reduced 16.7 per cent to $102.03 after the Charter amendment deduction. But under recommendation 11 that again would be arbitrarily reduced 3 per cent and after the Charter deduction he would receive a net salary of $98.03. This man has been in the service of the City continuously for twenty-one years, and these specific recommendations are made to apply to this particular individual and a great many others. We cannot conceive that the Citizens' Budget Committee realized that the application of their recommendations had the drastic and cumulative effect recited above.

Respectfully submitted,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
HOWARD M. McKINLEY, Pres.,
HENRY K. WOLFF,
WM. P. McCABE.

Attest: Jas. J. Maher, Secretary.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Whitley, being granted the privilege of the floor, declared that he was the owner of the land in South Basin required for industrial sites. There are 63 acres in the uplands which he is willing to sell for $25,000, terms one-half first of July this year, balance first of July next year. City to assume taxes and pay interest on deferred amount at the rate of 5 per cent.

Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, being asked to furnish an appraisement of the proposed purchase, declared that the three square blocks to be acquired for $12,000 and the tidelands were worth about 3 cents a square foot.

John A. O'Connell, secretary, San Francisco Labor Council, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that the recommendations of the Finance Committee cutting the budget under the amount allowed by his Honor the Mayor, means an increase of unemployment in San Francisco. He referred to the deletion of the requested amount for street lighting, and urged that it be restored as the present unlighted condition of the streets was a menace to life and property. He regretted the elimination of two social welfare workers in amount $3,600, whom he declared were sadly needed during this period of depression. He referred to the Bureau of Engineering and requested that $16,000 eliminated in that department be restored in order that there be no layoff of employees. Also the appropriation of $15,000 for work in front of city property.

Edw. Vandeleuer, representing the San Francisco Labor Council and the Carmen's Union, was heard in opposition to the 5 per cent deduction in contractual service for the Municipal Railway. $23,000 of the $25,000 set aside for contractual service is a power charge and if this is cut it will mean a laying up of cars and curtailment of service. He opposed diversion of Water Department surplus to General Fund and the taking of $53,303 fixed charges from the Retirement Bureau.

AMENDMENTS.

Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item 28 by reducing the amount $1,500, and providing for a part-time reporter instead of a full-time reporter, as at present.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Mayor.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved 5 per cent reduction in Item No. 18, "Contractual Service," $300.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Assessor.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item No. 12, "Total Contractual," by reducing said item 5 per cent, in amount $330.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

City Attorney.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 35, "Contractual Service" (net), be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $376.25.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

District Attorney.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend by reducing Item No. 34, "Total Contractual Service," 5 per cent, in amount $255.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

(Ordinance 8625 to be amended in accordance herewith.)

Treasurer.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 19, $266, "Total Contractual Service," be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $13.30.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Sheriff.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 8, $5,800, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $290.

Also, Item No. 9, $44,500, for materials and supplies, be reduced $1,000.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Public Defender's Office.

Mr. Henderson, Civil Service Commission, being asked as to positions in this department, declared that positions of Messrs. McStocker, DeGardi and Kenny were the positions vacated.

Police Department.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 24, "Contractual Service," $25,000, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $1,250.

Also, Item No. 25, "Material and Supplies," in amount $39,000, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $1,950.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Fire Department.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 4, $32,000, "Contractual Service," be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $16,000; also, Item No. 5, $30,000, for materials and supplies, be reduced 5 per cent, or $1,500.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Board of Permit Appeals.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 21, $100, "Contractual Service," be reduced 5 per cent, or $5.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Park Department.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to deduct $99,469, Item No. 31, and limit the park to the 10 cents allowed in the tax rate.

Privilege of the Floor.

Captain Lamb, secretary, Park Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor. He said in part: "For many years the convenience stations in Golden Gate Park had been in a insanitary and deplorable condition, and this money is required to put them in decent condition. For the last five years there have been appropriated each year, also, certain amounts of money for rebuilding and improving these convenience stations. The Park Commission requested $30,000 for this purpose this year. We have found that in times of depression that the people use the parks more and there is more need for such convenience stations. $35,000 is necessary for the Palace of Fine Arts. The city has already spent $4,000 on its rehabilitation and it is hoped that the $35,000 will be allowed to complete the work and prevent further deterioration. With regard to Fleishhacker Playground the City of San Francisco entered into an agreement with the Spring Valley Water Company to rent certain portions of its land for this purpose many years ago, and to purchase certain other portions. The money set aside for this purpose is merely in accordance with the custom that has been followed for years. Revenues of the park for the year 1932 were $393,019; the surplus in the bond fund is to be used for a convenience station in the Marina at the foot of Fillmore street."

City Attorney O'Toole declared that these items (Convenience Stations and Palace of Fine Arts) may be provided for in the discretion of the Board of Supervisors, but that the Fleishhacker Playground is an obligation of the City by agreement of the Board of Supervisors with the Spring Valley Water Company. Section 78 of the Charter fixes various tax limitations.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, thereupon moved that the amount for capital expenditure be reduced $55,000, $20,000 for convenience stations and $35,000 for Palace of Fine Arts, and requested that the motion be segregated.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the first item, Convenience Stations, $20,000, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Thereupon the roll was called upon the next item, Palace of Fine Arts, $35,000, and the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Miles—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

(See later proceedings for completion of this department.)

Recreation Commission.

Miss Josephine B. Randall, secretary, Recreation Commission, being asked by Supervisor Gallagher as to whether the Recreation Commission provided any increase for playground capital expenditures, replied "There is one section of land in the Richmond District to be

purchased from the Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association at Arguello boulevard and Edward street. That is the only one."

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until tomorrow at 10:30 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 24, 1933, 11:30 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 11:30 a. m. and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—7.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Recreation Commission (Continued).

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved that Item No. 9, Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment, $2400 per annum, or $200 a month, be reduced to $160 a month.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Sigmund Stern, president of the Recreation Commission; Miss Josephine B. Randall, secretary, urged that the amount requested for this item be granted inasmuch as the duties of that employee had been enormously increased and the figure of $200 per month was amply warranted. Mr. Henderson, of the Civil Service Commission, declared that no rate of pay had been established for this position, but that there are comparable positions which pay $200 per month.

Whereupon the roll was called and the amendment was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Miles, Peyser—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from "no" to "aye" and gave notice of reconsideration.

RECESS.

Supervisor Miles moved that the Board now take a recess until 1:30 p. m., and that there be a call of the Board made.

Motion *carried.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 24, 1933, 1:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m. and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding—10.

Communication.

Supervisor Miles presented a letter from the Mayor approving the position of Publicity Agent for the Recreation Department, part time, which was read by the Clerk.

Supervisor Gallagher moved reconsideration of motion of Supervisor Miles reducing Item No. 9 from $200 a month to $160 a month.

Mrs. Sigmund Stern, chairman, Recreation Commission, declared that this employee has charged of the purchase and maintenance record and office management of the department, and a very excellent man who, before the depression, commanded a very much higher salary. The whole commission, she said, recommends this salary.

Mr. Henderson, of the Civil Service Commission, declared that this employee toox a nexamination last October and passed No. 1, but position is rated at $150 per month, and the Civil Service Commission has now power to increase the salary. Sections 71 and 72 of the Charter were read by Supervisor Peyser in this connection.

City Attorney O'Toole read and interpreted Section 72 to the effect that the Board of Supervisors might decrease or reject, but shall not increase or add to any amount unless requested by the Mayor, City Administrator, Board or Commission, unless he held the position as of January, 1931.

Supervisor Miles moved that the Mayor's recommendation be allowed.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Miles, Peyser—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Sewage Bond Surplus.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Breyer, moved that we take this matter up at 3:45 p. m., and that the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Attorney be sent for.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that the matter be taken up at 2:30 p. m. tomorrow.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Peyser—4.

Absent—Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Relative to School Bond Issue.

Supervisor Miles moved that the Clerk request City Attorney, at the request of Mrs. Best, relative to School Bond issue: "What legal assurance have the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco that the $3,000,000 bond issue for new school buildings will be used only to build and replace fire trap schools as outlined by Mr. Phillips yesterday in his remarks? How can those who advocate the passage of the bond issue have the assurance that the fire trap schools designated will be the ones replaced?"

Park Department (Continued).

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Park Commission receive 10 cents less salary deductions, same as Libraries, be reduced to $1,060,724 from the figure $1,082,591. No objection.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the salary deductions be set up as a Capital Expenditure in the Park Department, $21,867 for Mt. Davidson Improvement. No objection.

Supervisor Brown moved that previous action be rescinded, and that the item of $55,000, Construction, General Fund, be amended to read $35,000, with the understanding that $20,000 be applied to convenience stations in the park.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Power—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

War Memorial.

Privilege of the Floor.

Selby Oppenheimer was granted the privilege, and in reply to the query of Supervisor Gallagher as to whether there were any new positions in this set up, replied: "None but two elevator operators."

Whereupon Supervisor Gallagher requested to be recorded as voting No on that item.

Supervisor Miles moved that Item No. 3, Contractual Service, and Item No. 4, Materials and Supplies, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Art Commission.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that the aggregate of Contractual Services be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $712.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend that Item No. 34, Contractual Service, $19,700, be reduced 5 per cent, and that Item No. 35, Materials and Supplies, $5,000, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend that Item No. 32, Contractual Services, $13,250, be reduced 5 per cent. Also Item No. 33, Materials and Supplies, $4200, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

California Academy of Sciences, Steinhart Aquarium.

This item was approved as set up, with the understanding that the Controller is to deduct salary deduction, if legal to do so.

Municipal Court.

This item was *approved* as printed.

Municipal Court passed temporarily.

Controller Leavy suggested postponement until tomorrow when we will have the report from Assistant City Attorney Lennon, who is in Sacramento.

Superior Court.

This item was *approved* as printed.

Superior Court passed temporarily.

Controller Leavy suggested postponement until tomorrow, when we will have the report from Assistant City Attorney Lennon, who is in Sacramento.

San Francisco Law Library.

This item was *approved* as printed.

Juvenile Court, Probation Office.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend that Item No. 4, $2,130, Contractual Service, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Juvenile Court, Detention Home.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item No. 5, $1300, Contractual Service, by reducing it 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Adult Probation Department.

This department was *approved without objection*, as printed.

Chief Administrative Officer.

Passed temporarily.

Finance and Records Director.

Approved as printed.

Finance and Records, Tax Collector.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 8, $38,375, Seasonal Clerks or Stenographers, be reduced to $14,000.

Privilege of the Floor, Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector.

Mr. Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, declared that these season for the Delinquent Bureau. Since this bureau was organized, he said, it has brought in $160,000 in delinquent taxes. There is $350,000 more to bring in. He declared that he hadn't been able to get the necessary amount of help from other departments as promised. Clerks are loaned for a day or two but are recalled in the midst of their work, preventing its proper consummation. The Chief Administrative Officer and the Mayor, he said, have recommended this appropriation of $14,000 for needed clerical assistance. I have forty-five clerks working for me and only five of them are clerks from other departments.

Whereupon the roll was called and Supervisor Miles' motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Miles, Peyser—6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Item No. 22, General Clerk-Stenographer, $1800, ordered stricken out.

Supervisor Colman voting No on Item No. 21.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item No. 38, $5350, Contractual Service, by reducing it 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Finance and Records, Registrar of Voters.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item No. 38, $75,000, for Equipment, by reducing it to $50,000.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 37, $37,325, Contractual Service, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Finance and Records, Recorder.

Mr. Chas. Stolz, Chief Clerk, Recorder's Office, was granted the privilege of the floor. He said that in the year 1926 the Recorder's office was the peak year in that office. Since that time reduced business amounts to approximately 32 per cent, and there has been a corresponding reduction in the clerical force.

Thereupon the foregoing department was *approved* as printed.

County Clerk's Office.

This department was *approved* as printed.

Public Administrator.

Line 15 was, on motion of Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, stricken out. (Safe deposit rental.)

Thereupon the foregoing bureau was *approved* without objection.

Purchasing Department.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Item No. 4, Contractual Service, $252,677, be reduced $15,157, and as so reduced a further deduction of 5 per cent.

(Get breakdown of this item from Leavy.)

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Line 6, Equipment, be reduced $5000.

Whereupon Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved a 2 per cent reduction in Contractual Service and Materials and Supplies.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Real Estate, Director of Property and Auditorium.

Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, was granted the privilege of the floor and in reply to the suggestion of the Citizens' Budget Committee that this department could be reduced $3300, he declared that instead of reducing force, more help was needed.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, thereupon moved a 5 per cent reduction in Line 13, $11,034, Contractual Service. Also, that Line 14, $6000, Materials and Supplies, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $300.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Department of Public Works.

Bureau of Accounts.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Line 29, Contractual Service, and Materials and Supplies by reducing it 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Bureau of Architecture.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved a 5 per cent reduction in Contractual Service.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Bureau of Building Repair.

Director Worden, Department of Public Works, announced that Item No. 24, elevator operators, might be reduced from 19 to 18 on account of death of one of said employees.

So ordered.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to reduce this department $4506.

Sewer Repairs.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to reduce this department.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Item No. 25, $2592, Contractual Service, by reducing it 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Bureau of Engineering.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Line 23, $2400, Contractual Service, by reducing it 5 per cent. Also,

Line 24, $4800, Materials and Supplies, be reduced 5 per cent.
Miscellaneous extensions.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Sewage Pumping Stations.

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that Line 24, $1100, Contractual Service, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $55. Also, Line 25, $200, Material and Supplies, be reduced 5 per cent, in amount $10.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

County Road Fund.

Correct Line 35, Golden Gate Bridge Approaches, to read: "San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge Approaches."

Supervisor Miles, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend Line 16, $2923, Contractual Service, be reduced 5 per cent.

Also, Line 17, $549, Materials and Supplies, be reduced 5 per cent.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Supervisor Canepa, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to amend Line 35, Golden Gate Bridge Approaches, by eliminating $97,500, and adding to Line 36, Unappropriated Balances and Salary Adjustments. (See slip.)

Supervisor Miles thereupon presented Resolution No. 751, which was read by the Clerk, wherein the Board of Supervisors agreed with the State and Federal governments to appropriate this money for bay bridge preliminary work in the expectation of receiving aid from Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

Recess.

Supervisor Peyser moved to recess at 6:30 p. m. to meet again at 8 p. m.

Roll was called on the above motion and same *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Peyser—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Fire Department.

Supervisor Miles moved to refer back to the Fire Department, line 7, Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Faville), and moved that in accordance with the communication from his Honor the Mayor, that the increase of said position to $225 a month be allowed.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Department of Electricity.

Supervisor Miles moved that Material and Supplies and Contractual Relations be reduced 5 per cent.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Department of Public Health.

Supervisor Miles moved that Materials and Supplies and Contractual Relations be reduced 5 per cent. (This does not apply to provisions.)

No objection, *so ordered.*

Supervisor Miles declared that there were numerous changes in the personnel of the department and in response to query by Supervisor Brown, declared that the recommendations of the Citizens' Budget Committee had been given consideration.

Noted Present.

At 9:20 p. m. Supervisors McSheehy, Canepa, Peyser and Gallagher appeared and were noted present.

County Welfare Department.

Supervisor Miles moved that Material and Supplies and Contractual Service be reduced 5 per cent.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment that two new employees, Social Service Investigators, be eliminated.

Mrs. Schenck, director, County Welfare Department, was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that if the amendment carried it would not effect an economy in her department. Work, she said, has increased 30 per cent during the depression and the recommendation is neither economical nor humane. The Chinese welfare work, she added, is to be turned over to us en bloc, necessitating additional help.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Miles' motion, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Miles, Peyser —6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Coroner's Department.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a reduction of 5 per cent in Materials and Supplies and Contractual Service.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Agricultural Commission.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a reduction of 5 per cent in Materials and Supplies and Contractual Service.

No objection, *so ordered.*

In reply to query of Supervisor Brown whether recommendations of Citizens' Budget Committee relative to rental of quarters had been considered, Supervisor Miles declared that City Administrator Cleary will report on the subject matter.

Supervisor Miles moved that in accordance with the request of his Honor the Mayor, line 5, Agricultural Commissioner, be restored to $400.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a 5 per cent reduction in Materials and Supplies and Contractual Services.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Controller's Office.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a 5 per cent reduction in Materials and Supplies and Contractual Services.

No objection, *so ordered.*

City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Miles moved 5 per cent reduction in Contractual Services.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment, page 24, line 3, "Street Lighting and Public Buildings," that this item be reduced by $20,000, making it $780,000, the same as last year.

Edward Cahill, manager, Public Utilities Commission, declared that if the amendment carried it means taking out five hundred street lights in the residential districts. It means also robberies and hold-ups. We have, he said, objections from every club and improvement association in the city against the present inadequate street lighting.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Miles' amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Miles moved that page 23, C. P., Public Utilities Commission, "Materials and Supplies," and "Contractual Services," be reduced 5 per cent.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Airport.

Supervisor Miles moved a 5 per cent reduction in Contractual Services, except heat, light and power, which is a fixed charge.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Municipal Railway.

No change.

Edward Cahill, manager, Public Utilities Commission, declared that Materials and Supplies in the above department had been already cut $70,000.

Water Department.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment, page 25, C. P., line 22, change title "Bond Interest and Redemption," page 2, App'n to General Fund now $788,668 be increased $75,000.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Miles moved a 5 per cent reduction in Materials and Supplies.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Power Operative Department.

Supervisor Miles moved to amend, page 29, C. P., 5 per cent reduction in Materials and Supplies.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Hetch Hetchy Power.

No change.

Hetch Hetchy Construction.

No change.

Civil Service Commission.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a reduction of 5 per cent in Contractual Services.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Retirement System.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment a 5 per cent reduction in Materials and Supplies and Contractual Services.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Supervisor Peyser moved as an amendment that line 6, page 31, C. P., be reduced $53,303.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Secretary-Actuary, Retirement System, Ralph Nelson, opposed the reduction, declaring that the $2,801,200 set up is based on rigid Charter requirements.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment to the amendment that a reduction be made of $21,000.

Supervisor Brown's amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisors Miles' amendment to reduce $53,303 and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Public Utilities Commission.

(Refer Back.)

Supervisor Miles moved to strike out line 20, page 23, C. P., Apprentice.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Chief Administrative Officer.

Supervisor Miles moved to strike out line 2, page 9, C. P., Unemployment Relief, $700,000.

Judge L. Sloss, chairman of the Citizens' Committee on Unemployment Relief, was granted the privilege of the floor in opposition to the foregoing proposed amendment.

The following statement was read into the record:

Communication from Citizens' Relief Committee.

San Francisco, Cal., May 25, 1933.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I am transmitting herewith a copy of a letter received today from the Honorable M. C. Sloss, chairman of the Citizens' Committee for Relief.

I concur heartily in the statements of Judge Sloss on this subject. After very careful study I recommended that $700,000 be placed in the 1933-34 budget for relief purposes, and believe that this estimate is conservative.

The deletion of this item from the budget might make it necessary for the Citizens' Relief Committee to deny relief to those whom we are duty-bound to care for.

Should this condition obtain, I am sure you would not want to be charged with the responsibility for it.

May I, therefore, most earnestly urge that you reconsider your action of yesterday in connection with this item.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

May 25, 1933.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

My dear Mayor Rossi: On behalf of the Citizens' Committee on Unemployment Relief, I have the honor to inform you of the present situation with respect to the provision for funds to carry on the relief

activities of the City and County during the fiscal year to begin July 1, 1933.

The budget proposed by the Mayor included an item of $700,000 for unemployment relief. The Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommended to the Board the elimination of this item. This subject, among other matters affecting the budget, came before the Board of Supervisors at its meeting yesterday evening, May 24th. Mr. Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, and I appeared before the Board in opposition to the proposed elimination of this item. In so doing we were acting under the instructions of the Citizens' Committee, which had, at its meeting held last Friday, May 19th, unanimously adopted a resolution urging the Board of Supervisors to include the $700,000 item in the budget.

After discussion at last evening's meeting, in the course of which Mr. Wollenberg and I presented the reasons why, in the opinion of the Committee and of the Director, the provision recommended by your Honor should be retained, the Board took a vote which resulted in favor of the elimination of the item.

On the basis of the facts and figures presented to the Board of Supervisors, which were not disputed on any side, it was clear that if no provision were made in the budget and the tax levy for unemployment relief, the funds available would unquestionably be insufficient to carry the load during the ensuing fiscal year. It will therefore be necessary, in order to continue the essential work of relief to submit to the voters a proposal for another bond issue for relief. It is unnecessary to point out the reasons why repeated or recurring bond issues for current needs are to be avoided, if possible.

The action taken last evening, if there be no change before the final adoption of the budget, will make it necessary to put a proposal for a bond issue on the ballot at the November election, or else that a special election, with the attendant increased cost, be called for some other time.

It should perhaps be added that it was suggested at last evening's meeting that, even with the inclusion of the item under discussion, the available funds would be insufficient, and that a bond issue would be necessary in any event. Latest information regarding the allotment of federal funds indicates a strong probability that, with the inclusion of the $700,000 item, a bond issue may be avoided. Be that as it may, it is obviously desirable that the amount of a bond issue, if one becomes necessary, should be kept down to the lowest practicable figure.

Respectfully,

(Signed) M. C. SLOSS,
Chairman, Citizens' Committee.

Chas. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, was also heard in opposition to the foregoing proposed amendment.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Miles' amendment and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Superior Courts.

Supervisor Miles moved the insertion of a new item, Superior Courts, Salary Deductions, $15,734.

No objection, amendment *carried*.

Municipal Courts.

Supervisor Miles moved the insertion of a new item, Salary Deduction, $7,200.

No objection, amendment *carried*.

Motion.

Supervisor Miles moved that $75,000 be set aside as estimated revenues, also, in its stead an amount be appropriated by ordinance which is to be included in the Salary Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher: No objection.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 a. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2:30 p. m. tomorrow.

THURSDAY, MAY 25, 1933, 2:30 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors Thursday, May 25, 1933, 2:30 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continued consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year 1933-34.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Power, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

Members of San Francisco Delegation of State Legislature Commended.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I see we have a number of representatives of the Legislature here. I think it would be proper to invite them inside the rail.

THE CHAIRMAN: I will be very glad to do so. How many are there? We will get chairs for them, and they can sit up here where everyone can see them.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: We have Assemblyman Hornblower, Assemblyman Gilmore, and Assemblyman McMurray.

(The parties were seated with the Chairman.)

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Supervisor Spaulding has consented for me to say at this appropriate time a word or two, and I hope I bespeak the sentiment of this Board to the three legislators who sit at the right and left of our Chairman.

I want to say something in testimony of our thanks to the gentlemen for the magnificent battles they fought up in the Legislature on behalf of the citizens of this City and County. We have had many San Francisco delegations sitting in the Legislature, and we have had many occasions on which they have fought valiantly for the interests of this City and County, but I have yet to recall in my memory and more compact and more consistent delegation going to Sacramento under adverse circumstances, particularly this time—these times—as has this one.

It was my duty as a member of the Judiciary Committee to often go to Sacramento, sometimes very hurriedly, and sometimes unprepared, and the receptivity on the part of the San Francisco delegation to the requests we made, and their willingness to abide by the consummate judgment of all our representatives, was indeed refreshing. I realize our representation, particularly in the Senate, was diminished, and I realize to a certain extent it was in the Assembly. I real-

ize further that that made their task much the harder, but I think they observed fine strategy at Sacramento in this, that instead of breaking out into a fight with the representatives from the south, and instead of showing a war-flag to the other counties and towns of this State, they were willing to be helpful, and were willing to go along in anything constructive for the southland, as well as the north, and I found the Los Angeles delegation, particularly in the early stages—and I think at the end, although I was not there—in an attitude of friendliness because of the broad attitude of the San Francisco delegation. I admit that there were matters there that had to inevitably bring division of opinion between the representatives of San Francisco and the other community, but I think our men up there handled themselves with a good deal of credit to the City and County. Not all they did, of course, will be approved by everybody, but when I spoke to them about the fight over the moratorium, and when I spoke to them of the measures proposed by San Francisco in its interests, their willingness to go ahead with what we thought was the best thing to do, and their cooperation with the Chambers of Commerce, and with our representatives—it was indeed refreshing to me, and I take this occasion, and I say I hope I express the sentiment of this entire Board of Supervisors in expressing my personal thanks, and I hope the thanks of the Board to these representatives of our City and County in the last session of the State Legislature. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Havenner.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, it was my fortune to be in Sacramento a great part of the time during this last session, and I had opportunity at first hand to observe the work done by the San Francisco delegation, and I can, therefore, out of my own experience, heartily second the things Mr. Gallagher has said. I think San Francisco fared particularly well this year in a legislative session which I think was without precedent so far as confusion and difficulty of the duties performed by the individual members was concerned. The work of the San Francisco delegation in procuring the unanimous vote for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge bills was perhaps the outstanding feature of the whole session, and I desire to join with my colleague in paying my compliments to the members of the delegation. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: Mr. President.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Peyser.

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board: As Chairman of the Legislative Committee I feel it incumbent upon me likewise to express my appreciation to the members of the San Francisco delegation. We had many problems which we presented to our delegation, and they handled all of these matters efficiently and well. It was a most difficult session, and I think they acquitted themselves with honor to San Francisco, and I too wish to add my tribute to the members of the Legislature from San Francisco. (Applause.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the Board, and Distinguished Legislators: It would be impossible to add to what has already been stated by way of compliment and congratulation of the good work accomplished by our representatives from San Francisco in the State Legislature. As the previous speakers have already said, this of all years has been a very trying year for legislators in any State, or in any city in the Union. The problems that confronted the Legislature were trying ones. This year of economic distress naturally brought about a pressure for economy that had, I believe, an influence on the minds and hearts of the various members of the State Legislature. How well our representatives have met that responsibility is known by the people of the State of California, and appreciated by the people of San Francisco, in particular, whom they represented. It is a very

high compliment, gentlemen, to come back to your people, to your constituents, and know that they appreciate you.

There is an old saying that republics ever were ungrateful, but I think in this case it is a reversal of that accepted adage, because we do appreciate our representatives in the State Legislature, and we are glad to have a few of them here today, and have an opportunity to honor them and thank them, and extend to them these compliments which they richly deserve. We welcome you to our council chamber and hope you will enjoy our deliberations. (Applause.)

Supervisor Stanton.

SUPERVISOR STANTON: I also want to join in saying a word of praise. We all know Bill Hornblower and Joe Gilmore, and that they know how to handle themselves in the Legislature, and that they know how to handle the things that are best for the City of San Francisco; but the one that sort of surprised us was our friend Pat McMurray from the Mission. His first time in the Legislature, and he went along like a veteran——

THE CHAIRMAN: By the way, he is a good Democrat!

SUPERVISOR STANTON: Personally, I think we have a mighty fine bunch in the Legislature, and I am glad they are with us today so that we can give credit where credit is due.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Canepa.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: Honored Guests, Members of the Legislature, Members of the Board, and Citizens: I, too, desire to express my sincere appreciation for the steps that our representatives in the Legislature have taken on behalf of the citizens of our City. I realize from past experience that there have been many problems confronting the members of the Legislature, and also the State Senator representing our City. There have been numerous bills introduced which were adverse to the interests of our City, and I am happy to state to the efforts and influence of our representatives in the State Legislature from our City, that we are able to announce that the delegation deserves a great deal of praise, more than any words of mine can express, and at this time I desire to offer a motion that we extend our sincere appreciation and thanks to the members of the Assembly, and also to our State Senator. I offer such a motion.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the motion?

SUPERVISOR BREYER: If I may add to that motion, that a transcript of the record wherein the tribute was paid to the San Francisco delegation, that a copy of the same be sent to each and every member of the San Francisco delegation.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question? Is there any objection? Unanimously carried.

Assemblyman Hornblower will respond for the delegation. Assemblyman Hornblower. (Applause.)

ASSEMBLYMAN WILLIAM B. HORNBLOWER: Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board of Supervisors, Citizens, and Ladies and Gentlemen: We have had a good session of the Legislature in so far as San Francisco is concerned. The Oakland-Bay Bridge is now a real thing in existence, and it was the Board of Supervisors that got the close cooperation of the members of the Assembly—and I must speak of the Assembly, because that was the House that represented the people. Unfortunately, under the reapportionment system of California, the people are not represented in the Senate and therefore the bulk of the work fell on the Assembly, and I am very glad to say that while there might have been internal strife sometimes between the members of the delegation, when it came to a matter for San Francisco we stood united, each and every man, went right down the line. The

delegation from San Francisco was an outstanding delegation, and for the first time in the many times I have gone to the Assembly our delegation became known throughout the Assembly Chamber to the extent that it was stated that if the San Francisco delegation ever broke once there would be a change in the legislative policy.

We have come back to San Francisco, bringing home with us everything that we asked for. The $20,000,000 bond issue was made possible by the San Francisco delegation. The Charter ratification was done immediately after being voted upon by the people. The Oakland-Bay Bridge without question was brought to an early conclusion in so far as the Legislature was concerned by the efforts of the San Francisco delegation, with the cooperation of the Board of Supervisors, the Chamber of Commerce, and other civic bodies. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation heard from the Assembly and the Senate by reason of the San Francisco delegation. We saved for San Francisco a regulated scale that the Senate was about to repeal. We saved the Exposition bill for San Francisco, in one appropriation that was about to be stricken from the budget, for San Francisco, and finally passed the Assembly. We feel proud of the fact that during these hard economic times that the San Francisco delegation went on record to attempt, if possible, to defeat all bills that interfered with business, that we tried to establish a record for better conditions of those who toil for their livelihood. We are proud of the fact that the 6600 miles program of roads was passed. We had to call on the Board of Supervisors, we didn't know what roads and streets went into that program, that was a matter our Board of Supervisors took care of for us, and we followed their program, and attempted to get one-half a cent returned out of the gas tax, and when we found that was not possible, then we stood with the men from the cow counties and obtained one-quarter of a cent. In other words, we have endeavored to bring home to the citizens of San Francisco and the nine Assembly districts, a complete program of legislation. We have taken the recommendations of your Board of Supervisors and followed them, and we are hopeful that these recommendations will be well received by the people. We legislated in Sacramento for the interests of our county. We have endeavored to fulfill our promises made to the people, we have endeavored to be economical, having in mind that the reductions in salary should not be any greater in the State than they were in the City and County of San Francisco. We opposed the reclassification bill, and by reason of the San Francisco delegation that measure was defeated, because it made a cut of 15 to 30 per cent. I might conclude by leaving with you the budget fight. The State of California decreased its expenditures some forty million dollars. After the budget passed the Assembly it was decreased to forty-six million dollars, but it tripled the motor vehicle contribution for certain automobile funds, and when it came back from the Senate amended we refused to let them get away with it. On that conversion of the gasoline tax, that will come before you on the 27th of June, that was passed after a stormy session with but one vote, and the delegation to a man remained united.

May I conclude by saying that we appreciate this Board of Supervisors. We have often spoken on the floor that our Supervisors demand this and that, and we follow their requests. The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, I may add, is the only Board of Supervisors that obtains recognition, by reason of the fact that the Los Angeles delegation does not adhere to the recommendation of their board. We have followed them closely, feeling that they legislate for our City, and we must folow them in the legislata re; and we trust when we go back in July, when we have the problem of figuring out what the Riley plan does to us, we will have your united support and cooperation. I thank you. (Applause.)

Recreation Department.

Supervisor Miles stated that the Finance Committee recommends that $196,750 be transferred from the cash balance of the 1927 Sewer Bond Fund to the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund item.

Comm. John P. Murphy spoke in favor of the use of Sewer Bond money for the development of China Basin Playground. "If we put it before the people in the general election—could go before the people and say it is not going to cost the taxpayers one cent, because if we take $197,000 and purchase China Beach we cannot take the same amount from the Sewer Bond issue—so we simply are giving to the people of San Francisco that they have been looking for many years."

Jos. Phillips, Director of Properties, being asked, declared that the property was well worth what was asked for it.

Margaret Magnin, representing Women's Clubs, opposed the appropriation for China Beach at this time. It would cost, she said, $250,000 to clear the beach, without other expenses; $190,000 would not begin to clear it.

Edward Kenny, representing the Richmond District Merchants, was very much in favor of transferring Sewer Bond money for the development of China Beach Playground.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—10.
Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Spaulding—3.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence, John P. Murphy, Member of the Recreation Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., May 25, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable John P. Murphy, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing May 29th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 807, as follows:

Resolved, That John P. Murphy, a member of the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby granted permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days from and after May 29, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence, George Filmer, Member of the Public Utilities Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., May 25, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by George Filmer,

member of the Public Utilities Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing July 5th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 808, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, George Filmer, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing July 5, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence, Harry A. Milton, Member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., May 25, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Harry A. Milton, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of approximately three weeks, commencing May 29, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 809, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Harry A. Milton, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of approximately three weeks, commencing May 29, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Agricultural District No. 1—Live Stock Show.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that there be included in Chief Administrative Officer, page 9, C.P., new item, No. 15, to read as follows:

"Capital expenditure to be contributed to Agricultural District No. 1A, matching $250,000 made by the State Legislative Session 1931, for the purpose of constructing a Live Stock Center in said district ..... $250,000.

Supervisor Gallagher moved the privilege of the floor to Mr. C. H. Sooy, advocate of the proposed Live Stock Center.

Supervisor Miles moved as an amendment that the matter be referred to the Finance Committee.

Chair recognized Supervisor Miles.

Supervisor Miles appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Peyser, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair be sustained?" A vote Aye sustains the Chair, a vote No overrules the Chair.

Supervisor Hayden thereupon explained his ruling to the Board.

Whereupon, the roll being called, the Chair was sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power —6.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Spaulding, Stanton—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Miles' motion to refer the matter of the Agricultural District 1A to the Finance Committee and same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following were granted the privilege of the floor: Bert Sooy; Wesley McKenzie; Mr. Fitch, representing the Chamber of Commerce.

A letter from the Chamber of Commerce was read opposing the appropriation for Cattle Show.

Telegram on same subject read into record.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved that the remarks of President Hayden questioning the sincerity of the persons addressing the Board favoring the appropriation for the Agricultural District No. 1-A Live Stock Show, be expunged from the record.

Motion *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy, Spaulding, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power—7.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Industrial Land.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to include a new item No. 15 C. A. O.: "For the purchase of land to be used for municipal purposes and to be made available for industry, the sum of $37,500."

Supervisor Miles moved reference to the Finance Committee.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Miles' motion and same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Communication from Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Miles:

While the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce is interested in the proposed Exposition project and similar projects from the standpoint of utility, employment and advertising—and undoubtedly would support this appeal for funds in normal times; however, in view of the fact that we are behind the Mayor's economy program 100 per cent, we cannot consistently advocate the inclusion in the budget of the sum called for at this time.

GEORGE W. FITCH,
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Colman moved to restore $700,000 for relief purposes to the budget.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that the matter be referred to the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Havenner's amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power—8.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Stanton—4.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Edw. G. Cahill, manager Public Utilities Committee, was granted the privilege of the floor. He said that on an estimated 5 per cent delinquency in tax collections the Street Lighting Fund would be cut to $760,000, which is wholly inadequate. We must operate, he said, on a cash basis for street lighting, and asked that $20,000 be restored to that fund.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to increase the Street Lighting Fund by $20,000.

Supervisor Miles moved, as an amendment, that the motion be referred to the Finance Committee.

No objection. *So ordered.*

Budget as Amended Adopted.

Supervisor Miles moved that the Budget as amended be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Miles moved that all changes voted by the Board be made in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, and in addition that the notes on page 3 of said ordinance be amended to read as follows, and leaving out the words "less salary deductions," to-wit:

Note (1) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of four cents (4c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (2) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of seven cents (7c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (3) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of ten cents (10c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following bill as amended was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Bill No. 320, Ordinance No. 9.05164, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided. Such estimated receipts, revenue, and income are computed on the accrual basis and cash received in payment thereof either before or after the close of the fiscal year shall not be considered as income or revenue but as payment on account.

The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance subject to the limitations provided herein. Where revenues for any fund or department are provided herein by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer. And provided further, that in the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated or established, the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 260 and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made and no eligible shall be certified by the Civil Service Commission for the filling of such vacancy unless the requisition for such eligible is approved by the Mayor and until the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amount reserved.

Appropriations for bond interest or redemption, fixed charges and other appropriations not allocated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the Chief Administrative Officer, for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 80 of the Charter. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor, or reimbursement is recollectible in full from the departments, persons or institutions for which the service is rendered, shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of such receipts or collections. Each Department head to whom such a provisional appropriation is made shall file with the Controller monthly an estimate in detail of the proposed expenditures for the ensuing period, also a statement of the amount of expenditures made and the receipts or reimbursements received or receivable since the last report.

The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein, subject to the provisions of the Charter dealing with the appropriation and transfer of funds, the certification of obligations and the payment of warrants.

Section 3. The sums appropriated by this appropriation ordinance to the several departments shall be expended in accordance with a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as determined by the Controller and agreed to by the Mayor for departments under his jurisdiction and/or the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure, and shall not approve the incurring of liability on any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Mayor or Chief Administrative Officer and the approval of the Controller.

The several items of expenditure appropriated to the several departments being based upon estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to revise estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a potential shortage, the Controller may hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation payable from taxes made by this ordinance shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department unless otherwise specified herein.

Section 4. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as provided in Section 3.

Section 5. The existing Special and Trust funds are hereby continued and the receipts in, and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is authorized to set up additional special and trust funds as they may be created by additional bequests or other conditions.

Section 6. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
GENERAL FUND—											
From Schedule 1	.....	18,749,964	14,573,426	1,025,916	15,599,342	189,509	2,745,250	1,025,916	11,638,667	15,599,342	.....
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS											
Common School (Tentative)	.....	8,837,710	7,947,710	.....	7,947,710	.....	2,144,819	.....	5,802,891	7,947,710	.....
Special School (Tentative)	.....	360,000	360,000	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	360,000	.....
Library Department	.....	339,659	328,589	.....	328,589	.....	20,000	See Note (1)	308,589	328,589	.....
Recreation Department	.....	614,815	534,308	.....	534,308	.....	30,500	See Note (2)	503,808	534,308	.....
Park Department	.....	1,283,858	1,082,591	.....	1,082,591	.....	332,591	See Note (3)	750,000	1,082,591	.....
De Young Memorial Museum	.....	85,000	61,610	7,249	68,859	.....	500	7,249	61,110	68,859	.....
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	85,000	60,678	.....	60,678	.....	500	.....	60,178	60,678	.....
War Memorial	.....	109,013	91,385	.....	91,385	.....	20,000	.....	71,385	91,385	.....
Employees' Retirement Fund	5,000	2,843,300	1,385,693	670,500	2,056,193	.....	.....	670,500	1,380,693	2,051,193	.....
Workmen's Compensation	.....	120,526	25,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000	25,000	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	6,777,220	5,850,544	12,627,764	.....	80,000	5,850,544	6,697,220	12,627,764	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	94,027	94,027	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	94,027	.....
Publicity and Advertising	.....	175,000	165,000	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	165,000	.....
County Road Fund	102,010	1,102,110	1,102,110	.....	1,102,110	.....	1,000,000	.....	100	1,000,100	.....
Water Department	725,000	7,085,802	6,522,500	.....	6,522,500	.....	6,322,500	.....	.....	6,322,500	525,000
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	2,040,050	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....
Public Utilities Commission	.....	74,826	.....	66,244	66,244	.....	.....	66,244	.....	66,244	.....
Municipal Railway	157,000	3,192,190	2,990,806	.....	2,990,806	.....	2,722,073	.....	111,733	2,833,806	.....
Installation Fund Dept. of Elec.	.....	2,500	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	.....	2,500	.....
BOND FUNDS											
1932 Hetch Hetchy	.....	851,182	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	.....	851,182	.....
Totals	989,010	60,674,296	46,996,385	7,620,453	54,616,838	189,509	18,312,465	7,620,453	28,030,401	54,152,828	525,000

Note (1) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of four cents (4c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (2) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of seven cents (7c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (3) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of ten cents (10c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Board of Supervisors	.....	93,780	82,465	.....	82,465	.....					
Mayor	.....	46,180	40,298	.....	40,298	.....					
Emergency Reserve	.....	300,000	300,000	.....	300,000	.....					
Assessor	.....	258,185	228,335	.....	228,335	.....					
City Attorney	.....	91,425	64,957	13,570	78,527	.....	.....	13,570	.....	.....	.....
District Attorney	.....	107,480	94,010	.....	94,010	.....					
Treasurer	.....	46,066	39,756	.....	39,756	.....					
Sheriff	.....	355,551	293,828	.....	293,828	10,000					
Public Defender	.....	18,380	15,482	.....	15,482	.....					
Police Department	.....	3,520,862	3,049,674	.....	3,049,674	.....					
Fire Department	.....	3,225,873	2,794,936	.....	2,794,936	74,644					
Board of Permit Appeals	.....	8,240	7,720	.....	7,720	.....					
Park Department	.....	532,113	99,469	.....	99,469	.....					
Art Commission	.....	34,900	32,037	.....	32,037	.....					
Steinhart Aquarium	.....	50,000	40,500	.....	40,500	.....					
Airport	.....	186,114	167,790	.....	167,790	19,000					
Municipal Court	.....	221,415	200,452	.....	200,452	.....					
Superior Court	.....	221,480	201,060	.....	201,060	.....					
Law Library	.....	10,800	9,323	.....	9,323	.....					
Juvenile Court	.....	729,870	704,143	.....	704,143	.....					
Juvenile Detention Home	.....	29,795	27,383	.....	27,383	.....					
Adult Probation Department	.....	28,335	24,424	.....	24,424	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer	.....	20,000	16,515	.....	16,515	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer General Appropriations	.....	934,552	230,152	.....	230,152	.....					
Finance and Records	.....	8,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....					
Finance and Records—Tax Collector	.....	145,165	126,629	.....	126,629	.....					

(Schedule I continued on next page)

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters	.....	234,166	181,702	.....	181,702	.....					
Finance and Records—Recorder	.....	100,100	84,982	.....	84,982	.....					
Finance and Records—County Clerk	.....	153,378	133,680	.....	133,680	.....					
Public Administrator	.....	39,184	33,489	.....	33,489	.....					
Purchasing Department	.....	547,452	462,802	32,524	495,326	.....	.....	32,524	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department	.....	18,300	12,038	.....	12,038	.....					
Real Estate Department—Auditorium	.....	57,159	49,265	1,247	50,512	40,000	.....	1,247	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Works	.....	1,476,476	1,330,629	.....	1,330,629	45,865					
Department of Electricity	.....	201,578	173,799	4,339	178,138	.....	.....	4,339	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Health	.....	2,696,085	2,450,857	.....	2,450,857	.....					
County Welfare Department	.....	787,260	692,039	.....	692,039	.....					
Coroner	.....	49,245	43,274	.....	43,274	.....					
Agricultural Commission	.....	19,290	14,465	.....	14,465	.....					
Dept. of Weights and Measures	.....	23,650	20,618	.....	20,618	.....					
Controller	.....	163,430	126,948	17,826	144,774	.....	.....	17,826	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	.....	13,680	12,274	.....	12,274	.....					
Street and Public Building Lighting (P. U. C.)	.....	874,000	769,000	55,000	824,000	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....
Civil Service Commission	.....	53,870	45,387	.....	45,387	.....					
Public Pound	.....	17,000	16,150	.....	16,150	.....					
Vacated Positions	.....	.....	Dr. 75,000	.....	Dr. 75,000	.....					
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	Dr.901,410	901,410	.....	.....	.....	901,410	.....	.....	.....
Estimated Revenues From Schedule No. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,745,250	.....	11,638,667	.....	.....
Totals	.....	18,749,964	14,573,426	1,025,916	15,599,342	189,509	2,745,250	1,025,916	11,638,667	.....	.....

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 — Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses							
Marriage	10,000						
Business	670,000						
Vehicle	105,000						
Ferry	2,400						
Miscellaneous	20,000						
Penalties	12,000						
Beverage	150,000						
Total	969,400						969,400
Permits							
Building	32,000						
Bill Board	100						
House Numbers	1,500						
Street Space	3,000						
Police Department	4,000						
Fire Department	1,500						
Boiler Permits	6,000						
Total	48,100						48,100
Fines							
Municipal Courts	45,000						
Superior Courts	3,000						
Traffic Courts	60,000						
Total	108,000						108,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Interest Revenue	75,000						75,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes	120,000						120,000
Franchises							
Telephone	240,000						
Railway	45,000						
Total	285,000						285,000
Subventions							
State-Bond Interest and Redemption			80,000				
Orphans and Half Orphans	145,000						
Widows' Pensions	126,500						
Blind Pensions	42,500						
Tubercular Patients	48,000						
Fire Boats		74,644					
Needy Aged	170,000						
County Roads			1,000,000				
Common School Fund			2,034,500				
Total	532,000	74,644	3,114,500				3,721,144
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenues	20,000						
Advertising Fees	2,500						
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	3,000						
—Excavation Fees	9,000						
Bureau of Engineering—Special Inspection		12,000					

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) — Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Bureau of Sewer Repairs—Tearing Up Streets	.....	33,865	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—General	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—S. F. Hospital	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—Laguna Honda Home	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff Fees	53,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees	90,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder	100,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department—Power	2,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Electricity	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court—Maintenance	36,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator	45,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound	3,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
War Memorial	.....	.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department							
Rents—General	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—Auditorium	.....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—School	.....	.....	102,819	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund			Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds		General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Airport		19,000					
Park			332,591				
Recreation			30,500				
Library			20,000				
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor			500				
De Young Museum			500				
Installation Fund—Dept. of Electricity			2,500				
Common School Fund (Federal Aid)			7,500				
Total	607,750	114,865	516,910				1,239,525
Bond Sales							
1932 Hetch Hetchy				851,182			851,182
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative			2,040,050				
Municipal Railway			2,722,073				
Water Department			6,322,500				
Total			11,084,623				11,084,623
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund					1,025,916		
Bond Interest and Redemption						5,850,544	
Employees' Retirement Fund						670,500	
Public Utilities Commission						66,244	
De Young Museum						7,249	
Total					1,025,916	6,594,537	7,620,453

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued)

Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Taxes							
General Fund	11,638,667	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Common School Fund	.....	.....	5,802,891	.....	.....	.....	.....
Special School Tax	.....	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Library	.....	.....	308,589	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recreation	.....	.....	503,808	.....	.....	.....	.....
Park	.....	.....	750,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
De Young Museum	.....	.....	61,110	.....	.....	.....	.....
Calif. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	.....	60,178	.....	.....	.....	.....
War Memorial	.....	.....	71,385	.....	.....	.....	.....
Employees' Retirement	.....	.....	1,380,693	.....	.....	.....	.....
Workmen's Compensation	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	6,697,220	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	.....
Publicity and Advertising	.....	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Road	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway	.....	.....	111,733	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	11,638,667	.....	16,391,734	.....	.....	.....	28,030,401
Total Revenues Other Than Taxes	2,745,250	189,509	14,716,033	851,182	1,025,916	6,594,537	26,122,427
Total Revenues From Taxes	11,638,667	.....	16,391,734	.....	.....	.....	28,030,401
Total Revenues	14,383,917	189,509	31,107,767	851,182	1,025,916	6,594,537	54,152,828

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 — Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS								
1	Personal Service	65,465							
2	Non-Personal Service	7,000							
3	Audit of Controller's Books	10,000	82,465		82,465				
	MAYOR								
4	Personal Service	34,598							
5	Contractual Service	5,700	40,298		40,298				
6	Emergency Reserve (Charter Section 79)		300,000		300,000				
	ASSESSOR								
7	Personal Service—Permanent	122,811							
8	Personal Service—Temporary	99,254							
9	Contractual Service	6,270	228,335		228,335				
	CITY ATTORNEY								
10	Personal Service	69,578							
11	Contractual Service	8,949	78,527		64,957				
	From Public Utilities Commission					13,570			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY								
12	Personal Service	89,165							
13	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals	1,995							
13-1	District Attorney's Secret Fund (Ord. 8625)	2,850	94,010		94,010				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TREASURER								
14	Personal Service	39,504							
15	Contractual Service	252	39,756		39,756				
	SHERIFF								
16	Personal Service—Permanent	233,193							
16-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,625							
17	Contractual Service	5,510							
17-1	Materials and Supplies	16,315							
17-2	Foodstuffs	27,185	293,828		283,828				
	Maintenance of Federal Prisoners			10,000					
	PUBLIC DEFENDER								
19	Personal Service	15,482	15,482		15,482				
	POLICE DEPARTMENT								
20	Personal Service	2,959,874							
21	Contractual Service	23,750							
22	Materials and Supplies—City Prison	5,131							
22-1	Materials & Supplies—Stations & Bureaus	31,919							
23	Contingent Fund	9,000							
24	Equipment	20,000	3,049,674		3,049,674				
	FIRE DEPARTMENT								
25	Personal Service	2,544,595							
26	Personal Service—Fire Boats	130,991							
27	Contractual Service	30,400							
27-1	Fixed Charges	1,200							
27-2	Materials and Supplies	28,500							
28	Equipment	59,250	2,794,936	74,644	2,720,292				

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS								
29	Personal Service	7,625							
30	Contractual Service	95	7,720		7,720				
	PARK DEPARTMENT								
31	Operation & Maintenance (Park Fund)	1,060,724							
31-1	Mt. Davidson Improvements (Park Fund)	21,867	1,082,591				1,082,591		
31-2	Convenience Stations	20,000							
32	Palace of Fine Arts	35,000							
33	Fleishhacker Playfield	44,469	99,469		99,469				
	Total Park Department		1,182,060						
	RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Recreation Fund)								
36	Personal and Non-Personal		534,308				534,308		
	LIBRARY DEPARTMENT (Library Fund)								
37	Personal and Non-Personal		328,589				328,589		
	WAR MEMORIAL (War Memorial Fund)								
38-1	Personal Service—Permanent	50,968							
38-2	Personal Service—Temporary	4,857							
38-3	Contractual Service	27,835							
38-4	Materials and Supplies	5,225							
38-5	Services of Other Departments	2,500	91,385				91,385		

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	ART COMMISSION								
39	Personal Service	3,525							
40	Contractual Service	712							
41	Municipal Band	15,000							
42	Municipal Pop Concerts	6,500							
43	Municipal Pop Concerts Rent	1,000							
44	Municipal Chorus Director	4,000							
45	Municipal Chorus Expense	800							
47	Christmas Eve Celebration	500	32,037		32,037				
	CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR (California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund)								
48	Personal Service—Permanent	35,792							
48-1	Personal Service—Temporary	962							
48-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	1,000							
48-3	Services of Other Departments	7,249							
48-4	Non-Personal	15,675	60,678				60,678		
	DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (De Young Memorial Museum Fund)								
49	Personal Service—Permanent	62,695							
49-1	Personal Service—Temporary	819							
49-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	500							
49-3	Non-Personal	4,845	68,859				61,610		
	From Cal. Palace Legion of Honor							7,249	
	AQUARIUM								
51	Personal and Non-Personal Service	40,500	40,500		40,500				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	AIRPORT							
52	Personal Service Permanent	11,447						
52-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,340						
53	Contractual Service	9,913						
53-1	Fixed Charges	2,458						
54	Proportion P. U. Commission Expense	600						
55	Materials and Supplies	1,482						
56	Land Purchases	105,000						
56-1	Buildings	23,850						
56-2	Equipment	1,700	167,790	19,000	148,790			
	MUNICIPAL COURT							
57	Personal Service	175,417						
57-1	Contractual Service	35						
58	Court Reporters	18,000						
59	Reporters' Transcriptions	6,000						
60	Jury and Witness Fees	1,000	200,452		200,452			
	SUPERIOR COURT							
61	Personal Service	115,060						
61-1	Court Reporters	13,500						
61-2	Reporters' Transcriptions	16,500						
61-3	Jury and Witness Fees	30,000						
62	Court Orders	5,000						
63	Examination of Insane	10,000						
66	Grand Jury	11,000	201,060		201,060			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	LAW LIBRARY							
67	Personal Service	9,323		9,323				
	JUVENILE COURT							
68	Personal Service	57,119						
69	Contractual Service	2,024						
70	Maintenance of Minors	625,000						
71	State Schools	20,000	704,143		704,143			
	JUVENILE DETENTION HOME							
72	Personal Service—Permanent	18,650						
72-1	Personal Service—Temporary	1,000						
73	Contractual Service	1,235						
73-1	Materials and Supplies	4,203						
73-2	Foodstuffs	2,295	27,383		27,383			
	ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT							
74	Personal Service	23,887						
75	Contractual Service	537	24,424		24,424			
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER							
76	Personal Service	15,015						
77	Non-Personal Service	1,500	16,515		16,515			
78	Publicity and Advertising		165,000			165,000		

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

BILLS OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER—Cont'd.								
80	Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	.....	.....	.....	6,777,220	.....	.....
	From Water Department								
	1928 Spring Valley Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000,000	.....
	1928 Spring Valley Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,665,000	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	150,000	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	250,000	.....
	Newark-San Lorenzo Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	47,025	.....
	From Municipal Railway Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	201,000	.....
	Municipal Railway Interest	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	102,045	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy 1932's	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	357,437	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,621,287	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	260,000	.....
	From Sewer Bonds, 1929	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	196,750	.....
81	Tax Judgments (Tax Judgment Fund)	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	.....	.....
82	Auto Liability Claims	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
83	Premium Official Bonds	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
84	Taxes Refunded	8,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
85	Money Refunded	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
86	Rental 333 Kearny Street	10,752	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
87	Rental Pipe Yard	7,400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88	Other Judgments (6 Painters)	26,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-1	Maintenance of Criminal Insane & Narcotics	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-2	Feeble Minded	130,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
88-3	Transportation of Indigent Insane	3,000	230,152	.....	230,152	.....	.....	.....	.....
	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS								
89	Personal Service	5,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TAX COLLECTOR								
90	Personal Service—Permanent	80,118							
90-A	Personal Service—Permanent (Delinquent Revenue)	5,739							
91	Personal Service—Temporary	35,689							
92	Contractual Service	5,083	126,629		126,629				
	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS								
94	Personal Service—Permanent	53,633							
95	Personal Service—Temporary Clerks	20,335							
96	Personal Service—Election Workers	22,275							
97	Contractual Service	35,459							
98	Equipment	50,000	181,702		181,702				
	RECORDER								
99	Personal Service	84,982	84,982		84,982				
	COUNTY CLERK								
100	Personal Service	133,625							
101	Non-Personal Service	55	133,680		133,680				
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR								
102	Personal Service	32,602							
103	Contractual Service	887	33,489		33,489				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT							
104	Personal Service	114,816						
105	Contractual Service	256,287						
	Telephone and Telegraph							
	Art Commission	25						
	Assessor	500						
	Board of Permit Appeals	20						
	Board of Supervisors	500						
	Chief Administrative Officer	1,000						
	City Planning Commission	35						
	Controller	150						
	Coroner	250						
	County Clerk	105						
	County Welfare	90						
	Dept. of Electricity	400						
	Dept. of Public Works	600						
	Fire Department	1,070						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,800						
	Juvenile Court	200						
	Municipal Court	250						
	Police Dept.	3,800						
	Public Administrator	300						
	Public Defender	100						
	Purchaser of Supplies	150						
	Sheriff	400						
	Tax Collector	85						
	Superior Courts	900						
	Treasurer	140						
	Adult Probation	85						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Telephone and Telegraph—Continued							
	City Attorney	400						
	Civil Service	75						
	District Attorney	525						
	Real Estate Dept.	265						
	Recorder.	80						
	Retirement System	25						
	Registrar of Voters	165						
	Sealer of Weights and Measures.	35						
		17,525						
	Shop Expense							
	Dept. of Public Works	32,340						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,410						
	Fire Department	122,843						
	Assessor	196						
	Chief Administrative Officer	98						
	Controller.	98						
	Coroner.	490						
	Dept. of Electricity	980						
	Juvenile Court	98						
	Juvenile Detention Home	245						
	Mayor.	196						
	Purchasing.	49						
	Registrar.	49						
	Sheriff.	686						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Shop Expense—Continued							
	Supervisors.	98						
	Tax Collector	196						
	Gas & Elect. Service for Shop..	2,682						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures...	294						
	Registrar of Voters.....	98						
		166,146						
	Towel Service							
	Dept. of Electricity.....	140						
	Dept. of Public Works.....	2,750						
	Fire Department	25						
	Police Department	676						
		3,591						
	Transportation							
	Rental of Truck—306 Days.....	2,142						
	Scavenger Service							
	Department of Electricity.....	23						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued								
105	Contractual Service—Continued								
	Scavenger Service—Continued								
	Dept. of Public Works.....	590							
	Fire Department	1,176							
	Dept. of Public Health.....	779							
	Juvenile Detention Home.....	41							
	Police Department	214							
	Sheriff.	144							
		2,967							
	Other Contractual Service								
	Advertising Res. & Ordinances..	30,000							
	Printing Public Documents.....	3,000							
	Printing Journal & Calendars..	20,000							
	Rental of Tabulating Machines.	4,446							
	McGill Commodity Service.....	60							
	Repairs to Office Equipment....	30							
	Gas & Electricity—Warehouse..	330							
	Miscellaneous Contractual Ser..	50							
	License Tags, Badges, Plates....	6,000							
		63,916							
106	Materials and Supplies.....		107,126	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Printing, Stationery, Postage								
	Adult Probation	490							
	Agricultural Commission	245							
	Art Commission	221							
	Assessor	13,230							

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Board of Permit Appeals	59						
	Board of Supervisors	882						
	Chief Administrative Officer	245						
	City Attorney	490						
	City Planning Commission	128						
	Civil Service	2,646						
	Controller	10,290						
	Coroner	882						
	County Clerk	3,920						
	County Welfare	1,764						
	Dept. of Electricity	980						
	Dept. of Finance & Records	172						
	Dept. of Public Health	14,700						
	Dept. of Public Works	4,900						
	District Attorney	980						
	Fire Department	2,058						
	Juvenile Court	882						
	Law Library	343						
	Mayor	1,470						
	Municipal Court	5,292						
	Police Department	9,800						
	Public Administrator	1,274						
	Public Defender	98						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Purchasing.	6,860						
	Real Estate Dept.....	784						
	Recorder.	4,900						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures..	245						
	Superior Court	1,029						
	Superior Court—Secretary	1,470						
	Sheriff.	2,107						
	Tax Collector	5,880						
	Treasurer.	1,176						
		102,892						
	Gas and Oil							
	Registrar of Voters.....	59						
	Street Cleaning Dept.....	735						
	Sewer Repair	980						
	Public Works, General.....	368						
	Building Repair	260						
	Traffic.	64						
	Building Inspection	69						
	Engineering.	441						
	Tax Collector	392						
	Registrar.	79						
	Sheriff.	182						
	Assessor.	94						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Gas and Oil—Continued							
	Juvenile Court 157							
	Central Shop No. 2..... 285							
	Purchaser. 49							
	Police Department 20							
	4,234							
107	Equipment	17,097	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Furniture & Office Equipment... 8,000							
	Warehouse Equipment 2,000							
	Postage Machines & Meters..... 1,716							
	Stoves, Heaters, Boilers — Fire Dept. 750							
	Lin., Rugs, Carpet & Shades — Fire Dept. 500							
	City Planning 100							
	Juvenile Court (3 Typewriters). 300							
	Sheriff (Office Equipment)..... 200							
	Municipal Court (File Cabinet) 1,000							
	Juvenile Detention Home..... 500							
	Chief Administrative Officer.... 250							
	Finance and Records..... 50							
	Recorder. 300							
	Tax Collector 250							

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued								
107	Equipment—Continued								
	Public Administrator 160								
	Dept. of Public Works..... 500								
	County Welfare 459								
	Agricultural Commission 62								
		17,097	.....	495,326	.....	462,802	.....	.....	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Construction.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,604	.....	.....	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Power.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,564	.....	.....	.....
	Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,422	.....	.....	.....
	Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,725	.....	.....	.....
	Park Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,651	.....	.....	.....
	School Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,558	.....	.....	.....
	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT								
108	Personal Service	10,898	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
109	Contractual Service	1,140	12,038	.....	12,038	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Auditorium								
110	Personal Service—Permanent	24,773	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
110-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,556	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111	Contractual Service	10,483	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111-1	Materials and Supplies.....	5,700	50,512	40,000	9,265	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From Board of Health.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,247	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS							
	General							
112	Personal Service—Director	6,560						
112-1	Personal Service—General Office	24,008						
112-2	Personal Service—Telephone Exchange	10,152	40,720		40,720			
	Bureau of Accounts							
113	Personal Service	22,536						
114	Contractual Service	179	22,715		22,715			
	Bureau of Building Repair							
116	Personal Service, Superintendent	18,760						
116-1	Personal Service—Maintenance	189,938						
116-2	Personal Service, Wages	56,039						
116-3	Truck Hire	1,916						
117	Contractual Service	2,802						
117-1	Services of Other Departments	600						
117-5	Materials and Supplies	9,500						
117-6	Janitorial Supplies	5,700						
117-7	Engine Room Supplies	18,050						
118	Repairs to Public Buildings	47,006	350,311		350,311			
	Bureau of Building Inspection							
119	Personal Service	34,050						
120	Contractual Service	2,462	36,512		36,512			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd.								
	Bureau of Engineering								
121	Personal Service	164,004	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
122	Contractual Service	9,880	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
122-1	Materials and Supplies	4,560	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
123	Contractual Service—Special Inspection.	12,000	.....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
124	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers	9,000	199,444	.....	187,444	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Central Permit Bureau								
125	Personal Service	14,175	14,175	.....	14,175	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Street Cleaning								
126	Personal Service, Salaries	16,443	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
126-1	Personal Service, Wages	376,872	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
126-2	Truck Hire	18,288	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
127	Contractual Service	2,521	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
127-1	Services of Other Departments	1,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
127-2	Materials and Supplies	7,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
127-3	Equipment	1,200	424,624	.....	424,624	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	Sewer Repair								
128	Personal Service, Permanent	11,175	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
128-1	Personal Service, Wages	139,321	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
128-2	Team and Truck Hire	24,718	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
129	Contractual Service	180	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
129-1	Services of Other Departments	8,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
130	Materials and Supplies	14,250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
130-1	Equipment	6,750	204,994	.....	204,994	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Tearing Up Streets (Side Sewers)								
132	Personal and Non-Personal Service	33,865	33,865	33,865	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Sewerage Pumping Station								
133	Personal Service	2,034	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
134	Contractual Service	1,045	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
134-1	Materials and Supplies	190	3,269	.....	3,269	.....	.....	.....	.....
	County Road Fund								
	Street Repair								
135	Personal Service	8,415	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
135-1	Wages	168,710	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
135-2	Truck Hire	18,427	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
136-1	Services of Other Departments	8,659	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
136	Contractual Service	14,128	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137	Materials and Supplies	54,917	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-1	Equipment	10,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-2	Fixed Charges	17,667	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
137-3	Street Reconstruction (Jobs Over $1,000)	50,000	351,223	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	County Road Fund—Continued								
	Bridges								
138	Personal Service	49,189							
139	Contractual Service	2,923							
139-1	Materials and Supplies	549							
139-2	Services of Other Departments	1,626	54,287						
	General								
140	Bond Interest and Redemption	260,000							
141	Street Lighting	55,000							
142	Leavenworth Land Purchase—Interest	4,380							
145	Joint Highway District No. 10	50,000							
146	Traffic Lines	8,322							
147	Traffic (Services of Dept. of Elec.)	5,000							
148	Traffic (Services of Police Dept.)	8,500							
149	Street Signs	5,000							
150	Street Construction	100,000							
150-1	Services of Bureau of Engineering	30,000							
150-2	Services of Real Estate Dept.	5,000							
150-3	Castro and Divisadero Streets	10,000							
150-4	San Francisco and Oakland Bay Bridge Approaches	97,500							
	Unappropriated Balance	57,898	696,600						
	Total County Road		1,102,110				1,102,110		
	Total Department of Public Works		2,432,739						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY								
154	Personal Service	161,371	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
155	Contractual Service	1,415	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
155-1	Materials and Supplies	15,352	178,138	.....	173,799	.....	.....	.....	.....
		.....	.....	.....	.....	4,339	.....	.....	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....							
156	Installation Fund	.....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH								
	Central Office								
157	Personal Service	476,123	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
158	Contractual Service	26,305	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
159	Materials and Supplies	16,184	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
160	Fixed Charges	13,230	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
161	Equipment	2,000	533,842	.....	533,842	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Laguna Honda Home								
162	Personal Service	199,781	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
163	Contractual Service	23,374	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
164	Materials and Supplies	65,436	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
165	Foodstuffs	225,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
166	Equipment	1,000	514,591	.....	514,591	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Isolation Hospital								
167	Personal Service	37,503	37,503	.....	37,503	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—Cont'd.								
	San Francisco Hospital								
168	Personal Service	640,285	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
169	Contractual Service	77,772	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
170	Materials and Supplies	145,018	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
171	Foodstuffs	230,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
173	Equipment	1,000	1,094,075	.....	1,094,075	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Emergency Hospital								
174	Personal Service	156,765	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
175	Contractual Service	10,935	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
176	Materials and Supplies	9,710	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
177	Foodstuffs	3,140	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
179	Equipment	250	180,800	.....	180,800	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Hassler Health Home								
180	Personal Service	42,467	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
181	Contractual Service	3,933	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
182	Materials and Supplies	10,260	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
183	Foodstuffs	32,450	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
184	Fixed Charges	436	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
185	Equipment	500	90,046	.....	90,046	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total Department of Health	.....	2,450,857	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT							
186	Personal Service—Permanent	35,994						
187	Contractual Service	1,045						
188	Widows' Pensions	230,000						
189	Maintenance of Aged	340,000						
190	Blind Pensions	85,000	692,039		692,039			
	CORONER							
191	Personal Service	41,882						
192	Contractual Service	537						
192-1	Materials and Supplies	855	43,274		43,274			
	AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION							
193	Personal Service	12,194						
194	Contractual Service	2,271	14,465		14,465			
	DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES							
195	Personal Service	19,800						
196	Contractual Service	285						
196-1	Materials and Supplies	333						
196-2	Equipment	200	20,618		20,618			
	CONTROLLER							
197	Personal Service—Permanent	132,101						
198	Personal Service—Temporary	5,580						
199	Contractual Service	4,513						
200	Equipment	2,580	144,774		126,948			
	From Municipal Railway				2,888			
	Special School				5,343			
	Common School				6,139			
	Park Department				1,782			
	Water Department				1,674			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION								
201	Personal Service	11,780	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
202	Contractual	418	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
203	Materials and Supplies	76	12,274	.....	12,274	.....	.....	.....	.....
	PUBLIC UTILITIES								
	Public Utilities Commission								
204	Personal Service	27,978	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
206	Legal Expense	13,570	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207	Contractual Service	20,615	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-1	Materials and Supplies	855	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-2	Equipment	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
207-3	Fixed Charges	1,226	66,244	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From Municipal Airport	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	600	.....
	From Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,500	.....
	From Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	23,866	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,278	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Water	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	28,000	.....
	Lighting of Public Streets								
208	Personal and Non-Personal Service	780,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Lighting of Public Buildings								
209	Personal and Non-Personal Service	44,000	824,000	.....	769,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—(Continued)								
	Municipal Railway								
210	Personal Service	1,731,256	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
211	Contractual Service	488,205	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
211-1	Services of Other Departments	40,447	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
212	Materials and Supplies	49,679	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
214	Fixed Charges	505,651	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
214-1	Replacements	175,568	2,990,806	.....	.....	.....	2,990,806	.....	.....
	Water Department								
216	Personal Service	784,026	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
217	Contractual Service	457,816	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
218	Materials and Supplies	148,366	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
219	Fixed Charges	415,895	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
220	Additions and Betterments	660,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
221	Equipment—Automobiles and Trucks	7,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
222	Bond Interest and Redemption	3,112,025	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
223	Services of Other Departments	35,962	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
224	Appropriation to General Fund	901,410	6,522,500	.....	.....	.....	6,522,500	.....	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Power Operative								
225	Personal Service	181,704	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227	Contractual Service	37,833	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227-1	Materials and Supplies	58,969	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227-2	Equipment	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
228	Services of Other Departments	45,257	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
229	Depreciation	41,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
229-1	Fixed Charges	35,200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
230	Taxes	12,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
231	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,621,287	2,040,050	.....	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—(Continued)								
	Hetch Hetchy Construction								
235-1	Coast Range Tunnel	180,980	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-2	Interest During Construction	357,438	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-3	Lands and Rights of Way Damage Claims	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-4	Legal Expense	75,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-5	Balance—General and Miscellaneous	149,764	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
237	Proportion of P. U. Commission Expense	28,000	851,182	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	851,182
	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT								
238	Common School Fund (Tentative)	.....	7,947,710	.....	.....	.....	7,947,710	.....	.....
245	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	.....	.....
	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION .								
248	Personal Service—Permanent	34,899	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
248-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
249	Contractual Service	1,188	45,387	.....	45,387	.....	.....	.....	.....
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Employees' Retirement Fund)								
250	Personal Service	26,517	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251	Contractual Service	1,364	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251-1	Materials and Supplies	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251-2	Equipment	215	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
252	Fixed Charges	2,027,897	2,056,193	.....	.....	.....	1,385,693	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM—Cont.						
252	Fixed Charges—Continued						
	From Library Fund					6,350	
	From Common School Fund					432,000	
	From Park Fund					33,000	
	From Special School Tax					7,500	
	From Recreation Fund					10,150	
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund					6,500	
	From Water Revenue Fund					45,000	
	From Miscellaneous Bond Funds, etc.					30,000	
	From Municipal Railway Fund					100,000	
253	Workmen's Compensation Insurance	25,000			25,000		
254	PUBLIC POUND	16,150		16,150			
260	VACATED POSITIONS	Dr. 75,000		Cr. 75,000			
		54,616,838	189,509	15,285,327	124,506	31,571,777	6,594,537	851,182
	Transfer from Water Department			Cr.901,410	901,410			
	TOTALS . .	54,616,838	189,509	14,383,917	1,025,916	31,571,777	6,594,537	851,182

Personal Service included herein to be detailed subsequently in Salary Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 24

Monday, May 29, 1933
Wednesday, May 31, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

San Mateo County, California

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 29, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 29, 1933, 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Clerk called the roll and there was no response.

Whereupon the Clerk announced that the Board would meet on the next legislature day, Wednesday, May 31, 1933.

F. MORAN,
Bond and Ordinance Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, May 31, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shanon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Power, Roncovieri—4.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of May 15, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was on motion *laid over until June* 12, 1933, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 4 *p. m. that day*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02.)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the

easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5465 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE, 1933-34

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 320, Ordinance No. 9.05164, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided. Such estimated receipts, revenue, and income are computed on the accrual basis and cash received in payment thereof either before or after the close of the fiscal year shall not be considered as income or revenue but as payment on account.

The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance subject to the limitations provided herein. Where revenues for any fund or department are provided herein by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer. And provided further, that in the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated or established, the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 260 and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made and no eligible shall be certified by the Civil Service Commission for the filling of such vacancy unless the requisition for such eligible is approved by the Mayor and until the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amount reserved.

Appropriations for bond interest or redemption, fixed charges and other appropriations not allocated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the Chief Administrative Officer, for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 80 of the Charter. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor, or reimbursement is recollectible in full from the departments, persons or institutions for which the service is rendered, shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of such receipts or collections. Each Department head to whom such a provisional appropriation is made shall file with the Controller monthly an estimate in detail of the proposed expenditures for the ensuing period, also a statement of the amount of expenditures made and the receipts or reimbursements received or receivable since the last report.

The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein, subject to the provisions of the Charter dealing with the appropriation and transfer of funds, the certification of obligations and the payment of warrants.

Section 3. The sums appropriated by this appropriation ordinance to the several departments shall be expended in accordance with a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as determined by the Controller and agreed to by the Mayor for departments under his jurisdiction and/or the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure, and shall not approve the incurring of liability on any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Mayor or Chief Administrative Officer and the approval of the Controller.

The several items of expenditure appropriated to the several departments being based upon estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to revise estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a potential shortage, the Controller may hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation payable from taxes made by this ordinance shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department unless otherwise specified herein.

Section 4. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as provided in Section 3.

Section 5. The existing Special and Trust funds are hereby continued and the receipts in, and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is authorized to set up additional special and trust funds as they may be created by additional bequests or other conditions.

Section 6. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
GENERAL FUND—											
From Schedule 1	.....	18,749,964	14,573,426	1,025,916	15,599,342	189,509	2,745,250	1,025,916	11,638,667	15,599,342	.....
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS											
Common School (Tentative)	.....	8,837,710	7,947,710	.....	7,947,710	.....	2,144,819	.....	5,802,891	7,947,710	.....
Special School (Tentative)	.....	360,000	360,000	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	360,000	.....
Library Department	.....	339,659	328,589	.....	328,589	.....	20,000	See Note (1)	308,589	328,589	.....
Recreation Department	.....	614,815	534,308	.....	534,308	.....	30,500	See Note (2)	503,808	534,308	.....
Park Department	.....	1,283,858	1,082,591	.....	1,082,591	.....	332,591	See Note (3)	750,000	1,082,591	.....
De Young Memorial Museum	.....	85,000	61,610	7,249	68,859	.....	500	7,249	61,110	68,859	.....
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	85,000	60,678	.....	60,678	.....	500	.....	60,178	60,678	.....
War Memorial	.....	109,013	91,385	.....	91,385	.....	20,000	.....	71,385	91,385	.....
Employees' Retirement Fund	5,000	2,843,300	1,385,693	670,500	2,056,193	.....	.....	670,500	1,380,693	2,051,193	.....
Workmen's Compensation	.....	120,526	25,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000	25,000	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	12,627,764	6,777,220	5,850,544	12,627,764	.....	80,000	5,850,544	6,697,220	12,627,764	.....
Tax Judgments	.....	94,027	94,027	.....	94,027	.....	.....	.....	94,027	94,027	.....
Publicity and Advertising	.....	175,000	165,000	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	165,000	.....
County Road Fund	102,010	1,102,110	1,102,110	.....	1,102,110	.....	1,000,000	.....	100	1,000,100	.....
Water Department	725,000	7,085,802	6,522,500	.....	6,522,500	.....	6,322,500	.....	.....	6,322,500	525,000
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	2,040,050	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....
Public Utilities Commission	.....	74,826	.....	66,244	66,244	.....	.....	66,244	.....	66,244	.....
Municipal Railway	157,000	3,192,190	2,990,806	.....	2,990,806	.....	2,722,073	.....	111,733	2,833,806	.....
Installation Fund Dept. of Elec.	.....	2,500	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	2,500	.....	.....	2,500	.....
BOND FUNDS											
1932 Hetch Hetchy	.....	851,182	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	851,182	.....	.....	851,182	.....
Totals	989,010	60,674,296	46,996,385	7,620,453	54,616,838	189,509	18,312,465	7,620,453	28,030,401	54,152,828	525,000

Note (1) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of four cents (4c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (2) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of seven cents (7c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, less salary deductions, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

Note (3) That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be produced by a levy of ten cents (10c) on each $100.00 of the 1933-34 assessment roll, and should said levy not produce the amount specified above, said appropriation shall be reduced to conform with the amount produced.

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Board of Supervisors	.....	93,780	82,465	.....	82,465	.....					
Mayor	.....	46,180	40,298	.....	40,298	.....					
Emergency Reserve	.....	300,000	300,000	.....	300,000	.....					
Assessor	.....	258,185	228,335	.....	228,335	.....					
City Attorney	.....	91,425	64,957	13,570	78,527	.....	.....	13,570	.....	.....	.....
District Attorney	.....	107,480	94,010	.....	94,010	.....					
Treasurer	.....	46,066	39,756	.....	39,756	.....					
Sheriff	.....	355,551	293,828	.....	293,828	10,000					
Public Defender	.....	18,380	15,482	.....	15,482	.....					
Police Department	.....	3,520,862	3,049,674	.....	3,049,674	.....					
Fire Department	.....	3,225,873	2,794,936	.....	2,794,936	74,644					
Board of Permit Appeals	.....	8,240	7,720	.....	7,720	.....					
Park Department	.....	532,113	99,469	.....	99,469	.....					
Art Commission	.....	34,900	32,037	.....	32,037	.....					
Steinhart Aquarium	.....	50,000	40,500	.....	40,500	.....					
Airport	.....	186,114	167,790	.....	167,790	19,000					
Municipal Court	.....	221,415	200,452	.....	200,452	.....					
Superior Court	.....	221,480	201,060	.....	201,060	.....					
Law Library	.....	10,800	9,323	.....	9,323	.....					
Juvenile Court	.....	729,870	704,143	.....	704,143	.....					
Juvenile Detention Home	.....	29,795	27,383	.....	27,383	.....					
Adult Probation Department	.....	28,335	24,424	.....	24,424	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer	.....	20,000	16,515	.....	16,515	.....					
Chief Administrative Officer General Appropriations	.....	934,552	230,152	.....	230,152	.....					
Finance and Records	.....	8,100	5,100	.....	5,100	.....					
Finance and Records—Tax Collector	.....	145,165	126,629	.....	126,629	.....					

(Schedule I continued on next page)

	Balance 6-30-33	Budget Request	Budget Allowance			Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts	Balance 6-30-34
			From Revenue	By Transfer	Total						
Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters	.....	234,166	181,702	.....	181,702	.....					
Finance and Records—Recorder	.....	100,100	84,982	.....	84,982	.....					
Finance and Records—County Clerk	.....	153,378	133,680	.....	133,680	.....					
Public Administrator	.....	39,184	33,489	.....	33,489	.....					
Purchasing Department	.....	547,452	462,802	32,524	495,326	.....	.....	32,524	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department	.....	18,300	12,038	.....	12,038	.....					
Real Estate Department—Auditorium	.....	57,159	49,265	1,247	50,512	40,000	.....	1,247	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Works	.....	1,476,476	1,330,629	.....	1,330,629	45,865					
Department of Electricity	.....	201,578	173,799	4,339	178,138	.....	.....	4,339	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Health	.....	2,696,085	2,450,857	.....	2,450,857	.....					
County Welfare Department	.....	787,260	692,039	.....	692,039	.....					
Coroner	.....	49,245	43,274	.....	43,274	.....					
Agricultural Commission	.....	19,290	14,465	.....	14,465	.....					
Dept. of Weights and Measures	.....	23,650	20,618	.....	20,618	.....					
Controller	.....	163,430	126,948	17,826	144,774	.....	.....	17,826	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	.....	13,680	12,274	.....	12,274	.....					
Street and Public Building Lighting (P. U. C.)	.....	874,000	769,000	55,000	824,000	.....	.....	55,000	.....	.....	.....
Civil Service Commission	.....	53,870	45,387	.....	45,387	.....					
Public Pound	.....	17,000	16,150	.....	16,150	.....					
Vacated Positions	.....	.....	Dr. 75,000	.....	Dr. 75,000	.....					
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	Dr.901,410	901,410	.....	.....	.....	901,410	.....	.....	.....
Estimated Revenues From Schedule No. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,745,250	.....	11,638,667	.....	.....
Totals	.....	18,749,964	14,573,426	1,025,916	15,599,342	189,509	2,745,250	1,025,916	11,638,667	.....	.....

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 — Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses							
Marriage	10,000						
Business	670,000						
Vehicle	105,000						
Ferry	2,400						
Miscellaneous	20,000						
Penalties	12,000						
Beverage	150,000						
Total	969,400						969,400
Permits							
Building	32,000						
Bill Board	100						
House Numbers	1,500						
Street Space	3,000						
Police Department	4,000						
Fire Department	1,500						
Boiler Permits	6,000						
Total	48,100						48,100
Fines							
Municipal Courts	45,000						
Superior Courts	3,000						
Traffic Courts	60,000						
Total	108,000						108,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Interest Revenue	75,000						75,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes	120,000						120,000
Franchises							
Telephone	240,000						
Railway	45,000						
Total	285,000						285,000
Subventions							
State-Bond Interest and Redemption			80,000				
Orphans and Half Orphans	145,000						
Widows' Pensions	126,500						
Blind Pensions	42,500						
Tubercular Patients	48,000						
Fire Boats		74,644					
Needy Aged	170,000						
County Roads			1,000,000				
Common School Fund			2,034,500				
Total	532,000	74,644	3,114,500				3,721,144
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenues	20,000						
Advertising Fees	2,500						
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	3,000						
—Excavation Fees	9,000						
Bureau of Engineering—Special Inspection		12,000					

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Bureau of Sewer Repairs—Tearing Up Streets	.....	33,865	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—General	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—S. F. Hospital	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Health Department—Laguna Honda Home	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff Fees	53,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees	85,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees	90,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder	100,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department—Power	2,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Electricity	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court—Maintenance	36,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator	45,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound	3,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
War Memorial	.....	.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission	400	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Real Estate Department							
Rents—General	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—Auditorium	.....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents—School	.....	.....	102,819	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund				Receipts by Transfer		
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Airport	.....	19,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Park	.....	.....	332,591	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recreation	.....	.....	30,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Library	.....	.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Cal. Palace Legion of Honor	.....	.....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....
De Young Museum	.....	.....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Installation Fund—Dept. of Electricity	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Common School Fund (Federal Aid)	.....	.....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	607,750	114,865	516,910	.....	.....	.....	1,239,525
Bond Sales							
1932 Hetch Hetchy	.....	.....	.....	851,182	.....	.....	851,182
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway	.....	.....	2,722,073	.....	.....	.....	.....
Water Department	.....	.....	6,322,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	11,084,623	.....	.....	.....	11,084,623
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,025,916	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,850,544	.....
Employees' Retirement Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	670,500	.....
Public Utilities Commission	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	66,244	.....
De Young Museum	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,249	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,025,916	6,594,537	7,620,453

(Schedule II continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1933-1934 (Continued) Schedule II

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Taxes							
General Fund	11,638,667						
Common School Fund			5,802,891				
Special School Tax			360,000				
Library			308,589				
Recreation			503,808				
Park			750,000				
De Young Museum			61,110				
Calif. Palace Legion of Honor			60,178				
War Memorial			71,385				
Employees' Retirement			1,380,693				
Workmen's Compensation			25,000				
Bond Interest and Redemption			6,697,220				
Tax Judgments			94,027				
Publicity and Advertising			165,000				
County Road			100				
Municipal Railway			111,733				
Total	11,638,667		16,391,734				28,030,401
Total Revenues Other Than Taxes	2,745,250	189,509	14,716,033	851,182	1,025,916	6,594,537	26,122,427
Total Revenues From Taxes	11,638,667		16,391,734				28,030,401
Total Revenues	14,383,917	189,509	31,107,767	851,182	1,025,916	6,594,537	54,152,828

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS								
1	Personal Service	65,465							
2	Non-Personal Service	7,000							
3	Audit of Controller's Books	10,000	82,465		82,465				
	MAYOR								
4	Personal Service	34,598							
5	Contractual Service	5,700	40,298		40,298				
6	Emergency Reserve (Charter Section 79)		300,000		300,000				
	ASSESSOR								
7	Personal Service—Permanent	122,811							
8	Personal Service—Temporary	99,254							
9	Contractual Service	6,270	228,335		228,335				
	CITY ATTORNEY								
10	Personal Service	69,578							
11	Contractual Service	8,949	78,527		64,957				
	From Public Utilities Commission					13,570			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY								
12	Personal Service	89,165							
13	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals	1,995							
13-1	District Attorney's Secret Fund (Ord. 8625)	2,850	94,010		94,010				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TREASURER								
14	Personal Service	39,504							
15	Contractual Service	252	39,756		39,756				
	SHERIFF								
16	Personal Service—Permanent	233,193							
16-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,625							
17	Contractual Service	5,510							
17-1	Materials and Supplies	16,315							
17-2	Foodstuffs	27,185	293,828		283,828				
	Maintenance of Federal Prisoners			10,000					
	PUBLIC DEFENDER								
19	Personal Service	15,482	15,482		15,482				
	POLICE DEPARTMENT								
20	Personal Service	2,959,874							
21	Contractual Service	23,750							
22	Materials and Supplies—City Prison	5,131							
22-1	Materials & Supplies—Stations & Bureaus	31,919							
23	Contingent Fund	9,000							
24	Equipment	20,000	3,049,674		3,049,674				
	FIRE DEPARTMENT								
25	Personal Service	2,544,595							
26	Personal Service—Fire Boats	130,991							
27	Contractual Service	30,400							
27-1	Fixed Charges	1,200							
27-2	Materials and Supplies	28,500							
28	Equipment	59,250	2,794,936	74,644	2,720,292				

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS								
29	Personal Service	7,625							
30	Contractual Service	95	7,720		7,720				
	PARK DEPARTMENT								
31	Operation & Maintenance (Park Fund)	1,060,724							
31-1	Mt. Davidson Improvements (Park Fund)	21,867	1,082,591				1,082,591		
31-2	Convenience Stations	20,000							
32	Palace of Fine Arts	35,000							
33	Fleishhacker Playfield	44,469	99,469		99,469				
	Total Park Department		1,182,060						
	RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Recreation Fund)								
36	Personal and Non-Personal		534,308				534,308		
	LIBRARY DEPARTMENT (Library Fund)								
37	Personal and Non-Personal		328,589				328,589		
	WAR MEMORIAL (War Memorial Fund)								
38-1	Personal Service—Permanent	50,968							
38-2	Personal Service—Temporary	4,857							
38-3	Contractual Service	27,835							
38-4	Materials and Supplies	5,225							
38-5	Services of Other Departments	2,500	91,385				91,385		

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	ART COMMISSION								
39	Personal Service	3,525							
40	Contractual Service	712							
41	Municipal Band	15,000							
42	Municipal Pop Concerts	6,500							
43	Municipal Pop Concerts Rent	1,000							
44	Municipal Chorus Director	4,000							
45	Municipal Chorus Expense	800							
47	Christmas Eve Celebration	500	32,037		32,037				
	CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR (California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund)								
48	Personal Service—Permanent	35,792							
48-1	Personal Service—Temporary	962							
48-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	1,000							
48-3	Services of Other Departments	7,249							
48-4	Non-Personal	15,675	60,678				60,678		
	DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (De Young Memorial Museum Fund)								
49	Personal Service—Permanent	62,695							
49-1	Personal Service—Temporary	819							
49-2	Personal Service—Fees, etc.	500							
49-3	Non-Personal	4,845	68,859				61,610		
	From Cal. Palace Legion of Honor							7,249	
	AQUARIUM								
51	Personal and Non-Personal Service	40,500	40,500		40,500				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	AIRPORT								
52	Personal Service Permanent	11,447							
52-1	Personal Service—Temporary	11,340							
53	Contractual Service	9,913							
53-1	Fixed Charges	2,458							
54	Proportion P. U. Commission Expense	600							
55	Materials and Supplies	1,482							
56	Land Purchases	105,000							
56-1	Buildings	23,850							
56-2	Equipment	1,700	167,790	19,000	148,790				
	MUNICIPAL COURT								
57	Personal Service	175,417							
57-1	Contractual Service	35							
58	Court Reporters	18,000							
59	Reporters' Transcriptions	6,000							
60	Jury and Witness Fees	1,000	200,452		200,452				
	SUPERIOR COURT								
61	Personal Service	115,060							
61-1	Court Reporters	13,500							
61-2	Reporters' Transcriptions	16,500							
61-3	Jury and Witness Fees	30,000							
62	Court Orders	5,000							
63	Examination of Insane	10,000							
66	Grand Jury	11,000	201,060		201,060				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	LAW LIBRARY								
67	Personal Service	.....	9,323	.....	9,323	.....	.....	.....	.....
	JUVENILE COURT	.....		.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
68	Personal Service	57,119	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
69	Contractual Service	2,024	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
70	Maintenance of Minors	625,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
71	State Schools	20,000	704,143	.....	704,143	.....	.....	.....	.....
	JUVENILE DETENTION HOME								
72	Personal Service—Permanent	18,650	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
72-1	Personal Service—Temporary	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
73	Contractual Service	1,235	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
73-1	Materials and Supplies	4,203	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
73-2	Foodstuffs	2,295	27,383	.....	27,383	.....	.....	.....	.....
	ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT								
74	Personal Service	23,887	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
75	Contractual Service	537	24,424	.....	24,424	.....	.....	.....	.....
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER								
76	Personal Service	15,015	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
77	Non-Personal Service	1,500	16,515	.....	16,515	.....	.....	.....	.....
78	Publicity and Advertising	.....	165,000	.....	.....	.....	165,000	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER—Cont'd.							
80	Bond Interest and Redemption	12,627,764				6,777,220		
	From Water Department							
	1928 Spring Valley Redemption						1,000,000	
	1928 Spring Valley Interest						1,665,000	
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Redemption						150,000	
	Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Interest						250,000	
	Newark-San Lorenzo Interest						47,025	
	From Municipal Railway Redemption						201,000	
	Municipal Railway Interest						102,045	
	From Hetch Hetchy 1932's						357,437	
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative						1,621,287	
	From County Road Fund						260,000	
	From Sewer Bonds, 1929						196,750	
81	Tax Judgments (Tax Judgment Fund)	94,027				94,027		
82	Auto Liability Claims	9,000						
83	Premium Official Bonds	14,000						
84	Taxes Refunded	8,000						
85	Money Refunded	2,000						
86	Rental 333 Kearny Street	10,752						
87	Rental Pipe Yard	7,400						
88	Other Judgments (6 Painters)	26,000						
88-1	Maintenance of Criminal Insane & Narcotics	20,000						
88-2	Feeble Minded	130,000						
88-3	Transportation of Indigent Insane	3,000	230,152		230,152			
	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS							
89	Personal Service	5,100	5,100		5,100			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	TAX COLLECTOR								
90	Personal Service—Permanent	80,118							
90-A	Personal Service—Permanent (Delinquent Revenue)	5,739							
91	Personal Service—Temporary	35,689							
92	Contractual Service	5,083	126,629		126,629				
	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS								
94	Personal Service—Permanent	53,633							
95	Personal Service—Temporary Clerks	20,335							
96	Personal Service—Election Workers	22,275							
97	Contractual Service	35,459							
98	Equipment	50,000	181,702		181,702				
	RECORDER								
99	Personal Service	84,982	84,982		84,982				
	COUNTY CLERK								
100	Personal Service	133,625							
101	Non-Personal Service	55	133,680		133,680				
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR								
102	Personal Service	32,602							
103	Contractual Service	887	33,489		33,489				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT							
104	Personal Service	114,816	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
105	Contractual Service	256,287	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Telephone and Telegraph							
	Art Commission	25						
	Assessor	500						
	Board of Permit Appeals	20						
	Board of Supervisors	500						
	Chief Administrative Officer	1,000						
	City Planning Commission	35						
	Controller	150						
	Coroner	250						
	County Clerk	105						
	County Welfare	90						
	Dept. of Electricity	400						
	Dept. of Public Works	600						
	Fire Department	1,070						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,800						
	Juvenile Court	200						
	Municipal Court	250						
	Police Dept.	3,800						
	Public Administrator	300						
	Public Defender	100						
	Purchaser of Supplies	150						
	Sheriff	400						
	Tax Collector	85						
	Superior Courts	900						
	Treasurer	140						
	Adult Probation	85						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Telephone and Telegraph—Continued							
	City Attorney	400						
	Civil Service	75						
	District Attorney	525						
	Real Estate Dept.	265						
	Recorder.	80						
	Retirement System	25						
	Registrar of Voters	165						
	Sealer of Weights and Measures.	35						
		17,525						
	Shop Expense							
	Dept. of Public Works.	32,340						
	Dept. of Public Health	4,410						
	Fire Department	122,843						
	Assessor	196						
	Chief Administrative Officer	98						
	Controller.	98						
	Coroner.	490						
	Dept. of Electricity	980						
	Juvenile Court	98						
	Juvenile Detention Home	245						
	Mayor.	196						
	Purchasing.	49						
	Registrar.	49						
	Sheriff.	686						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Shop Expense—Continued							
	Supervisors.	98						
	Tax Collector	196						
	Gas & Elect. Service for Shop..	2,682						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures...	294						
	Registrar of Voters.....	98						
		166,146						
	Towel Service							
	Dept. of Electricity.....	140						
	Dept. of Public Works.....	2,750						
	Fire Department	25						
	Police Department	676						
		3,591						
	Transportation							
	Rental of Truck—306 Days.....	2,142						
	Scavenger Service							
	Department of Electricity.....	23						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
105	Contractual Service—Continued							
	Scavenger Service—Continued							
	Dept. of Public Works..... 590							
	Fire Department 1,176							
	Dept. of Public Health..... 779							
	Juvenile Detention Home..... 41							
	Police Department 214							
	Sheriff. 144							
	2,967							
	Other Contractual Service							
	Advertising Res. & Ordinances.. 30,000							
	Printing Public Documents..... 3,000							
	Printing Journal & Calendars.. 20,000							
	Rental of Tabulating Machines. 4,446							
	McGill Commodity Service..... 60							
	Repairs to Office Equipment.... 30							
	Gas & Electricity—Warehouse.. 330							
	Miscellaneous Contractual Ser.. 50							
	License Tags, Badges, Plates.... 6,000							
	63,916							
106	Materials and Supplies.....	107,126	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Printing, Stationery, Postage							
	Adult Probation 490							
	Agricultural Commission 245							
	Art Commission 221							
	Assessor. 13,230							

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued						
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued						
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued						
	Board of Permit Appeals..... 59						
	Board of Supervisors..... 882						
	Chief Administrative Officer.... 245						
	City Attorney 490						
	City Planning Commission..... 128						
	Civil Service 2,646						
	Controller. 10,290						
	Coroner. 882						
	County Clerk 3,920						
	County Welfare 1,764						
	Dept. of Electricity 980						
	Dept. of Finance & Records..... 172						
	Dept. of Public Health..... 14,700						
	Dept. of Public Works..... 4,900						
	District Attorney 980						
	Fire Department 2,058						
	Juvenile Court 882						
	Law Library 343						
	Mayor. 1,470						
	Municipal Court 5,292						
	Police Department 9,800						
	Public Administrator 1,274						
	Public Defender 98						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued							
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued							
	Printing, Stationery, Postage—Continued							
	Purchasing	6,860						
	Real Estate Dept	784						
	Recorder	4,900						
	Sealer of Weights & Measures	245						
	Superior Court	1,029						
	Superior Court—Secretary	1,470						
	Sheriff	2,107						
	Tax Collector	5,880						
	Treasurer	1,176						
		102,892						
	Gas and Oil							
	Registrar of Voters	59						
	Street Cleaning Dept	735						
	Sewer Repair	980						
	Public Works, General	368						
	Building Repair	260						
	Traffic	64						
	Building Inspection	69						
	Engineering	441						
	Tax Collector	392						
	Registrar	79						
	Sheriff	182						
	Assessor	94						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION: General Fund — Appropriation Receipts	General Fund — Fund Receipts	General Fund — Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds — Fund Receipts	Other Current Funds — Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued								
106	Materials and Supplies—Continued								
	Gas and Oil—Continued								
	Juvenile Court	157							
	Central Shop No. 2	285							
	Purchaser	49							
	Police Department	20							
		4,234							
107	Equipment		17,097						
	Furniture & Office Equipment	8,000							
	Warehouse Equipment	2,000							
	Postage Machines & Meters	1,716							
	Stoves, Heaters, Boilers — Fire Dept.	750							
	Lin., Rugs, Carpet & Shades — Fire Dept.	500							
	City Planning	100							
	Juvenile Court (3 Typewriters)	300							
	Sheriff (Office Equipment)	200							
	Municipal Court (File Cabinet)	1,000							
	Juvenile Detention Home	500							
	Chief Administrative Officer	250							
	Finance and Records	50							
	Recorder	300							
	Tax Collector	250							

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION: General Fund — Appropriation Receipts	General Fund — Fund Receipts	General Fund — Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds — Fund Receipts	Other Current Funds — Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued								
107	Equipment—Continued								
	Public Administrator 160								
	Dept. of Public Works..... 500								
	County Welfare 459								
	Agricultural Commission 62								
		17,097	.....	495,326	.....	462,802	.....	.....	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Construction.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,604	.....	.....	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Power.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,564	.....	.....	.....
	Water Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,422	.....	.....	.....
	Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,725	.....	.....	.....
	Park Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,651	.....	.....	.....
	School Department	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,558	.....	.....	.....
	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT								
108	Personal Service	10,898	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
109	Contractual Service	1,140	12,038	.....	12,038	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Auditorium								
110	Personal Service—Permanent	24,773	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
110-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,556	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111	Contractual Service	10,483	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
111-1	Materials and Supplies.....	5,700	50,512	40,000	9,265	.....	.....	.....	.....
	From Board of Health.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,247	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS							
	General							
112	Personal Service—Director	6,560	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
112-1	Personal Service—General Office	24,008	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
112-2	Personal Service—Telephone Exchange	10,152	40,720	.....	40,720	.....	.....	.....
	Bureau of Accounts							
113	Personal Service	22,536	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
114	Contractual Service	179	22,715	.....	22,715	.....	.....	.....
	Bureau of Building Repair							
116	Personal Service, Superintendent	18,760	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
116-1	Personal Service—Maintenance	189,938	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
116-2	Personal Service, Wages	56,039	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
116-3	Truck Hire	1,916	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
117	Contractual Service	2,802	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
117-1	Services of Other Departments	600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
117-5	Materials and Supplies	9,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
117-6	Janitorial Supplies	5,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
117-7	Engine Room Supplies	18,050	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
118	Repairs to Public Buildings	47,006	350,311	.....	350,311	.....	.....	.....
	Bureau of Building Inspection							
119	Personal Service	34,050	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
120	Contractual Service	2,462	36,512	.....	36,512	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd.								
	Bureau of Engineering								
121	Personal Service	164,004							
122	Contractual Service	9,880							
122-1	Materials and Supplies	4,560							
123	Contractual Service—Special Inspection.	12,000		12,000					
124	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers	9,000	199,444		187,444				
	Central Permit Bureau								
125	Personal Service	14,175	14,175		14,175				
	Street Cleaning								
126	Personal Service, Salaries	16,443							
126-1	Personal Service, Wages	376,872							
126-2	Truck Hire	18,288							
127	Contractual Service	2,521							
127-1	Services of Other Departments	1,700							
127-2	Materials and Supplies	7,600							
127-3	Equipment	1,200	424,624		424,624				

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd							
	Sewer Repair							
128	Personal Service, Permanent	11,175						
128-1	Personal Service, Wages	139,321						
128-2	Team and Truck Hire	24,718						
129	Contractual Service	180						
129-1	Services of Other Departments	8,600						
130	Materials and Supplies	14,250						
130-1	Equipment	6,750	204,994		204,994			
	Tearing Up Streets (Side Sewers)							
132	Personal and Non-Personal Service	33,865	33,865	33,865				
	Sewerage Pumping Station							
133	Personal Service	2,034						
134	Contractual Service	1,045						
134-1	Materials and Supplies	190	3,269		3,269			
	County Road Fund							
	Street Repair							
135	Personal Service	8,415						
135-1	Wages	168,710						
135-2	Truck Hire	18,427						
136-1	Services of Other Departments	8,659						
136	Contractual Service	14,128						
137	Materials and Supplies	54,917						
137-1	Equipment	10,300						
137-2	Fixed Charges	17,667						
137-3	Street Reconstruction (Jobs Over $1,000)	50,000	351,223					

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
	County Road Fund—Continued								
	Bridges								
138	Personal Service	49,189	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
139	Contractual Service	2,923	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
139-1	Materials and Supplies	549	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
139-2	Services of Other Departments	1,626	54,287	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	General								
140	Bond Interest and Redemption	260,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
141	Street Lighting	55,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
142	Leavenworth Land Purchase—Interest	4,380	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
145	Joint Highway District No. 10	50,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
146	Traffic Lines	8,322	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
147	Traffic (Services of Dept. of Elec.)	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
148	Traffic (Services of Police Dept.)	8,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
149	Street Signs	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
150	Street Construction	100,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
150-1	Services of Bureau of Engineering	30,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
150-2	Services of Real Estate Dept.	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
150-3	Castro and Divisadero Streets	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
150-4	San Francisco and Oakland Bay Bridge Approaches	97,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Unappropriated Balance	57,898	696,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total County Road	.....	1,102,110	.....	.....	.....	1,102,110	.....	.....
	Total Department of Public Works	.....	2,432,739	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY							
154	Personal Service	161,371						
155	Contractual Service	1,415						
155-1	Materials and Supplies	15,352	178,138		173,799			
					4,339			
	From County Road Fund							
156	Installation Fund		2,500			2,500		
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH							
	Central Office							
157	Personal Service	476,123						
158	Contractual Service	26,305						
159	Materials and Supplies	16,184						
160	Fixed Charges	13,230						
161	Equipment	2,000	533,842		533,842			
	Laguna Honda Home							
162	Personal Service	199,781						
163	Contractual Service	23,374						
164	Materials and Supplies	65,436						
165	Foodstuffs	225,000						
166	Equipment	1,000	514,591		514,591			
	Isolation Hospital							
167	Personal Service	37,503	37,503		37,503			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—Cont'd.								
	San Francisco Hospital								
168	Personal Service	640,285							
169	Contractual Service	77,772							
170	Materials and Supplies	145,018							
171	Foodstuffs	230,000							
173	Equipment	1,000	1,094,075		1,094,075				
	Emergency Hospital								
174	Personal Service	156,765							
175	Contractual Service	10,935							
176	Materials and Supplies	9,710							
177	Foodstuffs	3,140							
179	Equipment	250	180,800		180,800				
	Hassler Health Home								
180	Personal Service	42,467							
181	Contractual Service	3,933							
182	Materials and Supplies	10,260							
183	Foodstuffs	32,450							
184	Fixed Charges	436							
185	Equipment	500	90,046		90,046				
	Total Department of Health		2,450,857						

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT								
186	Personal Service—Permanent	35,994							
187	Contractual Service	1,045							
188	Widows' Pensions	230,000							
189	Maintenance of Aged	340,000							
190	Blind Pensions	85,000	692,039		692,039				
	CORONER								
191	Personal Service	41,882							
192	Contractual Service	537							
192-1	Materials and Supplies	855	43,274		43,274				
	AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION								
193	Personal Service	12,194							
194	Contractual Service	2,271	14,465		14,465				
	DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES								
195	Personal Service	19,800							
196	Contractual Service	285							
196-1	Materials and Supplies	333							
196-2	Equipment	200	20,618		20,618				
	CONTROLLER								
197	Personal Service—Permanent	132,101							
198	Personal Service—Temporary	5,580							
199	Contractual Service	4,513							
200	Equipment	2,580	144,774		126,948				
	From Municipal Railway					2,888			
	Special School					5,343			
	Common School					6,139			
	Park Department					1,782			
	Water Department					1,674			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION								
201	Personal Service	11,780							
202	Contractual	418							
203	Materials and Supplies	76	12,274		12,274				
	PUBLIC UTILITIES								
	Public Utilities Commission								
204	Personal Service	27,978							
206	Legal Expense	13,570							
207	Contractual Service	20,615							
207-1	Materials and Supplies	855							
207-2	Equipment	2,000							
207-3	Fixed Charges	1,226	66,244						
	From Municipal Airport							600	
	From Municipal Railway							3,500	
	From Water Department							23,866	
	From Hetch Hetchy Power							10,278	
	From Hetch Hetchy Water							28,000	
	Lighting of Public Streets								
208	Personal and Non-Personal Service	780,000							
	Lighting of Public Buildings								
209	Personal and Non-Personal Service	44,000	824,000		769,000				
	From County Road Fund					55,000			

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—(Continued)								
	Municipal Railway								
210	Personal Service	1,731,256	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
211	Contractual Service	488,205	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
211-1	Services of Other Departments	40,447	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
212	Materials and Supplies	49,679	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
214	Fixed Charges	505,651	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
214-1	Replacements	175,568	2,990,806	.....	.....	.....	2,990,806	.....	.....
	Water Department								
216	Personal Service	784,026	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
217	Contractual Service	457,816	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
218	Materials and Supplies	148,366	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
219	Fixed Charges	415,895	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
220	Additions and Betterments	660,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
221	Equipment—Automobiles and Trucks	7,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
222	Bond Interest and Redemption	3,112,025	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
223	Services of Other Departments	35,962	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
224	Appropriation to General Fund	901,410	6,522,500	.....	.....	.....	6,522,500	.....	.....
	Hetch Hetchy Power Operative								
225	Personal Service	181,704	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227	Contractual Service	37,833	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227-1	Materials and Supplies	58,969	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
227-2	Equipment	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
228	Services of Other Departments	45,257	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
229	Depreciation	41,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
229-1	Fixed Charges	35,200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
230	Taxes	12,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
231	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,621,287	2,040,050	.....	.....	.....	2,040,050	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURE 1933-1934 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.		Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
				General Fund			Other Current Funds		
				Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	PUBLIC UTILITIES—(Continued)								
	Hetch Hetchy Construction								
235-1	Coast Range Tunnel	180,980	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-2	Interest During Construction	357,438	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-3	Lands and Rights of Way Damage Claims	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-4	Legal Expense	75,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
235-5	Balance—General and Miscellaneous	149,764	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
237	Proportion of P. U. Commission Expense	28,000	851,182	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	851,182
	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT								
238	Common School Fund (Tentative)	.....	7,947,710	.....	.....	.....	7,947,710	.....	.....
245	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)	.....	360,000	.....	.....	.....	360,000	.....	.....
	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION								
248	Personal Service—Permanent	34,899	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
248-1	Personal Service—Temporary	9,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
249	Contractual Service	1,188	45,387	.....	45,387	.....	.....	.....	.....
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Employees' Retirement Fund)								
250	Personal Service	26,517	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251	Contractual Service	1,364	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251-1	Materials and Supplies	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
251-2	Equipment	215	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
252	Fixed Charges	2,027,897	2,056,193	.....	.....	.....	1,385,693	.....	.....

(Exhibit B continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations		FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund			Other Current Funds		
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM—Cont.							
252	Fixed Charges—Continued							
	From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,350	.....
	From Common School Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	432,000	.....
	From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	33,000	.....
	From Special School Tax	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,500	.....
	From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,150	.....
	From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,500	.....
	From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	45,000	.....
	From Miscellaneous Bond Funds, etc.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	30,000	.....
	From Municipal Railway Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100,000	.....
253	Workmen's Compensation Insurance	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....
254	PUBLIC POUND	.....	16,150	.....	16,150	.....	.....	.....	.....
260	VACATED POSITIONS	.....	Dr. 75,000	.....	Cr. 75,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
		.....	54,616,838	189,509	15,285,327	124,506	31,571,777	6,594,537	851,182
	Transfer from Water Department	.....	.....	.....	Cr.901,410	901,410	.....	.....	.....
	TOTALS . .	.....	54,616,838	189,509	14,383,917	1,025,916	31,571,777	6,594,537	851,182

Personal Service included herein to be detailed subsequently in Salary Ordinance.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 31, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election for School Bonds.

(Code No. 21.31.)

Also, Bill No. 325, Ordinance No. 21.311, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds, and fixing the date of said special election for Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The proposition of incurring a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings is hereby determined to be the amount of $3,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expense thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings are by issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition of the school house buildings, lands therefor, and other properties and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the special State election to be held Tuesday, June 27, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special State election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar

of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said special State election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said special State election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"School House Bonds, 1933.—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor, and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States. Said bonds shall be designated "School House Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

"SCHOOL HOUSE BOND, 1933."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, September 1 and March 1, on presenta-

tion and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1933.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated September 1, 1933, number ————.

....., Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION

San Francisco, ————, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,

Treasurer.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Sheriff's Allowance, Annual State Convention.

(Code No. 5.93.)

Also, Bill No. 323, Ordinance No. 5.934, as follows:

Providing for the allowance to the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco of certain expenditures incurred by said Sheriff in attending the annual State Convention of Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs and Civil Deputies at San Diego, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the attendance of William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, and L. A. Cunningham, his attorney, at the annual State Convention of Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs and Civil Deputies at San Diego, California, which said convention covered a period of six days, to-wit, from the 16th day of March to the 21st day of March, 1932, both days inclusive, be and the same is hereby approved, and that the payment of the expenses of said Sheriff and of his said attorney, amounting to $182.85 (as per vouchers submitted to this Board), which said amount includes transportation, meals and hotel expenses, be and the same is hereby approved and the payment of said sum to the said Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Sale of Land on Chestnut Street, Near Kearny Street.

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 276, Ordinance No. 12.17291, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned lands on Chestnut street near Kearny street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demand

the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street; running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to Be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.

(Code No. 4.04)

On recommendation of Committee on Municipal Personnel.

Bill No. 221, Ordinance No. 4.041, as follows:

Specifying the various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco who shall be bonded for the faithful performance of their respective duties where bonds are not specifically required by the Charter; fixing the amount of the suretyship to be given by said officers and employees; providing for the payment of premiums thereon and for the custody of said suretyship and providing for the form thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter mentioned, shall be bonded to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said suretyship shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will be required as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give suretyship as herein provided, and the minimum amounts of each suretyship are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section 2.	*Board of Supervisors.*	
	Clerk of Board of Supervisors	$10,000
Section 3.	*Mayor.*	
	Head Clerk-Stenographer	2,000
Section 4.	*Assessor.*	
	Chief Assistant Assessor	10,000
	Chief Teller	10,000
	Senior Clerk	2,000
	General Clerks (2), each	2,000
Section 5.	*District Attorney.*	
	Principal Attorney (Criminal) (Bond and Warrant Clerk)	10,000
	General Clerk	5,000
	Criminal Law Clerks (5), each	1,000
	Attorney-Criminal	1,000
Section 6.	*Treasurer.*	
	Head Clerk	25,000
	Senior Teller	10,000
	Head Clerk	5,000
	Senior Accountant	5,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	5,000
	Senior Tellers (2), each	5,000
	Tellers (4), each	5,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 7.	*Sheriff.*	
	Head Clerk (Cashier)	25,000
	Head Clerk	1,000
	General Clerk	1,000
	Superintendent of Jails (2), each	1,000
Section 8.	*Police Department.*	
	Property Clerk	10,000
Section 9.	*Fire Department.*	
	Chief Clerk (Secretary)	10,000
	General Clerk-Typist	2,000
Section 10.	*Park Department* (Main Office).	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Assistant Superintendent	2,000
	Secretary	5,000
	Surveyor	2,000
	Accountant	2,000
	Cashier	10,000
	Superintendent Clerk	2,500
	Timekeeper	2,500
	Assistant Timekeeper	500
	Stenographer-Clerk	2,000
	Bookkeeper-Stenographer	500
	Stenographer	500
	Relief Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Cashier (Beach Chalet)	500
	Manager (Beach Chalet)	2,500
	Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Manager (Fleishhacker Pool)	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Pool)	500
	Cashiers (3) (Fleishhacker Playfield), each	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Playfield)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Playfield)	5,000
	Cashier (Mother's House)	500
	Manager (Fleishhacker Cafe)	5,000
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500
	Relief Cashier (Fleishhacker Cafe)	500

	Cashiers in Booths (Fleishhacker Cafe), each..	500
	Starter (Lincoln Golf Course).....	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Lincoln Golf Course).....	1,250
	Relief Starter (Lincoln Golf Course).....	500
	Starter (Harding Golf Course).....	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Harding Golf Course).....	1,250
	Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Lincoln Cafe).....	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Lincoln Cafe).....	500
	Manager (Harding Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Harding Cafe).....	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Harding Cafe).....	500
	Manager (Restaurants)	5,000
	Cashier Clerk (Children's Playground).....	2,500
	Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)....	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Relief Cashier Waitress (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier Candy Booth (Children's Playground)	500
	Cashier (Picnic Cafe, Children's Playground)..	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground).....	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground).....	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground).....	500
	Relief Cashier (Children's Playground).....	500
	Director of Public Recreation.....	5,000
	Foreman (Kezar Stadium)	2,500
	Manager (Sharp Park Cafe)	2,000
	Assistant Manager (Sharp Park Cafe).....	2,000
	Relief Cashier (Sharp Park Cafe).....	500
	Starter (Sharp Park Golf).....	2,000
	Assistant Starter (Sharp Park Golf).....	1,250
	Cashier (Palace of Fine Arts).....	500
Section 11.	*Recreation Department.*	
	Camp Manager (Supervisor)	3,000
	Bookkeeper	3,000
	Secretary	1,250
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
Section 12.	*Public Library.*	
	Senior Clerk (Assistant Secretary)	2,000
Section 13.	*War Memorial.*	
	No recommendation received as yet.	
Section 14.	*California Palace of the Legion of Honor.*	
	Director	5,000
	Assistant Director	5,000
	Engineer and Building Superintendent.....	2,000
	Organist	2,000
	Chief Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Galleryman	2,000
	Head Janitor.....	2,000
	Assistant Janitor (2), each.....	2,000
	Gallerymen (2), each	2,000
	Stenographer	2,000
	Stenographers (2), each.....	2,000
	Typist	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Cleaning Woman	2,000
	Secretary, Board of Trustees.....	2,500
	Caretaker	2,000
	Docent	2,000

Section 15. *M. H. De Young Memorial Museum.*

Director	5,000
Assistant Director	5,000
Assistant to Director	2,500
Recorder	2,000
Secretary to Director	2,000
Stenographer	2,000
Head Galleryman	2,000
Printers (2), each	2,000
Mechanic	2,000
Assistant Mechanic	2,000
Janitor	2,000
Assistant Janitor	2,000
Head Caretaker	2,000
Caretakers (6), each	2,000
Secretary, Board of Trustees	2,500
Gallerymen (8), each	2,000
Carpenter	2,000
Gallerymen (4), each	2,000
Galleryman	2,000
Docent	2,000

Section 16. *Municipal Court.*

Cashier and Head Clerk	3,000
Senior Civil Law Clerk—Criminal	3,000
General Clerk—Criminal	3,000
Jury Commissioner	2,000
Court Room Clerks—Criminal (4), each	2,000
Chief Assistant Clerk	1,000
Senior Civil Law Clerks (4), each	1,000
Court Room Clerks (8), each	1,000
Civil Law Clerks—Criminal (2), each	1,000
General Clerks—Criminal (13), each	1,000
Clerk	1,000
Copyists (2), each	1,000
Clerk of Municipal Court	10,000

Section 17. *Superior Courts.*

Secretary-Jury Commissioner	10,000

Section 18. *Juvenile Court—Probation Office.*

Bookkeeper (Cashier)	5,000
Senior Clerk (Chief Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
Probation Officer	1,000
Senior Probation Officer	1,000
Probation Officer	1,000
Senior Probation Officer	5,000
General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
Probation Officer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
Interpreter-Clerk (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
General Clerk-Stenographer (Assistant Probation Officer)	1,000
General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Probation Officer	1,000
General-Clerk Typist	1,000
(Charles Schermerhorn) Probation Officer	1,000
Probation Officer	1,000
General Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Assistant Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Probation Officers (2), each	1,000
Chief Probation Officer	5,000
Probation Officers (2), each	1,000

Section 19. *Adult Probation Department.*

Chief Adult Probation Officer	1,000
Probation Officers (7), each	1,000
Bookkeeper	2,000
Probation Officer—Stenographer	1,000

Section 20. *Chief Administrative Officer.*

Chief Administrative Officer	50,000

Section 21. *Finance and Records.*

Director of Finance and Records	10,000

Section 22. *Finance and Records—Tax Collector.*

Cashier	15,000
General Clerks (24) (Deputies), each	1,000
Teller (Deputy)	1,000
Head Clerks (2) (Deputies), each	1,000
Teller (Deputy)	10,000
Teller	5,000
License Adjuster	1,000
General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
General Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
Senior Clerk (Deputy)	1,000
Senior Clerk-Stenographer (Deputy)	1,000
License Adjuster	1,000
Chief Clerk	10,000
General Clerk	2,500

Section 23. *Finance and Records—Registrar of Voters.*

Registrar of Voters	3,000
General Clerk	1,000

Section 24. *Finance and Records—Recorder.*

Recorder	10,000

Section 25. *Finance and Records—County Clerk.*

Senior Civil Law Clerks (3), each	2,000
General Clerks (2), each	2,000
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each	5,000
Criminal Law Clerk	2,000
Chief Clerk	5,000
Senior Clerk	1,000

Section 26. *Finance and Records—Public Administrator.*

Bookkeeper	2,500
Head Clerk	2,500

Section 27. *Purchasing.*

Purchaser	25,000
Storekeepers (5), each	2,500
General Storekeepers (6), each	2,500
Chief Storekeeper	5,000
Stockman	1,000

Section 28. *Real Estate Department—Exposition Auditorium.*

Superintendent	5,000

Section 29. *Real Estate Department.*

Director	25,000
Assistant Right of Way Agent	1,000
Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	1,000

Section 30. *Department of Public Works—General Office.*

Director	25,000
Chief Clerk (Secretary)	5,000
Head Clerk	2,000

Section 31. *Department of Public Works—Central Permit Bureau.*

Head Clerk	10,000
General Clerks (3), each	2,000
General Clerk	1,000

Section 32. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Building Inspection.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 33. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.*
City Architect 10,000

Section 34. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Engineering.*
City Engineer 10,000
General Clerks (2), each..... 1,000
Senior Clerk 1,000
Surveyors (2), each..... 1,000

Section 35. *Department of Public Works—Bureau Sewer Repairs.*
Superintendent 10,000

Section 36. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 37. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair.*
Superintendent 5,000

Section 38. *Department of Public Works—Bureau of Cost Accounting.*
Head Clerk (Cost Accountant)..... 2,500
General Clerks (3), each..... 2,500

Section 39. *Department of Electricity.*
Chief 10,000
Senior Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 5,000
General Clerk 2,000
Superintendent of Plant 5,000

Section 40. *Department of Public Health—Central Office.*
Director 25,000
Assistant Health Director 5,000
Senior Accountant 5,000

Section 41. *Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home.*
Superintendent 10,000
Assistant Superintendent 3,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 42. *Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital.*
Superintendent 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Pharmacist 2,000

Section 43. *Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home.*
Superintendent 2,000

Section 44. *Coroner.*
Coroner 10,000
Coroner's Chief Investigator 1,000
Coroner's Investigators (3), each..... 1,000

Section 45. *Controller.*
Chief Assistant Controller..... 50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)..... 50,000
Senior Accountant (Deputy Controller)..... 25,000
Senior Clerk (Deputy Controller)..... 25,000
Supervisor of Payrolls..... 10,000
Head Clerk 5,000
Senior Accountant—Audits 10,000

	Head Clerks (2), each	2,000
	Senior Bookkeeper	2,000
	Tax Redemption Clerk	2,000
	General Clerk	5,000
Section 46.	*City Planning Commission.*	
	Secretary	500
	Draftsman	500
Section 47.	*Public Utilities Commission—General Office.*	
	Manager of Utilities	15,000
	Secretary	1,000
Section 48.	*Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Hetch Hetchy Power Operation.*	
	Chief Electrical Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Electrical Engineer in Charge	2,500
	Chief Operator—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Labor Foreman	1,000
	Clerk—Moccasin Power House	1,000
	Clerk-Stenographer	1,000
Section 49.	*Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway.*	
	Manager	10,000
	Auditor	2,500
	Head Clerk	2,500
	Clerk	1,000
	Messenger	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Receivers (7), each	1,000
	Dispatchers (2), each	1,000
	Clerk	1,000
	Stenographer	1,000
	Claims Adjuster	5,000
	Cashier	2,500
	Receiver	1,000
	Conductor Acting Receiver (2), each	1,000
	Receiver	1,000
	Inspectors, Acting Claim Investigator	1,000
Section 50.	*Public Utilities Commission—Water Department.*	
	General Manager	10,000
	Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,250
	Cashier	1,250
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	General Clerk-Stenographer	1,500
	Manager Water Sales	1,250
	Shut Off Men (6), each	1,250
	Contractor and Building Inspector	1,250
	Shut Off Man	1,250
	Assistant Supervisor Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts	2,500
	General Clerk Collectors (21), each	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk Teller	5,000
	Senior Clerk Teller	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	2,500
	Head Clerk-Collector	5,000
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	Clerk-Teller	1,250
	General Clerk-Collector	2,500
	Supervisor of Collections	5,000
	Chief Clerk	1,250
	Country Meter Man	1,250
	Assistant Superintendent Agriculture—Pleasanton	1,250

	Supervisor—Dock and Shipping	1,250
	Clerk	1,250
	General Clerk	1,250
	Temporary Collector	1,250
Section 51.	*Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Power Project.*	
	Chief Engineer and Manager	5,000
	Construction Engineer in Charge Coast Range	5,000
	Assistant Construction Engineer—Coast Range	2,500
	Assistant Engineer—Field Office	2,500
	Clerk—Coast Range Division	1,000
	Supervising Accountant	5,000
	Assistant Auditor	5,000
	Cost Accountant	5,000
	Bookkeeper	5,000
	Tunnel Foremen (6), each	1,000
	Timekeepers (7), each	1,000
Section 52.	*Public Utilities Commission—Airport.*	
	Superintendent	2,500
	Assistant Superintendent	2,500
	Bookkeeper	1,000
Section 53.	*Board of Education.*	
	Superintendent	5,000
	Secretary	10,000
	Accountant	5,000
	Supervisor, Home Economics	1,000
	(Permit bonds of Commissioners to expire automatically.)	
Section 54.	*Retirement System.*	
	Secretary-Actuary	25,000

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and the original bond or suretyship shall be filed with the Controller and a duplicate or proper certificate shall be filed with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond or suretyship of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the state to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds or suretyship are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds or suretyship shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee.

Section 57. All bonds or suretyship issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Whenever any officer or employee who is covered by any bond or suretyship written or issued under authority of this ordinance shall cease to hold the office or position for which said bond or suretyship was issued, the head of the department in which said officer or employee was serving shall notify the Controller of said fact, and shall also notify said Controller of the name of the officer or employee who

has been appointed to succeed said officer or employee. Thereupon the Controller shall forthwith notify the company carrying the said bond or suretyship for said office position of said change in the occupancy thereof and arrange with said company that the new appointee shall be covered by said bond or suretyship.

Whenever any officer or employee shall cease to hold any office or employment covered by any bond or suretyship written pursuant to this ordinance and the head of the department concerned notifies the Controller of said fact, he shall advise said Controller if any liability has accrued in favor of the City or in favor of any officer thereof on said bond or suretyship, and if no such liability has accrued, the Controller shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that said bond or suretyship covering said officer or employee be exonerated so far as such officer or employee is concerned, and the Board may by resolution exonerate said bond or suretyship, provided that such exoneration shall not in any way release said bond or suretyship for any liability which may have accrued while said officer or employee was covered by said bond or suretyship.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds or suretyship herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond or suretyship written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond or suretyship given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer.

Section 61. The bonds or suretyship given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department and the bonds given for all departments may be grouped so that all employees and officers of all departments will be covered by one bond.

All positions not heretofore bonded and for which bond is required under the provisions of this ordinance may be grouped under one schedule. In such event all other positions now bonded shall be added to the schedule as the respective anniversary dates of the existing bonds covering such positions shall be reached.

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the suretyship to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of re-publishing said ordinance in its entirety, and the consent of the surety shall be evidenced by a proper rider or endorsement to said bonds or suretyship.

Section 64. The surety of any said bond or suretyship may at any time terminate its liability on behalf of any officer and employee or other incumbent under said suretyship by giving thirty (30) days' notice in writing to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and likewise the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco may cause the termination of the surety's liability on behalf of any and every officer, employee or other incumbent by notice in writing to the surety, specifying the date of cancellation. Upon the determination of the notice of cancellation and provided no loss has been reported, the pro rata unearned portion of premium shall be returned to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was on motion *laid over until June* 12, 1933, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.

(Code No. 13.02.)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Commercial District. In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.

3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges.
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Board of Supervisors.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Miles and on motion *laid over until June* 12, 1933.

Appropriating $552,499.79 Out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the Construction of San Francisco County Jail in the County of San Mateo, California.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Bill No. 334, Ordinance No. 9.05167, as follows:

Appropriating $552,499.79 out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the construction of County Jail in San Mateo County, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $552,499.79 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Jail Fund, Bond Issue 1931, for the construction of San Francisco County Jail, in the county of San Mateo, California.

Approved by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, and Controller.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *passed for second reading*:

Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land in San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1727.)

Bill No. 333, Ordinance No. 12.17272, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain city-owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue, 25 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 25 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Registrar of Voters to Include Argument in Sample Ballot Envelope Favoring Proposed School Bond Issue.

(Code No. 21.31)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 812, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be authorized to include in the sample ballot envelope a pamphlet in favor of the proposed school bond issue, and that the Board of Education be requested to prepare an argument in line with the statements made by its president, Philip Bush, at a recent meeting of the Board of Supervisors, and that said Board insert in said argument a statement indicating to the people of San Francisco every district in which these schools are to be replaced, and as nearly as possible the location they are to fit, also the type of construction proposed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Mayor to Call Conference for the Purpose of Preparing Plans for Submission at the Time the City and County Requests Federal Aid for the Constructions of Highways.

(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 811, as follows:

Whereas, recent legislation enacted by the Congress of the United States authorizes the Federal expenditure of over $3,000,000,000 on a public works program to be administered by the several states; and

Whereas, the allotment for highways in California is $16,000,000, in which sum the City and County of San Francisco is entitled to share; and

Whereas, the California State Chamber of Commerce at a meeting to be held June 9, 1933, at the William Taylor Hotel in San Francisco, has invited the Supervisors and representatives of the various counties to submit their requests for an allocation of this sum; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has no prepared plan to submit in requesting aid from the Federal Government for the development of its streets and boulevards; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to call an immediate public conference of the heads of departments and this Board of Supervisors for the purpose of outlining a plan and estimates for public improvements to be presented to the proper authorities for an allocation of funds from the appropriation to be granted by the Federal Government.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Spaulding requested that the City Engineer be asked to submit a list of projects, improvements, etc., to be submitted at such conference.

Endorsing Proposition No. 2, Election of June 27, State Unemployment Relief Bonds.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 810, as follows:

Whereas, there will appear upon the ballot at the special election, June 27th, a State measure known as Proposition No. 2, entitled Unemployment Relief Bonds, which proposal authorizes the issuance of $20,000,000 of self-liquidating bonds by the State, to be loaned to the counties for unemployment relief; and

Whereas, this measure, while a bond issue, is not retired from taxes as ordinary bond issues are, and is not reflected in either the county or the State tax rate, but is retired by permitting the State to withhold the money borrowed by the counties from the counties' share of the gasoline tax in ten annual installments beginning the year 1937; and

Whereas, there are over 800,000 unemployed in California at this time, who, with their dependents, number 1,800,000 persons now receiving relief in some manner from cities and counties; and

Whereas, it is imperative that this bond issue be passed if we are to continue to receive relief money from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation because of the ultimatum issued by that body that unless California appropriates funds for the relief of its destitute the National Government will refuse to continue appropriations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse Proposition No. 2 on the June 27th ballot and urges upon the citizens of this City and County, as well as upon those of all counties of California, to exert every effort to obtain a unanimous vote for the passage of this act, thereby relieving the taxpayers of all the counties of the necessity of appropriating large sums for local relief.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Relative to Tax on Oleomargarine.

Supervisor Havenner moved that it be the sense of the Board of Supervisors that Assembly Bill No. 2352, which provides for an 8-cent tax on oleomargarine, should be vetoed and that the Governor be notified of the action of this Board of Supervisors.

Referred to Health Commitee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 26, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 25

Monday, June 5, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

The Brooklyn Printing and Publishing Company
Brooklyn, New York, U. S. A.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 5, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 5, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havener, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shanon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for approval.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Accepting Moneys From the State, Provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, for Relief; Providing for the Keeping of Accounts by the Controller, and Providing for the Refund Thereof Under Certain Conditions.

(Code No. 19.071.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 331, Ordinance No. 19.0717, as follows:

Accepting certain money provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States for relief work in the City and County of San Francisco, and appropriating the same to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purposes for which the same was received, and providing for accounts thereof to be kept by the Controller, and, further, providing for a refund thereof under certain conditions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That, whereas, the State of California has allocated to the City and County certain moneys provided by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States for relief work in the City and County of San Francisco, a portion of which said moneys has been received by the Treasurer of the City and County, all of said moneys received and to be received, from said Reconstruction Finance Corporation are hereby accepted to be used for the purposes for which they are received, and the same are hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee to be used for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County.

Section 2. The Controller is directed to set up on the books of his

office, appropriate accounts showing the receipt and disbursement of any and all moneys received from the sources herein mentioned, and to furnish the Emergency Relief Administrator of the State of California copies of said accounts showing all disbursements made from said moneys and the purposes for which the same were made.

Section 3. That the City and County of San Francisco will refund to the State of California or to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of the United States the amount of any item of expenditure made from the moneys herein mentioned, which shall be expended for any purpose which shall not meet the approval of the said Emergency Relief Administrator of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Authorizing Payment of Expense of Employees of the Recreation Department Attending Session of the National Recreation Commission, Held at Monterey, April 20 to 22, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93.)

Also, Bill No. 326, Ordinance No. 5.935, as follows:

Approving and ratifying the attendance of certain employees of the Recreation Department at a session of the National Recreation Association, and authorizing the payment of their expenses incident to said attendance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Josephine Randall, Raymond Kimbell, H. B. Pack, J. Linares and Helen Proctor, having under the direction and authority of the Recreation Commission attended a session of the National Recreation Association, held in the City of Monterey from April 20 to April 22, 1933, both days inclusive, and the said attendance of said persons at the meeting of said association having been for the benefit and best interests of the Recreation Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, the attendance of said persons at said meeting is hereby approved and the payment of the following expenses incurred by said persons by reason of their attendance at said meeting is hereby authorized, to-wit: Josephine Randall, $21.95; Raymond Kimbell, $22.10; H. B. Pack, $15.90; J. Linares, $19.90; Helen Proctor, $18.90.

Section 2. That the foregoing amounts having been made a charge against the Revolving Fund of the Recreation Commission the Controller is requested to reimburse said fund from Appropriation 36-2, 1932-33 Appropriation Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Appropriating $40,800 Out of Emergency Reserve for the Expense of Holding Special Election, June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 328, Ordinance No. 9.05166, as follows:

Appropriating $40,800 out of Emergency Reserve (Appropriation 6), fiscal year 1932-1933, for the expense of holding special election, June 27, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $40,800 is hereby appropriated and author-

ized to be expended out of Emergency Reserve (Appropriation 6), for the expense of holding special election of June 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Director of Property to Lease Land on Brenham Place.

(Code No. 12.1736)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 12.17362, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain land on the west side of Brenham Place near Clay street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing that certain lot and building situated on the west side of Brenham place, with a frontage of 25 feet and a depth of 68.75 feet, 77.5 feet north of Clay street, San Francisco, California.

Section 2. The term of the lease shall be 20 years to the highest responsible bidder subject to the following conditions:

1. The lessee shall make all necessary repairs to the building at his own expense.

2. Subject to the written approval of the Director of Property, the lessee shall have the right to add a loft to the building, erect a canopy over the entrance and make such other alterations as may be necessary or desirable.

3. The building shall be insured against damage by fire or earthquake at the lessee's expense. Such insurance shall be made payable to the lessor.

4. The lease shall not be assigned without the written approval of the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, Ordering the Improvement of Various Streets by Construction of Sidewalks Therein.

(Code No. 12.0611.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 329, Ordinance No. 12.061128, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, in effect March 22, 1933, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061119-A, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Election Proclamation.

(Code No. 21.31)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 813, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter described proposition, and the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors do hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on said above mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said proposition, and the said Mayor and Board of Supervisors do hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following proposition to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

"To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

Be It Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above mentioned election and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors do hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of June, 1933, for the purpose of obtaining the approval or disapproval of the electors of said City and County to the incurring of the bonded indebtedness above described.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Controller to Cancel Duplicate Assessments.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 814, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following entries on the uncollected personal property roll for 1932 are duplicate assessments and should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following duplicate assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol. 16, page 169, line 20—M. Giontini, $5.25.

Paid arbitrary assessment under the name of Quintini; entry in Vol. 6, page 109, line 17.

Vol. 17, page 128, line 24, Progressive Tile & Mantel Co., $14.95.

Clerical error; assessment paid. Entry in Vol. 15, page 59, line 3 of the unsecured personal property roll.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 815, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described

property now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed for the year 1930 in the names of the original owners and was sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1930. Being City property these sales should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following tax sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	1930 *Tax*
5	15	811	J. K. Brassil	297	$ 47.07
	23		Loretta A. O'Hare	298	212.10
	24		A. D. Lindauer	299	183.82
13	3	1714	Bertha Sigler	757	78.78
14	3A	1876	Henry and M. Kessel, et al.	859	153.52
17	3 to 4A	2313	Louis E. Goodman	1107	75.14
	12	2365	Cyrus S. Kaufman		22.62
	26		Bella Freud	1163	32.72
	9	2387	Mary Schott	1180	10.10
	15		Ruth S. Falconer	1181	20.60
	16		Fannie Schomberg	1182	12.12
	17		Chas. & Catherine McNamara	1183	12.12
	17A		J. G., E. L. and K. McNamara	1184	12.12
	18		P. Markatos	1185	12.12
	19		Leslie Ryan	1186	12.12
18	31	2619	Walter S. Oliver	1274	.40
19	14	2659	Chas. Monestier	1304	11.72
20	13	2894	Higgin & Sons, Inc.	1378	5.66
	17 to 34	2899	Alan St. J. Bowie	1382	29.50
23	13	3514	Bertha Luders	1569	226.24
25	20 & 21	3643	Freda W. Ames	1654	296.94
25	34	3643	Ernesto Raffo, et al.	1655	109.08
27	16	4163			
		4196	Chas. J. Hansen	1794	10.10
	20 & 21		Marie Salacone	1795	16.16
	22		Frank Salacone	1796	6.06
	24		Jos. C. and Mary B. Shaw	1797	43.63
	25		P. J. Albers	1798	10.90
	9	4164			
		4195	Polly Ann Shubin	1799	18.18
	15		Chas. H. Hathaway	1800	6.06
	21		S. Szemanski	1801	12.53
44	11	6316	City Property (Should not have been assessed)	3040	50.50
					$1,750.73

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Endorsement of Constitutional Amendment No. 47, Exempting Private Non-Profit Schools from Taxation.

(Code No. 5.3)

On recommendation of Joint Committee, Education, Parks and Playgrounds, and Judiciary.

Resolution No. 803, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 47, exempting private non-profit educational institutions from taxes has been voted by the Legislature of California, placed on the ballot for June 27, 1933; and

Whereas, California is the only State in the Union that taxes private non-profit educational institutions; and

Whereas, the hundred thousand pupils being educated in these private non-profit schools save annually to the State of California approximately $12,000,000 exclusive of capital outlay; and

Whereas, Constitutional Amendment No. 47 (No. 4 on the ballot of June 27, 1933), has been given the endorsement of Controller Ray L. Riley, numerous members in the State government in addition to a splendid vote placing the amendment on the ballot by various metropolitan newspapers, as well as by clubs, fraternal organizations, national organizations; and

Whereas, the exemption from taxation of the private non-profit schools in California would hardly make any appreciable difference in taxation, income for the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby unanimously endorse Constitutional Amendment No. 47 (No. 4 on the ballot of June 27, 1933), exempting private non-profit educational institutions in the State of California from taxation.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Leave of Absence, Albert Greenbaum, Member of Art Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 1, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Albert Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing June 6, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 816, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Albert Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 6, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

In reference to the foregoing Supervisor Spaulding moved postponement one week.

Chairman ruled official has right to leave and that the motion was out of order since another matter is before the Board at this time.

Supervisor McSheehy appealed from the decision of the Chair and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Hayden—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Supervisors Records Itself as Favoring Repeal of 18th Amendment, June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 817, as follows:

Whereas, on June 27, 1933, an opportunity to vote on the question of repeal of the 18th Amendment will be given to the people of the State of California; and

Whereas, the President of these United States has publicly declared that the tax income from the legalized sale of wines and liquors would in large part fill the urgent need for additional revenues on the part of the Federal Government, and might make unnecessary increases in income and other taxes which otherwise will be inevitable; and

Whereas, much misery and suffering has been caused by the provisions of the 18th Amendment and their enforcement, and the original intentions of that amendment have completely failed of fulfillment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring the repeal of the 18th Amendment, and urging the people of San Francisco to vote accordingly on June 27, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Requesting the Mayor to Call a Meeting of Interested Citizens of the City and County to the End That Ways and Means May Be Adopted Whereby Those Employing Labor Within the City and County of San Francisco Will Give Employment to Bona Fide Residents of Said City and County.

(Code No. 5.42.)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 818, as follows:

Whereas, there are at the present time a large number of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are unemployed notwithstanding their most sincere efforts to obtain employment; and

Whereas, it will be of great benefit to the taxpayers of the City and County if employment can be obtained for our unemployed residents, not only upon public projects, but also in private employment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and he is hereby requested to at once call a meeting of representatives of both labor and employers to the end that a plan may be recommended which will insure that none save those qualified under the provisions of the Charter be employed on municipal public work, and further that in all private employment a preference in employment be given to those who have been bona fide residents of San Francisco for a period of one year.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Mayor to Appoint a Citizens Committee, The California Rodeo, Salinas, July 19 to 23, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92.)

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 819, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to make necessary arrangements for San Francisco's participation in, and representation at, The California Rodeo, to be held at Salinas, July 19 to 23, 1933, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

In Memoriam: Herman L. Judell.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 820, as follows:

Whereas death has closed the long and varied career of Herman L. Judell, a pioneer of San Francisco; and

Whereas the life of the late deceased had seen a most interesting and most historic phase of the United States of America and of the development of the West; and

Whereas Herman L. Judell was one of the few surviving members of the Grand Army of the Republic, the founder of the First Council of the Commercial Travelers of America in the West, and a good and devoted citizen of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deeply regrets the passing of the late pioneer, and extends to his relatives sincere sympathy and words of condolence; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, that another copy be sent to Mrs. Charles M. Wollenberg and Dr. Malvina Judell Decastello, daughters of the deceased, and to his sons, Adolph and Emil Judell, and that when the Board adjourns today, it do so out of respect to the memory of the late pioneer of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Leave of Absence, Dr. James W. Ward, Member of Health Advisory Board.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 821, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Dr. James W. Ward, member of the Health Advisory Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence from June 2d to June 23rd, inclusive, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Emerson Knight, Member of Art Commission.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 822, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing June 5th, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

In Memoriam: Thomas F. Flaherty.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 823, as follows:

Whereas, in Washington, D. C., last Saturday there passed from the scene of this life in the person of Thomas F. Flaherty, Secretary-Treasurer of the National Federation of Post Office Employees, one of the most devoted and untiring champions of that organization, a man whose indefatigable efforts were ever for the benefit of his fellowman, for those in distress, for those who were so fortunate as to be named among his friends, withal an estimable and lovable character.

Born in Napa, raised and educated in San Francisco, Thomas F. Flaherty since 1913 has represented his organization in the national capital. A Californian of sterling and distinguished character, he was well and favorably known to thousands of his fellow workers and legislators in all parts of the United States by reason of his earnest and sincere advocacy of the interests of those he so well and thoroughly represented. His was a personality marked by a singleness of purpose and devotion to the welfare of his friends and fellow workers that won the admiration of all. Of Thomas F. Flaherty it could be fairly and truly said "He gave his life for his friends."

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, it does so out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and a copy to the widow and family of the deceased.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

In Memoriam: Thomas T. C. Gregory.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 824, as follows:

Whereas, the sudden and tragic death of Thomas T. C. Gregory has removed a personality well known and highly esteemed in the public, professional and social life of California—a man whose service as Director of Relief in Central Europe during the World War was marked by conspicuous ability. He was honored in later years by appointment as Trustee of the Stanford University, from which institution he was graduated with distinction in 1899. As a man and citizen he was highly esteemed and loved by all who knew him. In his untimely passing California loses a learned, able and distinguished citizen, and his family and acquaintances, a devoted father and sterling friend.

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, does so out of respect to the memory of Thomas T. C. Gregory; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and a copy sent to the widow and family of the deceased.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Excerpt of Proceedings In Re Letter 6/5/33 from Charity Workers Protective Union.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board: I have here a letter, which I am not sponsoring, that was requested about fifteen minutes ago, by a committee from the Charity Workers Protective Union. I am not sponsoring the letter because I did not vote for the purport of it. I promised the citizens I would have the Clerk read the letter, and I am going to ask him to read it, and if any member wishes to sponsor it, he can, and if the Board want it filed, you can file it.

THE CLERK (reading): "Office of Charity Workers Protective Union. 141 Albion Street. San Francisco, June 5, 1933.

"San Francisco County Board of Supervisors. San Francisco, California. Gentlemen of the Board:

"A public mass meeting of unemployed workers and those interested in the social relief problems of San Francisco, will be held at Larkin Hall, Civic Auditorium, Tuesday, June 6, 8 p. m., to protest against the cut of $700,000 in the relief budget, the mass shutoffs of electricity and gas and other inroads upon the welfare of San Francisco's undiminishing army of unemployed.

"The Board of Supervisors is invited to send one or more representatives to the meeting and an opportunity to address the unemployed and give reasons why those who are unemployed through no fault of their own and their wives and children should have their homes thrown into darkness and their already insufficient food supply reduced 20 per cent or more.

"Thanking you and hoping that your body will be represented at our meeting, we are,

"Yours truly, Charity Workers Protective Union, per George Ellis, Secretary."

THE CHAIRMAN: Any objection? Supervisor McSheehy will represent the Board at that meeting?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a moment, please——

THE CHAIRMAN: I appoint Supervisor McSheehy to represent the Board.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a moment, please. I stated that I was not sponsoring this letter. It would be inconsistent for me to sponsor it. I stated I promised the citizens I would have the Clerk read it. But as for representing this Board, I do not wish to represent this Board in reference to this particular letter because I was not in accord with the letter when the budget was made up. There are members of this Board that were in accord with the letter. I think it is very unkind, very unjust, and very sharp on the part of the Chairman to make an attempt to make an appointment of this kind under these conditions, especially where I made the opening statement that I did.

THE CHAIRMAN: The letter would not have been before the Board only upon your request that it be read. I therefore request you represent the Board, under the circumstances.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, the letter has been

read; but, on second thought, if you wish to be as sharp as you are on this particular matter, I will ask that I be allowed, as a Supervisor, not as a representative of this Board, to present that matter; I might even go a step further and say if there are any members of this Board, outside of you, Mr. Chairman, who wish me to represent this Board, I will even represent them directly and make the statement to them I make now.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, you are appointed to represent the Board, . . .

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, may I at this time ask permission of the Board to have a stenographic statement of my remarks in reference to this communication, and my appointment by the Mayor, so that I will have something official to guide me in presenting this matter to this Union?

THE CHAIRMAN: It just so happens that the Mayor did not appoint you.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not asking for any Mayor's appointment. I am just asking for a stenographic report, which I am entitled to.

THE CHAIRMAN: You referred to the Mayor's appointment. You mean the President of the Board?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I mean the President of the Board. I just simply wished to present this matter to them, showing the conditions under which I have been appointed. I want my remarks, your remarks, and everything pertaining to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will also prepare a certificate of appointment.

Conference on Suggested Public Improvements Under Federal Aid.

The following was read by the Clerk.

Mayor's Office, 200 City Hall, June 3, 1933.

To the Heads of All Departments:

I am directed through Resolution No. 811, passed by the Board of Supervisors on May 31, 1933, to call a conference of the heads of the municipal departments and Board of Supervisors for the purpose of outlining and suggesting estimates for public improvements—the same to be presented to proper authorities in order that the City and County of San Francisco may participate in the allocation of funds as provided for in the Federal Appropriation Act, setting aside $3,000,000,000 for a public works program.

You will, therefore, be advised that I have set aside Wednesday, June 7, at 10 a. m., in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, for the purpose of the conference. You are respectfully requested to be present.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Excerpt from Congressional Bill.

Section 202. The Administration, under the direction of the President, shall prepare a comprehensive program of public works, which shall include among other things the following: (a) Construction, repair, and improvement of public highway and park ways, public buildings, and any publicly owned instrumentalities and facilities; (b) conservation and development of natural resources, including control, utilization, and purification of waters, prevention of soil erosion, development of water power, transmission of electrical energy, and construction of river and harbor improvements; (c) any projects of the character heretofore constructed or carried on either directly by public authority or with public aid to serve the interests of the general public; (d) construction under public regulation or control of low-cost housing and slum-clearance projects; (e) any project (other than those included in the foregoing classes) of a character heretofore eligible for loans under subsection (a) of section 210 of the Emergency

Relief and Construction Act of 1932, as amended; and if in the opinion of the President it seems desirable, the construction of naval vessels within the terms and/or limits established by the London Naval Treaty of 1930 and of airplanes required therefor and construction of such Army housing projects as the President may approve, and provision of original equipment for the mechanization or motorization of such Army tactical units as he may designate; provided, however, that in the event of an international agreement for the further limitation of armament, to which the United States is signatory, the President is hereby authorized and empowered to suspend, in whole or in part any such naval construction or mechanization and motorization of Army units.

Mayor Rossi's Report, Pursuant to Resolution No. 811, Inviting the Heads of Municipal Departments to Furnish Recommendations in Connection with Public Works Projects Under the Terms of Senate Bill No. 1712.

The following synopsis was prepared by the Clerk and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

Sheriff: Construction of three steel cell tiers in south wing of County Jail No. 1.

Superintendent of Parks: Construction of El Camino Del Mar boulevard from Sloat boulevard to Sharp Park, along the Ocean Shore.

County Welfare Department: Housing facilities for the needy.

Chief Engineer, Fire Department: Construction of Fire and Police Department headquarters, and construction of Fire Department engine houses, high pressure extensions and cisterns.

Chief of Police: Construction of Police headquarters and Police garage, Civic Center.

Chief, Department of Electricity: Underground cable extensions and construction of necessary equipment of fire alarm stations.

Director of Property: Construction of building for storing of voting machines and for housing Police Department functions, now located in Hall of Justice, and for the District Attorney, Public Defender and other offices located at 333 Kearny street.

Adult Probation Department: Acceptance of any and all money for "Unemployed Relief."

Dr. J. P. Gray, Board of Health: Improvement of roads at Hassler Health Home, additional Tuberculosis Building, and new building for children who are contacts of cases of tuberculosis, and a Nurses' Home for San Francisco Hospital, convenience stations and extensions of main sewers and sewer outfalls. The construction of Municipal Lodging House, convertible, if necessary, as a temporary hospital.

Art Commission: Suitable architectural treatment for the approaches of the two transbay bridges; the widening and beautification of Fulton street and the creation of Fulton boulevard; acquiring of property adjacent to Fine Arts Palace; suitable architectural treatment for the blank wall of the Orpheum Theater Building facing the Civic Center.

Recreation Department: Additional playground buildings, apparatus and improvements.

Municipal Court: Construction of Court House in Civic Center to house Superior and Municipal Courts, County Clerk, Municipal Court Clerk, Sheriff, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer, Chief of Police, Grand Jury, Jury Commissioners, Law Library, and press reporters. Basement to be used as Police Station. Sand blasting of City Hall, renovating of dome, cleansing of buildings around City Hall and painting.

Secretary of Public Utilities Commission: Reclamation of factory sites and the building of necessary tunnels and roads.

Manager of Utilities, Mr. Cahill: Raising of the O'Shaughnessy Dam 85 feet, building of Red Mountain Bar Power House, building of additional transbay pipe line, building of other pipe line, and construction of reservoirs and additional distributing pipe lines.

Board of Education: Construction of schools, as per recently requested bond issue.

City Planning Commission: Construction of approaches to Bay bridges, including tunnel.

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.

(Code No. 11.0434.)

The following was presented by Supervisor Canepa and *referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee*:

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized, due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

Garbage Hearing.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that letter of City Administrative Officer of April 27, 1933, on the subject of garbage disposal be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday.

So ordered.

One-man Car Hearing.

Supervisor Colman announced that at 3:30 o'clock next Wednesday the Public Utilities Committee would meet to consider the request of the Market Street Railway Company to operate "one-man cars." He requested that notices be sent inviting attendance to the San Francisco Labor Council, Building Trades Council, Market Street Railway officials, and others interested.

Dreamland Auditorium Promoter Presented.

Thos. Patrick Larsfield Gallwey, promoter of Dreamland Auditorium, was introduced by Supervisor Gallagher, who eulogized his good work in the East in the promotion of clean sport and predicted a great success for him in San Francisco.

Mr. Gallwey responded, expressing his appreciation of the kind reception extended to him by San Francisco.

Supervisor Shannon requested that he be excused from next Wednesday's meeting.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon at the hour of 4:55 p. m. the Board adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 26

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, June 12, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 5, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Peyser was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of May 19, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was taken up and *laid over until July 3, 1933*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02.)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo

street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5465 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Consideration of report on garbage disposal by Chief Administrative Officer, submitted April 27, 1933, recommending that the contract with the Scavengers' Union be extended until December 31, 1933.

Motions.

Supervisor Colman moved the adoption of the Chief Administrator's report providing for a seven months' extension of contract.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that the contract be extended for three months.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved as an amendment to the amendment that the contract be extended six months.

Amendment to the amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Privilege of the Floor.

Stanislaus Riley, representing John P. Holland, Captain Walters, Mrs. Ashton of Visitacion Valley, Miss Burnett, Mrs. Best, I. W. Parson, and Sylvester Andriano, representing the Scavengers' Union, were heard at length.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that for the handling and final determination of this question that the Chief Administrative Officer or the proper authority advise all concerned that propositions are now being received for the permanent disposal of garbage; that the reception of proposals will terminate in ninety days, thereafter and at the end of ninety days the Chief Administrative Officer will report to this Board on all proposals, together with his recommendation and that this Board of Supervisors then take final action.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.
(Code No. 13.02.)

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing

penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Commercial District. In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.
3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges.
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Board of Supervisors.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Providing for Sale of Land, Potrero Avenue and Twenty-second Street Under Jurisdiction of Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 12.1727.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 333, Ordinance No. 12.17272, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant

thereon 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue 25 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 25 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $552,499.79 Out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the Construction of San Francisco County Jail in the County of San Mateo, California.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 334, Ordinance No. 9.05167, as follows:

Appropriating $552,499.79 out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the construction of County Jail in San Mateo County, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $552,499.79 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Jail Fund, Bond Issue 1931, for the construction of San Francisco County Jail, in the county of San Mateo, California.

(Approved by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, and Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Resolution No. 826, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property standing in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed for years 1930 and 1931 in the names of the original owners and sold for the delinq

es of 1930; being City property, these sales and assessments should be cancelled, l the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessnts in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

ol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1930	1931
5	13	811	Frederick L. Zipp	295	$ 82.02	$
6	22	2098	Jack & Theresa Clark	1027	4.04	
	26	2098	Henry A. Muller	1028	4.04	
	30		Mildred Breitman	1029	8.08	
	34		Louise H. Schutt	1030	8.08	
	3		Chas. S. & M. D. Cassassa	1057	42.02	84.04
7	1	2389	Mary A. Finnegan	1189	98.18	98.18
	38		Same	1190	15.36	15.36
	39		Same	1191	98.18	98.18
	44		Anton Isakson	1192	36.36	
5	2	5714	Eugene O'Connor	2155	42.02	
	6		Andrew & Jennie Hoem	2159	12.52	
	7		A. M. & C. E. Shepherd	2160	41.81	
	10		R. & Rosina Cantacessi	2161	42.42	
	11		Louise Weissbarth	2162	39.39	
	24		Geo. W. Hills	2163	69.89	
	29		Ellen T. Barrett	2166	50.50	
	31		Ferd K. & M. Bertzmann	2167	21.21	
	37		N. E. Hughes	2170	13.13	
	39		Helena Schoensteiner	2171	20.20	
	41	5714	Fred Stroutman	2173	18.99	
	43		Daniel Costello	2175	14.14	
6	7	6027	Bertha Hagerty	2318	1.22	
7	11-12	6232	Zerita V. Head	2423	6.46	
8	26	6474	James J. Lee, Inc.	2529	66.46	
	28-29		Wm. B. Frederick & F. H. Partman	2530	25.25	
	30		Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2531	22.83	
9	15	6547	John & Elsa Simon	2566	43.64	
	16		Marie V. Carlson	2567	102.22	
	17		Leo T. & Elsie Aigner	2568	98.18	
	18		W. & M. W. McDowell....p. p. $5.25	2569	61.81	
	19		J. M. & E. Carroll	2570	84.84	
	20		Hannah O'Connell	2571	98.18	
	21		Amanda C. Kanyss	2572	97.36	
	22		Elizabeth Mullani, et al.	2573	29.08	
	22A		P. J. & H. Keeley	2574	174.52	
	23		J. S. & L. Robertson	2575	101.80	
	24		C. F. Frank, et al.	2576	86.86	
	25		A. M. & A. Buchner	2577	54.54	
	26		Katherine Moran	2578	111.10	
	27		Henrietta Scheper	2579	32.32	
	28	6547	J. J. & M. A. White	2580	78.78	
	29		Margaretha Drewes	2581	153.52	
	31		Sophie Fischer	2583	117.16	
	32		M. & K. Kelly....p. p. $3.23	2584	219.77	
	33		W. J. Robinson	2585	50.10	
)	16	6688	Carrie Hansen	2694	12.52	
3	11-12	7124	Florence Collins	2988	7.07	

yes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, ver, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

bsent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 827, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now
corded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was sold to the State
the delinquent taxes of 1928 and assessed for subsequent years. Being City prop
these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having conse
thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessm
in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1928*	*1929*	*19*
7	32	1061	M. McDougall	351	$105.34	$	$
13	37	1714	M. C. Squires	730	44.55	88.66	
	43		G. Silvestri	734	14.85	29.56	
15	3	2013	G. N. Klinger	890	161.56	160.76	16
16	12	2098	Robert J. Jones	956	3.96	7.98	
	13	2137	Heyman Bros.	987	13.46		
17	21	2326	H. C. Corwell	1100	9.90		
	4-5-6	2365	C. Martin	1143	23.76	47.28	
	8		J. T. Pechner	1144	7.92	15.76	
	9		A. P. Cunningham	1145	7.92	15.76	
	24		E. Kiley	1155	15.84	31.52	
	2	2389	J. Ferguson	1163	7.52	14.98	
	15		E. M. Sink	1174	7.52	14.98	
	20		C. M. Ehm	1179	7.52	14.98	
	27		Jos. M. Leisner	1183	7.52	14.98	
18	2-2A	2437	H. W. & E. Herin	1243	22.96		
	3		J. W. Bowden	1244	4.95		
	3A		C. E. Bowden	1245	6.53	13.00	
	5		L. P. & J. Hammon	1246	24.75		
	5A		Arthur Elvin	1247	64.54		
	6		H. & M. Thurber	1248	10.89		
	7		H. Falck	1249	9.90		
	8-9		C. E. Healy	1250	21.78		
	9A		J. H. & R. Ottens	1251	21.78		
	10		B. E. Harmer, et al.	1252	11.68		
	11-12		H. W. & E. Heim	1253	26.14		
	1	2460A	Parkside Realty Co.	1259	292.25		
	1-3-4	2505A	Parkside Realty Co.	1271-3	162.76		
	5-6-7		Title Ins. & Guar. Co.	1274-5-6	17.82		
	8 to 11		Parkside Realty Co.	1277 to 80	28.02		
	12 to 14		J. A. & R. Cunningham	1281	14.85		
	15		Title Ins. & Guar. Co.	1282	4.95		
	10-11	2519	K. Carroll	1286	47.52		
22	9	3149	The McCarthy Co.	1555	158.40		
	15-16-27	3265	C. E. & O. M. Hueter	1586-7-8	154.06		
	17 to 26		C. E. & O. M. Hueter	1648 to 57	455.40		
	1 to 22	3266	C. E. & O. M. Hueter	1589 to 1610	1052.86		
	1 to 7	3268	C. E. & O. M. Hueter	1612 to 1618	348.48		
	8 to 17		E. C. & O. M. Hueter	1619 to 28	553.64	550.80	
	10-11	3269	E. C. & O. M. Hueter	1629-30	134.24	133.56	
	12-19		E. C. & O. M. Hueter	1631-38	384.84		
27	6	4163 4196	W. & M. Hausner	1828	13.86		
	11		L. & A. Maggioncalda	1829	5.94		
	11A		Jeffiro Milani	1830	2.97		

ol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1928	1929	1930
	26		T. J. Dunne	1831	14.66		
	13-14	4164					
		4195	J. & J. Siminoff	1832	25.74		
0	5 to 8 & 12	5036	Bayview Land Co.	2020-24	24.55		
	1	5037	Bayview Land Co.	2025	1.78		
	2 to 6		Bayview Land Co.	2026-30	8.70		
2	9-10	5330	A. F. Angels Co.	2095	37.62		
	2-3	5374	C. Johnson	2103	5.14		
	19	5375	K. Cote	2108	4.36	3.16	
	23-24		P. E. Ottner	2110	14.66		
	38		J. A. & M. H. Reid	2111	19.80		
	39		J. Damele	2112	20.98		
	40 to 45		D. & L. Ososki	2113	18.62		
	41 to 45		D. & L. Ososki			7.88	
	46 to 47		K. F. Raab	2114	5.54		
	1	5391	B. Kalinowsky	2123	2.77		
	15	5392	G. & D. Barlesi	2124	26.92		
	18		J. & M. Lewis	2126	32.86		
	19		H. W. Hildebrand	2127	21.38		
	20		Alice Murphy	2129	2.97		
	23-24		F. & F. Daniels	2131	17.82		
	25-26		J. E. Martin	2132	27.52		
	28		T. & I. LeBallister	2133	25.74		
	31		C. & I. Zuffi	2135	66.52		
	32		P. & B. McKenna	2136	53.06		
	32A		A. & S. Hultman	2137	44.74		
3	1	5437	M. & B. Zaragoza	2145	41.58		
	1	5456	H. Robatto, et al.	2151	7.92		
	22	5465	S. Lipshitz	2159	5.54		
	23		B. Zeenck	2160	11.29		
	24		S. Lipshitz	2161	7.12		
	31		A. Hansberg	2162	2.97		
	32		A. & A. Hansberg	2163	7.92		
	33		J. Posedu	2164	15.84		
	8	5466	C. & V. Kiesel	2165	7.92		
	9		F. Parodi	2166	5.94		
	1	5472	A. Kot, et al.	2172	12.87	3.94	
	2		L. Cornick	2173	15.44		
	12	5474	A. F. Hauser, et al.	2175	20.98		
	26-27	5560	S. M. Yaeger	2224	24.94		
	31		T. C. Fry	2225	21.78		
	32 to 35		L. Gutradt, et al.	2226	13.07	26.00	
4	36	5607	A. E. Hassett	2230	7.52		
	5	5631	M. J. Meredith	2256	1.58		
	14-15		M. J. Meredith	2257	3.16		
	4	5632	H. Kessler	2258	1.58	1.58	
5	20	5841	Roman Catholic Archbishop of S. F.	2340	3.16		
	22		Same	2342	2.78		
	50	5842	Same	2363	2.78		
	53		Same	2366	5.54		
6	14	6000	W. M. Wright, et al.	2444	9.10	paid	9.30
	1	6027	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2460	2.78	2.76	2.82
	7	6033	Same	2464	4.76	4.72	4.84
	3	6065	R. & C. Max, et al.	2471	3.96	7.88	8.08
	10	6068	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2475	4.76	4.72	4.84
	4	6069	Same	2476	2.38	2.36	2.42
	12	6098	R. A. Peter	2497	39.20	39.00	40.00
7	7	6118	R. G. Craig	2503	3.16	3.16	3.24
	8	6129	Jos. Gonzales	2508	1.18	1.18	1.22
	8	6130	S. Weinstein	2509	2.38	paid	4.84
	10		D. & V. McCarthy	2510	1.18	1.18	1.22

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1928	1929	19
	11 to 14	6132	L. Weinstein	2512	2.77	paid	
	2-2 ABC	6133	S. Weinstein	2513	2.38	paid	
	8A	6136	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2514	1.58	1.58	
	5	6137	J. C. Reed	2515	2.57	5.12	
	2	6138	C. T. Savage	2517	2.38	2.36	
	3		B. S. & L. C. Galbraith	2518	1.18	1.18	
	4		O. Savage	2519	3.56	3.54	
	8	6143	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2521	1.18	1.18	
	6	6148	P. C. Chamberlain	2522	2.77	paid	
	4	6160	S. Weinstein	2533	.79	paid	
	1	6163	F. O. Renstrom	2535	1.98		
	25 to 28		M. P. Gahan	2536	6.34	paid	
	5	6169	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2541	5.54	5.52	
	13A	6170	A. Fortino	2542	2.78	2.76	
	39-40	6179	M. P. Gahan	2547	3.16	paid	
	9-10	6183	L. & R. Levin	2550	2.78	paid	
	17-18						
	23 to 26	6187	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2552 to 57	7.08	7.08	
	52	6188	H. Lawrence	2565	1.18	1.18	
	13	6213	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2591	1.58	1.58	
	36		L. Gregory	2592	1.58	1.58	
	40		A. Young	2593	1.58		
	4	6214	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2594	1.18	1.18	
	21	6215	L. & R. Levin	2595	1.18	paid	
	28-29	6232	M. P. Gahan	2596	5.54	paid	
	35		Same	2598	1.58	paid	
	12	6241	E. A. Spencer	2614	3.96		
	25		Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2615	23.36	23.24	
	8	6242	J. C. Oliver	2616	13.06	paid	1
	25		D. T. & M. M. O'Kane	2619	1.98	1.98	
	27		J. McNally	2620	1.98	1.98	
	34		M. A. F. Traeger	2621	2.38	2.36	
	9	6260	E. M. Costupolos	2631	8.72	8.66	
	10		Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2632	7.92	7.88	
	13	6263	Same	2633	3.96	3.94	
	6	6264	A. M. Brennan	2634	3.96		
	5	6266	J. W. Stone	2637	4.36	paid	
38	5	6289	J. Bole	2649	2.78	paid	
	14	6291	D. J. Dunne	2650	3.96	paid	
40	5	6661	R. Leddy	2815	52.66		
	6		B. & B. Beizel	2816	97.21		
40	8		W. Murphy	2817	73.25		
	2	6662	S. F. Land & Title	2821	29.70		
	3		M. C. Crosby	2822	25.74		
	24		S. Dawson	2823	23.76		
	8	6686	V. R. Brooks	2841	51.48		
	30		D. & N. Casey	2843	45.54		
	11	6687	T. & F. Vizzard	2844	54.64		
	12		J. F. McGovern	2845	167.50		
	18	6688	M. E. Reno	2847	26.72		
	1B	6690	M. F. Merchant	2848	30.10		
	24	6691	F. J. Parry	2851	56.62		
	28		J. & A. McGrath	2852	36.82		
	46	6692	J. & C. Pierson	2854	45.54		
	3	6693	P. McCarthy	2856	35.64		
	1	6723	M. R. Day	2888	48.70		
	27		Same	2889	9.50		
41	B-14	6746	M. E. Behlmer	2920	24.94	19.30	
	1-2	6754	A. Larson	2926	23.36		
	10-11	6786	W. Burk	2951	15.84		
	31		T. Thomson, et al.	2952	5.94		

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1928	1929	1930
1B	6786A	Ocean Shore R. R. Co.	2955	5.94		
5-6	6954	Ocean Shore R. R. Co.	3031	12.68		
4	6962	E. A. Kelly	3036	49.50		
19	6989	T. Horgan, et al.	3047	11.88		
4	7068	M. H. Ames	3137	13.86		
9		S. Farber	3138	7.92		
37-38		W. & F. George	3139	35.64		
5	7093	F. G. Pfeifer	3196	65.34		
5A		F. Murray	3197	65.34		
6		C. & M. Hyde	3198	26.54		
7		F. & E. Rodney	3199	20.98		
9		W. & I. Nicholas	3200	22.96		
12-13		F. G. Nunzenmaier	3201	45.94		
14		L. Kellajtes	3202	28.90		
46		G. L. Antagne	3203	9.90		
49	7097	Mohr's, Inc.	3209	23.36		
21-22	7116	R. T. Higgins	3239	6.34		
21-22	7117	J. Madison	3241	3.17		
18-21	7118	G. Goldstein, et al.	3246	8.91		
21	7120	F. G. Wolff	3248	1.39		
23		E. M. Sink	3249	1.39	2.76	
6-7-8	7124	C. A. Barnhard	3253	8.91		
10		Same	3254	2.97		
11	7125	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3255	1.98		
15		Same	3257	3.96		
16		Same	3258	3.37		
17		Same	3259	3.37		
3 to 7	7126	C. A. Barnhard	3260	8.51		
8 to 12		S. & M. Ran, et al.	3261 to 5	9.31	18.52	
1 to 3	7126B	Same	3266 to 8	5.73	11.44	
4 to 7		Same	3269 to 72	6.14	12.20	
9		Same	3273	1.58	3.16	
2 to 5	7127	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3280 to 3	6.32		
8-9		Same	3285-6	3.16		
3 to 10	7128	Same	3290-97	13.04		
11 to 13		J. W. Wright & Sons, Inv.	3298-3300	5.14		
2-4 to 8	7130	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3302-7	9.88		
39 to 43		S. & M. Rea, et al.	3309-13	7.90	15.80	
44-45		Same	3314-15	3.56	7.10	
1-2	7132	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3316-17	2.97		
3-4		L. A. Lorentzen	3318	2.77		
5		J. A. Coswell	3319	5.54		
6		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3320	1.58		
15		F. W. Williamson	3321	1.39	2.76	
16 to 22		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3322 to 28	9.92		
26		Same	3329	1.39		
2	7133	Same, et al.	3330	1.58		
5		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3331	3.17		
6		Jos. Donovan	3332	1.98		
32 to 38		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3334-40	11.86		
1	7134	F. A. Wolsert	3341	1.39		
5		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3342	2.97		
5A		E. L. Lucas	3343	1.98		
28-29		Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	3346-7	1.98		
31-32		Geo. L. Antagne	3348	2.38	4.72	
33-34		S. I. Hughes	3349	1.98		
35-37		R. T. Higgins	3350	5.94		
1	7135	Same	3351	2.78		
2		L. Depaoli, et al.	3352	.99		
7-8		Max Grace	3353	5.94		

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1928	1929	19
	9		R. T. Higgins, et al.	3354	4.76		
	31		W. A. Buckman	3355	1.98		
	35-36		Salarac Land Co.	3356-7	1.98		
	1	7136	R. T. Higgins	3358	2.78		
	11		M. Lazarus	3359	.99		
	12		L. A. Lorenzen	3360	1.98		
	30-31		W. J. M. Simmons	3361	1.58		
	34-35		L. Depaoli, et al.	3362	1.98		
	38		R. T. Higgins	3363	1.98		
	1	7159	E. & R. Lazzarischi	3378	27.73		
44	5 A-B-C	2540	Arden Wood Co.	3412	64.16		
	13	5801	Roman Cath. Archbishop	3417	55.44		
	41	6316	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	3420	6.34	6.30	
	Portion 5	6959	A. Tiscornia	3424	73.26		

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Pey
Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supevisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales—State Property.
(Code No. 9.0412.)

Also, Resolution No. 828, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now standing in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California was assessed in the year 1931 in the names of the original owners and sold to the State for delinquent taxes in June, 1932; being State property the sales covering these lots should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lots 1A and 1C, Block 1730, as shown on 1932 roll, portion of Lots 1, 46, 47, 48, Block 1730 on 1931 roll, portion sales 1173 and 1174; $104.23 both installments. Assessed to Jacob Shafran and Mark Schwayder.

Lot 20B, Block 2028, as shown on 1932 roll, being southerly half of Lot 20B as shown on 1931 roll, portion sale 1422; $46.46 second installment. Assessed to R. P. Hobbs.

Lot 9A, Block 2430, as shown on 1932 roll, being southerly one-half of Lot 9 as shown on 1931 roll, portion sale 1855; $7.58 second installment. Assessed to Geo. W. Simmie.

Lot 16A, Block 2773, sale 2031; $38.78 second installment. Assessed to John Tiedeman.

Lot 13, Block 2955A, sale 2104; $2.22 second installment. Assessed to American Trust Co.

Lot 21, Block 3005C, sale 2139; $32.52 second installment. Southerly half of Lot 21A, 3005C, portion sale No. 2140; $33.53 second installment. Assessed to Henry and Hazel Stoneson. Both pieces shown as Lot 21 on 1932 roll.

Lot 1K as shown on 1932 roll, Block 6350, portion Lot 1, Block 6350 on 1931 roll, portion sale 3659; $67.07 both installments. Assessed to Arthur Williams.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.
(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 829, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now re-orded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed in the names the original owners and sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1929. These lots ere also assessed for subsequent years. Being City property, these sales and assess-ents should be cancelled; and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assess-ents in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to—*	*Sale*	*1929*	*1930*	*1931*
16	1	2156	W. E. Stone	1284	$ 40.98	$ 84.04	$
	5-6	2194	Elizabeth Koenig	1316	9.85	20.20	
17	2	2313	Thomas P. Coyle	1346	57.92	118.78	
	1	2365	John & Nellie Murphy	1429	9.46	19.40	
	14		Louise Franz	1432	17.73	36.36	
	16		Louise Franz	1433	17.73	36.36	
	18		Albert E. Hassmer	1434	15.76	16.16	
	37	2389	Karin Eliasen	1449	7.49	15.36	
	41		James & Mary Farrell	1496	17.73	36.36	
18	34-35	2619	Larry & Delia Larsen	1593	.78	.80	.80
19	12A	2704	Anna F. Holmberg	1647	10.84	22.22	
27	20	4164					
		4195	Johannes Nelson	2271	5.91	12.12	
32	27	5392	Louis Silverstein	2444	2.96	6.06	
33	20	5477	E. A. Hughes	2484	5.91	12.12	
34	4-5-6	5627	Jabez Weatherbee	2567	4.72	paid	4.84
	37-38		Jabez Weatherbee	2568	3.54		3.64
39	4-5	6544	E. R. O'Donnell	3177	31.52	32.32	
43	47	7093	Daniel H. Gallagher, et al.	3727	7.88	16.16	16.16

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, ower, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supevisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Refunding $15.85 to University of California, Error Made in Payment for Redemption of Lot 25, Block 7173.
(Code No. 9.059.)

Also, Resolution No. 830, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15.75 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 85 (Money Refunded), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, and authorized in payment to the Regents of the University of California; being refund of amount paid in error for redemption of Lot 25, Block 7173. (Request of Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Payment of Amounts by Islais Creek Reclamation District.
(Code No. 12.04.)

Also, Resolution No. 831, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 286 to Director of Public Works for $285.68, No. 287 to J. B. West for $125, No. 288 to J. B. West for $125, No. 289 to Charlotte E. Horrigan (administratrix estate of M. Dore) for $900, No. 290 to H. Windt for $400, No. 291 to Duncan Matheson, Treasurer, for $4.74, No. 292 to Louis Sutter for $1,800—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the

President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $259.41 Out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) for Payment to James Varni & Sons for Release of All Claims Against the City and County as Result of Damage to Crops and Due to Construction of Lake Merced Boulevard.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 335, Ordinance No. 9.05168, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $259.41 out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) for payment to James Varni & Sons for release of all claims against the City and County as result of damage to crops in connection with the construction of the Lake Merced boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $259.41 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) and authorized in payment to James Varni & Sons for releases of all claims against the City and County of San Francisco arising out of and as a result of damage to crops caused or to be caused by and in connection with the construction of the Lake Merced boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Deeding to the Estate of Grace D. Johnson Lot 18, Block 1293, Heretofore Acquired by the City and County, Under Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series) by Reason of Failure to Pay Assessment Levied Against said Property for the Acquiring and Construction of Sunset Tunnel. Payment of Assessments, Advertising Costs, Interest and Penalties Having Been Made to the City and County.

(Code No. 12.034.)

Also, Bill No. 336, Ordinance No. 12.0341, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a deed to Estate of Grace D. Johnson, deceased, to Lot 18, Block 1293, Official Records, upon payment of all assessments levied for construction of Sunset Tunnel against said property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco acquired title to Lot 18, Block 1293 as described in the Official Records of the said City and County, under and by virtue of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), by reason of failure to pay assessments levied against said property for the acquiring and construction of the Sunset Tunnel. The said property was owned by Grace D. Johnson, now deceased.

Section 2. Upon the legal representatives of Grace D. Johnson

paying to the City and County of San Francisco all assessments, advertising costs, interest and penalties arising out of the special assessment levied for the construction of the Sunset Tunnel against Lot 18, Block 1293, described in the Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the Estate of Grace D. Johnson, deceased, of the property herein described.

Section 3. The consent of the City Attorney to the cancellation of the assessment, on the conditions specified in Section 2 of this ordinance, has heretofore been obtained.

(City Attorney consents.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, Southwest Corner Chestnut and Webster Streets, Set for 2 p. m., Monday, June 26, 1933.
(Code No. 13.02.)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 832, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of Chestnut and Webster streets, is hereby set for Monday, June 26, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Re-referred.

The following bill was *re-referred to the Committee on Fire, Safety and Police*:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1132 (New Series), by Prohibiting Walking Contests or Exhibitions.
(Code No. 11.00.)

Bill No. 337, Ordinance No. 11.0003, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1132 (New Series), entitled "Prohibiting so-called marathon dancing contests or exhibitions and similar contests or exhibitions of endurance in dancing," in effect April 6, 1910, *by prohibiting walking contests or exhibitions.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person or association of persons to conduct or participate in any so-called marathon dancing *or walking* contest or exhibition, or in any similar contest or exhibition of endurance in dancing or *walking* within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Any person violating the provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding one hundred (100) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of

time not exceeding thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 3:30 *p. m.*:

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.
(Code No. 11.0434.)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized, due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Quitclaim Deed From Park-Presidio Improvement Association to Lot 3, Block No. 1636, San Francisco.
(Code No. 12.1716.)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 834, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a quit-

claim deed from Park-Presidio Improvement Association to Lot 3, Assessor's Block 1636, San Francisco, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Accepting Deed From Persis B. Gray to Lot 14, Block No. 79, Required for Telegraph Hill Park.

(Code No. 12.1713.)

Also, Resolution No. 835, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Persis B. Gray to Lot 14, Assessor's Block 79, San Francisco, California, required for Telegraph Hill Park, and that the sum of $3,610 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 35-6.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Leasing of Incinerator Property on Kansas Street Near Army Street.

(Code No. 12.1737.)

Bill No. 339, Ordinance No. 12.17371, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease the incinerator property on Kansas street near Army street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease the following described City owned land, together with the improvements thereon, situated in San Francisco, California, for a period not to exceed 20 years, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Kansas street, distant thereon 274 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly along said easterly line of Kansas street 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 225 feet; thence at right angles northerly 150 feet; thence at right angles westerly 225 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lessee may at his own expense remove and dispose of all incinerating equipment located on the above described land. Subject to the approval of the Director of Property, the lessee may make any alterations or additions to the building to suit the purposes for which the lessee desires to use the building. Provided there are no defaults in the payment of the rents, all equipment and fixtures installed on the leased premises by the lessee may be removed by the lessee within 30 days after expiration of the lease; otherwise such equipment and fixtures shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco upon expiration of the lease or upon the sooner termination thereof. Within a reasonable time after execution of the lease, the lessee shall repair the building on the leased premises, and during the term of the lease shall keep the improvements thereon in good repair, all at the expense of the lessee. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to incorporate any other conditions in the lease which he may deem necessary or desirable.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Providing for Payment of Fees for Building Permits, Moving of Buildings, Etc., in Quarterly Installments.
(Code No. 3.041.)

Also, Bill No. 340, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, entitled "An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard, or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," to provide for payment of annual fee in quarterly installments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimneys may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimney or chimneys; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any patent chimney or chimneys of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney or chimneys; and, provided, further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for cancelling said permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Providing for Leasing of City Owned Lands and Improvements, Having Rental Value of $50 or Less Per Month.
(Code No. 12.1739.)

Also, Bill No. 341, Ordinance No. 12.17394, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain City owned land and improvements under certain conditions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. When any department in charge of City owned real property requests the Director of Property to lease on a month to month, or shorter, basis City owned land or improvements having a rental value of $50 or less per month, and reports to the Director of Property that the property is not required for the purposes of the department, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to lease such property to the highest responsible bidder on a month to month, or shorter, basis. The Director of Property shall determine the rental value of such property, and is hereby authorized to execute such leases in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Before awarding a lease under the provisions of this ordinance, the Director of Property shall post notices for a period of three days advertising the time and place of such proposed leases.

Section 3. All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this ordinance shall be collected by the Director of Property and deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the proper fund or funds. Within ten days after awarding, the Building and Lands Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.

Section 4. This ordinance shall not apply to the leasing of any parcels where the rental value is more than $50 per month nor shall it apply to the leasing of San Francisco Water Deparment lands for agricultural purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Confirmation of Assessment for Improvement of Hyde Street Between Chestnut and Bay Streets.

(Code No. 12.0825.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 833, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal from the assessment for the improvement of Hyde street between Chestnut and Bay streets made by Eaton & Smith, contractors, under date of December 28, 1932, said assessment being recorded in Volume 29, page 607, issued November 20, 1932, be and is hereby denied, and the assessment for said improvement be and is hereby confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Changing Grades on Iowa Street Between Twenty-second and Army Streets and Certain Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 338, Ordinance No. 12.072211, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Iowa and certain other streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works did, on the 26th day of May, 1933, by Resolution No. 2547 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Iowa street between Twenty-second and Army streets, and certain other streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Iowa Street.

86 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 21.49 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street northerly line, 20.70 feet.

Twenty-third street southerly line, 21.00 feet.

268 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.21 feet.

Westerly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.

150 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 22.88 feet.

Twenty-sixth street intersection, 11.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Indiana Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 18.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street, 14.00 feet.

300 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 16.83 feet.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 24.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 26.35 feet.

Easterly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.

Westerly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.

Easterly line of, 120 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.

Westerly line of, 89.57 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.

Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.

Army street, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Minnesota Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 16.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street, 13.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 433 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 10.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-fourth street, 10.00 feet.

50 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 10.92 feet.

100 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 15.53 feet.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 16.97 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 19.11 feet.

Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.

Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.

Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.

Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.

Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Tennessee Street.

Twenty-third street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth street, 9.00 feet.

50 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 10.94 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 11.00 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 12.20 feet.
Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third Street.

Tennessee street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 13.00 feet.
Indiana street, 14.00 feet.
Northerly line of, at Iowa street, 20.70 feet.
Southerly line of, at Iowa street, 21.00 feet.
70 feet westerly from Iowa street, 36.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street, 9.00 feet.
Minnesota street easterly line, 10.00 feet.

Twenty-fifth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street easterly line, 11.00 feet.
Tennessee street westerly line, 12.20 feet.
78 feet westerly from Tennessee street, 13.70 feet.
Minnesota street easterly line, 16.97 feet.
Minnesota street westerly line, 19.11 feet.
Indiana street easterly line, 24.50 feet.
Indiana street westerly line, 26.35 feet.
Iowa street easterly line, 31.00 feet.
Iowa street westerly line, 33.00 feet.
50 feet westerly from Iowa street, 35.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-sixth Street.

Tennessee street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 10.00 feet.
100 feet westerly from Minnesota street, 10.50 feet.
Indiana street, 10.00 feet.
Iowa street intersection, 11.00 feet.

On Iowa street between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 86 feet northerly therefrom; on Indiana and Minnesota streets between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 400 feet northerly therefrom; on Tennessee street between Twenty-third street and Twenty-sixth street; on Twenty-third street between Tennessee street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 70 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth street between Third street and Minnesota street; on Twenty-fifth street between Third street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 50 feet westerly therefrom; and on Twenty-sixth street between Tennessee street and Iowa street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Intention to Close Part of Theresa Street.
(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 836, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Theresa street, at Cayuga avenue, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Theresa street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 182.643 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as shown on Map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M", pages 77 to 79, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly, westerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 92 degrees, 50 minutes and 25 seconds, a distance of 24.306 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Theresa street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 270 feet, central angle 9 degrees, 11 minutes and 35 seconds, a distance of 43.321 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 385 feet, central angle 6 degrees, 00 minutes and 48 seconds, a distance of 40.407 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 15 feet, central angle 108 degrees, 02 minutes and 48 seconds, a distance of 28.288 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly, along said southwesterly production, 5.599 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Theresa street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Theresa street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Reducing Sidewalk Widths in Diamond Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 342, Ordinance No. 12.073114, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1131.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 20, 1933, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1131, to read as follows:

Section 1131. The width of sidewalks on Diamond street between Surrey and Sussex streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed for Woodside Avenue.
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 837, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated May 12, 1933, from Effie L. Mayn for certain land required for the improvement of Woodside avenue from Portola drive to Laguna Honda boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Intention to Change Grades in Quesada Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0728)

Also, Resolution No. 838, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevation above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 2551, of the Department of Public Works, adopted May 26, 1933, and written recommendation of said Board, filed May 29, 1933, to-wit:

Quesada avenue:	*Feet*
Phelps street	144.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint street	94.50
14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint street	94.50
14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint street	87.50
14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint street	87.50
Northeasterly line of, at Quint street	70.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Quint street	72.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Quesada avenue between Phelps and Quint streets be changed

and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

In Memoriam: Daniel A. Ryan.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisors Canepa and Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 840, as follows:

Whereas, death has closed the very active career of Daniel A. Ryan, a noted attorney of San Francisco and a man active for many years in civic, religious and political life; and

Whereas, the late Daniel A. Ryan was Past Grand President of the Native Sons of the Golden West, a former candidate for Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, a noted, active attorney and a good Christian family man of more than ordinary worth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco publicly deplores the unexpected and tragic death of the late esteemed citizen of San Francisco, and publicly expresses its grief and sorrow, and offers words of sincere sympathy to the widow of the late Daniel A. Ryan, and to his surviving children; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting, and that another copy be forwarded to the widow of the late noted civic leader of San Francisco, and that when the Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Daniel A. Ryan.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Supervisor Hayden, in a brief address eulogized the character of the deceased, whom he declared was a life-long friend and associate and one very dear to himself and thousands of other friends, made and held throughout his lifetime by his genial, earnest and admirable qualities of mind and heart.

Opposing Riley-Stewart Tax Plan.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisors Peyser and Canepa presented the following resolution, which was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy made a *Special Order of Business Thursday, June* 15, 1933, *before the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole*:

Resolution No. 839, as follows:

Whereas, there will appear on the ballot for the Special Election to be held on June 27th, a proposition designated No. 1, which has for its purpose the abandonment of the present system of State taxation and the adoption of a new scheme known as the Riley-Stewart Tax Plan, and

Whereas, under the provisions of the Riley-Stewart plan, as interpreted by reliable authority, the interests of the citizens and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco would be adversely affected as follows:

Saving on County School Taxes	$2,736,840
Loss from 2 per cent Sales Tax	5,988,720
Loss in 1933	$3,251,880
Gain from return of Utilities Property Taxes	1,905,000
Loss from State-wide ad valorem tax	3,594,000
Loss in 1935	$1,689,000

Annual net property tax saving after 1934..... 1,047,840
Total annual net loss to San Francisco after 1934..... 4,940,880

Whereas, the adoption of the Riley-Stewart plan would result in the levy of a State personal income tax, higher inheritance taxes, surcharge taxes on power, telephone and telegraph services, an increased automobile license tax, a sales tax and various other taxes, and

Whereas, the type of sales tax which it is proposed to invoke under this plan is neither logical nor fair and constitutes a nuisance, and

Whereas, taxation being an enforced contribution from persons and property and almost tantamount to confiscation, it is advisable to preserve intact the known and definite plan adopted by the people themselves, rather than to vest the Legislature with complete power to regulate the matter, and

Whereas, it is felt that the interests of the citizens and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco will best be conserved by defeating the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby go on record as opposed to the adoption of the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation and does urge the citizens of San Francisco, as well as those of other cities and towns throughout the State, to vote "NO" on the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation, designated Proposition No. 1 on the ballot for the Special Election to be held on June 27, 1933.

Dr. Geiger, Health Officer, Appointed Narcotic Agent.
(Code No. 17.01)

Resolution No. 841, as follows:

Resolved, That Jacob C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1933, and ending June 30, 1934, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, Department of Public Health, and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Salary Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 8, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: We submit herewith the tentative draft of the proposed Annual Salary Ordinance for the year 1933-34.

We call your attention to the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 1, recently adopted by the people, providing for deductions from salaries of all officers and employees during the emergency which has been declared to exist beginning May 1, 1933, and ending June 30, 1934. Section 3 of the proposed Salary Ordinance provides for the deductions from the salaries enumerated in the Salary Ordinance. The language in this section, exclusive of the last paragraph of Section 3, is verbatim from the Charter Amendment. The last paragraph of Section 3 provides for an exception from the provisions of Section 3 of the ordinance in so far as certificated employees of the Board of Education are concerned, because the Board of Education has reduced the salaries enumerated in the proposed Salary Ordinance in an amount equal to the deductions provided in the Charter amend-

ment. Therefore, the salaries of certificated employees as enumerated in the Salary Ordinance have been reduced, whereas the salaries enumerated in other sections of the Salary Ordinance have not been reduced. The last paragraph of Section 3 also provides for an exception in so far as deductions authorized in that section are concerned in the salaries of judges of the Superior and Municipal courts.

The Charter amendment referred to above also provides that deductions shall be based on the gross salaries received by employees, including the valuation of board, room and laundry. Therefore, it has been necessary for us to determine which employees have been receiving board, room and laundry in addition to cash salary and to value the maintenance so provided and add the valuation of maintenance to the present cash salary. These instances occur in the following departments: Sheriff, Recreation, Juvenile Detention Home, Department of Public Health and Water Department—and in every instance where the present cash salary has been increased to include the valuation of maintenance provided, specific instructions for deduction from the new gross salary in the amount of the valuation of board, room and laundry or other maintenance provided, which is now added to the present salary, is indicated. A report is being submitted to the Controller advising him of the amounts in which salaries have been increased in order to include maintenance, and it is our understanding that transfers of funds from the maintenance or supply or contractual accounts of the respective departments will be recommended after July 1. We wish also to call to your attention a few corrections which should be made before adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 47, Bureau of Engineering, Item No. 44, should be corrected to read one employment, and Item No. 55½ added to read 1 F256, Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman, $250; Item No. 55 should be reduced to read 3 employments, and Item No. 54½ should be added to read 2 F254, Civil Engineering Draftsmen, $240. The first change is due to a retitling of the existing classification and the second change is necessary because of the 5 employments now enumerated in Section 55. Two of them will be replaced by civil service employees who have seniority over the five whose present salary is $240 per month. Items 38 and 74 do not appear in the Budget estimates and should be eliminated.

Section 59, San Francisco Hospital, Item No. 11½, the words "not over" should be added before the rate. This item, incidentally, is a reclassification of employments established in the Budget as institutional help.

Section 62, Hassler Health Home, Item No. 13, an error was made in this employment in adding full maintenance. This employee is now receiving $200 per month plus one meal, and the rate indicated should be $210 instead of the amount shown. The words "deduct for board, room and laundry" should be changed to "deduct for one meal."

Section 67, Controller, Item No. 21½, does not appear in the Budget estimates because it was understood that Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 would be reclassified by the Civil Service Commission to be payroll machine operators. However, the commission has not as yet reclassified these positions, and it is necessary to add the classification enumerated in Item No. 21½ to cover the employment of a temporary employee replacing a permanent employee now on leave of absence without pay.

Yours very truly,
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAS. J. MAHER, Secretary.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon the following bill was taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1934.

(Code No. 9.053.)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year; providing for deductions therefrom, and fixing certain other conditions of employment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that where funds are appropriated for such purpose in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and are thereafter available temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 260, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amount reserved.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1933-34 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished and such gross compensations are subject to the deductions provided under Section 3 hereof.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Sec-

tion 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, subject, however, to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls or pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	*For those receiving $80 per month or less in cash	*For those receiving more than $80 per month in cash
1 meal per day	$ 8.00 Per Mo.	$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day	13.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day	17.50 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry	27.50 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single meal	.25	.35

	Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home (Item 33 of Section 57)	$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, in the San Francisco Hospital (Item 70 of Section 60)	55
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (Items 65, 66 and 67 of Section 75½)	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (Item 68 of Section 75½)	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department (Item 60 of Section 75½)	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department (Item 16 of Section 75)	15

*The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction, shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the above schedule.

Section 3. As provided in Section 70.1 of the Charter and in accordance with Resolution No. 752 of the Board of Supervisors, deductions shall be made from the gross salaries or compensations of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco as herein provided in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $150 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $150 per month and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month and do not exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education as enumerated under Sections 84, 84¼ and 84½ hereof have been fixed by the said Board of Education after reducing the salaries heretofore paid in an amount equal to the deductions herein specified, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries specified under Sections 84, 84¼ and 84½ hereof, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of Judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 4. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1a	15		Supervisors (6 mo.-8 days)..... $	200
1	11		Supervisors (5 mo.-24 days).....	200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	350
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	200
5	1	B222	General Clerk	175
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	350
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
8	4	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographers	200
9	1	B416	Finance Committee Stenographer.....	325
10	1	D4	Sergeant at Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	250

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. No Change.

Section 5. **MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor ..... $	833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger	165
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer	225
8	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator, Mayor's Office	150
9	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	200
10	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office	300

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. One General Clerk-Stenographer at $175 *retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.*

Section 6. **ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor ..... $	666.66
2	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor	400.00
3	1	B54	Chief Clerk, Assessor's Office.....	375.00
4	1	B106	Chief Teller, Assessor's Office.....	250.00
5	6	B222	General Clerk.....	250.00
6	3	B222	General Clerk.....	200.00
7	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
8	8	B222	General Clerk	190.00
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300.00
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250.00
11	3	B228	Senior Clerk	190.00
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	300.00
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	250.00
14	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	250.00
15	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	225.00
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
17	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190.00
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
20	3	G2	Real Estate Appraiser.....	250.00
21	1	G4	Senior Real Estate Appraiser.....	350.00
22	3	G8	Improvement Appraiser	250.00

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1	G10	Senior Improvement Appraiser	265.00
24	3	G14	Personal Property Appraiser	250.00
25	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser	225.00
26	1	G16	Senior Personal Property Appraiser	300.00
27			Seasonal or Temporary Clerical Services (as needed)	150.00

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. Item 17 *retitled to conform to Civil Service title.*

Section 7. **CITY ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney	$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk	175.00
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155.00
6	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
7	1	F702	Valuation Engineer	300.00
8	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer	750.00
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil	250.00
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	350.00
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	600.00
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney	500.00
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil	600.00
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150.00

Section 8. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney	$ 666.66
2	5	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200.00
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	190.00
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk	225.00
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office	250.00
6	2	B222	General Clerk	190.00
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist	175.00
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	375.00
13	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time)	50.00
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal	250.00
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal	190.00
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	375.00
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	300.00
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	250.00
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	200.00
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150.00
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	375.00
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	275.00
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	250.00

Section 9. **TREASURER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer	$ 666.66
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	200
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
4	1	B102	Teller	250
5	2	B102	Teller	240
6	1	B102	Teller	175
7	1	B104	Senior Teller	275
8	2	B104	Senior Teller	240
9	2	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office	325
10	1	B222	General Clerk	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165

Section 10. **SHERIFF**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	$666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	23	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary in Item 30 *as indicated.*

Section 11. **PUBLIC DEFENDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender	$666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	350

Section 12. **POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150
			BUREAU OF INSPECTORS	
11	1		Captain of Inspectors	$ 416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	11	Q60	Lieutenants	250
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225
			UNIFORM FORCE	
18	1		Captain of Traffic	$ 333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
27	964	Q2	Policemen	200
28	27	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
29	87	Q40	Corporal	215
30	95	Q50	Sergeant	220
31	43	Q60	Lieutenant	250
32	15	Q80	Captain	300

Reconciliation. Item 26 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 13. **FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer	600
3	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department	400
4	1	B222	General Clerk	200
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator	155
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	225
8	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	130
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	880	H2	Firemen	180-200*
11	28	H10	Operator	210
12	15	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines	220
13	123	H20	Lieutenant	222.50
14	74	H30	Captain	235

Section 13. **FIRE DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
15	25	H40	Battalion Chief	350
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer	400
17	1	L360	Physician	235
18	7	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines	195
19	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	280
22	3	J4	Laborer, $6 per day	
23	1	M4	Master Mechanic	416.66
24	13	O304	Hydrantman Gateman	215
25	1	O310	Foreman Hydrant Gateman	255
26	1	U112	Pipe Calker, $7.50 per day	
			FIRE BOAT CREWS	
27	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief)	255
28	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	255
29	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)	255
30	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)	205

* At rates fixed by Charter.

Reconciliation. Item 9 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 14. **BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, $15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals	$ 250

Section 15. **PARK DEPARTMENT**

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the Park Department are fixed by action of the Board of Park Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Accountant	$ 175
2			Athletic Organizer	475
3			Attendants	75
4			Attendant (men's)	140
5			Cashier, Chief	185
6			Cashier	125
7			Cook (Children's Quarters)	90
8			Engineer	175
9			Engineer, Chief	215
10			Engineer, Assistant	175
11			Fireman	175
12			Golf Starters	180
13			Golf Starters, Relief	150
14			Harbor Master, Day	125
15			Harbor Master, Night	125
16			Janitor	125
17			Laundryman	145
18			Life Guard	125
19			Manager, Restaurant	200

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20			Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	135
21			Matron	80
22			Pump Man	175
23			Secretary	400
24			Stenographer	175
25			Stenographer	125
26			Stenographer	115
27			Superintendent	725
28			Superintendent (assistant in charge of construction)	325
29			Superintendent of Motor Vehicles	250
30			Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	200
31			Surveyor	215
32			Surveyor, Assistant	210
33			Timekeeper	135
34			Utility (Children's Quarters)	175
35			Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)	95
36			Windmill Attendants	125
37			Zoological Expert	300

Section 15¼. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
38			Animal Keepers	$ 5
39			Apprentice	3
40			Ball Field Boys (per hour)	.50
41			Booth Helpers (per hour)	.25
42			Booth Helpers (per hour)	.30
43			Boys to attend donkeys (per hour)	.30
44			Boys to attend ponies (per hour)	.20
45			Blacksmith	8
46			Carpenters	9
47			Carpenters (Assistants)	8
48			Carpenters (Assistants)	7
49			Cashiers (per hour)	.30
50			Cashier, Assistant (Booth)	3
51			Cashier (Candy Booth, Child. Quarters)	3.50
52			Cashier (Beach Chalet)	4
53			Cashier (H. F. Booth)	3
54			Chauffeur	7
55			Chauffeurs	7.50
56			Clerks	3
57			Clerks	4
58			Clerks	5
59			Cook	7.50
60			Cook	6.50
61			Cook, Relief	5.50
62			Cook	4
63			Cook	8
64			Dishwasher (Children's Quarters)	3.50
65			Dishwasher	4
66			Foremen	6
67			Foreman	6.50
68			Foremen	7
69			Foreman	7.50
70			Foremen	8
71			Foreman	8.50
72			Gardeners	5.50
73			Gardeners	6

Section 15¼. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
74			Gardener	6.50
75			Janitor	5
76			Janitor (Golf Clubhouse)	4
77			Janitress (Nursery)	3
78			Keeper (Aviary)	5.50
79			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour)	.30
80			Laborers	4
81			Laborers	5
82			Laborers	5.50
83			Laborers	6
84			Laborers	2.50
85			Laborers (per hour)	.50
86			Laborers, Apprentice	2.50
87			Laborers, Apprentice	3
88			Laborers, Apprentice	4

Section 15½. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
89			Matron	3
90			Manager (Harding Cafe)	5
91			Manager (H. F. Playfield Booth)	5.83
92			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour)	.30
93			Modelers (Plaster)	15
94			Mower Men	6.50
95			Office Boy	2.50
96			Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Playfield)	5
97			Pantryman (Harding Cafe)	3.50
98			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour)	.30
99			Porter	4
100			Porter	$ 3.50
101			Porter	3
102			Rides Man	3
103			Stableman	5
104			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course)	5
105			Starter, Assistant	5
106			Stenographers	4
107			Superintendent of Parks and Squares, Assistant	8
108			Supply Agent	5
109			Store Room Keeper	3.50
110			Teamsters	6
111			Teamsters	6.50
112			Tennis Courts Manager	5.50
113			Tractor Driver	6
114			Tractor Man	6.50
115			Tractor Man	9
116			Tractor Repairer	8
117			Truck Repairer	8
118			Utility Helpers (per hour)	.25
119			Utility (H. F. Booth)	4
120			Waiter	3
121			Waiter	3.50
122			Waiter	4
123			Waitresses	3
124			Waitresses	3.50
125			Waitresses	4
126			Waitresses	5
127			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo)	5

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
128			Yardman	2.50
129			Yardman	4.50
130			Yardman	4.
131			Yardman	3.50
132			Yardman	3

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
3	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at $12 per day	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	185
6	1	B51	Publicity Agent (part time)	150
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance	350
14		J4	Laborer (part time relief employment, not a wage rate at $3 per day) as needed	
14a		J4	Laborer (part time relief employment, not a wage rate) as needed	40
15	19	J4	Laborer	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman	175
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
19	23	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
20	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time)	75
21	3	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
22	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22a		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 22)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	195
23a	2	O58	Gardener	135
24	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
25	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
26	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	250
27	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
28	1	R56	Playground Director (part time)	85
29	4	R56	Playground Director (part time)	79
30	10	R56	Playground Director (part time)	75
31		R56	Playground Director (part time)	65
32	1	R56	Playground Director	175
33	1	R56	Playground Director	160
34	25	R56	Playground Director	150
35	4	R56	Playground Director	140

Section 16. **RECREATION COMMISSION** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
36	3	R56	Playground Director	135
37	4	R56	Playground Director	130
38	17	R56	Playground Director	125
39	1	R56	Playground Director	120
40	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
40a		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 40)	150
41		R56	Playground Director, $2.50 per evening	
42		R56	Playground Director (substitute), 50c to 75c per hour	

Reconciliation. Item 19 *read* 25 *positions in the official copy of Budget Estimates. Two of these positions are properly classified as Gardeners and appear under Item* 23a. *Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."*

Section 17. **RECREATION COMMISSION** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mo.) .....$	200
43a			Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 43).....	285
44	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics.....	210
45	1	R108	Supervisor of Music	185
46	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.	110
47	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	135
48	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	210
			Swimming Instructor—5 mo.	150
49	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.	160
			Swimming Instructor—5 mo.	125
50	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming	175
51		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, $5 per day....	
52		R114	Swimming Instructor, $5 per day.....	
53	25	R56	Playground Directors, $5 per day (Sunday only)	
54			Pianist (as needed), $2.50 per call....	
55			Referee (as needed), $2.50 to $10 per game	
56			1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
57			3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	

CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

58		A154	Carpenters at $9 per day.....	
59		A354	Painter at $9 per day.....	
60		A404	Plumber at $9 per day.....	
61		B512	General Clerk-Typist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
62		E154	Lineman at $9 per day.....	
63		I8	Head Baker (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
64		I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.).....	162.50
65		I16	Chef (deduct for R. & L.).....	210.50
66		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
67		J4	Laborer	150
68		L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
69		P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
70			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contracts	

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Number of positions herein established are fixed by action of the Board of Library Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	$ 200
2	1	B72	Business Manager, Public Library	375
3	1	B222	General Clerk	175
4	2	B222	General Clerk	100
5	2	B222	General Clerk	85
6	1	B222	General Clerk	75
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
8	1	B504	Clerk-typist	120
9	1	B504	Clerk-typist	160
10	1	C52	Elevator Operator	110
11	1	C102	Janitress	75
12	1	C102	Janitress	65
13	1	C102	Janitress, 55c per hour	
14	1	C104	Janitor	185
15	2	C104	Janitor	125
16	1	C152	Watchman	150
17	4	J54	Book Repairer	110
18	1	J54	Book Repairer	90
19	1	J54	Book Repairer	125
20	1	O10	Truck Driver, light truck	160

DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established are fixed by action of the Board of Library Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

21			Branch Librarian	175
22			Assistant	100
23			Assistant	110
24			Assistant	120
25			Assistant	130
26			Assistant	140
27			Assistant	150
28			Assistant	160
29			Assistant	175
30			Assistant	225
31			Assistant	250
32			Librarian	400
33			Substitutes, 50c per hour	
34			Pages, 30c per hour	
35			Station Keeper (Part Time)	50
36			Station Keeper (Part Time)	15

Section 19. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	3	C52	Elevator Operator	135
4	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman	155
5	8	C104	Janitor	135
6	3	C152	Watchman	135
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, $6 per day	
8	1	E108	Electrician	220
9	1	E109	Stage Electrician, $75 per week	
10	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
11	3	C168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
12	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, $75 per week	
13	1	A170	Stage Property Man, $75 per week	
			AS NEEDED	
14		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
15		A170	Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day	
16		E109	Stage Electrician, $12.50 per day	
17		C105	Concierge (part time, not over $75 per month), $3 day	

Section 20. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	$ 75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission	250

Section 21. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the California Palace of the Legion of Honor are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director	$ 333.34
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent	200
3	1		Organist (part time)	350
4	1		Organist (part time)	125
5	1		Chief Galleryman	200
6	1		Galleryman	150
7	3		Galleryman	125
8	1		Galleryman	120
9	1		Head Janitor	130
10	2		Janitor's Assistants	125
12	1		Stenographer	150
13	2		Stenographer	125
14	1		Librarian	85
15	1		Gallery Assistant	125
16	3		Caretaker	85
20	1		Watchman	125
21	1		Organ Repairer (as needed)	33
22			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150

Reconciliation. Item 14 retitled at request of department positions heretofore established as part time between this department and the de Young Museum are eliminated here and consolidated in the de Young Museum at the combined salary.

Section 22. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

Number of positions herein established for the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director	$ 491.66
2	1		Assistant Director	375
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	2		Docent	125
7	1		Docent	75
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer	140
11	1		Clerk	100
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary Board of Trustees	240
19	1		Lecturer, $10 per Sunday	
20	2		Gallerymen	125
21	11		Gallerymen	120
22	1		Carpenter, $5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125
			TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED	
24			Seasonal Clerical Service	150
25			Plasterer Foreman, $11 day	
26			Construction Superintendent	200
27			Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in a resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 29, 1932...	

Reconciliation. Items 25, 26 and 27 added to provide classifications needed on work authorized and under way that will not be completed until after July 1st.

Also, see notes re Legion of Honor.

Section 23. **STEINHART AQUARIUM**

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Science and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

Section 24. **MUNICIPAL COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges ..... $	625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	200
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	250
6	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	240
7	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
8	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	200
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	240
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B222	General Clerk	240
12	13	B222	General Clerk	200
13	1	B216	Clerk	125
14	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
15	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, $15 per day, plus transcriptions.	
16	2	B508	Copyist	200

Section 25. **SUPERIOR COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges ..... $	500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	8	B252	Court Interpreter	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
8	3	B420	Phonographic Reporter, $15 per day plus transcriptions.	
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator	190
10	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

*(Titles fixed by State law)

Section 26. **LAW LIBRARY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian ..... $	275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian	425
3	1	O102	Bookbinder	200

Section 27. **JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper ..... $	225
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk	210
3	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	210
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
7	1	T56	Probation Officer	225
8	10	T56	Probation Officer	210
9	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
10	3	T60	Senior Probation Officer	225
11	1	T64	Referee	200
12	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.....	350

Section 28. **JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor (deduct for R. & B.)	$ 145
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.)	68
3	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R.)	110
4	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	161.50
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	126.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.)	120
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	132.50
8	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	105.50
9	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.)	88
10	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.)	167.50
11	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.)	207.50

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 29. **ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	$ 210
2	6	T56	Probation Officer	210
3	1	T56	Probation Officer	275
4	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer	190
5	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer	350

Section 30. **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer	$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

Section 31. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records	$ 500

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office	300
6			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	20	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
			BUREAU OF DELINQUENT REVENUE	
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

Reconciliation. Item 1a added in order to maintain classification.

Section 33. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections	$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters	450
4	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
5	2	B222	General Clerk	250
6	7	B222	General Clerk	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk	205
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
9	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
10		B302	Addressing Machine Operator (as needed)	150
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator	225
12	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines	250
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	2	B305	Voting Mach. Adjusters	225
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
16		A154	Carpenter (as needed), $9 per day	
17		B305	Voting Machine Adjusters (as needed)	155
18		B202	Judges of Election, $5 per day	
19		B204	Inspectors of Election, $5 per day	

Reconciliation. One Addressing Machine Operator retitled to General Clerk.

Section 34. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk, 3 mo. at $300; 9 mo. at $250	
2	1	B81	Recorder	$ 666.66
3	2	B216	Clerk	215
4	5	B216	Clerk	200
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk	215
7	2	B222	General Clerk	200
8	2	B228	Senior Clerk	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	17	B508	Copyist	200
11	1	M254	Machinist	225

Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Court Room Clerk	$ 200
2	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk	250
4	5	B160	Civil Law Clerk	200
5	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	240
6	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office	300
7	1	B169	County Clerk	666.66
8	6	B216	Clerk	200
9	12	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	240
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
13	8	B508	Copyist	200

Section 36. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	$ 375
2	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk	250
3	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	300
4	1	B173	Public Administrator	666.66
5	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
8	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	666.66

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant	$ 466.66
2	1	B222	General Clerk	150
3	2	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
6	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
7	3	B352	Storekeeper	150
8	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
9	1	B354	General Storekeeper	220
10	2	B354	General Storekeeper	200
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper	175
12	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper	325
13	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer	225
14	1	B360	Stationery Buyer	275
15	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	130
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	170
17	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
18	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	200
19	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	275
20	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	225
21	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent	250
22	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service	325

Section 37. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1	B372	Purchasing Agt.—Hetch Hetchy Project	400
24	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies	833.33
25	1	B404	Clerk Stenographer	75
26	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	200
27	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	175
28	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	160
29	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	150
30	2	B504	Clerk Typist	175
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	140
33	2	C152	Watchman	155
34	2	J4	Laborer, $6 per day	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer	195
35½	1	J66	Garageman, $6 day	
36	2	N302	Inspector of General Supplies	200
37	1	J66	Garageman, $6.50 per day	
38	1	M108	Blacksmith, $8 per day	

Reconciliation. Items 18 *and* 35½ *retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.*

Section 38. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, $9 per day	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $10 per day	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, $9 per day	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, $7 per day	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M2	Gen. Foreman Machinist, Supt. Shop No. 1	300
50	20	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
50½	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, $9 per day	
51	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $7.08 per day	
52	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
53	6	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
54	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, $6.58 per day	
55	1	M156	Boilermaker, $9 per day	
56	3	M252	Machinist Helper, $6.58 per day	
57	5	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
58	1	O108	Leatherworker, $9 per day	
59	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
60	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, $6.50 per day	

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium	250
3	4	C104	Janitor	155
4	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
5	2	C152	Watchman	180
6	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
7	1	J64	Chair Repairer, $6.50 per day	
8	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines	220
9	1		Organ Repairer (part time)	75
10	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), $3 per day	
11		C104	Janitor (as needed)	145
12		C152	Watchman (as needed)	145

Section 40. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property)	600
			INTERDEPARTMENTAL	
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent	275
7	1	C204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property)	375

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works	$ 666.66
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Departmentof Public Works	400
4	1	B216	Clerk	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	225
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator	165

Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk	$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....$	180

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A106	Building Inspector .....$	275
2	7	A106	Building Inspector	225
3	1	B216	Clerk (part time).....	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
5	1	F558	Structural Engineer	300
6	1	F560	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection.....	625
7	1	M158	Boiler Inspector	250

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A106	Building Inspector .....$	225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
3	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
4	1	F112	City Architect	600
5	1	B216	Clerk (part time), as needed.....	75

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....$	250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.....	225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
13	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	225
15	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer.....	240
16	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	250
17	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	225
18	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	375
19	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
20	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	325
21	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer.....	450
22	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
23	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	250

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints.	250
25	1	F506	Engineer Grades	275
26	2	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investigations	275
27	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans	300
28	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
30	1	F556	Structural Draftsman	225
31	7	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant	225
32	4	F606	Instrument Man	225
33	4	F610	Surveyors	250
34	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
35	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor	275
36	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
37	4	N252	Street Inspector	225

Section 47. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	$ 225
40	1	B316	Photographer	265
43	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction	225
44	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
45	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
48	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
49	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
50	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
52	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
53	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
54	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
54½	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
55½	1	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
56	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	250
69	1	F554	Structural Designer	275
71	2	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant	225
72	1	F606	Instrument Man	225
73	2	F610	Surveyor	250
78	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
79	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400

Reconciliation. Number of employed specified in conformity with present organization.

Section 48. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs	$ 225
2	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	250
3	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	225
4	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	375
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
5	12	A52	Hodcarrier at $9 per day	
6	7	A56	Bricklayer at $11 per day	
7	20	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
8	4	O14	Driver, spec. equip., at $8 per day	
9	18	O204	Cribber at $7 per day	
10	16	O210	Sewer Cleaner at $8.50 per day	
11	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair	250

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 49. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF STREET CLEANING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	217	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
2	11	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $7 per day	
3	5	C152	Watchman	$ 155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning	225
6	21	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Trucks $8 per day	
7	5	O14	Driver of Special Equipment $8 per day	
8	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at $8 per day	
9	1	O58	Gardener at $6 per day	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Reconciliation. Item 3 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 50. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF STREET REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F218	Superintendent, Bur. of Street Repair	$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of St. Repair	325
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at $8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at $9 per day	
5	1	B216	Clerk at $5.40 per day	
6	23	J4	Laborers at $6 per day	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at $6 per day	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at $7 per day	
9	1	M254	Machinist at $9 per day	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, hvy. truck, at $8 per day.	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at $8 per day.	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at $10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at $9 per day	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at $10 per day	
16	3	O260	Rammer at $7 per day	
17	2	O264	Paver at $8 per day	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at $9.50 per day	
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at $9 per day	
20	18	O276	Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per day	
21	7	O278	Asphalt Finishers at $8 per day	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at $8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at $9 per day	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225
			BRIDGES	
27	1	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at $6.50 per day	
29	12	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)	220
			Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.	

Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	$ 300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	275
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher	275
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter	280
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	18	C52	Elevator Operator	155
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
13	53	C104	Janitor	155
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
20	5	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines	185
24	6	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	300

Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
27		A52	Hod Carrier	day	$ 9
28		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day	6
29		A60	Marble Setter	day	10
30		A62	Tile Setter	day	10
31	15	A154	Carpenter	day	9
32	2	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day	10
33		A162	Lather	day	10
34		A172	Hardwood Floorman	day	10
35	8	A202	Cement Finisher Helper	day	8
36	3	A204	Cement Finisher	day	9
37	1	A206	Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher	day	10
38	2	A252	Glazier	day	8.50
39	1	A252	Glazier	day	9.50
40	2	A302	Locksmith	day	8
41	1	A352	Painter's Shopman	day	7
42	23	A354	Painter	day	9
43	1	A356	Sub-Foreman Painter	day	10
44		A380	Paper Hanger	day	10
45		A392	Plasterer	day	11
46	1	A402	Plumber's Shopman	day	7
47	22	A404	Plumber	day	10
48	1	A452	Sheet Metal Shopman	day	6.50
49	5	A456	Sheet Metal Worker	day	10
50	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	day	10.50
51	9	A504	Steamfitter	day	10
52	1	A551	Apprentice	day	7
53	1	A551	Apprentice	day	4
54	1	B222	General Clerk	day	7
55	1	C152	Watchman		155
56	1	C202	Window Cleaner		155
57	4	E108	Electrician	day	9
58	1	J4	Laborer	day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Reconciliation. Number of employments specified to conform to present organization.

Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerk	$ 250
2	8	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	325
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
2	1	B222	General Clerk	$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector	240
8	13	E4	Electrical Inspector	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher	250
12	1	E108	Electrician	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at $9 per day	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at $8 per day	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity	300
16	1	E154	Lineman	220
17	12	E154	Lineman	215
18	1	E156	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per day	
19	2	E160	Foreman Lineman	240
20	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity	416.67
21	3	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
22	1	J12	Labor Foreman	195
23	1	J66	Garageman	150
24	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at $9 per day	
25	3	M254	Machinist	225
26	5	M260	Instrument Maker	225
27	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker	250

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector	$ 250
2	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector	240
3	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector	325
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	190
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
6	1	B70	Secretary, Department of Health	350
7	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time)	50
8	4	B222	General Clerk	190
9	1	B222	General Clerk	185
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
11	2	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
12	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	75
13	2	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
14	2	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	75
15	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	125
20	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
22	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
23	1	F520	Consulting Sanitary Eng. (Part Time)	150

Section 55. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
25	1	I202	Chambermaid	60
26	1	J58	Disinfector	200
27	4	J74	Rat Catcher	115
28	1	L14	Assistant Director of Health	400
29	1	L18	Director of Health	833.33
30	1	L52	Bacteriological Labor. Tech.	125
31	1	L56	Bacteriologist	225
32	2	L56	Bacteriologist	175
33	1	L58	Director of Laboratories	300
34	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspec.	200
35	1	L102	Food Chemist's Assistant	100
36	1	L104	Food Chemist	225
37	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist	250
38	2	L152	Dental Hygienist	150
39	13	L156	Dentist (Part Time)	100
40	1	L158	Director Dental Bur. (Part Time)	250
41	1	L252	Optometrist (Part Time)	150

Reconciliation. Item 38 reads as above in the official copy of Budget Estimates but typographical error was made in printed copy to read: One at $250. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary in Item 24.

Section 56. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at $10 per day	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time)	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	150
58	8	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	200
59	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	6	N56	Market Inspector	200
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	11	N204	Housing Inspector	200
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Invest.	215

Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A404	Plumbers at $10 per day	
2	1	A504	Steamfitter at $10 per day	
3	1	B222	General Clerk	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator	100
5a	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	150
6	1	I8	Head Baker	190
7	4	I12	Cook	165
8	1	I12	Cook	77.50
9	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
10	1	I16	Chef	200
11	1	I22	Butcher	100
12	1	I24	Senior Butcher	235
13	4	I54	Waitress	110
14	1	I58	Dining Room Steward	140
15		I102	Inmate Help, not over	50
16		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
17	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
18	3	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
19	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
20	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	120
21	2	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
22	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
23	2	I112	Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
24	1	I154	Laundress	95
25	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
26	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry	189
28	1	I254	Seamstress	90
29	1	I256	Head Seamstress	115
30	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
31	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
32	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent	275

Section 57. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— LAGUNA HONDA HOME** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
33	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
34	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist	100
35	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
36	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	200
37	4	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
38	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
40	1	L452	X-Ray Technician	150
41	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	220
47	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
48	19	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
49	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
50	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.	235
51	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L." Item 5a retitled.

Section 58. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 77.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
4	1	I54	Waitress.	110
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	385
11	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
12		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
13	8	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenters, at $9 per day	
2	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
3	2	A404	Plumbers, at $10 per day	
4	1	A504	Steamfitter at $10 per day	
5	2	B216	Clerk	$ 150
6	2	B216	Clerk	75
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
9	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
10	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	160
11	5	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	75
11½	12	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for B. & R.) not over	75
12	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
13	2	B454	Telephone Operator	125
14	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
15	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	125
16	1	B508	Clerk-Typist	75
17	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
18	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170
19	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
20	2	E108	Electrician	237.50
21	1	I6	Pastry Cook	175
22	6	I12	Cook	165
23	1	I16	Chef	200
24	9	I54	Waitress	110
24a	7	I56	Waiter	110
25		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B. R. & L.), less than	80
26	1	I118	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
28	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
29	13	I152	Flat Work Ironer	85
30	5	I154	Laundress	98
31	3	I154	Laundress	94
32	6	I154	Laundress	92
33	1	I154	Laundress	93
34	1	I156	Starcher	118
35	1	I158	Sorter	127
36	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
37	1	I166	Wringerman	136.33
38	2	I170	Washer	129.50
39	1	I172	Head Washer	152.50
40	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry	200
41	1	I204	Porter	60
42	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
43	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
44	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L." Item 11½ added as these employments were included in the Budget as Institutional Help. One Clerk in Item 5 was titled General Clerk in the Budget Estimates by error. Item 33 retitled from Chamber Maid.

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent	583.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61a	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	355
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hrs. at $6 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hrs. at $7 per day	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduce for B., R. & L.)	135
77	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	2	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 60½. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
80	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285
81	2	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
82	1	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
83	1	P206	Senior Anaethetist (deduct for B., R. & L).	185
84	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
85	4	P206	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator	150
94	2	T156	Social Service Investigator	180

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 61. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B352	Storekeeper	$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
3	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon	200
4	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals	225
5	1	L508	Chief Surgeon	250
6	16	O6	Ambulance Driver	200
7	1	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	165
8	22	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	200
9	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward	250
10	14	P102	Registered Nurse	165
11	3	P102	Registered Nurse	135
12	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at $5 per day	

Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—HASSLER HEALTH HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.)	162.50
4	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.)	182.50
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
9	1	J4	Laborer at $5 per day	
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
12	1	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
13	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal)	210
14	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	92.50
16	6	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
17	1	P114	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk	$ 185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
4	1	B510	Braille Typist	150
5	7	T152	Junior Social Service Investigators	150
6	7	T156	Social Service Investigators	150
7	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator	200
8	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

Section 64. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter	$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician	150
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time)	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....$	150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector	250
3	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector, 10 mos. at $175; 2 mos. at $200	
4	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector.....	175
5	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner....	400

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....$	225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures	275
3	4	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures.....	225
4	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	500

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....$	833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	7	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls.....	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part time).....	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	3	B222	General Clerk	160
16	3	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed..	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)....	25(
35			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	15(

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, $15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $15 per meeting	
3	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary	$ 350
4	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
5	1	F254	Civ. Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	250

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....$	100
2	1		Manager of Utilities	1,000
3	1	B67	Secretary, Utility Commission.....	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	350
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	O2	Chauffeur	200

Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....$	150
2	1	C104	Janitor	125
3	1	F52	Meteorological Computor	150
4	1	F54	Meteorologist	150
5	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent	175
6	1	F62	Superintendent	300
			TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES	
7		A154	Carpenter, $9 per day.....	
8		A354	Painter, $9 per day.....	
9		A404	Plumber, $9 per day.....	
10		E108	Electrician, $9 per day.....	
11		J4	Laborer, $5 per day.....	
12		J52	Airport Attendant	150
13		M254	Machinist, $9 per day.....	
14		O158	Gardener, $5 per day.....	

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....$	275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
3			Seasonal Clerical Service.....	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer.....	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities.....	833
9		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
10		F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	225
11		F216	Maintenance of Way Engr., Mun. Ry....	300
12		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
17		F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
18		F260	Civil Engineering Designer	250
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	550
20		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman	200
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	275
22		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
23		F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
25	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer	650
28		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	250
29	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	330
31		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	250
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
33		F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	265
36		F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment	290
38		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	250
39		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
42		F518	Office Engineer	250
43		F552	Structural Draftsman	200
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator	200
46		O2	Chauffeur	170
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines $10 per day	

Reconciliation. Number of employments specified to conform to present organization.

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, $9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, $10.35 per day	
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	1	B216	Clerk	150
7	5	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
9	1	B222	General Clerk	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	160
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	160
17	31	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	155
19	3	C104	Janitor, $5.80 per day	
20	6	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day	
22	1	C152	Watchman, $5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, $9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, $9 per day	
25	7	E154	Lineman, $7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	5	J4	Laborer, $5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, $6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, $5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, $5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, $5.80 per day	
34	2	J160	Track Welder, $6.80 per day	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, $6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	4	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
43	48	M202	Car Repairer, $6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
46	6	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway	240
50	438	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	430	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	10	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	175
54	3	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	210
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, $5.80 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
3	1	A404	Plumber	225
4	1	A551	Apprentice	85
5	2	A551	Apprentice	75
6	1	A551	Apprentice at $7 per day	
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
8	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
9	1	B10	Accountant	400
10	1	B24	Auditor, Water Department	700
11	1	B109	Cashier, Water Department	325
12	10	B216	Clerk	150
13	4	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
14	1	B222	General Clerk	200
15	2	B222	General Clerk	190
16	1	B222	General Clerk	180
17	45	B222	General Clerk	175
18	2	B222	General Clerk	165
19	2	B222	General Clerk	160
20	7	B222	General Clerk	150
21	2	B228	Senior Clerk	225
22	1	B228	Senior Clerk	215
23	6	B228	Senior Clerk	200
24	2	B228	Senior Clerk	180
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
26	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
27	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	160
28	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
29	11	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	175
30	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
31	1	B315	Photographer Water Service	200
32	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
33	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper	265
34	3	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
35	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
36	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
37	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
38	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	150
39	1	B454	Telephone Operator	165
40	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
41	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at $4 per day	
42	9	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	C52	Elevator Operator	160
44	4	C104	Janitor	155
45	1	C104	Janitor (or Janitress) (part time) deduct for board and room	45.50
46	1	C104	Janitor at $6 per day	
47	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	175
48	2	C152	Watchman	150
49	2	C152	Watchman	145
50	1	E154	Lineman	200

Section 74. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
51	1	F2	Asst. Engineer, Water Service	500
52	1	F204	Civil Engineer Inspector	240
53	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
54	2	F252	Junior Civil Eng. Draftsman	175
55	2	F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman	225
56	2	F258	Senior Civil Eng. Draftsman	250
57	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	175
58	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	375
59	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	200
59a	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	175
60	1	I12	Cook (including room)	130
61	1	I122	House Mother (part time)	100
62	71	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
63	13	J4	Laborer at $5 per day	
64	3	J4	Laborer at $4.50 per day	
65	1	J66	Garageman at $6.50 per day	
66	6	M54	Auto Machinist at $9 per day	
67	2	M254	Machinist at $9 per day	
68	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairer	200
69	1	M268	Foreman Machinist	285

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for board and room." Item 59a retitled to conform to Civil Service classification. Item 65 retitled.

Section 75. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at $7.50 per day	
2	2	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at $6.50 per day	
3	1	O58	Gardener at $6.50 per day	
4	1	O58	Gardener	135
5	1	O58	Gardener at $6 per day	
6	1	O58	Gardener at $5 per day	
7	1	O116	Teamster (2-Horse Vehicle) at $6.50 per day	
8	1	O152	Eng. of Hoisting and Portable Engines at $10 per day	
9	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	165
10	2	O162	Boiler Cleaner	150
11	1	O164	Oiler	185
12	13	O164	Oiler	175
13	15	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Eng.	185
14	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (Relief)	185
15	12	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Eng.	220
16	1	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Eng. (deduct $15 for House)	220
17	3	O170	Asst. Chief Engr. Sta. St. Eng.	235
18	1	O172	Chief Eng. Sta. Steam Eng.	265
19	1	U44	Gen'l Mgr. & Chief Engineer	833.33

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated.

Section 75½. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	U51	Supervisor Docks & Shipping.....	275
21	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections.....	300
22	1	U56	Asst. Supervisor Cons. Accts.....	290
23	1	U60	Supervisor, Consumers' Accts.....	340
24	1	U80	Asst. Manager, Water Sales.....	360
25	1	U88	Manager Water Sales	475
26	2	U104	Leadman at $6 per day.....	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable at $7.50 per day.....	
28	15	U112	Pipe Calker at $7.50 per day.....	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman at $7.75 per day..	
30	15	U116	Service Man at $7.50 per day.....	
31	1	U116	Service Man at $7.75 per day.....	
32	7	U120	Gateman at $7.75 per day.....	
33	7	U122	Shutoff Man	175
34	1	U123	Service Inspector	185
35	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector	200
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	165
38	6	U126	Meter Inspector	175
39	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector	200
40	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	160
41	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	165
42	1	U132	Contractors & Builders Inspector.....	225
43	1	U136	Gen'l Foreman, Service Meters.....	300
44	1	U140	Gen'l Foreman, Main Pipes.....	325
45	1	U142	Ass't Supt. City Distribution.....	350
46	1	U144	Superintendent City Distribution.....	500
47	2	U208	Flume Walker at $6 per day.....	
48	1	U208	Flume Walker at $5 per day.....	
49	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	165
50	6	U212	Water Shed Keeper (deduct for House)	160
51	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	145
52	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	135
52a	3	U212	Water Shed Keeper (deduct for House)	145
53	8	U214	Pump Operator	165
54	2	U214	Pump Operator	140
55	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
56	1	U214	Pump Operator at $7 per day.....	
57	1	U214	Pump Operator at $6.25 per day.....	
58	1	U215	Head Pump Operator.....	200
59	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for House)	200
60	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct $25 for House)	200
61	1	U226	Gen. Maintenance Foreman, Alameda Dist.	200
62	1	U227	Gen. Maintenance Foreman (deduct for House), Peninsula Dist.	210
63	1	U228	Meterman, Country	175
64	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman	200
65	1	U231	Asst. Supt. Ala. Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	225
66	1	U232	Supt. Alameda Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	300
67	1	U236	Asst. Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	300
68	1	U246	Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct $50 for House)	466.66

Section 75½. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
69	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture at $6.50 per day	
70	1	V30	Asst. Supt. Agriculture	200
71	1	V40	Superintendent Agriculture	600
72	2		Housekeeper (part time)	20
73	1		Housekeeper (part time)	35
74			Water Dep't. Worker (as needed), $5 per day	

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated.

Section 76. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Construction Superintendent	300
2			Inspector	200
3			Timekeeper, plus board	175
4			Transitmen	200
5			Chainman	140
6			Field Draftsman	140
7			Office Estimator	175
8			Tunnel Foreman	200
9			Safetyman	250
10			Detectorman	212.50
11			Camp Mechanic	225
12			Dishwasher, plus board	75
13			Waiter, plus board	70
14			Tunnel Superintendent	250
15			Shift Boss, at $9 per day	
16			Tunnel Shift Boss at $7 per day	
17			Miner at $6 per day	
18			Miner at $5.50 per day	
19			Chucktender at $5 per day	
20			Mucker at $4.50 per day	
21			Motorman at $5.50 per day	
22			Powderman at $5.50 per day	
23			Timberman at $5.50 per day	
24			Concrete Foreman at $8 per day	
25			Steelworker Foreman at $10 per day	
26			Steelworker at $9 per day	
27			Hoistman at $9 per day	
28			Tractor Driver at $7.50 per day	
29			Graderman at $6.50 per day	
30			Tool Sharpener at $6.50 per day	
31			Tool Sharpener Helper at $5.25 per day	
32			Campman at $5 per day	
33			Waterboy at $3.50 per day	
34			General Tunnel Foreman	300
35			Tunnel Foreman	250
36			Water Department Worker at $5 per day	
37			Foreman Construction	200
38			Surveyor	175
39			Electrician at $8.75 per day	
40			Blacksmith's Helper at $5.25 per day	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41			Straw Boss at $5.50 per day.....	
42			Pipe Joint Inspector at $6.50 per day....	
43			Blacksmith at $6.50 per day.....	
44			Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	265
45			Sanitary Engineer (part time).....	75
46			Bacteriologist (part time)	75
47			Biologist (part time)	75
48			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at 50c per hour....	
49			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at $5 per day....	
50			Cook at $6 per day	
51			Water Pipe Welder at $8 per day....	
52			Trucks and teams and drivers as needed by the San Francisco Water Dept., to be paid for at rates established by Purchaser of Supplies' Contracts..	
53			Assistant Bacteriologist at 50c per hour	
54			Assistant Biologist at 50c per hour.....	

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	1		Agent	110
3	1		Auto Machinist	210
4	1		Blacksmith	190
7	1		Clerk, General	190
8	1		Clerk-Stenographer	160
9	1		Cook	167.50
10	1		Dam Tender	160
11	2		Dam Tenders	150
12	1		Dam Tender	140
13	1		Dam Tender	125
14	1		Dam Tender, Assistant.....	135
15	1		Dishwasher	117.50
16	1		Ditch Tender	135
17	1		Ditch Tender	125
18	1		Engineer, Assistant	250
19	1		Engineer, Electrical	400
20	1		Engineer, Junior	150
21	3		Floormen	145
22	1		Floorman, Relief	150
23	1		Foreman, General	300
24	1		Gardener	175
25	1		Gardener, Assistant	135
26	3		Governorman	140
27	1		Housekeeper	117.50
28	1		Janitor	120
29	1		Labor Foreman	210
30	1		Lineman Foreman	250
31	4		Operators	150
32	1		Operator, Chief	250
33	1		Operator, Chief	210
34	4		Operators, First	185
35	1		Operator, First Relief.....	190
36	3		Operators, Second	165
37	1		Operator and Electrician.....	210

Section 77...PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1		Operator and Machinist	210
39	1		Painter	210
40	2		Patrolmen	210
41	2		Patrolman Helper	137.50
42	1		Pumpman and Fire Patrolman	150
43	1		Road Foreman	200
45	1		Waiter	107.50
46	1		Yardman	135

Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic, $8.73 per day	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Boatman, $5.50 per day	
4			Brakeman, $6 per day	
5			Carpenter, $9 per day	
5a			Carpenter Foreman, $10 per day	
6			Cement Finisher, $8 per day	
9			Clerk	175
10			Compressorman, $5 per day	
11			Concreteman, $5 per day	
12			Cook (Camp)	167.50
13			Cook's Helper	137.50
14			Dishwasher	112.50
15			Driver, $8.80 per day	
16			Driver, $10.80 per day	
17			Driver, $6 per day	
18			Driver, $6.50 per day	
19			Driver, $7 per day	
20			Driver, $7.50 per day	
21			Driver, $8 per day	
22			Driver, $9.20 per day	
23			Driver, $10 per day	
24			Engineer, Assistant	237.50
25			Field Assistant	175
26			Field Assistant	150
27			Foreman, $6 per day	
28			Foreman, $6.50 per day	
29			Foreman, $7 per day	
30			Groundman, $6 per day	
31			Helper, $4.50 per day	
32			Helper, $4.75 per day	
33			Helper, $5 per day	
34			Helper, $5.50 per day	
35			Hodcarrier, $9 per day	
36			Hoisting Engineer, $9 per day	
37			Hostler, $6 per day	
38			Housesmith, $9 per day	
39			Housesmith Foreman, $10 per day	
40			Housewireman, $9 per day	
41			Instrumentman	187.50

Section 78½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42			Jackhammerman, $5 per day	
43			Lineman, $8.73 per day	
44			Lineman Apprentice, $7.73 per day	
45			Machinist, $8.73 per day	
46			Operator's Helper	175
47			Painter, $9 per day	
48			Pipeman, $5.50 per day	
49			Plasterer, $12 per day	
50			Plumber, $9 per day	
51			Powderman, $5.50 per day	
52			Rigger, $6 per day	
53			Rigger Foreman, $8 per day	
54			Steam Shovel Engineer, $10 per day	
55			Steam Shovel Engineer	240
56			Steam Shovel Fireman, $7 per day	
57			Steam Shovel Fireman	165
58			Steam Shovel Oiler, $6 per day	
59			Steam Shovel Oiler	150
60			Steam Shovel Watchman, $7 per day	
61			Steam Shovel Watchman	165
62			Timekeeper	175
63			Tool Sharpener, $6 per day	
64			Tool Sharpener Helper, $5 per day	
65			Waiter	107.50
66			Waiter, Head	117.50
67			Watchman	125
68			Water Workers, $5 per day	

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
1	Attendant		$ 4.75	
2	Baker			$147.50
3	Bed Maker			107.50
4	Blacksmith	$1.091		
5	Blacksmith Helper	.818		
6	Boilermaker	1.091		
7	Boilermaker's Helper	.75		
8	Bookkeeper			215.00
9	Bookkeeper			250.00
10	Bookkeeper (Supervising Accountant, Utilities)			550.00
11	Bookkeeper, Assistant			190.00
12	Brakeman		4.50	
13	Campman			120.00
14	Carpenter		9.00	
15	Carpenter Foreman		10.00	
16	Carpenter's Helper		4.50	
17	Cement Gun Operator		6.00	
18	Chuckman		5.25	
19	Clerk			125.00
20	Clerk			140.00
21	Clerk			150.00
22	Clerk			165.00

Section 79. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
23	Clerk			175.00
24	Clerk			200.00
25	Clerk (Experienced) (Asst. Auditor)			337.50
26	Clerk, General			180.00
27	Clerk, General			187.50
28	Clerk, General			225.00
29	Clerk, Ledger			175.00
30	Clerk, Relief			150.00
31	Compressorman		7.67	
32	Compressorman, Relief		7.67	
33	Cook			157.50
34	Cook			167.50
35	Cook			177.50
36	Cook			187.50
37	Cook			212.50
38	Cook-Baker			147.50
39	Cook Helper			122.50
40	Cook Helper			127.50
41	Cook, Night			137.50
42	Cook, Second			137.50
43	Cook, Second			147.50
44	Cost Accountant			275.00
45	Dishwasher			112.50
46	Dishwasher			117.50
47	Draftsman			175.00
48	Draftsman			212.50
49	Draftsman			250.00

Section 80. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
50	Drill Doctor		5.75	
51	Drill Doctor		6.00	
52	Driver	1.00		
53	Driver	1.10		
54	Driver	1.25		
55	Driver	1.35		
56	Driver		6.00	
57	Driver		6.50	
58	Driver		7.00	
59	Driver		7.50	
60	Driver		8.00	
61	Driver		9.20	
62	Driver		10.00	
63	Dumpman		4.50	
64	Dumpman		5.00	
65	Electrician	1.091		
66	Elec. Foreman, Gen.			287.50
67	Engineer, Assistant			212.50
68	Engineer, Assistant			237.50
69	Engineer, Assistant			250.00
70	Engineer, Assistant			287.50
71	Engineer, Asst. Elec.			250.00
72	Engineer, Asst. Const.			375.00
73	Engineer, Construction			600.00

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
74	Engineer, Hydraulic			350.00
75	Engineer, Office			200.00
76	Engineer, Office			225.00
77	Field Assistant			100.00
78	Field Assistant			125.00
79	Field Assistant			150.00
80	Field Assistant			162.50
81	Field Assistant			175.00
82	Field Assistant			225.00
83	Fire Boss			212.50
84	Fire Boss, Relief			212.50
85	Foreman	1.216		
86	Foreman		6.00	
87	Foreman		6.25	
88	Foreman		6.50	
89	Foreman		7.00	
90	Foreman		7.25	
91	Foreman		7.50	
92	Foreman		8.00	
93	Foreman			262.50
94	Foreman			287.50
95	Foreman, Sub-		6.50	

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
96	Form Man		5.25	
97	Gate Tender		5.75	
98	Grout Gunman (or Op.)....		6.00	
99	Gun Operator		6.00	
100	Gunite Helper		5.00	
101	Gunite Mixer Man.....		5.50	
102	Gunite Operator		6.00	
103	Gunite Shift Boss.....		7.00	
104	Gunite Shift Boss		7.25	
105	Hammersman		4.00	
106	Helper		4.50	
107	Helper		4.75	
108	Helper		5.00	
109	Helper		5.25	
110	Helper		5.50	
111	Helper		5.75	
112	Helper		6.00	
113	Hoisting Engr. or Relief Hoisting Engr.....		9.00	
114	Hostler		6.50	
115	Housesmith		9.00	
116	Housesmith Foreman		10.00	
117	Inspector			162.50
118	Inspector			187.50
119	Inspector			200.00
120	Inspector			225.00
121	Inspector			237.50
122	Inspector			250.00
123	Inspector, Chief			275.00
124	Inspector, Concrete			212.50
125	Inspector, S. & S.....			312.50
126	Instrumentman			125.00
127	Instrumentman			150.00

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
128	Instrumentman			175.00
129	Instrumentman			187.50
130	Instrumentman			190.00
131	Instrumentman			200.00
132	Instrumentman			212.50
133	Janitress			100.00
134	Kitchen Helper			117.50
135	Kitchen Helper			112.50
136	Lampman			150.00
137	Lineman Apprentice	.966		
138	Machineman		5.75	
139	Machinist	1.091		
140	Machinist Apprentice	.818		
141	Machinist Apprentice 2d Year	.818		
142	Machinist Foreman	1.227		
143	Machinist Helper	.75		
144	Machinist Helper	.818		
145	Machinist Helper Apprentice	.927		
146	Machinist Helper Apprentice	.955		
147	Machinist Helper Apprentice		5.50	
148	Material Man			175.00
149	Mechanic, Camp			225.00
150	Mechanic, Camp	.95		
151	Mechanic, General Master			453.50
152	Mechanic, Head			225.00
153	Mechanic, Helper		6.00	
154	Mixerman		5.50	
155	Motorman, Gas		7.67	
156	Motorman, Top		5.25	
157	Motorman, Tunnel		5.75	
158	Mucker		4.50	
159	Mucker Foreman		6.00	
160	Mucker Top		4.00	
161	Mucker Top		4.25	
162	Mucking Machine Operator		7.00	

Section 82. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
163	Nipper		5.25	
164	Nozzleman		6.50	
165	Nurse			162.50
166	Nurse, Head			162.50
167	Nurse, Practical			112.50
168	Nurse		7.25	
169	Nurse		8.25	
170	Oiler		7.00	
171	Paymaster, Assistant			225.00
172	Physician			337.50
173	Pit Foreman		7.00	
174	Plant Foreman			240.00
175	Porter		4.50	
176	Powderman		5.25	
177	Powderman		5.50	
178	Powderman		5.75	
179	Pumpman		5.75	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
180	Pumpman		5.00	
181	Rescueman	.75		
182	Rigger		6.00	
183	Rigger Foreman		8.00	
184	Rodman		5.25	
185	Shaftman		6.00	
186	Shift Boss		7.25	
187	Shop Foreman, Section	1.216		
188	Shop Foreman			287.50
189	Skip Tender		5.75	
190	Special Agent			225.00
191	Station Tender		5.25	
192	Station Tender-Pumpman		5.75	
193	Steamfitter		10.00	
194	Steam Shovel Engineer		10.00	240.00
195	Steam Shovel Fireman		7.00	165.00
196	Steam Shovel Oiler		6.00	150.00
197	Steam Shovel Operator		10.00	240.00
198	Steam Shovel Watchman		7.00	165.00
199	Steel Foreman		6.25	
200	Stenographer			175.00
201	Storekeeper			225.00
202	Storekeeper			240.00
203	Superintendent			287.50
204	Superintendent			500.00
205	Surveyor (Const. Engineer)			375.00

Section 83. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
206	Templateman		5.25	
207	Time Clerk			150.00
208	Time Clerk, Relief		4.00	
209	Timekeeper			175.00
210	Timekeeper, Relief			175.00
211	Toolsharpener, Head		6.75	
212	Toolsharpener, Second		6.50	
213	Toolsharpener, Helper		5.25	
214	Top Foreman		6.25	
215	Top Man		5.25	
216	Track Foreman (or Track Boss)		6.00	
217	Track Foreman		6.50	
218	Tractor Driver		8.00	
219	Transportation Man			225.00
220	Tunnel Foreman			275.00
221	Tunnel Foreman			312.50
222	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant			240.00
223	Typist-Clerk			150.00
224	Typist-Clerk			165.00
225	Typist-Clerk			185.00
226	Waiter			107.50
227	Waiter			112.50
228	Waiter, Head			117.50
229	Waitress			112.50
230	Watchman		5.00	
231	Watchman			150.00
232	Welder	1.091		
233	Welder Helper	.75		
234	Yardman			135.00

Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
1	Superintendent of Schools	$ 8,200
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent	5,100
3	Deputy Superintendents of Schools	4,590
4	Directors	3,825
5	Director of Personnel	3,600
6	Assistant to Director of Physical Education	2,887.50
7	Supervisors "A"	3,315
8	Supervisors "B" (Formerly "Special")	2,887.50
9	Supervisors "C" (formerly "Assistant")	2,656.50
10	Supervisors "D" (Formerly "Special Assistant")	2,562
11	Supervisors	3,060
12	Supervisors	2,887.50
13	Supervisors	2,656.50
14	Supervisors	2,635.50
15	Supervisors	2,562
16	Supervisors	2,362.50
17	Supervisors	2,297.75
18	Supervisors	2,173.50
19	Supervisors	1,974
20	Supervisors	1,674
21	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,226
22	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,142
23	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,068.50
24	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,995
25	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,890
26	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,738.80
27	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,674
28	Head Dept., Junior High	2,530.50
29	Head Dept., Junior High	1,782
30	Principals	4,335
31	Principals	4,080
32	Principals	3,825
33	Principals	3,612.50
34	Principals	3,417
35	Principals	3,162
36	Principals	2,907
37	Principals	1,803.60
38	Principals	1,479.24
39	Principals	1,395
40	Principals	1,341.96
41	Vice-Principals	3,315
42	Vice-Principals	2,937.60
43	Vice-Principals	2,866.50
44	Vice-Principals	2,793
45	Vice-Principals	2,719.50
46	Vice-Principals	2,656.50
47	Vice-Principals	2,604
48	Vice-Principals	2,541
49	Vice-Principals	2,520

Section 84¼. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES** (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
50	Vice-Principals	2,467.50
51	Vice-Principals	2,404.50
52	Vice-Principals	2,331
53	Vice-Principals	2,278.50
54	Vice-Principals	2,131.50
55	Vice-Principals	2,100
56	Teachers	2,876.40
57	Teachers	2,877
58	Teachers	2,803.50
59	Teachers	2,719.50
60	Teachers	2,698.50
61	Teachers	2,688
62	Teachers	2,656.50
63	Teachers	2,635.50
64	Teachers	2,562
65	Teachers	2,541
66	Teachers	2,530.50
67	Teachers	2,520
68	Teachers	2,457
69	Teachers	2,373
70	Teachers	2,362.50
71	Teachers	2,278.50
72	Teachers	2,257.50
73	Teachers	2,226
74	Teachers	2,194.50
75	Teachers	2,142
76	Teachers	2,131.50
77	Teachers	2,100
78	Teachers	2,068.50
79	Teachers	2,037
80	Teachers	2,016
81	Teachers	1,995
82	Teachers	1,987.20
83	Teachers	1,922.40
84	Teachers	1,890
85	Teachers	1,857.60
86	Teachers	1,814.40
87	Teachers	1,803.60
88	Teachers	1,782
89	Teachers	1,738.80
90	Teachers	1,702.08
91	Teachers	1,652.40
92	Teachers	1,674
93	Teachers	1,621.92
94	Teachers	1,562.40
95	Teachers	1,500
96	Teachers	1,395
97	Teachers	1,242.09
98	Teachers	1,192.93
99	Teachers	1,053.53

Section 84½. BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
100	Teachers	880.40
101	Teachers	812.18
102	Teachers	779.70
103	Teachers	734.21
104	Part Time Teachers	1,701.90
105	Part Time Teachers	1,489.86
106	Part Time Teachers	1,413.60
107	Part Time Teachers	1,002
108	Part Time Teachers	720
109	Part Time Teachers	704.32
110	Part Time Teachers	678
111	Part Time Teachers	649.74
112	Part Time Teachers	623.75
113	Part Time Teachers	600
114	Part Time Teachers	587.37
115	Part Time Teachers	528.24
116	Part Time Teachers	480
117	Part Time Teachers	472.80
118	Part Time Teachers	456
119	Part Time Teachers	367.10
120	Part Time Teachers	352.16
121	Part Time Teachers	324.87
122	Part Time Teachers	293.68
123	Part Time Teachers	180
124	Part Time Teachers	176.08
125	Part Time Teachers	120
126	Part Time Teachers	60
	SUBSTITUTES	
127	Teachers at $9 per day	
128	Teachers at $8 per day	
129	Teachers at $6.50 per day	
130	Teachers at $5 per day	
131	Teachers at $4.692 per evening	
132	Part Time Teachers at $4.50 per day	
133	Teachers at $4.50 per evening	
134	Teachers at $4 per evening	
135	Teachers at $3.50 per evening	
	SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES	
136	Teachers at $4.50 per day	180
137	Teachers at $3.50 per day	140
138	Teachers at $1.50 per hour	300
139	Laboratory Assistants (as needed)	60
	SUBSTITUTES "A"	
140	Day Elementary at $5 per day	
141	Junior High at $6.50 per day	
142	Senior High (day) at $6.50 per day	
143	Senior High (night) at $3.50 per evening	
143½	Elementary Night at $3.50 per evening	
	SUBSTITUTES "B"	
144	Day Elementary at $6.50 per day	
145	Junior High and Continuation at $8 per day	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
146	Senior High (day) at $9 per day.....	
147	Senior High (evening) at $4.50 per evening	
147½	Elementary Night at $4 per evening...	
148	Teacher, Public Speaking, at $4 per lesson for each two-hour lesson.....	

Section 85. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
150	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....$	75
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day.....	
153	1	A156	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
154	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day.....	
155	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at $5 per day	
171		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator, as needed, $5 per day	
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	82.50
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	80
184	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	67.50
185	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	65
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, $5 per day, 197 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, $2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150

Section 85. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
196	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	1	C102	Janitress	95
199½	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	138	C104	Janitor	155
201	6	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time)	16
203				
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
206	1	G302	Employment Clerk	215
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	75
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time)	50
211	15	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per evening (as required)	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
219				
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at $8 per day	
221	1	O58	Gardener, $6 per day	
222	1	O58	Gardener, $5.50 per day	
223	6	O58	Gardener, $5 per day	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224			2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225			1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226			3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227			1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Reconciliation with department request. Item 167½ *retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.*

Section 86. **CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners .....$	100
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert.....	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary.....	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Section 87. **RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System.....$	500
2	1	B222	General Clerk	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed).....	200
6			Consulting Actuary (as needed), $50 per day plus transcriptions	
7		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed)	150
8	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
9			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
12	1	N410	Investigator	200
13		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at $15 per day plus transcriptions	
14			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board	

Section 88. Employment in any position herein established shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules if the funds appropriated for such purpose become exhausted or if the work for which the employment is created is completed. In those departments in which positions are established for the performance of interdepartmental service or in which positions are dependent upon contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, employment in such positions shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules upon completion of the service or fulfillment of the contract under which the service is rendered.

Section 89. If any section, subsection, item, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid such decision

shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion or portions of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Annual Installation of Officers, Southern Civic Clubs.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and copies sent to members:

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Honorable Sirs: On Friday evening, June 16, at 8 o'clock, in Guadalupe Hall, 4551 Mission, this Council will indulge in its annual installation of officers.

Judge Frank Deasy will act as installing officer. Mayor Angelo J. Rossi has indicated that he will participate.

It is hoped to have an abundance of entertainment and refreshments.

May we be honored with your presence on this occasion, and will you be good enough to advise the number of places to reserve? Thanks.

Very sincerely,

SOUTHERN CIVIC CLUBS,
G. B. HARPER, Secretary.

Supervisor McSheehy Reports on Mass Meeting of Unemployed Workers on Relief.

Supervisor McSheehy, who had been delegated to represent the Board of Supervisors at a mass meeting in Larkin Hall, Civic Auditorium, Tuesday night, June 6, 1933, reported verbally that he had appeared there and addressed the meeting. He said that there were 1400 unemployed workers on relief in attendance who protested the action of this Board recently in cutting $700,000 from the Mayor's Budget for unemployment relief.

Communication.

Whereupon, the following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 12, 1933.

Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: This is to notify you that a committee from the Unemployed Councils and the Charity Workers Protective Union will be present at the regular Board meeting today, June 12, 1933, at 2 p. m.

We respectfully request being placed on the agenda and arrangements made for our speakers to obtain the floor in order to present matters of interest to San Francisco citizens.

Respectfully yours,

FRANK SEWITZ,
For Unemployed Councils.
D. DALEY,
For Charity Workers Protective Union.

Privilege of the Floor.

S. F. Land, 1905 McAllister street, representing the Charity Workers Protective Union, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that at the mass meeting of 1400 unemployed workers on relief had sent a delegation here to represent them before this Board today and condemn those who voted to cut $700,000 from the relief fund of the City.

Supervisor Havenner requested that this Board do not adjourn until the letter from his Honor the Mayor on proposed public work is presented.

Mayor Rossi's Report, Pursuant to Resolution No. 811, Inviting the Heads of Municipal Departments to Furnish Recommendations in Connection with Public Works Projects Under the Terms of Senate Bill No. 1712.

The following is a digest made by the Clerk, by the order of the Board, of the result of the Mayor's conference.

SHERIFF: Construction of three steel cell tiers in south wing of County Jail No. 1.

SUPERINTENDENT OF PARKS: Construction of El Camino Del Mar boulevard from Sloat boulevard to Sharp Park, along the Ocean Shore.

COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT: Housing facilities for the needy.

CHIEF ENGINEER, FIRE DEPARTMENT: Construction of Fire and Police Department headquarters, and construction of Fire Department engine houses. High pressure extensions and cisterns.

CHIEF OF POLICE: Construction of police headquarters and police garage, Civic Center.

CHIEF, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY: Underground cable extensions and construction of necessary equipment of fire alarm stations.

DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY: Construction of building for storing of voting machines and for housing Police Department functions, now located in Hall of Justice, and for the District Attorney, Public Defender and other offices located at 333 Kearny street.

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT: Acceptance of any and all money for "unemployed relief."

DR. J. P. GRAY, BOARD OF HEALTH: Improvement of roads at Hassler Health Home, additional T. B. building, and new building for children who are contacts of cases of tuberculosis, and a nurses' home for San Francisco Hospital, convenience stations and extensions of main sewers and sewer outfalls. The construction of a municipal lodging house, convertible, if necessary, as a temporary hospital.

ART COMMISSION: Suitable architectural treatment for the approaches of the two trans-bay bridges; the widening and beautification of Fulton street, and the creation of Fulton boulevard; acquiring of property adjacent to Fine Arts Palace; suitable architectural treatment for the blank wall of the Orpheum Theatre building facing the Civic Center.

RECREATION DEPARTMENT: Additional playground buildings, apparatus and improvements.

MUNICIPAL COURT: Construction of Court House in Civic Center to house Superior and Municipal courts, County Clerk, Municipal Court Clerk, Sheriff, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer, Chief of Police, Grand Jury, Jury Commissioners, Law Library, and press reporters. Basement to be used as police station. Sand blasting of City Hall, renovating of dome, cleansing of buildings around City Hall and painting.

SECRETARY OF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: Reclamation of factory sites and the building of necessary tunnels and roads.

MANAGER OF UTILITIES, MR. CAHILL: Raising of the O'Shaughnessy Dam 85 feet, building of Red Mountain Bar power house, building of additional trans-bay pipe line, building of other pipe line, and construction of reservoirs and additional distributing pipe lines.

BOARD OF EDUCATION: Construction of schools, as per recently requested bond issue.

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION: Construction of approaches to bay bridges, including tunnels.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Issuance of Duplicate Bonds in Exchange for Like Amount of Original Defaced or Mutilated Bonds.

(Code No. 9.07.)

Bill No. 344, Ordinance No. 9.071, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of duplicate bonds in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000 in exchange for a like principal amount

of original defaced or mutilated bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized at a special election held in said City and County on May 11, 1908, being part of an issue of Sewer Bonds and Fire Protection Bonds of said City and County of San Francisco, dated July 1, 1908.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association has duly filed with this Board of Supervisors its verified application in writing under and pursuant to the provisions of an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other municipal securities which have become defaced or mutilated," approved February 23, 1907 (Stats. 1907, p. 53, as amended; Deering's Gen. Laws 1931, Act 1032), requesting the issuance of $300,000 principal amount of duplicate bonds of the City and County of San Francisco numbered and described as follows, to-wit:

SEWER BONDS, DATED JULY 1, 1908.

Numbers	Amounts	Maturities
2566 to 2580	$15,000	1940
2666 to 2680	15,000	1941
2766 to 2780	15,000	1942
2866 to 2880	15,000	1943
2966 to 2980	15,000	1944
3066 to 3080	15,000	1945
3166 to 3180	15,000	1946
3266 to 3280	15,000	1947
3366 to 3380	15,000	1948
3466 to 3480	15,000	1949
3566 to 3580	15,000	1950
3666 to 3680	15,000	1951
3766 to 3780	15,000	1952

FIRE PROTECTION BONDS, DATED JULY 1, 1908.

Numbers	Amounts	Maturities
3226 and 3227	$2,000	1940
3356 to 3363	8,000	1941
3486 to 3493	8,000	1942
3616 to 3623	8,000	1943
3746 to 3753	8,000	1944
3876 to 3883	8,000	1945
4006 to 4013	8,000	1946
4136 to 4143	8,000	1947
4266 to 4273	8,000	1948
4396 to 4403	8,000	1949
4526 to 4533	8,000	1950
4656 to 4663	8,000	1951
4786 to 4793	8,000	1952
4917 to 4923	7,000	1953

and has accompanied such application with a deposit of money sufficient to cover the cost of preparing such duplicate bonds and coupons appertaining thereto, and has tendered such defaced or mutilated bonds, together with the coupons thereon, for surrender in exchange for such duplicate bonds and coupons.

Section 2. It is hereby found and determined that said application of Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association complies with all of the terms and conditions of said act of the Legislature of the State of California above mentioned; that said bonds in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000 now held by Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association have been so mutilated or defaced as to impair their value to said owner and that said bonds

and the coupons thereon, and each of them, are capable of being identified by number and description, and that the amount of said deposit is sufficient to cover the cost of preparing such duplicate bonds and coupons and all other expenses connected with the issuance thereof, and that said application should be and the same hereby is approved and granted; that no other conditions, except as herein set forth, have been prescribed by this Board of Supervisors for the issuance of said duplicate securities and that all conditions prescribed by said act of the Legislature of the State of California precedent to and in the issuance of said duplicate bonds have been duly complied with by applicant in the time, form and manner as required by law.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to cause to be printed, lithographed or otherwise prepared duplicate bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000, having the same time to run, bearing like interest and having the same numbers as the bonds so proved to have been mutilated or defaced, as set forth in said application, said bonds being the bonds numbered and more particularly described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 4. Said duplicate bonds shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State of California, City and County of San Francisco

No. ——— $———

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of July, ——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 5 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of

this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Controller, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1908.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Controller.

Attest:

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Interest coupons shall be attached to each of said bonds commencing with the interest coupon due July 1, 1933, and running to and including the respective dates of maturity of each of the aforesaid bonds, which coupons shall bear the same coupons numbers as now appertain to the original defaced or mutilated bonds and shall mature on January 1st and July 1st in each year, and each of said coupons shall be in an amount representing the interest due on the bond to which it is attached for six months. Said coupons shall be substantially in the following form:

No. ———— $25.00

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, twenty-five dollars ($25.00) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its ———— Bond, dated July 1, 1908, No. ————.

....., Treasurer.

Each of said bonds shall be designated "Sewer Bond" or "Fire Protection Bond," as the case may be, on the face thereof and in the form of interest coupon appertaining to each thereof, and also on the filing endorsement on the reverse thereof.

Section 5. All of said duplicate bonds when prepared shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Controller and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with the seal of the City and County affixed, providing that the coupons shall be signed by lithographed or facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and such signing and countersigning shall constitute a valid and legally binding execution of said duplicate bonds and coupons in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco. It is hereby determined that the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco now in office has succeeded to and holds the office formerly occupied by the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the execution, issuance and delivery of said mutilated or defaced bonds, and that said duplicate bonds when signed in accord-

ance with this ordinance shall be deemed to have been signed by the same officers who executed said original defaced or mutilated bonds.

Section 6. Said duplicate bonds, when so prepared and executed, shall be issued and delivered in exchange for said original defaced or mutilated bonds with the coupons thereon for which duplicates shall be issued in accordance with this ordinance. Upon such exchange, said original $300,000 principal amount of said defaced or mutilated bonds shall be cancelled and proper record shall be made of the cancellation of such original bonds and coupons. The Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to exchange said duplicate bonds and the colupons thereon, when prepared in accordance with this ordinance, and to deliver the same to Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association provided that said Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association shall first surrender to said City Treasurer for cancellation said like principal amount of defaced or mutilated bonds and the coupons thereon, for which such duplicates shall have been so issued.

Section 7. Said duplicate bond numbered and described as provided in this ordinance, when executed and issued in accordance with the terms of this ordinance, shall have the force, effect and validity of the original mutilated or defaced bonds in exchange for which said duplicates shall have been so issued and delivered. The full faith and credit of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of said duplicate bonds, and provision is hereby made for the levy and collection of taxes for the payment of said duplicate bonds and the interest accruing thereon with like force and effect as provided in the original proceedings under and pursuant to which said original defaced or mutilated bonds were authorized and issued.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Emergency Appropriation for Survey of Relief Administration.

Supervisor Miles presented:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 343, Ordinance No. 9.05619, as follows:

Approving the sum of two thousand dollars to the Chief Administrative Officer to be expended to partially defray the expense of the impartial survey of the methods and standards of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. Emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A committee, consisting of Dr. Alonzo Taylor, Dr. William Palmer Lucas and Mrs. Gertrude Breeden, having been appointed in conformity with the provisions of Resolution No. 702 of the Board of Supervisors, to make a survey of the standards and methods of relief of the indigent sick and dependent poor in the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Chief Administrative Officer from Appropriation No. 6, 1932-33 Appropriation Ordinance, for the purpose of partially defraying the expense of said investigation.

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure pursuant to the provisions of Section 16 of the Charter, and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, said emergency being the necessity of the immediate functioning of the said committee herein mentioned, to the end that relief may be continued to the indigent sick

and dependent poor of the City and County, and that the relief funds may be preserved.

The appropriation mentioned herein is recommended.

Approved:

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board, at the hour of 5:45 p. m., recessed until 2 p. m., Thursday, June 15, 1933, when the Board of Supervisors meets in Committee of the Whole to consider resolution of Supervisor Peyser opposing the Riley-Stewart Constitutional Tax Amendment.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 27

Thursday, June 15, 1933

Monday, June 19, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, June 15, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess and resolved itself into committee of the whole for the purpose of considering Resolution No. 839, presented by Supervisor Peyser, opposing Riley-Stewart Plan of Taxation—Proposed Constitutional Amendment No. 1 on ballot of special election June 27, 1933.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Shannon, Spaulding—5.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Opposing Riley-Stewart Tax Plan.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisors Peyser and Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 839, as follows:

Whereas, there will appear on the ballot for the Special Election to be held on June 27th, a proposition designated No. 1, which has for its purpose the abandonment of the present system of State taxation and the adoption of a new scheme known as the Riley-Stewart Tax Plan; and

Whereas, under the provisions of the Riley-Stewart plan, as interpreted by reliable authority, the interest of the citizens and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco would be adversely affected as follows:

Saving on County School Taxes	$2,736,840
Loss from 2 per cent Sales Tax	5,988,720
Loss in 1933	$3,251,880
Gain from return of Utilities Property Taxes	1,905,000
Loss from State-wide ad valorem tax	3,594,000
Loss in 1935	$1,689,000
Annual net property tax saving after 1934	1,047,840
Total annual net loss to San Francisco after 1934	4,940,880

Whereas, the adoption of the Riley-Stewart plan would result in the levy of a State personal income tax, higher inheritance taxes, surcharge taxes on power, telephone and telegraph services, an increased automobile license tax, a sales tax and various other taxes; and

Whereas, the type of sales tax which it is proposed to invoke under this plan is neither logical nor fair and constitutes a nuisance; and

Whereas, taxation being an enforced contribution from persons and property and almost tantamount to confiscation, it is advisable to preserve intact the known and definite plan adopted by the people them-

selves, rather than to vest the Legislature with complete power to regulate the matter; and

Whereas, it is felt that the interests of the citizens and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco will best be conserved by defeating the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby go on record as opposed to the adoption of the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation and does urge the citizens of San Francisco, as well as those of other cities and towns throughout the State, to vote "NO" on the Riley-Stewart plan of taxation, designated Proposition No. 1 on the ballot for the Special Election to be held on June 27, 1933.

Privilege of the Floor.

Leohard Leavy, Controller, was heard at length to the effect that the adoption of the Riley-Stewart plan would be detrimental to the interests of San Francisco and would result in an increased tax rate, while it favored country districts at the expense of the densely populated areas.

Henry Warfield and George T. Baker, representing the Knights of Civilization, were heard favoring a script system for reducing taxation.

Thos. Zant was also heard in opposition.

Adopted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Peyser, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Shannon, Spaulding—5.

Communications.

The following matters were presented, read and made part of the record:

June 12, 1933.

To Members of the Highway Protective Committee:

The question of diversion of $17,229,000 of special gas tax funds to the general fund is before the electors at the special election June 27th on a referendum vote.

If an affirmative vote is given these measures, Nos. 9 and 10 on the ballot, a total of $93,000,000 will be abstracted from construction, reconstruction and maintenance of highways in this State. The Highway Protective Committee has vigorously opposed diversion of gas tax funds to other than these purposes.

The committee will again make a campaign to overwhelmingly defeat these measures and your cooperation is earnestly requested. The enclosed official argument is self explanatory of the measures. This official argument is signed by Senators Fellom, Breed, Wagy, Hulse, Inman, McColl and McCormack.

As a member of the committee, you are urged to contact organizations with which you are officially connected, other groups interested in the highway program in your territory, and your leading newspapers.

As general chairman of the committee, I have appointed Honorable John Quinn, Supervisor of Los Angeles County, chairman for the Southern Division, and Honorable Hollis Thompson, City Manager of Berkeley, as chairman for the Northern Division.

Sincerely yours,

FREDERICK H. MEYER,
General Chairman
HIGHWAY PROTECTIVE COMMITTEE.

Arguments Against Gas Tax Diversion.

This proposal to divert gas tax money to other than the purposes for which it was intended by the people is the opening attack to divert this fund for general fund needs now and for all future time. It is a raid pure and simple upon easily collected funds—easily collected because those paying have done so willingly with the realization of the benefits that accrue from such form of special tax.

Already the owner of a motor vehicle pays more in this State in taxes than any other class of taxpayer. Besides various federal excise taxes upon gasoline, oils and lubricating greases, the motorist pays taxes ranging from local license fees for commercial vehicles to the annual State registration fees for all motor vehicles. He is also required to pay the personal property tax on his automobile, which revenue is used for the support of local government.

In addition to these other taxes the motor vehicle owner of California pays a gasoline tax amounting to a special sales tax of 20 per cent, which revenue is at the present time devoted solely to street and highway maintenance and construction.

Diversion of $17,229,076 of special gas tax funds to the general fund means double taxation to the motorist.

The former highway bonds, redemption of which is sought by these diversions, are no different than any other State bonds, whether for buildings, for harbor improvements or for any public work. They were all contracted for on the distinct understanding that they would be retired out of the general fund of the State. They are definite obligations against the revenues of the general fund.

When the gasoline tax was voted, the electorate of the State sanctioned the "pay-as-you-go plan" of highway construction. This meant that thereafter those who used the highways would pay for them. The roads built under the original bond issue have long since disintegrated and have had to be rebuilt by the gas tax on the "pay-as-you-go plan."

It has been argued that 32 States in the Union already have diverted gas tax money for general purposes because of the prevailing economic stress.

This argument contains but a half truth inasmuch as taxes collected upon gasoline in most of those States is assessed upon all gasoline used, without farm, industrial, marine or other exemption as is allowed in California.

Diversion of $17,229,076 for relief of the general fund will throw out of employment 10,630 men for a year and add general distress to the State of California.

Ninety-one cents of every dollar paid for highway construction work goes directly into the pockets of labor.

Proponents of diversion of gas tax money would have the citizens of this State believe that failure on their part to authorize such diversion will result in an ad valorem tax. This is not the case. The people have before them at this coming special election a new tax system upon which they are requested to vote. In addition, the Legislature will reconvene in July and, with the mandate of the people before them, set up a tax system which, keen financial minds are convinced, will not call for an ad valorem tax.

To lose $17,000,000 means bringing highway construction and maintenance of the State to a halt, crippling of commerce and manufacture, and throwing thousands of citizens upon public charity, already burdened to a breaking point.

And so we cite to you that the present is no time to cut down on construction of public works. Under these trying conditions of depression, with more than 800,000 unemployed in California and with more than a million and a half destitute and being supported by

charitable organizations, the stimulation of public works ought to be encouraged. The national government has declared itself for public construction on an enlarged scale to combat unemployment and destitution.

Why continue the depression?

We ask you to vote "No" on propositions numbers 9 and 10, and in that way safeguard the gasoline tax fund for the purpose for which it was originally authorized.

June 12, 1933.

To the Members of the Highways Advisory Committee, California State Automobile Association.

Gentlemen: Among the several propositions which will appear on the ballot at a State-wide special election on June 27 next are two of extreme importance to the motoring public and which threaten the economic welfare of the State. These are Propositions No. 9 and No. 10, which in substance provide for an expression of the people toward the diversion of a large portion of our gasoline tax revenues from their present uses of street and highway improvement to the general fund of the State.

This association of motorists is far from standing alone in its opposition to these two propositions. Many other groups representing a cross section of our entire population are opposing them. Organized labor is opposing diversion because it will increase unemployment by throwing thousands of men out of work. The League of California Municipalities will urge that a decisive "No" vote be cast, for otherwise the beneficial tax-reduction effects of new street and highway legislation will be jeopardized. The County Supervisors Association of California is opposing the measures, for with diversion of these funds is foreseen a possible county tax increase occasioned by having to care for more unemployed; a reduction in State aid for county roads; and the inability of the State to adequately care for and improve 6600 miles of important county roads just taken into the State highway system. Scores of progressive Chambers of Commerce and other organizations are fighting these two propositions because the general welfare of the State requires that our highway funds be kept intact.

Motordom is leading the fight against these proposed raids on funds supplied by the motoring public. The motorist is willing to pay these special levies so long as the money is used for street and highway purposes. The motoring public was promised, when the gas tax levies were enacted, that the funds would be used only for street and highway improvement purposes. The motorist is asking only that this pledge be kept.

The campaign of enlightenment on these two propositions will be an intensive one during the two weeks remaining before the election. The Automobile Association is providing considerable literature on the subject which we wish to have distributed in an effective manner. Just as soon as possible, copies will be sent you.

This is not a fight of any one group or of big organizations. It is a matter that vitally affects every man, woman and child in the State. Increased unemployment, retarded State development, failure of highway improvements to keep pace with traffic requirements, probable increased city and county taxes and, last but not least, the violation of solemn pledges given the motoring public when these taxes were levied, all are involved in the two propositions. All of these things will be thoroughly explained in the literature now being printed and which will be sent to you.

Please have no hesitancy in letting us know how we can help you in the part you may desire to take in this effort. As a motorist, good

roads advocate, or civic leader, this becomes your fight as well as that of any other person or group.

I am thus addressing you in the interests of genuine progress for California and for a square deal to the motoring public.

Cordially yours,

D. E. WATKINS,
Secretary and General Manager,
CALIFORNIA STATE AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION.

Board of Supervisors Records Itself as Against Any Diversion of Gas Tax Fund, Particularly Numbers 9 and 10 on the Ballot of Special Election, June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 5.3)

Whereupon, Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 842, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors reaffirms its action of March 17, 1933, and again goes on record as against any diversion of the Gas Tax Fund, particularly Numbers 9 and 10 on the ballot of the Special Election of June 27, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Shannon, Spaulding—5.

Shipbuilding on Pacific Coast.

Supervisor Gallagher took occasion to point out what was being done to bring to this coast its share of any shipbuilding plan that the Federal Government may contemplate.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board at the hour of 3:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JUNE 19, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 19, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Miles was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of May 22, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5465 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Action Deferred.

The following was *laid over one week* in the Board and given hearing during that time at Mayor's conference on proposed public work under Federal aid program:

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.

(Code No. 11.0434)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized, due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Percentages of Gross Receipts—Market Street Railway Company.

(Code No. 15.09)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 843, as follows:

Resolved, That the statements filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of April and May, 1933, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parnassus and 9th avenue	$ 371.46
Parkside Transit	1012.58
Gough Street Transit	71.58
Total	$1455.62

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $4,250 Out of School Funds for Property Required for the Bernal Junior High School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 844, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Michael J. Brown and Margaret Brown, his wife, to Lot 14,

Assessor's Block 5714, San Francisco, required for school purposes; and that the sum of $4,250 be paid for the land from Appropriation No. 244, Account No. 1090.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Real Estate Department to Collect Rents and Charge Fees Therefor.

(Code No. 12.161)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 346, Ordinance No. 12.1611, as follows:

Authorizing the Real Estate Department to collect rents and charge fees therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, the Real Estate Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to collect all rents due under leases of City-owned real property and improvements, except Water Department lands leased for agricultural purposes.

Section 2. To defray the cost of rendering such collection services and for managing the renting of such property, the Real Estate Department shall be entitled to the following fees, which fees shall be deducted from the rentals as they are received and be deposited to the credit of the Real Estate Department:

1. Rents up to and including $50 per month, $0.75 each per month.

2. Rents over $50 per month and not exceeding $300 per month, 3 per cent each per month.

3. Rents over $300 per month and not exceeding $1,000 per month, $10 each per month.

4. Rents over $1,000 per month, $15 each per month.

Section 3. For negotiating leases, the Real Estate Department shall be entitled to charge 5 per cent of the first year's rent or a minimum charge of $5 for each transaction, which charges shall be deducted from the first month's rent and be deposited to the credit of the Real Estate Department, provided that the maximum charge to be made pursuant to this section shall be $50 for each transaction. In addition to the charges provided for in this section, there shall be paid to the Real Estate Department, by the department for whose benefit any lease is made, the cost of all advertising charges and other expenses incident to the making of such leases.

Section 4. All moneys received by the Real Estate Department in payment of rents, except for the charges mentioned in Sections 2 and 3, of this ordinance, shall be deposited in the proper funds to the credit of the various departments having control of the City-owned leased real property and improvements.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Directing the Clerk to Advertise the Sale of Relief Bonds, $980,000.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 845, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale) that on the 5th day of July, 1933, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Nine hundred and eighty thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 82 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1946, inclusive, and 78 bonds maturing in 1947.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Retain the Necessary Help to Assist.

(Code No. 1.0621)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 347, Ordinance No. 1.06212, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, to pass upon requests made to said Board for reductions in assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francico, and authorizing the Director of Property to retain the necessary help to assist in said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1933-1934 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to select three expert real estate appraisers, as needed, and three expert building appraisers, as needed, and to enter into a contract or contracts with said persons to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in assessments.

Section 3. The amounts to be paid to said persons selected by said Director of Property for their services as herein provided for, shall not exceed the sum of $350 each, which said sums shall be payable by Service Orders issued by the Director of Property and approved by the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and charged against Appropriation No. 2, 1933-1934 Appropriation Ordinance; and there is hereby ordered transferred from said Appropriation No. 2, to the Director of Property, the sum of $200 to cover the

incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain City-Owned Land and Improvements Under Certain Conditions.

(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 341, Ordinance No. 12.17394, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain City-owned land and improvements under certain conditions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. When any department in charge of City-owned real property requests the Director of Property to lease on a month to month or shorter basis City-owned land or improvements having a rental value of $50 or less per month, and reports to the Director of Property that the property is not required for the purposes of the department, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to lease such property to the highest responsible bidder on a month to month, or shorter, basis. The Director of Property shall determine the rental value of such property, and is hereby authorized to execute such leases in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Before awarding a lease under the provisions of this ordinance, the Director of Property shall post notices for a period of three days, advertising the time and place of such proposed leases.

Section 3. All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this ordinance shall be collected by the Director of Property and deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the proper fund or funds. Within ten days after awarding, the Building and Lands Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.

Section 4. This ordinance shall not apply to the leasing of any parcels where the rental value is more than $50 per month nor shall it apply to the leasing of San Francisco Water Department lands for agricultural purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $3,100 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund, Fiscal Year 1932-1933 ($1,100 for Contractual Service and $2,000 for Furniture and Furnishings) for the Office of the Public Administrator, and Declaring That an Actual Emergency Exists.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 348, Ordinance No. 9.05170, as follows:

Appropriating $3,100 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, for Contractual Service, Furniture and Furnishings for the office of the Public Administrator; and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,100 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, in amounts and for purposes as follows, to-wit:

For furniture and furnishings, Public Administrator....$2,000
For contractual service, Public Administrator..... 1,100

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that an actual emergency exists relative to the appropriation of $3,100, as above, in that it is for the defraying of the expense incidental to and in connection with the installation of the Public Administrator in offices in the City Hall before July 1, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Exchange of Land from Park to San Francisco Water Department.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 846, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco for a number of years past has purchased lands for public purposes in the Mission District; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is now desirous of securing a portion of said lands for Water Department purposes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following described lands now owned by the City and County of San Francisco be and are hereby assigned to and placed under the control of the Public Utilities Commission for Water Department purposes, upon the payment by said Public Utilities Commission of the sum of $24,000. Said lands are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are described as follows:

All of Lots 4, 4-A, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, in Block 6039; all of Lots 1, 2, 7 and 8, in Block 6056; all of Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, in Block 6058; and all that portion of Lot 3-A, in Block 6316, lying westerly of the southerly extension of the westerly line of Lot 10 in said Block 6316, all as per the Assessor's current Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said sum of $24,000 shall be deposited in the Reserve for Land Purchases, for the purchase of lands in this district necessary for the construction of a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Confirming Sale of Land in Daly City to Clara K. Lichtenstein for Sum of $9,078, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172523.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Resolution No. 847, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172523, Bill No. 313, approved May 2, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on May 20, 1933, for the sale of the follow-

ing described parcel of City-owned land situated in Daly City, San Mateo County, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Price street with the southeasterly line of the County road leading from San Francisco to San Jose; and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Price street 104 feet 4½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 122 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles westerly 70 feet 1½ inches to the easterly line of said County road; and thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line of said County road 129 feet 9 inches to the northerly line of Price street and the point of commencement.

Containing 0.245 of an acre, more or less.

Being Lot lettered "A" in Block 1, as the same is laid down, delineated and designated upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo entitled "Map of Castle Tract Homestead Association," recorded October 12, 1871, in Book "A" of Original Maps, page 12; and Book "D" of Original Maps, page 22, and copied into Book 1 of Maps, page 7.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from Clara K. Lichtenstein to purchase said parcel for the sum of $9,078 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $9078 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $9000; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $9,078; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Clara K. Lichtenstein. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Sale of San Francisco Water Department Land in Alameda County.

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 349, Ordinance No. 12.172524, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in Alameda County, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in Alameda County, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

The northwest quarter of Section 12, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, M. D. B. & M. Containing 160 acres.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of

such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain Space for a Restaurant in the Administration Building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 350, Ordinance No. 12.73541, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain space for a restaurant in the Administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing to M. L. McDonald certain space, approximately 20 feet by 35 feet in size, comprising the southwest corner of the Administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California, together with certain equipment, for the purpose of conducting and operating therein a restaurant, lunch room, cigar stand, soda fountain and automatic vending and weighing machines.

Section 2. The term of the lease shall be for three (3) years beginning March 1, 1933. The rental shall be $50 per month, payable monthly in advance during the first year of the lease. Commencing March 1, 1934, the rental shall be $60 per month, payable monthly in advance. Commencing March 1, 1935, the rental shall be $75 per month, payable monthly in advance. This being the highest and best bid obtainable for the use of the premises.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Execution of an Agreement with R. L. Price.

(Code No. 15.03)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 848, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25034 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated January 26, 1926, from R. L. Price et ux., to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the lands of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of the water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit by Resolution No. 397 of this Board, adopted August 29, 1932, was extended to April 1, 1933; and

Whereas, said R. L. Price et ux., having requested a further extension of said time limit to April 1, 1934; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended an extension of said time limit to April 1, 1934; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with R. L. Price et ux., extending said time limit to April 1, 1934, subject to all conditions contained in said deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

One-Man Car Operation.

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 351, Ordinance No. 15.0913, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 4679 (New Series), adding a new section to be numbered as Section 3A to Ordinance No. 581 (New Series), entitled "Regulating Street Railroads and Cars in the City and County of San Francisco and Prescribing Rules and Regulations for the Protection of the Public from Danger and Inconvenience in the operation of Street Railways," enacted August 19, 1918, and approved August 20, 1918.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 4679 (New Series), adding a new section to be numbered as Section 3A to Ordinance No. 581 (New Series), entitled "Regulating Street Railroads and Cars in the City and County of San Francisco and Prescribing Rules and Regulations for the Protection of the Public from Danger and Inconvenience in the operation of Street Railways," enacted August 19, 1918, and approved August 20, 1918, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Minority Report.

Supervisor Havenner read the following as his reasons for dissenting:

Through this proposed legislation, the people of San Francisco are confronted with a concrete instance of the most important problem of government in the world today—the vital issue which has recently been called Technological Unemployment, the displacement of human labor by mechanical devices.

Every government in the world is grappling with this problem and it is the unanimous conclusion of all students of social and economic questions that the first duty of government is to create and preserve employments, so that all of the people may be assured of a permanent opportunity to work and earn a livelihood. The greatest evil of modern times is the hideous institution of unemployment, which in the past few years has fastened itself upon the entire civilized world, bringing with it want and destitution and causing unprecedented suffering among many millions of people.

Yet, with this appalling problem still unsolved and the evidence of

widespread unemployment among our own people confronting us on every hand, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco is asked today to make a contribution to further unemployment by the adoption of an ordinance sanctioning the use in our city, by a privately-owned public service corporation, of the one-man street car. Admittedly, the one-man car is a labor-saving device, and this fact constitutes the sole argument of importance submitted by the proponents of this ordinance in favor of its adoption.

It is idle for the advocates of the ordinance to contend that the operation of the one-man car will not result in the discharge of any of the present employees of the local traction company. Even if the company should adhere literally to this assurance, the effect of the one-man operation upon employments in the immediate future must be apparent even to the most casual observer.

Mr. Samuel Kahn, president of the Market Street Railway Company, testified before the Public Utilities Committee of this Board that practically the sole economy which would be effected by the operation of the one-man cars would be a reduction of his company's labor costs. He estimated that there would be an average saving of about $250 per month on every car operated by one man, as compared with the operating costs under the present two-man system of operation. In the beginning, he said, it would be the plan of the company to install one hundred one-man cars. This, he estimated, would effect a saving in wages of about $25,000 per month, or $300,000 per year. Later, of course, the number of one-man cars might be increased.

The present annual payroll of the Market Street Railway for street car operators—conductors and motormen—with about 1750 of these men now employed, is, according to Mr. Kahn, $2,000,000. It is obvious, therefore, that the operation of one hundred one-man cars would bring about an annual reduction of $300,000 in the operating payroll of the Market Street Company, amounting to about 15 per cent of the present payroll. Just how this reduction could be spread among the present employees of the company, without resulting in the dismissal of any of them, is problematical. Certainly, it must mean an immediate reduction of income to a considerable number of the company's employees.

The most plausible prediction which I have heard from men familiar with street car operation is that most of the men who would be relieved of their present duties by the introduction of the one-man cars would be placed upon what is known as the "extra list" and would in the future be given a limited number of runs, dependent upon the need for their services. Some of these men, perhaps many of them, would be able to earn so little in the future that, in order to support their families, they would be compelled to seek employment elsewhere, and thus, under economic compulsion, to quit the company's service. Mr. Kahn told your committee that it would be the policy of his company not to fill any vacancies which might result from death, disability, voluntary retirement or other causes, and that thus, over a period of years, the total operating personnel of his company would be gradually reduced.

In this connection it is interesting to observe the reductions in the total employments of street car operators which have occurred in other cities where the one-man car has been put into operation.

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, in 1927, with two-man cars, had 3274 street car operators. At the present time, with one-man cars, there are 2003 operators.

Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1927, with two-man cars, had 1825 operators. Now, with one-man cars, Cincinnati has 1539 operators.

Oakland, California, in 1927, with two-man cars, had 1333 operators. Now, with one-man cars, there are 883 operators.

Thus, it will be seen, that in Pittsburgh and Oakland the operation of one-man cars, over a six-year period, has resulted in a reduction

of about one-third of the operating personnel of the street car companies, while in Cincinnati during the same period the reduction in operating personnel has amounted to more than 16 per cent. In Pittsburgh and Oakland, I am informed, the one-man car is in complete operation. In Cincinnati, according to my advices, the one-man car is in partial operation. The experience of these typical American cities affords a practical illustration of what may be expected if the one-man car is put into operation in San Francisco.

The fundamental problem confronting us today, and confronting the entire civilized world as well, is not merely the protection of the individuals who are fortunate enough to be employed at this time. Our problem is to work out a permanent plan to preserve and increase the opportunities for employment in the future, so that the present generation and and all of the generations of people to come shall be guaranteed the right to work and to earn a living wage for the support of themselves and their dependents.

I realize full well that no economic panacea for the destruction of unemployment in our intricate social system has thus far been accepted by modern society. Nor do I anticipate or hope that any magic formula will be invented and put into completely successful operation in the immediate future. But the fact remains that an immediate attempt to work out a solution of this problem is the first duty of every department of civilized government, and no official body anywhere can shirk this responsibility. Conversely it appears to me to be a self evident and inescapable fact that the adoption of any legislation, in this critical period of world affairs, which has for its purpose a permanent reduction in the employment of human labor in any established industry, without at the same time making adequate provisions for the reemployment of an equal or greater volume of labor in some other activity, is contrary to public policy and cannot be justified, even on the ground of essential economy.

In his recent message to the American people, following the adoption by Congress of the National Industrial Recovery Act, President Roosevelt said:

"The first part of the act proposes to our industry a great spontaneous cooperation to put millions of men back in their regular jobs this summer. The idea is simply for employers to hire more men to do the existing work by reducing the work hours of each man's week and at the same time paying a living wage for the shorter week.

"No employer and no group of less than all employers in a single trade could do this alone and continue to live in business competition. But if all employers in each trade now band themselves faithfully in these modern guilds without exception—and agree to act together and at once—none will be hurt, and millions of workers, so long deprived of the right to earn their bread in the sweat of their labor, can raise their heads again. The challenge of this law is whether we can sink selfish interests and present a solid front against a common peril.

"It is a challenge to industry which has long insisted that, given the right to act in unison, it could do much for the general good which has hitherto been unlawful. From today it has that right.

"Finally, this law is a challenge to our whole people. There is no power in America that can force against the public will such action as we require, but there is no group in America that can withstand the force of an aroused public opinion. This great cooperation can succeed only if those who bravely go forward to restore jobs have aggressive public support and those who lag are made to feel the full weight of public disapproval.

"It is the most important attempt of this kind in history. As in the great crisis of the World War, it puts a whole people to the simple but vital test: 'Must we go on in many groping, disorganized, separate units to defeat or shall we move as one great team to victory?' "

One of the foremost modern authorities on economic problems, Stuart Chase, in his book "Men and Machines," said: "Workmen cannot be displaced by machinery faster than they can be absorbed in other occupations without serious social costs. Machinery has created a new ruling class, based on profits—which is no improvement upon the earlier suzerainty based on land.

"Very little desire to modify the present situation appears on the part of those who are in a position to bring about the necessary adjustments."

In a recent issue of the magazine "Science," Dr. Dexter S. Kimball, dean of engineering at Cornell University, said:

"It is an open question as to how far we should permit the good of the majority to be advanced at the cost of suffering and poverty on the part of the minority.

"As long as industry was prosperous, and displaced workers could find work elsewhere, comparatively little attention was given . . . to the problems. But the present depression has aroused more interest in basic reasons for unemployment than any other of modern times, and for the first time technological unemployment, as this displacement of labor is called, appears as a vital issue, and as a possible factor, in a large way, in the general problem of unemployment.

"Now for the first time a new and sharp question is raised concerning our manufacturing methods and equipment and the fear is expressed that our industrial equipment is so efficient that permanent overproduction, for the markets available, has occurred and that consequently technological unemployment has become a permanent factor unless remedial efforts are put in force.

"It is indeed a paradox to see storerooms filled with raw materials, factories equipped with the most efficient machinery man ever produced, and workmen, willing and anxious to work, walking the streets almost without shoes for themselves and their families.

"As industrial equipment has grown more complex and more expensive, the worker's industrial independence has decreased until today he is no longer an economic, self-sufficient unit, but dependent largely upon capitalistic management for an opportunity to earn his daily bread. Consequently the need of protective measures in his behalf increases daily."

Any serious student of the pressing social and economic problems of today must regard all proposed legislation which would have as its net effect a permanent reduction of human employment as a vicious political paradox.

During the present depression San Francisco has expended millions of dollars annually for the direct relief and support of its unemployed citizens. The records of the Municipal Relief Administration present an appalling number of instances of poverty and destitution which are directly traceable to technological unemployment. As a single illustration, allow me to point to the effect locally of the installation of the dial telephone system. Hundreds of operators in San Francisco alone were thrown out of employment and in many instances these displaced operators have been compelled to rely upon public relief for their existence.

The only gain was to the stockholders of the telephone company. Rates and service remained about the same, but the operators were thrown out of employment, which placed an additional burden upon the taxpayers of San Francisco for relief. It is unthinkable that government, by legislation, should at this time sanction an increase of technological unemployment which would simply mean that government, through taxation, would increase the hideous institution of the dole, thereby absorbing, in the worst possible way, the support of workers deprived of a livelihood in private industry.

The great fundamental problem which is involved in the legislation before us presents with vivid clarity the basic difference in the philosophies of the two outstanding schools of modern political

thought. The so-called Conservative school adheres to the doctrine that the interests of property and industry are paramount and that humanity must conform, as best it can, to laws primarily designed to conserve and protect property rights and business profits. The Progressive school places human interests first. It insists that in the consideration of all social and economic questions government is intended primarily to proetct and improve the living standards of men, women and children and that property rights and business interests must adapt themselves to these basic ideals. This basic conflict of governmental philosophies is before you today, my colleagues, and in the opinion of many thoughtful people your vote upon this issue will determine your alignment.

As for me, I have always subscribed to the Progressive philosophy of government. My motto is "Humanity First." As I see it, that motto sums up this issue. I believe that the ordinance should be defeated.

Communications.

The following editorials and communications were presented by Supervisor Canepa and read into the record:

From the San Francisco Labor Council.

San Francisco, California, June 16, 1933.

Hon. Victor J. Canepa, Member Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Pursuant to a resolution adopted by the San Francisco Labor Council, at its regular meeting held on this date, you are hereby earnestly requested to vote and work against the proposal of the Market Street Railway Company to repeal the ordinance prohibiting the operation of any street car by only one man.

One-man operation of street cars will benefit no one but the company, and it will slow up operation, increase unemployment, decrease payrolls, and make street car travel an undesirable and uneconomical mode of transportation.

Not only is this proposal in violation of the obligations assumed by the company under Section 131 of the City Charter, but it is in diametric opposition to the country's policy under the new deal to increase employment and improve economic and social conditions instead of making them worse and involving more persons in the downward sweep of misery.

We can find no sound argument that should induce the representatives of the people to thus lend themselves to the designs of the Market Street Railways, and we sincerely trust that you will support the rights and interests of the people in this matter.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD D. VANDELEUR, President.
JOHN A. O'CONNELL, Secretary.

From San Francisco Typographical Union No. 21.

June 19, 1933.

Hon. Victor Canepa, Supervisor, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Supervisor Canepa: You will be advised that at the regular meeting of San Francisco Typographical Union No. 21, held Sunday, June 18, 1933, the undersigned were instructed to direct a letter to His Honor the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, protesting the proposal of the Market Street Railway Company to operate in San Francisco what are designated as "one-man street cars."

The action of the union in this matter was unanimous.

Believing the attitude of the union on this question, so vital to the

welfare and safety of the citizens of San Francisco, as expressed in the foregoing, will receive your fullest consideration, we are,

Yours very truly,
GEO. S. HOLLIS, President.
L. MICHELSON, Secretary.

One-Man Cars—They WILL Put Men Out of Work.

(San Francisco Call-Bulletin, June 16, 1933.)

When the Market Street Railways' request for permission to run one-man street cars comes before the Board of Supervisors next Monday, that Board should refuse it politely, but firmly.

As Supervisor Havenner says: "Now is no time to embark upon a program that will mean dismissal of employees by the Market Street Railways." Although the heads of the street car company assure the people of San Francisco that one-man cars will not mean the discharge of any men, how can it mean anything else? The company promises to put on one-man cars only as their employees leave the service, but one-man cars will mean greatly increased pressure on the men and greater temptation for the company to discharge men for trivial infractions of rules.

The company has also to prove that the operation of one-man cars in hilly San Francisco will be safe for its patrons.

One-Man Cars.

(Examiner, June 12, 1933.)

The move of the Market Street Railway in asking repeal of the municipal ordinance prohibiting one-man street cars should be defeated.

Irrespective of the danger in operating such cars on San Francisco's streets, this is no time for the City to take any action which would reduce employment.

The repeal of that ordinance would imply a 50 per cent reduction in employment of platform men. San Francisco has been overly kind to the Market Street Railway and its predecessors. Within the past few years it voted valuable franchise considerations to the company which permitted the road to build extensions of its competition with the Municipal Railway.

At this time of unemployment any such wholesale reduction of a working force, particularly of men whose earnings are in the lower brackets, would be decidedly against public policy.

The Board of Supervisors should do nothing that would imperil employment. It will be time enough to consider the question of one-man cars and the safety of their operation when the unemployment crisis is passed.

Privilege of the Floor.

Fred A. Steinman, representing Excelsior Merchants Association; Samuel Kahn, manager, Market Street Railway; Pat McGushin, taxpayer; John Berger; Mr. Hammerstrong, representing Market Street Railway, were heard in favor of the resolution.

Edw. D. Vandeleur, representing Carmen's Union and San Francisco Labor Council; George A. Kidwell, speaking for John O'Connell, secretary, San Francisco Labor Council; Dr. Griffin, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs; Douglas Kline, representing Excelsior Peoples Improvement Club; Mrs. R. Walker, 82 Farragut street; Frank McDonald, representing Building Trades Council; John Devany, taxpayer and former carman; Arthur Warren, representing Excelsior Homestead District; Peter Cantamsh, representing Upper Sunset District and those using No. 6 car; Mrs. Best, representing Sunset Improvement and Transportation Association, were heard in opposition to the resolution.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon the roll was called and the bill was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Spaulding—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OF REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to State Law on Arbitrary Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner referred to a recently enacted amendment to the State law dealing with arbitrary assessments releasing those who report and it is found true that they have no property to assess. He therefore suggested some change in the rules to govern this Board when we sit as a Board of Equalization in July.

He moved that the Finance Committee make a report so that we may know that an amendment satisfactory to this Board has been made.

So ordered.

Welcome to Newly Appointed Federal Judge Garrecht.

The following was read and ordered *inserted in the record*:

June 15, 1933.

Board of Supervisors of City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: The Union League Club, with the Barristers' Club of San Francisco, is sponsoring a formal civic welcome to the newly appointed judge of the United States Circuit Court of Appeal, on Wednesday, June 21st, at 12:15 p. m.

As Judge Garrecht is the highest appointed official of the United States, excluding the members of the Supreme Court, it is expected that a distinguished gathering will attend this luncheon.

Members of the Board of Supervisors might care to meet and listen to the address of Judge Garrecht and we extend this invitation to your Board to attend. The maximum cover charge will be 75 cents.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES T. RYAN, Chairman,
State and National Affairs Committee.

Completion of Yacht Harbor With Federal Aid.

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,600,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,600,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public pro-

jects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

City Engineer to Estimate Cost of Proposed Construction of Broadway Tunnel With Federal Aid.

Supervisors Canepa and Peyser presented:

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 852, as follows:

Whereas, one of San Francisco's most difficult traffic problems is presented by the precipitous hills which separate the Marina District and the Western Addition from North Beach and the financial and business districts; and

Whereas, these impassable hills necessitate long detours both for automobiles and trolley cars, resulting in a waste of time and money; and

Whereas, it has long been considered logical, feasible and desirable that a tunnel be constructed under the hill, following along the line of Broadway, as a solution of the problem; and

Whereas, this proposed tunnel would afford great relief to traffic, particularly after the completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the City Engineer to prepare an estimate of cost for the construction of a tunnel under Broadway, with a view to expending a portion of the money to be derived from the Federal government's loan for this purpose.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Endorses Fifth Annual Pageant of San Juan Bautista, San Juan, San Benito County, to Be Held Sunday, June 25, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 853, as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the Board's attention that the Mission San Juan Bautista, and the city of San Juan, in the neighboring county of San Benito, will be 136 years old this month; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Juan and San Benito county are making plans to properly celebrate this important anniversary of one of the State's historical and romantic places with a pageant redolent of early California days, on Sunday, June 25th; and

Whereas, all funds realized from the pageant will be used to rebuild, restore and preserve the old Mission, and adjoining grounds, which are sadly in need of repair; and

Whereas, the Mayor and City Council of the city of San Juan and the Board of Supervisors of San Benito county, are strongly behind the celebration as a civic project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco heartily endorses the Fifth Annual Pageant of San Juan Bautista, and urges the citizens of San Francisco to assist in every way possible the movement to restore and maintain one of the most cherished landmarks in our State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leave of Absence, W. C. Nolan, Deputy Superintendent Junior High Schools.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 19, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. W. C. Nolan, Deputy Superintendent in charge of Junior High Schools, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days commencing July 1st, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 849, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Mr. W. C. Nolan, Deputy Superintendent in charge of Junior High Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leave of Absence, Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 19, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days commencing June 20, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 851, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 20, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leave of Absence, J. Marr Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools.

The following was presentend and read by the Clerk:

June 15, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. J. M. Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools, for a leave of absence, with permission to

absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days commencing June 26th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 855, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. M. Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 26, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Peyser, Shannon—5.

President to Appoint Committee of Supervisors to Attend Meeting of County Representatives of the Redwood Empire, in Santa Rosa, Wednesday, June 21, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 856, as follows:

Whereas, the chairman of the Nine Counties Highway Committee of the Redwood Empire Association has called a meeting of representatives from each of the eight counties included in the Redwood Empire, to be held Wednesday, June 21, 1933, in the city of Santa Rosa, for the purpose of preparing a program to be sponsored by the association in connection with the sixteen million dollars of federal funds for highway construction under the Wagner Bill; this program to be submitted to the State Department of Public Works, the California Highway Commission, the United States Bureau of Roads, and the United States Secretary of Agriculture; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the president of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of the Board of Supervisors to attend the meeting of county representatives in the city of Santa Rosa, Wednesday, June 21.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Civil Service Commission to Appear on Matter of Political Activity by Municipal Employees.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the three members of the Civil Service Commission be requested to attend meeting of the Board of Supervisors Monday, June 26, at 3 p. m., and explains their letter addressed to this Board calling attention to sections of the Charter relative to political activities on the part of officials and employees of the City government.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Spaulding—5.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Conference on Market Street Railway Franchise Tax.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Controller submitting complete audit filed by the Market Street Railway reporting the amount of franchise tax

due City, relative to which he recommends a conference between committees of this Board, representatives of the Market Street Railway, together with the City Attorney and Controller.

Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committees, meeting Thursday at 2 p. m.

Alemany Health Center.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee to aid in the dedication of Alemany Boulevard Health Center.

So ordered.

Conference on Federal Aid for Public Work.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board await a call from his Honor the Mayor and stand ready and agreeable, acting as the whole Board of Supervisors, to go forward with him and to cooperate with him (the Mayor) to the end that a program will be presented to the Federal Administrator, through the State Administrator, at the earliest possible moment.

Supervisor Canepa asked to include in the motion of Supervisor Gallagher that consideration of his resolution dealing with proposed survey of the high pressure system be postponed to await action by Mayor and the Board.

So ordered.

Amendment to Taxicab Ordinance Relative to Limousines.

(Code No. 11.0111.)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Bill No. 352, Ordinance No. 11.01114, as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), Section 1, paragraph (g) thereof, relative to limousines.

Referred to Police Committee.

Completion of Hetch Hetchy Power Line by Federal Aid.

Communication from San Francisco Labor Council, suggesting the solicitation of funds under the Federal Recovery Bill for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy power line.

Referred to Mayor and Supervisor Havenner's Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 28

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, June 26, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 26, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 26, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of May 29 and 31, 1933, were considered read and approved.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Providing for Canvass of Vote of Special Election of June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 872, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed, on Monday, July 3, 1933, to canvass the returns of the Special State and Bond Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on June 27, 1933, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 798 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Power, Shannon—3.

Naming Clerks for Election Canvass.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 873, as follows:

Resolved, That the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of returns of the Special State and Bond Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of June, 1933, and to assist in canvassing said returns and to act as several sets and clerks and various sets of clerks in canvassing said returns in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California under the order and direction of the Board of Supervisors, in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code; and be it

Further Resolved, That the official canvass of returns of said elections will be conducted at the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is

designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct said canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to said election are on file in said office, and be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto and be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California:

A. G. Knight, E. R. Faucrompre, W. E. Monahan, G. L. Sharp, J. A. Dawson, E. A. Griffith, Lester Stern, Timothy Daly, Wm. Metz, H. Reich, Margaret Kane, Norma Jewel, Freda Akard, Mary Molinari, Mabel H. King, Mary Finneran, Lillian Mount, Elsie Love, M. E. Sherwood, M. D. Mouille, Rose Moore, G. G. Edmondson, A. M. Schomaker, Ina Rust, Jane Cinnamond, Margaret Feily, John C. Kelterer, Geo. H. Daniels, H. E. Hutchinson, J. T. Ficklin.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Power, Shannon—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southwesterly corner of Chestnut and Webster streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Paul C. Dana, representing appellants, was heard in favor of reversing decision of the City Planning Commission.

Dr. Musanti, representing Golden Gate Valley Improvement Club, was heard. He endorsed the proposition of rezoning the property.

W. W. Chapin, President of City Planning Commission, sustained the decision of the Commission in the matter.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission, Denying Application to Rezone Southwest Corner Chestnut and Webster Streets from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02.)

Resolution No. 877, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 874, denying the application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of Chestnut and Webster streets, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Coleman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5465 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Report of City Planning Commission.

The following was read by the Clerk:

March 24, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: By resolution, under date of February 8, 1932, you passed to the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, a request for a recommendation governing the heights of buildings, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission is hereby requested to conduct a survey of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded by: Washington street, Fillmore street, a line parallel with, and midway between Union and Green streets and Van Ness avenue, for the purpose of ascertaining what limitations upon the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in said area should be established, and to report its recommendations thereon to this Board.

Following this request, our Commission has given the matter a great deal of study; several public hearings have been held with members of the Real Estate Board, of the Architects' Committee and with the City Engineer, City Architect, Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works present, and many drawings and maps have been made to illustrate points raised by the various parties interested, and the final conclusion reached is embodied in a proposed ordinance which we submit herewith for your consideration to govern the district in question.

It is the firm conviction of the Commission that it is impossible to bring together into harmonious thought the desires of the various people who are interested in this matter. Some of the older residents of the neighborhood who have for years occupied a portion of this section of the city are very reluctant to change its status. There are many others who claim that progress must not be impeded and that such a choice residential community with its wonderful scenic opportunities should be rezoned to allow more permanent and up-to-date structures for the housing of our citizens. The voices of these two

different groups of people are so widely apart that there is no possibility of their meeting on common ground.

We, therefore, have offered for your consideration the ordinance submitted which we believe will allow for a desired improvement in building conditions and at the same time guard each owner of property from excessively high buildings shutting out the light and air from individual structures.

A suggestion has also been made that it might be wise to incorporate in the ordinance a provision for more air space on each lot that at present is required to comply with the housing laws of the State, but as the Commission was not unanimous in this matter, no mention of it was made in the ordinance, and we mention this matter merely as an indication of what might be desirable in the final passage of the act.

Respectfully submitted,
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.
W. W. CHAPIN, President.
R. S. WOODWARD, Secretary.
E. B. DE GOLIA, Commissioner.
MRS. ALBERT W. STOKES, Commissioner.
C. F. COLLONAN, Commissioner.
MILTON MEYER, Commissioner.

Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco, and Establishing the Boundaries of Said District, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

(Code No. ——)

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than 105 feet, provided, however, that not more than eight stories for human occupancy shall be erected within the above-mentioned height of 105 feet; within the territory bounded by Washington street on the south, Fillmore street on the west, Van Ness avenue on the east, and by a line midway between Green street and Union street on the north.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 8 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon con-

viction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Protest.

Communication from Edna Greenfield protesting proposed zone change was read by Clerk.

Also, communication from H. C. Young, representing Mrs. Jas. Tucker, 2030 Broadway, requesting two weeks' postponement.

Supervisor Breyer moved that heights of buildings be taken up and discussed first.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject-matter was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *laid over until July* 10, 1933, *at* 3 *p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week* pending result of Mayor's conference on Federal aid:

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.
(Code No. 11.0434.)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized, due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.
(Code No. 13.02.)

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Commercial District. In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.
3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges.
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Board of Supervisors.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $552,499.79 Out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the Construction of San Francisco County Jail in the County of San Mateo, California.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 334, Ordinance No. 9.05167, as follows:

Appropriating $552,499.79 out of County Jail Bond Fund, Issue 1931, for the construction of County Jail in San Mateo County, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $552,499.79 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Jail Fund, Bond Issue 1931, for the construction of San Francisco County Jail, in the County of San Mateo, California.

Approved by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Supplemental Appropriation of $259.41 Out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) for Payment to James Varni & Sons for Release of All Claims Against the City and County as Result of Damage to Crops and Due to Construction of Lake Merced Boulevard.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 335, Ordinance No. 9.05168, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $259.41 out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) for payment to James Varni & Sons for release of all claims against the City and County as result of damage to crops in connection with the construction of the Lake Merced boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $259.41 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 150-15 (County Road Fund) and authorized in payment to James Varni & Sons for releases of all claims against the City and County of San Francisco arising out of and as a result of damage to crops caused or to be caused by and in connection with the construction of the Lake Merced boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Deeding to the Estate of Grace D. Johnson Lot 18, Block 1293, Heretofore Acquired by the City and County, Under Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series) by Reason of Failure to Pay Assessment Levied Against said Property for the Acquiring and Construction of Sunset Tunnel. Payment of Assessments, Advertising Costs, Interest and Penalties Having Been Made to the City and County.

(Code No. 12.034.)

Also, Bill No. 336, Ordinance No. 12.0341, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a deed to Estate of Grace D. Johnson, deceased, to Lot 18, Block 1293, Official Records, upon payment of all assessments levied for construction of Sunset Tunnel against said property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco acquired title to Lot 18, Block 1293 as described in the Official Records of the said City and County, under and by virtue of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), by reason of failure to pay assessments levied against said property for the acquiring and construction of the Sunset Tunnel. The said property was owned by Grace D. Johnson, now deceased.

Section 2. Upon the legal representatives of Grace D. Johnson paying to the City and County of San Francisco all assessments, advertising costs, interest and penalties arising out of the special assessment levied for the construction of the Sunset Tunnel against Lot 18, Block 1293, described in the Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the Estate of Grace D. Johnson, deceased, of the property herein described.

Section 3. The consent of the City Attorney to the cancellation of the assessment, on the conditions specified in Section 2 of this ordinance, has heretofore been obtained.

(City Attorney consents.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Providing for Issuance of Duplicate Bonds in Exchange for Like Amount of Original Defaced or Mutilated Bonds.

(Code No. 9.07.)

Also, Bill No. 344, Ordinance No. 9.071, as follows:

Providing for the issuance of duplicate bonds in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000 in exchange for a like principal amount of original defaced or mutilated bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized at a special election held in said City and County on May 11, 1908, being part of an issue of Sewer Bonds and Fire Protection Bonds of said City and County of San Francisco, dated July 1, 1908.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association has duly filed with this Board of Supervisors its verified application in writing under and pursuant to the provisions of an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other municipal securities which have become defaced or mutilated," approved

February 23, 1907 (Stats. 1907, p. 53, as amended; Deering's Gen. Laws 1931, Act 1032), requesting the issuance of $300,000 principal amount of duplicate bonds of the City and County of San Francisco numbered and described as follows, to-wit:

SEWER BONDS, DATED JULY 1, 1908.

Numbers	Amounts	Maturities
2566 to 2580	$15,000	1940
2666 to 2680	15,000	1941
2766 to 2780	15,000	1942
2866 to 2880	15,000	1943
2966 to 2980	15,000	1944
3066 to 3080	15,000	1945
3166 to 3180	15,000	1946
3266 to 3280	15,000	1947
3366 to 3380	15,000	1948
3466 to 3480	15,000	1949
3566 to 3580	15,000	1950
3666 to 3680	15,000	1951
3766 to 3780	15,000	1952

FIRE PROTECTION BONDS, DATED JULY 1, 1908.

Numbers	Amounts	Maturities
3226 and 3227	$2,000	1940
3356 to 3363	8,000	1941
3486 to 3493	8,000	1942
3616 to 3623	8,000	1943
3746 to 3753	8,000	1944
3876 to 3883	8,000	1945
4006 to 4013	8,000	1946
4136 to 4143	8,000	1947
4266 to 4273	8,000	1948
4396 to 4403	8,000	1949
4526 to 4533	8,000	1950
4656 to 4663	8,000	1951
4786 to 4793	8,000	1952
4917 to 4923	7,000	1953

and has accompanied such application with a deposit of money sufficient to cover the cost of preparing such duplicate bonds and coupons appertaining thereto, and has tendered such defaced or mutilated bonds, together with the coupons thereon, for surrender in exchange for such duplicate bonds and coupons.

Section 2. It is hereby found and determined that said application of Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association complies with all of the terms and conditions of said act of the Legislature of the State of California above mentioned; that said bonds in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000 now held by Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association have been so mutilated or defaced as to impair their value to said owner and that said bonds and the coupons thereon, and each of them, are capable of being identified by number and description, and that the amount of said deposit is sufficient to cover the cost of preparing such duplicate bonds and coupons and all other expenses connected with the issuance thereof, and that said application should be and the same hereby is approved and granted; that no other conditions, except as herein set forth, have been prescribed by this Board of Supervisors for the issuance of said duplicate securities and that all conditions prescribed by said act of the Legislature of the State of California precedent to and in the issuance of said duplicate bonds have been duly complied with by applicant in the time, form and manner as required by law.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to cause to be printed, lithographed or otherwise prepared duplicate

bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of $300,000, having the same time to run, bearing like interest and having the same numbers as the bonds so proved to have been mutilated or defaced, as set forth in said application, said bonds being the bonds numbered and more particularly described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 4. Said duplicate bonds shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State of California, City and County of San Francisco

No. ———— $————

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of July, ——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 5 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Controller, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the en-

graved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1908.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Controller.

Attest:

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Interest coupons shall be attached to each of said bonds commencing with the interest coupon due July 1, 1933, and running to and including the respective dates of maturity of each of the aforesaid bonds, which coupons shall bear the same coupons numbers as now appertain to the original defaced or mutilated bonds and shall mature on January 1st and July 1st in each year, and each of said coupons shall be in an amount representing the interest due on the bond to which it is attached for six months. Said coupons shall be substantially in the following form:

No. ———— $25.00

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, twenty-five dollars ($25.00) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its ———— Bond, dated July 1, 1908, No. ————.

....., Treasurer.

Each of said bonds shall be designated "Sewer Bond" or "Fire Protection Bond," as the case may be, on the face thereof and in the form of interest coupon appertaining to each thereof, and also on the filing endorsement on the reverse thereof.

Section 5. All of said duplicate bonds when prepared shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Controller and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with the seal of the City and County affixed, providing that the coupons shall be signed by lithographed or facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and such signing and countersigning shall constitute a valid and legally binding execution of said duplicate bonds and coupons in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco. It is hereby determined that the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco now in office has succeeded to and holds the office formerly occupied by the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the execution, issuance and delivery of said mutilated or defaced bonds, and that said duplicate bonds when signed in accordance with this ordinance shall be deemed to have been signed by the same officers who executed said original defaced or mutilated bonds.

Section 6. Said duplicate bonds, when so prepared and executed, shall be issued and delivered in exchange for said original defaced or mutilated bonds with the coupons thereon for which duplicates shall be issued in accordance with this ordinance. Upon such exchange, said original $300,000 principal amount of said defaced or mutilated bonds shall be cancelled and proper record shall be made of the cancellation of such original bonds and coupons. The Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to exchange said dupli-

cate bonds and the colupons thereon, when prepared in accordance with this ordinance, and to deliver the same to Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association provided that said Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association shall first surrender to said City Treasurer for cancellation said like principal amount of defaced or mutilated bonds and the coupons thereon, for which such duplicates shall have been so issued.

Section 7. Said duplicate bond numbered and described as provided in this ordinance, when executed and issued in accordance with the terms of this ordinance, shall have the force, effect and validity of the original mutilated or defaced bonds in exchange for which said duplicates shall have been so issued and delivered. The full faith and credit of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of said duplicate bonds, and provision is hereby made for the levy and collection of taxes for the payment of said duplicate bonds and the interest accruing thereon with like force and effect as provided in the original proceedings under and pursuant to which said original defaced or mutilated bonds were authorized and issued.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1934.

(Code No. 9.053.)

Also, Bill No. 345, Ordinance No. 9.05339, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year; providing for deductions therefrom, and fixing certain other conditions of employment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that where funds are appropriated for such purpose in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and are thereafter available temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 260, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amount reserved.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1933-34 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished and such gross compensations are subject to the deductions provided under Section 3 hereof.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Sec-

tion 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, subject, however, to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls or pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	*For those receiving $80 per month or less in cash	*For those receiving more than $80 per month in cash
1 meal per day	$ 8.00 Per Mo.	$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day	13.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day	17.50 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry	27.50 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single meal	.25	.35

	Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home (Item 33 of Section 57)	$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, in the San Francisco Hospital (Item 70 of Section 60)	55
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (Items 65, 66 and 67 of Section 75½)	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (Item 68 of Section 75½)	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department (Item 60 of Section 75½)	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department (Item 16 of Section 75)	15

*The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction, shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the above schedule.

Section 3. As provided in Section 70.1 of the Charter and in accordance with Resolution No. 752 of the Board of Supervisors, deductions shall be made from the gross salaries or compensations of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco as herein provided in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed $100 per month and do not exceed $120 per month, three (3) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $120 per month and do not exceed the sum of $150 per month, seven (7) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $150 per month and do not exceed the sum of $185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $185 per month and do not exceed the sum of $275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $275 per month and do not exceed the sum of $600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $600 per month and do not exceed the sum of $834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of $834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education as enumerated under Sections 84, 84¼ and 84½ hereof have been fixed by the said Board of Education after reducing the salaries heretofore paid in an amount equal to the deductions herein specified, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries specified under Sections 84, 84¼ and 84½ hereof, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of Judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 4. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1a	15		Supervisors (6 mo.-8 days).....	$ 200
1	11		Supervisors (5 mo.-24 days).....	200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	350
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	200
5	1	B222	General Clerk	175
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	350
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
8	4	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographers	200
9	1	B416	Finance Committee Stenographer.....	325
10	1	D4	Sergeant at Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	250

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. No Change.

Section 5. **MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor .....$	833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger	165
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer	225
8	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator, Mayor's Office	150
9	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	200
10	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office	300

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. One General Clerk-Stenographer at $175 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 6. **ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....$	666.66
2	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor	400.00
3	1	B54	Chief Clerk, Assessor's Office.....	375.00
4	1	B106	Chief Teller, Assessor's Office.....	250.00
5	6	B222	General Clerk.....	250.00
6	3	B222	General Clerk.....	200.00
7	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
8	8	B222	General Clerk	190.00
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300.00
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250.00
11	3	B228	Senior Clerk	190.00
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	300.00
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	250.00
14	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	250.00
15	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	225.00
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
17	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190.00
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
20	3	G2	Real Estate Appraiser.....	250.00
21	1	G4	Senior Real Estate Appraiser.....	350.00
22	3	G8	Improvement Appraiser	250.00

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1	G10	Senior Improvement Appraiser.....	265.00
24	3	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	250.00
25	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	225.00
26	1	G16	Senior Personal Property Appraiser....	300.00
27			Seasonal or Temporary Clerical Services (as needed)	150.00

Reconciliation with Budget Estimates. Item 17 *retitled to conform to Civil Service title.*

Section 7. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney .....$	833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk	175.00
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155.00
6	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
7	1	F702	Valuation Engineer	300.00
8	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer	750.00
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil	250.00
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	350.00
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	600.00
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney	500.00
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil.....	600.00
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service.....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150.00

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney.....$	666.66
2	5	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200.00
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	190.00
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	225.00
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250.00
6	2	B222	General Clerk.....	190.00
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	175.00
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150.00
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator.....	150.00
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist.....	175.00
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	375.00
13	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time).....	50.00
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	190.00
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	300.00
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	200.00
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150.00
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	275.00
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00

Section 9. **TREASURER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer	$ 666.66
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	200
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
4	1	B102	Teller	250
5	2	B102	Teller	240
6	1	B102	Teller	175
7	1	B104	Senior Teller	275
8	2	B104	Senior Teller	240
9	2	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office	325
10	1	B222	General Clerk	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165

Section 10. **SHERIFF**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	$666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	23	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary in Item 30 *as indicated.*

Section 11. **PUBLIC DEFENDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender	$666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	350

Section 12. **POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . ..$	100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150
			BUREAU OF INSPECTORS	
11	1		Captain of Inspectors .....$	416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	11	Q60	Lieutenants	250
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225
			UNIFORM FORCE	
18	1		Captain of Traffic .....$	333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
27	964	Q2	Policemen	200
28	27	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
29	87	Q40	Corporal	215
30	95	Q50	Sergeant	220
31	43	Q60	Lieutenant	250
32	15	Q80	Captain	300

Reconciliation. Item 26 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 13. **FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . ..$	100
2	1		Chief Engineer	600
3	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
4	1	B222	General Clerk	200
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator	155
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	225
8	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	130
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	880	H2	Firemen	180-200*
11	28	H10	Operator	210
12	15	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220
13	123	H20	Lieutenant	222.50
14	74	H30	Captain	235

Section 13. **FIRE DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
15	25	H40	Battalion Chief	350
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer	400
17	1	L360	Physician	235
18	7	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines	195
19	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	280
22	3	J4	Laborer, $6 per day	
23	1	M4	Master Mechanic	416.66
24	13	O304	Hydrantman Gateman	215
25	1	O310	Foreman Hydrant Gateman	255
26	1	U112	Pipe Calker, $7.50 per day	
			FIRE BOAT CREWS	
27	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief)	255
28	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	255
29	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)	255
30	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)	205

* At rates fixed by Charter.

Reconciliation. Item 9 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 14. **BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, $15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals	$ 250

Section 15. **PARK DEPARTMENT**

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the Park Department are fixed by action of the Board of Park Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Accountant	$ 175
2			Athletic Organizer	475
3			Attendants	75
4			Attendant (men's)	140
5			Cashier, Chief	185
6			Cashier	125
7			Cook (Children's Quarters)	90
8			Engineer	175
9			Engineer, Chief	215
10			Engineer, Assistant	175
11			Fireman	175
12			Golf Starters	180
13			Golf Starters, Relief	150
14			Harbor Master, Day	125
15			Harbor Master, Night	125
16			Janitor	125
17			Laundryman	145
18			Life Guard	125
19			Manager, Restaurant	200

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20			Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	135
21			Matron	80
22			Pump Man	175
23			Secretary	400
24			Stenographer	175
25			Stenographer	125
26			Stenographer	115
27			Superintendent	725
28			Superintendent (assistant in charge of construction)	325
29			Superintendent of Motor Vehicles	250
30			Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	200
31			Surveyor	215
32			Surveyor, Assistant	210
33			Timekeeper	135
34			Utility (Children's Quarters)	175
35			Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)	95
36			Windmill Attendants	125
37			Zoological Expert	300

Section 15¼. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
38			Animal Keepers	$ 5
39			Apprentice	3
40			Ball Field Boys (per hour)	.50
41			Booth Helpers (per hour)	.25
42			Booth Helpers (per hour)	.30
43			Boys to attend donkeys (per hour)	.30
44			Boys to attend ponies (per hour)	.20
45			Blacksmith	8
46			Carpenters	9
47			Carpenters (Assistants)	8
48			Carpenters (Assistants)	7
49			Cashiers (per hour)	.30
50			Cashier, Assistant (Booth)	3
51			Cashier (Candy Booth, Child. Quarters)	3.50
52			Cashier (Beach Chalet)	4
53			Cashier (H. F. Booth)	3
54			Chauffeur	7
55			Chauffeurs	7.50
56			Clerks	3
57			Clerks	4
58			Clerks	5
59			Cook	7.50
60			Cook	6.50
61			Cook, Relief	5.50
62			Cook	4
63			Cook	8
64			Dishwasher (Children's Quarters)	3.50
65			Dishwasher	4
66			Foremen	6
67			Foreman	6.50
68			Foremen	7
69			Foreman	7.50
70			Foremen	8
71			Foreman	8.50
72			Gardeners	5.50
73			Gardeners	6

Section 15¼. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
74			Gardener	6.50
75			Janitor	5
76			Janitor (Golf Clubhouse)	4
77			Janitress (Nursery)	3
78			Keeper (Aviary)	5.50
79			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour)	.30
80			Laborers	4
81			Laborers	5
82			Laborers	5.50
83			Laborers	6
84			Laborers	2.50
85			Laborers (per hour)	.50
86			Laborers, Apprentice	2.50
87			Laborers, Apprentice	3
88			Laborers, Apprentice	4

Section 15½. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
89			Matron	3
90			Manager (Harding Cafe)	5
91			Manager (H. F. Playfield Booth)	5.83
92			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour)	.30
93			Modelers (Plaster)	15
94			Mower Men	6.50
95			Office Boy	2.50
96			Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Playfield)	5
97			Pantryman (Harding Cafe)	3.50
98			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour)	.30
99			Porter	4
100			Porter	$ 3.50
101			Porter	3
102			Rides Man	3
103			Stableman	5
104			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course)	5
105			Starter, Assistant	5
106			Stenographers	4
107			Superintendent of Parks and Squares, Assistant	8
108			Supply Agent	5
109			Store Room Keeper	3.50
110			Teamsters	6
111			Teamsters	6.50
112			Tennis Courts Manager	5.50
113			Tractor Driver	6
114			Tractor Man	6.50
115			Tractor Man	9
116			Tractor Repairer	8
117			Truck Repairer	8
118			Utility Helpers (per hour)	.25
119			Utility (H. F. Booth)	4
120			Waiter	3
121			Waiter	3.50
122			Waiter	4
123			Waitresses	3
124			Waitresses	3.50
125			Waitresses	4
126			Waitresses	5
127			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo)	5

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
128			Yardman	2.50
129			Yardman	4.50
130			Yardman	4.
131			Yardman	3.50
132			Yardman	3

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
3	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at $12 per day	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	185
6	1	B51	Publicity Agent (part time)	150
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman..	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance	350
14		J4	Laborer (part time relief employment, not a wage rate at $3 per day) as needed	
14a		J4	Laborer (part time relief employment, not a wage rate) as needed	40
15	19	J4	Laborer	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman	175
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
19	23	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
20	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time)	75
21	3	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
22	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22a		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 22)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	195
23a	2	O58	Gardener	135
24	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
25	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
26	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept..	250
27	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
28	1	R56	Playground Director (part time)	85
29	4	R56	Playground Director (part time)	79
30	10	R56	Playground Director (part time)	75
31		R56	Playground Director (part time)	65
32	1	R56	Playground Director	175
33	1	R56	Playground Director	160
34	25	R56	Playground Director	150
35	4	R56	Playground Director	140

Section 16. **RECREATION COMMISSION** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
36	3	R56	Playground Director	135
37	4	R56	Playground Director	130
38	17	R56	Playground Director	125
39	1	R56	Playground Director	120
40	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
40a		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 40)	150
41		R56	Playground Director, $2.50 per evening	
42		R56	Playground Director (substitute), 50c to 75c per hour	

Reconciliation. Item 19 *read* 25 *positions in the official copy of Budget Estimates. Two of these positions are properly classified as Gardeners and appear under Item* 23a. *Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."*

Section 17. **RECREATION COMMISSION** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mo.) .....$	200
43a			Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 43).....	285
44	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics.....	210
45	1	R108	Supervisor of Music	185
46	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.	110
47	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	135
48	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	210
			Swimming Instructor—5 mo.	150
49	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.	160
			Swimming Instructor—5 mo.	125
50	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming	175
51		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, $5 per day....	
52		R114	Swimming Instructor, $5 per day.....	
53	25	R56	Playground Directors, $5 per day (Sunday only)	
54			Pianist (as needed), $2.50 per call....	
55			Referee (as needed), $2.50 to $10 per game	
56			1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
57			3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
			CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED —All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.	
58		A154	Carpenters at $9 per day.....	
59		A354	Painter at $9 per day.....	
60		A404	Plumber at $9 per day.....	
61		B512	General Clerk-Typist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
62		E154	Lineman at $9 per day.....	
63		I8	Head Baker (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
64		I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.).....	162.50
65		I16	Chef (deduct for R. & L.).....	210.50
66		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
67		J4	Laborer	150
68		L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
69		P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
70			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contracts	

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Number of positions herein established are fixed by action of the Board of Library Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	$ 200
2	1	B72	Business Manager, Public Library	375
3	1	B222	General Clerk	175
4	2	B222	General Clerk	100
5	2	B222	General Clerk	85
6	1	B222	General Clerk	75
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
8	1	B504	Clerk-typist	120
9	1	B504	Clerk-typist	160
10	1	C52	Elevator Operator	110
11	1	C102	Janitress	75
12	1	C102	Janitress	65
13	1	C102	Janitress, 55c per hour	
14	1	C104	Janitor	185
15	2	C104	Janitor	125
16	1	C152	Watchman	150
17	4	J54	Book Repairer	110
18	1	J54	Book Repairer	90
19	1	J54	Book Repairer	125
20	1	O10	Truck Driver, light truck	160

DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established are fixed by action of the Board of Library Commissioners and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

21			Branch Librarian	175
22			Assistant	100
23			Assistant	110
24			Assistant	120
25			Assistant	130
26			Assistant	140
27			Assistant	150
28			Assistant	160
29			Assistant	175
30			Assistant	225
31			Assistant	250
32			Librarian	400
33			Substitutes, 50c per hour	
34			Pages, 30c per hour	
35			Station Keeper (Part Time)	50
36			Station Keeper (Part Time)	15

Section 19. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	3	C52	Elevator Operator	135
4	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman	155
5	8	C104	Janitor	135
6	3	C152	Watchman	135
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, $6 per day	
8	1	E108	Electrician	220
9	1	E109	Stage Electrician, $75 per week	
10	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
11	3	C168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
12	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, $75 per week	
13	1	A170	Stage Property Man, $75 per week	
			AS NEEDED	
14		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
15		A170	Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day	
16		E109	Stage Electrician, $12.50 per day	
17		C105	Concierge (part time, not over $75 per month), $3 day	

Section 20. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	$ 75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission	250

Section 21. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for the California Palace of the Legion of Honor are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director	$ 333.34
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent	200
3	1		Organist (part time)	350
4	1		Organist (part time)	125
5	1		Chief Galleryman	200
6	1		Galleryman	150
7	3		Galleryman	125
8	1		Galleryman	120
9	1		Head Janitor	130
10	2		Janitor's Assistants	125
12	1		Stenographer	150
13	2		Stenographer	125
14	1		Librarian	85
15	1		Gallery Assistant	125
16	3		Caretaker	85
20	1		Watchman	125
21	1		Organ Repairer (as needed)	33
22			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150

Reconciliation. Item 14 retitled at request of department positions heretofore established as part time between this department and the de Young Museum are eliminated here and consolidated in the de Young Museum at the combined salary.

Section 22. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

Number of positions herein established for the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum are fixed by action of the Board of Trustees and included solely for the convenience of the Controller and Civil Service Commission in checking payrolls.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director	$ 491.66
2	1		Assistant Director	375
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	2		Docent	125
7	1		Docent	75
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer	140
11	1		Clerk	100
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary Board of Trustees	240
19	1		Lecturer, $10 per Sunday	
20	2		Gallerymen	125
21	11		Gallerymen	120
22	1		Carpenter, $5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125
			TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED	
24			Seasonal Clerical Service	150
25			Plasterer Foreman, $11 day	
26			Construction Superintendent	200
27			Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in a resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 29, 1932...	

Reconciliation. Items 25, 26 *and* 27 *added to provide classifications needed on work authorized and under way that will not be completed until after July* 1*st.*

Also, see notes re Legion of Honor.

Section 23. **STEINHART AQUARIUM**

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Science and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

Section 24. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges	$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk	200
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk	250
6	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk	240
7	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	240
8	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	200
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court	240
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court	500
11	1	B222	General Clerk	240
12	13	B222	General Clerk	200
13	1	B216	Clerk	125
14	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
15	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, $15 per day, plus transcriptions.	
16	2	B508	Copyist	200

Section 25. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges	$ 500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	8	B252	Court Interpreter	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
8	3	B420	Phonographic Reporter, $15 per day plus transcriptions.	
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator	190
10	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

*(Titles fixed by State law)

Section 26. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian	$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian	425
3	1	O102	Bookbinder	200

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	$ 225
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk	210
3	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	210
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
7	1	T56	Probation Officer	225
8	10	T56	Probation Officer	210
9	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
10	3	T60	Senior Probation Officer	225
11	1	T64	Referee	200
12	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer	350

Section 28. **JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor (deduct for R. & B.)	$ 145
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.)	68
3	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R.)	110
4	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	161.50
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	126.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.)	120
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	132.50
8	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	105.50
9	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.)	88
10	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.)	167.50
11	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.)	207.50

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 29. **ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	$ 210
2	6	T56	Probation Officer	210
3	1	T56	Probation Officer	275
4	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer	190
5	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer	350

Section 30. **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer	$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

Section 31. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records	$ 500

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office	300
6			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	20	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
			BUREAU OF DELINQUENT REVENUE	
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

Reconciliation. Item 1a added in order to maintain classification.

Section 33. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections	$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters	450
4	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
5	2	B222	General Clerk	250
6	7	B222	General Clerk	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk	205
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
9	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
10		B302	Addressing Machine Operator (as needed)	150
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator	225
12	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines	250
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	2	B305	Voting Mach. Adjusters	225
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
16		A154	Carpenter (as needed), $9 per day	
17		B305	Voting Machine Adjusters (as needed)	155
18		B202	Judges of Election, $5 per day	
19		B204	Inspectors of Election, $5 per day	

Reconciliation. One Addressing Machine Operator retitled to General Clerk.

Section 34. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk, 3 mo. at $300; 9 mo. at $250	
2	1	B81	Recorder	$ 666.66
3	2	B216	Clerk	215
4	5	B216	Clerk	200
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk	215
7	2	B222	General Clerk	200
8	2	B228	Senior Clerk	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	17	B508	Copyist	200
11	1	M254	Machinist	225

Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Court Room Clerk	$ 200
2	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk	250
4	5	B160	Civil Law Clerk	200
5	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	240
6	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office	300
7	1	B169	County Clerk	666.66
8	6	B216	Clerk	200
9	12	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	240
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
13	8	B508	Copyist	200

Section 36. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	$ 375
2	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk	250
3	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk	300
4	1	B173	Public Administrator	666.66
5	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
8	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	666.66

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant	$ 466.66
2	1	B222	General Clerk	150
3	2	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
6	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
7	3	B352	Storekeeper	150
8	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
9	1	B354	General Storekeeper	220
10	2	B354	General Storekeeper	200
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper	175
12	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper	325
13	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer	225
14	1	B360	Stationery Buyer	275
15	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	130
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	170
17	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
18	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	200
19	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	275
20	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	225
21	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent	250
22	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service	325

Section 37. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1	B372	Purchasing Agt.—Hetch Hetchy Project	400
24	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies	833.33
25	1	B404	Clerk Stenographer	75
26	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	200
27	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	175
28	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	160
29	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	150
30	2	B504	Clerk Typist	175
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	140
33	2	C152	Watchman	155
34	2	J4	Laborer, $6 per day	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer	195
35½	1	J66	Garageman, $6 day	
36	2	N302	Inspector of General Supplies	200
37	1	J66	Garageman, $6.50 per day	
38	1	M108	Blacksmith, $8 per day	

Reconciliation. Items 18 *and* 35½ *retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.*

Section 38. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, $9 per day	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $10 per day	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, $9 per day	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, $7 per day	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M2	Gen. Foreman Machinist, Supt. Shop No. 1	300
50	20	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
50½	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, $9 per day	
51	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $7.08 per day	
52	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
53	6	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
54	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, $6.58 per day	
55	1	M156	Boilermaker, $9 per day	
56	3	M252	Machinist Helper, $6.58 per day	
57	5	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
58	1	O108	Leatherworker, $9 per day	
59	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
60	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, $6.50 per day	

Section 39. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium	250
3	4	C104	Janitor	155
4	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
5	2	C152	Watchman	180
6	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
7	1	J64	Chair Repairer, $6.50 per day	
8	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines	220
9	1		Organ Repairer (part time)	75
10	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), $3 per day	
11		C104	Janitor (as needed)	145
12		C152	Watchman (as needed)	145

Section 40. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property)	600
			INTERDEPARTMENTAL	
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent	275
7	1	C204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property)	375

Section 41. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works	$ 666.66
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Departmentof Public Works	400
4	1	B216	Clerk	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	225
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator	165

Section 42. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk	$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	0202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant	$ 180

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A106	Building Inspector	$ 275
2	7	A106	Building Inspector	225
3	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
5	1	F558	Structural Engineer	300
6	1	F560	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection	625
7	1	M158	Boiler Inspector	250

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A106	Building Inspector	$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
3	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
4	1	F112	City Architect	600
5	1	B216	Clerk (part time), as needed	75

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
13	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
15	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	240
16	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
17	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
18	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
19	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
20	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
21	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
22	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
23	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints.	250
25	1	F506	Engineer Grades	275
26	2	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investigations	275
27	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans	300
28	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
30	1	F556	Structural Draftsman	225
31	7	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant	225
32	4	F606	Instrument Man	225
33	4	F610	Surveyors	250
34	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
35	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor	275
36	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
37	4	N252	Street Inspector	225

Section 47. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	$ 225
40	1	B316	Photographer	265
43	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction	225
44	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
45	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
48	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
49	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
50	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
52	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
53	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
54	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
54½	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
55½	1	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
56	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	250
69	1	F554	Structural Designer	275
71	2	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant	225
72	1	F606	Instrument Man	225
73	2	F610	Surveyor	250
78	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
79	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400

Reconciliation. Number of employed specified in conformity with present organization.

Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs	$ 225
2	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	250
3	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	225
4	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	375
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
5	12	A52	Hodcarrier at $9 per day	
6	7	A56	Bricklayer at $11 per day	
7	20	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
8	4	O14	Driver, spec. equip., at $8 per day	
9	18	O204	Cribber at $7 per day	
10	16	O210	Sewer Cleaner at $8.50 per day	
11	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair	250

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	217	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
2	11	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $7 per day	
3	5	C152	Watchman	$ 155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning	225
6	21	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Trucks $8 per day	
7	5	O14	Driver of Special Equipment $8 per day	
8	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at $8 per day	
9	1	O58	Gardener at $6 per day	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Reconciliation. Item 3 retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF STREET REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F218	Superintendent, Bur. of Street Repair	$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of St. Repair	325
			EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED	
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at $8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at $9 per day	
5	1	B216	Clerk at $5.40 per day	
6	23	J4	Laborers at $6 per day	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at $6 per day	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at $7 per day	
9	1	M254	Machinist at $9 per day	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, hvy. truck, at $8 per day.	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at $8 per day.	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at $10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at $9 per day	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at $10 per day	
16	3	O260	Rammer at $7 per day	
17	2	O264	Paver at $8 per day	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at $9.50 per day	
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at $9 per day	
20	18	O276	Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per day	
21	7	O278	Asphalt Finishers at $8 per day	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at $8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at $9 per day	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225
			BRIDGES	
27	1	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at $6.50 per day	
29	12	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)	220
			Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.	

Section 51. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	$ 300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	275
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher	275
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter	280
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	18	C52	Elevator Operator	155
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
13	53	C104	Janitor	155
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
20	5	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines	185
24	6	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	300

Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
27		A52	Hod Carrier	day	$ 9
28		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day	6
29		A60	Marble Setter	day	10
30		A62	Tile Setter	day	10
31	15	A154	Carpenter	day	9
32	2	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day	10
33		A162	Lather	day	10
34		A172	Hardwood Floorman	day	10
35	8	A202	Cement Finisher Helper	day	8
36	3	A204	Cement Finisher	day	9
37	1	A206	Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher	day	10
38	2	A252	Glazier	day	8.50
39	1	A252	Glazier	day	9.50
40	2	A302	Locksmith	day	8
41	1	A352	Painter's Shopman	day	7
42	23	A354	Painter	day	9
43	1	A356	Sub-Foreman Painter	day	10
44		A380	Paper Hanger	day	10
45		A392	Plasterer	day	11
46	1	A402	Plumber's Shopman	day	7
47	22	A404	Plumber	day	10
48	1	A452	Sheet Metal Shopman	day	6.50
49	5	A456	Sheet Metal Worker	day	10
50	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	day	10.50
51	9	A504	Steamfitter	day	10
52	1	A551	Apprentice	day	7
53	1	A551	Apprentice	day	4
54	1	B222	General Clerk	day	7
55	1	C152	Watchman		155
56	1	C202	Window Cleaner		155
57	4	E108	Electrician	day	9
58	1	J4	Laborer	day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Reconciliation. Number of employments specified to conform to present organization.

Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerk	$ 250
2	8	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	325
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
2	1	B222	General Clerk	$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector	240
8	13	E4	Electrical Inspector	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher	250
12	1	E108	Electrician	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at $9 per day	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at $8 per day	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity	300
16	1	E154	Lineman	220
17	12	E154	Lineman	215
18	1	E156	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per day	
19	2	E160	Foreman Lineman	240
20	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity	416.67
21	3	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
22	1	J12	Labor Foreman	195
23	1	J66	Garageman	150
24	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at $9 per day	
25	3	M254	Machinist	225
26	5	M260	Instrument Maker	225
27	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker	250

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector	$ 250
2	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector	240
3	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector	325
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	190
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
6	1	B70	Secretary, Department of Health	350
7	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time)	50
8	4	B222	General Clerk	190
9	1	B222	General Clerk	185
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
11	2	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
12	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	75
13	2	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
14	2	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	75
15	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	125
20	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
22	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
23	1	F520	Consulting Sanitary Eng. (Part Time)	150

Section 55. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
25	1	I202	Chambermaid	60
26	1	J58	Disinfector	200
27	4	J74	Rat Catcher	115
28	1	L14	Assistant Director of Health	400
29	1	L18	Director of Health	833.33
30	1	L52	Bacteriological Labor. Tech.	125
31	1	L56	Bacteriologist	225
32	2	L56	Bacteriologist	175
33	1	L58	Director of Laboratories	300
34	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspec.	200
35	1	L102	Food Chemist's Assistant	100
36	1	L104	Food Chemist	225
37	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist	250
38	2	L152	Dental Hygienist	150
39	13	L156	Dentist (Part Time)	100
40	1	L158	Director Dental Bur. (Part Time)	250
41	1	L252	Optometrist (Part Time)	150

Reconciliation. Item 38 *reads as above in the official copy of Budget Estimates but typographical error was made in printed copy to read: One at* $250. *Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary in Item* 24.

Section 56. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at $10 per day	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time)	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	150
58	8	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	200
59	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	6	N56	Market Inspector	200
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	11	N204	Housing Inspector	200
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Invest.	215

Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A404	Plumbers at $10 per day	
2	1	A504	Steamfitter at $10 per day	
3	1	B222	General Clerk	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator	100
5a	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	150
6	1	I8	Head Baker	190
7	4	I12	Cook	165
8	1	I12	Cook	77.50
9	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
10	1	I16	Chef	200
11	1	I22	Butcher	100
12	1	I24	Senior Butcher	235
13	4	I54	Waitress	110
14	1	I58	Dining Room Steward	140
15		I102	Inmate Help, not over	50
16		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
17	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
18	3	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
19	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
20	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	120
21	2	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
22	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
23	2	I112	Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
24	1	I154	Laundress	95
25	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
26	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry	189
28	1	I254	Seamstress	90
29	1	I256	Head Seamstress	115
30	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
31	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
32	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent	275

Section 57. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— LAGUNA HONDA HOME** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
33	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
34	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist	100
35	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
36	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	200
37	4	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
38	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
40	1	L452	X-Ray Technician	150
41	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	220
47	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
48	19	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
49	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
50	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.	235
51	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L." Item 5a retitled.

Section 58. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 77.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
4	1	I54	Waitress.	110
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	385
11	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
12		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
13	8	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenters, at $9 per day	
2	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
3	2	A404	Plumbers, at $10 per day	
4	1	A504	Steamfitter at $10 per day	
5	2	B216	Clerk	$ 150
6	2	B216	Clerk	75
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
9	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
10	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	160
11	5	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	75
11½	12	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for B. & R.) not over	75
12	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
13	2	B454	Telephone Operator	125
14	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
15	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	125
16	1	B508	Clerk-Typist	75
17	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
18	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170
19	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
20	2	E108	Electrician	237.50
21	1	I6	Pastry Cook	175
22	6	I12	Cook	165
23	1	I16	Chef	200
24	9	I54	Waitress	110
24a	7	I56	Waiter	110
25		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B. R. & L.), less than	80
26	1	I118	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
28	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
29	13	I152	Flat Work Ironer	85
30	5	I154	Laundress	98
31	3	I154	Laundress	94
32	6	I154	Laundress	92
33	1	I154	Laundress	93
34	1	I156	Starcher	118
35	1	I158	Sorter	127
36	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
37	1	I166	Wringerman	136.33
38	2	I170	Washer	129.50
39	1	I172	Head Washer	152.50
40	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry	200
41	1	I204	Porter	60
42	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
43	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
44	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L." Item 11½ added as these employments were included in the Budget as Institutional Help. One Clerk in Item 5 was titled General Clerk in the Budget Estimates by error. Item 33 retitled from Chamber Maid.

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent	583.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61a	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	355
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hrs. at $6 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hrs. at $7 per day	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduce for B., R. & L.)	135
77	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	2	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 60½. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
80	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285
81	2	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
82	1	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
83	1	P206	Senior Anaethetist (deduct for B., R. & L).	185
84	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
85	4	P206	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator	150
94	2	T156	Social Service Investigator	180

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by the phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 61. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B352	Storekeeper	$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
3	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon	200
4	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals	225
5	1	L508	Chief Surgeon	250
6	16	O6	Ambulance Driver	200
7	1	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	165
8	22	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	200
9	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward	250
10	14	P102	Registered Nurse	165
11	3	P102	Registered Nurse	135
12	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at $5 per day	

Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—HASSLER HEALTH HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.)	162.50
4	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.)	182.50
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
9	1	J4	Laborer at $5 per day	
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
12	1	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
13	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal)	210
14	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. &. L.)	92.50
16	6	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
17	1	P114	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for B., R. & L."

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk	$ 185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
4	1	B510	Braille Typist	150
5	7	T152	Junior Social Service Investigators	150
6	7	T156	Social Service Investigators	150
7	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator	200
8	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

Section 64. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter	$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician	150
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time)	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	$ 150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector	250
3	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector, 10 mos. at $175; 2 mos. at $200	
4	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector.....	175
5	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner....	400

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures	275
3	4	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures.....	225
4	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	500

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	7	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls.....	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part time).....	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	3	B222	General Clerk	160
16	3	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed..	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	150

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, $15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $15 per meeting	
3	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary	$ 350
4	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
5	1	F254	Civ. Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	250

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....$	100
2	1		Manager of Utilities	1,000
3	1	B67	Secretary, Utility Commission.....	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	350
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	O2	Chauffeur	200

Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....$	150
2	1	C104	Janitor	125
3	1	F52	Meteorological Computor	150
4	1	F54	Meteorologist	150
5	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent	175
6	1	F62	Superintendent	300
			TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES	
7		A154	Carpenter, $9 per day.....	
8		A354	Painter, $9 per day.....	
9		A404	Plumber, $9 per day.....	
10		E108	Electrician, $9 per day.....	
11		J4	Laborer, $5 per day.....	
12		J52	Airport Attendant	150
13		M254	Machinist, $9 per day.....	
14		O158	Gardener, $5 per day.....	

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....$	275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
3			Seasonal Clerical Service.....	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer.....	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities.....	833
9		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
10		F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	225
11		F216	Maintenance of Way Engr., Mun. Ry....	300
12		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
17		F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
18		F260	Civil Engineering Designer	250
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	550
20		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman	200
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	275
22		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
23		F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
25	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer	650
28		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	250
29	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	330
31		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	250
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
33		F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	265
36		F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment	290
38		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	250
39		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
42		F518	Office Engineer	250
43		F552	Structural Draftsman	200
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator	200
46		O2	Chauffeur	170
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines $10 per day	

Reconciliation. Number of employments specified to conform to present organization.

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, $9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, $10.35 per day	
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	1	B216	Clerk	150
7	5	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
9	1	B222	General Clerk	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	160
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	160
17	31	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	155
19	3	C104	Janitor, $5.80 per day	
20	6	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day	
22	1	C152	Watchman, $5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, $9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, $9 per day	
25	7	E154	Lineman, $7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	5	J4	Laborer, $5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, $6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, $5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, $5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, $5.80 per day	
34	2	J160	Track Welder, $6.80 per day	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, $6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	4	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
43	48	M202	Car Repairer, $6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
46	6	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway	240
50	438	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	430	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	10	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	175
54	3	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	210
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, $5.80 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
3	1	A404	Plumber	225
4	1	A551	Apprentice	85
5	2	A551	Apprentice	75
6	1	A551	Apprentice at $7 per day	
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
8	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
9	1	B10	Accountant	400
10	1	B24	Auditor, Water Department	700
11	1	B109	Cashier, Water Department	325
12	10	B216	Clerk	150
13	4	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
14	1	B222	General Clerk	200
15	2	B222	General Clerk	190
16	1	B222	General Clerk	180
17	45	B222	General Clerk	175
18	2	B222	General Clerk	165
19	2	B222	General Clerk	160
20	7	B222	General Clerk	150
21	2	B228	Senior Clerk	225
22	1	B228	Senior Clerk	215
23	6	B228	Senior Clerk	200
24	2	B228	Senior Clerk	180
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
26	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
27	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	160
28	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
29	11	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	175
30	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
31	1	B315	Photographer Water Service	200
32	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
33	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper	265
34	3	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
35	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
36	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
37	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
38	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	150
39	1	B454	Telephone Operator	165
40	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
41	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at $4 per day	
42	9	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	C52	Elevator Operator	160
44	4	C104	Janitor	155
45	1	C104	Janitor (or Janitress) (part time) deduct for board and room	45.50
46	1	C104	Janitor at $6 per day	
47	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	175
48	2	C152	Watchman	150
49	2	C152	Watchman	145
50	1	E154	Lineman	200

Section 74. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
51	1	F2	Asst. Engineer, Water Service	500
52	1	F204	Civil Engineer Inspector	240
53	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
54	2	F252	Junior Civil Eng. Draftsman	175
55	2	F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman	225
56	2	F258	Senior Civil Eng. Draftsman	250
57	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	175
58	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	375
59	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	200
59a	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	175
60	1	I12	Cook (including room)	130
61	1	I122	House Mother (part time)	100
62	71	J4	Laborer at $6 per day	
63	13	J4	Laborer at $5 per day	
64	3	J4	Laborer at $4.50 per day	
65	1	J66	Garageman at $6.50 per day	
66	6	M54	Auto Machinist at $9 per day	
67	2	M254	Machinist at $9 per day	
68	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairer	200
69	1	M268	Foreman Machinist	285

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated by phrase "deduct for board and room." Item 59a retitled to conform to Civil Service classification. Item 65 retitled.

Section 75. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at $7.50 per day	
2	2	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at $6.50 per day	
3	1	O58	Gardener at $6.50 per day	
4	1	O58	Gardener	135
5	1	O58	Gardener at $6 per day	
6	1	O58	Gardener at $5 per day	
7	1	O116	Teamster (2-Horse Vehicle) at $6.50 per day	
8	1	O152	Eng. of Hoisting and Portable Engines at $10 per day	
9	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	165
10	2	O162	Boiler Cleaner	150
11	1	O164	Oiler	185
12	13	O164	Oiler	175
13	15	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Eng.	185
14	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (Relief)	185
15	12	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Eng.	220
16	1	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Eng. (deduct $15 for House)	220
17	3	O170	Asst. Chief Engr. Sta. St. Eng.	235
18	1	O172	Chief Eng. Sta. Steam Eng.	265
19	1	U44	Gen'l Mgr. & Chief Engineer	833.33

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated.

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	U51	Supervisor Docks & Shipping	275
21	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections	300
22	1	U56	Asst. Supervisor Cons. Accts.	290
23	1	U60	Supervisor, Consumers' Accts.	340
24	1	U80	Asst. Manager, Water Sales	360
25	1	U88	Manager Water Sales	475
26	2	U104	Leadman at $6 per day	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable at $7.50 per day	
28	15	U112	Pipe Calker at $7.50 per day	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman at $7.75 per day	
30	15	U116	Service Man at $7.50 per day	
31	1	U116	Service Man at $7.75 per day	
32	7	U120	Gateman at $7.75 per day	
33	7	U122	Shutoff Man	175
34	1	U123	Service Inspector	185
35	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector	200
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks	165
38	6	U126	Meter Inspector	175
39	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector	200
40	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)	160
41	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)	165
42	1	U132	Contractors & Builders Inspector	225
43	1	U136	Gen'l Foreman, Service Meters	300
44	1	U140	Gen'l Foreman, Main Pipes	325
45	1	U142	Ass't Supt. City Distribution	350
46	1	U144	Superintendent City Distribution	500
47	2	U208	Flume Walker at $6 per day	
48	1	U208	Flume Walker at $5 per day	
49	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	165
50	6	U212	Water Shed Keeper (deduct for House)	160
51	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	145
52	1	U212	Water Shed Keeper	135
52a	3	U212	Water Shed Keeper (deduct for House)	145
53	8	U214	Pump Operator	165
54	2	U214	Pump Operator	140
55	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time)	50
56	1	U214	Pump Operator at $7 per day	
57	1	U214	Pump Operator at $6.25 per day	
58	1	U215	Head Pump Operator	200
59	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for House)	200
60	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct $25 for House)	200
61	1	U226	Gen. Maintenance Foreman, Alameda Dist.	200
62	1	U227	Gen. Maintenance Foreman (deduct for House), Peninsula Dist.	210
63	1	U228	Meterman, Country	175
64	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman	200
65	1	U231	Asst. Supt. Ala. Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	225
66	1	U232	Supt. Alameda Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	300
67	1	U236	Asst. Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct $25 for House)	300
68	1	U246	Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct $50 for House)	466.66

Section 75½. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
69	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture at $6.50 per day	
70	1	V30	Asst. Supt. Agriculture	200
71	1	V40	Superintendent Agriculture	600
72	2		Housekeeper (part time)	20
73	1		Housekeeper (part time)	35
74			Water Dep't. Worker (as needed), $5 per day	

Reconciliation. Valuation of Maintenance added to present cash salary as indicated.

Section 76. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Construction Superintendent	300
2			Inspector	200
3			Timekeeper, plus board	175
4			Transitmen	200
5			Chainman	140
6			Field Draftsman	140
7			Office Estimator	175
8			Tunnel Foreman	200
9			Safetyman	250
10			Detectorman	212.50
11			Camp Mechanic	225
12			Dishwasher, plus board	75
13			Waiter, plus board	70
14			Tunnel Superintendent	250
15			Shift Boss, at $9 per day	
16			Tunnel Shift Boss at $7 per day	
17			Miner at $6 per day	
18			Miner at $5.50 per day	
19			Chucktender at $5 per day	
20			Mucker at $4.50 per day	
21			Motorman at $5.50 per day	
22			Powderman at $5.50 per day	
23			Timberman at $5.50 per day	
24			Concrete Foreman at $8 per day	
25			Steelworker Foreman at $10 per day	
26			Steelworker at $9 per day	
27			Hoistman at $9 per day	
28			Tractor Driver at $7.50 per day	
29			Graderman at $6.50 per day	
30			Tool Sharpener at $6.50 per day	
31			Tool Sharpener Helper at $5.25 per day	
32			Campman at $5 per day	
33			Waterboy at $3.50 per day	
34			General Tunnel Foreman	300
35			Tunnel Foreman	250
36			Water Department Worker at $5 per day	
37			Foreman Construction	200
38			Surveyor	175
39			Electrician at $8.75 per day	
40			Blacksmith's Helper at $5.25 per day	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41			Straw Boss at $5.50 per day.....	
42			Pipe Joint Inspector at $6.50 per day....	
43			Blacksmith at $6.50 per day.....	
44			Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	265
45			Sanitary Engineer (part time).....	75
46			Bacteriologist (part time)	75
47			Biologist (part time)	75
48			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at 50c per hour....	
49			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at $5 per day....	
50			Cook at $6 per day	
51			Water Pipe Welder at $8 per day....	
52			Trucks and teams and drivers as needed by the San Francisco Water Dept., to be paid for at rates established by Purchaser of Supplies' Contracts..	
53			Assistant Bacteriologist at 50c per hour	
54			Assistant Biologist at 50c per hour.....	

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	1		Agent	110
3	1		Auto Machinist	210
4	1		Blacksmith	190
7	1		Clerk, General	190
8	1		Clerk-Stenographer	160
9	1		Cook	167.50
10	1		Dam Tender	160
11	2		Dam Tenders	150
12	1		Dam Tender	140
13	1		Dam Tender	125
14	1		Dam Tender, Assistant.....	135
15	1		Dishwasher	117.50
16	1		Ditch Tender	135
17	1		Ditch Tender	125
18	1		Engineer, Assistant	250
19	1		Engineer, Electrical	400
20	1		Engineer, Junior	150
21	3		Floormen	145
22	1		Floorman, Relief	150
23	1		Foreman, General	300
24	1		Gardener	175
25	1		Gardener, Assistant	135
26	3		Governorman	140
27	1		Housekeeper	117.50
28	1		Janitor	120
29	1		Labor Foreman	210
30	1		Lineman Foreman	250.
31	4		Operators	150
32	1		Operator, Chief	250
33	1		Operator, Chief	210
34	4		Operators, First	185
35	1		Operator, First Relief.....	190
36	3		Operators, Second	165
37	1		Operator and Electrician.....	210

Section 77...PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1		Operator and Machinist	210
39	1		Painter	210
40	2		Patrolmen	210
41	2		Patrolman Helper	137.50
42	1		Pumpman and Fire Patrolman	150
43	1		Road Foreman	200
45	1		Waiter	107.50
46	1		Yardman	135

Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic, $8.73 per day	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Boatman, $5.50 per day	
4			Brakeman, $6 per day	
5			Carpenter, $9 per day	
5a			Carpenter Foreman, $10 per day	
6			Cement Finisher, $8 per day	
9			Clerk	175
10			Compressorman, $5 per day	
11			Concreteman, $5 per day	
12			Cook (Camp)	167.50
13			Cook's Helper	137.50
14			Dishwasher	112.50
15			Driver, $8.80 per day	
16			Driver, $10.80 per day	
17			Driver, $6 per day	
18			Driver, $6.50 per day	
19			Driver, $7 per day	
20			Driver, $7.50 per day	
21			Driver, $8 per day	
22			Driver, $9.20 per day	
23			Driver, $10 per day	
24			Engineer, Assistant	237.50
25			Field Assistant	175
26			Field Assistant	150
27			Foreman, $6 per day	
28			Foreman, $6.50 per day	
29			Foreman, $7 per day	
30			Groundman, $6 per day	
31			Helper, $4.50 per day	
32			Helper, $4.75 per day	
33			Helper, $5 per day	
34			Helper, $5.50 per day	
35			Hodcarrier, $9 per day	
36			Hoisting Engineer, $9 per day	
37			Hostler, $6 per day	
38			Housesmith, $9 per day	
39			Housesmith Foreman, $10 per day	
40			Housewireman, $9 per day	
41			Instrumentman	187.50

Section 78½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42			Jackhammerman, $5 per day	
43			Lineman, $8.73 per day	
44			Lineman Apprentice, $7.73 per day	
45			Machinist, $8.73 per day	
46			Operator's Helper	175
47			Painter, $9 per day	
48			Pipeman, $5.50 per day	
49			Plasterer, $12 per day	
50			Plumber, $9 per day	
51			Powderman, $5.50 per day	
52			Rigger, $6 per day	
53			Rigger Foreman, $8 per day	
54			Steam Shovel Engineer, $10 per day	
55			Steam Shovel Engineer	240
56			Steam Shovel Fireman, $7 per day	
57			Steam Shovel Fireman	165
58			Steam Shovel Oiler, $6 per day	
59			Steam Shovel Oiler	150
60			Steam Shovel Watchman, $7 per day	
61			Steam Shovel Watchman	165
62			Timekeeper	175
63			Tool Sharpener, $6 per day	
64			Tool Sharpener Helper, $5 per day	
65			Waiter	107.50
66			Waiter, Head	117.50
67			Watchman	125
68			Water Workers, $5 per day	

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
1	Attendant		$ 4.75	
2	Baker			$147.50
3	Bed Maker			107.50
4	Blacksmith	$1.091		
5	Blacksmith Helper	.818		
6	Boilermaker	1.091		
7	Boilermaker's Helper	.75		
8	Bookkeeper			215.00
9	Bookkeeper			250.00
10	Bookkeeper (Supervising Accountant, Utilities)			550.00
11	Bookkeeper, Assistant			190.00
12	Brakeman		4.50	
13	Campman			120.00
14	Carpenter		9.00	
15	Carpenter Foreman		10.00	
16	Carpenter's Helper		4.50	
17	Cement Gun Operator		6.00	
18	Chuckman		5.25	
19	Clerk			125.00
20	Clerk			140.00
21	Clerk			150.00
22	Clerk			165.00

Section 79. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
23	Clerk			175.00
24	Clerk			200.00
25	Clerk (Experienced) (Asst. Auditor)			337.50
26	Clerk, General			180.00
27	Clerk, General			187.50
28	Clerk, General			225.00
29	Clerk, Ledger			175.00
30	Clerk, Relief			150.00
31	Compressorman		7.67	
32	Compressorman, Relief		7.67	
33	Cook			157.50
34	Cook			167.50
35	Cook			177.50
36	Cook			187.50
37	Cook			212.50
38	Cook-Baker			147.50
39	Cook Helper			122.50
40	Cook Helper			127.50
41	Cook, Night			137.50
42	Cook, Second			137.50
43	Cook, Second			147.50
44	Cost Accountant			275.00
45	Dishwasher			112.50
46	Dishwasher			117.50
47	Draftsman			175.00
48	Draftsman			212.50
49	Draftsman			250.00

Section 80. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
50	Drill Doctor		5.75	
51	Drill Doctor		6.00	
52	Driver	1.00		
53	Driver	1.10		
54	Driver	1.25		
55	Driver	1.35		
56	Driver		6.00	
57	Driver		6.50	
58	Driver		7.00	
59	Driver		7.50	
60	Driver		8.00	
61	Driver		9.20	
62	Driver		10.00	
63	Dumpman		4.50	
64	Dumpman		5.00	
65	Electrician	1.091		
66	Elec. Foreman, Gen.			287.50
67	Engineer, Assistant			212.50
68	Engineer, Assistant			237.50
69	Engineer, Assistant			250.00
70	Engineer, Assistant			287.50
71	Engineer, Asst. Elec.			250.00
72	Engineer, Asst. Const.			375.00
73	Engineer, Construction			600.00

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
74	Engineer, Hydraulic			350.00
75	Engineer, Office			200.00
76	Engineer, Office			225.00
77	Field Assistant			100.00
78	Field Assistant			125.00
79	Field Assistant			150.00
80	Field Assistant			162.50
81	Field Assistant			175.00
82	Field Assistant			225.00
83	Fire Boss			212.50
84	Fire Boss, Relief			212.50
85	Foreman	1.216		
86	Foreman		6.00	
87	Foreman		6.25	
88	Foreman		6.50	
89	Foreman		7.00	
90	Foreman		7.25	
91	Foreman		7.50	
92	Foreman		8.00	
93	Foreman			262.50
94	Foreman			287.50
95	Foreman, Sub-		6.50	

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
96	Form Man		5.25	
97	Gate Tender		5.75	
98	Grout Gunman (or Op.)		6.00	
99	Gun Operator		6.00	
100	Gunite Helper		5.00	
101	Gunite Mixer Man		5.50	
102	Gunite Operator		6.00	
103	Gunite Shift Boss		7.00	
104	Gunite Shift Boss		7.25	
105	Hammersman		4.00	
106	Helper		4.50	
107	Helper		4.75	
108	Helper		5.00	
109	Helper		5.25	
110	Helper		5.50	
111	Helper		5.75	
112	Helper		6.00	
113	Hoisting Engr. or Relief Hoisting Engr.		9.00	
114	Hostler		6.50	
115	Housesmith		9.00	
116	Housesmith Foreman		10.00	
117	Inspector			162.50
118	Inspector			187.50
119	Inspector			200.00
120	Inspector			225.00
121	Inspector			237.50
122	Inspector			250.00
123	Inspector, Chief			275.00
124	Inspector, Concrete			212.50
125	Inspector, S. & S.			312.50
126	Instrumentman			125.00
127	Instrumentman			150.00

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
128	Instrumentman			175.00
129	Instrumentman			187.50
130	Instrumentman			190.00
131	Instrumentman			200.00
132	Instrumentman			212.50
133	Janitress			100.00
134	Kitchen Helper			117.50
135	Kitchen Helper			112.50
136	Lampman			150.00
137	Lineman Apprentice	.966		
138	Machineman		5.75	
139	Machinist	1.091		
140	Machinist Apprentice	.818		
141	Machinist Apprentice 2d Year	.818		
142	Machinist Foreman	1.227		
143	Machinist Helper	.75		
144	Machinist Helper	.818		
145	Machinist Helper Apprentice	.927		
146	Machinist Helper Apprentice	.955		
147	Machinist Helper Apprentice		5.50	
148	Material Man			175.00
149	Mechanic, Camp			225.00
150	Mechanic, Camp	.95		
151	Mechanic, General Master			453.50
152	Mechanic, Head			225.00
153	Mechanic, Helper		6.00	
154	Mixerman		5.50	
155	Motorman, Gas		7.67	
156	Motorman, Top		5.25	
157	Motorman, Tunnel		5.75	
158	Mucker		4.50	
159	Mucker Foreman		6.00	
160	Mucker Top		4.00	
161	Mucker Top		4.25	
162	Mucking Machine Operator		7.00	

Section 82. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
163	Nipper		5.25	
164	Nozzleman		6.50	
165	Nurse			162.50
166	Nurse, Head			162.50
167	Nurse, Practical			112.50
168	Nurse		7.25	
169	Nurse		8.25	
170	Oiler		7.00	
171	Paymaster, Assistant			225.00
172	Physician			337.50
173	Pit Foreman		7.00	
174	Plant Foreman			240.00
175	Porter		4.50	
176	Powderman		5.25	
177	Powderman		5.50	
178	Powderman		5.75	
179	Pumpman		5.75	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
180	Pumpman		5.00	
181	Rescueman	.75		
182	Rigger		6.00	
183	Rigger Foreman		8.00	
184	Rodman		5.25	
185	Shaftman		6.00	
186	Shift Boss		7.25	
187	Shop Foreman, Section	1.216		
188	Shop Foreman			287.50
189	Skip Tender		5.75	
190	Special Agent			225.00
191	Station Tender		5.25	
192	Station Tender-Pumpman		5.75	
193	Steamfitter		10.00	
194	Steam Shovel Engineer		10.00	240.00
195	Steam Shovel Fireman		7.00	165.00
196	Steam Shovel Oiler		6.00	150.00
197	Steam Shovel Operator		10.00	240.00
198	Steam Shovel Watchman		7.00	165.00
199	Steel Foreman		6.25	
200	Stenographer			175.00
201	Storekeeper			225.00
202	Storekeeper			240.00
203	Superintendent			287.50
204	Superintendent			500.00
205	Surveyor (Const. Engineer)			375.00

Section 83. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
206	Templateman		5.25	
207	Time Clerk			150.00
208	Time Clerk, Relief		4.00	
209	Timekeeper			175.00
210	Timekeeper, Relief			175.00
211	Toolsharpener, Head		6.75	
212	Toolsharpener, Second		6.50	
213	Toolsharpener, Helper		5.25	
214	Top Foreman		6.25	
215	Top Man		5.25	
216	Track Foreman (or Track Boss)		6.00	
217	Track Foreman		6.50	
218	Tractor Driver		8.00	
219	Transportation Man			225.00
220	Tunnel Foreman			275.00
221	Tunnel Foreman			312.50
222	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant			240.00
223	Typist-Clerk			150.00
224	Typist-Clerk			165.00
225	Typist-Clerk			185.00
226	Waiter			107.50
227	Waiter			112.50
228	Waiter, Head			117.50
229	Waitress			112.50
230	Watchman		5.00	
231	Watchman			150.00
232	Welder	1.091		
233	Welder Helper	.75		
234	Yardman			135.00

Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Rates of pay and number of positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
1	Superintendent of Schools	$ 8,200
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent	5,100
3	Deputy Superintendents of Schools	4,590
4	Directors	3,825
5	Director of Personnel	3,600
6	Assistant to Director of Physical Education	2,887.50
7	Supervisors "A"	3,315
8	Supervisors "B" (Formerly "Special")	2,887.50
9	Supervisors "C" (formerly "Assistant")	2,656.50
10	Supervisors "D" (Formerly "Special Assistant")	2,562
11	Supervisors	3,060
12	Supervisors	2,887.50
13	Supervisors	2,656.50
14	Supervisors	2,635.50
15	Supervisors	2,562
16	Supervisors	2,362.50
17	Supervisors	2,297.75
18	Supervisors	2,173.50
19	Supervisors	1,974
20	Supervisors	1,674
21	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,226
22	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,142
23	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	2,068.50
24	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,995
25	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,890
26	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,738.80
27	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance	1,674
28	Head Dept., Junior High	2,530.50
29	Head Dept., Junior High	1,782
30	Principals	4,335
31	Principals	4,080
32	Principals	3,825
33	Principals	3,612.50
34	Principals	3,417
35	Principals	3,162
36	Principals	2,907
37	Principals	1,803.60
38	Principals	1,479.24
39	Principals	1,395
40	Principals	1,341.96
41	Vice-Principals	3,315
42	Vice-Principals	2,937.60
43	Vice-Principals	2,866.50
44	Vice-Principals	2,793
45	Vice-Principals	2,719.50
46	Vice-Principals	2,656.50
47	Vice-Principals	2,604
48	Vice-Principals	2,541
49	Vice-Principals	2,520

Section 84¼. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES** (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
50	Vice-Principals	2,467.50
51	Vice-Principals	2,404.50
52	Vice-Principals	2,331
53	Vice-Principals	2,278.50
54	Vice-Principals	2,131.50
55	Vice-Principals	2,100
56	Teachers	2,876.40
57	Teachers	2,877
58	Teachers	2,803.50
59	Teachers	2,719.50
60	Teachers	2,698.50
61	Teachers	2,688
62	Teachers	2,656.50
63	Teachers	2,635.50
64	Teachers	2,562
65	Teachers	2,541
66	Teachers	2,530.50
67	Teachers	2,520
68	Teachers	2,457
69	Teachers	2,373
70	Teachers	2,362.50
71	Teachers	2,278.50
72	Teachers	2,257.50
73	Teachers	2,226
74	Teachers	2,194.50
75	Teachers	2,142
76	Teachers	2,131.50
77	Teachers	2,100
78	Teachers	2,068.50
79	Teachers	2,037
80	Teachers	2,016
81	Teachers	1,995
82	Teachers	1,987.20
83	Teachers	1,922.40
84	Teachers	1,890
85	Teachers	1,857.60
86	Teachers	1,814.40
87	Teachers	1,803.60
88	Teachers	1,782
89	Teachers	1,738.80
90	Teachers	1,702.08
91	Teachers	1,652.40
92	Teachers	1,674
93	Teachers	1,621.92
94	Teachers	1,562.40
95	Teachers	1,500
96	Teachers	1,395
97	Teachers	1,242.09
98	Teachers	1,192.93
99	Teachers	1,053.53

Section 84½. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES** (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
100	Teachers	880.40
101	Teachers	812.18
102	Teachers	779.70
103	Teachers	734.21
104	Part Time Teachers	1,701.90
105	Part Time Teachers	1,489.86
106	Part Time Teachers	1,413.60
107	Part Time Teachers	1,002
108	Part Time Teachers	720
109	Part Time Teachers	704.32
110	Part Time Teachers	678
111	Part Time Teachers	649.74
112	Part Time Teachers	623.75
113	Part Time Teachers	600
114	Part Time Teachers	587.37
115	Part Time Teachers	528.24
116	Part Time Teachers	480
117	Part Time Teachers	472.80
118	Part Time Teachers	456
119	Part Time Teachers	367.10
120	Part Time Teachers	352.16
121	Part Time Teachers	324.87
122	Part Time Teachers	293.68
123	Part Time Teachers	180
124	Part Time Teachers	176.08
125	Part Time Teachers	120
126	Part Time Teachers	60
	SUBSTITUTES	
127	Teachers at $9 per day	
128	Teachers at $8 per day	
129	Teachers at $6.50 per day	
130	Teachers at $5 per day	
131	Teachers at $4.692 per evening	
132	Part Time Teachers at $4.50 per day	
133	Teachers at $4.50 per evening	
134	Teachers at $4 per evening	
135	Teachers at $3.50 per evening	
	SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES	
136	Teachers at $4.50 per day	180
137	Teachers at $3.50 per day	140
138	Teachers at $1.50 per hour	300
139	Laboratory Assistants (as needed)	60
	SUBSTITUTES "A"	
140	Day Elementary at $5 per day	
141	Junior High at $6.50 per day	
142	Senior High (day) at $6.50 per day	
143	Senior High (night) at $3.50 per evening	
143½	Elementary Night at $3.50 per evening	
	SUBSTITUTES "B"	
144	Day Elementary at $6.50 per day	
145	Junior High and Continuation at $8 per day	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Annual Rate
146	Senior High (day) at $9 per day.....	
147	Senior High (evening) at $4.50 per evening	
147½	Elementary Night at $4 per evening...	
148	Teacher, Public Speaking, at $4 per lesson for each two-hour lesson.....	

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
150	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....$	75
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day.....	
153	1	A156	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day.	
154	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day.....	
155	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	1	B216	Clerk (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at $5 per day	
171		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator, as needed, $5 per day	
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	82.50
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	80
184	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	67.50
185	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time)	65
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, $5 per day, 197 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, $2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
196	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	1	C102	Janitress	95
199½	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	138	C104	Janitor	155
201	6	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time)	16
203				
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
206	1	G302	Employment Clerk	215
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	75
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time)	50
211	15	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per evening (as required)	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
219				
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at $8 per day	
221	1	O58	Gardener, $6 per day	
222	1	O58	Gardener, $5.50 per day	
223	6	O58	Gardener, $5 per day	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224			2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225			1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226			3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227			1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Reconciliation with department request. Item 167½ *retitled to conform to Civil Service classification.*

Section 86. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	$ 100
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System	$ 500
2	1	B222	General Clerk	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed)	200
6			Consulting Actuary (as needed), $50 per day plus transcriptions	
7		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed)	150
8	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
9			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
12	1	N410	Investigator	200
13		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at $15 per day plus transcriptions	
14			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board	

Section 88. Employment in any position herein established shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules if the funds appropriated for such purpose become exhausted or if the work for which the employment is created is completed. In those departments in which positions are established for the performance of interdepartmental service or in which positions are dependent upon contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, employment in such positions shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules upon completion of the service or fulfillment of the contract under which the service is rendered.

Section 89. If any section, subsection, item, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid such decision

shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion or portions of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Providing for Leasing of Incinerator Property on Kansas Street Near Army Street.

(Code No. 12.1737.)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 339, Ordinance No. 12.17371, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease the incinerator property on Kansas street near Army street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease the following described City owned land, together with the improvements thereon, situated in San Francisco, California, for a period not to exceed 20 years, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Kansas street, distant thereon 274 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street, and running thence southerly along said easterly line of Kansas street 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 225 feet; thence at right angles northerly 150 feet; thence at right angles westerly 225 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lessee may at his own expense remove and dispose of all incinerating equipment located on the above described land. Subject to the approval of the Director of Property, the lessee may make any alterations or additions to the building to suit the purposes for which the lessee desires to use the building. Provided there are no defaults in the payment of the rents, all equipment and fixtures installed on the leased premises by the lessee may be removed by the lessee within 30 days after expiration of the lease; otherwise such equipment and fixtures shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco upon expiration of the lease or upon the sooner termination thereof. Within a reasonable time after execution of the lease, the lessee shall repair the building on the leased premises, and during the term of the lease shall keep the improvements thereon in good repair, all at the expense of the lessee. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to incorporate any other conditions in the lease which he may deem necessary or desirable.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Providing for Payment of Fees for Building Permits, Moving of Buildings, Etc., in Quarterly Installments.

(Code No. 3.041.)

Also, Bill No. 340, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, entitled "An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard, or

for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," to provide for payment of annual fee in quarterly installments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimneys may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimney or chimneys; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any patent chimney or chimneys of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney or chimneys; and, provided, further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for cancelling said permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Changing Grades on Iowa Street Between Twenty-second and Army Streets and Certain Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 338, Ordinance No. 12.072211, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Iowa and certain other streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works did, on the 26th day of May, 1933, by Resolution No. 2547 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Iowa street between Twenty-second and Army streets, and certain other streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Iowa Street.

86 feet northerly from Twenty-third street, 21.49 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street northerly line, 20.70 feet.

Twenty-third street southerly line, 21.00 feet.

268 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.21 feet.

Westerly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 68 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 33.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 31.00 feet.
150 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 22.88 feet.
Twenty-sixth street intersection, 11.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Indiana Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 18.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-third street, 14.00 feet.
300 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 16.83 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 24.50 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 26.35 feet.
Easterly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.
Westerly line of, 65 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.
Easterly line of, 120 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 25.85 feet.
Westerly line of, 89.57 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 27.57 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.
Army street, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Minnesota Street.

466 feet southerly from Twenty-second street, 16.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-third street, 13.00 feet.
Westerly line of, 433 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 10.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fourth street, 10.00 feet.
50 feet southerly from Twenty-fourth street, 10.92 feet.
100 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 15.53 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 16.97 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 19.11 feet.
Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.
Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 18.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 20.86 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 10.00 feet.
Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Tennessee Street.

Twenty-third street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Twenty-fourth street, 9.00 feet.
50 feet northerly from Twenty-fifth street, 10.94 feet.
Easterly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 11.00 feet.
Westerly line of, at Twenty-fifth street, 12.20 feet.
Easterly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 70 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 11.86 feet.
Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Twenty-fifth street, 13.86 feet.
Twenty-sixth street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third Street.

Tennessee street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 13.00 feet.
Indiana street, 14.00 feet.
Northerly line of, at Iowa street, 20.70 feet.
Southerly line of, at Iowa street, 21.00 feet.
70 feet westerly from Iowa street, 36.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street, 9.00 feet.
Minnesota street easterly line, 10.00 feet.

Twenty-fifth Street.

Third street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Tennessee street easterly line, 11.00 feet.
Tennessee street westerly line, 12.20 feet.
78 feet westerly from Tennessee street, 13.70 feet.
Minnesota street easterly line, 16.97 feet.
Minnesota street westerly line, 19.11 feet.
Indiana street easterly line, 24.50 feet.
Indiana street westerly line, 26.35 feet.
Iowa street easterly line, 31.00 feet.
Iowa street westerly line, 33.00 feet.
50 feet westerly from Iowa street, 35.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-sixth Street.

Tennessee street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Minnesota street, 10.00 feet.
100 feet westerly from Minnesota street, 10.50 feet.
Indiana street, 10.00 feet.
Iowa street intersection, 11.00 feet.

On Iowa street between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 86 feet northerly therefrom; on Indiana and Minnesota streets between Army street and a line parallel with Twenty-third street and 400 feet northerly therefrom; on Tennessee street between Twenty-third street and Twenty-sixth street; on Twenty-third street between Tennessee street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 70 feet westerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth street between Third street and Minnesota street; on Twenty-fifth street between Third street and a line parallel with Iowa street and 50 feet westerly therefrom; and on Twenty-sixth street between Tennessee street and Iowa street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Reducing Sidewalk Widths in Diamond Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 342, Ordinance No. 12.073114, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1131.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in

accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 20, 1933, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1131, to read as follows:

Section 1131. The width of sidewalks on Diamond street between Surrey and Sussex streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed*:

Emergency Appropriation Out of Appropriation No. 6 for Payment of Expense Incurred by Board of Supervisors and City Attorney in Their Attendance at Session of the State Legislature, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 353, Ordinance No. 9.05171, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $1,400 from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the purpose of meeting certain expenses incurred by the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney in their attendance at the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California relative to various bills and measures affecting the interests of the City and County of San Francisco and declaring that this measure is an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors the sum of fourteen hundred ($1,400) dollars, which said sum is to be used and expended under the direction of the chairman of said Finance Committee for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the Legislative Committee of the Board of Supervisors and of the City Attorney incident to their attendance upon the 1933 session of the Legislature of the State of California in reference to various bills, laws and measures affecting the City and County of San Francisco, two hundred ($200) dollars, of which said sum so appropriated shall be paid to the League of California Municipalities in payment of the membership dues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote under and by which this ordinance is enacted declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, which said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That it is necessary for the uninterrupted government of the City and County of San Francisco and in order to comply with the time limitation for the appropriation of funds for the current fiscal year that this ordinance be finally passed and become effective before the first day of July, 1933, and that sufficient time did not intervene between the date of the introduction of this ordinance and the said first day of July, in order that the same should become effective other than as an emergency measure.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher,

Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property and State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 857, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1929 Real Estate Roll, Lots 10 and 11, Block 841, are assessed as City property and taxed for $274.22. Being City property this tax is in error and should be cancelled.

Lot 26, Block 930, is assessed to Veterans' Welfare Board and taxed for $45.32. Being State property this tax is in error and should be cancelled.

The following property now standing of record in the name of the regents of the University of California was assessed in the year 1929 in the names of the original owners and was sold for delinquent taxes of 1929. Being State property these sales should be cancelled:

Lot 1, Block 299, M. Hertzstein, Sale 105, $3,809.58, 1929.

Lot 3, Block 2634A, R. V. Morbio et al., Sale 3854, $34.32, 1929.

And the City Attorney, having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—Property Veterans' Welfare Board.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 858, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1930-31 Real Estate Roll Lot 21, Block 4098-4133, was assessed to John J. Murray for $66.66 and sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1930.

This is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board acquired by deed of July 19, 1930. Being State property, this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Re-Assessment—Property of Veterans' Welfare Board.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 859, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932-33 Real Estate Roll Lot 4B, Block 2324, re-assessed to Martin Smith for the year 1931-32, amount $90.90.

This is now property of the Veterans' Welfare Board, acquired by deed recorded March 14, 1931. Being State property, this re-assess-

ment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said re-assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 860, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed in and subsequent years in the names of the original owners and was sold for the d quent taxes of 1928. Being City property, these sales and assessments should be celled:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1928*	*1929*	*1930*	*1*
7	33	1061	Chas. Martin	352	$129.10	$65.40	$67.06	
32	19A	5392	C. W. Senk, Jr., et al.	2128	12.28	12.22	12.52	
	22		S. Papino	2130	9.90	5.92	6.06	$
	29 & 30		M. McCann	2134	5.94	11.82	12.12	
37	10-11	6188	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2599-60	2.36	2.36	2.44	
	13	6265	Jas. Bole	2636	2.78	paid	2.82	
40	11	6661	S. J. Friedman	2819	41.78	83.14	44.84	
	12		E. Mullani	2820	63.76	45.70	46.86	
41	11	6747	C. J. & J. J. Powers..	2922	49.50	49.26	50.50	
43	2	7128	Aug. Lang, Jr.	3289	1.98	3.94	4.04	

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sales and assessments in cordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Sheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 861, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now st ing of record in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed the year 1929 and subsequent years in the names of the original owners and sol the State for delinquent taxes of 1929. Being City property these sales and assessm should be cancelled:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1929*	*1930*	*19*
1	8	40	A. W. Furbush	3	$ 23.25	$	$
3	3	407	N. W. Pacific R. R. Co.....	123	9.85		
	1 & 3	408	N. W. Pacific R. R. Co.....	124-5	29.55		
	1	573	Tillman Estate Co.	196	583.52		
11	14	1574	L. H. Schutt		22.06	22.62	2
13	1-2						
	18 to 26		Sterling Realty Co.	811 to 21	244.88		
	41		L. Belli	822	29.56		
	44 to 51		Sterling Realty Co.	823-30	128.68		
14	1-1A to E	1791	Joseph Estate Co.	883 to 905	375.30		
	3-3A to P		Same				

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1920	1930	1931
3Q-R		Same	906 to 16	209.82		
4-9 to 16		Same				
9	1858	J. T. & J. Renstrom	989	25.22		
1-1A to E	1882	Joseph Estate Co.	1010 to 24	81.03		
3 to 11		Same				
12 to 34		Same	1025 to 47	120.47		
1 to 11	1907	W. F. Altvater	1067 to 77	55.00		
12 to 36		Same	1078 to 1102	121.61		
37 to 52		Same	1103 to 18	79.65		
1	2098	M. & E. Dowell	1243	6.70		
24		A. W. Brouillet	1244	15.76		
2	2156	L. Whitmore	1285	252.16		
1	2313	G. Neary	1345	84.71		
3	2365	Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.	1430	15.76		
20		A. T. Maxwell	1435	30.34		
21		E. Sugarman	1436	7.88		
7	2387	E. & J. T. Sullivan	1469	4.93		
10-11-12		Sol Getz & Sons	1470 to 72	29.58		
13		John Carrig	1473	9.86	10.10	
14		A. & A. Tilthuth	1474	9.85		
14A		C. D. Leit	1475	13.80		
20		Same	1476	11.82		
21		J. & J. Harris	1477	18.72		
22-23		A. & E. Elvin	1478-9	27.60		
24		Salarac Land Co.	1480	13.80		
25		City Title Ins. Co.	1481	13.80		
26		C. & M. McPhee	1482	13.80		
6	2389	C. & E. Aldenburg	1489	44.52		
7		W. & M. Edwards	1490	78.02		
14		K. C. Hyde	1491	14.98		
26		Salarac Land Co.	1492	14.98		
40		F. T. Bell	1495	35.46		
42	2389	Ida Davis	1497	37.44		
13	2832	Ellen Buckley	1725	6.30		
½ of 1	2848	W. A. Enemark	1733	8.66		
Same		J. J. James Co.	1702	9.06		
14-15	2870	H. & W. Dessin	1752	3.94		
4	2871	W. S. Hyde	1756	15.76		
11	3514	B. Thompson	2042	96.14		
12		M. Brady	2043	234.44		
14		A. Creyer	2044	106.18		
15		C. Sullivan	2045	185.96		
16		E. Erigiro	2046	87.67		
17		A. Cuneo	2047	95.55		
23	3587	E. Mason	2105	138.30		
24		G. Kirkpatrick et al.	2106	55.56		
3-4	3925	Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.	2209-10	443.45		
	4163					
19	4196	R. McGrath	2268	15.38		
11	4164					
	4195	A. Carr et al.	2269	7.88		
19		C. & N. Skow	2270	9.86		
20	5375	Title Ins. & Guar. Co.	2439	2.36		
25	5465	S. G. Camp	2473	1.18		
34		E. & H. DeHertel	2474	53.20		

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1929	1930	193
	9	5474	E. Schmelter	2479	13.40		
	10		H. L. Werner	2480	39.00		
	13		C. E. Hoppe	2481	29.56		
	14		M. A. Natchke	2482	11.82		
	1 to 4	5548	A. & E. Elvin	2519	58.70		
34	23-24	5614	P. L. Schlessinger	2544½	5.92		
	35		Same	2545	1.58		
	49A	5615	J. P. Wall	2547	15.76		
	9	5620	P. L. Schlessinger	2556	2.36		
	49		Same	2557	3.16		
	4 to 10	5622	Same	2558	16.54		
	22 to 26		Same	2559	11.82		
	27	5623	Same	2560	2.36		
	32-33	5628	C. Neilsen	2572	3.94		
	17	5641	H. Stivaleze	2581	19.30		
35	25	5707	S. Franza	2628	34.68		
40	13	6657	F. O. Carlsen		242.31		
41	3	6777	Title Ins. & Guar. Co.		6.90		
42	10A	7035	L. E. Goodman	3628	3.94		
43	9	7096	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	3730	1.98		
	11A		N. P. Gavassino et al.	3733	1.18		
	17	7096	Moneta Investment Co.	3734	11.82		
	25	7115	M. F. G. Fox	3772	13.00		
	18-19	7117	J. & S. Burnham	3775	7.09		
	12	7125	G. Accornero	3789	3.94		
	2	7136	S. & E. Reilly	3801	1.98		
	19A		A. Coletti	3802	.78		
	22 to 27		H. S. Cohn	3803	9.46		
	36		A. Balognesi	3804	1.98		
	6 & 7	6107	A. M. Rolph	2925	4.73	9.70	
	3	6136	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	2937	8.28	8.48	
	1	6232	Same	3003	7.88	4.04	
	54		Same	3007	3.16	3.24	

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sales and assessments in cordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Sheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Cancellation of Erroneous and/or Defective Assessments—Personal Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 862, as follows:

Whereas, there is in the custody of the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco the Assessment Roll of said City and County covering Personal Property, the taxes on which were not collected by the Assessor of the said City and County; and

Whereas, the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco has filed with the said Tax Collector a detailed statement setting forth certain erroneous and/or defective assessments made in connection with said Personal Property assessments; and

Whereas, Section 3804A of the Political Code of the State of California provides for the cancellation of erroneous and/or defective assessments upon order of the Board of Supervisors, with the written consent of the City Attorney; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has indicated in writing his consent to the cancellation of the erroneous and/or defective assessments set forth in detailed statement filed by the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco with the Tax Collector; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco be, and hereby he is, authorized and instructed to cancel from said Assessment Roll of Personal Property all assessments set forth in detailed statement of erroneous and/or defective assessments as filed by the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco with the said Tax Collector.

Requested by the Assessor.

Approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Fixing Time (July 3 to 17, 1933) for Examination of Assessment Books and for Sitting as Board of Equalization.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 863, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Tuesday, July 3, 1933, at 2 p. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1933 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 17th, 1933, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Authorizing Designation of Employees of Assessor's Office as Deputy Clerks of Board of Supervisors While Acting as Board of Equalization.

(Code No. 1.0621.)

Also, Resolution No. 864, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized to designate such employees of the Assessor's office as may be necessary as deputy clerks of this Board of Supervisors during the time of the meeting of the Board of Equalization to perform duties in connection therewith.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Rule for Notifying Those Arbitrarily Assessed.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 865, as follows:

A list of all persons resident of the City and County of San Francisco who have failed to file with the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco a statement under oath setting forth specifically all real and personal property not exempt from taxation owned by each of said persons on the first Monday of March, 1933, at 12 o'clock noon of said day, after demand therefor was made by said Assessor, having been filed with the Board; be it

Resolved, That each of the aforesaid persons who have failed to file said statement, be and he or she is hereby required to file with the Clerk of the Board within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all the property owned or controlled or in the possession of said person on the first Monday of March, 1933; and be it

Further Resolved, That notice to all of the said persons herein referred to, be given by the publication of a notice in the official newspaper, which said notice shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit:

"To each individual person who has failed to file with the Assessor a verified statement setting forth all the real and personal property not exempt from taxation, owned by such person or in his possession or under his control at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1933.

"You and each of you are hereby required to file with the undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all of the property owned or controlled by you, or under your possession at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday in March, 1933.

"Dated ....., 1933.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN,

"Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $750 Out of 1923 School Bond Fund for Property Required for Matt I. Sullivan School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 866, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from The Western Pacific Railroad Company to the southerly 25 feet of Lot 15, Assessor's Block 4068, San Francisco, subject to an easement for a railroad tunnel, which land is required for the Matt I. Sullivan School; and that the sum of $750 be paid for said land from the 1923 School Bond Fund.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 34419 (New Series), adopted by this Board on May 18, 1931, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $525 for the Payment of Salaries of Three Restaurant Inspectors, at Rate of $175 Per Month, for Month of June, 1933; Declaring the Existence of an Emergency; and Repealing Ordinance No. 19.0716.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 354, Ordinance No. 9.05172, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $525 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1932-1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service—Board of Health), for the payment of salaries of three restaurant inspectors, for the month of June, 1933, at the rate of $175 per month each; declaring the existence of an emergency; and repealing Ordinance No. 19.0716.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $525 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation No. 157 (Personal Service—Board of Health), for the payment of salaries of three restaurant inspectors, for the month of June, 1933, at the rate of $175 per month, each.

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure, and

the Board of Supervisors by its vote, under and by which this ordinance is enacted, declares that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective prior to July 1, 1933, in order to comply with the time limitation for the appropriation of funds for the current fiscal year, and for the continuing of uninterrupted service by the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 19.0716 be and is hereby repealed.

Requested by Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Properties From Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association for Playground Purposes. Payable for Out of Recreation Department Funds.

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Education, Park and Recreation Committee.

(Code No. 12.1714.)

Resolution No. 867, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Commission, the City and County of San Francisco enter into a written agreement with H. W. Steinegger for the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described land, or a portion or portions thereof, situated in San Francisco, California, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Arguello boulevard with the northerly line of Edward street; and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Arguello boulevard 427.373 feet; thence easterly in a straight line parallel to the northerly line of Edward street and the easterly extension thereof, 591.18 feet; thence southerly at right angles 475.14 feet to the easterly extension of the southerly line of Edward street; thence westerly along last named extension, 210 feet, more or less, to the boundary line of property now or formerly owned by Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association; thence northwesterly along said boundary 60.3 feet, more or less, to an angle point in said boundary, which point is also on the northerly line of Edward street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Edward street, 391.302 feet to the point of commencement.

Said right to purchase said property, and to use the same for recreational and other purposes prior to the acquisition thereof shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in the written agreement therefor prepared by the Director of Property, and approved by the City Attorney and the Recreation Commission and this day presented to this Board for its approval; and be it

Further Resolved, That said written agreement as presented be and the same is hereby approved, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon said agreement becoming effective, that a sum not exceeding $30,000 be paid, to be paid out of the funds of the Recreation Department, for the tract of land described as Parcel 1 in said agreement, containing 150,000 square feet more or

less of the land hereinabove described, and that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to said Parcel 1.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Canepa moved that the following resolution be carried on the calendar but action postponed pending result of Mayor's conference on Federal aid:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Regulating the Use of Public Rooms in Hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 278, Ordinance No. 11.0002, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the use of public rooms in hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the words "public rooms," for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be deemed to include any lobby, hallway, mezzanine, foyer, public rest or sitting rooms, or any other place in a hotel used in common by the public and guests of a hotel.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to linger, loiter, sit, or stand in any public room in any hotel in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person to use any public room in any hotel for business purposes or social purposes in violation of the expressed direction of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 4. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not to exceed fifty dollars

($50), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Leave of Absence, Judge Leo A. Murasky.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 20, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Judge Leo A. Murasky for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, beginning July 1, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 868, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Judge Leo A. Murasky is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 21, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing July 15, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 869, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 15, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Philip L. Bush, Member of Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 22, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing June 27th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 870, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing June 27, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OF REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Leave of Absence, George R. Reilly.

June 26, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. George R. Reilly, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1st.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 871, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. George R. Reilly, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Death of Halsey E. Manwaring, Managing Director of Palace Hotel.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented the following, which was *unanimously adopted by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 882, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco learns with deep regret

of the passing of Halsey E. Manwaring, managing director of the Palace Hotel.

Mr. Manwaring was a good citizen of San Francisco, and devoted his superb talents to the benefit of the city and its people; he participated in all movements to improve conditions of the people and the municipal government; he made a host of loyal friends whose affection he gained and held during his life in this city. His death is a distinct loss.

The Board of Supervisors adjourned this day in respect to his memory.

Repealing Resolution No. 735, Code No. 1.04, Providing for Messrs. Dewing, Weaver and Williams to Report Proceedings of Board and Its Committees.

(Code No. 1)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 880, as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 735, adopted April 17, 1933, entered into agreement with Messrs. Dewing, Weaver and Williams, shorthand reporters, to report the proceedings of the Board and its committees, said agreement to be in full force and effect until further order of this Board; and

Whereas, the fund from which the above-named shorthand reporters will be paid is now depleted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Resolution No. 735, Code No. 1.04, is hereby repealed.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Transfer of Water Department Funds.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

June 26, 1933.

The Hon. The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In conformity with Section 129 of the Charter, which relates to utility surpluses, request is hereby made that your Board authorize the transfer of $93,000 from the San Francisco Water Department Surplus to the credit of the General Fund 1932-33. A copy of a letter from the Manager of Utilities is appended for your further information.

When the revised estimates of revenues applicable to the General Fund were summarized as at January and March, 1933, the above-mentioned $93,000 was included in the total amount to accrue from utility surpluses. The method of procedure is in strict consonance with Charter law.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY,
Controller.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF SAN FRANCISCO.
Office of Manager of Utilities.

June 24, 1933.

Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Referring to the San Francisco Water Department surplus:

It is now estimated that the surplus as of June 30, 1933, will be $818,000 instead of $725,000 as heretofore advised you.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,
Manager of Utilities.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved for a postponement of one week for investigation and possible reduction in water rates.
No second.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Transferring $93,000 from the San Francisco Water Department to the Credit of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1932-1933.
(Code No. 9.052.)

Resolution No. 874, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $93,000 be and is set set aside and transferred from the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1932-1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Fixing Highest Prevailing Wage Scale.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 26, 1933.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Ordinance No. 8995 provides that on or before the first Monday in January and July the Board of Supervisors shall determine the highest general prevailing wages paid in private employment and shall fix not less than the highest general prevailing wage rates for work performed for the City and County under contracts during the following six months.

We recommend to your Honorable Board that you continue for the ensuing six months, the wage scales fixed by your Honorable Board during the latter part of December, 1932, for the first six months of 1933 with the following changes:

1—The classification and rate now reading "stonesetters at $11.00" changed to read "stonesetters, including granite curb at $11.00." This is necessary in order to fix the rate for curb setting.

2—Change rate for Housesmiths, Reinforced concrete, from $9.00 per day to $8.00 per day. This is in accordance with the recommendation of Mr. L. C. Dressler, Secretary of the International Association of Bridge, Structural, Monumental, Reinforced Iron Workers, Riggers and Machinery Movers, which organization has jurisdiction over this craft. Copy of his letter to our Commission is attached.

3—Incorporate the following language in the resolution: "Wherever welding processes are involved, the rate paid for such work shall be as herein fixed for the craft performing the work." This language is necessary to clear up an ambiguity now existent and has been agreed to by Mr. James Rickets representing the Building Trades Council, and Mr. John A. O'Connell representing the Labor Council.

Wages and living costs are tending towards higher levels. Both the Labor Council and the Building Trades Council join in the recommendation that the current scales be continued and we therefore believe that would be the proper course at this time. Senator Boynton of the Industrial Association advises over the telephone that his organization will offer no objection to such a recommendation. Mr. Fred Metcalf of the California Metal Trades Association believes the current scale too high but has no specific recommendations.

Respectfully,
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.
JAS. J. MAHER,
Secretary.

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BRIDGE, STRUCTURAL, ORNAMENTAL, REINFORCED IRON WORKERS, RIGGERS AND MACHINERY MOVERS.

Local Union Number 377, 200 Guerrero Street (Building Trades Temple), San Francisco, California.

June 15, 1933.

The Civil Service Commission, of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.

Attention: Mr. Henderson.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that the scale for (Housesmiths) Reinforced concrete, on agreement reached between the Reinforced Steel Jobbers, and Contractors, and Local Union 377, of the International Association of Ironworkers, after July 1, 1933, will be $1.00 (one dollar) per hour, instead of $1.12½ per hour, as is now fixed for the period January first to July first, 1933.

Attached please find photostatic copy of wage scale agreement between the majority of the above-mentioned parties.

It is my understanding that the Pacific Coast Steel Company will verify by phone, their willingness to abide by this scale.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) L. C. DRESSLER,
Secretary.

San Francisco, California, May 26, 1933.

To Whom It May Concern:

We, the undersigned Reinforcing Steel Jobbers, being the majority of the Reinforcing Steel Distributors in the Northern California Territory, hereby agree that on work let on and after July 1, 1933, to pay the Journeymen Housesmiths employed on this type of work at the rate of One Dollar ($1.00) per hour, and which rate shall continue in effect until changed by mutual agreement

Yours very truly,

CONCRETE ENGINEERING COMPANY.
By (Signed) F. E. Jennings.

GUNN CARLE & COMPANY.
By.....

W. C. HAUCK & COMPANY.
By (Signed) Walter C. Hauck.

McGRATH STEEL COMPANY.
By (Signed) William E. McGrath.

PACIFIC COAST STEEL CORPORATION.
By.....

SOULE' STEEL COMPANY.
By (Signed) Edward Soule.

TRUSCON STEEL COMPANY.
By (Signed) W. H. London.

W. S. WETENHALL COMPANY.
By (Signed) W. S. Wetenhall.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Fixing Wage Scale, Private Employment on Public Contracts.
(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 875, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as follows:

	Per Day	*Overtime Per Hour*
Asbestos workers	$ 6.80	
Bricklayers	11.00	
Bricklayers' tenders	7.00	
Carpenters and cabinet makers	8.00	
Cement finishers	8.00	
Compressor operators	10.00	
Engineers (derricks)	10.00	
Engineers (building material hoists)	9.00	
Electric workers (including fixture hangers)	8.00	
Elevator constructors	9.08	
Elevator constructor helpers	6.36	
Glass workers	8.00	
Hardwood floor men	8.00	
Housesmiths (architectural irons)	8.00	
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	8.00	
Iron workers (bridge and structural)	11.00	
Iron workers (derrick engineers)	11.00	
Lathers (except wood)	8.00	
Linoleum and carpet workers	8.00	
Laborers (building)	5.50	
Painters (structural iron work)	9.60	
Painters	8.00	
Model casters	8.00	
Model makers (plaster)	9.00	
Marble setters	8.00	
Marble setters' helpers	5.00	
Pile drivers and wharf builders	9.00	
Pile drivers' engineers	10.00	
Plasterers	10.00	
Plasterers' tenders	7.50	
Plumbers	9.00	
Roofers	7.20	
Sheet metal workers	8.00	
Steamfitters	9.00	
Sprinkler fitters	9.00	
Stonecutters	8.50	
Stonecutters (including granite curbs)	11.00	
Stone derrickmen	8.00	
Power shovel engineers (above ground)	10.00	
Power shovel engineers (below ground)	11.00	
Shovel firemen, watchmen or oilers	7.00	
Tilesetters	8.00	
Tilesetters' helpers	5.00	
Truck drivers (building materials)—		
Trucks of less than 2500 pounds	5.50	$ .90
From 2500 to 4500 pounds	6.00	.95
From 4500 to 6500 pounds	6.50	1.00
From 6500 to 15,500 pounds	7.00	1.15
From 15,500 to 20,500 pounds	7.50	1.25
Trucks of 20,500 pounds and over	8.00	1.35
Teamsters—		
One-horse team	5.50	.90
Two-horse team	6.00	.95
Four-horse team	6.50	1.00
Plow	6.50	1.00
Scrapers (two-horse and four-horse)	6.00	.95
Two-horse (lumber)	6.50	1.00
Trucks (two-horse)	6.50	1.00
Caterpillar tractor operators (with or without scraper), 50-horsepower and under	7.50	1.25
Shade and drapery workers	7.00	
Upholsterers	7.00	

Overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers, and bricklayers and tenders.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

METAL TRADES.

Field Rates—	Per Day
Blacksmiths	$7.20
Boilermakers	8.00
Boilermakers' helpers	7.20
Machinists	8.00
Machinists' helpers	5.76

MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

Cabinet workers and millmen	$5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Fixing Highest Prevailing Wage—Metal Trades and Others.
(Code No. 9.092.)

Resolution No. 876, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as follows:

Metal Trades.

Shop Rates	Per Day
Pattern makers	$8.50
Molders and coremakers	6.60
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	8.00
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	6.00
Diamond drillers	7.00

Street Work.

Asphalt rakers	7.00
Asphalt shovelers	6.00
Cribbers	7.00
Laborers, street work	5.50
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, dragline and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers and concrete mixers	10.00
Concrete finishers (manual)	8.00
Firemen (asphalt plant)	7.00
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 horsepower)	9.00

Culinary Workers.

	Per Week
Head cook (six-day week)	$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Shannon—2.

Completion of Sewer Projects, Bakers Beach, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor Under National Recovery Act.

(Code No. ———.)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 881, as follows:

Whereas, there is a serious health problem involved in the condition of the sewerage system as it exists in San Francisco at the present time; and

Whereas, because of lack of funds, many vitally needed sewer projects have been delayed, resulting in discomfort and a potential menace to the residents of the districts affected; and

Whereas, the Health Officer has condemned Baker's Beach and Aquatic Park as unfit for bathing beaches on account of the lack of adequate sewage disposal plants; and

Whereas, the City Engineer has prepared a list of necessary improvements to the sewerage system as follows:

Bakers Beach	$330,000
(Additional if land cannot be acquired in Presidio)	250,000
Marina District (Aquatic Park) and Yacht Harbor	480,000
Commercial Street Pumping Station	10,000
Fifteenth Street Sewer	190,000
Brannan Street Sewer	200,000
Sixth Street Sewer	162,000
Sixteenth Street Sewer	63,000
Lake Street Sewer	11,000
Visitacion Valley	30,000
Yosemite Avenue Sewer	75,000
Merced Lands Sewer	96,000
Third Street	67,000
North Point Main Outfall	90,000
Golden Gate Heights	20,000
Harding Park Golf Links	14,000
44th Avenue and Sloat Boulevard	20,000

Whereas, an opportunity is now afforded the City and County of San Francisco, under the National Recovery Act, to correct the unsanitary condition which obtains in the sewerage system, by borrowing money for this purpose from the Federal Government; and

Whereas, under the provisions of this Act, the City will receive 30 per cent of the amount borrowed as a gift from the Federal Government; and

Whereas, the early commencement of this work will put a great number of men to work; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as favoring the completion of the above sewer projects out of the loan from the Federal Government to the City and County of San Francisco, under the National Recovery Act.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Letter of Appreciation.

The following was presented by Supervisor Canepa, read and made *part of the record*:

123 Twenty-fifth Avenue, San Francisco, California,
June 22, 1933.

Gentlemen of The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Your beautiful tribute to the memory of our dear husband and father, brought consolation indeed, to our saddened hearts.

That a man whose beautiful soul, cast in such a fine mould, was held in such esteem by you—our civic leaders, is an honor we sincerely appreciate.

Thanking you,

In Sorrow,
JOSEPHINE LOUISE RYAN and FAMILY.

Re Attendance of Civil Service Commission Before Board of Supervisors Re "Political Activity."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 20, 1933.

To the Honorable Civil Service Commission, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Please be advised of the following motion made by Supervisor McSheehy and carried in the Board of Supervisors, Monday, June 19, 1933, to-wit:

"That the members of the Civil Service Commission be requested to attend meeting of the Board of Supervisors, Monday, June 26, at 3 p. m., and explain the purport of communication addressed to each member of the Board of Supervisors, and all departments, relative to the participation in politics of officials and employees of the City."

Yours very truly,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY,
Chief Assistant Clerk.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
City Hall.

June 21, 1933.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Responding to your letter of June 20th requesting the presence of the members of the Civil Service Commission at your meeting on June 26th to explain the purport of a communication addressed by this Commission to all officers and employees under date of June 14th, regarding the provisions of sections 157 and 5 of the Charter, prohibiting political activity, we reply herewith.

With the utmost respect we must refer you to sections 21 and 22 of the Charter, the provisions of which sections are pertinent to your request for an explanation and also to our action in sending the communication to which you refer.

The communication in question is "not relative solely to the affairs" of the Board of Supervisors (section 21). It relates to "administrative affairs" and to "administrative recommendations or actions" of the Civil Service Commission (section 22).

However, in a spirit of courtesy to your Honorable Board, may we say that our Commission intended the communication primarily as a warning to civil service employees not to engage in political activity for or against any candidate and it is particularly timely at this time with the fall election approaching. It is clear and concise and self-explanatory and we believe your Honorable Board will agree it requires no explanation as to purport or meaning. For your further information may we also say that it is our policy first to carry out the will of the people as expressed in the Charter and it is our practice

to call to the attention of the employees from time to time various provisions of the Charter as such action may be timely in order that the employees may be fully advised.

Very truly yours,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
HOWARD M. McKINLEY,
HARRY H. WOLFF,
WM. P. McCABE.

Attest: JAS. J. MAHER, Secretary.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION OF SAN FRANCISCO
151 City Hall

San Francisco, Cal., June 14, 1933.

To Officials and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Our attention has been called to a recent publication entitled, "Bulletin, San Francisco Federation of Municipal Employees—No. 1, Vol. 1," and it is claimed by protestants that this document violates the spirit, if not the letter, of section 157 of the Charter.

We, therefore, call to your attention the provisions of said section 157 of the Charter, which reads as follows:

"PROHIBITION OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY

"Section 157. Active participation in city and county politics, relative to the election or appointment of public officials, by civil service employees and eligibles of the city and county, is subversive of the best interests of the merit system and, therefore, persons holding positions in the classified civil service or on eligible lists for such positions shall take no active part in such political campaigns, or in soliciting voters, or in levying, contributing or soliciting funds or support, in each case for the purpose of favoring or hindering the appointment or election of candidates for city and county offices. Violation of the provisions of this section shall be deemed an act of insubordination and considered good cause for suspension or dismissal from position or removal from eligible list."

Your attention is also hereby called to the paragraph of section 5 of the Charter, which reads as follows:

"Any appointive officer or employee of the city and county who shall become a candidate for election by the people to any public office shall automatically forfeit such city and county office or position."

This information is now given in order that no official or employee in future may unknowingly, either directly or indirectly, violate any of the above provisions of the Charter.

Yours respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
HOWARD M. McKINLEY, President,
WILLIAM P. McCABE, Commissioner,
HARRY K. WOLFF, Commissioner.

Attest: JAMES J. MAHER,
Secretary.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, discussed the legal aspects of Section 21 of the Charter in re issuance of subpoena to compel attendance of Civil Service Commissioners to explain foregoing communication.

License Ordinance Delinquencies.

The following was presented and read:

Communication from Controller Leavy affording list of license ordinances that do not bear penalties for delinquencies in payment.

Referred to Police Committee.

Mayor Authorized to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Welcome United States Fleet.

(Code No. 5.92.)

Resolution No. 879, as follows:

Whereas, the United States Fleet will assemble in San Francisco Bay on August 12 of this year and will remain with us for a period of one week or ten days; and

Whereas, it is fitting and proper that a program of welcome and entertainment should be arranged for this occasion; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee whose function shall be to provide an appropriate welcome as well as entertainment for the officers and men of the fleet during their stay with us.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

In Memoriam: Hugh McBirney.

(Code No. 4.053.)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 878, as follows:

Whereas, on Monday, June 19, Hugh McBirney, well-known executive of the Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Company passed from our midst; and

Whereas, Mr. McBirney, a leader in civic and fraternal affairs, was greatly loved and respected for his high character and earnest endeavors for the benefit of mankind; and

Whereas, Mr. McBirney was a devoted husband, a loving father and an honest and upright citizen and his passing will be keenly felt by all who knew him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby tender its most sincere condolences to his bereaved widow and children, and that when this Board of Supervisors adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of Hugh McBirney; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of this Board and that another copy be sent to Mr. McBirney's family.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Vacation Period.

Supervisor Havenner moved that July and August be designated vacation period for members of the Board.

So ordered.

Report Requested from Water Department.

Supervisor Havenner moved that report be made to this Board, as custodian of relief funds, as to rules adopted by Water Department in shutting off water to consumers unable to pay by reason of unemployment.

So ordered.

Federal Aid.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication from Mayor (June 26) as to solicited aid under National Recovery Act and answer of D. H. Sawyer.

Referred to Finance Committee and notice of meeting to members.

Referred.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher on June 19, 1933, and *referred to the Public Health Committee*:

Directing the Clerk to Call for Bids for Franchise for the Disposal of Garbage.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 854, as follows:

Whereas, it appears that public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise for the disposal of garbage, refuse, waste, offal and debris by incineration or other method; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration of all garbage, refuse, offal, waste and debris as at present collected in the City and County of San Francisco, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for destruction he will charge the collectors or anyone depositing such garbage, refuse, waste, offal and debris, delivered to the incineration plant;

That the grantee of this franchise or privilege shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, under complete plans and specifications prepared by the City Engineer for the proposed building and plant;

That the proposed building and plant shall be constructed in accordance therewith, plans and specifications to be obtained from the said City Engineer by depositing fifty ($50.00) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such plans and specifications;

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished and designated by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free, to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise, unless it is purchased by the City and County of San Francisco during said term;

That the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for a sum to be fixed by the bidder in his bid, less depreciation, the amount of depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration, and the grantee shall, in the event of purchase by the City and County of San Francisco and operation by the grantee, pay an annual rental to be fixed by the Board of Supervisors in addition to any percentage as provided by the hereinafter mentioned statute;

That the purchase of the plant by the City and County of San Francisco shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege at the option of the City and County of San Francisco.

Or, as an alternative:

That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration of all garbage, refuse, offal, waste and debris as at present collected in the City and County of San Francisco, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for destruction he will charge the collectors or anyone depositing such garbage, refuse, waste, offal and debris delivered to the incineration plant;

That the grantee of this franchise or privilege shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, from his own plans and specifications, and the proposed building and plant shall be constructed in accordance therewith;

That the incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day;

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished and designated by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free, to the successful bidder, for the life of the franchise, unless it is purchased by the City and County of San Francisco during said term;

That the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for a sum to be fixed by the bidder in his bid, less depreciation, the amount of depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration and the grantee shall, in the event of purchase by the City and County of San Francisco and operation by the grantee, pay an annual rental to be fixed by the Board of Supervisors, in addition to any percentage as provided by the hereinafter mentioned statute;

That the purchase of the plant by the City and County of San Francisco shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege at the option of the City and County of San Francisco;

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the buildings, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build.

Or, as an alternative:

That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for a period not to exceed twenty-five years for the disposal of garbage, refuse, offal, waste and debris otherwise than by incineration; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the declared policy of this Board of Supervisors not to consider, and to reject, any proposals submitted for the disposition of garbage by the so-called "Dumping at Sea" method; and be it

Further Resolved, That each bidder shall state in his bid the price he will pay for this franchise or privilege if he erects the plant according to the plans and specifications of the City Engineer, or the price he will pay for this franchise or privilege if he erects the plant under his own plans and specifications, or the price he will pay for this franchise if other than by incineration. That the bid for said franchise or privilege shall be in accordance with all of the terms and provisions of "An act providing for the sale of street railroad and other franchises in counties and municipalities, and providing conditions for the granting of such franchises by legislative or other governing bodies, and repealing conflicting acts." Statutes of 1905, page 777; amended 1909, page 125; amended 1915, page 1300; and all terms and conditions set forth in said statute are hereby made a part hereof for all purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the sale of this franchise or privilege in accordance with the provisions of the said statute and with the terms of this resolution, said bids to be filed with the clerk not later than the ———— day of ———— ————————, 19—, at the hour of ———— p. m., and the ———— day of ————, 19—, at the hour of ———— p. m. is hereby fixed as the hour and date for the opening and consideration of said bids, the Board of Supervisors reserving the right to continue the consideration of said bids from meeting to meeting until such time as each bid is duly considered. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any and all bids if they believe the public interest will be subserved thereby. An ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective; and be it

Further Resolved, That the successful bidder shall file a bond running to the City and County of San Francisco with at least two good and sufficient sureties to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand ($300,000) dollars, conditioned that such bidder shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every term and condition of the franchise or privi-

lege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 29

Monday, July 3, 1933
Wednesday, July 5, 1933.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 3, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 3, 1933, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION PROCEEDINGS.

The Clerk declared that on Saturday the Arbitrary List of Assessments had been filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and that this morning he had received the Assessment Roll, which was opened for public inspection in the Registrar's office and where petitions and affidavits for reduction or correction of assessments will be received.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following were noted present:

Supervisor Havenner—1.

There being no quorum, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, recessed until the next legislative day, Wednesday, July 5, 1933, at 2 P. M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 5, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, July 5, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Power—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Breyer was elected to preside.

The Clerk explained that President Hayden was out of town, but would be present later.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of June 5, 12, 15 and 19, 1933, were considered read and approved.

Delivery of Assessment Roll.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

July 3, 1933.

To the President of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Sir: In accordance with the provisions of section 3652 of the Political Code of the State of California, the Assessment Book of the City and

County of San Francisco has been completed; and in accordance with section 3654 of the Political Code of the State of California, the Assessment Book has this day been delivered to the Clerk of your Honorable Board.

In accordance with the provisions of section 3633 of the Political Code of the State of California, there has been transmitted to your Honorable Board a verified report in writing, separate from the Assessment Roll, containing a list of persons who have failed or neglected to furnish a statement of their personal property in accordance with the provisions of section 3629 of the Political Code of the State of California.

The Assessor, in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code of the State of California, shall continue to collect during the month of July the personal property taxes due account statements filed with the Assessor prior to the first Monday of July.

Yours very truly,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.

Arbitrary List.

July 1, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please find attached hereto a list of taxpayers who have neglected to make a return of their taxable personal property, together with the amounts assessed against each of this class of property.

This assessment is made under the provisions of section 3633 of the Political Code and does not represent the real estate holdings of these parties, or their investments in business, this property being separately assessed by this office. These arbitrary assessments include personal property only, and are in addition to any asessment levied against real estate owned by these parties.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.
By W. H. THOMAS, Supervising Appraiser.

Sale of Relief Bonds.

June 20, 1933.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. today, Wednesday, July 5, 1933, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Nine hundred and eighty thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 82 bonds of one-thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1946, inclusive, and 78 bonds maturing in 1947.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest

rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood and Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted June 19, 1933.

Bids.

The following bids were received and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by Malcolm S. Prosser; $980,343, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, and for all of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. $980,343.

2. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., Inc., Phelps, Fenn & Co., Dewey, Bacon & Co., by Halsey Stuart & Co.; $980,250, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, bonds to bear interest coupons as follows: $410,000, par amount being 82 bonds of one-thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1940, inclusive, to bear interest coupons at the rate of five per cent; $570,000, par amount, being 82 bonds of one-thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1941 to 1946, inclusive, and 78 bonds, maturing in 1947, to bear interest coupons at the rate of four and one-quarter per cent. $980,250.

3. R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co., Security-First Company, by R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager, by V. E. Breeden, $980,000, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery due as follows: $82,000 September 1, 1936, to 1939, inclusive, as 5 per cent bonds; $75,000 September 1, 1940, as 5 per cent bonds; $7,000 September 1, 1940, as 4 per cent bonds; $82,000 September 1, 1941, to 1946, inclusive, as 4 per cent bonds; $78,000 September 1, 1947, as 4 per cent bonds. $980,000.

4. The City Company of New York, Inc., Weeden & Co., by The City Company of New York, Inc., W. Wayne Glover, $980,000, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. The bonds shall bear interest at the following described rates: $482,000 par value, maturing $82,000 each year, September 1, 1936, to 1940, inclusive, and $72,000, 1941, shall bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent; $498,000 par value, maturing $10,000 September 1, 1941, $82,000 each year, September 1, 1942, to 1946, inclusive, and $78,000 September 1, 1947, shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent. $980,000.

5. Anglo California Company, First National Bank, New York, First of Michigan Corporation, Heller, Bruce & Company, Darby & Company, by Anglo California Company, per Paul B. Kelly, representative, $980,000, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, $770,000 par value, maturing $82,000 par value on September 1st in each of the years 1936 to 1944, inclusive, and $32,000 par value, maturing September 1, 1945, to bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent per annum. $210,000 par value, maturing $50,000 par value September 1, 1945; $82,000 par value September 1, 1946; $78,000 par value September 1, 1947, to bear interest at the rate of four per cent per annum. $980,000.

6. Guaranty Co. of New York and The First of Boston Corporation, San Francisco, by W. A. Ruxton, assistant manager, $980,392 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at 5 per cent per annum for

the maturities 1936 through 1941, inclusive, and 4 per cent per annum for the maturities 1942 through 1947, inclusive.

Thereupon, the Finance Committee retired to consider the foregoing bids, and subsequently returned and reported the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Award of Bids.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 888, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given, as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Relief Bonds, issue of 1932, to the amount of $980,000, would be opened and considered on Wednesday, the 5th day of July, 1933; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid Notice of Sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co., Security-First Company, by R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager, for said $980,000 Relief Bonds, issue of 1932; comprising 82 bonds of one-thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1946, inclusive, and 78 bonds maturing in 1947, be and the same is hereby accepted, and $82,000 of said bonds maturing September 1, 1936, to 1939, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $75,000 of said bonds maturing September 1, 1940, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $7,000 of said bonds maturing September 1, 1940, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $82,000 of said bonds maturing September 1, 1941, to 1946, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and $78,000 of said bonds maturing September 1, 1947, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co., Security-First Company, by R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager, for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $980,000, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Spaulding—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was taken up and *laid over one week*.

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.

(Code No. 11.0434.)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Real Estate Department to Collect Rents and Charge Fees Therefor.

(Code No. 12.161)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 346, Ordinance No. 12.1611, as follows:

Authorizing the Real Estate Department to collect rents and charge fees therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, the Real Estate Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to collect all rents due under leases of City-owned real property and improvements, except Water Department lands leased for agricultural purposes.

Section 2. To defray the cost of rendering such collection services and for managing the renting of such property, the Real Estate Department shall be entitled to the following fees, which fees shall be deducted from the rentals as they are received and be deposited to the credit of the Real Estate Department:

1. Rents up to and including $50 per month, $0.75 each per month.

2. Rents over $50 per month and not exceeding $300 per month, 3 per cent each per month.

3. Rents over $300 per month and not exceeding $1,000 per month, $10 each per month.

4. Rents over $1,000 per month, $15 each per month.

Section 3. For negotiating leases, the Real Estate Department shall be entitled to charge 5 per cent of the first year's rent or a mini-

mum charge of $5 for each transaction, which charges shall be deducted from the first month's rent and be deposited to the credit of the Real Estate Department, provided that the maximum charge to be made pursuant to this section shall be $50 for each transaction. In addition to the charges provided for in this section, there shall be paid to the Real Estate Department, by the department for whose benefit any lease is made, the cost of all advertising charges and other expenses incident to the making of such leases.

Section 4. All moneys received by the Real Estate Department in payment of rents, except for the charges mentioned in Sections 2 and 3, of this ordinance, shall be deposited in the proper funds to the credit of the various departments having control of the City-owned leased real property and improvements.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Retain the Necessary Help to Assist.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 347, Ordinance No. 1.06212, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, to pass upon requests made to said Board for reductions in assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francico, and authorizing the Director of Property to retain the necessary help to assist in said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1933-1934 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to select three expert real estate appraisers, as needed, and three expert building appraisers, as needed, and to enter into a contract or contracts with said persons to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in assessments.

Section 3. The amounts to be paid to said persons selected by said Director of Property for their services as herein provided for, shall not exceed the sum of $350 each, which said sums shall be payable by Service Orders issued by the Director of Property and approved by the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and charged against Appropriation No. 2, 1933-1934 Appropriation Ordinance; and there is hereby ordered transferred from said Appropriation No. 2, to the Director of Property, the sum of $200 to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain City-Owned Land and Improvements Under Certain Conditions.

(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Public Works, Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 341, Ordinance No. 12.17394, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain City-owned land and improvements under certain conditions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. When any department in charge of City-owned real property requests the Director of Property to lease on a month to month or shorter basis City-owned land or improvements having a rental value of $50 or less per month, and reports to the Director of Property that the property is not required for the purposes of the department, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to lease such property to the highest responsible bidder on a month to month, or shorter, basis. The Director of Property shall determine the rental value of such property, and is hereby authorized to execute such leases in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Before awarding a lease under the provisions of this ordinance, the Director of Property shall post notices for a period of three days, advertising the time and place of such proposed leases.

Section 3. All moneys received as rental from real property leased under this ordinance shall be collected by the Director of Property and deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the proper fund or funds. Within ten days after awarding, the Building and Lands Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be notified as to the terms and conditions of such leases.

Section 4. This ordinance shall not apply to the leasing of any parcels where the rental value is more than $50 per month nor shall it apply to the leasing of San Francisco Water Department lands for agricultural purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Providing for Sale of San Francisco Water Department Land in Alameda County.

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 349, Ordinance No. 12.172524, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain San Francisco Water Department land in Alameda County, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in Alameda County, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Public Utilities Commission:

The northwest quarter of Section 12, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, M. D. B. & M. Containing 160 acres.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property

shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Lease Certain Space for a Restaurant in the Administration Building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 350, Ordinance No. 12.73541, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain space for a restaurant in the Administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing to M. L. McDonald certain space, approximately 20 feet by 35 feet in size, comprising the southwest corner of the Administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California, together with certain equipment, for the purpose of conducting and operating therein a restaurant, lunch room, cigar stand, soda fountain and automatic vending and weighing machines.

Section 2. The term of the lease shall be for three (3) years beginning March 1, 1933. The rental shall be $50 per month, payable monthly in advance during the first year of the lease. Commencing March 1, 1934, the rental shall be $60 per month, payable monthly in advance. Commencing March 1, 1935, the rental shall be $75 per month, payable monthly in advance. This being the highest and best bid obtainable for the use of the premises.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Amending Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 302, Ordinance No. 12.2004, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), entitled "Granting to the Felton Company, its successors or assigns, permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a single spur track from a point on the existing spur track in the easterly half of Second street, about 212 feet north of the intersection of the easterly line of Second street with the northerly line of Townsend street, thence running along Second street in a northerly direction and entering the property of

the petitioners on the southeast corner of Second and Brannan streets," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 5147 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Amending Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), As to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 303, Ordinance No. 12.2005, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to Schmidt Lithograph Company and Hawley & Munson, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the present spur track of the Southern Pacific Company on the westerly side of Second street and distant 125.75 feet, more or less, southerly from the southerly line of Bryant street; thence on a curve to the right with a 250-foot radius for a distance of 31.75 feet, more or less; thence 28 feet, more or less, on a tangent; thence on a curve to the right with a radius of 146.19 feet to a tangent on northerly side of Bryant street and 20.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bryant street; thence on said tangent 158.5 feet, more or less; thence by a convenient curve to right into premises of Hawley & Munson on southerly line of Bryant street and 390 feet easterly of the easterly line of Second street, together with necessary switches, turnouts and crossings," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3766 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Amending Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 306, Ordinance No. 12.2008, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California, a corporation sole;

M. S. Eisner and M. Fisher Company, their successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Second street as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company in Second street, distant 35 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bryant street; thence westerly on a curve to the right 125 feet to the point of a reverse curve; thence westerly on a curve to the left 125 feet to a point that is approximately 23 feet at right angles southerly from the northerly line of said Second street; thence westerly and parallel to said line of Second street and crossing Harrison street to a point that is 192.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Harrison street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4086 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Amending Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Second Street Between King and Folsom Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 307, Ordinance No. 12.2009, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Jacob Z. Davis Estate Company, its successors and assigns, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

"Commencing at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the Southern Pacific Company on the west side of Second street and distant about 248 feet north, more or less, from the north line of Harrison street; thence northerly and parallel to the center line of Second street, distant 23 feet from the west line thereof, to a point 100 feet, more or less, south of the south line of Folsom street," *to permit the switching of cars on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour of the day and night, and providing that a flagman be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4209 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that cars may be switched on Second street between King and Folsom streets at any hour during the day and night, a flagman to be stationed at each street crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refunds of Taxes Collected on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 883, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be expended out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1932-1933, in payment to the following named; being amounts paid on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

(1) To Minna Alexander, the sum of $8.40. (To be found on Uncollected Roll for 1932, Vol. 16, page 6, line 16; assessed and paid in Alameda County, California.)

(2) To Vetra Russell, the sum of $2.22. (To be found on Uncollected Personal Property Rolls, Vol. 6, page 177, line 20, year 1932; assessed and paid for in Imperial County, California.

(3) To Willets & Patterson, the sum of $20.20. (Erroneous assessment of personal property; to be found on Uncollected Roll for 1932, Vol. 17, page 268, line 13.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Cancellation of Surety Bonds.

(Code No. 4.04.)

Also, Resolution No. 884, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller of the City and County, under date of June 23, 1933, advises that the Union Indemnity Company, surety on the official bond of Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Supervisor, has "gone into the hands of a receiver," and that New York Indemnity Company, surety on the official bonds of Supervisors Franck R. Havenner and Carl W. Miles "is insolvent," and further, that other surety bonds have been furnished, replacing the surety bonds by the companies heretofore mentioned covering said Supervisors; therefore,

Resolved, That the Union Indemnity Company and the New York Indemnity Company be and are hereby exonerated from further liability upon said official bonds as set forth; and

Further Resolved, That the official bonds hereinabove set forth by the Union Indemnity Company and the New York Indemnity Company be and the same are authorized cancelled.

(Requested by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Passed of Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Controller to Become a Member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and Appropriating $100 for Annual Membership Dues.

(Code No. 9.0419.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 356, Ordinance No. 9.04192, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Controller to become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Controller thereof become a

member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership not to exceed one hundred ($100) dollars be paid out of Appropriation No. 78 with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Authorizing the Chief of Police and Captain of Inspectors to Attend Meeting of International Chiefs of Police at Chicago, July 31 to August 3, 1933, Granting Them a Leave of Absence of Sixty Days From and After July 25, 1933, and Appropriating $1,000 for Expense of said Trip.

(Code No. 5.93.)

Also, Bill No. 357, Ordinance No. 5.936, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco and the Captain of Inspectors of the San Francisco Police Department to attend the international meeting of the Chiefs of Police and to represent the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco Police Department thereat and making an appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expenses incident to such representation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That William J. Quinn, Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, and Charles W. Dullea, Captain of Inspectors of the San Francisco Police Department, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department at the meeting of the international chiefs of police to be held at the City of Chicago from July 31 to August 3, 1933, both dates inclusive, and said Chief of Police and said Captain of Inspectors are hereby authorized and directed to attend said meeting and for that purpose to absent themselves from the City and County of San Francisco for a period not exceeding sixty days from and after the 25th day of July, 1933.

Section 2. That for the purpose of defraying the expenses of said Chief of Police and said Captain of Inspectors there is hereby appropriated to said Chief of Police from Appropriation No. 21, 1933-1934 Budget, the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars to be expended in accordance with Section 219 of the Charter and the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors heretofore enacted regulating the payment of traveling expenses of persons in the service of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Providing for the Amount Per Diem, Traveling Expenses for Officers and Employees of the City and County.

(Code No. 9.056.)

Also, Bill No. 358, Ordinance No. 9.0562, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties shall under the authority of law or ordinance leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County, or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Authorizing the Mayor to Become a Member of the United States Conference of Mayors, and to Represent the City and County in Said Conferences, and Authorizing Payment of Annual Membership of $100.

(Code No. 2.01.)

Also, Bill No. 359, Ordinance No. 2.012, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor to become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Mayor thereof become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of said City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said United States Conference of Mayors and to represent the City and County in said conference.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership not to exceed one hundred ($100) dollars be paid out of Appropriation No. 78 with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Referred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *referred to the Mayor's Conference on Federal Aid*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Approval of Map of Williams Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0821.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 887, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the widening of Williams avenue between Third street and Wallace avenue," approved by the Department of Public Works Resolution No. 2843, approved June 16, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and the parcels shown hatched thereon be and are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Williams avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 360, Ordinance No. 12.2010, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day or night, except from 7 a. m. to 10 a. m., and from 1 p. m. to 6 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 10 a. m., and between

1 p. m. and 6 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 361, Ordinance No. 12.2011, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from 7 a. m. to 10 a. m., and from 1 p. m. to 6 p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 a. m. and 10 a. m., and between 1 p. m. and 6 p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Leave of Absence, Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Health.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 29, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of six weeks, from July 17th to September 4th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

June 28, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: I have the honor to request leave of absence from July 17 to August 17, 1933, thirty days, with an additional leave of two weeks for vacation, making in all six weeks, returning September 4, 1933. Further request is made that I be permitted to leave the State of California and the continental United States.

Furthermore, I will be glad to submit medical certificate to cover reasons for this request, if desired.

Sincerely,

J. C. GEIGER, M. D., Director.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 885, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from July 17 to September 4, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Leave of Absence, W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 28, 1933.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for the period July 15th to July 31st, inclusive.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 886, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from July 15 to July 31, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OF REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Wages of Housesmiths.

The Clerk read a communication from the United Bridgemen, Structural and Reinforced Iron Workers and Riggers' Union, Local 31, requesting that the matter of wages for housesmiths on reinforced concrete work be referred back to the Civil Service Commission for further consideration.

Referred to Civil Service Commission.

In Memoriam: Gladys Sullivan Doyle.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 4.053, as follows:

Whereas, Mrs. Gladys Sullivan Doyle has passed to her Eternal Reward after a short life of good deeds done to many at numerous times and in various places; and

Whereas, the late deceased, although born to the best of the material goods of this earth, spent her life performing acts for the unknown and lowly and infiltrating them with the spirit of Christianity and love for fellow-man; and

Whereas, this beautiful spirit of love of the good and true was typically manifested in the monumental restoration of the Santa Cruz Mission in that city, perpetuating for all time in them her ideal of life, and tradition and worth; and

Whereas, the late Gladys Sullivan Doyle was above all else a most noble Christian woman, who loved children first and always; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deeply regrets the passing of the late Mrs. Gladys Sullivan Doyle, and deeply expresses sympathy and condolence to the widower, Richard E. Doyle, in this, his bereavement, and in that of the five little ones left him to rear and cherish; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this Board, and that another copy be forwarded to the family of the late deceased, Gladys Sullivan Doyle.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appointment of Building Appraisers for Board of Equalization.

(Code No. 9.05)

On motion of Supervisor Miles:

Bill No. 362, Ordinance No. 9.05173, as follows:

Authorizing the appointment of Building Appraisers to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors in equalizing the assessments in the City and County of San Francisco and making appropriation for the compensation of said appraisers and declaring that this ordinance is an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of assisting the Board of Supervisors in arriving at the true value of the improvements of the real property in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of equalizing the assessments of said real property and said improvements, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to appoint, in addition to the appointees authorized by Ordinance No. 1.06212, four expert building appraisers whose duty it shall be to aid and assist the Director of Property in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in assessments.

Section 2. That the amount to be paid to each of said persons to be appointed as aforesaid shall not exceed the sum of two hundred fifty ($250) dollars.

Section 3. There is hereby appropriated to the Director of Property from Appropriation No. 6, 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars to defray the compensation of said building appraisers.

Section 4. This ordinance is adopted by the vote hereinafter set forth as an emergency measure and in adopting said ordinance by said vote, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately. The said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

The uninterrupted operation of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization and the completion of the duties of said Board of Equalization as required by law within the limitation of time provided by the Political Code of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Spaulding—2.

Welfare Committee Meeting.

Supervisor Power announced that the Welfare Committee would meet tomorrow at 2 p. m. to consider Supervisor Miles' measure for the establishment of a Bureau of Registration of San Francisco's Unemployed, World's Fair, 1940, and regulation of circulating libraries.

Commending Controller Leavy and Department Heads for Financial Condition of City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.0419)

Resolution No. 892, as follows:

Whereas, the bid of R. H. Moulton & Co. Syndicate for $980,000 of unemployment relief bonds at an unusually favorable rate of interest again demonstrates the sound financial situation of the City of San Francisco and the excellent position of the city's credit; and

Whereas, great praise is due Controller Leonard S. Leavy and the heads of the various departments of the City and County government for this condition of affairs—San Francisco being the only large city in the United States which is not in the red; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the appreciation and commendation of this Board of Supervisors be extended to our Controller, Leonard S. Leavy, and to the heads of the various departments for the splendid ability and cooperation they have evidenced in achieving this unique and creditable distinction for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannan, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Death of Former Mayor P. H. McCarthy.

Supervisor Canepa called attention to the passing of former Mayor P. H. McCarthy and moved that the clerk prepare a suitable resolution of condolence to be sent to the family of the deceased and spread in the Journal of the Board and that a representative committee of the Board of Supervisors be appointed to attend the funeral.

Adopted by rising vote.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that on next Monday suitable memorial services commemorative of the death of former Mayor P. H. McCarthy be held in the chambers of the Board.

So ordered.

Amending Traffic Ordinance In re "Parking in the Financial District."

Supervisor Peyser called up his proposed amendment to the traffic ordinance relative to parking in the financial district, which matter was heretofore referred to the Police Committee, and moved that the subject matter be made a special order of business for 2:30 p. m. Aug. 7, 1933.

So ordered.

Abuse of Private Ambulance Service.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, calling attention to complaints that private ambulance services are alleged to be carrying the dead as well as the sick, and urging that the practice be condemned and corrected.

Sunset District Improvement.

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Communication from Sunset District Improvement Clubs, urging appropriations from Federal Aid for cutting through streets and leveling sand dunes in the Sunset District.

Referred to Mayor's Conference on Federal Aid.

Moving Picture License Fee.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from California Theatres Association, submitting proposed amendment to license fees for moving picture theatres.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Jefferson E. Peyser.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 5, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Jefferson E. Peyser, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing July 6, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.051)

Resolution No. 882, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jefferson E. Peyser, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 6, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange Dedication of Coit Memorial, Telegraph Hill.

(Code No. 24.051.)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 890, as follows:

Whereas, on October 19, 1924, Mrs. Lillian Hitchcock Coit, an honorary life member of the San Francisco Volunteer Fire Department, accompanied by a Mr. L. E. Green, one of the executors of her estate, visited Telegraph Hill and stated that she would leave sufficient money in her will for the purpose of erecting a memorial tower because she considered Telegraph Hill, with its elevation of 270 feet above sea level, the oldest and one of the most historical locations in the City of Saint Francis; and

Whereas, on the death of this generous, kind, loving and thoughtful lady she willed to the City of San Francisco one-third of her estate, the sum of $118,000, to be used for the purpose of erecting a memorial tower on the summit of Telegraph Hill; and

Whereas, contracts have been let under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission carrying out the purport of the will. On September 4th, Labor Day, this monument will be completed and will stand out as a beacon, ranging 450 feet over the sea and will be observed by all entering and departing from our City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee who will make suitable arrangements for the

proper dedication of this handsome gift so generously bestowed to the citizens of San Francisco by the late Mrs. Lillian Hitchcock Coit.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Allocation of Funds for Public Work Under National Recovery Act.

Supervisor Brown presented communication and requested information as to what was being done in the matter. The communication follows:

California State Chamber of Commerce.
Formerly California Development Association.

San Francisco, June 30, 1933.

Mr. Arthur Brown, Supervisor, San Francisco County.

Dear Mr. Brown: Representatives of the six Regional Highway Committees of the State Chamber of Commerce met in Sacramento yesterday with the chairman of the State Highway Commission, Mr. Harry Hopkins; Earl Lee Kelly, Director of the Department of Public Works, and State Highway Engineer C. H. Purcell, to discuss the allocation of funds coming to California under the National Industrial Recovery Act.

The Department of Public Works is now preparing a revised budget for presentation to the Highway Commission on July 7. This budget is being revised in order to comply with the provisions of the Recovery Act and the rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of Agriculture and a special Federal Board of Public Works.

The chairman and the director were of the opinion that all emergency funds should be devoted to the entire State highway system, comprising only the present 7,350 miles, but have agreed, during our meeting, to include the 6,600 miles recently added to the secondary system by the Legislature, in accordance with the provisions of the act.

This act is designed to quickly give relief to unemployment; therefore, the State Engineers are moving rapidly. If your Board of Supervisors has any projects to suggest for inclusion in the revised budget, you should forward your request immediately.

It was further agreed at this meeting that on projects which you suggest, and which are not in the State highway system, you will have to make a proper showing that the expenditure is justified from a sound engineering standpoint and be able to compete with other projects in the highway system. All requests should be made to Mr. Earl Lee Kelly, Director of the Department of Public Works, Sacramento. You should act at once—send your request to Mr. Kelly and forward a copy to your district office of the State Chamber of Commerce, so that we can support your request at the July 7 meeting of the commission, at which we will be adequately represented.

Sincerely yours,
OSCAR L. WILLETT, Chairman,
Central Coast Highway Committee.

Privilege of the Floor.

Clyde Healy, assistant City Engineer, being sent for, reported that he had attended said meeting of State Chamber of Commerce for Mayor's Conference, but that San Francisco was not officially represented. There was a lengthy discussion, he said, and Southern California had a strong delegation urging appropriations for primary and secondary highways in Southern California. He suggested that San Francisco send a live representative committee to Sacramento on July 7, at 10 a. m., when the next and probably the final hearing will be held.

Alfred Cleary, City Administrative Officer, and Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, were also heard.

Motion.

Supervisor Canepa, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved that the entire membership of this Board, as a committee of the whole, and the San Francisco delegation of the State Legislature be authorized and requested to attend meeting at 10 a. m., July 7, 1933, in Sacramento.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board recommend and submit these projects to the State Administrator for the National Recovery Organization in the order that they were submitted by the Chief Administrative Officer, with the proviso that these construction projects, which are embraced in joint highways in and out of San Francisco County, shall give preference to San Francisco labor in the same proportion as San Francisco's contribution to the cost of the project bears to the amount involved.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Canepa, final action on the foregoing matter was postponed to a recessed meeting of this Board of Supervisors tomorrow at 2 p. m.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until tomorrow at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 17, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 30

Thursday, July 6, 1933
Monday, July 10, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 6, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, July 6, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to motion to recess of July 5, 1933, for the purpose of considering the matter of the allocation of funds for public work under the National Recovery Act and pending motions relative thereto.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Gallagher, Hayden, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Spaulding—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Pending Motions.

Supervisor Canepa, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved that the entire membership of this Board, as a committee of the whole, and the San Francisco delegation of the State Legislature be authorized and requested to attend meeting at 10 a. m., July 7, 1933, in Sacramento.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board recommend and submit these projects to the State Administrator for the National Recovery Organization in the order that they were submitted by the Chief Administrative Officer, with the proviso that these construction projects, which are embraced in joint highways in and out of San Francisco County, shall give preference to San Francisco labor in the same proportion as San Francisco's contribution to the cost of the project bears to the amount involved.

Report of Chief Administrative Officer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Chief Administrative Officer.

City and County of San Francisco.

June 21, 1933.

The Honorable State Highway Commission, State of California, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to a meeting between your commission and public officials representing San Mateo and San Francisco counties, on June 16, in San Mateo County, verbal statements were made in regard to the highway needs of the peninsula. The response of your commission to those requests was very gratifying, and for that reason we are reducing to writing, for the benefit and guidance of your commission, certain details which could not, because of the time element, be brought out at the meeting.

There are three projects that we of San Francisco consider of major importance in the development of the highway system on the peninsula. It will be noted that all this construction lies outside the confines of

the City and County of San Francisco, in San Mateo and Santa Cruz counties, and, therefore, we are not selfish in our requests, nor are we attempting to serve our local needs alone.

Project No. 1 is the proposed widening of Mission street from our own county line through Daly City to Market street in Colma, where it joins the already completed State highway. This project is approximately 8,000 feet long, is a State highway and Federal Aid road and, for that reason, comes directly within the province of the Wagner bill, which has just been passed and signed by the President of the United States. It is immediately adjacent to a populous center where there is a tremendous amount of unemployment. Your commission, in conjunction with the town of Daly City, the County of San Mateo, and the County of San Francisco, is committed to the project.

The total cost is estimated at $850,000, which includes lands, buildings, and the necessary pavement. Virtually all the rights of way and buildings have been acquired, or the prices agreed upon, and the detail plans and specifications are complete, so that if an allocation of funds could be made, construction could start immediately. Your commission has already allocated, and we have spent through our Right of Way Department, approximately $200,000.

To recapitulate: This project is a State highway, a Federal Aid road, a link in our main peninsula highway. It is adjacent to a populous center with a tremendous unemployment problem. The work is of absolute necessity, as evidenced by the terrific traffic jam in this area on Memorial Day, May 30. We therefore respectfully request and urge that, in the allocation of any money that comes to your commission from the United States Government through Senate Bill 1712 (Wagner Bill), at least $500,000 be set up for the completion of this project.

Project No. 2: This is officially known as Joint Highway District No. 10. It is a functioning district composed of the State of California, through its Highway Commission, and the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco, to build a major highway from San Francisco County line through San Mateo County to a connection with the State highway at Burlingame, paralleling and lying approximately one mile west of the present State highway (Camino Real).

The first unit of this construction between the San Francisco County line and School street in Colma has been completed and is in use. This unit cost $180,000, one-third of which was paid by your commission. San Francisco County has completed its portion of this boulevard from the county line to Sloat boulevard, at a cost in excess of $600,000, paid by the taxpayers.

The second unit of this project, extending from School street to Colma proper, and costing $100,000, is ready for contract. The right of way has been acquired, plans and specifications are all completed, and work could be under way in thirty days if funds were available.

The third unit of this highway, 1.8 miles in length, has been surveyed, and about 40 per cent of the right of way has been acquired. The remainder is in three holdings and could be obtained on short notice. If this unit were completed, it would make a direct connection with Camino Real at Baden, thus separating the traffic in and out of the City and reducing congestion. This unit offers a fine location to use a maximum of hand labor in the grading operations.

The cost of this unit under normal contract conditions would be $375,000, but with a maximum of hand labor, it would cost about $500,000, a contract for this unit could be let within sixty days.

Because of the fact that your commission is committed to this project, and the further fact that immediate construction can be undertaken at a location where there is a tremendous amount of unemployment, it is recommended that the sum of $600,000 be set up for this project out of funds coming to you under the terms of Senate Bill 1712 (Wagner Bill).

Project No. 3 is another Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco, and is now included in and made a part of the Secondary Road System coming to your commission in August. On its trip of June 16, your commission passed over a portion of the country through which this highway will be constructed and, therefore, has first-hand information as to the condition of the present road system and the reason why a modern road of State highway standards is necessary. It must be reiterated that this road will connect with and be a part of the Coast highway that you are now constructing from southern California to Carmel, and it is, therefore, of State-wide importance. It has always been contemplated that this highway, extending from Santa Cruz to San Francisco, a distance of approximately 85 miles, would be built on the unit basis and, with this in mind, the directors of the district decided that the most important unit to be undertaken was the replacement of the existing road over Pedro Mountain, with a road around the mountain some three miles shorter on a good gradient with safe alignment. A contract for this unit was let, costing $110,000, but it has been held up by right of way difficulties.

The second unit is known as the Gionone Hill project in Santa Cruz County. Plans and specifications, and also surveys, have been completed for this unit and, as there are only three owners to deal with, no difficulty should be encountered in making an early start, provided that funds can be made available. The length of this unit is 6.5 miles, and with a 40-foot graded roadway and a 20-foot pavement, the cost is estimated at $350,000. The district, through its directors, could expand this program to any sum that might be made available, but at this time, however, and in order to start the work, it is recommended that an allocation of $500,000 be made, for with this amount and with funds that are now available in the treasury of the district, a good start can be made on the project.

Project No. 4—Golden Gate Bridge Approaches: It is essential that adequate approaches be provided for the Golden Gate bridge. The total cost of these approaches, including the widening of arterial streets leading thereto, and the extension of the approaches to the business center and outlying districts and to the peninsula highways on the south, is estimated to cost approximately $10,000,000.

Project No. 5—Trans-Bay Bridge Approaches: To provide adequate arterials from the Trans-bay bridge head on Rincon Hill connecting with the business districts and outlying sections and with the arterial highways of the peninsula, involving widening of various existing streets, is estimated to cost $12,000,000.

Projects Nos. 4 and 5 include the construction of the Broadway tunnel and various similar improvements which are necessary adjuncts to an arterial system connecting with the two bridges. The total estimated amount of $22,000,000 would take care of all of the street improvements in San Francisco which have been proposed in connection with these structures or as part of the general solution of the vehicular traffic problem.

Project No. 6: The extension of the Great Highway southerly from its intersection with Sloat boulevard to Sharp's Park in San Mateo County at an estimated cost of $3,500,000.

These projects have been listed in what is deemed the relative order of their importance, with due consideration given to the policy of the Government to favor immediate construction on undertakings for which rights of way have already been acquired and plans and specifications prepared. A plat of the various projects contemplated is hereto attached.

It is not expected that the amounts above listed can be made immediately available in their entirety, but we feel confident that your commission recognized that the Government's appropriation will be made primarily for the relief of unemployment and that unemployment is most aggravated in large centers of population. We, therefore,

have every confidence that your commission will allot to San Francisco its just proportion of any funds that will be made available by the Federal Government.

Respectfully,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Divisional Highway Association of San Francisco.

San Francisco, California, July 6, 1933.

To the Committee of Fifteen of the Citizens' Advisory Arterial Highway Development Committee.

Gentlemen: The Divisional Highway Association sends greetings to the Committee of Fifteen and desires to cooperate in forming and supporting a practical highway program. We, therefore, will offer for your consideration at this time certain units of the project which will involve a maximum of work and a minimum of property to be acquired.

The Divisional Highway Association, which meets monthly at the American Bank Building, 508 Castro street, was formed several years ago by the following clubs composed of property owners and business men, like yourselves:

Western Addition Improvement Association,
Divisadero Merchants and Improvement Association,
Upper Market and Castro Merchants Association,
Eureka Valley Promotion Association,
East and West of Castro Street Improvement Club,
Twenty-ninth and Castro District Improvement Club,
Upper Noe Valley Improvement Association,
Mission Park Improvement Club.

The Divisional Highway project is a plan for a new north and south thoroughfare through the center of San Francisco, connecting San Jose avenue, Alemany boulevard, Mission street and the Bay Shore highway with the Golden Gate bridge. It has the endorsement of a large number of citizens and organizations, including the Central Council of Civic Clubs and its constituent members, and was one of the projects recently requested by the City Engineer and the Board of Supervisors for inclusion in the State highway system. At present there is no north and south highway in the territory between the Bernal Cut and 19th avenue. By joining sections of Divisadero, Castro, Eureka and Diamond streets, a thoroughfare will result which will materially shorten the time of cross-town travel and connect several valleys now isolated, such as the Glen Park, Noe and Eureka valleys.

The proposed highway will pass through the center of population and also the geographical center of San Francisco and will be largely free from cross traffic. Incidentally, it will serve as a fire break. It will permit the development of transportation facilities into residential areas now inadequately served, thus increasing the assessable values. It has fine scenic possibilities and will afford an opportunity to carry out several important features of the Burnham plan of San Francisco.

The map inclosed herewith, made by our engineer, shows the relationship of the divisional highway to a typical highway plan of the City.

The divisional highway represents the combined wish of the aforementioned clubs. Although the association would like to see the entire project consummated at one time, it recognizes that this would require too great a proportion of the funds which will be allotted to the City. It also recognizes that there are other meritorious projects which should receive consideration at this time, such as the Broadway tunnel, the widening of Army street, the extension of Potrero avenue to Eighth street, the extension of Funston avenue across the park

to connect with Ninth avenue, and the Geneva avenue and Duboce avenue improvements.

The part of the divisional highway, which the association recommends for inclusion in your list, is the section from 23rd street south as far as San Jose avenue, a length of 5,980 feet. This will cost approximately $4,650,000, according to estimates by the City Engineer, and will connect San Jose avenue with Market street.

As 91 cents out of every highway dollar is spent for labor in one form or another, the association respectfully requests that the funds allotted by the Government be used for highway purposes and that all such funds be expended only for projects in San Francisco. It also suggests that only major projects be included in the program because such projects cannot be easily undertaken in any other way.

Yours very truly,
THE DIVISIONAL HIGHWAY ASSOCIATION,
By HENRY BECKER, President.

Department of Public Works, Sacramento.

June 28, 1933.

Mr. Clyde Edmondson, General Manager, Redwood Empire Association, 95 Post street, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Clyde: Your letter of June 23 requesting information on city cooperative projects received.

The question of providing funds for State cooperation with cities in the construction of through routes is one for decision by the California Highway Commission when it gives consideration to the revision of the highway budget. The preparation of the new budget is to be considered within the very near future in order that the work preliminary to advertising may be placed under way.

I am just in receipt of a copy of the rules and regulations for carrying out the provisions of Title II of the National Industrial Recovery Act and, under Section 4 of these rules and regulations, provision is made for the expenditure of not less than 25 per cent of all such funds to projects on extensions of the Federal aid highway system into and through municipalities. The total amount to accrue to California is $15,607,354, so that under our interpretation of this ruling at the present writing, approximately $4,000,000 would be expended within the cities of California.

The one-quarter per cent accruing to cities under the terms of Senate Bill 563 would be in addition to this amount and to any additional amount which the California Highway Commission might place in the budget for cooperation with the cities. With this $4,000,000 becoming available to cities and with the decrease in State highway revenues under the amount estimated at the time of the preparation of the budget, it is my belief that very little additional, if any, State funds should be made available for cooperation with cities, and the estimated revenues available for State highway purposes should be used for maintenance, construction and reconstruction of projects outside of the incorporated limits of cities.

With kindest personal regards, I am,
Sincerely yours,
EARL LEE KELLY,
Director of Public Works.

Mr. Henry Becker, president of the Eureka Valley Improvement Association, declared that he represented the Divisional Highway Association, consisting of ten improvement clubs of San Francisco, who are interested in building a highway across the City from Golden Gate bridge to the county line. He asked that this be included as one of the projects to be approved under the National Recovery Act. This highway, he said, will connect with Eureka Valley, Noe Valley and the valley back of Thirtieth street, affording rapid ingress and egress to those isolated districts.

Mr. M. C. McKenzie, president of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, said: "We should consider this problem as a whole. When the bridges are built we will have a very serious traffic problem to contend with. The first thing to do is to widen the streets downtown. The Engineer's office have prepared a splendid report on the subject. First should be Golden Gate bridge approaches and the widening of Van Ness avenue; second should be the Trans-Bay bridge approaches and the widening of adjacent streets south of Market.

Edna Laurel Calhan, property owner, favored going ahead with the plan prepared and recommended by Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer. After all, she said, it is not what San Mateo County requires, but what will give employment to citizens of San Francisco.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, stated in my recommendation to the State Highway Commission there was no thought of usurping the powers of this Board of Supervisors. I was asked to submit a program of necessary work to be done under the National Recovery Act and I did it, and I am now asking you to give approval to this plan. Not more than 50 per cent of the funds to be spent on Federal aid highways outside of cities. Not less than 25 per cent on municipal highway projects and not more than 25 per cent on secondary or feeder roads. I have been trying to cooperate with Federal Aid projects with recommendations that would give the maximum employment to unemployed citizens, and my recommendations Nos. 1, 2 and 3 conform to that idea. These recommendations correspond to the program that the Federal Government has mapped out. Nos. 1, 2 and 3 add up to $1,700,000. Approximately one-half of the appropriation which, according to the Federal Government, we expect to get. In reply to a query, he said that plans have been prepared for the Divisional highway at an estimated cost of $9,000,000.

P. Kelly, secretary, representing Van Ness Avenue Improvement Association, declared that he was interested in an improvement started in 1929 and fathered by Supervisors Gallagher and Hayden providing for the widening of Van Ness avenue. He requested that funds from the National Recovery Act be devoted to this purpose.

Stephen Malatesta favored the report of Alfred Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, as the best program of public improvements under the National Recovery Act submitted up to the present time.

Manley Harris, representing Motor Car Dealers and Van Ness Avenue Improvement Club. He said his thought was that San Mateo County could make its own application for extension of Junipero Serra boulevard and Ocean Shore boulevard and other improvements in that county and relieve an equivalent amount for work within San Francisco.

R. S. O. McMullen, representing Central Mission Improvement Club, was also heard.

Also George Gerhardt, secretary, Civic League.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner thereupon moved that it be the sense of the Board of Supervisors that the projects enumerated in the Chief Administrative Officer's report be presented to the Federal Government and State Highway Commission in the following order, to-wit:

First—Project No. 1, Mission street widening at Daly City;
Second—Project No. 4, Golden Gate bridge approaches;
Third—Project No. 2, Joint Highway District No. 10.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, there being no further business, the Board, at 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 10, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 10, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Power, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3:05 p. m.

Supervisor Canepa appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:55 p. m.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for approval.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Vote Cast at Special Election of June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 894, as follows:

Resolved, That at the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 27th day of June, 1933, the whole number of votes cast in the 798 voting precincts was 155,186.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power, Roncovieri—3.

Statement of Votes "AJ" Declared Record of Official Canvass of Special Election of June 27, 1933, on Constitutional Amendments and Bond Propositions.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 895, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AJ," Statement of Votes polled at special election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, June 27, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, June 27, 1933, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to each of such persons; also such statement shows the Constitutional Amendments, questions, and Bond Propositions voted on, the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against each such Constitutional Amendment, question and Bond Proposition, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for and against each such Constitutional Amendment, question and Bond Proposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power, Roncovieri—3.

Statement of Votes on "School House Bonds, 1933," Special Election of June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 896, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AJ," Statement of Votes polled at special election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, June 27, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the special election for the Bond Proposition, entitled "School House Bonds, 1933," which special election was called to be held and consolidated with State special election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, June 27, 1933, pursuant to the provisions of Bill No. 325, Ordinance No. 21.311 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; that said statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on such bond proposition, which whole number of votes was 133,269; that of said whole number of votes so cast, 81,851 were cast and counted in favor of said "School House Bonds, 1933" and 51,418 were cast and counted against said "School House Bonds, 1933"; that such statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the total number cast in each voting precinct therein; also such statement shows the whole number of votes cast for and against said "School House Bonds, 1933" proposition in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the number cast for and against such proposition in each voting precinct therein.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power, Roncovieri—3.

Registrar of Voters Directed to Transmit to Secretary of State Certified Copy of Statement of Votes of Special Election of June 27, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 897, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State, at Sacramento, California, a certified copy of the statement of votes of special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, June 27, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power, Roncovieri—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matters were taken up:

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. 371, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the

easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5464 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco, and Establishing the Boundaries of Said District, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

(Code No. 11.08.)

Bill No. 355, Ordinance No. 11.088, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected within the territory bounded by Washington street on the south, Fillmore street on the west, Van Ness avenue on the east, and by a line midway between Green street and Union street on the north in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, that no building for human occupancy within said district shall be more than eight (8) stories in height.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 8 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by

imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Communication from The San Francisco Real Estate Board.

July 7, 1933.

Honorable Victor J. Canepa, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Enclosed I am handing you a copy of a communication addressed to the City Planning Committee of the Board of Supervisors under date of May 9th, the original of which I understand has been misplaced. The letter is self explanatory and sets forth the views of the Real Estate Board on the matters referred to.

I trust that this letter will be formally presented to the Board at its meeting on Monday.

Yours very truly,
LESLIE E. BURKS,
Secretary-Manager.

The San Francisco Real Estate Board.

May 9, 1933.

Honorable Victor J. Canepa, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: This organization is much interested in a proposal now before the City Planning Committee to limit the heights of buildings to 105 feet in the district bounded by Van Ness avenue and Fillmore street and Washington and Union streets in this city.

A special committee of this organization has been engaged in a study of this problem over a period of some months and in our deliberations we have recognized certain important factors such as the preservation of sun, light and air, view, fire and earthquake hazards, architectural appearance, economic development and the general effect upon real estate values in the district.

Before entering upon this study we sent for and obtained copies of zoning laws, including height regulations in all of the leading cities of the United States and have obtained similar data on a number of the larger European cities. Much of this information is very interesting but little of it has proven to be of great service to our immediate problem because of the topography of the district affected.

It is our firm conviction that this is a question of height regulation and not one of height limitation. We feel that it would be a serious mistake to fix any height limitation which would discourage the construction of class "A" buildings in the district, and the proposed limitation of 105 feet would have this effect. We submit there should in all cases be a restriction of the cubic area of the building in proportion to the lot area and that set-backs be so arranged that sun, light and air would be protected by requiring a large lot for a high building.

This organization has devoted much time and thought to this problem and we are thoroughly convinced that this entire matter is an architectural problem and one which should be developed by a competent architect. We would therefore respectfully urge upon your committee the importance of employing at this time a competent technical expert who is qualified to solve this question under the supervision of your committee or that of the City Planning Commission.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) L. A. WEIDENMULLER,
Chairman Building Height Committee.

Communication from San Francisco Federation of Arts.

July 6, 1933.

To the Honorable the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Honored Sirs: The San Francisco Federation of Arts, comprising twenty-four organizations with a total membership of over eleven thousand, has given consideration to the proposed limitation of heights of buildings in the district bounded by Washington and Fillmore streets and Van Ness avenue and a line midway between Green and Union streets, and the probability that part or all of the remaining "First Residential" property within that district may be opened to apartment house construction.

This Federation is of the opinion that, until the existing "Second Residential" property within this district is fully developed, there is no justification for a further reduction of the "First Residential" zones therein.

With respect to the proposed regulation of heights of buildings in this district, the Federation notes with regret that the ordinance does not provide any safeguards against apartment houses robbing adjacent lots of adequate light and air circulation, beyond the present inadequate regulations of the State Housing Act. These standards have in the past resulted in a large percentage of apartments having insufficient light and air circulation. Consequently many apartment houses have proven to be economic failures as soon as they have been surrounded by other apartment houses. The overcrowded and disorderly effect of existing apartment house districts is furthermore most detrimental to the appearance of San Francisco.

It is the opinion of the Federation that by consultation with qualified experts, it will be possible to frame regulations which will permit the construction of apartment houses so regulated in building volume to the size of the lots they are built upon, that the occupants thereof will be guaranteed permanent adequate light and air, and at the same time there will be little or no detriment in these respects to the residents of adjacent single family dwellings. Such regulations can contribute much to the possibility of orderly artistic development of apartment districts.

The Federation is certain at any rate, that before this proposed ordinance in its existing form is passed, the advice of the architect members of the Art Commission should be sought as to the probable effects on the district the regulations apply to.

Respectfully yours,

The Board of Directors,
SAN FRANCISCO FEDERATION OF ARTS.

Irving F. Morrow (chairman), Mrs. Chas. N. Felton, Mrs. Arthur L. Bailhache, Albert M. Bender, Wm. Edwin Chamberlain, Laurance I. Scott, J. Francis Ward.

By J. FRANCIS WARD, Secretary.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. M. Hanley, Jr., attorney; M. Gray, representing Mr. Walter Martin; Mr. Archie Mooney, representing the Building Trades Council; Mr. Walter Martin, property owner; Mr. John Perry, representing ————————; Mr. Harry Jenkins, representing real estate; Mr. Theo Rulfs, 29 Pacific street, property owner, were heard in favor of the proposed zone change.

Jas. M. Hanley, Sr., attorney, was also heard in favor of the proposed change.

Those heard in opposition were the following: Mr. Jas. Ellis Tucker opposed legislation on the ground that it would destroy his view; Mrs. Scives-cos, property owner, 2020 Broadway; Elias Rhyne,

2040 Jackson street; Lloyd Dinkelspiel, representing Dinkelspiel & Dinkelspiel; Mr. Remalon, 2042 Vallejo street; Mrs. C. M. Wynn, 2050 Vallejo street; A. F. Morrison, 2000 Jackson street; Mrs. I. Bourne, 3020 Broadway, representing also Mrs. Jas. Otis and Mrs. J. Grant; Wm. J. Garren, 1529 Guerrero street; Miss Wheeler, representing Kate K. Wheeler, 2110 Broadway; Mr. Ashley, representing San Francisco Society of Architects.

Height Limitation Ordinance.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the Height Limitation ordinance was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Absent—Supervisors Power, Roncovieri—2.

Zone Change Ordinance.

Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the ordinance providing zone change from First to Second Residential of district bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green streets was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Power, Roncovieri—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.

(Code No. 11.0434)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized, due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00

North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Regulating the Use of Public Rooms in Hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 278, Ordinance No. 11.0002, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the use of public rooms in hotels in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the words "public rooms," for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be deemed to include any lobby, hallway, mezzanine, foyer, public rest or sitting rooms, or any other place in a hotel used in common by the public and guests of a hotel.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to linger, loiter, sit, or stand in any public room in any hotel in violation of the expressed wish of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person to use any public room in any hotel for business purposes or social purposes in violation of the expressed direction of the owner or manager of such hotel.

Section 4. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not to exceed fifty dollars ($50), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Emergency Positions Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.053.)

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 363, Ordinance No. 9.05340, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05339 by adding Items 38, 58 and 74 which items establish the positions of 1 Blueprinter at $215, 1 Mechanical Draftsman at $200 and 1 Traffic Checker at $175, respectively; amending Section 71 of said ordinance by adding Items 12½ and 13, which items establish the positions of 1 Civil Engineering

Draftsman at $250 and 1 Civil Engineering Draftsman at $240, and setting forth and declaring that the uninterrupted operation of the various departments concerned demands immediate action and declaring that an emergency therefor exists.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 47. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ENGINEERING.**

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	B312	Blue Printer	$ 215
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
40	1	B316	Photographer	265
43	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction	225
44	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
45	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
48	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
49	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
50	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
52	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
53	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
54	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
54½	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
55½	1	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
56	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	250
58	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
69	1	F554	Structural Designer	275
71	2	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant	225
72	1	F606	Instrument Man	225
73	2	F610	Surveyor	250
74	1	F654	Traffic Checker	172
78	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
79	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Employments as needed 1933-34 additional to employments covered by Proposed Emergency Ordinance.

Class No.	Title	Rate
*F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	$ 250
F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
F106	Architectural Designer	250
F314	Construction Engineer	300
F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	155
F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	250
F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	250
F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
F558	Structural Engineer	250

Section 71. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	$ 275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
3			Seasonal Clerical Service	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities	833
9		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
10		F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
11		F216	Maintenance of Way Engr., Mun. Ry.	300
12		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
12½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
13	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
17		F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
18		F260	Civil Engineering Designer	250
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	550
20		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman	200
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	275
22		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
23		F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
25	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer	650
28		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	250
29	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	330
31		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	250
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
33		F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	265
36		F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment	290
38		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	250
39		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
42		F518	Office Engineer	250
43		F552	Structural Draftsman	200
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator	200
46		O2	Chauffeur	170
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines at $10 per day	

Section 2. That by reason of the fact that the positions added by this ordinance are needed to prevent the interruption of public business and must be created at once, therefore the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the creation of the said positions, and that because of the emergency heretofore mentioned this ordinance shall become effective immediately.

Amendment.

Supervisor Miles moved to amend by changing Item F460 to 58½, inserting it after 58 under title "Revenue Predicated on Revenue and Bond Moneys" and striking out balance of items under title "Bureau of Engineers, Department of Public Works."

Amendment *carried.*

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing emergency bill, as amended and in words and figures following was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Emergency Positions Ordinance.
(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 363, Ordinance No. 9.05340, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05339 by adding Items 38, 58 and 74 which items establish the positions of 1 Blueprinter at $215, 1 Mechanical Draftsman at $200 and 1 Traffic Checker at $175, respectively; amending Section 71 of said ordinance by adding Items 12½ and 13, which items establish the positions of 1 Civil Engineering Draftsman at $250 and 1 Civil Engineering Draftsman at $240, and setting forth and declaring that the uninterrupted operation of the various departments concerned demands immediate action and declaring that an emergency therefor exists.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 47. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ENGINEERING.**

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	B312	Blue Printer	$ 215
39	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
40	1	B316	Photographer	265
43	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction	225
44	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	250
45	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	300
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	275
48	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector	250
49	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
50	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	175
52	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
53	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
54	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
54½	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
55½	1	F256	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
56	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	250
58	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
58½	1	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	250
69	1	F554	Structural Designer	275
71	2	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
72	1	F606	Instrument Man	225
73	2	F610	Surveyor	250
74	1	F654	Traffic Checker	175
78	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
79	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist	400

Section 71. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSSION—ENGINEERING.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	$ 275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
3			Seasonal Clerical Service	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities	833
9		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
10		F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
11		F216	Maintenance of Way Engr., Mun. Ry.	300
12		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman	160
12½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
13	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
14		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
17		F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	225
18		F260	Civil Engineering Designer	250
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer	550
20		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman	200
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	275
22		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
23		F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	250
25	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer	650
28		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	250
29	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	330
31		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer	250
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
33		F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	265
36		F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment	290
38		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer	250
39		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
42		F518	Office Engineer	250
43		F552	Structural Draftsman	200
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator	200
46		O2	Chauffeur	170
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines, $10 per day	

Section 2. That by reason of the fact that the positions added by this ordinance are needed to prevent the interruption of public business and must be created at once, therefore the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the creation of the said positions, and that because of the emergency heretofore mentioned this ordinance shall become effective immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $558 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the Employment of Temporary Employees in Office of the Treasurer From July 1 to August 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 364, Ordinance No. 9.05174, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $558 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from July 1 to August 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's Office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from July 1 to August 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's Office.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Supplemental Appropriation of $3,780 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the Employment of Two Senior Bookkeepers in Office of Controller During Fiscal Year 1933-1934.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 365, Ordinance No. 9.05175, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $3,780 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the employment of two temporary senior bookkeepers in the office of the Controller at salaries of $175 per month each, less salary reductions, during the fiscal year 1933-1934, and who shall be required particularly to check and audit the unemployment relief schedules.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $3,780 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the employment of two temporary senior bookkeepers in the office of the Controller at salaries of $175 per month each, less salary reductions, during the fiscal year 1933-1934, and who shall be required particularly to check and audit the unemployment relief schedules.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle and Compromise the Action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo Pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco Against the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 6.0222.)

Also, Bill No. 366, Ordinance No. 6.02227, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to settle and compromise the action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended that the action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo against the City and County of San Francisco be settled and compromised by the payment to the plaintiffs in said action the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars in full settlement of all of their claims against the City and County of San Francisco, the said City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle the said action by the payment to the plaintiffs therein of the said sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars upon the said plaintiffs executing and delivering a good and sufficient receipt, approved by the City Attorney, accepting said sum in full payment and satisfaction of all of their claims against the City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller is hereby requested to approve a demand against the appropriate fund for said sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars upon the delivery to him of said receipt.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Sale of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 892, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17291, Bill No. 276, approved June 1, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1933, for the sale of the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

And, whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Giuseppe Cuneo to purchase said land for the sum of $2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Giuseppe Cuneo has deposited the sum of $250 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the pre-

liminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,500; now; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Giuseppe Cuneo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, Southeast Corner Seventeenth and Dolores Streets, Set for 2 p. m., Monday, July 17, 1933.

(Code No. 13.02.)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 893, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of Seventeenth and Dolores streets, is hereby set for Monday, July 17, 1933, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up, and on motion, *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Amending Ordinance Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Substituting Member of Health Board for Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 367, Ordinance No. 3.04126, as follows:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be a member of the Health Advisory Board of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint the member of the Health Advisory Board, the member of the Police Commission, and the member of the City Planning Commission who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners, and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board, his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a verified written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5.00) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants

thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; provided that any firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business in San Francisco for at least one year shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits upon payment of the initial license fee installment hereinafter mentioned.

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide restaurants, lunch rooms, buffet, grill room, lunch counter, dining room of hotel, dining room of boarding house, and coffee shop, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and pay-

able quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July, and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided in this ordinance, in halls, assemblies and auditoriums under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. The fee for such permit shall be $10.00 for each day or fraction thereof, and shall be issued in the same manner heretofore provided for.

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 16. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 17. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 18. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 19. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 20. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Com-

missioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 21. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 22. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 23. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 24. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Establishing a Bureau of Employment Registration.

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee, heretofore presented by Supervisor Miles, was taken up:

(Code No. 5.42.)

Bill No. 368, Ordinance No. 5.421, as follows:

Establishing a Bureau of Employment Registration for the purpose of identifying bona fide residents of the City and County seeking employment upon municipal and other projects in the City and County of San Francisco, and for the purpose of carrying out the Charter provisions relative to a preference in employment to bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the necessary employees of said bureau, and making an appropriation for the maintenance of said bureau, and providing for penalties for the violation hereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows, to-wit:

Section 1. There is hereby established a Bureau of Employment Registration under the Chief Administrative Officer. The head of said bureau shall be the Director of Finance and Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The head of said bureau, with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, may appoint a superintendent of said bureau, and such other employees as may be necessary to carry out the purposes thereof, all in accordance with the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter, and may accept the services of such volunteer workers as he may deem necessary to aid in the carrying out of the work of said bureau.

Section 3. The head of said bureau shall obtain suitable offices for said bureau and the necessary equipment for the conduct thereof.

Section 4. Said bureau is created and shall be maintained for the purpose of registering all persons seeking employment who are, at the date of said registration, and for one year prior thereto, have been, bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. It shall be the duty of the head of said bureau or the superintendent thereof to register or cause to be registered any person who at the date of application for registration is and who for at least one year prior thereto was a bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and who may make application to be so registered. The head of said bureau shall keep or cause to be kept a record of said registration, which shall show the name of the person registered, his or her place of residence in San Francisco, together with such other data as may be deemed advisable by the head of said bureau. A card of identification containing the above mentioned data shall be issued to each registrant, which said card shall be signed by the superintendent or other authorized employee of the bureau and countersigned by the registrant, for the purpose of identification, and when said identification card is so issued it shall, for a period of one year from the date thereon, be prima facie evidence to all to whom the same is presented, that the person described in said card is, and for the period of time stated on said card has been a bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. Any card of identification issued as herein provided may be revoked, and the registration of the person to whom the same has been issued cancelled, if the said card has been issued or the person named therein registered through any fraud or misstatement of said registrant or by any other person relative to the residential qualifications of said registrant.

Section 7. The superintendent of said bureau and such other employees as may be delegated to so do shall carefully investigate the residential qualifications of each applicant for registration, and no person shall be registered who has not been an actual and bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco for at least one year prior to the date of said application for registration. For the purpose of ascertaining the residential qualifications of applicants for registration, the head of said bureau and all other employees thereof may make such inquiries as they shall deem proper from any person or persons touching the residential qualifications of any applicant for registration, and any person who shall knowingly give any false information touching the residential qualifications of any applicant for registration shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof shall be punished according to law.

Section 8. Any person holding an identification card issued pursuant to this ordinance, who shall deliver the same to any other person to be used by said person to whom the same is delivered, or any person other than the person to whom the same is issued who shall make use of any identification card issued pursuant to this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished according to law.

Section 9. No person shall hereafter be employed on any public work being prosecuted by the City and County of San Francisco, directly or by contract, unless said person possesses a card of registration and identification issued in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. Provided, however, that employments on any work of the City and County which may hereafter be undertaken and which is to be paid for or financed under the authority of the act of Congress commonly known as the "National Industrial Recovery Act," shall be subject to the provisions of said act and to regulations made by competent authority regarding the same.

Section 10. It shall be the duty of the superintendent of said bureau to contact the employers of labor in the City and County of San Francisco and to endeavor to prevail upon said employers to give prefer-

ence in employment to persons holding identification and registration cards issued under authority of this ordinance, and to co-operate with the United States Department of Labor to the end that those who are registered under authority of this ordinance find employment.

Section 11. There is hereby appropriated to the Director of Finance and Records from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), 1933-34 Budget, the sum of $750 for contractual services for said bureau for the year 1933-34.

Recommended by ————————————, Mayor.
Recommended by ————————————, Chief Administrative Officer.

Amendment.

Supervisor Miles moved to amend Section 2 by striking out the words "The head of said bureau, with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer," and insert in lieu thereof the words "Upon recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer and head of said bureau, the Board of Supervisors may appoint".

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

In Memoriam: Matthew D. Ashe.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 898, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called Matthew D. Ashe to his eternal reward and, in His wisdom has taken from our midst one whom we all knew and loved; and

Whereas, Matthew D. Ashe, as an educator and member of the Christian Brothers learned of the virtue and frailties of human nature, and, being of charitable heart, gave unselfishly of his time and talents assisting his less fortunate fellow men; and

Whereas, as assistant clerk of this Board of Supervisors and an outstanding member and leader in the Knights of Columbus of this State, his friends were legion, and to them his sudden passing is a distinct shock and a severe loss; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby extend its deepest sympathy to the widow and family of Matthew D. Ashe, and expresses publicly its sorrow at his untimely death; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be engrossed and forwarded to his widow, Mrs. Matthew D. Ashe.

Further Resolved, That the President of this Board of Supervisors be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee of this Board to attend the funeral services, Wednesday, July 12, 9 a. m., at St. Paul's Church.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Supervisors Havenner and Hayden, in brief addresses, added their eulogies as to the worth of the deceased and expressed their sorrow at his untimely passing.

In Memoriam: Lieutenant Henry N. Powell.
(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with sincere sorrow and deep commiseration the passing of Police Lieutenant Henry N. Powell, who died unexpectedly a few days ago.

As head of the Police Inspection Bureau, Lieutenant Powell gave a splendid account of his stewardship, and in his death San Francisco loses an admired and worthy public officer, and his family a devoted and loving father; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Henry N. Powell, and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and a copy be sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Treasurer Authorized to Make Temporary Transfer of Funds.
(Code No. 9.052)

Resolution No. 899, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Treasurer to make after July 1st such temporary transfers of funds as may be necessary for meeting obligations incurred for the maintenance of City and County functions from the 1st day of July until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1933-1934 are collected.

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of July, 1933, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of July, 1933, until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1933-1934 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed eighty-five per cent of the first installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before December 31, 1933, and before any other obligations of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power, Roncovieri—3.

Public Utilities Commission Requested to Report to Board of Supervisors the Estimated Cost of the Proposed Extension of the "L" Line of the Municipal Railway.
(Code No. 15.04)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 901, as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission is hereby requested to report to this Board of Supervisors the estimated cost of the proposed extension of the "L" line of the Municipal Railway from the present terminal of Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a loop terminal conveniently located at the Municipal Swimming Pool and Playground near the junction of Sloat boulevard and the Great Highway, and such additional recommendations as the Public Utilities Commission may deem advisable.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Red Mountain Bar Power House and Transmission Line to San Francisco.

(Code No. 15.03)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 900, as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission is hereby requested to report to the Board of Supervisors cost and estimates of the Red Mountain Bar Power House, a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, a step-down station and one substation connected with said transmission line and a steam stand-by station of 7500-kilowatt capacity in connection therewith.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Relative to Arbitrary Assessment Notice.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a postcard notice be sent to all those arbitrarily assessed.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, McSheehy—4.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.
Absent—Supervisors Power, Roncovieri—2.

In Memoriam: Thomas F. Bryant.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 918, as follows:

Whereas, death has called the father of Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, a well-beloved pioneer and labor leader in San Francisco for a half century, who as a boy came around the Horn before the mast, settled in San Francisco, married and raised a large family of boys, of whom four sons and two grandchildren survive him, was president of the Riggers and Stevedores' Union for twenty-five years and president of the City Front Federation for three years; previous to being pensioned, he was an employee of the School Department of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of Thomas F. Bryant, that a copy of this resolution be spread in the Journal, and a copy sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Commending Margaret Miriam Krsak for Fourth of July Pageant.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, presented:

Resolution No. 940, as follows:

Whereas, for fourteen consecutive years, as a feature of San Francisco's celebration of our National Independence Day, there has been presented in the Civic Auditorium a magnificent literary and patriotic pageant depicting the birth of our Nation and the various important historical events in the history of the United States of America, especially our revolutionary period, which splendid spectacle has been a great edification to our citizens; and

Whereas, this inspiring and commendable work has been solely the presentation and thought of Margaret Miriam Krsak, a public-spirited woman and citizen of San Francisco who has given much of her time

to laudable public enterprises and who, besides being the author of this patriotic pageant, managed and directed the stage setting, costumes, music and lighting effects; and

Whereas, on the Fourth of July, this year, this presentation of American historical characters of men who made our Nation possible, displaying their high ideals, unswerving character, integrity of purpose, and the principles of unity and independence for which they were willing to sacrifice all, even death, in order that this country might be united, was received with great enthusiasm and generous applause and appreciation by our citizens; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its heartfelt thanks and appreciation to Margaret Miriam Krsak for her generous self-sacrificing work and devotion which has made possible this edifying and inspiring spectacle of America's national achievement and progress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Power—2.

Codification of Ordinances.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution providing for codification of City ordinances.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Amending Taxicab Ordinance, Insurance Fund.

(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, entitled "Amending Taxicab Ordinance, relative to accumulation of fund in lieu of insurance for protection of public in case of accident.

Referred to Police Committee.

Revision of Moving Picture License Meeting.

Supervisor Havenner asked that the Finance Committee set date for meeting to consider the matter of revising license schedule for moving picture theaters.

Supervisor Miles announced meeting for Thursday, July 13, 1933, at 3:30 p. m.

Regulating Sale of Bread.

(Code No. ———)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, entitled "Amending Section 29 of ordinance regulating sale of bread.

Referred to Health Committee.

Inspection Fee Ordinance.

Supervisor Canepa gave notice that he would call up his amendment to the Inspection Fee Ordinance, heretofore referred to the Charter Installation Committee on April 10, 1933, and asked that it be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m., July 24, 1933.

Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferry Ltd. Hearing.

Supervisor Colman announced that the Public Utilities Committee will meet Friday, at 3:30 p. m., to take up matter of Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferry pier at Aquatic Park.

Unemployment Relief, State Bond Issue.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee consult with the proper officials of this City and report back to this Board recommendation for application to the California Unemployment Relief Commission for the amount considered appropriate as San Francisco's share of recent State Bond issue.

So ordered.

Refund of Money Advanced for Sunnyvale Dirigible Base and Marin Bombing Base.

Supervisor Stanton moved that in the matter of money paid by City for Sunnyvale Base and for Marin Bombing Base an application for refund be made to the Federal government out of funds provided by the National Recovery Act.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Miles, the Board took a recess until Thursday, at 10:30 a. m., when it will sit as a Board of Equalization to hear applications for reduction or correction of assessments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 31, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 31

FRANCISCO
LIBRARY

Thursday, July 13, 1933

Monday, July 17, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

Also Sitting as a

Board of Equalization

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

Board of Equalization

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 13, 1933, 10:30 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, July 13, 1933, 10:30 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess to sit as a Board of Equalization for the purpose of considering applications filed for correction or equalization of assessments and the assessment roll for 1933-1934.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Power, Shannon—7.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

Thereupon the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization and the following applicants or their agents appeared, were duly sworn and testified in support of their application for correction or reduction of assessments:

1 Amy P. Hand, 579 Twenty-seventh avenue (540)—Lot No. 11, Block No. 1517; building $550, land $960.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

2 Vincent Buckley, 197 Coleridge street (175)—Lot No. 41, Block No. 2401; building $4,050, land $1,120.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

3 Minnie Frisch, 522 Thirty-third avenue.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

4 Elizabeth L. Harrington, 730 Oak street (25)—Lot No. 10, Block No. 827; building $2,500, land $1,880.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

5 Mrs. Sarah K. Benton, 1106 Schrader street (21)—Lot No. 1M, Block No. 1277; building $3,150, land $1,210.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

6 John Cereghino, 3122 Laguna street.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

7 Mr. E. Bolger, 1465 Kansas street.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

8 Mrs. Kate Liggett, 1461 Kansas street.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

9 Ethel McGilvray, 1424 Gough street (246)—Lot No. 10, Block No. 689; building $2,550, land $4,130.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

10 Henry J. Nolan, 901 Broderick street (32)—Lot No. 8A, Block No. 1152; building $12,500, land $3,440.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

11 M. H. Herrigus for A. Smith (27)—Lot No. 17, Block No. 1010; building $4,200, land $1,950.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

12 Leonora Mayer, 849 Fulton street (782)—Lot No. 29, Block No. 797; building $1,050, land $2,480.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

13 Henrietta and And. Gray, 5421 Geary street.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

14 A. J. Rich (589)—Sandlots and tidelands should be cut in half.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

15 Mary A. McCarthy, 1152 Jackson street (833)—Lot No. 11, Block No. 182; building $9,000, land $4,250.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

16 J. R. Wichstein, 950 Pierce street.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

17 David Standish, 511 Leavenworth street (521)—Lots Nos. 5 and 6, Block No. 319; building $16,000, improvements $9,450.
Referred to Board of Appraisers.

RECESS.

Whereupon, there being no other applicants asking to be heard the Board of Equalization took a recess until 10 a. m., Monday, July 17, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 17, 1933, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 17, 1933, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess to sit as a Board of Equalization.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—7.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

PROCCEDINGS OF BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Board of Supervisors thereupon resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing the following applicants for correction or reduction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit:

The foregoing and those who made further statements as to correction or reduction of their asessments were *referred to the Board of Appraisers for report in the afternoon session, to-wit:*

		Lot	*Block*	*Building*	*Land*
1	Morris Turner	2	781	$ 600	$ 1,840
2	Leonarda Farruggia	15	52	1,460	1,850
3	H. Van Houten	29	185	14,000	4,220
4	Hazel E. Lahnsen	1A	4335	1,050	420
5	Lauretta M. Herlihy	4	1081	1,250	1,650
6	W. Goldman	11/12/14	3751	5,400	11,630
7	Blanche Zimmerman	18	3731	300	1,500
8	Josephine Busalacchi	3	64	2,450	1,610
9	Josephine Cunibarti	3	1248	5,000	1,860
10	Sarah Spiegelman	69	3607	900	1,200
11	J. T. Gallagher (Mrs.)	12	1419	2,250	1,900
12	Nellie Schwartz	10	1283	300	1,510
13	Ernest Erickson	25	472	.....	.....

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
14	J. O. Granville	50	3583	450	950
15	Samuel Weiner	8	726	2,800	2,560
16	A. J. Diamond	27B	1404	3,200	1,330
17	A. J. Diamond	31	1404	550	1,200
18	William Gilheaney	9/10/11	400	4,800	1,200
19	E. M. Sexton	20	1276	2,000	1,190
20	Mrs. Catherine Mary Coffey	3	1408	3,800	1,200
21	Sarah K. Benton	1M	1277	3,150	1,210
22	N. G. Pantaleon	6	1598	1,800	990
23	Hubert Galey	21	723	2,850	3,510
24	Samuel Weiner	19	1748	4,500	1,250
25	Elizabeth L. Harrington	10	827	2,500	1,880
26	William Kearney	31	6563	850	500
27	M. H. Herriges for A. Smith	17	1010	4,200	1,950
28	John P. Kelly	27	152	4,300	1,750
29	Thomas F. Cavanaugh	23	1168	5,400	1,630
30	Mary M. Shaughnessy	19	6540	1,300	1,250
31	Chas. J. Sethman	70	3606	3,800	1,340
32	Henry J. Nolan	8A	1152	12,500	3,440
33	Hubert Galey	¾	997	7,400	5,810
34	Georgina Ranken	10A	349	10,800	26,860
35	Theo. Linstedt	15	634	3,000	5,780
36	Georgina Ranken	7	226	1,650	3,510
37	Caroline Peterson	1B	1276	3,500	1,060
38	M. A. Stout	6	6574	6,300	2,790
39	Thomas F. Cavanaugh	35	1193	5,050	1,380
40	Georgina Ranken	9	575	19,000	12,600
41	Ed. A. Davis	18	218	6,800	4,200
42	Nathan Diamond	24	698	2,700	2,340
43	Chas. J. Sethman	3	7203	2,350	1,690
44	Anthony L. Rose	..	...	3,250	1,370
45	Anthony L. Rose	14	1167	5,500	1,000
46	Laurinda L. Starling	13	1663	.....	1,250
46A	Howard S. Williams	12	1590	.....	1,920
46B	J. E. Ehorn	10	247	8,000	4,480
46C	Anna B. Shea	3	502	.....	5,630
46D	(Copy) Nathan Diamond	24	678	2,700	2,340
46E	Catherine A. Demartini	1	945	5,600	.....
47	Marie Essel	4	1187	5,400	1,380
48	Fred Vanden Hoff	27	1641	5,650	1,970
49	W. T. Hess, Jr.	18	3718	4,700	11,000
50	Balthasar Huth	4	146	5,000	21,990
51	Nicola Sanguinetti	49	114	1,200	550
52	Balthasar Huth	5	88	6,350	4,490
53	Edward P. Sullivan	6B	322	24,000	5,840
		32	1279	.....	750
54	B. Huth	9	155	2,500	1,610
55	May L. Fischer	36	1716	1,550	750
56	Aaron Lewis	19	473	20,000	3,750
57	Joseph Arnold	29	1255	1,450	1,690
58	Thos. W. Rutherford	7	729	5,400	1,350
59	Jeanie Findlay	35	2616	1,500	1,050
60	Daniel Del Secco	9	6629	750	160
61	Wm. E. Tolley	2	1268	2,000	1,430
62	Lawrence Borg	11	578	76,000	21,330
63	Henria Murray	1M	1504	4,500	900
64	Anna Devincenzi	23	143	3,400	910
65	Fred P. Weber	25	1167	3,600	1,320
66	J. H. W. Browning	1	222	10,000	4,380
67	Jas. C. Casamatta	5	3567	5,400	3,380
68	Steve Mathios	20A	1222	5,400	2,130
69	Giovanna Negri	11	463A	1,700	1,600
70	H. J. Breuer	19	1745	3,500	1,250

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
71	Annie Malloy	4	3543	2,800	3,210
72	A. T. Foster	16	1277	2,250	1,170
73	Alfredo Giampaoli	2B	920	4,050	1,560
74	Hans Gawellek	5	2127	3,050	680
75	Thomas J. Stout	10	213	5,700	2,800
76	Frank W. Smith	18	986	4,500	2,680
77	Albert N. Elliot	7/8	762	2,800	14,250
78	Constance A. Meeks	7	3753	.....	6,910
79	Robert L. Lewis	18	248	4,800	3,360
80	Meta Jensen	7	1682	1,100	950
81	A. Bilafer	38	3618	8,500	1,630
82	Marie Ambler	5	2763	.....	160
83	Max G. Herscovitz	3C	221	25,300	7,500
84	B. M. Botts	24	1376	11,700	3,300
85	Mary A. Webb	6/5A	7056	1,400	1,110
86	A. M. Benfield	9	689	2,600	6,380
87	J. Paganini	19	534	5,250	2,210
88	Jennie Gameblin	3	4279		
			4331	1,050	630
89	J. Spinali	8	74	1,200	1,130
90	Anna Lawrey	20	6046	1,800	150
91	Muriel R. Seidl	24	1039	4,000	1,700
92	Figone Constantino, by Wm. G. Antonovich	2A	129	9,000	4,190
93	Mary Antonovich, by Wm. G. Antonovich	6	1370	850	1,500
95	Elena P. Franklin	39	2623		
			2624	1,000	690
96	Alexander W. and Margaret C. Martin	3	1835	5,500	800
97	Leon C. Mohar	5	1022	400	1,710
98	Leon C. Mohar	3	1022	465	1,000
99	Geo. Shrider	3	249	5,500	6,600
100	Joachim Welkus	7	761	2,000	4,810
101	Victor M. Stormon	12	3184	500	200
102	Victor M. Stormon	15	2417	1,800	450
103	Frank N. Rodgers (Agent)	15	64	8,100	2,520
104	Carl C. Lindahl	80	3588	3,150	1,040
105	Alfred Legault	11	1180	1,050	2,130
106	June O'Brien	16	1717	3,000	750
107	John Ostrom	12	735	3,350	275
108	Harry Singer	47	1551	7,500	1,620
109	Harry Singer	37	1660	3,700	500
110	D. B. Domb	2	638	15,900	5,800
111	John M. Bordas	88	3584	900	1,130
112	S. L. Braverman (Agent)	..	953	6,500	4,230
113	Angela Oliva (Agent)	21	930	4,800	1,060
114	Carl Larsson	55	6192	500	110
115	Joseph A. Pedrotta	17	1352	1,700	1,570
116	Victor DuGaud	14	537	14,000	3,750
117	Charles Pronsolino	3	118	4,700	1,930
118	Rose Merrill	3	4291		
			4319	100	1,000
119	Jonathan J. Coakley	½	7047	300	960
120	Amelia Micallef	16/17	6193	800	120
121	A. Livingston	2	1658	3,250	1,530
122	N. M. Kurtz	4/5	1385	5,800	4,070
123	G. Riegelhuth	6	4172		
			4187	.....	1,250
124	A. Martorella	12/13	3207	1,800	1,370
125	Charles E. Cutler	52/54	3761	500	1,440
		41/42	3761	3,000	6,760
126	F. E. Hewitt	2/7	1005	5,650	13,620

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
127	Dr. Rose S. Fritz	9	798	6,300	12,750
128	Jane A. Mitchell	20/21	247	2,400	6,180
129	Jane A. Mitchell	10/11	215	.....	5,000
130	Jane A. Mitchell	18	5713	.....	2,100
131	Jane A. Mitchell	17	5713	.....	2,100
132	Herman M. Wolter	10	3606	4,750	2,550
133	J. D. Lederman	5/6	1357	11,700	12,000
134	John J. McGovern	7D	497	3,500	1,400
135	Walter E. Dorn	6	594	31,000	4,560
136	Walter E. Dorn	..	...	40,000	6,300
137	Walter E. Dorn	33	1552	4,000	1,930
138	Mrs. T. Lopez	27	114	1,500	1,120
139	Erwin Fulda	1	1455	13,500	3,140
140	C. M. Eiben	61	3540	1,350	830
141	James H. Wade	35	652	2,350	2,750
142	H. M. Wolter	11	4082	6,500	2,250
143	Margaretha Jung	..	...	1,350	1,350
144	Angela Matelli	27	1255	.....	.....
145	H. C. Hacke	3	717	5,850	15,350
146	Emma Bendixen	24/25	1087	5,400	2,460
147	Clara C. Harder	28	1260	1,550	2,490
		1	3515	8,700	7,940
148	J. L. Hohwiesner	11/12/13	1336	13,500	3,480
					4,200
					600
149	Isabella F. Allen	7	6663	300	430
150	Marie Pattosien	3	3551	.....	12,030
151	Paul Bruzza	32	4085	4,250	1,560
152	John A. Osborne	5	996	2,550	2,600
153	Frank Raffo	18	6564	1,300	320
154	Wm. Straub	36	2409	.....	2,340
155	John A. M. Boller	10/11	3965	.....	6,160
156	Belle Heineberg	15	1339	3,400	4,000
157	John G. Kisich	1A	654	3,000	4,830
158	Socrates L. Orginos	18	1244	2,900	1,810
159	Fanny Larson	25	1127	800	1,570
160	Timothy Fitzgerald	9C	1606	1,800	980
161	B. Podjarsky	8	782	1,250	2,200
162	Apolonie Walter	38	1376	2,100	1,670
163	Apolonie Walter	36A	1372	2,900	2,100
164	Apolonie Walter	28/29	612	3,350	4,520
165	W. U. Pankow	10	1354	2,500	1,800
166	W. U. Pankow	8	923	6,000	1,150
167	Rose Rudee	17	1381	3,800	1,510
168	D. A. Bering	6	3011	2,550	1,070
169	B. Curtin	103	3731	1,500	720
170	John P. Cosgrove	32	6517	6,500	17,000
171	C. Boock	8	1518	3,250	1,130
172	Antonio Valle	6	298	28,000	24,190
173	A. Paravagna ½	11	157	1,800	1,050
174	A. Paravagna ½ et al.	46	181	2,000	920
175	Vincent Buckley	41	2401	4,050	1,120
176	Mayer Granat	27	1155	15,000	4,000
177	Harry H. Wilkins	13	3730	6,750	6,310
178	Elizabeth Bradley	7	600	2,900	4,820
179	A. Lorenzetti	17	117	5,600	12,420
180	John P. Cosgrove	21	3618	6,000	1,550
181	J. J. Bowen, Jr.	11	473	5,250	1,710
182	Henry Schultze	37A	3513	3,600	1,070
183	T. O'Leary	7	672	15,000	4,130
184	Gustave Villalta	47	6236	1,200	170
185	E. M. Norton	3	1848	3,700	890

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
186	A. F. Lundin	14	2989	1,850	53(
187	Julius Berendsen	49/50	156	8,000	1,80(
188	Crema Sophia	19	188	5,100	4,00(
189	E. Ellingson	32	2752	6,500	74(
190	Elizabeth Mayer	26	1280	2,250	1,01(
191	E. Ellingson	45	1268	4,200	1,44(
192	Alfredo Capobianco	23	500	350	90(
193	K. Meyer	13	1762	2,450	1,00(
194	Marie Siek	17	1460	5,850	1,63(
195	Claus Alpers	27	3722	17,100	10,51(
196	John Dugan	7	6602	1,900	99(
197	Conrad T. Hubner	7	2920	2,200	53(
198	Emma A. Quinn	2A	1553	2,250	1,26(
199	Mary Merlo	25A	6121	4,600	78(
200	Marie Murphy	28	1267	10,000	2,98(
201	F. E. Miller	4	611	4,900	9,53(
202	M. Stamen (M. C. Dragoni)	20/21	472	14,850	4,15(
203	Augusta A. Grandeman	18	1159	3,000	1,80(
204	J. Stamper	13A	494	15,000	2,97(
205	E. Gordon	88/132	3727		96(
					6,76(
206	A. Christensen	1	846	4,050	3,38(
207	Sam Salomon	16	254	20,000	11,55(
208	Stella Bacigalupi	26/27	115	1,000	39(
				1,150	52(
209	V. J. Antonuccio	1	5662	1,200	37(
210	Pauline Rosen	15	1166	3,600	1,02(
211	Mary A. Savage	27	3756	450	72(
212	W. B. Wagnon (Marshall Square Building Co.)	22	351/351A	30,000	80,82(
213	W. B. Wagnon (Marshall Square Building Co.)	20	351/351A	85,000	138,83(
214	Samuel Eckstein (Agent)	93	3731	2,900	1,000
215	Herbert Hanley	3	2861	.....	73(
216	Louis D. Safley	13	6413	1,300	40(
217	Margaretha Meyer	22	1370	3,600	1,50(
218	E. L. Anderson	1	1260	1,850	3,12(
219	S. Axelrod	21	770	7,000	3,13(
		22	770	10,000	4,69(
220	S. Axelrod	1	687	3,500	2,89(
221	Herman Richter	20	1038	4,000	1,94(
222	L. Butler (Agent)	24	3077	4,100	2,28(
223	Margaret Boyle	3	5670	1,000	33(
224	Matilda L. White	1	1254	750	.....
225	Alfred Lager	2/3	4973	500	24(
226	Mary F. Clifford	2A	6509	1,400	89(
227	Mary F. Clifford	26	6556	350	33(
228	Marguerite McCarthy	2	783	700	3,85(
229	Elizabeth Keefe	37	1529	900	1,26(
230	Thomas Livacich	21	5643	2,800	37(
231	Minnie I. Damuth	3	1411	3,600	1,25(
232	Emma Schroeder	41	1413	3,250	1,33(
233	Edna M. Seibel	2399	26	1,700	45(
234	Milton D. Eisner	24/25	306	12,600	28,08(
235	Frederick F. Schoettler	14	1245	2,700	1,88(
236	Mary E. Geimann	24	1555	1,500	1,45(
237	A. Malis	32	681	1,650	24(
238	Milton D. Eisner	B4	749	55,000	40,12(
239	Milton D. Eisner	1	683	5,750	28,10(
240	Clara van Dyke	13	1222	4,450	3,77(
241	E. R. Brown	11	1236	.....	.....
242	L. M. Lieb	21A	1032	17,000	2,86(
243	E. Gally	18	98	2,200	1,55(

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
244	Alice Menow	6	6530	6	6,530
245	Mary Jackson	2	1235	1,350	1,480
246	E. M. McGillivray	10	689	2,550	4,130
247	Therese Ferguson	12	189	16,000	3,220
248	Itshe Kinspel	9	597	9,500	4,640
249	Anna Jelnick	2A	6640	500	750
250	Katherine McGillivray	2/3	526	8,450	6,340
251	Florence A. Wilkie	49	1743	4,500	1,250
252	Wm. A. Lange	6	3709	25,000	58,480
253	Bessie Levy	14	1255	1,450	1,690
254	E. Cottura	26	126	1,850	2,360
255	G. R. Brockmann	34	1333	3,400	1,860
256	Olof Ingmanson	6A	1024	8,000	2,030
257	Jean Piper	18	1732	5,500	3,260
258	John Macbeth	26/27	1167	2,250	3,300
259	Rose Kubli	7	7017	1,500	350
260	Rose Kubli	12	1463	100	1,000
261	Lillian S. Smith	11	1009	1,450	2,430
262	Ella Lievre	20	777	1,250	1,540
263	Lucie Schoos	7	1281	2,250	1,340
264	Lillie L. Berman	41	1386	5,850	1,670
265	Tessie Helget	18	1659	1,000	1,710
266	Frank Potasz	1B	1200	12,700	2,280
267	Helen McClatchy	11B	6509	2,800	650
268	Allene F. Doherty	7	3633	1,100	1,010
269	Giovanni Giosso	9	1521	3,500	1,200
270	Florence Sullivan	35	2395	1,800	450
271	E. Cottura	47	151	1,350	730
272	Ed T. Mancuso	17	1201	1,670	830
273	M. J. Scully	10	122	6,750	5,160
274	M. A. Regan	26	1019	2,000	2,020
275	J. G. Jacobson	4	1501	4,200	900
276	T. Zucca	15	126	2,650	1,630
277	M. E. Kierce	21	122	1,900	1,960
278	Claire Martyn	13	1232	4,500	7,500
279	E. Reite	35	805	9,000	2,600
280	Elizabeth Bach	49	1654	2,150	1,200
281	Elizabeth Bach	35	1439	1,600	1,660
282	R. Hesthal	22	3718	9,800	12,890
283	I. N. Rosekrans	17	284	8,500	6,100
284	Roy L. Davis	19/20	1334	4,500	4,200
285	A. H. Connolly	7A	522	8,000	2,220
286	Roy L. Davis	23	233	7,400	9,160
287	Roy L. Davis	18	718	.....	5,700
288	Roy L. Davis	13	986	13,500	9,120
289	Roy L. Davis	5	3515	.....	7,880
290	Roy L. Davis	6	3515	17,700	18,900
291	Louise B. Thygeson	3	3633	100	1,000
292	Mary Bazley	28	3633	1,000	1,500
293	Elizabeth Pobar	21	6275	50	460
294	Elizabeth Pobar	1	4908	.....	180
295	Anna Schweitzer	4	1623	2,250	1,170
296	Tillie Berman	41	1386	5,850	1,670
297	A. Brockhage	62	3548	1,600	880
298	P. P. Vigneau	24	276	5,700	3,500
299	Leslie Myers	11	1764	650	2,500
300	Louisa Cerruti	4/5	4761	900	460
301	J. A. Hieronimus	7	541	3,050	2,140
302	A. T. Dennison	9/10	1235	3,500	4,400
303	A. Dennison	18	987	2,800	2,980
304	J. Garbarino	17	101	2,700	1,410
305	Stanley T. Welch	52	1064	2,550	2,220

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
306	S. T. Welch	14	1782	.....	900
307	M. E. Curtiss	22	1087	1,600	2,030
308	Gleb A. Ilyin	24	555	1,300	1,560
309	Irene M. Kehoe	24	6550	1,450	910
310	Jacob J. Blumenfeld	27	1520	3,250	1,130
311	Karl A. Backlund	38	6021	2,200	300
312	Phillip Segondy	22	191	14,000	1,980
313	Pauline Futterman	26	1545	1,350	1,350
314	William Salm	18	1204	2,700	1,500
315	Wm. Salm	20	868	2,700	1,560
316	W. W. Chapin	4	638	15,500	8,600
317	Max Blackfield	20	612	1,450	2,940
		21	612	1,450	2,790
318	M. E. Curtis	28	1066	.....	3,350
319	Jessie Ewald	2B	2129	.....	420
320	H. MacVine	1	257	32,000	34,140
321	Anita Nathhorst	22	323	45,000	13,760
322	Cecilia Alexander	26B	1521	1,150	1,130
323	Lillian R. Apple	12	862	3,100	1,950
324	Raymond H. Petersen	19	949	4,500	1,450
325	Mary M. Jason	8	618	1,800	4,340
326	Geo. K. Herzog	1	1594	.....	1,200
327	Geo. K. Herzog	21/24	1594	.....	2,700
328	H. R. Williar	12	953	5,000	5,200
329	H. R. Williar	1	92	3,400	1,540
330	H. R. Williar	11	209	4,500	5,870
331	Geo. K. Herzog	34	1417	15,000	2,350
332	C. Roy	36	3655	1,200	630
333	Joseph Fusch	19	3751	1,300	.....
334	C. V. and E. V. Roy	29A	3552	2,150	890
335	Jake Reibman	14	718	12,000	3,180
		17A	718	13,000	7,070
336	Harold Heskins	9	88	10,800	3,600
337	M. M. Jason	4	977	2,150	2,330
338	G. Chiappello	16	4149	2,450	1,150
339	Alex Hansen	10	1499	1,450	900
340	James Bowie	17	5501	1,800	650
341	Roy Larson	84	3538	5,200	1,360
342	Sam Weinstein	18	1378	3,600	1,510
343	Minnie Botasof	6	754	13,500	3,150
344	Fred Huelter	10	3272	.....	910
345	Fred Huelter	9	3272	.....	1,320
346	Frances S. Vail	2	1174	5,400	1,550
347	Henry Viguie	40/50	3502	2,700	1,540
348	S. Lavdiotis	9/10	1193	10,200	4,250
349	H. A. Hess	2	1245	9,400	4,740
350	Wanda Wolters	7	1579	1,600	900
351	May Wolters	8A	1378	2,100	1,730
352	A. Cunningham	16	2927	3,600	780
353	A. Cunningham	23	3595	7,000	20,580
354	C. B. Weil	7	3710	13,500	31,610
355	Hanon Freed (Agent)	38A	1451	3,250	1,250
356	Bertha Haustein	9A	7162	1,150	240
357	Chas. Preston	60	3076	3,300	1,130
358	James J. Sullivan	15	1763	5,000	1,000
359	Peder J. Svendsgaard	17	249	7,500	3,220
360	Mariano Prisinzano	1	1623	6,000	1,530
361	Mariano Prisinzano	9/10	3724	32,000	20,280
362	Arthur Miller	39	1623	2,900	1,150
363	Arthur Miller	36	1666	1,450	1,250
364	Emilia Canepa	34	114	2,900	710
		35	114	2,000	1,380
365	Brynolf A. Anderson	3K	1626	3,250	1,130
366	Whitfield D. Lore	83	1269	5,000	1,720

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
367	Armando Zucchi	16A	474	4,500	1,680
368	Joseph Matzger	8	996	6,350	3,650
369	J. Matzger	1	653	3,280	7,870
370	Charles Spivock	10	845	2,900	1,880
371	M. Windeler	7	1553	4,300	1,670
372	Charles Spivock	3A	3554	.....	2,820
373	Goldie Becker	10	1522	3,800	1,250
374	H. Polidori	2A	710	2,150	3,270
375	D. Capece	14D	6653	.....	280
376	Mrs. R. Hyde	13/14	1294	1,400	2,290
377	R. Brodofsky	35/38	5465	2,100	360
378	Margaret H. Schuldt	2	6082	1,150	500
379	J. H. Irwin	10	479	10,800	2,100
380	John Gatehouse	2/3	7079	.....	660
381	L. V. Roberts	43	7150	.....	280
382	J. H. Klein	66	3533	4,100	1,980
383	L. Vosti	45	3773	2,150	2,500
384	Molly Malkin	20	778	2,350	1,880
385	T. Cahill	24	4144	1,500	1,000
386	W. S. Franklin	9	7080	.....	350
387	W. S. Franklin	10	2322	.....	450
388	Joseph Eder	44	1452	600	1,380
389	Joseph L. Licht et al.	80	3729	.....	2,000
390	Morris N. Schneider	2	1276	1,000	1,080
391	R. Olsen	25	1141	4,800	1 240
392	H. Sommerville	3	1530	.....	2,000
393	E. Schmidt	7	1411	3,600	1,250
394	Henry T. Lally	11	576	2,250	8,500
395	August Peterson	59	3502	3,150	830
396	Emma L. Colman	14A	3276	1,300	1,110
397	Joseph De Martini	32/33	3517	3,700	5,400
398	Mary J. Dolan	16	121	4,530	1,750
399	Mary J. Dolan	2A	769	2,050	2,200
400	Jeanette Crowley	23	635	11,250	4,410
401	Rose H. Kelly	11	975	12,600	2,810
402	Thomas J. Hicks et al.	18/19	1333	7,300	6,310
403	Sam T. Couris	16	247	3,600	2,890
404	Kathleen Flanagan et al.	17	1289	1,900	1,000
405	Richard A. Sabbato	3	1856	3,800	750
406	A. Culbert	1G	1491	1,800	2,050
407	M. Crump	32	1741	400	1,250
408	Fannie E. Buckland	24	612	500	1,810
409	Frank Tomasello	29	535	2,200	1,380
410	Alide Petroffsky	46	3569	1,350	3,210
411	Minnie Frische	25	3763	2,550	970
412	Walter R. Callison	11	681	.....	2,500
413	Mary Linderman	6	231	29,750	29,660
414	Aaron Goldfarb	9	1008	1,250	1,990
415	James O'Dowd	9	1143	200	840
416	James O'Dowd	12	1767	950	1,000
417	S. Hoffman	27	784	9,500	3,790
418	Pauline Clark	20	1629	1,450	1,040
419	Pauline Clark	14A	488A	.....	12,600
420	Pauline Clark	12/13	488A	.....	12,900
421	James Coleman	34A	1557	1,000	1,190
422	James Coleman	34E	1522	5,400	1,160
423	J. C. Faulkner	11	6801	1,400	700
424	E. Ornstein	25A	548	17,500	3,650
425	E. Ornstein	22A	474	13,500	2,960
426	Hazel Cerelli	9	495	4,400	1,520
427	M. Lawler	25	838	2,200	2,480
428	Leonard Salaman	12	1040	5,000	2,280

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
429	Raymond H. Schubert	1/2	3569	20,700	75,100
430	Angela Perlite	64	6	3,250	2,090
431	C. G. Anderson	5A	2766	1,450	600
432	D. K. Vutiritsas	79	3596	1,700	880
433	August Livenais	6/7	573	4,500	4,950
434	W. R. Vise	18	3048	.....	1,050
435	J. Risso	80	3568	6,200	2,310
436	Josephine C. Formosa	25	4338	1,150	840
437	Patrick Ferry	38	91	1,150	750
438	T. Conlon	1	1188	10,000	1,880
439	Ellen Dempsey	26	6511	1,600	1,280
440	Carl V. Sachs	41	1,525	7,500	7,020
441	Lula L. Guiney	39	1409	1,850	1,200
442	John F. Noonan	22	6517	850	9,300
443	Stephen Joyce	9A	3566	1,800	1,460
444	Domino Vitrano	4	64	2,350	1,540
445	Samuel Ran	6	3732	1,800	6,650
446	Samuel Ran	83	3589	1,600	1,500
447	Samuel Ran	60/61	3752	5,950	5,410
448	Samuel Ran	15/17	4172/4187	6,200	3,050
449	Samuel Ran	17	6905	4,000	1,500
450	Carl H. Peterson	14	1338	4,000	2,000
451	Fredericka Oppel	10	269	6,300	19,230
452	Mathilde Meyer	35	3511	2,500	1,450
453	H. J. Koepke	41	227	4,500	3,110
454	H. J. Koepke	8	1101	3,750	8,360
455	Kostan Budy	1A	4282A	.....	700
456	P. J. Reilly	6/7	717	10,500	10,060
457	H. Windt	10	184	7,200	5,590
458	Louis P. Picetti	9	3050	2,250	620
459	Albert Fredericks	3	1385	1,100	2,560
460	Adela R. Keller	18	580	2,400	3,440
461	E. Garassino	22	52	6,750	4,140
462	E. Garassino	35	116	1,800	680
463	E. Smith	8	1288	2,450	990
464	Nettie Forrest	54/55	1267	3,450	3,230
465	P. C. Rasmussen	27	2858	.....	800
466	P. C. Rasmussen	28/29	2859	.....	1,600
467	Patrick J. Crowley	1	620	6,500	3,930
468	Bertha Schmidt	21/22	1413	8,000	3,000
469	Gertrude C. Clouter	27	2362	1,400	480
470	Abraham Levin	10	642	8,500	5,800
471	William E. Sharp	25	1471	1,150	1,150
472	Jennie Garren	11	6595	1,600	970
473	Meyer Hirsch	8	798	1,700	2,370
474	J. R. Wilson	2	1790	.....	1,300
475	J. R. Wilson	19	272	4,500	4,760
476	Anna Heinzen	21	1189	3,250	1,610
477	J. R. Wilson	4	3542	4,800	3,750
478	Philip Rabinovich	25	1237	2,250	2,330
479	Helen Brennan	5	1189	1,800	1,510
480	Henry Frohman	17	1351	2,950	1,750
481	Maria Lapuyade	36	1417	5,850	1,570
482	Marian Earle Hill	42	1467	2,000	1,130
483	Frank Zeller	38	2611	2,200	1,250
484	C. N. Vavuris	9	3734	1,000	6,500
485	Julius Klevesahl	16/17	4273	600	1,200
486	H. Eiben	1	840	9,000	2,430
487	A. E. Lawry	28	1255	1,600	3,380
488	Frank Calvetti	22	4275	2,000	630
489	Francesca L. Alsing	23	6914	2,000	1,540
490	Elizabeth Bolger	16E	4281A	900	650
491	Catherine Ligget	16	4281A	900	650

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
492	George M. Nave (agcy for C. C. Moore, Inc.)	4	982	5,450	5,630
493	Geo. M. Nave	7/8	5430	.....	5,430
494	Geo. M. Nave	3/4	1312	.....	2,120
495	Elizabeth Bolger	41	3140	1,150	320
496	Bridget H. Stevenson	1	2748	.....	290
497	Bridget H. Stevenson	4	2748	.....	200
498	Lillie Mengel	22	3703	.....	.....
499	Catherine Sedgwick	22	3703	.....	1,440
500	Jas. D. O'Kane	7	3726	3,600	6,360
501	A. Raisch	17	711	28,450	6,280
502	Borghild Carlson	21	1754	1,930	1,110
503	Jean Lassere	26	698	500	2,330
504	Teresa Musso	9A	495	4,800	1,520
505	Emma L. Nicholson	9/10	1586	.....	2,500
506	Geo. H. Lux	6	125	.....	4,130
507	Geo. H. Lux	9	536	1,650	2,150
508	Frank Frapolli	5	511	1,850	3,150
509	Ede Wilson (Agent, J. J. Nevins)	6	694	8,200	7,230
510	Alwine Lohse	22	4085	600	780
511	Mary A. Gallagher	80	3603	2,000	800
512	Sarah Babin	10	1535	5,500	1,250
513	Fred Scholin	20	2611	2,500	1,180
514	A. M. Tadwick	45	2394	1,600	1,050
515	K. E. Kean	27	1785	.....	700
516	Joseph I. Kean	9	707	700	2,340
517	K. E. Kean	29	1785	.....	750
518	Sarah Halpern	41	1449	4,050	1,120
519	C. Walter Tozer	6F	480	4,800	1,710
520	Edward R. Bacon, Guardian of Wm. T. Crowley	11	1335	5,000	6,060
521	David Standish	5/6	319	16,000	9,450
522	Toby Magner	4	3742	33,750	20,970
523	Mrs. J. H. Johnson	24	1874	1,800	450
524	H. A. Schmidt	6	547	1,700	2,040
525	Anna Romagna	9	147	3,150	3,750
526	Charles P. Bradford	12	233	4,500	5,270
527	W. J. Stevens	40	1723	1,700	1,200
528	Minerva M. Watson	12	1563	1,450	1,130
529	Mrs. Martha Eder	29	1452	5,400	1,250
530	Margaret B. Ferguson	34B/34C	1522	16,000	8,100
531	Nellie A. Scudder	11	1769	1,450	960
532	H. Wedell Anderson	7	755	1,700	3,750
533	B. B. Libby	34	1781	1,450	700
534	Ruggero Pianigiani	34	97	5,500	3,230
535	Samuel Goldstein	16A	4035	550	420
536	E. F. Driscoll	6	95	1,250	1,930
537	E. F. Driscoll	16	182	.....	1,450
538	Blanche Pearlstein	4	1374	1,300	1,630
539	Marie Lacabanne	2	1265	1,450	1,750
540	Amy J. Hand	11	1517	550	960
541	R. T. Lyons	7	280	140,000	25,190
542	Chas. A. Rose	21	6925	2,700	810
543	Myrtle B. Diggs	10	3275A	2,550	910
544	Myrtle B. Diggs	44	3607	1,100	1,930
545	Mrs. Nettie Harris	32/35	1064	14,600	6,300
546	Mrs. Nettie Harris	25	1662	14,000	2,520
547	Morris Hamroll	17	1182	1,500	1,510
548	Philomena Trumbatta	9	3633	3,600	1,000
549	Theo. Wedell	5	798	3,350	2,280
550	Mrs. E. Grundel	23	4050	.....	4,050
551	Mrs. E. Grundel	14	3722	1,350	1,970

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
552	Margaret J. Woods	46	3603	650	800
553	Anna M. W. Tognazzi	28/29	922	16,650	3,120
554	Anna M. W. Tognazzi	20	550	11,000	6,180
555	Jennie B. Miller	13	1179	1,600	1,210
556	L. Kroner	3	271	3,000	2,860
557	Ruben Ungar	1A	3541	14,500	2,940
558	A. Sosnovsky	18	781	1,900	1,540
559	Mrs. Theresa Sweeney	12	1667	3,250	1,200
560	Ersilio Boro	11	151	2,300	1,130
561	Estelle M. Young	6A	3609	1,800	23,560
562	P. Larocca (Agent)	4	521	400	1,560
563	P. Larocca	30	521	1,200	600
564	James F. Sullivan	9	2647	2,050	7,340
565	John L. Risso	19B	2198	2,100	700
566	Florence Meyer (Agent)	37	1270	3,850	2,110
567	Teresa Maninfior	34	182	3,500	1,150
568	Sylvester Trobock	17	622	.....	3,960
569	Rose F. Washington	16	1271	2,250	1,500
570	M. L. Winterburn	25	1428	1,700	4,500
571	G. Demaestri	13	508	19,000	2,120
572	G. Demaestri	7B/7C	792	17,700	4,180
573	Henry Michaelis	20	538	5,400	1,550
574	Henrietta M. Dodge	14	348	32,000	25,350
575	Henrietta M. Dodge	9	325	40,000	33,350
576	A. Sharer	34	1184	1,750	1,430
577	Wm. S. Wells	2	157	3,050	1,720
578	Josephine I. Kean	2	1785	.....	700
579	Josephine I. Kean	4	1785	.....	700
580	E. E. Lunstedt	5/6	596	9,800	4,730
581	Edward Hewlett	20	301	36,000	14,030
582	Edward Hewlett	36	1557	3,600	1,410
583	C. E. Coghlan	26	1709	250	750
584	H. C. Cooper	8	1209	2,600	2,200
585	Birdie Hartman	39	1666	1,600	1,250
586	Catherine J. Cunning	3	1598	1,600	900
587	E. E. Lunstedt	1	6516	3,200	40,200
588	John A. Leonard	19	1287	3,800	990
589	Alfred J. Rich	19	1406	.....	1,300
		5	1861B	.....	1,660
		1/2	1927A	.....	13,630
		4	2136	.....	990
		16	2139	.....	1,400
		15C	2140	.....	450
		1	2147	.....	7,920
		1	2187	.....	4,700
		3	2187	.....	5,620
		1	2176	.....	7,920
		1	2306	.....	330
		1	2171	.....	19,800
		1	2202	.....	14,250
		10	2203	.....	3,750
		1	2309	.....	2,380
		22	2309	.....	2,380

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
				Tidelands	
		9/10	4573	.....	600
		1	4577	.....	1,200
		5	4588	.....	550
		1	4589	.....	1,100
		1	4713	.....	230
		2	4747	.....	240
		1	4770	.....	240
		2	4782	.....	360
		9	4796	.....	360
		9	4816	.....	360
		2	4825	.....	600
		2	4841	.....	480
		9	4856	.....	330
		2	4859	.....	240
		1	4873	.....	720
		1	4921	.....	220
		9	4986	.....	240
		11	5003	.....	160
		1	5004	.....	480
590	A. J. Rich (Agent) for Helen D. Rich	6	1862A	.....	.....
591	Helen D. Rich by A. J. Rich	41/47	2160	.....	.....
592	M. Griffin	10	1847	1,800	1,000
593	Fred L. Steward	9E	1186	3,000	1,560
594	Giuseppe av D. Reggiardo	90	3755	1,300	600
595	J. F. Lange	19	1272	.....	3,330
596	J. F. Lange	64	3725	16,000	8,090
597	J. F. Lange	14	1273	11,600	6,070
598	J. F. Lange	19	320	23,500	24,750
599	Alexander Gordon	1D	1675	1,800	1,160
600	William B. Irvine	35	247	3,150	1,740
601	Alfred Roventini	2	4967	2,000	640
602	Kathryn Black	15	1003	2,700	2,170
603	Margaret Lee	10	1292	1,250	840
604	Marie M. Pos	13C	1682	3,700	1,150
605	Israel Golob	17	1380	2,100	1,550
606	Elizabeth B. Leonard	18	1287	3,800	990
607	Jno. Gordino (Agent)	8	184	8	184
608	Paul Findlay	23C	1447	5,000	8,190
609	Michael Costello	20	1863	1,600	500
610	Michael Costello	48	1673	1,600	890
611	Michael Costello	3	1677	1,350	1,140
612	Michael Costello	32	1673	2,250	1,000
613	Anna Hulzter	12	1762	2,000	1,000
614	Elsie R. Brinckmann	19	6528	1,800	1,160
615	Susie Barbagelata	18	553	3,150	1,750
616	Patrick McHugh	12	1619	.....	1,000
617	Violet Smith	11	1570	3,400	1,100
618	Edward Adair	26	1849	3,050	1,170
619	Curtis C. Cloer	26	1260	1,250	1,660
620	Michael Costello	..	...	1,600	630
621	Michael Costello	4	1141	.....	330
622	Michael Costello	24	1744	5,400	1,250
623	Michael Costello	22	1679	1,800	950
624	Michael Costello	24	1863	1,600	630
625	Michael Costello	9/10	2071	.....	560
626	Michael Costello	1	1670	.....	1,350
627	Michael Costello	11	1563	2,250	1,130
628	Michael Costello	5B	1613	1,700	900
629	Michael Costello	1B	1674	1,800	890
630	Michael Costello	9	1620	3,600	990

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
631	Michael Costello	3F	1604	1,600	950
632	Thomas A. Sullivan	81	3734	5,100	5,200
633	Morris Block	26	183	3,000	2,450
634	Morris Block	10	533	2,500	2,250
635	O. W. Brooks (Agent)	21	218	2,000	2,630
636	Otto W. Brooks	7	548	2,700	2,570
637	Eugene H. Lancel	1A	1270	9,500	3,170
638	Mrs. L. W. Fleischer (Agent)	28	3588	2,000	880
639	Dora J. Ness	37	3612	400	1,370
640	Mrs. L. W. Fleischer	11	1560	2,900	1,130
641	Honora Brennan	7L	6597	3,200	1,040
642	Catharine Hobbs Glatt	2/3	7006	.....	500
643	Catharine Hobbs Glatt	11/12	7006	.....	400
644	Catharine Hobbs Glatt	42/43	7007	.....	600
645	Geo. C. Harvey (Agent)	1A	6595	3,500	1,020
646	Marian Deutsch	76	3752	5,800	6,240
647	Marian Deutsch	15	333	12,000	19,220
648	E. A. Davis	..	....	.....	.....
649	Edith E. Lane	23/24	1796	300	1,950
650	Adeline Dondero	1B	521	2,000	1,280
651	Henry C. Stoeckle	26	1232	5,050	1,750
652	Dr. Frederick S. Warford	22	119	.....	1,820
653	C. H. Nilson	26	3203	2,900	840
654	C. H. Nilson	1	4271	.....	.....
655	Bahr Behrend	7A	1014	5,500	1,670
656	Fred Purdy	14A	473	2,150	1,330
657	Emilio Guffanti	51	3553	3,350	1,540
658	G. M. Klingner	23	1389	2,000	1,550
659	M. T. Flecchia	14	193	6,500	3,870
660	Beulah Bush	9	1366	950	1,500
661	Ernest Fechner	25	1425	2,350	7,430
662	Anna D. Bailey	1/2	738	5,800	6,030
663	Kate Clark	19	1061	3,000	2,940
664	Raymond A. Duhem	6	570	11,900	6,660
665	Ida K. Mardorf	9	1748	6,350	1,960
666	A. J. Hunter	..	...	1,550	900
667	A. J. Hunter	33	1466	150	1,410
668	Gust L. Peterson	25	5520	1,350	400
669	Mrs. Genevieve Hurwitz	6B	1024	14,000	3,640
670	Justine Bloom (Agent)	31	1638	2,000	1,250
671	M. T. Flecchia	17	635	2,700	2,620
672	M. T. Flecchia	3	595	3,400	2,770
673	A. S. Vialatte	9	596	3,250	2,400
674	J. Bloom	11	767	4,200	9,400
675	Anna Godfrey	3/4	5240	100	900
676	Helen H. Brown	145A	3732	5,600	7,000
677	Robert C. McGowan (sec'ty of M. B. McGowan, Inc.)	7	1305	.....	2,700
678	M. T. E. Flecchia	9	4097/4134	200	2,550
679	Henry A. Cailleaud, Jr.	13/15	1156	10,800	6,000
680	Henry A. Cailleaud, Jr.	7/8	220	21,600	18,450
681	C. H. Merrill	10	3785	4,950	16,580
682	C. H. Merrill	7	3785	90,000	33,690
683	W. A. Morris	1	552	9,000	2,490
684	Joseph Eder	32	1522	3,400	1,200
685	James Prongos	25	1741	7,000	5,000
686	W. S. Wetenhall	27/28/29	1062	13,000	6,520
687	Arthur B. Stevens	9	692	30,000	.....
688	Henrietta L. Gray	34	1526	2,800	6,750
689	M. P. Brennan	14	1856	1,100	750
690	E. E. Gibson	6	122	5,400	2,190
691	Mary A. Craig	3/3a	6205	1,600	100

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
692	Julia Contreras	15G	6474	1,600	340
693	Amy A. Clouse	16	827	1,330	2,280
694	Mary F. Gray	15	827	2,000	2,930
695	Elizabeth Neuschaefer	35	1262	500	1,630
696	John Marchi	12	532	3,000	2,920
697	Charles J. Ward	15A	547	5,400	1,400
698	M. L. Friedlander	8	355	18,000	75,000
699	Auguste Marty	33	3554	3,250	1,220
700	Madeline L. Erb	15	5339	1,800	300
701	Mrs. Eugenie Lassallette	35/36	5327	4,250	800
702	E. F. Cheffins	18	1385	800	1,600
703	J. J. Conway	39A	1413	2,900	1,330
704	H. Becker	4C	1772	2,250	900
705	H. Becker	7	546	1,600	3,130
706	E. E. Lundstedt	13	1232	4,500	7,500
707	Mary C. Murphy	27	539	1,800	2,000
708	Josephine Cockrill	18A	1186	3,500	3,890
709	L. M. Allen	70	1254	1,050	760
710	L. M. Allen	20	1278	3,150	1,450
711	R. Schubert (Agent)	39	2817	5,000	1,750
712	Christian Goltzene	38	1761	2,250	2,130
713	Mrs. Robert H. Link	36A	3568	4,350	2,110
714	Jessie Ewald	14	2863	.....	800
715	Jessie Ewald	2	2130A	.....	410
716	I. G. Santistevan	13	4149	1,700	780
717	H. E. L. Ferrera	19	1546	1,700	1,780
718	Miss M. E. Broderick	105	3755	10,800	3,000
719	Walter De Lear	4	1863	1,500	420
720	F. G. Grube	1	636	11,600	3,320
721	M. Chas. Perle	3D	6473	1,400	200
722	Mary L. Darius	9	1469A	1,700	2,400
723	L. D. Kipp	41/43/44	3735	55,000	20,200
724	Geo. H. Cross	34	1742	1,350	2,250
725	Geo. H. Cross	2	2633	2,400	1,650
726	Sarah Kahn	23	1611	2,000	950
727	Sarah Kahn	2	772	3,500	4,550
728	Geo. W. Renish	106	3776	1,000	800
729	L. M. Allen	9	6275	600	350
730	Harry Shane	21	284	29,000	15,180
731	Harry Shane	7	321	28,000	9,900
732	Berenice Perkins	15	1564	200	1,130
733	Berenice Perkins	13	1538	500	800
734	Mrs. Jas. F. Long	6	1747	1,700	1,250
735	Pasquale De Chiaro	7A	67	.....	2,680
736	Annie Moss	13	1794	1,400	650
737	Jay Platt Hamerslag	26	3753	2,100	1,430
738	Jeannette A. Homer	1B	1509	1,100	830
739	Jeannette A. Homer	51	1446	2,050	750
740	Chas. F. Adams	11	4000	5,800	1,800
741	C. F. Adams	1	4039	3,300	500
742	C. F. Adams	27	4064	1,600	1,200
743	C. F. Adams	22A	4039	2,550	690
744	J. Sacco	10A	3600	2,800	800
745	Anna Cooper	13	1474	.....	860
746	Anna Cooper	1/2	1474	.....	1,870
747	Anna Cooper	15A	985	3,600	2,250
748	Anna Cooper	24	302	.....	4,320
749	Anna Cooper	9	247	11,000	12,500
750	Ella A. Balich	1	5712	3,600	660
751	G. Mendaro	9	148	3,750	3,440
752	Bertha Cohn	15	1224	1,600	2,130
753	Delia Hourihan	36	3502	4,600	1,540

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
754	Alice B. Copeland	4	579	.....	14,440
755	Alice B. Copeland	1	214	13,500	6,670
756	Alice B. Copeland	21	257	6,500	4,340
757	Alice B. Copeland	12	259	5,000	10,960
758	Alice B. Copeland	16/16A/17	650	.....	14,430
759	Anna Berger	23	605	1,300	2,900
760	N. L. Walker	1	1341	3,800	4,000
761	Nina Faure	21	1100	150	2,180
762	Mazie Kinzel	31	1562	3,250	1,130
763	E. R. Ostrander	17	3746	400	.....
764	Annie Hargadon	22B	1454	.....	1,454
765	Gertrude A. Lyman	16	1379	14,400	3,010
766	Annie Hargadon (Agent)	8A	1516	3,400	900
767	Annie Hargadon	28	1563	5,500	1,130
768	C. Barbarino	32	1176	1,150	1,320
769	Margaret C. Gorman	14	1644	1,950	1,750
770	Ed M. Jackson	..	3721	45,000	36,650
771	Ed M. Jackson	5/6	316	50,000	43,250
772	Margaret A. McPherson	43	6519	1,300	1,430
773	Edw. A. McCluskey	78	3596	1,250	880
774	Remi Lacu	12	1224	1,600	1,750
775	Ellen Carmody	8	1193	3,400	1,640
776	Vincent I. Baraco	14/16	1289	.....	2,500
777	Vincent I. Baraco	3	2025	.....	4,480
778	Vincent I. Baraco	23	1026	2,950	2,390
779	Rudolph Ernst	11	1224	1,800	1,750
780	H. F. Windeler	7	3572	1,800	29,170
781	J. J. Pollard	16	500	15,000	2,290
782	Leonora Mayer	29	797	1,050	2,480
783	Mrs. F. J. Jones	8/8A	3742	.....	41,940
784	Maria C. Biggio	19/20	697	.4,200	8,510
785	Emma F. Keller	42/43A	5397	.....	480
786	Chas. Impastato (Agent)	1C	4337	1,150	630
787	Blanche Simon	43	1255	4,350	2,610
788	Catherine C. Carson	2	281	14,450	6,930
789	Edwin H. Norman	20	1185	5,850	2,240
790	C. D. Dorn	6	273	42,000	15,750
791	A. F. Fick	5	213	3,150	1,760
792	Sarah A. Farrar	19A	3607	7,000	4,280
793	Sarah A. Farrar	37/38	3615	2,900	2,960
794	Nora Allen	38	3557	2,700	1,380
795	A. F. Fick	1	88	7,650	3,360
796	P. F. Stewart (Agent)	21	995	4,700	3,460
797	Margaret Kenealey	8	1224	2,250	1,750
798	H. A. Dunlap	20	1609	2,850	1,140
799	M. H. Lees	14A/14	1181	26,000	5,540
800	A. Hewlett	20	6533	950	740
801	Joseph A. Yost	26	1621	1,450	1,130
802	Harry H. Rice	25/26	1523	5,050	3,270
803	Jacob W. Gunzendorfer	11	999	2,430	2,170
804	Jacob W. Gunzendorfer	20	997	3,600	2,260
805	A. A. Silverstein	9	1061	6,600	2,020
806	Hamilton H. Anderson	5A	2617	.....	2,617
807	Lucy H. O'Neil	25	2618	.....	.....
808	Chas. S. Maguire	5	808	8,000	4,380
809	Reiss Kron	33	1451	3,400	1,250
810	H. F. Segelcke	12	1832	1,600	810
811	Clara Mammini	6	3640	1,800	1,310
812	Robert Bolten	118	3727	5,400	10,400
813	Emma Harrington	3E	1618	1,600	1,000
814	Emma Harrington	15	6919	3,100	1,380
815	P. B. Johnson	69	3583	2,300	5,000

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
816	W. W. James	19	2116	600	700
817	Ede Wilson	48	96	4,600	2,060
818	John R. Welch	6	1435	1,150	1,250
819	John V. Stiefel	25/26	1686	.....	2,660
820	M. Meller	9B	1186	3,200	1,560
821	Nellie V. Mitter	31	6309	.....	850
822	Herbert Hanley	8/9	2861	8,500	800
					870
823	Nat Cohen	8	540	4,800	9,920
824	J. R. Kovick	15/16	6738	.....	250
825	B. Olympie	9	1069	.....	600
826	W. F. Williamson	1/8/54/58	3613	189,000	34,480
827	C. Crosman	2A	824	.....	1,980
828	A. K. Snell	29A	1622	1,600	1,130
829	Walter J. Chase	63	3582	4,050	7,680
830	Walter J. Chase	8	2647	2,550	7,500
831	S. Ella Long	8	1241	1,550	1,850
832	Francis Yee	16	217	2,700	3,400
833	Mary A. McCarthy	11	182	9,000	4,250
834	Mrs. Joseph Priolo	18	6743	1,400	500
835	Gus Bailieri	27	1002	6,900	3,560
836	Gus Bailieri	13/14	6526	10,000	7,850
837	Gus Bailieri	16	761	70,000	17,190
838	Mrs. Joseph Priolo	17	6743	1,400	390
839	Isabel S. Leis	415	1025	16,300	5,520
840	H. Isaksen	2	3588	8,500	2,930
841	G. Therien	6	923	5,400	1,190
842	William G. Antonovich	1/41	2395	.....	2,840
843	B. Martin	44	1673	1,800	1,000
844	Fred Braun	18	1745	5,850	2,040
845	Fred Braun	12	4208	2,450	1,040
846	Fred Braun	3	3598	2,700	1,300
847	Fred Braun	2	3568	7,000	5,130
848	Charles S. Ellsworth	2A	3640	.....	230
849	James Basch	10	206	5,000	11,880
850	Theresa G. Wickstrom	20	775	.....	420
851	Emma Harrington	8	6907	4,700	1,570
852	Solomon, Rose	8	776	3,150	4,000
853	Emma Israel	9G	1186	3,000	1,660
854	Richard Sensenschmidt	21	1651	5,400	1,250
855	Gustave Schnee	3/4/4A	453	38,000	10,600
856	Gustave Schnee	21	190	16,000	3,100
857	Gustave Schnee	..	2173	.....	11,080
858	A. Vannucci	15	1762	1,550	1,000
859	Lucy H. O'Neil	24	2618	.....	280
860	Sophia Perlis	5	1671	1,400	1,000
861	David A. Horn	17A	1374	1,600	2,130
862	Belle Schwartz	2	596	4,600	2,510
863	David A. Horn	16B	474	12,500	4,030
864	David A. Cohen	1	499	20,000	5,000
865	William C. Hubner	14	1647	1,150	1,630
866	David A. Horn	38	3540	2,200	2,200
867	David A. Horn	15	620	9,000	23,890
868	A. Scharnagel	4	605	500	2,560
869	Angelo F. Guinasso	27	1404	1,250	950
870	Geo. S. Dunn	13	3557	3,150	1,580
871	Geo. S. Dunn	56	3728	500	1,050
872	Leo W. Shapiro	17/18	1100	2,200	2,880
873	Leo W. Shapiro	2	1667	2,000	1,120
874	Geo. S. Dunn	13	3557	3,150	1,580
875	Joseph Sciarametaro	10	65	2,900	2,600
876	Alicia D. Freidlander	3	996	3,000	3,970

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
877	I. Jacobson	41	1863	1,800	810
878	Irene Araboglon	35	1454	4,950	1,620
879	John Dolan	31	1183	4,000	1,880
880	M. S. Salomon	4	1502	2,900	1,350
881	Chas. A. Duhem	7	805	5,400	3,400
882	J. J. Miller	17	2481	2,000	410
883	Bessie W. Murphy	1	6517	500	3,240
884	Bessie W. Murphy	25	1219	1,600	1,720
885	James B. Levaggi	21	524	14,850	4,300
886	W. A. Granicher	49	1444	2,650	1,250
887	C. D. Lieb	16A/17	2164	.....	1,400
888	C. D. Lieb	16	2178	.....	3,960
889	M. H. Lees	14C	1181	10,800	2,400
890	Mary Comaskey	19	1537	1,440	1,330
891	M. H. Lees (Agent)	5	6569	900	5,310
892	M. H. Lees	15	1331	14,000	9,440
893	M. H. Lees (Agent)	12	622	5,800	22,940
894	M. H. Lees (Agent)	14	622	1,200	3,430
895	M. H. Lees	9B	949B	.....	4,080
896	M. H. Lees	9	949	.....	.....
897	M. H. Lees	1	1201	600	3,850
898	M. H. Lees	2K	1202	3,700	5,690
899	Louis H. Mooser (Agent)	A44	1597	4,300	1,020
900	Eugene Eichkorn	8	545	5,000	2,640
901	Alex Solomon	27	1235	2,250	1,650
902	Mary E. Von Schmidt	31	793	3,150	1,850
903	Joseph A. Gendotti	4	6596	3,150	6,250
904	Thos. F. O'Donnell	39	3728	2,400	840
905	Matilda M. Skene	34	1336	3,350	3,600
906	S. Coblentz	28	777	3,600	1,540
907	J. Levine	41	1449	4,050	1,120
908	Alice L. Miller	1	633	1,750	2,210
909	Mrs. W. M. Cameron	25	1275	1,650	1,050
910	Mrs. W. M. Cameron	36	1759	1,600	1,100
911	Wm. E. Shea	9	1628	2,500	1,200
912	Parker W. Jones	3	1475	850	920
913	Mary J. Cooke	4	277	4,000	4,150
914	Paula J. Loeb	41	1402	5,650	1,910
915	Peter V. Ormart	8	331	30,000	27,450
916	E. J. Neilan	1	980	20,000	6,920
917	S. A. Herzberg	24	822	2,550	2,050
918	Max Mayer	7	1191	3,250	1,320
919	H. W. Dawson, Vice Pres. (Agent)	5	341	62,000	219,590
920	Jacob Kling	24	550	9,000	3,310
921	Hermine Kohler	14	633	800	2,360
922	B. P. Upham	7	262	24,300	62,450
923	I. R. Trevessen	4	1761	4,400	980
924	James B. Mitchell	11	696	1,450	4,810
925	Gustav Luders	19A	188	6,300	3,890
926	W. J. Gerend	8	235	77,000	58,280
927	Della Z. Barwald	19	554	2,800	2,160
928	Della Barwald	79	3731	1,800	1,000
929	Della Z. Barwald	100	3753	1,700	720
930	Virginia Serventi	57	3779	1,850	630
931	H. Roberts	19	740	10,000	4,810
932	James O'Connor	6	3514	6,050	7,830
933	J. Beck	11	1460	1,250	1,090
934	Josephine Beck	4	1508	950	900
935	Anna Dayball	17	1218	1,500	1,610
936	R. G. Elkus	25	524	5,400	2,250
937	Leon Leboire	18	650	28,500	5,230
938	Peter Baix	32	5673	2,000	420

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
939	James Madison, Jr.....82A/82B/87/88/89		3758	16,200	3,690
940	P. A. DeVenue.....	4	694	45,000	25,600
941	Irma C. V. Ehrer.....	20	2696	1,350	670
942	James O'Connor	9	3514	2,500	6,750
943	Dennis O'Connor	7	3514	4,950	15,000
944	E. Mignacco	3/3A	641	6,300	14,810
945	H. H. Morris	1	6623		370
946	Andrew Pelfini	6	3566	9,000	1,300
947	Thomas G. Knight 2/7/9/10		3807		42,090
948	Thomas G. Knight 25/25a/26/72/74		3776	2,100	34,030
949	Thomas G. Knight	5	344	24,000	52,500
950	J. F. McGowan	30	1767	3,400	950
951	J. B. Shackey	10	1435	2,150	1,250
952	I. Berman	10	3567	5,500	2,160
953	Ignatz Berman	4	3522	5,650	1,160
954	H. J. Riordan	8	716	35,500	19,580
955	H. J. Riordan	15	2647	2,350	1,750
956	Rose Schmitz	32	3638	3,600	3,230
957	L. Parodi	28	88	2,400	1,470
958	G. Barbieri	15	831	2,100	2,200
959	M. K. Richardson	14	1078	18,000	11,570
960	Chas. Janigian	6	2617A	2,350	1,510
961	A. J. Hurd	40	151	1,350	750
962	Z. Brasfield	18	1658	5,600	3,750
963	Atilia Mozzetti	27/28	5379	2,100	180
964	Mary O'Keefe	12	319	7,200	5,440
965	W. C. Koenig	3	280	16,200	4,220
966	I. Landman	21	1193	1,800	1,380
967	Thos. Quinstad	3	920	18,000	3,210
968	Mary O'Keefe	15	3552	10,500	2,600
969	Mary O'Keefe	30	3638	4,000	1,670
970	Mary O'Keefe	47/48	5501	3,000	3,960
971	Timothy Lehane, Agent	13	3598	2,050	1,340
972	Ivon Steinette	9	1099	500	2,480
973	Chas. Krieger	6	1744	2,250	1,110
974	Alma C Williams	2	1010	3,500	2,060
975	Edna Anderson	22	955	15,300	2,900
976	Geo. Cohn	19A	1449	11,000	15,000
977	E. B. Ward	26	1418	8,550	2,010
978	Geo. Cohn	12	1337	1,800	2,000
979	Charles F. Flemming	19	1664	2,050	1,380
980	Wm. G. Brown	20A	1522	4,500	1,500
981	S. Hartman	46	3570	6,300	2,750
982	Louis C. Rosaico	7	1384	5,400	1,500
983	Mrs. F. Hayward	2/3	1090	2,450	1,000
984	Mrs. F. Hayward	26A	1065		1,310
985	Mrs. F. Hayward	18D	605	7,200	3,560
986	Jas. P. Berzaman	12	1200	1,750	1,810
987	Sadie A. Eagen	10G	1606	1,600	830
988	Mary Joyce	112/113	3732		960
989	Julia Joyce	115	3732	1,600	960
990	L. K. Guinnane	1D	1188	4,000	1,370
991	Arthur Geierswald	10	1285	1,700	990
992	Jane McCourtney	16D	1157	5,400	1,930
993	Sadie Maurino	16A	1157	5,400	1,930
994	Jane McCourtney	16B	1157	5,400	1,930
995	Anna C. Holmes	24	652	19,000	5,830
996	Sam Goldstine	29	4037	3,900	1,390
997	C. J. Marshall	22	1733	10,500	4,000
998	P. Reiter	1	824	54,000	6,660
999	Henry Cohn	1	1431	14,400	2,100

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1000	John K. Milonas	26	6532	4,290	15,000
1001	Anna Hern	30	251	7,500	3,350
1002	Annie L. Gleeson	24	1191	4,700	1,320
1003	Josephine Keating	7	625	12,000	4,030
1004	Gabriel Poutrus	2	3532	1,900	4,960
1005	Aurora Tadwick	18	2360	2,350	1,050
1006	Leavinia Gustafson	30	3622	1,300	830
1007	Emma Bender	25	1547	4,000	1,350
1008	Mary A. Helms	17	3616	800	6,680
1009	Anna Becker	15/16	3545	6,750	3,060
1010	Fred J. Morris	35	1551	1,350	1,250
1011	Fred J. Morris	1	1588		1,300
1012	Fred J. Morris	20/21	781	4,900	7,510
1013	Paul Marchi	31	4146	2,500	1,050
1014	W. J. Pendergast	11	3578	4,300	1,680
1015	A. Gromako	3	652	1,350	3,410
1016	Joseph Taylor	26	1174	5,400	1,250
1017	A. Gromako	36	684	1,000	2,810
1018	J. J. O'Brien	21	1439	1,100	2,180
1019	J. J. O'Brien	19	1437	1,550	2,000
1020	Mildred A. Sperry	27	1444	4,950	1,880
1021	Emma L. Fassmann Coleman	27	1205	950	1,620
1022	Emma L. Fassmann Coleman	18	770	1,150	2,350
1023	Jennie Nerner	10	1458	1,850	1,150
1024	E. Slissman	39/40	1408	350	2,400
1025	W. J. Baker	11	794	4,500	2,560
1026	Cecelia Dettmer	16	253	6,600	2,400
1027	Pauline G. Keller	33	1219	6,000	1,500
1028	D. J. Twiney	23/24	6742	550	700
1029	Frank H. Martin	20	6925	2,700	750
1030	Alice G. Campbell	4/5	3555	16,800	9,280
1031	J. E. Hall	22	685	3,050	2,820
1032	Harry Harris	31	187	1,100	2,380
1033	A. Mozzetti	30/31	5379	2,000	170
1034	R. A. Mullowney	25	1150	650	970
1035	Arthur Von Parpart	27	999	1,950	1,940
1036	A. L. Gleeson	14	1170	2,450	1,430
1037	Geo. Cohn	4	523	4,900	2,670
1038	Anna Melonas	37	3590	7,400	3,530
1039	Anna Melonas	29	3574	3,200	3,200
1040	Morris Harris	5C	476	15,000	5,180
1041	Agnes Farley	5	6556	800	280
1042	Mrs. B. Davis	21	697	1,100	2,280
1043	W. C. Raisch	4A	221	7,000	5,580
1044	G. H. Whitcomb	17	3594	7,400	6,590
1045	J. H. Dumbrell	27/29	1548	2,850	3,940
1046	J. H. Dumbrell	9	693	30,000	8,140
1047	J. H. Dumbrell	1	6468		1,230
1048	John H. Dumbrell	49	3569	22,000	24,300
1049	Sarah A. Delano	1O	1460	2,250	1,020
1050	Catherine A. Carson	2	281	14,450	6,930
1051	S. Gidalovitz	27	773	4,050	2,070
1052	Anna Soedler	23	2988A	2,100	620
1053	A. G. Harms	3	3794		427,500
1054	A. C. Haight	5	3720	7,000	20,700
1055	J. L. Habro	9	324	16,000	7,720
1056	J. Hoffman	5	846	1,650	1,710
1057	Fritz and Alma Swanson	9	3560	5,200	3,310
1058	Chas. J. Stewart	10	27	400	2,500
1059	Emil J. and Minnie Stark	17	797	2,450	3,010
1060	E. I. and M. Stark	36	3549	3,700	1,650

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1061	Samuel H. Harrison	31	3549	1,800	1,650
1062	Mrs. Mary Gavin	17	525	3,300	2,680
1063	Michael J. and Margaret D. Hayes	46	3554	6,300	1,130
1064	L. D. Green	12	331	40,000	14,960
1065	B. Egan	10	849	1,300	2,610
1066	L. Spungin	37	1863	1,800	630
1067	P. Swenson	25	1185	4,500	1,850
1068	Max E. Glucksman	18/19	1561	11,250	3,750
1069	Julia Ruiz, Adm'x. Estate Juan Ruiz, alias, deceased	7	640	6,150	5,870
1070	P. Spingola	9B	495	4,400	1,520
1071	P. Spingola	22	104	3,600	1,180
1072	J. S. Arata	3A	250	5,000	3,000
1073	M. Browne	21	3597	4,300	1,900
1074	J. H. Dumbrell	7	1630	6,000	6,750
1075	Mrs. E. Gassagne	21	2839	3,000	800
1076	Frank E. Pearson	1	1005	16,000	7,430
1077	Henry E. F. Williams	9	1259	1,050	1,440
1078	Joseph P. Haughey	22	1229	2,800	1,750
1079	Dorothea M. Meyer	16D	2409	2,500	470
1080	Pembroke Eastman	1D	496	5,400	1,460
1081	Margaret F. Schkade	21/22	1458	650	4,420
1082	Edna Flowers	19	217	17,200	7,290
1083	Annie Payne, formerly Annie Leslie	52	3598	3,250	1,200
1084	Aidel Greenberg	6	753	9,500	2,390
1085	Ralph Jacobs	19	1366	3,950	2,630
1086	N. F. Patterson	12	184	9,000	3,780
1087	Mollie Beck	20	3576	1,900	660
1088	J. B. Shackley	45	3545	1,600	750
1089	John A. Bunney	75	3606	3,600	1,340
1090	Blanche Fuller	16	2138	1,600	700
1091	Ella A. O'Neil	17	1564	5,400	1,890
1092	Giacomi Forti	2A	1028	10,000	2,910
1093	Eva Cassagne	5	2631	1,800	870
1094	Carlotta Cratta	1	481	12,150	2,750
1095	Rosa Stillo	42	155	3,900	2,040
1096	John De Martini	25	187	3,250	3,080
1097	Clarence S. Burtchaell	72	3722	54,000	55,310
1098	S. C. Symon	2½	112	200	3,940
1099	S. C. Symon	11	1065	3,450	590
1100	S. C. Symon	10	1065	3,450	590
1101	Robert Milligan	11	1259		1,440
1102	Peter Wong	18/20	226	48,720	45,000
1103	A. Conti	20	159	7,200	4,660
1104	A. Conti	19	159	3,650	1,680
1105	Madeline Lomdeaux	7A	2135	1,550	550
1106	Madeline Lomdeaux	24	1736	4,000	1,020
1107	Mrs. Geo. Fox	12	192	2,800	1,360
1108	Anna M. Plath	18	713	4,750	3,140
1109	Mrs. Geo. Fox	19	723	3,350	2,940
1110	Adelaide J. Broderick	3	251	7,000	4,200
1111	Henry L. Lehrke	42	1835		1,360
1112	Henry W. Lehrke	28/29	3558	1,100	4,120
1113	Peter Wong	1	271	50,000	26,700
1114	Peter Wong	22	177	6,200	1,500
1115	Margaret Kaiser	8	3648	5,400	1,260
1116	Henry Rathjen	4B	1302A		1,160
1117	E. J. McDermott	3	6249	6,000	630
1118	E. A. Cutler (Estate of)	13	3709	143,000	59,290
1119	Sara M. McKinney	37	3537	600	2,060
1120	Adolph Schwartz	7/8	675	34,800	7,550

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1121	Theodore Pappadalos	6	775	3,050	1,910
1122	Mrs. Caroline Guerrero	5	6710	1,200	280
1123	Frank Portman	3	3512	2,250	55,000
1124	Madeline Lomdeaux	24B	6341	1,500	150
1125	Madeline Lomdeaux	12	1499	1,600	950
1126	Harold W. Racik	36	3706		3,220
1127	C. Clark	26	6797	.....	990
1128	John Matthews	28	3561	2,350	1,610
1129	Adolph Petry	7	3542	2,250	3,750
1130	Felice Ontano	17	160	7,200	7,450
1131	Wm. W. Finlayson	42	1733	3,600	1,000
1132	C. B. Sovig	8	1665	1,800	1,250
1133	H. C. Hansen	18	335	8,000	4,550
1134	George Lane	53	2702	.....	340
1135	George Lane	17A/21	6609	.....	930
1136	George Lane	23/25	6609	.....	700
1137	John R. Hooper	18	1152	1,800	1,760
1138	M. D. Sullivan	2	19	.....	1,350
1139	George Lane	15/16	6609	.....	440
1140	George Lane	7/17	6623	.....	2,400
1141	George Lane	21	1204	2,700	1,470
1142	Ernest W. Nix	9	1280	900	1,210
1143	Carrie B. Lowe	15	842	2,050	1,640
1144	Margaret Luckenbach	14	1154	1,150	2,610
1145	Loyal N. Slauson	5E	2130A	2,400	450
1146	Margaret Bumer	40	4087	5,300	2,600
1147	Margaret Bumer	35	1555	1,900	2,100
1148	H. E. Jorgensen	20	325	13,500	46,550
1149	M. White	18	2346	.....	2,340
1150	M. White	20A	2350	.....	1,380
1151	E. Hamilton	32	2413	2,050	720
1152	Ernest Hirsch	8	282	27600	38,610
1153	A. E. Ladewig	1	282	30,000	20,101
1154	Antonio Merz	8	1281	2,400	1,340
1155	Eunice Glenn	10	6924	3,150	1,520
1156	Emile G. Feld	25	1738	1,000	2,250
1157	K. Riebmann	6	1209	2,900	3,430
1158	Sigfred Martinson	46	3538	2,250	1,740
1159	T. J. Horan	30	1559	800	1,130
1160	D. Feldman	5	258	5,950	3,000
1161	C. Kyriakis	1	3611	6,050	2,570
1162	R. B. Adams	38	1370	1,350	1,510
1163	Rudolph Haak	52	3638	1,600	880
1164	Solomon Silver	1	1406	5,850	1,810
1165	Chris Roseneagle	25/26	5908	900	160
1166	Rose Smolensky	17	831	27,000	4,400
1167	H. G. Pforffle	12	1251	1,350	2,860
1168	Anna M. Rattanzi	34	1155	2,800	2,020
1169	F. D. Drew	32	847	.....	1,630
1170	Bertha M. Stickel	12	1748	6,350	1,960
1171	Anna Melander	2	1249	16,000	4,420
1172	Margaret R. Mealey	31	227	4,000	2,920
1173	Anne C. Strickland	5	606	11,500	2,720
1174	Dora I. Hammar	2C	593	36,000	7,430
1175	R. B. Adams	18	1662	6,300	2,520
1176	R. B. Adams	6H	1681	.....	3,240
1177	J. H. Kelly	14A	1010	3,800	1,580
1178	Frank Flohr (Agent)	42	3616	1,350	1,500
1179	Frank Flohr	1A	6530	16,500	2,630
1180	Frank Flohr	1E	1202	11,500	3,010
1181	Edwin D. Bennett	17	1274	7,200	1,620
1182	David E. Bennett	51	3532	3,150	580

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1183	Minnie Pengelly	14/15	2360	3,600	2,100
1184	E. Gallagher	524	24	400	1,810
1185	Kate Murphy	31	1379	800	1,500
1186	Antonia Merz	13	839	1,550	2,480
1187	Alice Rabe	21	1423	4,100	5,040
1188	Isabelle Kahman	5	3112	2,250	840
1189	Walter McDonald	3	5562	1,300	270
1190	T. Donlon	31	123	1,500	1,140
1191	Annie E. McKee	11	441A	4,400	1,480
1192	Annie E. McKee	20	6527	2,250	1,420
1193	R. M. Armstrong	15	758	1,450	1,970
1194	On Young Si One	30	226	15,000	2,800
1195	Ole Bruflat	7	1587	4,400	1,780
1196	James W. Williams	18	271	10,500	3,550
1197	Steve Astmos (H. T. McGrath, Agt.)	11	5833	2,600	840
1198	Emil Engelcke	11	282	36,000	17,110
1199	Jake Goodman	9	778	5,550	2,890
1200	A. Depaoli	4	733	7,650	8,900
1201	A. Depaoli	21A	1449	6,300	17,940
1202	Bertha Rudee	27	1081	1,100	1,400
1203	W. L. Jensen	1	4102	4,700	530
1204	Elizabeth Courmen	6	1459	1,700	1,770
1205	Gus Rowe	25	3144C	1,450	480
1206	L. W. Schroeder	10	1831	1,900	700
1207	Marguerite De Lay	18E	7104	1,050	330
1208	Sadie A. Canning	5	1667	2,000	1,250
1209	Estelle J. Lichtendahl	8B	1265	3,150	1,500
1210	H. M. Slattery	11/12	697	18,000	6,060
1211	Max Jackson	7	279	11,000	6,520
1212	Thomas J. O'Leary	15	3582	2,250	2,100
1213	Annie T. McAdams	37	1739	400	1,150
1214	La Moille C. Witherby	42	6555	900	450
1215	Jennie Kudelka	4	1282	1,600	1,160
1216	Sophia Wolters	4	1593	.....	1,560
1217	Mrs. Chas. McTernan	21	5343	2,500	420
1218	A. F. Capurro	13A	938	7,000	1,420
1219	F. Helbush	1	1018	10,020	6,750
1220	Alice R. Upp	20	1442	4,000	5,760
1221	F. Helbush	25	1332	10,450	3,360
1222	F. Helbush	15/15A/15B	1334	8,550	3,530
1223	Estelle M. Duffy	9C	1836	3,800	710
1224	Max Jackson	3	1641	3,800	1,110
1225	Giovanni Grossi	21	1382	750	1,510
1226	Matthew M. Hynes	11	1227	1,550	2,130
1227	Walter Schmidt	28	867	1,950	1,750
1228	California Synodical Society of Home Missions	18/20	4096/4135	2,250	900
1229	W. W. Williams	22	979	2,150	2,310
1230	M. Mayerhofer	11	1080	4,500	1,490
1231	John B. Hawthorne	4	2626	.....	590
1232	Eda Federlein	9	518	400	1,230
1233	Henry Sturcke	41	1430	1,950	1,350
1234	F. Lopas	10	1745	1,700	1,250
1235	Giovanni Grossi	9	1521	3,500	1,200
1236	Soren P. Fisher	20	2740	2,250	2,740
1237	Mary E. Korn	10A	1524	4,050	1,250
1238	E. B. Ward	13C	1860A	.....	890
1239	Mary L. Farrell	15	1677	2,800	170
1240	May Bell Brendel	43	3727	1,350	840
1241	Mary R. Pinheim	14	1176	2,500	1,500
1242	Mary R. Pinheim	6	1176	2,150	1,320
1243	Sophia Wolters	1A	1594	.....	800

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1244	Louis N. and Eugenie Stevenot...	25	1454	4,050	1,200
1245	Emma L. Hayburn.....	16	1423	8,450	3,230
1246	Michael Donovan	29	3556	4,000	1,750
1247	Edmund Henry	16	1597	1,600	850
1248	Viola S. Parks.....	6	152	3,450	1,250
1249	Dan Nickitopoulos	37/38	6411	.....	1,500
1250	Harry D. Tucker.....	5F	1571	3,200	900
1251	Leonie Nielsen	43	1267	3,050	1,630
1252	Herman Berndt	25/26	4271	2,500	1,760
1253	Herman Berndt	43	3763	1,300	790
1254	L. E. Giller.....	9	1654	2,250	1,250
1255	N. Dastugue	23	930	4,150	1,190
1256	N. Dastugue	22	160	2,550	3,230
1257	A. Kuhlmann	52	3615	2,900	1,420
1258	Dan Nickitopoulos	1	6411	.....	1,010
1259	F. Ontano	17A	493	4,800	1,790
1260	Mary J. Roseneagle.....	25/26	5908	900	160
1261	Blanche L. Reinhart.....	18	2394	2,000	530
1262	J. A. Dowling.....	1	3913	500	21,500
1263	M. A. Harris.....	45/46	3777	3,900	68,850
1264	M. A. Harris.....	44	3707	40,000	45,000
1265	Mrs. B. Schlesinger.....	19	3723	2,900	.....
1266	Aaron Lewis	11	761	2,800	4,810
1267	Lena M. Moscane.....	16	496	13,500	2,480
1268	Kurt Huber	3	723	5,550	4,650
1269	Edgar H. Douglas	7	6915	1,700	1,070
1270	Augusta L. Jansen.....	23	1374	2,100	1,520
1271	Augusta L. Jansen.....	33	251	1,650	2,240
1272	Milton Righetti	2	1009	1,500	2,060
1273	Frank Sargent	12	596	4,550	2,410
1274	V. Bonzani	1B	6634	5,400	940
1275	M. Righetti	12/16	1019	6,000	7,260
1276	Anna Marchesi	7	6124	1,300	150
1277	Judah Boas	1	291	6,300	118,640
1278	O. Minudri	24	1181	1,550	1,930
1279	Kathleen Dolen	5	6574	7,000	2,790
1280	V. B. Triebel.....	15	826	3,600	1,950
1281	L. G. Fessenden.....	28A	2431	1,800	430
1282	F. J. Corr.....	12	3723	4,500	12,000
1283	Mary J. Bagley.....	3	3262	1,600	1,140
1284	W. E. Waite.....	39/40	7159	650	600
1285	T. Kolsberg	36	5618	1,300	250
1286	Hannah O'Connell	19	2057	.....	.....
1287	Hannah P. O'Connell.....	23	1407	1,550	1,490
1288	F. J. Corr.....	37/38	3723	300	4,320
1289	M. Kaufmann	12	346	10,000	7,690
1290	James J. Silvey.....	21	819	3,700	2,750
1291	M. Kaufmann	11	346	1,400	1,500
1292	Jos. A. Pelissero.....	18/19	242	17,500	4,880
1293	Clara C. West.....	7B	275	8,500	4,620
1294	Fannie S. Akard.....	44	1781	4,000	1,880
1295	Anna and Florence Chew.....	4	2431	1,800	380
1296	Anita M. Jones.....	1	863	2,800	2,210
1297	G. R. Chambers.....	43	2366	1,600	470
1298	S. Bloom	1	3618	3,150	1,890
1299	R. I. Gerhing.....	44C	2618	2,000	340
1300	N. V. Joscelyn.....	12	2364	1,600	450
1301	H. Warren	29	697	750	2,880
1302	A. Ivashinenko	17	757	1,200	3,140
1303	N. Franusich	15	1189	7,650	1,320
1304	E. D. Clapp.....	2/3/4	3530	3,750	3,880
1305	E. D. Clapp.....	23/25	1063	16,950	4,320

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1306	E. D. Clapp	1/1A	439A	11,250	2,740
1307	E. D. Clapp	15	769	3,100	6,980
1308	Katie Klicinovich	8	5540	600	220
1309	Louis Sockolov	19	612	11,000	10,070
1310	Mrs. T. A. Pedersen	10	3098	4,350	4,800
1311	John W. Henneberry	9	4004	900	820
1312	May P. Young	22	219	5,500	2,940
1313	Louise Vigil	26A	1126	300	1,240
1314	Kenneth Fitzpatrick	47	3706	147,500	525,000
1315	Kenneth Fitzpatrick	71/72	3704	80,000	693,600
				12,000	242,940
1316	Kenneth Fitzpatrick	3	350	296,500	600,000
1317	Caroline Bujannoff	2	2320	2,600	3,150
1318	Erminia Kerns	38	1770	1,350	1,880
1319	Annie Kennealey	9	2230	.....	300
1320	E. E. Simpson	9A&B	972	7,500	7,820
1321	E. E. Simpson	40	3708	21,500	21,060
1322	G. R. Chambers	1&33,34&35	2367	.....	1,500
1323	A. Lancaster	1A	6538	1,300	620
1324	E. N. Skinner	1	1671	18,500	2,200
1325	S. Lambert	44/45	3730	2,700	1,060
1326	A. Lemmermann	71	3619	3,000	1,140
1327	M. H. Hoare	73	3558	9,000	2,370
1328	L. Feierabend	34	1664	1,450	1,250
1329	Beulah Fletcher	3	1735	1,250	1,020
1330	E. D. Clapp	6	852	45,000	4,400
1331	Guiseppe Barogno	1/2/5	1093	250	1,150
				1,600	1,63€
1332	M. C. McGrath	12	1645	6,300	2,720
1333	M. C. McGrath	36	1664	1,450	1,250
1334	Adolph Merkel	19	1775	1,450	900
1335	Anna S. Langferd	5	1176	7,950	2,230
1336	Josephine Hickstrom	5	542	1,200	2,030
1337	John Gallois	3	770	3,500	7,200
1338	John Gallois	3	3725	5,950	10,720
1339	John Gallois	....	....	9,600	6,740
1340	John Gallois	10	337	.....	13,200
1341	Oscar R. Arkerman	28	1629	1,350	1,220
1342	Margaret Hass	10	1212	3,600	2,110
1343	Margt. M. Hass	17	987	4,000	2,980
1344	Patrick Crowley	17	1528	1,000	1,260
1345	Louise Lunde	13	1933	1,600	600
1346	Jennie Whisman	28	2362	1,600	480
1347	Maud Craig	9I	2328	400	800
1348	Sophie M. Philipp	25	2039	500	400
1349	Sophie M. Philipp	26/28	2039	.....	800
1350	Sybil A. White	30	679	2,800	1,570
1351	Mamie Asaro	5	1415	.....	.....
1352	F. E. Bravot	6	1790	1,800	650
1353	M. Mayerhofer (Agent)	30	3631	2,850	3,100
1354	Laura H. Johnson	29	1629	1,250	1,220
1355	Bertha Griepper	33/43	3065		
		41/42	3066		
		14	3090		
		44/45/48	3064		
		1	3064	.....	Total 2,550
1356	Lillian H. Wiese	9	1658	2,400	1,530
1357	D. Falk	2A	1174	5,400	1,550
1358	Mary C. Deroux	19	1012	7,500	3,060
1359	M. H. Hoare	40	1543	200	1,350
1360	D. P. Pope	3L	2320	2,000	600
1361	J. E. Delehanty	12	943	3,000	2,010

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
1362	Clara Solomon	10	1502	2,900	1,350
1363	Ethel McGillivray	11	689	13,800	5,530
1364	Meyer Terkeltaub	6	277	9,000	4,700
1365	M. Brown	26	1181	2,500	2,060
1366	R. W. Blosser	....	....	100,000	.....
				60,000	.....
				4,700	.....
				175,000	.....
				175,000	.....
1367	H. Hilton	1A	436C	2,800	1,340
1368	Emily P. Strauss	6D	480	4,950	1,710
1369	F. Pinto	7	844	6,200	1,840
1370	Leon Mendroudon	9	1283	4,500	1,540
1371	J. Ralph Sloan	23	1074	400	3,600
1372	G. A. Hansen	1	108	.....	82,960
1373	Betty D. Root (by Enid Root)	14	717	6,750	11,070
1374	F. Pinto	3K	2320	2,200	600
1375	F. Pinto	6A	3582	7,000	1,650
1376	Arthur C. Werdner	6	2319	1,800	450
1377	Maria Tocci	11	90	2,700	1,490
1378	M. P. Tocci	1/4/47/52	2077	.....	790
					1,440
1379	Mary Tocci	7	525	4,700	2,620
1380	Henry N. Diehl	7	572	2,600	1,800
1381	Maria Pieri	38C	6339	1,200	300
1382	C. D. Lieb	13	2371	.....	750
1383	M. C. Torpey	8	3649	.....	.....
1384	Mary C. Fowler	33	2616	1,100	1,140
1385	Katherine Torpey	88	1269	1,500	1,460
1386	Anna P. Reinhold	13	6629	400	150
1387	Mrs. Chas. McGlashan	21	6553	4,500	1,000
1388	J. R. Sloan	8/9	4043	300	1,500
1389	J. Ralph Sloan	2	2065	.....	380
1390	Mary J. McCambridge	18A	2481	2,100	410
1391	Mary J. Sullivan	15	821	1,100	1,880
1392	Angelina Cesana	14A	1644	1,950	1,760
1393	Albert Dudley	14	3203	2,100	840
1394	William J. Siebert	15	3581	1,350	1,250
1395	C. Zulberti	25	556	2,150	1,500
1396	Julia G. Holgerson	27	1635	3,250	1,360
1397	C. D'Angelo	22	64	700	1,170
1398	Thomas I. Dillon (Agent)	20	2624	100	2,330
1399	Sarah Mongan	21	2349	1,800	550
1400	Theresa V. Moitoga	29/30	652	4,800	5,500
1401	Catherine Lacoume	15	645	3,400	2,600
1402	Catherine Lacoume	10	249	7,000	3,580
1403	Louise Ziegler	....	....	1,700	2,200
1404	Annie Kennealey	14	1790	.....	650
1405	Annie Kennealey	14	2414	2,250	1,130

RECESS.

Thereupon, at 12 o'clock noon the Board of Equalization recessed until the afternoon session of the Board of Supervisors to receive the report of the Board of Appraisers.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 17, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 17, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Miles was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of July 3 and 5, 1933, was considered read and *approved*.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Seventeenth and Dolores streets.

Privilege of the Floor

Edw. McGahey, representing appealants, Standard Stations, Inc.; D. H. J. Hagel, pastor of Norwegian Lutheran Church, and Jno. Nordstrand, treasurer of Norwegian Lutheran Church, were heard in favor of the rezoning.

Jno. J. Welch, 3681 Eighteenth street; Jno. Kelly, representing himself; R. H. Stettin, secretary Mission Park Improvement Club; Mrs. Jas. A. Clare, 60 Dorland street, representing Mission Park Improvement Club and the parents of school children; R. F. S. McMillen, representing Central Mission Improvement Club; Nellie E. Rector, 3572 Seventeenth street; Mrs. Geo. Hood, 1016 Silver avenue, representing herself, and E. B. de Golia, chairman of the City Planning Commission, were heard in opposition to the proposed zone change.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Rezoning Seventeenth and Dolores Streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 910, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by Resolution No. 881, denying an application to rezone property located at the southeast corner of Seventeenth and Dolores streets from Second Residential District to Commercial District is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Transient Merchants.
(Code No. 3.041.)

On recommendation of Supervisors' Committee on Charter Installation.

Bill No. 241, Ordinance No. 3.04118, as follows:

Defining transient merchants and temporary stores, providing for the regulation of transient merchants, providing for issuance of permit for transient merchants, and providing for a fee to be paid therefor, and for the revocation of said permit, and providing the penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The words "transient merchants" for the purpose of this ordinance shall mean and include all persons, both principals and agents, who engage or conduct in one or more locations or in no specified location within the City and County of San Francisco, a temporary store or temporary or transient business of selling goods, wares or merchandise with the intention of continuing in said business in said City and County for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days. A merchant who operates a main or branch temporary store shall be a transient merchant as to said temporary store notwithstanding the fact that said merchant may operate other stores which are not temporary.

Section 2. As used in this ordinance, the words "temporary store" shall mean a store, store room, office, room, doorway space, vacant lot or other place, opened and/or maintained for the exhibition and present or future sale to the public, of goods, wares or merchandise, where the seller or owner of such goods, wares or merchandise intends to operate such store, store room, office, room or other place, for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to sales made to dealers by commercial travelers or selling agents in the usual course of business, for resale, nor to any sales of goods, wares or merchandise at and during the continuance of any annual fair, nor to any sales by societies acting for charity, religious or public purposes, nor to wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products who hold permits under Ordinance No. 3.04112 of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to auctioneers who are conducting auctions in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6803 (New Series) as amended, nor to operators of amusement parks licensed as such by said City and County, nor to peddlers licensed as such by said City and County, nor to sales of goods, wares and merchandise conducted at any public museum or art gallery owned by said City and County.

Section 4. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean any individual, association, partnership, or corporation, or the operator for or the agent of any such person, who sells to the public at retail as a transient merchant any goods, wares or merchandise, except as herein provided.

Section 5. Every transient merchant desiring to do business in the City and County of San Francisco shall make an application in writing to the Chief of Police of said City and County for a permit to operate as a transient merchant, which application shall be filed with said Chief of Police at least ten (10) days before such applicant shall be authorized to begin such business. The application shall contain a statement under oath, setting forth such information as the Chief of Police shall prescribe.

Section 6. Upon the granting of said permit the Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon filing of the bond referred to in Section 7 and payment

of the following fees: One hundred ($100) dollars per week or fraction thereof for the first two weeks and seventy ($70) dollars per week or fraction thereof for every week thereafter, plus in all cases two ($2) dollars for each one hundred ($100) dollars of retail inventory value of goods, wares or merchandise to be sold or exhibited for sale. Said values shall be stated in a sworn inventory filed with said Tax Collector at the time of the payment of said fee. The full amount of said fee shall be paid for each temporary store, whether a main store or a branch store. If for any reason the value of goods, wares or merchandise actually sold or exhibited for sale by said transient merchant shall exceed the value on which a fee has been based, said transient merchant shall pay without further demand a proportionate additional fee.

Section 7. The bond referred to in Section 6 shall be executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars. It shall be conditioned that the principal will pay to the City and County of San Francisco all amounts which may become due under the terms of this ordinance, shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and all other applicable ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations of any department thereof, and with all statutes of the State of California, relative to the conduct of the business in which said principal may be engaged, and that he shall pay all judgments recovered or rendered against him for any violation of said ordinances, statutes, rules or regulations, and further conditioned that said principal shall pay any and all judgments which may be recovered by any person, firm, association or corporation for injuries to person, loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of said business by said principal, as well as all damages sustained by any person, firm, association or corporation by reason of any misrepresentation or deception practiced by said principal or his agents or employees on any such person, firm, association or corporation transacting business with such transient merchant.

Section 8. Any person desiring to continue said business of transient merchant for a period longer than that stated in said application and permit, or desiring to renew said permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof. In the event of the renewal of said permit, said transient merchant shall pay the license fee herein provided in the same manner as he paid the license fee under his original license, and the liability insurance policy or bond herein referred to shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 9. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police. Applicants or permittees may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals any denial or revocation of permit hereunder.

Section 10. Any person whom said Chief of Police believes to be a transient merchant, but who declares himself not to be a transient merchant, upon filing such declaration in writing, and under oath as said Chief of Police shall direct, may, in the discretion of said Chief of Police, and in lieu of the payment of any fee or posting of any other bond, and as a condition of transacting business as a vendor of merchandise without the payment of any such fee, be required to file with said Chief of Police a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the declarant as principal and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of one thousand five hundred ($1,500) dollars upon the same conditions as those set forth in Section 7 of this ordinance and further conditioned to secure the payment of the fee required in the event that he proves to be a transient merchant, provided that if such person remains in business in the same place in said City and County of San Francisco for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days he shall be considered and deemed not to be a transient merchant.

Section 11. Actual operation of a business or store for less than one hundred twenty (120) days shall be prima facie evidence that said store or business was opened with the intention of operating less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 12. Any person who causes to be advertised in any manner a sale of goods as herein contemplated without complying with the provisions of this ordinance, or any person who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed six (6) months, or both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a permit shall be considered as separate and distinct offense.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Section 51 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

EXPLANATION.

Section 1. "Transient merchant" is one who conducts a temporary store for less than 120 days.

Section 2. Temporary store." Any place where goods are sold for less than 120 days.

Section 3. Exemptions:

Commercial travelers.
Annual fair.
Sales for charity, religious or public purposes.
Permittees under Wholesale Peddlers Ordinance.
Amusement parks.
Public museums or art gallery owned by the City and County.

Section 4. Defines "person."

Section 5. Permit to be obtained from Chief of Police.

Section 6. Fees:

$100 per week for first two weeks.
$70 per week thereafter.
$2 per $100 of goods.

Section 7. Requires bond of $500 to secure license fees and for public liability and property damage.

Section 8. Renewal of permit is made in same manner as application for permits.

Section 9. Provides for revocation of permits for cause and appeal to Board of Permit Appeals.

Section 10. Persons whom Chief of Police believes to be transient merchants, but who declare they are not transient merchants, must post bond of $1,500 to secure payment of fees. Bond is released if persons remain in business more than 120 days.

Section 11. Actual operation of a store for less than 120 days is prima facie evidence of intent to operate less than 120 days.

Section 12. Penalty clause.

Section 13. *Saving clause.*
Section 14. *Repeals conflicting ordinances.*

Amendment.

Supervisor Brown moved to amend paragraph 3 by inserting after the words "public purposes," line 5, the following: "nor to the resale of goods, wares and merchandise by any person, firm or corporation which has acquired a stock of such goods, wares and merchandise in bulk and offers the same to the public in premises which have been occupied for a period of not less than one year by the person, firm or corporation from which the said stock was so acquired, nor to any show, exhibition or performance licensed under the provisions of section 40 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco."

Amendment *accepted.*

Privilege of the Floor

Gerald O'Gara, attorney for Better Business Bureau, was heard in favor.

Lieutenant Daniel J. Collins, representing the Chief of Police, declared that the Department did not have enough men to enforce the ordinance.

Miss Francis Meeker, president of the Housewives' League, was also heard.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Controller to Become a Member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and Appropriating $100 for Annual Membership Dues.

(Code No. 9.0419.)

On recommendations of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 356, Ordinance No. 9.04192, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Controller to become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Controller thereof become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said Municipal Financial Officers Association of the United States and Canada, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership not to ex-

ceed one hundred ($100) dollars be paid out of Appropriation No. 78 with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Authorizing the Chief of Police and Captain of Inspectors to Attend Meeting of International Chiefs of Police at Chicago, July 31 to August 3, 1933, Granting Them a Leave of Absence of Sixty Days From and After July 25, 1933, and Appropriating $1,000 for Expense of said Trip.

(Code No. 5.93.)

Also, Bill No. 357, Ordinance No. 5.936, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco and the Captain of Inspectors of the San Francisco Police Department to attend the international meeting of the Chiefs of Police and to represent the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco Police Department thereat and making an appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expenses incident to such representation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That William J. Quinn, Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, and Charles W. Dullea, Captain of Inspectors of the San Francisco Police Department, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department at the meeting of the international chiefs of police to be held at the City of Chicago from July 31 to August 3, 1933, both dates inclusive, and said Chief of Police and said Captain of Inspectors are hereby authorized and directed to attend said meeting and for that purpose to absent themselves from the City and County of San Francisco for a period not exceeding sixty days from and after the 25th day of July, 1933.

Section 2. That for the purpose of defraying the expenses of said Chief of Police and said Captain of Inspectors there is hereby appropriated to said Chief of Police from Appropriation No. 21, 1933-1934 Budget, the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars to be expended in accordance with Section 219 of the Charter and the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors heretofore enacted regulating the payment of traveling expenses of persons in the service of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Providing for the Amount Per Diem, Traveling Expenses for Officers and Employees of the City and County.

(Code No. 9.056.)

Also, Bill No. 358, Ordinance No. 9.0562, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any officer or employee of the City and County

of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties shall under the authority of law or ordinance leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County, or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Authorizing the Mayor to Become a Member of the United States Conference of Mayors, and to Represent the City and County in Said Conferences, and Authorizing Payment of Annual Membership of $100.

(Code No. 2.01.)

Also, Bill No. 359, Ordinance No. 2.012, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor to become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Mayor thereof become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of said City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said United States Conference of Mayors and to represent the City and County in said conference.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership not to exceed one hundred ($100) dollars be paid out of Appropriation No. 78 with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 360, Ordinance No. 12.2010, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company dur-

ing the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day or night, except from* 7 *a. m. to* 10 *a. m., and from* 1 *p. m. to* 6 *p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3919 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided, that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 *a. m. and* 10 *a. m., and between* 1 *p. m. and* 6 *p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), as to Switching Hours on Bluxome Street Between Third and Sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.20.)

Also, Bill No. 361, Ordinance No. 12.2011, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), entitled 'Granting permission to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, lay down, maintain and operate spur tracks along, over and across certain public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,'" *so as to permit switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets at any hour of the day and night, except from* 7 *a. m. to* 10 *a. m., and from* 1 *p. m. to* 6 *p. m., providing that a flagman be stationed during switching hours at each crossing or intersection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 4085 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following language:

Provided that switching in Bluxome street between Third and Sixth streets may be done at any hour during the day and night, with the exception of between the hours of 7 *a. m. and* 10 *a. m., and between* 1 *p. m. and* 6 *p. m., and further that during switching hours a flagman be stationed at each crossing or intersection.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $175,000 from Relief Bond Fund for the Purpose of Caring for and Maintaining the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 372, Ordinance No. 9.05176, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $175,000 from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue 1932, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Relief Bond Fund, Issue of 1932, the sum of $175,000 for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of July, 1933.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended, and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the preservation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

(Request of C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Chief of Fire Department to Attend Meeting of International Association of Fire Chiefs, Cleveland, and Providing for Expense Therefor.

(Code No. 11.04.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 373, Ordinance No. 11.041, as follows:

Authorizing the Chief of the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco to attend the meeting of the International Association of Fire Chiefs to be held in the City of Cleveland and to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Fire Department at said meeting, and making an appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expense incident to said representation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco, having been directed by the Board of Fire Commissioners of said City and County to attend the meeting of the International Association of Fire Chiefs to be held in the City of Cleveland from August 20 to August 26, 1933, said Charles J. Brennan is hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Fire Department at said meeting and for that purpose to absent himself from the City and County of San Francisco for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days from and after the 10th day of August, 1933.

Section 2. That for the purpose of defraying the expenses of said Chief of said Fire Department there is hereby appropriated to him the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) from Appropriation No. 27, the said sum to be expended in accordance with Section 219 of the Charter and the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors heretofore enacted regulating the payment of traveling expenses to persons in the service of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Re-referred.

The following matter was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy *re-referred to Finance Committee and said Committee instructed to call for bids*:

Providing for Annual Audit of Controller's Books of Accounts.

(Code No. 9.061.)

Resolution No. 902, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1932-33, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. & Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, $50 per day; for senior accountant, $25 per day; for junior accountant, $15 per day, together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of $7,500, and shall be paid from Appropriation Item No. 3, 1933-34, Appropriation Ordinance.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Controller and Treasurer Authorized to Refund $70—Seven Laundry Permits Not Advertised.

(Code No. 9.058.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 903, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller and Treasurer be and are hereby authorized to refund $70, being payment of $10 each for seven laundry permits, to James M. Hanley, attorney for applicants, said applications having been filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors in 1930, and permits never advertised. Said refund approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Sale of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729.)

Resolution No. 892, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17291, Bill No. 276, approved June 1, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1933, for the sale of the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

And, whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Giuseppe Cuneo to purchase said land for the sum of $2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Giuseppe Cuneo has deposited the sum of $250 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Giuseppe Cuneo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Referred.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was *referred to the Public Buildings Committee*:

Authorizing Sale at Auction of Buildings at 46 Rosekrans Street and 1909 Palou Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1721.)

Resolution No. 904, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days' published notice, the City-owned building located at 46 Rosekrans street and the City-owned building located at 1909 Palou avenue, San Francisco, California, which buildings were acquired in connection with the Bernal Heights boulevard project and the extension of Silver avenue, respectively.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, said buildings to be removed by the purchaser or purchasers within 30 days from the date of sale.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed From E. B. Donovan, 4.4 Acres of Land in Santa Clara County.

(Code No. 12.17152.)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 905, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain quitclaim deed dated May 2, 1933, from E. B. Donovan, to 4.4 acres of land situated in Section 35, T. 5 S., R. 1 E., M. D. B. & M., Santa Clara County, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was *laid over one week*:

Resolution Favoring Consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco in 1940 to Celebrate Completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bridges.

(Code No. 5.95.)

Resolution No. 664, as follows:

Whereas, completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges will signalize the beginning of a new phase of San Francisco's existence as metropolis of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, these giant structures will be an attraction as engineering spectacles to tourists from all over the world and should be fittingly publicized; and

Whereas, the bridges will make San Francisco more accessible from the north and east and enhance the City's position as queen of the Pacific, the future arena of world events; and

Whereas, a previous undertaking of vital interest to San Francisco, namely, building of the Panama Canal, was celebrated by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as favoring consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco in 1940 to observe completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges and urge citizens and civic organizations to work towards that end.

March 6, 1933—*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*
July 6, 1933—*Public Welfare Committee recommends adoption.*

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Beverage Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041.)

Bill No. 374, Ordinance No. 3.04127.

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be *a member of the Health Advisory Board* of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint *the member of the Health Advisory Board*, the member of the Police Commission and the member of the City Planning Commission, who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners, and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners, *and upon payment of an additional filing fee in the amount of five* ($5) *dollars.*

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to *any corporation the majority of whose stock is not held by citizens of the United States of America* or to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States of America, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; *provided that any person, firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business at the premises described in the application for at least one year prior thereto shall be eligible to receive a permit.*

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges *signed* by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation

of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue, the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the charter.

Section 7. *Class "A"*. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. *Class "B"*. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to restaurants, lunch rooms, buffets, grill rooms, lunch counters, dining rooms of hotels, dining rooms of boarding houses and coffee shops, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to be consumed only at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the cases of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of this ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. *Class "C"*. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided for in this ordinance in halls, assemblies, auditoriums and *at picnics and circuses*, under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. *The fee for such permit shall be ten* ($10) *dollars for each day or continuous affair not exceeding twenty-four hours. No filing fee shall be required for Class "C" permits.*

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any *public* establishment or any place of business operated as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar, to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit

from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 15. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 16. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power as they exist independently of each other

Section 17. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any persons at their homes or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 18. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 19. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property, and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or denying said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 20. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 21. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 22. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 23. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

EXPLANATION

Section 4. The word "verified" before the words "written applica-

tion" eliminated. Addition to the last line of "and upon payment of an additional filing fee in the amount of five dollars".

Section 5. Addition after the words "issued to" on second line—"any corporation the majority of whose stockholders are not citizens of the United States of America". Last sentence changed to read "provided that any persons, firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business at the premises described in the application for at least one year prior thereto shall be eligible to receive a permit".

Section 6. The word "verified" after the words "written charges" in second line changed to "signed". Last sentence eliminated.

Section 7. Add the words "Class A".

Section 8. Add the words "Class B".

Section 9. Eliminate the words "bona fide" in fourth line, and eliminate the words "to bona fide guests only" in the 15th line.

Section 11. Add "Class C" on the first line. Add the words "at picnics and circuses" after the word "auditorium" in the sixth line, and change last four lines to read: "The fee for such permit shall be ten dollars for each day or continuous affair not exceeding 24 hours. No filing fee shall be required for Class "C" permits.

Section 12. The word "public" before the word "establishment" in the sixth line added; the word "solely" at the beginning of the seventh line eliminated.

Section 14. Deleted.

Section 20 (New Section 19). The word "revoking" in line ten corrected to read "denying".

Section 25. Emergency Clause deleted.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appointing San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals as Poundkeeper of City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.14)

Resolution No. 906, as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, a corporation incorporated and organized under the laws of the State of California, be and it is hereby appointed poundkeeper of the City and County of San Francisco and as such poundkeeper it shall have charge of the public pound as provided and established by Ordinance No. 11.141.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Grades on Shakespeare Street Between De Long Street and County Line.

(Code No. 12.071.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 375, Ordinance No. 12.0712, as follows:

Establishing grades on Shakespeare street between De Long street and county line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Shakespeare street between De Long street and the San Francisco County line are hereby established at points

hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed in this office July 10, 1933.

Shakespeare Street

Southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 410.50 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 410.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 412.00 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 412.00 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 407.50 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 407.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 405.00 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 405.00 feet.

5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rhine street northwesterly line, 402.40 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 1.5 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 403.27 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 401.40 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 401.40 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 392.00 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 392.00 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 580 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 376.00 feet.

33.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 580 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 376.00 feet.

5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) at De Long street southerly line, 320.45 feet.

33.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) at De Long street southerly line, 320.45 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) 25.90 feet northwesterly from De Long street southerly line, 314.00 feet.

On Shakespeare street between De Long street and the County boundary line be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Intention to Change Grades on Laidley Street Between Fairmount and Miguel Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 907, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the

points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 2686 of the Department of Public Works adopted June 23, 1933, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 28, 1933, to-wit:

Laidley Street.

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 295.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 297.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 13.85 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

23 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 11.52 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.50 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.29 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.79 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 306.70 feet.

Westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 307.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

23 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 37.94 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.69 feet.

Easterly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 304.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Accepting Deed to Land for Widening of Portola Drive.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 908, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated June 19, 1933, from Clara Menchen to certain land required for the widening of Portola Drive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 13, 1933.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing August 16, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 909, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing August 16, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Supervisors Protests Against the Levying of Tax Upon Gross Receipts of Publicly Owned Utility.

(Code No. 5.3.)

Resolution No. 911, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 30 was ratified by the electors of the State of California at an election held throughout the State on the 27th day of June, 1933; and

Whereas, it is provided in said amendment that the Legislature may levy such taxes as are not prohibited by the Constitution for the purpose of meeting the financial needs of the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in meeting assembled, does hereby respectfully protest against the levying of any tax upon the income or gross receipts of any publicly owned utility and do further protest against the charging of any tax against any person served by any publicly owned utility for the service furnished by said utility; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the Assembly, and to each member of the San Francisco delegation serving in the present session of the Legislature.

Further Resolved, That the members of the San Francisco delegation be and they are hereby requested to have included in any legislation enacted pursuant to said Constitutional Amendment, provisions specifically exempting publicly owned utilities and their consumers from any tax under authority of said amendment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a committee of officials and citizens that, in his judgment, will be fully qualified to present San Francisco's case to the people handling this matter (specific exemption of taxes proposed to be levied against publicly owned utilities and those served by them), and that said committee be authorized to proceed to Sacramento as soon as possible to present San Francisco's protest to the Tax Committee considering said matter.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisors' Committee.

Chairman Miles appointed Supervisors Colman, Peyser and Havenner to go to Sacramento and represent the Board of Supervisors in the foregoing matter.

The Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' San Francisco World's Fair Committee, and Outlining Its Functions.

(Code No. 9.53.)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 912, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee composed of the various civic and improvement clubs, fraternal organizations and commercial clubs, as well as outstanding citizens. Said Committee to be known as the San Francisco World's Fair Committee; and be it

Further Resolved, That the purposes and functions of said Committee shall be as follows:

To propagate the idea of a world's fair in San Francisco some time after the completion of the two great bridges now in course of construction;

To determine upon the type of Fair which is to be held and to formulate plans and details therefor;

To raise funds by private subscription or otherwise to defray the cost of the project; and

To fix upon and provide a site for said fair.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

Urging Allocation of Portion of Proposed Naval Construction to Mare Island Navy Yard.

(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 913, as follows:

Whereas, it has recently been established as the policy of the United States Government, through its Navy Department, that our Navy

shall be brought up to full strength and made adequate for the protection of our country; and

Whereas, such a policy will require the building of several naval vessels; and

Whereas, the Navy Yard at Mare Island is adequately equipped to perform this work and has established for itself an enviable reputation in the world of shipbuilding; and

Whereas, the designation by the Navy Department of Mare Island as the site for the construction of a fair proportion of these new ships will constitute a boon to business in the San Francisco Bay Area by the employment of several thousand men and the purchase of much material; and

Whereas, the City of Vallejo is almost entirely dependent for its existence upon the operation of Mare Island Navy Yard; and

Whereas, whatever benefits the City of Vallejo, redounds incidentally to the benefit of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Secretary Swanson of the Navy Department and does urge him to allocate to the Mare Island Navy Yard the construction of as many of the new naval vessels contemplated as is consistently possible; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Secretary Swanson and that another copy be forwarded to Hon. Fred Heegler, Mayor of the City of Vallejo.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden, Roncovieri, Spaulding—4.

Authorizing Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries to Construct Ferry Slip at Foot of Hyde Street.

(Code No. 23.01.)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 914, as follows:

Authorizing Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., to construct a ferry slip immediately west of its existing ferry slips devoted to the Berkeley ferry service and to remove its existing Sausalito ferry slips at the foot of Hyde street, in satisfaction of its obligations under section 9 of Ordinance No. 6762 (New Series).

Whereas, Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., successor to Golden Gate Ferry Company, is required under Section 9 of Ordinance No. 6762 (New Series) to remove its San Francisco ferry slips devoted to its ferry service between San Francisco and Sausalito to a new location, designated as Parcel No. 2 in said ordinance, after receipt of written notice from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco under certain conditions; and

Whereas, said so-called Sausalito ferry slips of said Ferry Company have been badly damaged by the colliding of a ferry steamer therewith and require immediate reconstruction or removal; and

Whereas, it is believed that the San Francisco-Sausalito service of said Ferry Company can be adequately accommodated by an additional ferry slip immediately west of the two ferry slips in San Francisco now devoted to the San Francisco-Berkeley service of said Ferry Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., be and it is hereby authorized to construct an additional ferry slip immediately west of its San Francisco ferry slips devoted to its San Francisco-Berkeley ferry service in accordance with blue print No. 13.572 on file with the Clerk of this Board and to demolish and remove its San Francisco ferry slips heretofore devoted to the San Francisco-Sausalito ferry service of said company; and that such construction and aban-

donment of ferry slips shall be taken and deemed to be full compliance on the part of said Ferry Company with the terms and conditions of section 9 of said Ordinance No. 6762 (New Series). Provided, however, that whenever the Railroad Commission of the State of California, or other body having jurisdiction in the premises, shall determine that public necessity and convenience no longer requires the use of said ferry slip which is to be constructed pursuant to this resolution, the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to take possession thereof, and alter or remove the same; and be it further

Resolved, That the permission herein granted to construct said ferry slip mentioned herein is dependent upon work on said slip being commenced within thirty (30) days after the date of this resolution, and further, upon said work upon said new slip being commenced the same will be prosecuted with all possible speed, and said slip to be demolished and removed will be demolished and removed as rapidly as said new construction will permit; and be it further

Resolved, That this resolution shall in no way change the terms or conditions of said Ordinance No. 6762 (New Series) except in so far as the location of said ferry slip mentioned in section 9 thereof is concerned, and said resolution is adopted for the purpose of carrying out the full intent and meaning of said ordinance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Spaulding—4.

Naval Base, San Francisco Bay Area.
(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 915, as follows:

Whereas, the recently enacted National Industrial Recovery Act authorizes the President of the United States to build up the Navy to Treaty strength, meaning thereby the London Naval Treaty; and

Whereas, in order to develop a well-rounded organization, certain shore bases are necessary; and

Whereas, it has long been the announced policy of the Navy Department to make San Francisco Bay the main naval operating base of the United States on the Pacific; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Navy has recently announced that he will make a request for some $50,000,000 additional for the purpose of developing suitable shore bases for the support of the fleet; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco most earnestly and most enthusiastically endorses the effort of the Secretary of the Navy to build up naval shore stations to such a point that they will adequately support the fleet; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will gladly cooperate with other civic organizations in San Francisco, and other interests exemplified by the splendid editorial appearing in one of the morning papers on that subject, to carry out the program contemplating the establishment on San Francisco Bay of the main Naval Operating Base of the United States Navy on the Pacific Ocean; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be furnished the Honorable Secretary of the Navy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Spaulding—4.

Board of Supervisors Recommends to State Legislature the Enactment of Legislation Providing for the Death Penalty for the Crime of Kidnaping.

(Code No. ———.)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 916, as follows:

Whereas, the entire Nation is appalled at the numerous kidnaping outrages perpetrated throughout the country; and

Whereas, in some instances the victims are put to indescribable torture before finding a merciful release in death; and

Whereas, public sentiment has been aroused to such a pitch that immediate steps must be taken to enact legislation severe enough to permit of the death penalty for persons convicted of the crime of kidnaping; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors recommends to the State Legislature that it forthwith amend the statutes of the State of California to make kidnaping a capital offense and that anyone convicted thereof shall be punished by death or life imprisonment without right of parole; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to our State Senators and Assemblymen at the State Capital, and that they bend every effort to enact such legislation.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Commending The Chronicle for Support of Proposed San Francisco Bay Area Navy Base.

(Code No. 5.2.)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 917, as follows:

Whereas, the selection of the San Francisco Bay Area as a Navy Base by the United States Government is the most forward looking movement of moment before the San Franciscan; and

Whereas, while political, civil and industrial bodies have been most active in the fight for San Francisco in this connection, the press, and particularly the San Francisco Chronicle, has been most generous in its editorials in behalf of the Bay Area, which have been both timely and far reaching in their effect upon the public mind; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby commends the San Francisco Chronicle for its efforts to secure a Navy Base for San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to The San Francisco Chronicle, and to the Senators and Congressmen at Washington who represent the State of California, with the prayer that their efforts continue unceasingly to secure for San Francisco the proposed San Francisco Bay Area Navy Base.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Spaulding—4.

In Memoriam: Thomas F. Bryant.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 918, as follows:

Whereas, death has called the father of Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, a well-beloved pioneer and labor leader in San Francisco for a half century, who as a boy came around the Horn before the mast, settled in San Francisco, married and raised a large family of

boys, of whom four sons and two grandchildren survive him, was president of the Riggers and Stevedores' Union for twenty-five years and president of the City Front Federation for three years; previous to being pensioned, he was an employee of the School Department of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of Thomas F. Bryant, that a copy of this resolution be spread in the Journal, and a copy sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Lieutenant Henry N. Powell.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 919, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with sincere sorrow and deep commiseration the passing of Police Lieutenant Henry N. Powell, who died unexpectedly a few days ago.

As head of the Police Inspection Bureau, Lieutenant Powell gave a splendid account of his stewardship, and in his death San Francisco loses an admired and worthy public officer, and his family a devoted and loving father; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Henry N. Powell, and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of this meeting and a copy be sent to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Re Charges of "Sunset Courier" Against Administration of Unemployment Relief.

Supervisor Havenner moved that charges made in "Sunset Courier" against the administration of unemployment relief be considered by the Citizens' Advisory Committee and reported on to the Board of Supervisors at meeting Monday, July 24, 1933.

So ordered.

Report of the Board of Appraisers.

The following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 17, 1933.

To the County Board of Equalization, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Fourteen hundred and five applications for reduction of assessment were filed with your Honorable Board.

Your Committee on Appraisal has investigated the applications and recommends the following reductions:

R/E No.	Name	Block	Lot	Improvements Reduction Recommended
35	Linstedt	634	15	$ 500
92	Constantine	129	2A	1,000
99	Shrider	249	3	200
132	Welter	3606	10	500
141	Wade	652	35	100
146	Bendixen	1087	24/25	600
147	Harder	1260	28	1,000
148	Hehwiesner	1336	11/12/13	1,000
156	Heineberg	1339	15	400
194	Siek	1460	17	250
200	Murphy	1267	28	400
207	Salemen	254	16	500
208	Bacigalupi	115	26	75
			27	50
219	Axelred	770	21/22	2,000
241	Brown	1236	11	450

268	Deherty	3633	7	100
275	Jacobsen	1501	4	200
276	Rucca	126	15	150
279	Reite	805	35	500
300	Cerruti	4761	4/5	100
316	Chapin, W. W.	638	4	500
336	Heskins	88	9	800
364	Canepa	114	34	300
			35	200
435	Risso	3568	80	200
440	Sachs	1525	41	500
450	Petersen	1338	14	300
452	Meyer	3511	35	250
456	Reilly	717	6/7	500
475	Wilson	272	19	400
481	Lapuyade	1417	36	450
492	Moore	982	4	450
501	Raisch	711	17	750
518	Halpern	1449	41	250
519	Tozer	480	6F	300
525	Romagna	147	9	150
549	Wedell	798	5	250
551	Grundel	3722	14	100
574	Dodge	348	14	2,000
594	Reggiardo	3755	90	100
618	Adair	1849	26	300
655	Behrend	1014	7A	350
664	Duhem	570	6	900
689	Brennan	1856	14	100
710	Allen	1278	20	150
720	Grube	636	1	600
725	Cross	2633	2	200
740	Adams	4000	11	800
755	Copeland	214	1	500
756	Copeland	257	21	500
757	Copeland	259	12	500
791	Fick	213	5	150
805	Silverstein	1061	9	400
823	Cohen	540	8	300
840	Isaksen	3588	2	350
925	Luders	188	19A	300
927	Barwald	554	19	300
944	Mignacco	641	3/3A	300
1109	Fox	723	19	350
1188	Kahman	3112	5	100
1194	One	226	30	500
1199	Goodman	778	9	150

It is recommended that the remaining applications for reduction in assessment be denied.

An examination of the field maps and revaluation sheets in the Assessor's office showed that a very large percentage of the applications filed had already been reduced by the Assessor.

Respectfully submitted,

JOS. J. PHILLIPS,

Director of Property and Director Appraisal Committee.

The recommendations above set forth are approved and concurred in by each of us, duly authorized to serve the Board of Equalization in an advisory capacity.

GEO. H. THOMAS, JR.,
Chairman Land Appraisal Division.

A. D. DISSTON,
Chairman Building Appraisal Division.

Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to amend the report granting a $600 reduction to Dr. Chambers on chapel at Thirty-eighth avenue and Noriega street.

No objection and it was so ordered.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Peyser, moved to amend the report in reference to the application of Mrs. F. Deroux by reducing assessment from $6,750 to $5,750.

Motion *carried*. Supervisor Colman requesting to be recorded as voting *no*.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved to amend the report reducing assessment of Mrs. Hyde, 145 Carmel street, "improvements" from $1,400 to $1,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Peyser—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that report be amended by reducing property at 635 Sutter street, Beresford Hotel, $5,000 on "improvements."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Peyser—2.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

Mr. Stanley Welch, 118 Commonwealth avenue, Mr. C. A. Rosea, 1580 Golden Gate avenue, and Constantine Figone, were also heard requesting reductions in their property.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing report of the Board of Appraisers was *approved as amended* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 28—New Series No. 32

Monday, July 24, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

11

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 24, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 24, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Quorum present.

President Hayden, being in charge of arrangements for Governor's conference, was excused from the meeting.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Miles was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Beverage Ordinance.
(Code No. 3.041.)

Bill No. 374, Ordinance No. 3.04127.

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be *a member of the Health Advisory Board* of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint *the member of the Health Advisory Board*, the member of the Police Commission and the member of the City Planning Commission, who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners, and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board

his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners, *and upon payment of an additional filing fee in the amount of five* ($5) *dollars.*

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to *any corporation the majority of whose stockholders are not citizens of the United States of America* or to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States of America, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; *provided that any person, firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business at the premises described in the application for at least one year prior thereto shall be eligible to receive a permit.*

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges *signed* by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue, the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An

appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the charter.

Section 7. *Class "A"*. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. *Class "B"*. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to restaurants, lunch rooms, buffets, grill rooms, lunch counters, dining rooms of hotels, dining rooms of boarding houses and coffee shops, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to be consumed only at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the cases of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of this ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. *Class "C"*. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided for in this ordinance in halls, assemblies, auditoriums and *at picnics and circuses*, under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. *The fee for such permit shall be ten* ($10) *dollars for each day or continuous affair not exceeding twenty-four hours. No filing fee shall be required for Class "C" permits.*

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any *public* establishment or any place of business operated as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar, to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such

permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 15. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 16. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power as they exist independently of each other.

Section 17. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any persons at their homes or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 18. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 19. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property, and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or denying said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 20. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 21. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 22. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 23. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Skelly, secretary of the Beverage Commission, explained the various proposed changes in the ordinance.

Amendments.

Supervisor Brown moved to amend Section 5, line 2, by striking out the words "whose stockholders are not" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "the majority of whose stock is not held by citizens of the United States.

No objection and it was so ordered.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3.

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance Section 3 thereof; which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412 as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of foodstuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty dollars ($20) and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspections are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a

license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances) shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspections hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspections for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to their several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporation occupying premises within their respective beats and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

The following matter was *referred to the Mayor's conference on Federal appropriation for public works*:

Proposed Survey of High Pressure System.
(Code No. 11.0434.)

Resolution No. 825, as follows:

Whereas, in 1906 San Francisco was visited with a conflagration the seriousness of which is most vividly impressed upon our memories; and

Whereas, much of the damage and resulting loss of that most disastrous event was occasioned by the lack of water and equipment with which to properly and effectively combat the fire; and

Whereas, as a result of this experience, it became the avowed intention and purpose of those charged with the conduct of government to take immediate and complete action to the end that, in future, such a situation might be adequately and efficiently dealt with, and accordingly the installation of a city-wide high pressure system was commenced; and

Whereas, the zeal with which this program was originally initiated has greatly diminished and the plans have not wholly materialized due to lack of funds; and

Whereas, it is most vital to the welfare and protection of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that an adequate and efficient high pressure system be maintained throughout the entire city; and

Whereas, estimates of the cost of installing most urgently needed extensions are as follows:

Potrero District	$ 550,000.00
Mission District	100,000.00
South of Market District	50,000.00
Clay Street Hill District	50,000.00
North Beach District	65,000.00
Marina and Golden Gate Valley District	350,000.00
Hayes Valley District	250,000.00
Park-Presidio District	275,000.00
Sunset District	175,000.00
	$1,865,000.00

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as favorable to the extensions of the high pressure system as herein enumerated; and be it

Further Resolved, That from the funds anticipated from the Federal Government for public works this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,865,000 for high pressure system extensions in the districts herein mentioned.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $558 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the Employment of Temporary Employees in Office of the Treasurer From July 1 to August 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 364, Ordinance No. 9.05174, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $558 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of

two temporary employees from July 1 to August 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's Office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from July 1 to August 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's Office.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Supplemental Appropriation of $3,780 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the Employment of Two Senior Bookkeepers in Office of Controller During Fiscal Year 1933-1934.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 365, Ordinance No. 9.05175, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $3,780 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the employment of two temporary senior bookkeepers in the office of the Controller at salaries of $175 per month each, less salary reductions, during the fiscal year 1933-1934, and who shall be required particularly to check and audit the unemployment relief schedules.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $3,780 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the employment of two temporary senior bookkeepers in the office of the Controller at salaries of $175 per month each, less salary reductions, during the fiscal year 1933-1934, and who shall be required particularly to check and audit the unemployment relief schedules.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle and Compromise the Action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo Pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco Against the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 6.0222.)

Also, Bill No. 366, Ordinance No. 6.02227, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to settle and compromise the action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended that the action of L. J. Alaimo and Frances R. Alaimo against the City and County of San Francisco be settled and compromised by the payment to the plaintiffs in said action the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars in full settlement of all of their claims against the City and County of San Francisco, the said City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle the said action by the payment to

the plaintiffs therein of the said sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars upon the said plaintiffs executing and delivering a good and sufficient receipt, approved by the City Attorney, accepting said sum in full payment and satisfaction of all of their claims against the City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller is hereby requested to approve a demand against the appropriate fund for said sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars upon the delivery to him of said receipt.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amending Ordinance Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; Substituting Member of Health Board for Chief Administrative Officer.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 367, Ordinance No. 3.04126, as follows:

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be a member of the Health Advisory Board of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint the member of the Health Advisory Board, the member of the Police Commission, and the member of the City Planning Commission who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners, and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board, his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a verified written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5.00) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners

shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America, or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; provided that any firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business in San Francisco for at least one year shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges verified by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the Charter. During the first three months of the existence of this ordinance said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant temporary thirty-day permits upon payment of the initial license fee installment hereinafter mentioned.

Section 7. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to bona fide restaurants, lunch rooms, buffet, grill room, lunch counter, dining room of hotel, dining room of boarding house, and coffee shop, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to bona fide guests only, to be consumed at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the case of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspec-

tions made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of the ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July, and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided in this ordinance, in halls, assemblies and auditoriums under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. The fee for such permit shall be $10.00 for each day or fraction thereof, and shall be issued in the same manner heretofore provided for.

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any establishment or any place of business operated solely as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 16. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 17. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power, as they exist independently of each other.

Section 18. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any person at his home or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion, of the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 19. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 20. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or revoking said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 21. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 22. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 23. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 24. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Establishing a Bureau of Employment Registration.

(Code No. 5.42.)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 368, Ordinance No. 5.421, as follows:

Establishing a Bureau of Employment Registration for the purpose of identifying bona fide residents of the City and County seeking employment upon municipal and other projects in the City and County of San Francisco, and for the purpose of carrying out the Charter provisions relative to a preference in employment to bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the necessary employees of said bureau, and making an appropriation for the maintenance of said bureau, and providing for penalties for the violation hereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows, to-wit:

Section 1. There is hereby established a Bureau of Employment Registration under the Chief Administrative Officer. The head of said bureau shall be the Director of Finance and Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Upon recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer and head of said bureau, the Board of Supervisors may appoint a superintendent of said bureau, and such other employees as may be necessary to carry out the purposes thereof, all in accordance with the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter, and may accept the

services of such volunteer workers as he may deem necessary to aid in the carrying out of the work of said bureau.

Section 3. The head of said bureau shall obtain suitable offices for said bureau and the necessary equipment for the conduct thereof.

Section 4. Said bureau is created and shall be maintained for the purpose of registering all persons seeking employment who are, at the date of said registration, and for one year prior thereto, have been, bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. It shall be the duty of the head of said bureau or the superintendent thereof to register or cause to be registered any person who at the date of application for registration is and who for at least one year prior thereto was a bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and who may make application to be so registered. The head of said bureau shall keep or cause to be kept a record of said registration, which shall show the name of the person registered, his or her place of residence in San Francisco, together with such other data as may be deemed advisable by the head of said bureau. A card of identification containing the above mentioned data shall be issued to each registrant, which said card shall be signed by the superintendent or other authorized employee of the bureau and countersigned by the registrant, for the purpose of identification, and when said identification card is so issued it shall, for a period of one year from the date thereon, be prima facie evidence to all to whom the same is presented, that the person described in said card is, and for the period of time stated on said card has been a bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. Any card of identification issued as herein provided may be revoked, and the registration of the person to whom the same has been issued cancelled, if the said card has been issued or the person named therein registered through any fraud or misstatement of said registrant or by any other person relative to the residential qualifications of said registrant.

Section 7. The superintendent of said bureau and such other employees as may be delegated to so do shall carefully investigate the residential qualifications of each applicant for registration, and no person shall be registered who has not been an actual and bona fide resident of the City and County of San Francisco for at least one year prior to the date of said application for registration. For the purpose of ascertaining the residential qualifications of applicants for registration, the head of said bureau and all other employees thereof may make such inquiries as they shall deem proper from any person or persons touching the residential qualifications of any applicant for registration, and any person who shall knowingly give any false information touching the residential qualifications of any applicant for registration shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and on conviction thereof shall be punished according to law.

Section 8. Any person holding an identification card issued pursuant to this ordinance, who shall deliver the same to any other person to be used by said person to whom the same is delivered, or any person other than the person to whom the same is issued who shall make use of any identification card issued pursuant to this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished according to law.

Section 9. No person shall hereafter be employed on any public work being prosecuted by the City and County of San Francisco, directly or by contract, unless said person possesses a card of registration and identification issued in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. Provided, however, that employments on any work of the City and County which may hereafter be undertaken and which is to be paid for or financed under the authority of the act of Congress commonly known as the "National Industrial Recovery Act," shall be

subject to the provisions of said act and to regulations made by competent authority regarding the same.

Section 10. It shall be the duty of the superintendent of said bureau to contact the employers of labor in the City and County of San Francisco and to endeavor to prevail upon said employers to give preference in employment to persons holding identification and registration cards issued under authority of this ordinance, and to co-operate with the United States Department of Labor to the end that those who are registered under authority of this ordinance find employment.

Section 11. There is hereby appropriated to the Director of Finance and Records from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), 1933-34 Budget, the sum of $750 for contractual services for said bureau for the year 1933-34.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco, and Establishing the Boundaries of Said District, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

(Code No. 11.08.)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 355, Ordinance No. 11.088, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected within the territory bounded by Washington street on the south, Fillmore street on the west, Van Ness avenue on the east, and by a line midway between Green street and Union street on the north in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, that no building for human occupancy within said district shall be more than eight (8) stories in height.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 8 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during

FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Zone Change, District Bounded by Broadway, Laguna, Fillmore and Green Streets, From First Residential to Second Residential District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Bill No. 371, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from First Residential to Second Residential District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northern line of Broadway with the westerly line of Laguna street, and running thence westerly along said northern line of Broadway to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence northerly along said line of Fillmore street to the southerly line of Green street; thence easterly along said line of Green street to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence southerly along said line of Laguna street to the northerly line of Broadway and the point of commencement; excepting, however, all the lots in said area fronting on Green street; being all of Block No. 564, all of Block No. 565, all of Block No. 566, and the lots in Blocks numbered 555, 556 and 557, fronting on the northerly line of Vallejo street, now zoned and classified as in a First Residential District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Second Residential District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5464 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Cancellation of an Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 920, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that an erroneous assessment was made against Lot 14F of Block 6475, as follows:

Assessment Roll of 1927—Building assessed for $500, whereas no building existed.

Assessment Roll of 1928—Building assessed for $500, whereas no building existed.

Assessment Roll of 1929—Building assessed for $500, whereas no building existed.

Assessment Roll of 1930—Building assessed for $800, whereas no building existed.

Assessment Roll of 1931—Building assessed for $800, whereas no building existed.

and that said erroneous assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said erroneous assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Cancellation of Assessment Against City-Owned Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 921, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932 Real Estate Roll, Lot 14, Block 1574, is assessed under the name of Louis H. Schutt and tax of $22.18 levied. This property is now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco; being City property this assessment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Authorizing Islais Creek Reclamation District Payments.

(Code No. 12.04.)

Also, Resolution No. 922, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 293 to Director of Public Works for $285.68, No. 294 to J. B. West for $125, No. 295 to J. B. West for $125, No. 296 to H. Windt for $651.78—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *laid over one week*:

Sale of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729.)

Resolution No. 892, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17291, Bill No. 276, approved June 1, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1933, for the sale of the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

And, whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Giuseppe Cuneo to purchase said land for the sum of $2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Giuseppe Cuneo has deposited the sum of $250 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,500; now; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Giuseppe Cuneo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Action Deferred.

The following bill from Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation was *laid over one week* on motion of Supervisor Brown by the following vote:

Providing for the Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in Connection with the Issuance of Licenses and Permits for the Operation of Motor Vehicles Engaged in the Business of or Used for Transporting Passengers for Hire.

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 369, Ordinance No. 11.01115, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (B) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111 entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers, and repealing Ordinance No. 11.01113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury of any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000 instead of $10,000 as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more

than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on the 1st day of August, 1933, *of* $10,000, *and thereafter shows a monthly increase of* $500 *until the sum of* $25,000 *shall have been accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations in the sum of* $25,000, *shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned, unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 1st day of each month, after the 1st day of August,* 1933, *advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.*

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series) shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.01113 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Roncovieri—8.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—6.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Regulating the Sale of Bread, Meat, Coal, Milk, Cream, Butter, Ice, Hay, Straw, Grain, Mill Feed and Other Commodities and Merchandise.

(Code No. 17.04)

On recommendation of Health Committee.

Bill No. 370, Ordinance No. 17.042, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the sale of bread, meat, coal, milk, cream, butter, ice, hay, straw, grain, mill feed and other commodities and merchandise; requiring inspection by the Sealer of Weights and Measures and providing a penalty for the violation thereof," in effect April 8, 1914, *by requiring meat advertised or offered for sale to be sold by weight.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. All meat, *advertised, or sold, or offered for sale,* at any place of business, except shanks, offal, heads and plucks, and wild game, shall be *advertised* and sold by weight, and shall be weighed in a scale by weight or a beam, properly sealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was *laid over one week*:

Favoring Consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco in 1940 to Celebrate Completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bridges.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 664, as follows:

Whereas, completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges will signalize the beginning of a new phase of San Francisco's existence as metropolis of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, these giant structures will be an attraction as engineering spectacles to tourists from all over the world and should be fittingly publicized; and

Whereas, the bridges will make San Francisco more accessible from the north and east and enhance the City's position as queen of the Pacific, the future arena of world events; and

Whereas, a previous undertaking of vital interest to San Francisco, namely, building of the Panama Canal, was celebrated by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as favoring consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco to observe completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges and urge citizens and civic organizations to work towards that end. Be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a citizens' committee to carry out the purport of the above resolution.

Communication From San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

The following was read into record in connection with foregoing:

July 11, 1933.

Honorable James E. Power, Jr., Chairman, Public Welfare Committee, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Power: I have for acknowledgment your letter of July 7, in which you ask the Chamber of Commerce for an expression of its opinion regarding the advisability of holding an exposition in San Francisco upon the completion of our two bridges.

Several months ago the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce instituted a fact-finding survey in order to develop the costs of various types of celebration and the obligations that they would impose upon public and private resources.

We believe, particularly in these times, that any celebration which would call for extraordinary expenditures should be given very serious consideration and that all available facts should be presented to those who in the final analysis would be called upon to foot the bill.

Within the very near future, and I hope before your meeting of July 17, we will present the Board of Supervisors through you a report covering the results of our investigation. Copies of this report also will be sent to the Boards of Supervisors of all the Bay counties, Chambers of Commerce and the Down Town Association of San Francisco which is cooperating with us.

A world's fair, comparable to the exposition of 1915, is a large order; larger than any organization could consistently advocate until all of the facts had been studied and approved by the business leaders of our entire Bay area. Once these facts are known and approved, however, it will be our desire to be as helpful as possible in carrying the project to a successful realization.

Copies of the survey, to which I have referred, will be sent to members of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and the Down Town Association within the next few days outlining the costs of various forms of celebration similar to the exposition of 1915, the Portola of 1909 and the California Diamond Jubilee of 1924. Accompanying this survey will be a questionnaire through which our business leaders will be given an opportunity to say what form of celebration, if any, they desire.

The policy of the Chamber of Commerce will be determined largely by the response to this questionnaire and I will be glad to advise you when the returns are in.

Very truly yours,
GEORGE J. PRESLEY,
Executive Vice-President.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Ordering the Improvement of Thirty-seventh Avenue Between Moraga and Noriega Streets, and Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061127 to Correct Clerical Error.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 378, Ordinance No. 12.061129, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

April 17, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in *three (3) installments;* that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be *one year* from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be *seven per centum per annum.*

The improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street, by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer with Y branches and brick manholes.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 12.061127 is hereby repealed to correct clerical error.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., Agreement in Re Ferry Slips.

The following communication was presented by Supervisor Colman, read by the Clerk and *accepted by the Board of Supervisors, ordered placed on file and entered at length in the Journal*:

July 22, 1933.

Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher, care Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

My dear Supervisor Gallagher: This will confirm my verbal statements to you and the Board of Supervisors on July 17, at the time of adoption of Resolution No. 914, authorizing the revised plan for ferry slips at the foot of Hyde street.

The Ferry Company expressly agrees:

First: That the Ferry Company agrees to maintain the slip and breakwater until such time as the Railroad Commission might determine that the public convenience and necessity no longer require the use of the new ferry slip.

Second: At that time, if the City does not decide to take over the slip and breakwater, the Ferry Company will remove it at its own expense.

Third: If the City should apply to the Legislature for a grant from the State of the property at the southwesterly corner of Hyde and Lewis streets, which was omitted from the previous grant, the Ferry Company will make no objection to such grant by the Legislature.

I believe the foregoing statements cover our verbal understanding of the matters which were not expressed in the resolution itself, and I

have no objection to your filing this letter with the Clerk of the Board as a permanent record.

With sincere appreciation of your helpful cooperation in working out this problem, I am

Very truly yours,

E. J. FOULDS.

South End Rowing Club Designated San Francisco's Representative.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 923, as follows:

Whereas, Harold Tower, William Van Voorhis, Hayes McLellan, Morris Matheson, George Richardson, Robert Cumming, Joseph Fratessa and Peter Donlon, having heretofore participated in various events for the South End Rowing Club of San Francisco and are now the holders of the Pacific Coast Senior Four Oar Amateur Championship and the holders of the Lightweight Singles Championship; and

Whereas, it is the desire of this Board that said oarsmen shall constitute the rowing team of the City and County of San Francisco and shall during the year 1933 be entitled to participate in any and all events as the team representing the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the aforesaid persons be and they are hereby designated as the rowing team of and representing the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

General Italo Balbo and His Flying Squadron Commended and Invited to San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 924, as follows:

Whereas, Italy's contribution to the Century of Progress Exposition consisted in the most astounding aeronautical achievement for this type of endeavor that the world has ever witnessed; and

Whereas, this triumphal feat is deserving of the highest commendation both for the daring of the plan and the manner in which it was executed; and

Whereas, General Italo Balbo, the intrepid leader of this gallant band of flying envoys, has won for himself and his comrades a niche in the hearts of the American public; and

Whereas, because of the success of this recent flight, Italy, through its Premier, Benito Mussolini, now plans a world flight of the same character; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on behalf of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby extend to General Italo Balbo and his valiant squadron its warmest felicitations and regards, together with its highest commendation upon this colossal accomplishment; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby petition the Italian Government, through its ambassador at Washington, and does respectfully urge that when the itinerary for the contemplated world flight is being formulated, San Francisco shall be designated as a stopping place; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the

Italian ambassador at Washington with the request that one be forwarded to his government and another to General Italo Balbo.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Urging Immediate Construction of Federal Building.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 926, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco was put to considerable expense through litigation and purchase of property in connection with the site which was donated for the purpose of erecting a Federal building in the Civic Center; and

Whereas, the site for the proposed Federal building presents a most unattractive appearance in its present condition and constitutes a potential menace to traffic and pedestrians; and

Whereas, San Francisco building labor is sorely in need of the stimulation which immediate construction of this building would supply; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be instructed to communicate with President Roosevelt, Senators Johnson and McAdoo, as well as Representatives Kahn and Welch, in an effort to determine the status of the contract for this work, and further to prevail upon them to exert their best influence to the end that the commencement of this project may be expedited as soon as possible.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Health Department Land on Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1721.)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 927, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17272, Bill No. 333, approved June 14, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 30, 1933, for the sale of the following described parcel of City-owned land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue 25 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 25 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block No. 148.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from Etta Sanders to purchase said parcel for the sum of $2,250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Etta Sanders has deposited the sum of $225 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,250 is more than 90 per cent of the prelimi-

nary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,250; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $2,250; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Etta Sanders. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer to Cooperate with Blanket Code Plan.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 928, as follows:

Whereas, the President in his endeavor to return the nation to an era of prosperity, is advocating a program designed to increase the wage scale of employees and reduce their working hours; and

Whereas, an appeal is about to be made through the National Recovery Administration to 5,000,000 employers throughout the United States for cooperation and assistance in putting this plan into effect; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer are hereby respectfully requested to give consideration and lend their aid in establishing the Blanket Code for the employees of this City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request a report within thirty (30) days from his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer as to the success of their efforts to apply the Blanket Code to City employees of this City and County and does hereby pledge itself to assist his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer in every mannner at its command for the purposes herein mentioned.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Personnel.

Authorizing and Directing the Purchaser of Supplies to Receive Proposals and Award Contract for Printing, Publishing and Distributing the Delinquent Tax List, Etc., Fiscal Year 1933-1934.

(Code No. 3.03)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 925, as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized and directed to procure proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinquent real estate taxpayers and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Publication of Delinquent Tax List.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 24, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: For the purpose of enabling your Board to fix the rate for advertising the delinquent tax list for the fiscal year 1932-1933, I have advertised for bids for publishing, printing and distributing the list. The following bids were received:

(1) The Chronicle Publishing Co., 7.1 cents per line printed in tax list. 1.5 cents per line for each insertion in sales list.

(2) California Printing Company, .33 per line.

(3) The Organized Labor Publishing Company, .03 9/10 per line.

(4) Daily News Company, Ltd., 8.3 cents per line printed in tax list. 2.1 cents per line for each insertion in sales list.

(5) The Dolores Press, 5 cents per line.

(6) National Industrial Review, 3 cents per line.

(7) The Monitor Publishing Co., .0519 per line.

I am advised by the City Attorney that the law provides that the Board of Supervisors should fix the rate to be paid for the publication. Also advised by the Tax Collector that it is necessary that the delinquent list should go to the printer immediately in order that the first publication may be made not later than August 8.

I am enclosing a resolution prepared by the City Attorney fixing the rate for the fiscal year 1932-1933.

Very truly yours,

T. A. BROOKS, Purchaser of Supplies.

Fixing the Official Rate for Printing, Publishing and Distributing the Delinquent Tax List.

(Code No. 3.03)

Whereupon, Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 929, as follows:

Resolved, That the official rate for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933, is hereby set at three cents per twelve em line of six-point size type. This rate to include the entire charge for three publications, together with all charges appertaining to the publication of the above mentioned delinquent tax list, including all specially bound books and all other conditions that are necessary for the legal publication of this list as specified and outlined by the Tax Collector.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Unlawful for Persons Other Than the Blind to Carry White Canes, and Requiring Motorists to Stop at Intersections When Persons Carrying a White Cane Enter Said Intersections.

(Code No. 11.00)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Bill No. 379, Ordinance No. 11.0003, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of white canes by persons other than the blind, requiring motorists to stop at intersections when any person or per-

sons enter an intersection carrying a white cane, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to carry a white cane unless said person is a blind person.

Section 2. Any person operating a motor vehicle shall bring such motor vehicle to a stop at any intersection in this City and County when a person carrying a white cane enters said intersection.

Section 3. Any person violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $100 or imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed three months.

Referred to Committee on Fire, Safcty and Police.

Mayor Requested to Call Conference of Mayors of San Francisco Bay Communities to Secure Funds Necessary for the Location of a Main Naval Operating Base on San Francisco Bay.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 930, as follows:

Whereas, the recently announced intention of the Secretary of the Navy to ask for allocation of funds from the National Industry Recovery Act to build up necessary shore stations of the Navy to round out a well-planned naval establishment; and

Whereas, the establishment of a main naval operating base on the San Francisco Bay has been accepted from the adoption of the Helm Commission as the necessary naval defense policy for the Pacific Coast of the United States; and

Whereas, an agreement among all the communities on San Francisco Bay is desirable to secure a united front in presenting the claims of San Francisco Bay area to the Navy Department in order to secure its approval of allocation of funds to complete the necessary units of a naval base; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of San Francisco is hereby requested and authorized to call a conference of the Mayors of the communities on San Francisco Bay for the purpose of agreeing upon a program of procedure to accomplish the end sought.

Referred to Committee on Industrial and Commercial Development.

Proposed Extension of High Pressure Water System to Potrero.

Supervisor Gallagher presented letter from A. G. Dondero, dated July 1, 1933, re high pressure water system, which was read by the Clerk and at his request copies will be sent to Fire Department and Mayor to consider subject matter.

So ordered.

Irish Picture Exhibit at World's Fair.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Mayor urge that exhibition of pictures now on display at Chicago World's Fair, from Ireland, be moved to San Francisco for the edification and benefit of our people.

So ordered.

Judiciary Committee to Report Effect of Sales Tax.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Judiciary Committee be requested to report the effect of new sales tax on San Francisco.

Referred to Judiciary Committee and Finance Committee jointly.

Summary of National Recovery Act.

The following was read into the record:

July 19, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: A few days ago Mr. C. C. Carleton, attorney for the Department of Public Works, made a brief summary of the National Industrial Recovery Act and rules and regulations thereunder pertaining to the State's share of $400,000,000 highway improvement money for emergency construction of public highways.

I just thought you might be interested in the brief review which Mr. Carleton made for me, and am enclosing a copy herewith.

With kindest regards and best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

EARL LEE KELLY,
Director of Public Works.

Summary of Industrial Recovery Act and Rules and Regulations Thereunder.

1. California's share of $400,000,000 highway improvement money is $15,607,354 for *emergency* construction of public highways.

2. Distribution to states as follows: ⅞ on basis of regular Federal aid with factors of one-third population; one-third area; one-third mileage of post roads; and one-eighth on basis of population.

3. Money granted to State highway departments for construction of public highways and related projects on

a. Federal aid highway system (National Recovery Highway Projects);

b. Extensions thereof into and through municipalities (National Recovery Municipal Highway Projects);

c. Secondary or feeder roads (National Recovery Secondary Highway Projects).

4. All projects initiated by states in same manner as other Federal aid projects.

5. Secondary or feeder roads defined as roads not now included in approved system of Federal aid highways, but which are either part of State highway system, important local highways leading to shipping points, or permitting coordination or extension of existing transportation facilities, including highway, rail, air and water.

6. (a) Not more than 50 per cent of funds to be spent on Federal aid highways outside cities;

(b) Not less than 25 per cent on municipal highway projects;

(c) Not more than 25 per cent on secondary or feeder roads.

Certain readjustments of percentage possible.

Reconstruction of existing facilities adequate for traffic not considered needed improvements.

7. A project or projects in 75 per cent of counties (certain exceptions).

Consideration given to relative need of employment in such counties.

8. One consideration is the providing of a large number of small projects to employ maximum of labor.

9. Project agreements for secondary or feeder roads shall provide for maintenance by State where law permits; otherwise, State shall submit agreement with county or other political subdivision for such maintenance.

10. Project agreements for municipal projects shall provide for maintenance by State where law permits; otherwise, State shall submit agreement with municipality or other political subdivision for such maintenance.

Notice to Unemployed of Other States.

Supervisor Brown called attention to reports that unemployed in United States are headed for San Francisco expecting to get employ-

ment of projected Bay bridges and urged that local newspapers give publicity to the fact that there is employment here only for residents of San Francisco.

Allocation of Federal Highway Funds.

Supervisor Miles presented:

Communication relative to the allocation of $400,000,000 appropriation by the President.

Referred to Streets Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 14, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 33

Monday, July 31, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 31, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 31, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of July 6 and 10, 1933, were laid over for approval until next meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Charges Relative to Mal-administration of Relief.

Hearing on Sunset Courier charges relative to alleged mal-administration of Citizens Unemployment Advisory Relief Committee funds; also consideration of complaint of Adolph Uhl, representing Good Government League, relative to alleged increase in salaries to persons on Unemployment Relief.

Statement of J. H. Stephenson.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., July 31, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: As a citizen and taxpayer of San Francisco I respectfully present to your Board, with the urgent recommendation that they be fully investigated, what I believe to be deplorable facts in the administration of relief to the unfortunate and needy of San Francisco during the past several months.

Following careful investigation, it is my belief that the relief administration under the supervision of C. M. Wollenberg has been particularly shameful, grossly inefficient, and in some instances, provable by the records and other evidence, worthy of a Grand Jury investigation.

I specifically call to your attention case 49,854.

Although it is a rule supposedly closely followed, where a man and wife without children, seeking relief, shall not be allowed more than $3.50 per week for rent, in this case we have an instance where a relative of C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, who is manager of an apartment house owned by Mr. Wollenberg at 845 Hyde street, in violation of this rule has been receiving from City relief funds not alone groceries, milk, meat and bread checks but $40 additional for payment of rent.

For the information of your Board, this relative of Mr. Wollenberg, who has been receiving free sustenance and $40 additional for rent, with his wife, have been the managers and have lived all during that time in an apartment house at 845 Hyde street.

A relative of Mr. Wollenberg, whom we referred to, has been receiving free sustenance—groceries, milk, meat and bread checks, and $40 per month for rent since September, 1931. Prior to that time the same party was the recipient of favoritism to the exclusion of others in more needy circumstances by being employed with City funds in the distribution of relief employment.

It is my belief that investigation by your Honorable Board of the records in this case will prove more than passably interesting. The form covering the distribution of relief in this case bears the notation, written across the face of it, "This man not to go through Social Service Register. Working at Laguna Honda Home. By order of (signed) C. M. Wollenberg."

Investigation of this case is supposed to have been made by Miss Katherine Felton, a director of the Associated Charities. The record itself bears the notation "Felton" written upon it. Miss Felton has subsequently stated that, while she endorsed this record extending relief in food and for $40 additional per month for rent to a relative of Mr. Wollenberg's family, and the manager of Mr. Wollenberg's apartment house, it was at the request of Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, that such endorsement was made. Miss Felton also has stated that she did not make any visit or any other investigation of this case.

It might be well for your Honorable Board also to investigate other records of Mr. Wollenberg's office to determine what other relatives of the relief director's family have received preferential relief.

I would also respectfully call to the attention of your Board the purchases of fruits and vegetables for relief distribution from the Elite Produce Co. Frequent charges have been made that such purchases have neither been made impartially nor to the City's best advantage, and it also has been alleged that the Elite Produce Co. has been the recipient of favors to the exclusion of other reputable and deserving business concerns of San Francisco.

Charges have been made that Miss Marion Henderson and Mr. E. J. Berg of the City Purchasing Department secured employment for James Cross, who at the time was getting relief from the City, with the Elite Produce Co., and that subsequently the City Purchasing Department commenced giving to this firm the largest purchase orders for fruit and vegetables of any concern in San Francisco. Records show that from last February—about the time Mr. Cross secured employment with the Elite Produce Co.—the City Purchasing Department's orders jumped from $1,588 in February to $8,091 in March and to $8,563 in April.

Investigation has also shown that, while boxes in which relief supplies furnished the City by other firms were subsequently kept and sold by the City, boxes coming from the Elite Produce Co. were ordered returned to them without charge.

I also recommend to your Honorable Board a thorough investigation of the purchases made from the Pacific Box Co. Certain ugly facts in connection with this matter already have been presented to a sub-committee of the Citizens Advisory Relief Committee. Testimony was given before the sub-committee that a Mr. Kruger of the Pacific Box Co. had been paying money to a Mr. Griffith, a messenger in the employ of the Citizens Agency. Investigation, I believe, will show that the Pacific Box Co. has been and still continues to be the recipient of preferential favors from those directing box purchases for City relief purposes.

I also respectfully call to the attention of your Honorable Board the apparent need for a thorough investigation of the purchases of all canned goods distributed to the poor and needy. Literally thousands of complaints have been made by people receiving such canned food that they were of such grossly inferior standard as to be unfit for human consumption. This matter has already been called to the attention of the sub-committee of the Citizens Advisory Relief Committee

and the admission made by the Director of Relief to the sub-committee that such sub-standard canned goods had been purchased and distributed in the past, but promised that more care would be utilized in such purchases in the future.

Recently the Good Government League of San Francisco, through its president, Mr. Adolph Uhl, sent to your Honorable Board a communication calling attention to the steadily mounting cost of relief work to taxpayers of the City, so I am informed, although the peak load of relief distribution was met and handled last March and has steadily declined in volume since that time.

Investigation of relief distribution records will show, among other things, that during last October when 59,807 relief cases were handled that the City paid only $18,934.88 in salaries to so-called "welfare workers," while in June just ended salaries totaling $47,216.70 were paid for the handling of 59,412 cases—or $28,281.72 more for handling 395 less cases than were handled last fall.

Mr. Uhl's letter, as president of the Good Government League, also called attention to the fact that while 74,800 relief cases were handled last March—the peak month—at a cost to the taxpayers of $39,862.38, during the month of June it cost taxpayers $47,216.70 to handle 59,412 relief cases, or $7,354.32 more for the handling of 15,388 less cases.

In connection with this steadily mounting cost of relief work, I would recommend to your Honorable Board an immediate investigation to determine the amount of San Francisco relief funds paid to, and the number of non-residents who are on the salary rolls of the City and County.

In submitting the foregoing recommendations to your Honorable Board, it is with the belief that all the facts set forth are susceptible of the fullest proof. Should your Board see fit to make this investigation, I would be glad to furnish the names of witnesses, and such other information as may be helpful.

Respectfully,

J. H. STEPHENSON.

Privilege of the Floor.

C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Unemployment; Jno. P. Courter, editor Sunset Courier; J. H. Stephenson, Thos. J. Campbell and P. W. Thatcher, M. J. Glynn, Marie Newman and Vernon Hammerstad were heard at length on the pending matter.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown, the hearing was continued until next Monday at 3:30 p. m.

Report of Director of Relief.

Report of Director of Relief Charles M. Wollenberg showing in detail the expenditures, month by month, and the number on relief, for the year 1932-33.

Presented and ordered filed.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:45 p. m.*:

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3.
(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance

Section 3 thereof; which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412 as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of foodstuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty dollars ($20) and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspections are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances) shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspections hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspections for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to their several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that

such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporation occupying premises within their respective beats and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Providing for the Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in Connection with the Issuance of Licenses and Permits for the Operation of Motor Vehicles Engaged in the Business of or Used for Transporting Passengers for Hire.

(Code No. 11.0111)

From Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation.

Bill No. 369, Ordinance No. 11.01115, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (B) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111 entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers, and repealing Ordinance No. 11.01113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall

operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury of any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000 instead of $10,000 as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on the 1st day of August, 1933, of $10,000, and thereafter shows a monthly increase of $500 until the sum of $25,000 shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations is the sum of $25,000, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned, unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 1st day of each month, after the 1st day of August, 1933, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series) shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.01113 is hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 40, Real Estate Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 377, Ordinance No. 9.05341, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 40 of Ordinance 9.05339 by adding Items 8, 9, 10 and 11 to establish employments necessary to complete work for Board of Supervisors as ex-officio Board of Equalization.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 40 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 40. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....$	175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property)	600

INTERDEPARTMENTAL.

4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	275
7	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property).....	375
			The following positions are established for the period July 3 to 17, inclusive, at the total compensation specified for that period:	
8	2		Expert Building and Real Estate Appraisers	350
9	3		Expert Building and Real Estate Appraisers	300
10	4		Expert Building and Real Estate Appraisers	250
11	1		Expert Building and Real Estate Appraiser (not more than)	300

Section 2. The employment established under Items 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Section 1 hereof are temporary and shall cease on July 17, 1933. The compensation specified for the employments is the total compensation for all services rendered to July 17, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing Chief of Fire Department to Attend Meeting of International Association of Fire Chiefs, Cleveland, and Providing for Expense Therefor.

(Code No. 11.04.)

Also, Bill No. 373, Ordinance No. 11.041, as follows:

Authorizing the Chief of the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco to attend the meeting of the International Association of Fire Chiefs to be held in the City of Cleveland and to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Fire Department at said meeting, and making an appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expense incident to said representation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco, having been directed by the Board of Fire Commissioners of said City and County to attend the meeting of the International Association of Fire Chiefs to be held in the City of Cleveland from August 20 to August 26, 1933, said Charles J. Brennan is hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Fire Department at said meeting and for that purpose to absent himself from the City and County of San Francisco for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days from and after the 10th day of August, 1933.

Section 2. That for the purpose of defraying the expenses of said Chief of said Fire Department there is hereby appropriated to him the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) from Appropriation No. 27, the said sum to be expended in accordance with Section 219 of the Charter and the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors heretofore enacted regulating the payment of traveling expenses to persons in the service of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Establishing Grades on Shakespeare Street Between De Long Street and County Line.

(Code No. 12.071.)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 375, Ordinance No. 12.0712, as follows:

Establishing grades on Shakespeare street between De Long street and county line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Shakespeare street between De Long street and the San Francisco County line are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed in this office July 10, 1933.

Shakespeare Street

Southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 410.50 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 410.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 412.00 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 412.00 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 407.50 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 407.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 405.00 feet.

28.50 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 405.00 feet.

5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Rhine street northwesterly line, 402.40 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 1.5 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 403.27 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 401.40 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 401.40 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 392.00 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 450 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 392.00 feet.

Northeasterly line of, 580 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 376.00 feet.

33.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 580 feet northwesterly from Rhine street, 376.00 feet.

5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) at De Long street southerly line, 320.45 feet.

33.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) at De Long street southerly line, 320.45 feet.

28.50 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of (produced) 25.90 feet northwesterly from De Long street southerly line, 314.00 feet.

On Shakespeare street between De Long street and the County boundary line be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Transient Merchants.

(Code No. 3.041.)

On recommendation of Supervisors' Committee on Charter Installation.

Bill No. 241, Ordinance No. 3.04118, as follows:

Defining transient merchants and temporary stores, providing for the regulation of transient merchants, providing for issuance of permit for transient merchants, and providing for a fee to be paid therefor, and for the revocation of said permit, and providing the penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The words "transient merchants" for the purpose of this ordinance shall mean and include all persons, both principals and agents, who engage or conduct in one or more locations or in no specified location within the City and County of San Francisco, a temporary store or temporary or transient business of selling goods, wares or merchandise with the intention of continuing in said business in said City and County for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days. A merchant who operates a main or branch temporary store shall be a transient merchant as to said temporary store notwithstanding the fact that said merchant may operate other stores which are not temporary.

Section 2. As used in this ordinance, the words "temporary store" shall mean a store, store room, office, room, doorway space, vacant lot or other place, opened and/or maintained for the exhibition and present or future sale to the public, of goods, wares or merchandise, where the seller or owner of such goods, wares or merchandise intends to operate such store, store room, office, room or other place, for a period of less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to sales made to dealers by commercial travelers or selling agents in the usual course of business, for resale, nor to any sales of goods, wares or merchandise at and during the continuance of any annual fair, nor to any sales by societies acting for charity, religious or public purposes, nor to the resale of goods, wares and merchandise by any person, firm or corporation which has acquired a stock of such goods, wares and merchandise in bulk and offers the same to the public in premises which have been occupied for a period of not less than one year by the person, firm or corporation from which the said stock was so acquired, nor to any show, exhibition or performance licensed under the provisions of Section 40 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to wholesale peddlers of vegetables and other food products who hold permits under Ordinance No. 3.04112 of the City and County of San Francisco, nor to auctioneers who are conducting auctions in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6803 (New Series) as amended, nor to operators of amusement parks licensed as such by said City and County, nor to peddlers licensed as such by said City and County, nor to sales of goods, wares and merchandise conducted at any public museum or art gallery owned by said City and County.

Section 4. The word "person" as used in this ordinance shall be construed to mean any individual, association, partnership, or corporation, or the operator for or the agent of any such person, who sells to the public at retail as a transient merchant any goods, wares or merchandise, except as herein provided.

Section 5. Every transient merchant desiring to do business in the City and County of San Francisco shall make an application in writing to the Chief of Police of said City and County for a permit to operate as a transient merchant, which application shall be filed with said Chief of Police at least ten (10) days before such applicant shall be authorized to begin such business. The application shall contain a

statement under oath, setting forth such information as the Chief of Police shall prescribe.

Section 6. Upon the granting of said permit the Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon filing of the bond referred to in Section 7 and payment of the following fees: One hundred ($100) dollars per week or fraction thereof for the first two weeks and seventy ($70) dollars per week or fraction thereof for every week thereafter, plus in all cases two ($2) dollars for each one hundred ($100) dollars of retail inventory value of goods, wares or merchandise to be sold or exhibited for sale. Said values shall be stated in a sworn inventory filed with said Tax Collector at the time of the payment of said fee. The full amount of said fee shall be paid for each temporary store, whether a main store or a branch store. If for any reason the value of goods, wares or merchandise actually sold or exhibited for sale by said transient merchant shall exceed the value on which a fee has been based, said transient merchant shall pay without further demand a proportionate additional fee.

Section 7. The bond referred to in Section 6 shall be executed by the applicant, as principal, and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars. It shall be conditioned that the principal will pay to the City and County of San Francisco all amounts which may become due under the terms of this ordinance, shall fully comply with the provisions of this ordinance and all other applicable ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations of any department thereof, and with all statutes of the State of California, relative to the conduct of the business in which said principal may be engaged, and that he shall pay all judgments recovered or rendered against him for any violation of said ordinances, statutes, rules or regulations, and further conditioned that said principal shall pay any and all judgments which may be recovered by any person, firm, association or corporation for injuries to person, loss or damage to property resulting from the negligent operation of said business by said principal, as well as all damages sustained by any person, firm, association or corporation by reason of any misrepresentation or deception practiced by said principal or his agents or employees on any such person, firm, association or corporation transacting business with such transient merchant.

Section 8. Any person desiring to continue said business of transient merchant for a period longer than that stated in said application and permit, or desiring to renew said permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall make application to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco for the renewal thereof. In the event of the renewal of said permit, said transient merchant shall pay the license fee herein provided in the same manner as he paid the license fee under his original license, and the liability insurance policy or bond herein referred to shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 9. Permits issued under the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked for cause by the Chief of Police. Applicants or permittees may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals any denial or revocation of permit hereunder.

Section 10. Any person whom said Chief of Police believes to be a transient merchant, but who declares himself not to be a transient merchant, upon filing such declaration in writing, and under oath as said Chief of Police shall direct, may, in the discretion of said Chief of Police, and in lieu of the payment of any fee or posting of any other bond, and as a condition of transacting business as a vendor of merchandise without the payment of any such fee, be required to file with said Chief of Police a liability insurance policy or bond, executed by the declarant as principal and a surety company, qualified and authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, in the sum of one thousand five hundred ($1,500) dollars upon the same

conditions as those set forth in Section 7 of this ordinance and further conditioned to secure the payment of the fee required in the event that he proves to be a transient merchant, provided that if such person remains in business in the same place in said City and County of San Francisco for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days he shall be considered and deemed not to be a transient merchant.

Section 11. Actual operation of a business or store for less than one hundred twenty (120) days shall be prima facie evidence that said store or business was opened with the intention of operating less than one hundred twenty (120) days.

Section 12. Any person who causes to be advertised in any manner a sale of goods as herein contemplated without complying with the provisions of this ordinance, or any person who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed six (6) months, or both such fine and imprisonment. Each day or fraction thereof any person, firm, association or corporation shall be engaged in such business without a permit shall be considered as separate and distinct offense.

Section 13. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this act, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 14. Section 51 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation, Sheriff's Office.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 380, Ordinance No. 9.05179, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Jailer at $197 per month in the Sheriff's Office, and creating one position of General Clerk-Typist at $155 and one position of Keeper at $160 in the Sheriff's Office and appropriating funds for salaries of such positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created in the Sheriff's Department one position of Keeper at $160 per month and one position of General Clerk-Typist at $155 per month.

Section 2. One position of Jailer in the Sheriff's Department at $197 is hereby abolished. The unexpended funds for compensation of this position in the amount of $2,167, heretofore appropriated to Item 16 (Sheriff—Personal Service—Permanent) of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby reappropriated to Item 16 of said ordinance. There is also hereby appropriated to said Item 16 the sum of $1,353, the said appropriation to be taken from Item 16-1 (Sheriff—Personal Service—Temporary) of said Annual Appropriation Ordinance. The

funds herein appropriated are to be used for paying the salaries of the positions created in Section 1 hereof.

W. J. FITZGERALD, Sheriff.
By H. F. BERNHARD, Undersheriff.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Reappropriating Funds in Office of Controller.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 381, Ordinance No. 9.05178, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Senior Clerk in the Controller's office at $250 and one General Clerk's position in the Controller's office at $160 and reappropriating a part of the unexpended compensations of these two positions in the amount of $3,850 for the purpose of providing compensation for two new positions of Bookkeepers at $175 per month each in the Controller's office and creating such new positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Senior Clerk in the Controller's office at $250 per month and one position of General Clerk in the Controller's office at $160 per month, funds for which were heretofore appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance under Item No. 197, are hereby abolished, and the unexpended compensation is hereby reappropriated to said Item 197.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Controller's office two positions to be designated as Bookkeepers in accordance with Civil Service classification. The salary of said positions shall be $175 per month each, subject to deductions.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown. Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 10, Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 382, Ordinance No. 9.05342, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339 by reducing the number of employments under Item 18 thereof by one, increasing the number of employments under Item 10 thereof by one and increasing the number of employments under Item 11 thereof by one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 10 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 10. **Sheriff.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	$ 666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215

14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	22	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	275
23	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Explanatory.

One position of Jailer at $197 (*Item* 18) *is abolished. One position of General Clerk-Typist at* $155 (*Item* 10) *is hereby added instead of Jailer. One Keeper at* $160 (*Item* 11) *is added because of return from leave of absence of former employee.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Office of Controller.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 383, Ordinance No. 9.05343, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339 by eliminating one position as Senior Clerk at $250 and one position as General Clerk at $160, and adding two new positions of Bookkeepers at $175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 67. **Controller.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	9	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed	155

22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time).....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	150

Explanatory.

Item 14 *reduced from* 3 *to* 2 *employments, Item* 16 *reduced from* 3 *to* 2 *employments. These two positions hereby eliminated carry a combined salary of* $410. *Item* 3 *increased from* 7 *to* 9 *employments. These changes effect a pay roll savings of* $60 *per month.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Referred.

The following resolution was ordered *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Authorizing the Tax Collector Be Allowed Credit in His Settlement with Controller for $100 Counterfeit Bill Received in Payment for Taxes.

(Code No. 9.022)

Resolution No. 931, as follows:

Whereas, on May 6 of the current year a deputy in the office of the Tax Collector in receiving payment of the second installment of taxes for the current year accepted a one hundred dollar bill, which said bill, after the same was deposited by the Tax Collector in his bank, when examined by experts was found to be counterfeit; and

Whereas, it is impossible to ascertain from whom said one hundred dollar bill was received in payment of said taxes and it is therefore impossible to charge the same back against the person presenting the same; and

Whereas, the said bill being such a perfect counterfeit that it would be impossible for the deputy accepting said bill to have detected the fact that it was counterfeit;

Now, therefore, for the purpose of making the proper entries in the books of the Controller and Treasurer, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be and he is hereby allowed credit in his settlement with the Controller for said sum of one hundred dollars and the Controller and Treasurer are hereby directed to make the proper entries in their respective books to carry out the purport of this resolution.

Referred.

The following resolution was *ordered referred to the Streets Committee*:

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $300 for Lot 10, Block 6291; Required for Opening of Roadway Connecting Excelsior District and Visitacion Valley.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 932, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Marten B. Joost et al. to Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6291, San

Francisco, required for a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley, and that the sum of $300 be paid for said land from the reserve for land purchases mentioned in Resolution No. 846, adopted by this Board on June 19, 1933.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Cancellation of Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.0411)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 933, as follows:

Resolved, That, on recommendation of the Assessor, approved by the City Attorney, the officer having custody of 1932 Assessment Roll—Volume No. 1, page 78, Block 87, Lots 6 and 7 thereof, is hereby directed to cancel assessment of $9,150 on the land and $3,000 on the improvements, due to erroneous assessments.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Tax Collector to Cancel Uncollected Taxes, Penalties and Interests on Property Erroneously Assessed.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 934, as follows:

Resolved, That, on recommendation of the Assessor, approved by the City Attorney, the Tax Collector is hereby directed to cancel uncollected taxes, penalties and interests on Lot 16, Block 6443, due to clerical error, second installment of the 1932-33 taxes.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale and Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 935, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property is now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco but was assessed for the year 1928 and subsequent year in the name of the original owner and sold for the delinquent taxes of 1928. Being City property this sale and assessment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale and assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 8, Block 6130, assessed to S. Weinstein; Sale 2509, 1928, $2.38; 1929, paid; 1930, $4.84.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Cancellation of Erroneous Assessment, 1931.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 936, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932 Real Estate Roll, Lot 36, Block 1728, assessed to John L. and Kate Burgess was reassessed for 1931 taxes, amounting to $72.72, and Lot 6, Block 3282, assessed to F. Nelson & Sons was also reassessed for 1931 taxes amounting to $28.68. These properties were erroneously reassessed as

the 1931 taxes were paid as shown in Vols. 13 and 22 of the 1931 Real Estate Roll; that said reassessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said reassessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Cancelling 1932-33 Assessment of Property Properly Belonging to San Mateo County.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 937, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that the line dividing the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco runs through the following parcels of real property:

Lot 4, Block 7172, San Francisco County.
Lot 13A, Block 7173, San Francisco County.
Lot 19B, Block 7174, San Francisco County.

That the properties are officially designated in San Mateo County as follows:

Portion of Lot 9, Block 5, Map of Hillcrest.
Portion of Lots 1 and 58, Block 6, Map of Hillcrest.
Portion of Lot 27, Block 9, Map of Hillcrest.

That the Controller of San Mateo County has certified that all taxes on the above described properties have been paid in San Mateo County; therefore,

Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be directed to cancel from the 1932-33 Assessment Roll, and all prior rolls on which such assessments may appear unpaid, the above mentioned properties, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804B of the Political Code of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Authorizing the Superintendent of the Civic Auditorium Be Given Credit in His Settlement with the Controller and Treasurer for the Sum of $300, Represented by Bank Check Received by Him for Rental of Auditorium, and Which Check was Returned Unpaid by the Bank by Reason of Lack of Sufficient Funds to Pay Same.

(Code No. 9.023)

Resolution No. 938, as follows:

Whereas, on the first day of March, 1933, James L. Foley, superintendent of the Civic Auditorium, in good faith, accepted the check of William Getz, Director of Mexican-American Fiesta, for the sum of three hundred ($300) dollars in payment of rental for said Civic Auditorium; and

Whereas, said Foley in the acceptance of said check followed the usual custom of accepting rent for the use of said auditorium and was in no way negligent, in that he had every reason to believe that said check was good and valid; and

Whereas, when said check was deposited with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for collection, it was ascertained that the maker of said check had not sufficient funds on deposit to

meet the same and that said person issuing said check was acting in a representative capacity and claims that he was informed that the association which he represented had sufficient funds with which to meet said check; and

Whereas, the account of the Civic Auditorium has been charged with the amount of said check on the books of the Controller and Treasurer; Now, therefore, for the purpose of making the proper entries in the books of the Controller and Treasurer, be it

Resolved, That the manager of the Civic Auditorium be and he is hereby allowed credit in his settlement with the Controller for said sum of three hundred dollars and the Controller and Treasurer are hereby directed to make the proper entries in their respective books to carry out the purport of this resolution. Be it

Further Resolved, That the aforesaid check be referred to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue by the Treasurer with the request to said bureau to proceed to recover the amount of said check.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Sale of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729.)

Resolution No. 892, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17291, Bill No. 276, approved June 1, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1933, for the sale of the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

And, whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Giuseppe Cuneo to purchase said land for the sum of $2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Giuseppe Cuneo has deposited the sum of $250 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,500; now; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Giuseppe Cuneo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *postponed one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Unlawful for Persons Other Than the Blind to Carry White Canes, and Requiring Motorists to Stop at Intersections When Persons Carrying a White Cane Enter said Intersections.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 379, Ordinance No. 11.0004, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of white canes by persons other than the blind, requiring motorists to stop at intersections when any person or persons enter an intersection carrying a white cane, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to carry a white cane unless said person is a blind person.

Section 2. Any person operating a motor vehicle shall bring such motor vehicle to a stop at any intersection in this City and County when a person carrying a white cane enters said intersection.

Section 3. Any person violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $100 or imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed three months.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

(*In connection with the foregoing the Public Welfare Committee was authorized and requested to take such means as it had to give the fullest publicity to the legislation enacted.*)

Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land in San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1727)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 384, Ordinance No. 12.17273, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue 50 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 50 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Castro Street Extension.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 939, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Louis Muller et ux. to Lot 46, in Assessor's Block 1260, San Francisco, required for the extension of Castro street, and that the sum of $7,000 be paid for said land from the County Road Fund, Appropriation 178A, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer to Cooperate With Blanket Code Plan.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 928, as follows:

Whereas, the President in his endeavor to return the nation to an era of prosperity is advocating a program designed to increase the wage scale of employees and reduce their working hours; and

Whereas, an appeal is about to be made through the National Recovery Administration to 5,000,000 employers throughout the United States for cooperation and assistance in putting this plan into effect; and

Whereas, the committee hereinafter proposed is requested to give par-

ticular attention to the program as outlined by the President of the United States, and especially to that portion thereof wherein it states that no salaries shall be reduced nor shall the salaries of Federal, State and Municipal employees be affected in any manner other than to be increased by said Blanket Code Plan; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer are hereby respectfully requested to give consideration and lend their aid in establishing the Blanket Code Plan for the employees of this City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request a report within thirty (30) days from His Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer as to the success of their efforts to apply the Blanket Code to City employees of this City and County, and does hereby pledge itself to assist His Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer in every manner at its command for the purposes herein mentioned.

Referred to Committee on Municipal Personnel.

Denial of Application for Federal Loan for Food Terminal at Marina.

(Code No. 13.02)

The following was presented by Supervisor Stanton and *referred to the Industrial Development Committee*:

Resolution No. 942, as follows:

Whereas, it has been the policy of this Board of Supervisors for several years past, as evidenced by Ordinances Nos. 8140 and 8710 (New Series), to prohibit the erection, maintenance or operation of warehouses, food and ferry terminals, airplane and hydroplane landings and certain other types of industrial and heavy commercial projects in the Pacific Heights and Marina districts, or more specifically, upon all that property bounded by Van Ness avenue on the east, Lyon street on the west, Jackson street on the south and San Francisco Bay on the north, and to preserve this district inviolate from this type of activity, and

Whereas, this district, embracing almost exclusively first and second class residential properties, is devoted entirely to home purposes together with those commercial activities which constitute a necessary adjunct thereto, and

Whereas, this district, both from a climatic and aesthetic point of view, is one of the best in the city and is particularly valuable and desirable because of its proximity to the commercial and financial centers, and

Whereas, a large proportion of this district was developed and built up upon the assurance that the residential character of the district would be preserved intact, and

Whereas, the sponsors of this resolution have been reliably informed that an application has been submitted to the administrators of the National Industrial Recovery Act for the purpose of procuring a loan with which to build a wholesale food terminal on the private property known as Gas House Cove, between Marina Park and the United States Docks, and

Whereas, at the time of the last application for a permit to construct a wholesale food terminal upon this property, an ordinance was unanimously passed by this Board of Supervisors expressly prohibiting this type of endeavor in the district, and

Whereas, it is the intention of the Park Commission to acquire this property as soon as possible, consistent with economic conditions, for the extension of or an annex to Yacht Harbor, and

Whereas, there are other sites on the waterfront which can be acquired and devoted to the purposes of a wholesale food terminal, and where the erection of such an institution will in no way jeopardize the residential districts or park system of our city; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize

the administrators of the National Industrial Recovery Act and does most emphatically urge that body to deny any application for a loan for the purpose of constructing a wholesale food terminal in the Marina District of the City and County of San Francisco, and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to President Roosevelt, and to the administrators of the National Recovery Act in Washington, as well as to their local representatives.

Motion.

Supervisor Canepa, in reference to the foregoing, moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with Justus Wardell, Regional Administrator, N. I. R. A., and ask that nothing be done in the matter until it has been considered by the Board of Supervisors.

So ordered.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Persecution of Jews in Germany.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 941, as follows:

Whereas, the Jews of Germany have been subjected to persecutions and disabilities by the German government and Nazi party contrary to the best practices of civilized nations; and

Whereas, the continuance of such hardships and degradation threatens the restoration of the world to the peace and stability to which it aspires, and is a challenge to modern civilization; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors in meeting assembled do hereby express our profound sympathy for the plight in which the German Jews find themselves and sincerely hope that those who are responsible for existing conditions will take decisive and constructive action to remove them.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

President of Board Requested to Appoint Committee to Represent San Francisco at State Convention of the American Legion, Pasadena, August 14 to 16, Inclusive.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 945, as follows:

Whereas, the State Department of California of the American Legion will hold its 17th Annual State Convention this year in the City of Pasadena, August 14 to 16, inclusive; and

Whereas, San Francisco is seeking the 1934 State Convention of the American Legion and it is necessary that this Board of Supervisors cooperate to the fullest extent in securing said convention; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of this Board to represent San Francisco at the State Convention of the American Legion to be held in the City of Pasadena, August 14 to 16, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Sam T. Breyer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 31, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Sam T. Breyer, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen (15) days, commencing August 5, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 943, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Sam T. Breyer, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing August 5, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Judge Joseph M. Golden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 31, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Joseph M. Golden, Judge of the Municipal Court, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing August 1, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 946, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Joseph M. Golden, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation Out of Emergency Reserve Fund to the Beverage Commission for Purchase of Various Supplies and Incidentals, $1,000.

(Code No. 9.053)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Bill No. 385, Ordinance No. 9.05333, as follows:

Supplemental Appropriation of $1,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the Beverage Commission for the purchase of various supplies and incidentals, $1,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $1,000 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the Beverage Commission for purchase of various supplies and incidentals, $1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Governor Urgently Requested to Veto the Income Tax Law Now Awaiting His Signature.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 944, as follows:

Whereas, the California Legislature passed a Tax Income Law now pending before His Excellency the Governor for his approval; and

Whereas, it is evident that the provisions of the State Income Tax Law will be an additional burden to those now compelled to pay a similar tax to the Federal Government, and particularly are those burdened who receive a moderate salary and are already paying a Federal tax; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as strenuously opposed to the Income Tax Law enacted by the California Legislature, and does urgently request His Excellency the Governor to place his stamp of disapproval of said law by affixing his veto thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded immediately to His Excellency James Rolph, Jr., Governor of the State of California.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Favoring Consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco to Celebrate Completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bridges.

(Code No. 5.93)

The following, heretofore presented by Supervisor Power and laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 664, as follows:

Whereas, completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges will signalize the beginning of a new phase of San Francisco's existence as metropolis of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, these giant structures will be an attraction as engineering spectacles to tourists from all over the world and should be fittingly publicized; and

Whereas, the bridges will make San Francisco more accessible from the north and east and enhance the City's position as queen of the Pacific, the future arena of world events; and

Whereas, a previous undertaking of vital interest to San Francisco, namely, building of the Panama Canal, was celebrated by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as favoring consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco to observe completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges and urges citizens and civic organizations to work towards that end.

Amendment.

Supervisor Canepa moved as an amendment that his resolution on the same subject presented at the last meeting be incorporated in the foregoing resolution, to-wit:

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 912, as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respecfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee composed of members of the various civic and improvement clubs, fraternal organizations and commercial clubs, as well as outstanding citizens, said committee to be known as the San Francisco World's Fair Committee; and be it

Further Resolved, That the purposes and functions of said committee shall be as follows:

To propagate the idea of a World's Fair in San Francisco some time after the completion of the two great bridges now in course of construction;

To determine upon the type of Fair which is to be held and to formulate plans and details therefor;

And to determine upon a means of financing said World's Fair as well as to recommend a site for same.

Amendment *carried.*

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 664, as follows:

Whereas, completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges will signalize the beginning of a new phase of San Francisco's existence as metropolis of the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, these giant structures will be an attraction as engineering spectacles to tourists from all over the world and should be fittingly publicized; and

Whereas, the bridges will make San Francisco more accessible from the north and east and enhance the City's position as queen of the Pacific, the future arena of world events; and

Whereas, a previous undertaking of vital interest to San Francisco, namely, building of the Panama Canal, was celebrated by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as favoring consideration of a World Fair in San Francisco to observe completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland bridges and urges citizens and civic organizations to work towards that end; and be it

Further Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee composed of members of the various civic and improvement clubs, fraternal organizations and commercial clubs, as well as outstanding citizens, said committee to be known as the San Francisco World's Fair Committee; and be it

Further Resolved: That the purposes and functions of said committee shall be as follows:

To propagate the idea of a World's Fair in San Francisco some time after the completion of the two great bridges now in course of construction;

To determine upon the type of Fair which is to be held and to formulate plans and details therefor;

And to determine upon a means of financing said World's Fair as well as to recommend a site for same.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Survey Proposed of Fire Walls, Parapets, Balconies, Etc.

Supervisor Peyser presented communication from North Beach Promotion Association transmitting resolution requesting that proper officials cause a survey of fire walls, parapets, cornices, balconies, over-

hanging projections, etc., on buildings throughout the City, regarding their safety which may in the future prevent collapse and subsequent danger to life such as occurred recently by the collapse of a balcony on Union street.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Development of Skyline Boulevard.

Supervisor Gallagher presented correspondence with reference to the development of Skyline Boulevard from Saratoga Gap to Woodwardia.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Director of Public Works to Be Empowered to Install Street Lights.

Communication from Public Utilities Commission transmitting certified copy of its Resolution No. 318 re: installation of street lights on new streets opened and on existing streets to be reconstructed, being ordinance vesting power in the Director of Public Works to install street lights on new streets and on reconstructed streets.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Relative to Application of President's Blanket Code Plan to Municipal Employees.

Supervisor Shannon, referring to critical comments of Hearst papers on his Resolution No. 928, presented relative to the application of President Roosevelt's Blanket Code Plan to municipal employees, presented an amendment to said resolution which is under consideration in the Municipal Personnel Committee, and hearing upon which is to be had Thursday at 2:30 p. m.

Referred to Municipal Personnel Committee. Meeting Thursday, 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner Congratulated on His Appointment by the President to State Advisory Commission, N. R. A.

Supervisor Colman called attention to signal honor conferred upon Supervisor Havenner in appointing him on the United States Advisory Commission for the State of California in the matter of execution of plan outlined in National Recovery Act, and moved that the commendation and congratulations of this Board of Supervisors be extended to Supervisor Havenner and President Roosevelt upon the excellence of his appointment.

Supervisors Stanton, McSheehy, Roncovieri and Hayden followed with eulogistic comments on this appointment by President Roosevelt, and Supervisor Havenner responded, expressing his deep appreciation of the honor conferred upon him and of the good wishes of the Board of Supervisors just expressed.

Motion *carried.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 7:20 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 28, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 34

PUBLIC LIBRARY SAN FRANCISCO

Monday, August 7, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

CO. CALIF

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 7, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 13 and 17, 1933, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Providing for 20-Minute Parking in the Financial District.

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Bill No. 252, Ordinance No. 11.023, as follows:

Amending section 37 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by eliminating Battery street between California and Market streets; Bush street between Kearny and Market streets; California street between Kearny and Battery streets; Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets; Sansome street between California and Market streets; and Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets; and adding a new section thereto to be known as section 38-A by limiting the parking time to twenty (20) minutes on the following streets: Battery street between California and Market streets; Bush street between Kearny and Market streets; California street between Kearny and Battery streets; Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets; Sansome street between California and Market streets; and Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, 7 a. m. to 6 p. m.* Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, and excepting duly licensed public passenger vehicles at duly authorized and licensed locations, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for

a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places:

Alameda street between Bryant and Florida streets;
Annie street;
Anthony street;
Austin street;
Campton place;
Cedar street;
Clementina street;
Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission and Folsom streets;
Ecker street;
Elm street;
Fern street;
Florida street for a distance of 275 feet south of Alameda street property line;
Hemlock street;
Holland court;
Ivy street;
Linden street;
Maiden lane;
Merchant street between Battery and Sansome streets;
South side of Minna street between First and Ninth streets;
Mint street, on the east, south and north sides;
Monroe street;
Montgomery street between Market and California streets;
Myrtle street;
North side of Natoma street between Fremont and Ninth streets;
Olive street;
Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue;
Post street between Kearny and Market streets;
Redwood street;
Tehama street;
Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) is hereby amended by adding a section to be known as section 38-A, as follows:

Section 38-A. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than twenty (20) minutes between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. on any of the following streets:

Battery street between California and Market streets;
Bush street between Kearny and Market streets;
California street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Sansome street between California and Market streets;
Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Acting Chief of Police Jas. Boland; Edgar Easton, attorney and secretary for North Central Improvement Club; and Captain Chas. Goff, of the Traffic Department, were heard in opposition to the proposed amendment.

Mr. Hogle, National Automobile Club; Mr. Rosenbaum, Office Appliance Clearing House; Mr. Meyers, representing Dick's Tavern, No. 1 Spring street; Mr. Burns, representing Baudel Commercial Co.; Mr. Burns, jaintors' supplies, 465 Pine street; and Mr. Vizzard, insurance broker, were heard in favor of the amendment.

Communication.

A communication from the Travelers Club favoring the amendment was read by the Clerk.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the matter go over one week so the Police Department can make a new survey and get an expression from property owners and businesses.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Spaulding, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon the roll was called and the bill *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Spaulding, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Providing for the Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in Connection with the Issuance of Licenses and Permits for the Operation of Motor Vehicles Engaged in the Business of or Used for Transporting Passengers for Hire.

(Code No. 11.0111)

From Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation.

Bill No. 369, Ordinance No. 11.01115, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (B) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111 entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers, and repealing Ordinance No. 11.01113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury of any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000 instead of $10,000 as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on the 1st day of August, 1933, *of* $10,000, *and thereafter shows a monthly increase of* $500 *until the sum of* $25,000 *shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations is the sum of* $25,000, *shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of*

said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned, unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 1st day of each month, after the 1st day of August, 1933, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series) shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.01113 is hereby repealed.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. Thompson, representing the San Francisco Cab Owners' Protective Association, was heard at length in favor of the proposed legislation.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:45 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*:

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3. (Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance Section 3 thereof; which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412 as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of foodstuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in

sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty dollars ($20) and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspections are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances) shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspections hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspections for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to tneir several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporation occupying premises within their respective beats

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Consideration of report of Citizens' Unemployment Relief Committee (Hon. M. C. Sloss and Chas. Wollenberg, Superintendent of Relief) on charges of "Sunset Courier" on mal-administration of relief funds.

Report of C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, on Complaints of Administration of Unemployment Relief.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered spread in the Journal:

Laguna Honda Home

Seventh avenue and Dewey boulevard

San Francisco, Calif., July 14, 1933.

Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have carefully gone over certain charges appearing in the Sunset Courier of July 13 and have analysed them in connection with transcripts of evidence brought out at two hearings before your sub-committee of June 14 and June 28, and I find that the editor of this paper has ignored the evidence presented at the hearings, publishing as excerpts from the transcript his conclusions, generally false and misleading.

These hearings, as you are aware, were called to investigate charges, or insinuations, first brought by Mr. Leo. P. Lee, secretary of the so-called Good Government League. Although these hearings were called at the request of Mr. Lee, he refused to appear or to send witnesses. In the absence of Mr. Lee, your sub-committee took up the complaints of Mr. George W. Peak and a group of his witnesses.

Let me say at the outset that the hearings have revealed that not one accusation of Mr. Peak is based upon facts; that his suspicions, if any, were totally groundless; that his manner of questioning witnesses, to say the least, was leading and irregular; that he made no effort to get at the facts, and that his main purpose appeared to be to leave questions only partly answered, thus attempting to create an impression of laxity and inefficiency on the part of employees of the Citizens' Agency for Social Welfare, whereas a complete reply would have cleared up fully the matter at issue.

It is interesting to note at this point that many of the witnesses called by Mr. Peak were men discharged by the Agency for cause; that they came to the hearing with personal grievances to air, rather than in a sincere desire to correct any irregularities or to improve the efficiency of the relief service. In brief, they were what are

legally known as "willing witnesses," or industrially termed "disgruntled employees."

Mr. Peak and associates attempted to question the quality of foods purchased under the direction of Mr. Edgar J. Berg. Although he failed completely to discredit Mr. Berg or any of his associates or to show any foods not up to standard specifications were being distributed by the Agency, I went further into the question, obtaining a report from Dr. K. F. Meyer, food expert of the University of California.

(I am attaching Dr. Meyer's letter.)

(See Exhibit A.)

Mr. Peak endeavored to show certain canned goods purchased by Mr. Berg had been packed at least twelve years. His judgment of these commodities was based solely on labels of a defunct firm of canners, and not on an examination of contents of can. My investigation showed that the labels of this company had been taken over by reputable California companies and used later on their products; that other labels stressed by Mr. Peak as indicating certain canned goods were not California packed were placed on the can at the plants at which the fruits were packed *in this State*. In some instances, these fruits are never packed in the states from which the cans were labeled. I have the advice of Federal and City food inspectors, disinterested canning experts, and can manufacturers, that none of the goods of which Mr. Peak complained was more than two years old. In other words, it was the latest pack, that of 1932. All of the goods were standard quality, as called for in specifications of the Agency.

Further, I desire to call your attention to a statement of Mr. Berg appended hereto and marked Exhibit B. Also to a letter of Mr. Joseph Geitner, marked Exhibit C. Mr. Geitner is manager of the canned goods department of Haas Brothers, and is known as an outstanding expert on canned goods.

Testimony on the subject of canned goods is too voluminous to repeat in full, and the intimations of Mr. Peak are almost too ridiculous to justify denial.

The same can be said of his efforts to cast reflections on the integrity and character of virtually every executive in the relief organization. However, his insinuations were so startling, and the hearings failed so utterly to substantiate any of his charges that I deemed it advisable to appoint trained investigators to take up and run down, point by point, every detail of the intimations made by Mr. Peak. The report of these investigators serves only to confirm my impressions gained after an analysis of the testimony—that the charges are without foundation in fact; that the welfare work of the City and County of San Francisco in this period of extreme emergency has been administered honestly, conscientiously and economically; that the work and private lives of those under attack are beyond reproach, and that San Francisco's administration of relief will compare favorably with that of any city in the world. In brief, there is not an iota of truth in the insinuations of Mr. Peak or any other person who would seek to discredit the work of this organization.

In its bitter attack on the organization, the Sunset Courier published thirty-eight questions, all of them calculated, by inference, to cast reflections on the organization as a whole and on certain officials in particular. I am taking up these questions one by one, answering them briefly, and giving my authority, either from testimony at the hearing or from the report of my neutral investigators.

Before going into these questions, I wish to call attention to the case of Mr. Archibald Ferguson. Mr. Ferguson was key witness for Mr. Peak, in fact one of his most ardent supporters at the outset. He went into the inquiry in good faith, believing in the sincerity of Mr. Peak and that there was evidence to substantiate at least part of his accusations. The hearings have convinced Mr. Ferguson, to use his own expression, that "Mr. Peak has not a leg to stand on." Mr.

Ferguson adds: "Furthermore, I am now in doubt of the sincerity even of Mr. Peak's motives."

I now take up and answer the Courier's thirty-eight questions:

Q 1—Are their witnesses intimidated?

A—No. On the contrary, witnesses were assured by me and by Mrs. Ainslie that their testimony would in no way influence their status of employment. They were requested particularly to answer all questions fully and to cooperate to the utmost in an effort to get at the facts. As a refutation of the charge of intimidation of witness: Mr. Ferguson, key witness and at the outset chief aide of Mr. Peak, is still on my payroll and so far as I know will remain there indefinitely.

Q 2—If so, what is being done about it?

A—Covered by answer to Question No. 1.

Q 3—What about the $50,000 thrown away on the trucking contract so forcefully brought to their attention by Mr. Peak and his aides?

A—Trucking contracts are let on competitive bids. The present contract is at 15 cents per stop. Bids have been made at a lower figure, but the bidders were unable to comply with their agreement. I can find no irregularity whatever in the trucking contract, and when a lower bid is obtained from a bidder who can fulfill his promises the job will be let to the best interests of the City.

Q 4—What about the sub-standard canned goods? City bids call for standard goods.

A—All our canned goods are up to specifications. I refer your attention to an appended statement by Mr. Berg (see Exhibit B; also Exhibits A and C).

Q 5—What about people on two payrolls?

A—In one instance, only, has the name of an employee appeared on two payrolls. Due to a bookkeeping error two checks were issued to Mr. E. A. McManus, the total of which amounted to his complete salary. Adjustment was made immediately on discovery of the error.

Q 6—What about personal friends and relatives of higher public officials on the payrolls of the relief organization?

A—Need of relief and fitness to fill the position are requirements that determine the personnel of this organization.

Q 7—Why didn't Wollenberg want his books looked into?

A—My books are open to any properly authorized citizen at any time.

Q 8—What about non-residents on the payrolls?

A—There are no non-residents on the City payrolls. In a very few instances the names of persons residing in the East Bay or Peninsula districts appear on the Community Chest or Associated Charities payrolls. In every instance these persons are holding key positions calling for specialized training.

Q 9—How long has Mr. E. J. Berg, purchaser of relief supplies, lived in San Francisco?

A—He has been a business man in San Francisco for many years, with residence across the bay. He is now and has been for several months a resident of San Francsico. Early in 1931, Mr. Berg, at that time a resident of Berkeley, tendered his services free to the Associated Charities. His previous experience as purchasing agent for a large transportation company made him invaluable in his present position.

Q 10—What is the insurance racket?

A—I quote Dr. Katherine Felton, secretary of the Citizens' Agency for Social Welfare and formerly managing director of the Associated Charities: "Social welfare workers are notoriously lax as chauffeurs because of the nature of their work. In the past, accidents have not been uncommon. Board companies, though not exactly rejecting our business, have certainly not solicited it. To the individual worker rates were high, so I conceived the idea of a fleet rate for our organization. As none of the board companies seemed solicitous of

the business, I approached Mr. Fred G. Ainslie, husband of our controller, whom I knew to be the representative of a board company. At that time our organization was in the red. Because of Mr. Ainslie's knowledge of and belief in the necessity and urgency of the service we were rendering during this unemployment crisis, he accepted our business against and over the advice and objections of our controller.

A flexible system of service, impossible were it not for his personal interest, was arranged by Mr. Ainslie. In this connection let me cite an example of what this special service, impossible from a strictly commercial standpoint, meant to our organization. In July, 1932, our purchasing department effected a tremendous economy in apricots by purchase of an 11-acre tract on a "run of orchard" basis. Warned that the cots were fast ripening, it became necessary to dispatch overnight a truck bearing our pickers to the orchard. The truck, drafted on notice of two hours, carried only the usual "ten and twenty" personal and public liability insurance. At 11 o'clock on the night before its scheduled early morning start for the orchard, I aroused Mr. Ainslie from bed by telephone. A brief explanation resulted in full coverage of personal indemnity of the cot picking crew. Service of this kind cannot be commercially interpreted in dollars and cents.

Q 11—What is the carfare racket?

A—Complete investigation of records of the organization failed to disclose any irregularities in the issuance of carfare to employees. The charge of Mr. Hammerstad that his carfare was paid at a time he was not working has no basis in fact.

Q 12—Where do the medicines come from and what price is paid?

A—Medical supplies are purchased from wholesale houses at less than the regular wholesale price. Prescriptions are bought on bids.

Q 13—What is the clothes racket?

A—Thousands of persons, men and women, are afforded almost complete wardrobes as a result of efforts of the clothing bureau staff at the Lilienthal School and other agencies. At these agencies skilled unemployed women rehabilitate clothing donated for the purpose, and this, in turn, is distributed according to needs, which are determined by the social worker.

A large supply of overalls recently obtained from the Federal government was distributed to men working for relief. A careful check of different jobs insured distribution of one pair only to each workman.

Q 14—What is the gas and light racket?

A—Continuance of gas, light and water services to persons served with shutoff notices is obtained through this department. Under the system of bookkeeping it would be impossible for any "racket" to exist. Books of the department, like those of all other departments, are subject to periodical audit by city accountants.

Q 15—What is the rent racket, where some are paid $60 or $70 for rent, others more in need cannot get $15 or $20?

A—Maximum rent allowance is $20 per month. There is no record of any person being allowed in excess of $20 for one month's rental.

Q 16—What is the empty box racket?

A—There is no empty box racket. We purchase hundreds of thousands of boxes essential in the delivery of foods. It is inevitable that some of these are returned to the commissary in bad condition. Some are repaired and some are beyond repair. The organization is purchasing its boxes at the lowest market price. A daily checkup is kept of outgoing and incoming boxes, a nominal allowance being made for return of empties in good condition.

Q 17—What are all the charges of bribery that are flying thick and fast?

A—Two employees of the commissary department accepted liquor from one of the successful bidders on empty box orders. The testimony disclosed this bribe, and the services of the men involved were

discontinued. One of these men was a principal witness of Mr. Peak.

Q 18—What became of the Hearst meat donation to the needy of this City?

A—Every pound of the Hearst meat is accounted for. The J. K. Moffat Company slaughtered the steers, after which they were turned over to butchers for conversion into cuts and parcels adequate to family needs. This distribution was by regular grocery box routine so long as the meat supply lasted.

Q 19—What is the visitors' racket?

A—There is no visitors' racket, and nothing was brought out at the hearing to reflect on the integrity and sincerity of any visitor.

Q 20—Are all people treated alike, or is this a political friendship racket?

A—The meaning of that question is obscure to me. I know of no political friendships playing any part in the administration of relief work. In fact, I believe the entire organization is free from any taint of politics or political favoritism.

Q 21—Are people required to take milk from a certain dairy that furnishes inferior milk, and if so, who owns that dairy?

A—An applicant for relief may select the dairy from which milk is to be delivered. In instance of no choice being designated delivery is made from one of several different dairies. An effort is made to pro rate the milk business fairly and impartially among several dairies that have consented to accept our orders at a rate below their usual charge for delivery. All milk must come up to a Department of Health standard.

Q 22—Is milk paid for after delivery is stopped?

A—No. A stop order is delivered by messenger and payment automatically ceases at the date of notice.

Q 23—How do some private projects obtain men to work for them from the relief lists, and what is this racket?

A—No man on relief and working for his food has been employed on a private project. In one instance men were sent to clear a roadway across part of the Crocker Estate at Twenty-seventh avenue and Sloat boulevard. The Recreation Commission was giving a public concert for the benefit of the unemployed in Sigmund Stearn Park Grove, and to provide a roadway giving access and egress to the grounds, I obtained permission from the Crocker Estate to make a roadway on what is an accepted and dedicated street. It was a good job, and one that will be repeated if the interests of the general public will be served.

Q 24—Why do some men have to work for their food while others do not?

A—In some instances men applying for relief are physically unfit for work. In other instances the man of the family has full time employment, but at so small a wage that his family could not exist without outside aid.

Q 25—Is relief given to some who are employed full time in their vocations without working for it?

A—See answer to question No. 24.

Q 26—Is it permissable under our City Charter for people to accept pay from the City and from the Community Chest for the same services?

A—Yes.

Q 27—Is it true that a woman official conducts searches of men employed in the grocery department, without a search warrant or legal authority?

A—No. All employees of the commissary go to work with the understanding they are subject to search at the discretion of officials. This system was inaugurated to stop reports of pilfering.

Q 28—Is she still an official?

A—Yes. The woman who sponsored the search-by-consent plan has been with this organization more than twenty-three years.

Q 29—Is this a crime under our laws?

A—No; in view of employee's consent to search.

Q 30—Is it true that an inexperienced woman buys fruits and vegetables in large quantities, approximately $15,000 per month?

A—No. Mrs. Marion C. Henderson is our fruit and vegetable buyer on the open market. Her ability as a buyer has been questioned only by Mr. Peak. The answer to him is given by commission men with whom Mrs. Henderson trades.

Mr. Julius Zentner: "Mrs. Henderson buys as cheaply as any person could buy. In fact, a large part of her purchases are under the regular market price. She is conscientious and efficient, and one of the best buyers that comes into our establishment."

Mr. Bert Jacobs: "Mrs. Henderson is well qualified. 'Knows her onions.' She never makes the same mistake twice. She knows quality and price. I would feel safe in hiring her to buy for this company."

Mr. Sam Rogers: "You can't beat her. She knows the game from A to Z. Invariably we get more from the trade than we get from Mrs. Henderson. She is a credit to the City and organization."

Peter Carcione: "There is no better buyer in California than Mrs. Henderson."

Al Moreggia: "For the time she has been in the service, Mrs. Henderson has done remarkably well. She has become a very shrewd buyer and a good judge of quality."

Q 31—Does she get a salary; has she any dependents?

A—Yes.

Q 32—Is her husband also on the City payroll?

A—Yes.

Q 33—Is the lady in charge of the inventory office a sister of a Community Chest official?

A—Yes.

Q 34—What is her salary and how long has she lived in San Francisco?

A—Salary nominal; residence twelve years.

Q 35—Did the committee hear a man testify that his three children had been compelled to live on flour and water for the previous month?

A—This witness was John McDonald, 1023 Wisconsin street, who came to San Francisco from Ohio. Mr. McDonald has a wife and three children. We offered him transportation for self and family back to his place of legal residence. This offer was rejected. He received food up to the date he refused to return to his home in Ohio.

Q 36—What has the committee done about it?

A—The offer to Mr. McDonald remains open.

Q 37—Are the citizens of this community interested in starving children or only in the friends and relatives of only a few officials?

A—The work of the organization is my answer to that question.

Q 38—What is the pension racket?

A—Pension funds, so-called, come through an order of the Juvenile Court. They are designed primarily for the benefit of half orphans whose parent is unable through circumstances or health to provide proper food, clothing and shelter. In cases where the pension is inadequate for necessities, supplemental relief is extended. There is no pension "racket."

Yours respectfully,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

(EXHIBIT A)

University of California
The George William Hooper Foundation
Second and Parnassus avenues

San Francisco, July 3, 1933.

Dr. Sol Hyman, care of Associated Charities, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Hyman: I am in receipt of a report from Mr. M. P.

Duffy, Chief of the Bureau of Food and Drug Inspection, California State Department of Public Health, which reads as follows:

"With reference to your inquiry of a few days ago, I ascertained that the can of peaches labeled 'Diadem Brand, Schrill and Company, Indianapolis,' was packed by a California canner in the Sacramento Valley. The can was manufactured by the American Can Company at its Sacramento plant in 1932.

"With reference to the can of pears, coded M2H32, labeled 'Packed by McCarthy Brothers, San Francisco,' this material was also packed by a Sacramento Valley canner in 1932.

"The reason the can of peaches was vented was, no doubt, due to the fact it was a hydrogen swell and had been vented so as to release the hydrogen."

From this report it is quite obvious that the peaches as well as the pears were packed in California.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) K. F. MEYER.

(EXHIBIT B)

San Francisco, July 3, 1933.

C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Wollenberg: Although the charges brought against me and the department over which I preside are too ridiculous to need reply, I feel in justice to myself and associates that I should explain some things not fully brought out at the Peak hearings.

As far as the purchasing department was concerned, Mr. Ferguson had no authority to pass on the quality of any purchase, nor personally to reject the same without first bringing it to the attention of myself, Mr. Sapp, Mr. Jilge or Mr. Coyle.

When on several occasions Mr. Ferguson took it upon himself to call up the vendors and advise that he was rejecting a delivery, I told him that this could not be continued without following my instructions.

When he did bring to my attention a poor quality in a certain shipment, I returned what I considered was not up to specifications. At no time did Swift & Co., nor any other concern, refuse to take back any articles that I considered not up to requirements.

I am of the opinion that Mr. Ferguson notified the Board of Health that we were not receiving standard bacon, for on several occasions an inspector from the Board of Health called, saying he had been sent for. In questioning me at the hearing Mr. Ferguson stated that the inspector told him that if one package in a case of sixty pounds was bad, it would contaminate the balance of the carton. On the strength of this and against my wishes, he rejected practically a complete delivery of several thousand pounds.

I have since been advised by Mr. G. Hansen, Chief Meat Inspector, Department of Health, that as frequently as he inspected deliveries of bacon at our food depot, he never found any actually bad. Also that a few pieces of bad bacon could not contaminate the whole carton.

It was claimed at the hearing that purchase was made of pears twelve years old. I have in my file an affidavit from the vendor stating that these pears were packed in 1932. The labels of a defunct corporation were used on the cans, and Mr. Peak evidently failed to investigate, then professed to believe the pears were packed by the canners whose name appeared on the label.

Specifications for the purchase of butter, cheese and eggs call for daily inspection by the U. S. Department of Agriculture, and every case accepted has to be stamped by the inspector no earlier than twenty-four hours prior to delivery, with the exception of Monday's delivery, which must bear the inspection of the previous Saturday. If any other quality is delivered other than called for in the specifi-

cations it is the duty and responsibility of the U. S. Department inspectors to find it.

The men in charge of the dairy products department are advised to put aside for return and credit any article that does not come up to specification. We have on occasion found pullet eggs mixed with the others. These are promptly returned for credit, and at no time has any concern refused to accept them.

On May 15, Swift & Co. was awarded on advertised public bids a contract for twelve tons of Acron shortening to be delivered during the month of June. This purchase was ready in Swift & Co.'s warehouse on June 1, and we took delivery of the lot on June 3. When the weather became warm, part of the shipment was returned to them for refrigeration.

On or about July 1, at the suggestion of the City Chemist, I had Mr. W. C. Owens, laboratory inspector, Bureau of Animal Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture, test the shortening and his report was "No rancidity; perfectly edible and very fair quality."

I have a statement from Mr. Joseph Gaitner, manager of the canned goods department of Haas Bros., considered one of the best informed canned goods men in San Francisco. Mr. Gaitner says, "From 90 to 95 per cent of the canned merchandise you are receiving is the latest pack."

In a pamphlet distributed by the National Canners Association, Washington, D. C., entitled, "Here Are the Facts," the question how long will canned goods keep is answered as follows:

"Properly processed, they will keep indefinitely without spoilage as long as nothing happens to the can to make it leak."

A statement made a few years ago by Mr. Phelps, president of the American Can Company, was, in effect, that he had shared with some friends a can of corn which was 32 years old, and was just as edible as when sealed in the can.

Yours sincerely,
EDGAR J. BERG, Purchasing Department.

(EXHIBIT C)

Haas Brothers
Wholesale Grocers, Importers and Exporters
Third and Channel streets, San Francisco
Trupak
Super Quality

July 13, 1933.

Mr. Edgar J. Berg, 901 Potrero avenue, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Berg: Supplementing conversation of even date, in answer to your question as to the pack of respective canned goods items purchased by the City Department, it is the writer's belief that you are in 90 per cent to 95 per cent of the cases securing merchandise of the latest pack.

The canned goods situation has been particularly strong during the last six months and there has been an absolute clean-up of practically every item of old pack merchandise. This includes nearly every item in the canned goods line.

We might mention at this time for your information and guidance that items of the latest pack are rapidly being cleaned up and we are going into new pack with practically no stocks in the hands of canners.

Very truly yours,
HAAS BROTHERS,
(Signed) By J. GEITNER,
Manager, Canned Goods Department.

(EXHIBIT D)

University of California
The George William Hooper Foundation
Second and Parnassus avenues

San Francisco, July 21, 1933.

Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, Director of the San Francisco Relief Home, Laguna Honda, Seventh avenue and Dewey boulevard, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Wollenberg: Dr. Sol Hyman has asked me to give you the views, interpretations and experiences of those connected with the supervision of canned food in its relation to nutrition and food poisoning. In particular, if I understand him correctly, he requests a statement concerning the wholesomeness and safety of canned food which has developed hydrogen gas.

It is a well-known fact that not infrequently acid foods scientifically and properly canned, attack the tin or iron and thus generate hydrogen in the container. This condition in no way impairs the food value, soundness, wholesomeness and safety of the product. According to the best of my knowledge, no cases of food poisoning have ever been traced to such products. I personally have tested and eaten foods from containers which had developed hydrogen gas. No particular effects were noted.

In view of this evidence it is quite customary to reprocess these springy or swollen cans by heating, venting, resealing and again sterilizing. This practice is approved by the Federal Food officials, provided it is proven that the production of gas leading to bulging is not due to bacteriological decomposition of the food. So-called vented cans are regularly found in the trade.

Deterioration of properly processed food in tin or glass containers is invariably the result of improper storage. Cans which have been properly sterilized and have no seam leaks remain wholesome indefinitely. The experts of the National Canners Association report on this question as follows: "Properly processed food will keep indefinitely without spoilage as long as nothing happens to the can to make it leak."

I hope that this is the information which you desire. If I can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to call on me.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) K. F. MEYER,
Professor of Bacteriology and Director of Research in the Laboratories of the Canning Industries at the University of California.

Report of Hon. C. M. Sloss, Chairman of Citizens' Committee.

Citizens' Advisory Committee on Unemployment Relief

July 24, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I have received a copy of the resolution adopted by your honorable Board on Monday, July 17, 1933, reading as follows:

"That charges made in the Sunset Courier against the administration of unemployment relief be considered by the Citizens' Advisory Committee, and said charges and accusations reported upon to the Board of Supervisors at its meeting on Monday, July 24, 1933."

The communication from your Clerk transmitting the above resolution was accompanied by a copy of the issue of the Sunset Courier of July 13, 1933.

By reason of the want of a quorum, the Citizens' Advisory Committee has not had a meeting since the adoption of your resolution.

The issue of the Sunset Courier to which your resolution refers

purports to be based in large part upon the evidence produced at two hearings held by a sub-committee of the Citizens' Committee on June 14th and June 28th. The sub-committee consisted of Mr. Colbert Coldwell, Mr. R. B. Carpenter and the undersigned. The testimony presented at these hearings was reported stenographically, the two transcripts covering almost 100 pages. It is the purpose of the sub-committee to render a full report regarding the hearings. It has, however, not been possible to prepare this report up to the present time. In order that your honorable Board may have the requested answer for consideration at its meeting of today, I give herewith my individual statement as chairman of the Citizens' Advisory Committee and of the sub-committee in answer to the so-called "charges" contained in the July 18th issue of the Sunset Courier.

Most of the matter appearing upon the first page of the paper consists of insinuations so vague and indefinite and so lacking in any evidence to support them that they are not capable of being answered. Under the heading, "Just a Few Questions," appear a number of queries which do not involve any specific charge or statement. Most of them assume or imply that proof has been offered of wrongdoing in the administration of relief, but nothing definite is charged or said, and nearly all of the insinuations are entirely without foundation so far as any evidence presented to the sub-committee goes to show. Such questions as "What is the insurance racket?"; "What is the carfare racket?"; "What are all the charges of bribery that are flying thick and fast?"; "Are all people treated alike, or is this a political friendship racket?" do not deserve serious attention.

The only matters which attempt to be in any way directed to specific accusations of misconduct or irregularity are those appearing on page 4 of the paper, under the heading, "Excerpts from Transcript." The transcript referred to is the transcript of the two hearings before the sub-committee.

Not a single one of the statements termed "Excerpts from Transcript" is or purports to be a quotation of any testimony offered or of anything appearing in the transcript. These statements are merely the conclusions drawn by the individual or individuals who prepared them, and the conclusions are, in most instances, unsupported by anything that appears in the transcript. Seventeen numbered paragraphs appear in this part of the publication. I shall refer to them separately.

1. Whether or not James Coyle was guilty of a violation of the Motor Vehicle Act is a matter which has no bearing whatever upon the administration of food relief, which was the subject involved in the inquiry regarding Coyle.

2. It is not true that Edgar Berg, purchasing agent, admitted the receipt of canned goods below standard quality or the distribution of the same to unemployed families. The evidence on this subject is too long to be quoted in this answer. It will be fully discussed in the report of the sub-committee. At this time it may be said that in spite of persistent and not entirely candid efforts on the part of Mr. Peak to show the purchase and distribution of inferior canned goods, the evidence demonstrated that the goods were purchased by the City Purchasing Department on public invitation for bids, according to specifications, that the bids were accompanied by samples and that each delivery was inspected to determine whether it came up to sample. Generally speaking, the food supplies purchased were of good quality. There were a very few instances of purchases of canned goods not coming up to the definition of "standard pack." Even in these instances the goods delivered were equal to the sample which had passed the scrutiny of the Purchasing Department, and in these few instances the contents of the cans were edible and fit for human consumption. In this connection attention may be called to the insistence of Mr. Peak that certain canned pears were twelve or fourteen years old, although the evidence demonstrated to the

satisfaction of the sub-committee that this charge was absolutely unfounded.

3. It is here stated that Edgar Berg denied that he had ever received any pay from the City and County of San Francisco. The evidence was that Mr. Berg is not and has not been on the payroll of the City and County, but has been paid by the Citizens' Agency, a private organization.

4. Butter and eggs are sometimes found to be below standard, although a rigid inspection is made of all deliveries. The writer of the charges omits to state the important fact that when articles are found to be below the standard called for by specifications, they are returned to the contractor and replacements required.

The same statements apply to deliveries of poor bacon.

5. There was no admission by Berg, as stated in this paragraph, with regard to one Green. There was no evidence of any charges against Green.

6. The shortening in question was purchased by the City Purchasing Agent at low prices then available. The delivery was of shortening already purchased, and held by the seller to be delivered as called for. If any favor was shown to the salesman for the vendors, it was merely in taking delivery in larger quantities than immediately required. The course pursued is probably open to criticism and steps have been taken to correct the irregularity.

7 and 8. These specifications are essentially untrue, in that they mislead by suppressing or omitting an essential part of the story. A symphony concert was to be given for the public, without charge, in the Sigmund Stern Grove, off Nineteenth avenue. On a former occasion it had been found that great congestion occurred by the fact that there was only one driveway for automobiles entering and leaving the grove. In order to relieve this situation unemployed men were put to work grading a driveway to the west through the Parkside Tract. The work was done on land dedicated as a public street. The grading was for the convenience of the public and not to benefit the owners of the adjacent property, who included others as well as Crocker Estate Company.

9. Through some error the name of McManus appeared on two payrolls. The fact was discovered by the secretary of the Director of Relief and when discovered was immediately corrected.

10. Larsen himself testified that he had solicited gifts of liquor from each of seven or eight concerns doing business with his department, and that each of these concerns delivered liquor to his home periodically, without charge. He was discharged for this reason and, in the opinion of the sub-committee, was properly discharged.

11. The alleged "admission" is grossly overstated. The transcript shows that the insurance placed through F. G. Ainslie was not "all insurance," but only insurance on automobiles, and not all of that. However, the Director and the members of the sub-committee felt that this insurance should not be placed through relatives or connections of persons employed in the relief program. Arrangements are therefore being made to place this insurance through the purchaser of supplies of the City and County in the future.

12. Seventy-five dollars of Mrs. Ainslie's salary is carried by the City and County. The Community Chest meets the balance of her salary. There was no question about the facts, and the use of the word "admission" is unfair and misleading in this instance, as in others where it appears.

13. A few checks were stolen. The City and County was reimbursed for the loss.

14. The evidence was that no man was searched without his consent. A search was thought necessary to check pilfering by men working in the grocery department. Men who did not consent were not searched. Relief was not denied to men who refused to permit a search. They were merely transferred to other work. So far as the

committee is advised, there was no violation of law in this procedure.

15. There was nothing to show that Mrs. Henderson did not perform her duties in the purchasing of produce honestly and efficiently. On the contrary, all the evidence before the sub-committee indicated that she was both capable and conscientious. Her increased purchases from the Elite Produce Company were made for reasons which the members of the sub-committee deemed sufficient and satisfactory and which are fully set forth in the transcript.

16. The trucking has been in the hands of the Purchasing Agent of the City and County since the beginning of the year. In January the Purchasing Agent made a contract with one Cole at 15 cents a delivery. In April new specifications were prepared and bids were called for to be submitted on June 15th. Various bids have been put in and are now under consideration. The low bid was 9 cents, but the bidder withdrew his deposit and refused to go on. The remaining bids were for 12¼ cents and 12½ cents, respectively. The award has not yet been made, as the Purchaser of Supplies is investigating the ability of the low bidder to carry out the contract.

It may be pointed out here that the advertising for bids and the consequent lower price offered were not the result of the activities of Mr. Peak or of anyone connected with him. The advertisement for bids was put out before any suggestions or criticisms from Mr. Peak were presented to the committee.

17. This heading relates to the allowance of "extra bags." It has to do with an adjustment of food allowances to properly supply families consisting of a larger number of adults than other families. It is based on the Jaffa Budget. Possibly there are some inaccuracies or inequalities in the method of allowance and the matter is now being studied with a view to perfecting the adjustment. The estimate of a possible saving of $75,000 a year on this item is a wild conjecture, without any substantial or reliable basis.

In conclusion, I wish, on behalf of the Citizens' Committee, and of those in direct charge of the administration of relief, to enter an emphatic protest against publications like the one in question, and against the actions of those who inspired it.

The Sunset Courier purports to base its "disclosures" and "charges" upon evidence produced at a hearing before a sub-committee. The evidence so produced is not set forth. Instead, the paper is filled with insinuations and misrepresentations, with half-truths and unfounded inferences. No one will contend that in a work of this magnitude, carried on under emergency conditions, mistakes have not occurred. Indeed, some mistakes are unavoidable in any organization of any size. But the claim of those who undertook to present charges to the sub-committee was that they could show serious and extensive dishonesty and inefficiency in the administration of food relief. In this they totally failed. The evidence established, to my mind, that, with very few and minor exceptions, the food purchased and distributed is of good quality and sufficient in quantity; that it is bought at reasonable prices; that, except for perishable fruits and vegetables, it is bought on competitive bids; that deliveries are carefully inspected, and, when found to be defective, are returned and replaced by proper goods.

The Sunset Courier claims (p. 1) to be "backed by affidavits and sworn statements containing the facts . . . ." No affidavit or other sworn statement was presented to the sub-committee, or has come to my knowledge. None is quoted or set forth in the issue of the paper.

In justice to the Relief Administration, and to the Citizens' Committee, one thing more should be said. The Sunset Courier makes repeated references to Mr. George Peak. It says, among other things, that "Mr. Peak has forced the committee to hold a public hearing," and that he and his associates, "with the perseverance and courage of the early vigilantes, *persuaded* the—committee—to listen." The statement that a hearing of charges or complaints was forced upon an

unwilling committee is absolutely without truth or justification. At its weekly meetings for months past the Citizens' Committee has heard anyone who had any complaint to make. With reference to the hearings of June 14 and June 28, the attitude of the sub-committee is clearly shown by the statement made by the writer at the opening of the first hearing. I quote from the transcript:

JUDGE SLOSS: "This is a meeting of a Sub-Committee of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, called to hear any testimony that might be produced bearing upon suggested irregularities and improprieties in the administration of relief, particularly with respect to the distribution of food. The meeting was first requested by Mr. J. P. Lee, secretary of the Good Government League, who informed one of the members of the Committee that he had charges that he wished to bring before the Committee, and wanted to know whether he could have a hearing. The Committee appointed this Sub-Committee, which fixed last Wednesday, June 7, as the time for hearing Mr. Lee and any witnesses that he might bring. Mr. Lee was informed of the appointment of the Sub-Committee and of the time and place of meeting several days in advance. He did not appear, nor did any witnesses appear, on the 7th. A letter was subsequently sent to him informing him that the Committee desired a hearing, and insisted that he present any evidence he had, and informed him, also, that the Sub-Committee would meet again tonight for that purpose. He informed the Committee today, in writing, that he would not appear, giving as his reason that the hearing was not to be public. His first request of the member to whom he spoke was that the meeting should be private.

"In the meantime others have stated that they had matters to present, and the Committee is meeting now to hear any evidence that may be produced. I need hardly say that it is the desire of every member of the Committee to be informed of any facts bearing upon the administration of relief and, if there are any improprieties or if there is any wrongdoing, we want to know it, so that we may be in a position to correct it. We want everyone who has anything to present to speak fully, frankly and fairly, and we will give fair consideration to whatever is brought out.

"The two gentlemen in the room, Mr. Peak and Mr. Ferguson, have informed us that they have evidence to produce bearing on these matters, and we would like you, Mr. Peak and Mr. Ferguson, to now tell us what the matters are that you wish to bring out and the general nature of the evidence."

I also attach, for the information of your Honorable Board, a copy of a letter from Mr. Peak to me, dated June 14. This letter, when read in connection with the newspaper publication, may throw some light on the methods and purposes of the Sunset Courier and of those in whose name it claims to speak.

Respectfully submitted,

M. C. SLOSS,
Chairman, Citizens' Committee.

San Francisco, Cal., June 14, 1933.

Hon. M. C. Sloss, Chairman, Citizens' Advisory Committee, 85 Post St., City.

Dear Judge: I am advised by Mr. Ferguson that you will have present at the meeting this evening an official court reporter for the purposes of reporting the proceedings.

Please be assured that the copy delivered to me will be promptly checked for errors or mistakes, and that I will advise you promptly of its correctness, and that it will be treated with the utmost confidence, and not be used for any political purpose. Thanking you for courtesies extended to us, I am,

Very truly yours,
(Signed) GEORGE PEAK.

Privilege of the Floor.

The following were duly sworn and testified in the pending hearing:

J. C. Courter, editor and publisher of the Sunset Courier. He submitted for the record a list of names on kitchen payrolls but who did not show up for work; Mr. Peak, Mr. W. W. Watkins and Chas. Lewis Foster, 107 Lake View avenue, and Jno. A. O'Connell, member of the Citizens' Advisory Committee on Unemployment Relief, were also heard.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon Supervisor Havenner moved that we recess today and continue this hearding at 2 o'clock tomorrow, August 8, 1933.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Beverage Ordinance.
(Code No. 3.041.)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 374, Ordinance No. 3.04127.

Creating the Board of Beverage Commissioners; regulating the retail sale, service and dispensing of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight; providing for licenses to dealers; providing for the granting, denying and revoking of permits; and prescribing penalties for a violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Beverage Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby created, which said Board shall consist of three members, one of whom shall be *a member of the Health Advisory Board* of the City and County of San Francisco, one of whom shall be a member of the Police Commission of said City and County, and one of whom shall be a member of the City Planning Commission of said City and County. The Mayor shall select and appoint *the member of the Health Advisory Board*, the member of the Police Commission and the member of the City Planning Commission, who shall serve on said Board of Beverage Commissioners, and said persons so appointed shall serve thereon at the pleasure of the Mayor. When any member of said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cease to hold office which made him or her eligible to membership on said Board his or her membership on said Board shall automatically cease. When any vacancy shall occur on said Board of Beverage Commissioners the Mayor shall fill said vacancy by appointing thereto some person possessing the qualifications herein provided for the original appointees. The members of said Board shall serve without compensation as such.

Section 2. The Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer shall have full power and authority to transfer or assign to said Board of Beverage Commissioners such employees of the City and County of San Francisco as they may deem necessary for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance and performing the duties incident thereto.

Section 3. The said Board of Beverage Commissioners shall regulate the retail sale, dispensing and serving of beverages in excess of half of one per cent of alcohol by volume and not in excess of three and two-tenths per cent of alcohol by weight, and this ordinance shall govern and control only the retail handling of such beverages.

Section 4. Application for a permit to engage in business as a beverage dealer under the terms of this ordinance must be made by filing a written application therefor, together with a filing fee of five ($5) dollars, with the Board of Beverage Commissioners, on a form provided therefor, designating the location of the place where the business is to be carried on and the full name and address of the applicant and the nature of the business to be conducted. Upon receipt of such application, the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall cause an investigation to be made and if it be found that the applicant is of good moral character, that his place of business is located in conformity with the zoning laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the laws, ordinances and regulations, relative to fire, health and public safety will be complied with, and that said proposed business will not be a detriment to the peace and welfare of the adjoining and surrounding property, or the occupants thereof, said Board of Beverage Commissioners may grant said applicant a permit to conduct said business at the location mentioned in the application, provided, however, that no permit shall be transferable or assignable without consent in writing of the Board of Beverage Commissioners, *and upon payment of an additional filing fee in the amount of five* ($5) *dollars.*

Section 5. No permit shall be issued to *any corporation the majority of whose stock is not held by citizens of the United States of America* or to any person who is not a citizen of the United States of America or who has not filed his intention to become a citizen of the United States of America, and who has not been a resident of this City and County for at least one (1) year prior to the filing of an application hereunder; *provided that any person, firm or corporation that is licensed to do business in the State of California and has actually been engaged in business at the premises described in the application for at least one year prior thereto shall be eligible to receive a permit.*

Section 6. Permits may be revoked only upon written charges *signed* by the complainant and filed with the Board of Beverage Commissioners that any holder of a permit as a beverage dealer has conducted his business in a disorderly or improper manner, or in violation of this or any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, statute of the State of California or statute of the United States of America. A copy of such charges shall be served upon said beverage dealer at least five days prior to the date set for the hearing of such charges, and upon the hearing said dealer shall be entitled to be represented by counsel, and to a fair and impartial trial upon the matters embraced in such charges, and if the charges shall be found to be untrue, the complaint shall be dismissed. If the charges shall be found to be true, the then existing permit may be revoked, or suspended for a period of time to be designated by said Board of Beverage Commissioners. Upon the revocation or suspension of said permit the license issued thereunder shall likewise become revoked or suspended. An appeal may be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals under the provisions of the charter.

Section 7. *Class "A".* Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of one hundred ($100) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable in quarterly installments of twenty-five ($25) dollars each.

Section 8. *Class "B".* Every beverage dealer engaged in the business of selling beverages not to be consumed upon the premises shall pay a license of twelve ($12) dollars per annum for each separate place of business, payable annually.

Section 9. Licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises shall be granted only to restaurants, lunch rooms, buffets, grill rooms, lunch counters, dining rooms of hotels, dining rooms of boarding houses and coffee shops, incorporated clubs and/or hotels, except as otherwise in this ordinance provided. Holders

of licenses for the business of selling beverages to be consumed upon the premises may serve beverages to be consumed only at regular public tables, eating counters, or, in the cases of hotels, beverages may be served in guest rooms.

It shall be the duty of the Commissioners to have frequent inspections made of premises where beverages are sold for consumption and if it is found that any such licensee is violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or of the regulations of the Commissioners promulgated hereunder or is failing to observe in good faith the purposes of this ordinance, such license may be revoked after the licensee is given an opportunity to be heard in his defense.

Section 10. Licenses granted under Section 7 shall be due and payable quarterly in advance, and fall due on the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October in each calendar year, depending upon the particular quarter in which the licensee begins business. In all cases of licenses on new business collectible at periods other than the first day of January, the first day of April, the first day of July and the first day of October, the Tax Collector shall pro rate on a monthly basis the amount of license fee due for any quarter.

Section 11. *Class "C".* One-day permits may be granted for the selling, dispensing and consuming of beverages provided for in this ordinance in halls, assemblies, auditoriums and *at picnics and circuses,* under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission under the provisions of this ordinance. *The fee for such permit shall be ten* ($10) *dollars for each day or continuous affair not exceeding twenty-four hours. No filing fee shall be required for Class "C" permits.*

Section 12. In no event shall a permit be granted by said Board of Beverage Commissioners to any person, firm or corporation for the sale, service or dispensing of beverages in any *public* establishment or any place of business operated as a public saloon, public bar or barroom where said beverages are directly dispensed over a bar, to be then and there consumed.

Section 13. Licenses shall be obtained from the Tax Collector and shall be issued by him only upon the presentation to him of a permit from the Board of Beverage Commissioners, and shall renew said licenses unless the Board of Beverage Commissioners shall file written objection with the Tax Collector to such renewal or continuance. All licenses shall be conspicuously posted on the premises.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, either as principal, agent, servant or employee, to set up, conduct or maintain any premises or fixed place of business, wherein the beverages provided for herein are sold, furnished, served or dispensed, without first obtaining a permit from said Board of Beverage Commissioners and a license from the Tax Collector, and it is hereby made the duty of any and all such persons, firms or corporations, to ascertain that such permit has been granted and that such license has actually been issued, and is still in full force and effect.

Section 15. No delivery to a retailer for the purpose of resale of the beverage herein referred to shall be made unless said retailer is the holder of a license in full force and effect.

Section 16. The occupying, setting up, conducting or maintaining of any such premises or fixed place of business with the intent to sell, serve or dispense any such beverages in violation of the terms of this ordinance is hereby declared to be a public nuisance. This ordinance is passed under the permit, license and police power as they exist independently of each other

Section 17. This ordinance shall not apply to the serving, furnishing or dispensing of any such beverages by any persons at their homes or elsewhere as an act of hospitality, so long as the same is not done in evasion, or attempted evasion of the provisions of this ordinance, nor

shall it apply to regularly licensed physicians, surgeons, apothecaries or chemists who use or dispense any such beverages for medicinal purposes when prescribed by a duly licensed medical practitioner.

Section 18. It shall be unlawful for any beverage dealer under any circumstances knowingly to sell such beverages to minors except in company with parents or guardian.

Section 19. In the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the Board of Beverage Commissioners may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling, upon surrounding property, and upon its residents and inhabitants; and in granting or denying said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

Section 20. No permit shall be granted for the sale, service or dispensing of said beverages, to be consumed upon the premises, in close proximity to a public school, playground or church. The determination of close proximity in each case shall be determined by the Board of Beverage Commissioners.

Section 21. All ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

Section 22. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than five days and not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 23. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or unlawful such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases may be declared to be unconstitutional or unlawful.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles, Spaulding—4.

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), by Requiring Meat Advertised or Offered for Sale to Be Sold by Weight.

(Code No. 17.04)

On recommendation of Health Committee.

Bill No. 370, Ordinance No. 17.042, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the sale of bread, meat, coal, milk, cream, butter, ice, hay, straw, grain, mill feed and other commodities and merchandise; requiring inspection by the Sealer of Weights and Measures and providing a penalty for the violation thereof," in effect April 8, 1914, *by requiring meat advertised or offered for sale to be sold by weight.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. All meat, *advertised, or sold, or offered for sale*, at any place of business, except shanks, offal, heads and plucks, and wild game, shall be *advertised* and sold by weight, and shall be weighed in a scale by weight or a beam, properly sealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles, Spaulding—4.

Ordering the Improvement of Thirty-seventh Avenue Between Moraga and Noriega Streets, and Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061127 to Correct Clerical Error.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 378, Ordinance No. 12.061129, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 17, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in *three (3) installments;* that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be *one year* from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be *seven per centum per annum.*

The improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Moraga street and Noriega street, by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer with Y branches and brick manholes.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 12.061127 is hereby repealed to correct clerical error.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing the Tax Collector Be Allowed Credit in His Settlement with Controller for $100 Counterfeit Bill Received in Payment for Taxes.

(Code No. 9.022)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 931, as follows:

Whereas, on May 6 of the current year a deputy in the office of the Tax Collector in receiving payment of the second installment of taxes for the current year accepted a one hundred dollar bill, which said bill, after the same was deposited by the Tax Collector in his bank, when examined by experts was found to be counterfeit; and

Whereas, it is impossible to ascertain from whom said one hundred dollar bill was received in payment of said taxes and it is therefore impossible to charge the same back against the person presenting the same; and

Whereas, the said bill being such a perfect counterfeit that it would be impossible for the deputy accepting said bill to have detected the fact that it was counterfeit;

Now, therefore, for the purpose of making the proper entries in the books of the Controller and Treasurer, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be and he is hereby allowed credit in his settlement with the Controller for said sum of one hundred dollars and the Controller and Treasurer are hereby directed to make the proper entries in their respective books to carry out the purport of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of City Planning Committee was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m.*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. 332, Ordinance No. 13.021, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. *Commercial District.* In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.

2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.

3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges.

4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Board of Supervisors Memorializes Administrators of National Industrial Recovery Act to Deny Application for Loan to Construct Wholesale Food Terminal in Marina District.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 942, as follows:

Whereas, it has been the policy of this Board of Supervisors for several years past, as evidenced by Ordinances Nos. 8140 and 8710 (New Series), to prohibit the erection, maintenance or operation of warehouses, food and ferry terminals, airplane and hydroplane landings and certain other types of industrial and heavy commercial projects in the Pacific Heights and Marina Districts, or more specifically, upon all that property bounded by Van Ness avenue on the east, Lyon street on the west, Jackson street on the south and San Francisco Bay on the north, and to preserve this district inviolate from this type of activity; and

Whereas, this district, embracing almost exclusively first and second residential properties, is devoted entirely to home purposes together with those commercial activities which constitute a necessary adjunct thereto; and

Whereas, this district, both from a climatic and aesthetic point of view, is one of the best in the city and is particularly valuable and desirable because of its proximity to the commercial and financial centers; and

Whereas, a large proportion of this district was developed and built up upon the assurance that the residential character of the district would be preserved intact; and

Whereas, the sponsors of this resolution have been reliably informed that an application has been submitted to the Administrators of the National Industrial Recovery Act for the purpose of procuring a loan with which to build a wholesale food terminal on the private property known as Gas House Cove between Marina Park and the United States docks; and

Whereas, at the time of the last application for a permit to construct a wholesale food terminal upon this property, an ordinance was unanimously passed by this Board of Supervisors expressly prohibiting this type of endeavor in the district; and

Whereas, it is the intention of the Park Commission to acquire this property as soon as possible, consistent with economic conditions for the extension of or an annex to Yacht Harbor; and

Whereas, there are other sites on the waterfront which can be acquired and devoted to the purposes of a wholesale food terminal, and where the erection of such an institution will in no way jeopardize the residential districts or Park system of our City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Administrators of the National Industrial Recovery Act and does most emphatically urge that body to deny any application for a loan for the purpose of constructing a wholesale food terminal in the Marina District of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to President Roosevelt and to the Administrators of the National Recovery Act in Washington, as well as to their local representatives.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Construction of Sidewalks, North Side of Oneida Avenue Between Otsego and Delano Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 386, Ordinance No. 12.061130, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 25, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Oneida avenue (north one-half) between Otsego and Delano avenues.

Carolina street (east one-half) between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets.

Wilder street (north one-half) between Diamond and Natick streets.

Twenty-ninth street (south one-half) between Castro street and 280 feet west.

Twenty-fourth avenue (east one-half) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Twenty-sixth avenue (east one-half) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Thirty-first avenue (east one-half) between Irving and Judah streets.

Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Lawton street (north one-half) between Twenty-second and Thirtieth avenues.

Twenty-second avenue (west one-half) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Eighteenth avenue (west one-half) between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Thirtieth avenue (west one-half) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Rivera street, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of Fourteenth avenue (west one-half) between Lake and California streets.

Lincoln way (south one-half) between Seventh and Eighth avenues.

Laguna street (east one-half) between Vallejo street and Broadway.

California street (south one-half) between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Union street (south one-half) between 100 feet and 127 feet 6 inches west of Scott street, by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Cabrillo street (north one-half) between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues.

Balboa street (north one-half) between Twelfth and Funston avenues.

Moncada way (east one-half) between Paloma and Cedro avenues.

Judah street (south one-half) between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues.

Seventeenth avenue (west one-half) between Taraval street and 100 feet south.

Stanyan street (east one-half) between Seventeenth street and 275 feet south, by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The standard specifications of 1929 are hereby made a part of this contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Improvement of Brunswick Street Between Allison and Concord Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 387, Ordinance No. 12.061131, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brunswick street between Allison and Concord streets, including the crossing of the intervening alley and the crossing of Brunswick and Concord streets, by grading to official line and subgrade.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit and that the asesssment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 28 of Block 6477; Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 of Block 6478; Lots 1, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of Block 6486; Lots 1, 1-A and 2, Block 6487, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings of the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses

thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Improvement of Crossing of Innes Avenue and Mendell Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 388, Ordinance No. 12.061132, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Innes avenue and Mendell street by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works the assessment to defray the costs and expenses of the said contemplated work or improvement can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expenses of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be asessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Mendell street 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue; thence northwesterly and parallel with the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 300 feet; thence northeasterly and parallel with the northwesterly line of Mendell street 280 feet; thence southeasterly and parallel with the northeast-

erly line of Innes avenue 674 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Mendell street 100 feet; thence to a point on the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 315 feet southeasterly from Mendell street; thence southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Mendell street 100 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel to the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 379 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061113, Ordering the Improvement of the Southerly One-half of Jefferson Street Between Taylor and Mason Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 389, Ordinance No. 12.061133, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061113, in effect September 7, 1932, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061113, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Miles—3.

Director of Property to Sell City-Owned Land, Hampshire Street.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 390, Ordinance No. 12.17212, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at a point which is distant 190 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Hampshire street and 15.976 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street, said point being in the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J., Wm. F., and Frederick Petersen; thence southeasterly at right angles to said line of Army street and along said line of the property of Petersen 20.024 feet to a point thereon distant 36 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street; thence at right angles northeasterly 104.779 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 10 degrees 03 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 16.016 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 122.600 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 239, 240 and 241, Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described parcel of land was purchased by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the proposed widening of Army street. Due to a change in the plans for said widening this parcel is no longer required by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Works the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 5. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Full Acceptance, Various Streets.
(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 391, Ordinance No. 12.08118, as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Jefferson street between Mason and Taylor streets, including the crossing of Jefferson and Mason streets, including the curbs; Whipple avenue between Huron avenue and Ellington avenue, including the intersection of Whipple avenue and Rae avenue, including the curbs; Jennings street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues, including the crossing of Jennings street and Fitzgerald avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and the intersection of Sixteenth avenue with Wawona street, including the curbs; Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street and the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street; Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, including the curbs; De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to the westerly line of Head street, including the crossing of De Long and Head streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 108 of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Jefferson street between Mason and Taylor streets, including the crossing of Jefferson and Mason streets, including the curbs; Whipple avenue between Huron avenue and Ellington avenue, including the intersection of Whipple avenue and Rae avenue, including the curbs; Jennings street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues, including the crossing of Jennings street and Fitzgerald avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and the intersection of Sixteenth

avenue with Wawona street, including the curbs; Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street and the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street; Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, including the curbs; De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to the westerly line of Head street, including the crossing of De Long and Head streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 947, as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of 45 days' time from and after July 17, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Yukon street and Caselli avenue.

Contractor has been delayed by construction of retaining walls by property owners and by installation of services by corporations.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Congratulating San Francisco's Official Rowing Crew (South End Boat Club) on Its Victory at Regatta, World's Fair, Chicago.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 951, as follows:

Whereas, it is reported that at the recent Chicago Rowing Regatta, at the Chicago World's Fair, the National Championship was won by San Francisco's official rowing crew from the South End Boat Club; and

Whereas, San Francisco, already world famous for its notable champions in boxing, tennis and other lines of athletic endeavor, has now the great distinction and honor, through its official rowing team, of adding another championship to its list; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its heartfelt congratulations and felicitations to each and every member of the victorious South End Rowing Crew who so valiantly and nobly upheld the best traditions of sportsmanship and athletic prowess for which our San Francisco is so justly renowned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Execution of Quitclaim Deeds to Old Pilarcitos Pipe Line Right of Way.

(Code No. 15.0242)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Bill No. 392, Ordinance No. 15.02421, as follows:

Authorizing execution of quitclaim deeds to old Pilarcitos pipe line right of way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute quitclaim deeds on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, relinquishing to the following two owners of lands affected by the old Pilarcitos pipe line right of way in San Francisco, California, whatever interest, if any, the City and County of San Francisco, as successor in interest to the Spring Valley Water Company, may have acquired to said right of way over their property, which right of way was abandoned many years before acquisition of the Spring Valley water system by the City and County of San Francisco on March 3, 1930:

Harry H. Lorentzen et ux., right of way over portion of Lot 10, in Block "I," as per map of Railroad Homestead Association.

J. D. Heise et ux., right of way over portion of Lot 174, in Block 1, as per map of the Fairmount Land Association, and portion of Lot 5, in Block 3, as per map of the Fairmount Extension Homestead.

Section 2. The above mentioned right of way, together with certain other property was transferred to Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 8, 1910, and recorded July 11, 1910, in Book 421 of Deeds, at page 200, official records of San Francisco.

Section 3. To cover the cost of preparing the necessary papers the Director of Property shall collect $5 from each of said lot owners before delivering said quitclaim deeds to them.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Widening of Army Street.

(Code No. 12.064)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 950, as follows:

Whereas, the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco has requested permission of the Recreation Department to widen and extend Army street, west of Potrero avenue, across and along certain land owned by the City and County of San Francisco and under control of the Recreation Department; and

Whereas, said land required for this widening is necessary for the greater convenience of the public and access to said playground will be enhanced thereby and said playground situate on the north side of Army street between Potrero avenue and Hampshire street will be benefited by the widening of Army street; and

Whereas, the Recreation Department recommends the said transfer be granted subject to the hereinafter mentioned reservations and conditions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to said Department of Public Works to widen, construct, maintain and declare Army street an open public street across and along the following described land

situated in San Francisco, California, and that said land is ordered transferred to the greater public use, to-wit, for street purposes:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Army street and the northeasterly line of Hampshire street; thence northeasterly along said line of Army street 139.837 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along said line of Army street, on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1264 feet, central angle 3 degrees 13 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 71.116 feet; thence deflecting 3 degrees 13 minutes 25 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and continuing northeasterly along said line of Army street 67.300 feet to the southwesterly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along said line of Potrero avenue 22 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 20 feet, central angle 90 degrees, a distance of 31.416 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 31.637 feet; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 250 feet, central angle 10 degrees 03 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 43.919 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve, 100.301 feet; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 5 degrees 07 minutes 09 seconds, a distance of 84.879 feet to aforesaid line of Hampshire street; thence deflecting 94 degrees 56 minutes 47 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said line of Hampshire street 32.462 feet to the northwesterly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Containing 4.110 square feet.

Be It Further Resolved, That this permit is granted subject to the following reservations and conditions: The Department of Public Works shall at its own cost and expense out of funds under its control construct and maintain said street, and shall relocate any improvements now situated on the adjoining and remaining property under control of the Recreation Department to the satisfaction of said Recreation Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, Philip L. Bush, President Board of Education.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 953, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Philip L. Bush, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fourteen days, commencing August 2, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Garbage Report Requested

Supervisor Brown requested that Chief Administrative Officer be asked to submit his report on permanent disposal of garbage as soon as possible.

So ordered.

School Budget.

A communication from Jos. Marr Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools, submitting school budget for ensuing fiscal year, was presented and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Authorizing and Requesting the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Supervise the Expenditure of Funds Made Available for Unemployment Relief by the City and County, the State, and the Federal Government.

(Code No. 19.071.)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 949, as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Mayor to appoint a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to supervise the expenditure of funds made available for unemployment relief by the City and County, the State, and the Federal Government.

Resolved, Pursuant to recommendation by R. C. Branion, Administrator State Emergency Relief Commission, his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi, is authorized and requested to appoint the following named persons: Judge M. C. Sloss, Russell D. Carpenter, John O'Connell, W. P. Fuller, Major Chas. Kendrick, Frank N. Belgrano, Mrs. Earl Treadwell, Mrs. Paul Springer, Harry Milton, James B. Smith, Colbert Caldwell, as the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee of San Francisco to supervise the expenditure of funds made available for unemployment relief by the City and County, the State, and the Federal Government.

The persons named were heretofore appointed by the Mayor as a Citizens Advisory Relief Committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Obscene Library Books.

Supervisor Spaulding called attention to an obscene book distributed to a minor from a branch public library at John Muir Playground and asked that Library Trustees be requested to take books of such nature out of circulation.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

President and Administrator of N. R. A. Memorialized to Provide for Public Works in California.

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 952, memorializing the President of the United States and the Administrator of N. R. A. to set aside funds for construction of contemplated public buildings and construction work in California.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee to Confer with Survey Board.

Supervisor Havenner presented, on behalf of Supervisor Hayden, letter from Committee for Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration, stating that the Citizens' Committee for Relief have requested privilege of conferring with research staff of this survey, for purpose of making use of certain completed portions of the work, in the reconstruction of the relief administration, which has become necessary

under the new Federal and State rulings. The Board approved the requested conference.

Excused.

Supervisor Miles was excused from next Monday's meeting.

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board at 7:45 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow at 2 p. m., when it will continue hearing of complaints against administration of unemployment relief.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

By DAVID A. BARRY,
Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 5, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 35

Tuesday, August 8, 1933
Thursday, August 10, 1933
Monday, August 14, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, AUGUST 8, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, August 8, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of continuing hearing of charges of mal-administration of unemployment relief.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

Livestock Show.

Before proceeding to hearing of testimony on charges of mal-administration relief, Supervisor Shannon called attention to an editorial in the Call-Bulletin, stating that although the Mayor's Committee on public works has recommended $27,585,000 worth of projects to which the government will make 70 per cent loans and 30 per cent gifts under N. I. R. A. (public works), that the Mayor's Committee did not include the livestock exposition in its recommendations, but did recommend favorable consideration provided that the cost of the project did not exceed $1,500,000.

He asked Supervisor Gallagher if he had seen the editorial, and if so, what is the status of the matter and is there any opportunity for us to have the livestock exposition included in the recommendations.

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes, I am not quite sure that the statement is correct. The Mayor's Committee has endorsed the project unanimously and recommended it provided it does not exceed $1,250,000. The Mayor has the recommendation in his possession and I understand he is taking it up with Mr. Justus Wardell preparatory to recommending it to the Board. The Citizens' Committee is on record as in favor. This Board will have a final decision and the Mayor, I anticipate, will bring us his recommendation within the next week.

On motion of Supervisor Canepa, the following editorial, from the San Francisco Call-Bulletin of August 8, 1933, was made part of the record:

"*Shall We Be Livestock Exposition Center of the West?*
"Or Shall We Throw Away Our Golden Opportunity?

"Once more we have the opportunity of acquiring for San Francisco a livestock exposition and center that will be of enormous advantage to our city.

"And once more we have the chance to throw that precious opportunity away in apathy and shortsightedness.

"The mayor's citizen committee on public works has recommended $27,585,000 worth of projects toward which the federal government will make 70 per cent loans and 30 per cent gifts under the authority of the Roosevelt public works act.

"That committee did not include the livestock exposition in its recommendations, but it did recommend favorable consideration provided

that the cost of the project does not exceed $1,500,000—and the fate of the exposition is now in the hands of Mayor Rossi and the Board of Supervisors.

"The mayor will recommend the projects he considers valuable to the supervisors and they will vote on them.

"Will they include this prize or will they toss it aside, to be picked up by some other section of the state that is keenly aware of the advantage of making itself the livestock center of the West?

"San Francisco is the natural center of the livestock industry. The creation of a livestock exposition here means from $80,000,000 to $100,000,000 added to the annual business of San Francisco in beef, sheep and hogs, and at least $11,000,000 in the trading value of horses. We receive much of this business now; we would receive much more if we would reach out for it through such developments as the San Francisco livestock exposition.

"Two years ago the Legislature voted an appropriation of $250,000, contingent upon an equal appropriation from the city of San Francisco, but San Franicsco did nothing. Some of our supervisors felt that we could not AFFORD this well thought-out and excellently-planned development. So the city's $250,000 was never included in the budget and during the recent session of the Legislature legislators from other sections made a determined effort to withdraw the state's appropriation. Sacramento feared that a livestock exposition in San Francisco would hurt the State Fair; Los Angeles leaders were jealous of any northern growth that might affect their livestock interests. But after a fierce fight the appropriation was saved for San Francisco and it will remain to our credit through the rest of 1933 and 1934.

"What do we do now?

"Do Mayor Rossi and the supervisors act in behalf of San Francisco's interest and prosperity or do they reject our interest and our welfare in behalf of Sacramento and Los Angeles?

"Do we work FOR San Francisco or AGAINST her? Do we abandon the fight at the instant of impending victory? Do we add this livestock exposition to our wealth, or do we surrender our chance for leadership in a great industry?

"Do we give up or do we go ahead?

"It's up to the mayor and our supervisors."

Unemployment Relief Hearing.

Supervisor Canepa suggested that all witnesses to be heard be sworn, that the City Attorney be our legal advisor and rule on questions of evidence and that each witness called shall answer to a specific charge and testify as to charges which are . . .

President Hayden: The President of the Board has requested a representative of the City Attorney's office, Mr. Henry Heidleberg, who is here, to act as our advisor.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved, as a matter of orderly procedure and considering the serious nature of the charges being made, that all of the witnesses be sworn, that the Assistant City Attorney make objections or rulings in view of the fact that the members of the Board are not in a position so to do and if additional counsel is needed that it be obtained.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the witnesses be sworn and subpoenas be issued if needed or asked for in the discretion of the Board and that the chair be authorized to proceed along those lines.

Amendment *carried.*

Mr. Geo. Peak requested that the complainants be represented by

attorney and asked that Jas. Hanley, Sr., be phoned to, which being done, Mr. Hanley sent message that he was not interested.

Witnesses.

Thereupon, the following witnesses were duly sworn and testified, to-wit:

Geo. W. Peak, alleged intimidation of relief work employees by Director Wollenberg.

Archibald Ferguson, watchman, 33 Caselli avenue, with I. Magnin & Co., was ordered subpoenaed.

P. J. Garrison, 282 Guerrero street, charity relief worker.

Mr. Fred Schueszler, 823 Dolores street, charity worker (Case No. 35402), income $45 a month, family of eight children. Exhibited green corn, navy beans, lima beans, canned beans, canned corn, three heads of cabbage. Complained of favoritism, some of the food unfit practically all the time.

Paul Kamengieser, 2777 Bryant street (Case No. 59442), four children, gas off for three weeks, electric lights off, given eviction notice. Wife works two days per month and gets $5 per month.

Phillip W. Thatcher, food inspector under Mr. Berg, 400 Duboce avenue (Case No. 51955), with experience as a grocer, opened canned exhibits submitted and declared that the goods were below standard and unsatisfactory. Filed certificate from Annie McCrea, M. D., of Board of Health, that sample of canned peas were unfit for food. He admitted later that these canned goods were put in the boxes of V. Hammerstad and Mr. Larsen, men on relief, for use at this hearing and that they knew the goods had been rejected and had been set aside to be returned. He also presented as an exhibit a bottle of salad oil containing a spider which he said had been handed out by the relief station.

Supervisor Havenner moved that it be stipulated that nothing said here by employees be made the basis for penalizing them.

So ordered.

Fred E. Homel (Case No. 69703), 128 Caine avenue, assigned to clerical reserve, being duly sworn, testified that Case No. 66692 was getting six checks and six boxes of groceries in one month although others only got one box a week or four a month.

Case No. 66627, people in the Presidio who are getting relief.

Some people are getting $35 and $40 per month for rent.

One card found an error of $23 carried over into grand total (Case No. 20443).

Case No. 67213, $40 rent. I asked for $20 per month and was told they were not paying any rent.

I gave Mr. Wollenberg a copy of these figures on adding machine paper and he promised to look into it right away.

In renting department we had 2981 applications in the month of February. Sixty-nine per cent were paid and 31 per cent were turned down.

Mr. Peter Garrison, 282 Guerrero street, representing Charity Workers' Protective Union, was sworn and testified making charges of inefficiency against foreman of Camp No. 1, on Twin Peaks, when the unemployed were at work cutting down trees.

Mike Dubetz, charity worker, 619 Francisco street, being duly sworn, testified and referred to case of a worker alleged to have been needlessly killed by a falling tree in Camp No. 1, Forest Hill. Moreover, he declared campers and workers are being denied food.

Mr. Dubetz declared that he has been a resident of San Francisco since 1917, has worked in Hetch Hetchy tunnels, at the Western Pipe & Steel Company at South San Francisco for two years, at the Union Iron Works for three years. Has four in family, wife and two children. He complained that a man working on relief in Forest Hill Camp No. 1 was needlessly killed by a falling tree, he urged that the matter be investigated.

He charged that a Mrs. Debose, who has been on relief for eight months and whose husband died last year, was kidnapped by Mrs. Conley, one of the relief visitors, and put in a public institution. He asked that Mrs. Debose, Mrs. Conley and Mrs. Kelly, relief visitor, be sent for.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the persons mentioned be subpoenaed to appear.

So ordered.

John P. Moyle (Case No. 70366), 46 Idora street, was duly sworn and testified. He offered as an exhibit of alleged bad quality of food, a jar of syrup which, he said, was mis-labelled "Best Goods Brand" and asked that it be analyzed.

Jas. Coyle, superintendent of commissary at Sixteenth and Shotwell street and residing at 317 Jackson street, was duly sworn and testified that he had a wife and three dependent children, that he was paid $60 a month and groceries for his work. He declared that the bottle of salad oil with the spider was never on the shelves, that it was found before it was put in stock and that it was placed in a desk drawer to be returned and that it had been stolen from the drawer to make a case against the Relief Administration at this hearing.

The canned goods opened today by Mr. Thatcher had been rejected also by the Relief Administration but put in the boxes delivered to Hammerstad and Larsen by Thatcher himself for the very purpose of discrediting the Relief Administration as demonstrated today.

Gilbert S. Hoover, 1621 Golden Gate avenue, relief worker in the groceteria, was duly sworn and testified that he had passed salad oil like the exhibit presented. He presented a can of "Scotch Thistle Brand" of corn which, he said, was a cheap grade and below standard.

Enoch Bryant, 909 McAllister street, testified that he was on relief. He presented three cans of peas that he had received which, Mr. Thatcher said, were rebuffed cans and below standard grade. Canned spinach was also opened and declared to be unfit for human consumption.

Edgar J. Berg, 3020 Clay street, was duly sworn and testified that he had been employed by the Associated Charities, but had been taken over by the Relief Administration as Purchaser of Relief Supplies, assisting Thos. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies for San Francisco. He declared that last November a number of cases of spinach were on inspection, found bad and returned to the contractor; that the same thing happened from time to time with canned goods and bacon, but such rejected goods were never put on the shelves for distribution, but set aside for return to contractor for credit.

Adolph Uhl was duly sworn and testified as to the connection between the complainants in this hearing and the "Good Government League," housed in his building at 717 Market street. Moreover, he demanded that Mr. Berg, Purchaser of Relief Supplies, be removed from his position on the ground that he has not been a resident of the city for one year.

Thos. A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies, was duly sworn and testified in the pending matters. He explained his relations with the Relief Administration and the means employed to see that the city got standard food for the relief at proper prices.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until Thursday, August 10, 1933, at 1 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

THURSDAY, AUGUST 10, 1933, 1 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of August 8, 1933, for the purpose of continuing the hearing of complaints against the administration of relief in San Francisco.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor E. J. Spaulding.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 954, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable E. J. Spaulding, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten days from and after this date with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Supervisor Shannon: I have been informed that some of the men from the commission district have been subpoenaed here today. As they have to attend a meeting at 3 o'clock, I move that they be given the privilege of the floor for the purpose for which they were called at this time.

Edward D. Jacobson, of Jacobson & Seely Co., wholesale grocers, 124 Spear street, was duly sworn and testified as to pears submitted for evidence, that pears were packed in September and October, 1932. Mr. Berg was furnished with signed, certified statement of packer who packed pears. Packed at Mountain View by Parrott Co. That it was practice to put on labels other than their own. Pack identified by star on top of can. Label stated that pears were Washington pears, but witness stated they were California pears.

Mr. Thatcher questioned witness. He stated that pears were in warehouse during latter part of June or July. Witness said pears were delivered to city in the spring of 1933 and were packed in 1932. Mr. Thatcher showed pears to Board and asked if same were standard pack pears. Witness called it standard; Mr. Thatcher denied it was standard pack. Witness stated he believed pears were heated by someone and they went to pulp. Mr. Brooks, Purchasing Agent, stated that he would not pass that pear. Mr. Wollenberg stated that pears had been juggled and handled and shaken to pulp.

Saint Elmo Brand String Beans were supplied by his firm and were above standard grade.

Witness (Mr. Jacobson) stated that beans (Saint Elmo Brand String Beans) were better than the standard brand of string beans. That no cans were rebuffed unless it was so stated in the bid. He stated he did not remember city accepting any rebuffed cans.

Supervisor Peyser asked that Mr. Thatcher and anyone else go with Mr. Brooks and let Mr. Thatcher pick out cans and present them so that the Board will know that they came from the relief groceteria.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Mr. Thatcher and Mr. McGrath go and pick out four cans of pears and bring them to us.

Supervisor Peyser stated that he had already made that motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy seconded it.

Supervisor Peyser amended his motion to include peas, corn, salad oil, etc.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner: I move that an impartial investigation be made by some independent agency. I have in mind the Federal Bureau of Standards. I want this thing correct. I ask that the Federal Administrator of Relief for this district be requested to make an investigation of the quality of goods, having at his disposal the transcript of this testimony. That he be requested to designate some qualified expert, preferably from the Federal government, that the Bureau of Standards or some similar agency be requested to make an investigation of the charges made before the Board of Supervisors and report to us particularly on these two matters: First, whether goods have been bought and distributed on relief below standard pack, and second, investigation be made, perhaps, by the Controller of the City and County to determine whether there is any foundation for the statements and insinuations that goods have been substituted for the brand of goods that were ordered purchased under the City Purchasing Department. I think we ought to have that determined by an investigation by the Controller of the City and County.

Supervisor Miles seconded the motion.

Supervisor Hayden suggested that the Health Committee be authorized to make contact with the proper department.

Supervisor McSheehy, as member of Health Committee, objected.

Supervisor Roncovieri: It seems to me that a simple letter, asking the Federal Administrator of Relief if he will kindly name some one to do the investigation, is enough. The Clerk can write the letter and we will get an answer here by Monday.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Peyser: In view of Mr. Havenner's motion, I think the matter of quality of foods can be temporarily dismissed.

Mr. H. B. Tiedemann, wholesale grocer, Tiedemann & McMarr, 134 Sacramento street, was duly sworn and testified in re Canal Brand Tomato Puree that he considered it standard pack tomato. Packed at San Jose.

Supervisor Peyser asked for what purpose can was introduced.

Mr. Peak stated that can was introduced as evidence of inferior pack or old pack.

Mr. J. Zentner of A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., 200 Washington street, was duly sworn and testified in re ability of Mrs. Henderson as purchaser of green vegetables and fresh fruits. He stated that Mrs. Henderson is as good a buyer as can be found in San Francisco. Unbiased, always buys the cheapest and best and nothing else. Buys best grade for the price. Products fit for anybody, and same goods as sold to others.

Mr. Albert P. Jacobs of Malcolm & Burke, 101 Washington street, forty-six years in business, duly sworn and testified for Mrs. Henderson. Very good buyer. Observing and quick. Well qualified. Buys goods satisfactory for human consumption.

Mr. Enoch Bryant, 909 McAllister street, previously sworn, submitted oranges.

Mr. Wm. Kidd, attorney, asked privilege of examining witnesses.

Mr. John P. Campodonico of Scatena-Galli, 1256 Francisco street, being duly sworn and testified as to Mrs. Henderson's ability.

Mr. Henry George Hunken, with John C. Hunken, wholesale and retail grocer, 1183 O'Farrell street, being duly sworn, testified as to sauerkraut. Mr. Thatcher stated that kraut was not standard pack. Mr. Hunken denied this. Thatcher called can swellhead. Swellhead indicates possible spoiling and poisoning. Hunken stated kraut was bought from salvage. Packed by Libby but relabeled. Reconditioned; cans rebuffed. Mr. Peak asked that Mr. Brooks be requested to file with Board request for bids and statement of award of contract for spinach.

Supervisor Hayden stated it could be done only on motion of Board. (No motion made.)

F. L. McFarland, on relief, 540 Leavenworth street, was duly sworn and testified that between 200 and 500 dozen cans pears bought monthly.

Mr. Thatcher sought to discredit testimony of witness.

Mr. Hunken questioned by Mr. Peak. Hunken stated that Libby, McNeill & Libby did not want to sell sauerkraut under their own label. This kraut was bought from insurance company. Peak stated it was his contention that if the city has advertised for standard goods it is not required to accept rebuffed cans or salvage.

Mr. Kenneth Bensor of Wellman-Peck Co., 301 The Embarcadero, was duly sworn and testified that Green Glow Early June Pea as standard grade. Pea introduced on account of double label. Can rebuffed. Thatcher stated quality of goods not standard. Bensor stated it was.

Supervisor Gallagher asked Mr. Brooks if specifications allowed double labels and rebuffed cans, who replied that city has bought goods in rebuffed cans.

Supervisor Peyser brought out the fact that "standard pack" refers to quality of food, not to condition of container.

Mr. Brooks questioned qualifications of Mr. Thatcher, who replied that he had been a grocer for about eleven years.

Vernon Hammerstad, 1267 Page street, was duly sworn and testified that he had received can of carrots from groceteria (carrots which Mr. Brooks stated he had no record of buying). He stated that he received carrots about four months ago. Testified as to graft in boxes, etc. Submitted list of non-residents, which was made part of record. Asked especially about residence of Mrs. Ainsley.

Mr. Peak addressed chair on matter of protection of witnesses. Letters read by Clerk and made part of record.

Mr. Roy B. Tedrow, 943 Haight street, was duly sworn and testified that he was receiving clerk since August 14, 1932. Discharged August 9, 1933, by Mrs. Ainsley. Statement by Mrs. Ainsley submitted by Mr. Wollenberg and made part of record.

Supervisor Havenner asked that Citizens' Advisory Committee be requested to make report to the Board on account of this man's dismissal. Asked whether Advisory Committee recommends that he should be dismissed and why.

Motion seconded by Supervisor McSheehy:

Supervisor McSheehy asked that this man and his family be accorded relief at least until such time as Board receives report from Advisory Committee.

Mr. Wollenberg replied, stating that man would continue to receive relief, but would not be reemployed.

Supervisor Havenner replied to Mr. Wollenberg.

Mr. Uhl stated that Director of Relief would make the same statement to Advisory Committee.

Mr. Tedrow explained about bottle of oil. Also stated about 90 per cent of inferior goods (loose cans) are sent to garbage dumps rather than sent back to vendor for credit. Probably about 10,000 cans sent to dumps.

Mr. Berg testified that credit is received although a great many articles are dumped. Records show credit received.

Mr. Tedrow further charged that stale bread was received and delivered to consumer. Also that bread was dumped into garbage cans. Fruit and vegetables also dumped. Bread was dumped by order of Mr. Coyle. City did not receive credit for same. About twenty-five loaves per day, out of approximately 4000 loaves, dumped. Men on relief wanted to take bread home but were not allowed to. (Mr. Wollenberg explained why.) Credit was not received for inferior goods unless demand was turned in. Demand for credit for 6000 cans sent to dump not turned in.

Abram E. Dobrin, 2039 Pierce street, inspector of foods, except green vegetables and fresh fruits, testified. Testified as to shortening. Dobrin stated shortening good. Witness (Tedrow) stated it was bad. About 30,000 pounds bought. Lasted two months. Witness reported inferior quality and short weight in bacon. Stated that Swift & Co. tried to cheat many times, both on quality and quantity. Also mentioned Elite Produce Co. as cheat.

Mr. Billy Moore, 316 Drumm street, representative of Elite Produce Co., sworn and denied charge.

Witness stated that about $900 of shortening went to garbage can. It was ordered that witness be permitted to bring records to Board to substantiate his charges.

Under questioning by Mr. Peak, Mr. Berg stated his salary was $235 per month, paid through Associated Charities. Mr. Peak charged that he was doing city work, which should be done by a Civil Service employee, and was being paid for same by city money (bond money).

Supervisor McSheehy: It is about 6 o'clock. What are we going to do?

Supervisor Spaulding: Mr. Miles and I are leaving tomorrow for Pasadena. I am willing to stay tonight. I would like, if possible, to finish.

Mr. Peak: We have a great many people here. Many people in the audience have been sending messages to me that they want to be heard. I ask that the transcript of hearings (Down Town Association and in Health Center Building) be made a part of the record in this case. I ask that they be filed with this Board.

Supervisor Power: I move that this matter be taken under advisement.

(No second.)

Supervisor Havenner: I see no objection to filing transcripts of the two meetings.

So ordered.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved recess to Thursday, August 17, at 2 p. m.

Roll was called. Six members signified willingness to be present. Not a quorum.

RECESS.

Whereupon, Board continued hearing to Thursday, August 17, 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 14, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 24, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. 403, Ordinance No. 13.022, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. *Commercial District.* In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.
3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges.
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. Hanley, Jr., representing Chinese native sons, Chinese Laundry Owners' Association; Albert H. Elliot, representing Japanese laundries, and Jas. Hanley, Sr., were heard in opposition.

Thos. F. Alford, secretary of Laundry Board of Trade; Geo. W. Fitch, Industrial Commissioner, Chamber of Commerce; John A. O'Connell, secretary San Francisco Labor Council; Wesley McKenzie, president Central Council of Civic Clubs; Louis McCarthy, Central Council of Civic Clubs, speaking for Mrs. Best, Sunset Transportation and Improvement Association; Frank McDonald, executive council, Building Trades Council; M. Bergerot, attorney for laundry owners; Paul Virden, representing Lafayette Club; John F. Kelly, representing Southern Civic Clubs; Mr. Doidge, president Nob Hill Improvement Club and Central Council of Civic Clubs; Mrs. A. P. Baumann, representing Eureka Valley Improvement Association; R. S. McMullen, Central Mission, and Maurice Widdenham, representing Divisadero Merchants' Association, were heard in favor of the proposed ordinance.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Breyer, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following:

Supplemental Appropriation, Sheriff's Office.
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 380, Ordinance No. 9.05179, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Jailer at $197 per month in the Sheriff's Office, and creating one position of General Clerk-Typist at $155 and one position of Keeper at $160 in the Sheriff's Office and appropriating funds for salaries of such positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created in the Sheriff's Department one position of Keeper at $160 per month and one position of General Clerk-Typist at $155 per month.

Section 2. One position of Jailer in the Sheriff's Department at $197 is hereby abolished. The unexpended funds for compensation of this position in the amount of $2,167, heretofore appropriated to Item 16 (Sheriff—Personal Service—Permanent) of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby reappropriated to Item 16 of said ordinance. There is also hereby appropriated to said Item 16 the sum of $1,353, the said appropriation to be taken from Item 16-1 (Sheriff—Personal Service—Temporary) of said Annual Appropriation Ordinance. The funds herein appropriated are to be used for paying the salaries of the positions created in Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Reappropriating Funds in Office of Controller.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 381, Ordinance No. 9.05178, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Senior Clerk in the Controller's office at $250 and one General Clerk's position in the Controller's office at $160 and reappropriating a part of the unexpended compensations of these two positions in the amount of $3,850 for the purpose of providing compensation for two new positions of Bookkeepers at $175 per month each in the Controller's office and creating such new positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Senior Clerk in the Controller's office at $250 per month and one position of General Clerk in the Controller's office at $160 per month, funds for which were heretofore appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance under Item No. 197, are hereby abolished, and the unexpended compensation is hereby reappropriated to said Item 197.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Controller's office two positions to be designated as Bookkeepers in accordance with Civil Service classification. The salary of said positions shall be $175 per month each, subject to deductions.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Supplemental Appropriation Out of Emergency Reserve Fund to the Beverage Commission for Purchase of Various Supplies and Incidentals.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 385, Ordinance No. 9.05180, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $1,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the Beverage Commission for the purchase of various supplies and incidentals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $1,000 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the Beverage Commission for purchase of various supplies and incidentals.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 10, Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 382, Ordinance No. 9.05342, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339 by reducing the number of employments under Item 18 thereof by one, increasing the number of employments under Item 10 thereof by one and increasing the number of employments under Item 11 thereof by one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 10 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 10. **SHERIFF**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	$666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	22	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Explanatory.

One position of Jailer at $197 (*Item* 18) *is abolished. One position of General Clerk-Typist at* $155 (*Item* 10) *is hereby added instead of Jailer. One Keeper at* $160 (*Item* 11) *is added because of return from leave of absence of former employee.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Office of Controller.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 383, Ordinance No. 9.05343, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339 by eliminating one position as Senior Clerk at $250 and one position as General Clerk at $160, and adding two new positions of Bookkeepers at $175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 67. **CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	9	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)	25(
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	15(

Explanatory.

Item 14 *reduced from* 3 *to* 2 *employments, Item* 16 *reduced from* 3 *to* 2 *employments. These two positions hereby eliminated carry a combined salary of* $410. *Item* 3 *increased from* 7 *to* 9 *employments. These changes effect a pay roll savings of* $60 *per month.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land in San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1727)

On recommendation of Public Works, Building and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 384, Ordinance No. 12.17273, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue 50 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence north-

erly at right angles 50 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Power, Spaulding—4.

Unlawful for Persons Other Than the Blind to Carry White Canes, and Requiring Motorists to Stop at Intersections When Persons Carrying a White Cane Enter said Intersections.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 379, Ordinance No. 11.0004, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of white canes by persons other than the blind, requiring motorists to stop at intersections when any person or persons enter an intersection carrying a white cane, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to carry a white cane unless said person is a blind person.

Section 2. Any person operating a motor vehicle shall bring such motor vehicle to a stop at any interscetion in this City and County when a person carrying a white cane enters said intersection.

Section 3. Any person violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $100 or imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed three months.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted* by the following vote:

Sale of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729.)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 963, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17291, Bill No. 276, approved June 1, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1933, for the sale of the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Chestnut street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kearny street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Chestnut street 80 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 112.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 55 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the northerly line of Chestnut street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 79.

And, whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Giuseppe Cuneo to purchase said land for the sum of $2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Giuseppe Cuneo has deposited the sum of $250 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2,500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Giuseppe Cuneo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser after receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Spaulding—3.

Authorizing Sale at Auction of Buildings at 46 Rosekrans Street and 1909 Palou Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1721.)

Also, Resolution No. 904, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days' published notice, the City-owned building located at 46 Rosekrans street and the City-owned building located at 1909 Palou avenue, San Francisco, California, which buildings were acquired in connection with the Bernal Heights boulevard project and the extension of Silver avenue, respectively.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, said buildings to be removed by the purchaser or purchasers within 30 days from the date of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Spaulding—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure

to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Adding Lexington Avenue and San Carlos Avenue as Streets Upon Which Parking Is Prohibited Between Points Designated.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 393, Ordinance No. 11.024, as follows:

Amending Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," by prohibiting parking on east side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street, and on east side of Lexington avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37-A. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, Day or Night.* It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on the following streets:

West side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to the east line of Diamond street, and on the east side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to a point 500 feet northerly along the curb from the point of intersection of the easterly curb line of Diamond street and the westerly curb line of Bernal avenue;

North side of Brosnan place between Valencia and Guerrero streets;

Ewer place;

Frank place;

South side of Geary street from the west property line of Presidio avenue to the east property line of 2686 Geary street;

East side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway;

West side of Grant avenue between Bush and Pine streets;

North side of Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street;

Houston street;

North side of Jessie street between First and Ninth streets;

East side of Lexington avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

Lilac street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets;

Malvina place;

West side of Mason street between Bush and Pine streets;

North side of Natoma street between Tenth and Eleventh streets;

East side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

North side of Stevenson street between First and Ninth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Spaulding—3.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Matthew Brady.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 10, 1933.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing August 14th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 955, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing August 14, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales, Property of Veterans' Welfare Board.

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 956, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California was assessed for the year 1931 in the name of the original owners and sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1931; being State property these sales should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1931 Tax*
2J	1473	Sol Getz & Sons	818	$27.88
33	1826	E. E. Manseau	1283	48.48
35E	1826	E. M. Sherry	1286	12.12
31	2334A	J. A. Arnott	1714	51.71
½ of 20	2433	L. A. Mason et al., ½ of	1862	7.58
3	2959	The McCarthy Co.	2106	10.10

Lot	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1931 Tax*
16	5081	Wm. H. Grahan	2865	67.88
47	5328	L. and M. Verdoia	2900	.20
5	6933	P. L. and G. E. Debret	4028	8.08
14F	7043	E. A. Janssen	4165	13.13

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Refund of $8.83 Taxes Collected on Erroneous Assessment From Nick Fazio.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 957, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8.83 is hereby authorized out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1933-1934, in payment to Nick Fazio; being refund of taxes collected on an erroneous assessment, and including penalties.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants. Total of $1,200.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 958, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 297 to Director of Public Works for $285.68, No. 298 to J. B. West for $125, No. 299 to J. B. West for $125, No. 300 to Henry Windt for $280, No. 301 to Henry Windt for $385—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following matter was *laid over one week for report of comparison between costs of inspection and fees charged to be prepared for Mr. Gallagher by the Clerk of the Committee*:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Known as the License Ordinance (Moving Picture Exhibitions).

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 394, Ordinance No. 3.04128, as follows:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), known as the License Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 50. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating any public place where moving pictures are exhibited,

unless such person, firm or corporation shall have secured a license under Section 69 of this ordinance, shall pay therefor a quarterly license fee, based on the seating capacity of the moving picture place and the maximum admission charge, as follows:

Seating Not Over	*General Admission Charge Not Over*		*General Admission Charge*
	15c or less	*35c or less*	*36c or over*
500	$15.00	$ 25.00	$ 75.00
1000	25.00	50.00	100.00
1500	40.00	60.00	150.00
2000 or over	50.00	100.00	200.00

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Supervisors' Day, California State Fair.

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Card from Stanley Abel, secretary County Supervisors' Association, announcing that Friday, September 8, 1933, has been designated "Supervisors' Day" at the California State Fair at Sacramento and urging attendance.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Plans for Public Works Under N. R. A.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from State Advisory Board, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, requesting each county in the State, through its Board of Supervisors or Planning Commission, to furnish its plans, complete or incomplete, of projected public works in order that said Board may prepare its program of projected public works for which it is to recommend loans of Federal funds.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Urging Appropriation of $3,500 Out of Urgent Necessity Fund for Continuance of Emergency Hospital Service at Eighteenth and Third Streets to the End of Fiscal Year.

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 961, as follows:

Whereas, it is reported that the Health Department proposes to discontinue the Emergency Hospital service at Eighteenth and Third streets on August 16, 1933, coincident with the opening of a new Emergency Hospital service on Alemany boulevard, six miles away; and

Whereas, such large industrial plants as the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Company, The Pacific Rolling Mills, California Tanners, Sugar Refinery, Twigg Shipbuilding yards and the Tubbs Cordage Company, where men are employed in hazardous work, are in close proximity to Eighteenth and Third streets Emergency Hospital which serves the district quickly and efficiently; and

Whereas, in transporting injured people to the Alemany Emergency Hospital an unnecessary loss of life may result; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be requested to appropriate the sum of $3,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund for the continuance of the Emergency Hospital service at Eighteenth and Third streets for the balance of the fiscal year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Garbage Report Requested.

Supervisor Brown requested that City Administrative Officer be urged to make immediate report on problem of permanent disposal of garbage.

I am informed, he said, that many of the transportation companies now using Mills Field have made serious objections to the extreme shortness of the east and west air runways. There is the gravest possibility that unless the condition is corrected they will go elsewhere. If land can be reclaimed for this purpose by a garbage fill and cover, it would be well to attend to it at once.

So ordered.

Libraries Open Sundays and Holidays.

Supervisor Brown requested that the Board of Library Trustees be communicated with and asked as to the feasibility of keeping the public libraries open on Sundays and holidays for the accommodation of the large number of unemployed who have no means for other recreation.

So ordered.

Peninsula League of Municipalities.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher an invitation from the Peninsula Boards of Supervisors inviting attendance at conference and dinner on August 28, 1933, was accepted and Supervisor Gallagher delegated to attend.

Supervisor Havenner declared that the Public Utilities Commission has under consideration a report on estimates of cost of proposed transmission line and distribution system for municipally-owned electric power and moved that the Commission be urged to present that report to the Board at the very earliest possible moment.

Home Owners' Loan Corporation.

Wm. R. Lawson, manager San Francisco District Home Owners' Loan Corporation, Jas. Johnson, Mark Standefield and Attorney C. Linn, comprising the staff of the Home Owners' Loan Corporation (N. R. A.) for the San Francisco District, were introduced to the Board. They explained the general object of the organization, their various functions, thanked the City for the accommodations afforded its office staff and asked for the City's continued cooperation.

Federal Relief for Small Business Man.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 960, Memorializing the President of the United States that in the distribution of funds for business rehabilitation that the small business man be given every possible consideration.

Over one week.

Public Utilities Commission Requested to File Immediately a Copy of Their Manager's Report with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and Another Copy with the Mayor's Citizen Committee.

(Code No. 15.03)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 959, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has requested the Public Utilities Commission for a report on the production, transmission and distribution of power from Red Mountain Bar; and

Whereas, it is understood that the Manager of the Public Utilities Commission has filed his report with his Commission; and

Whereas, time is the essence of this matter and the checking of technical details will require a considerable amount of time; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be requested to file immediately a copy of their Manager's report with the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors and another copy with the Mayor's Citizen Committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

Board of Supervisors Requests Definite Information From Secretary of Labor as to Rumored Abolishment of Office of Immigration Commissioner at Either San Francisco or Angel Island.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 962, as follows:

Whereas, it is rumored that the office of Immigration Commissioner is to be abolished at the Port of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Port of San Francisco, California, has been recognized as one of the most prominent of world ports; and

Whereas, the failure to appoint a Commissioner of Immigration for the San Francisco Port at this time would cause adverse publicity, that this splendid port does not deserve; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, requests definite information from the Secretary of Labor as to whether or not there is any plan under consideration to abolish the Immigration Commissioner's position at Angel Island, or San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Spaulding—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until Thursday, August 17, 1933, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 36

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Thursday, August 17, 1933
Monday, August 21, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
324 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, AUGUST 17, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, August 17, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of continuing the hearing of charges of mal-administration of unemployment relief.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Miles—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Petitions for Removal of the Director of Relief and an Investigation of the Books of the Relief Administration.

Petitions, seven signed by William Johns et al., Leo Woods et al., John Chas. Umland et al., Earnest F. Parnow et al., Mrs. Emily Weed et al., Wm. Haggerty et al., and Vernon Russel Hammerstad et al., demanding removal of Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, and for a thorough investigation of the books of the Relief Administration.

Read and made a part of the record.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

LAGUNA HONDA HOME
7TH AVENUE AND DEWEY BLVD.

August 14, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The hours spent by your honorable body in investigating the charges brought by the Sunset Courier have brought out the fact that the food delivered by the Relief Organization is good in quality, and that an admission was made of a conspiracy to plant defective food in boxes to create unrest and to be used as evidence against the Director of Relief.

Mr. Thatcher, when cross-examined by a Supervisor, admitted that, as inspector of foods, at the grocery located at Sixteenth and Shotwell streets, it was his duty to set aside all spoiled or defective goods; that he had later taken some of the goods so rejected by him and placed same in boxes to go to recipients of relief, naming among others Larsen and Hammerstad; that he had placed them in these particular boxes for the purpose of using as evidence in a future investigation.

Tedrow's testimony was to the effect that he had stolen a bottle of olive oil from a desk where it had been placed to return to the vendor; that this oil had a spider in it and was the only one of some 30,000 bottles of this delivery which had been found to be defective. He claimed, under oath, that he had passed it to Thatcher on Monday or Tuesday.

Later, on cross-examination, he was forced to admit that it was some time during the previous week that this bottle had been removed.

The Tedrow case is more than interesting; he and those presenting the charges knew that we had discovered the theft of the oil on Friday, that Tedrow was asked about it on Saturday and dismissed Tuesday morning.

To protect him and others who stole food, the Supervisors were asked Tuesday afternoon to pass a resolution requesting that no one involved in these proceedings be dismissed from their positions.

After Tedrow had been on the witness stand, had admitted a conspiracy to discredit the Relief Organization, had confessed to theft, and had been proven a perjurer, your Board continued to treat him with all respect, even going as far as to pass a resolution asking the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to investigate and see whether he should or should not be replaced in his position, and this, after a Supervisor had personally seen the stolen bottle on Friday.

Planted evidence. This is again illustrated by the fresh corn. Eight ears were presented in evidence. Only two were handed to the Supervisor for examination. They were certainly unfit for food, but later on Supervisor Canepa discovered the balance of the corn left on the table to be fresh and in perfect condition.

The above and all the so-called evidence introduced by men, discharged from the payroll of the Relief Committee, and working out of the offices of the Good Government League, Adolph Uhl, President, clearly indicates a conspiracy to discredit, by planted evidence, the Relief Organization.

I have been most willing to cooperate to ascertain if there are any irregularities in the Relief Administration. It has become very apparent, however, from the tactics used in the investigation resulting even in physical violence, that its purpose is not to honestly ascertain facts, but merely part of a political move for personal aggrandizement of certain persons with political ambitions.

The Director of Relief therefore feels that this hearing can lead to no good results, and will attend to the duties incumbent upon him, and, therefore, will not be present on Thursday.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION
(*Chinese Six Companies*)
843 STOCKTON STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

August 11, 1933.

Members of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs: Since the day of the opening of the Community Kitchen and the shelter, which the City so kindly contributed, the supervision under your Director of Relief, Mr. Wollenberg, is in the perfect order and with the fullest satisfaction. I wish to express appreciation of the entire Chinese community to this splendid and generous project.

It is with regret that the public official with such a splendid record as Mr. Wollenberg should be subjected to such a treatment at the Board meeting.

May we hope that his present position be retained as it always has been.

Very truly yours,
CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,
(Seal)
By Yee Kung Wo,
Executive Secretary.

THE AMERICAN RED CROSS
PACIFIC BRANCH
CIVIC AUDITORIUM
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
A. L. SCHAFER, Manager

August 15, 1933.

Mr. David A. Barry, Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco.

My dear Mr. Barry: I have received your letter of August 11 communicating the motion adopted at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco held August 10, 1933, and reading as follows:

"That an impartial investigation of this matter be made by some independent agency, preferably the Federal Bureau of Standards; that the Federal Administrator of Relief for this District be requested through such Bureau to make an investigation of the quality of foods distributed by the San Francisco Unemployment Relief, and that he be requested to designate some qualified expert, preferably from the Federal Government, to pass upon the quality and quantity of the food in question, particularly as to whether goods have been bought and distributed on Relief below standard pack."

It is contrary to the policy of the Federal Relief Administration to intervene in any local situation unless it is clearly shown that first, the local relief committee and, secondly, the state relief commission are unable to handle the matter complained of.

As the Board of Supervisors doubtless know, there is a committee known as the San Francisco City-County Emergency Relief Committee which not only has executive authority over the administration of relief financed by San Francisco City-County funds, but has also been formally confirmed by the State Relief Commission and the Federal Relief Administrator to administer state and federal funds for relief in this area.

I have referred your letter to Judge M. C. Sloss with the request that he give the matter attention.

Yours very truly,

PIERCE WILLIAMS,
Field Representative, Federal Emergency Relief Administration.

March 27, 1933.

45032 Yorks
478 Athens

Received of Myron E. Yorks the sum of three dollars and ten cents as part payment on G&E bill, December 23, 1932, to January 25, 1933; this Agency to take care of the balance.

CITIZENS' AGENCY,
By (Sgd) H. LOWENTHAL,
MRS. W. B. LOWENTHAL,
E. ARCHAMBEAULT.

A EAB

Mr. Wollenberg:
Will you kindly investigate this matter?

Sincerely,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER.

Witnesses.

The following named were duly sworn and testified:

J. H. Stephenson testified that there were non-residents on the Relief Administration payroll and that there were a number of people on two payrolls and one to his knowledge—E. A. McManus—on three payrolls, the Laguna Honda payroll, the Relief payroll and on the Community Chest payroll.

He filed lists of names and schedules showing amounts paid relief employees and funds from which payment is made. He also complained of inefficient management in the matter of installing gas heating plant in the Durant School.

Geo. Peak requested that Mr. Ross of the Controller's office be subpoenaed to appear at this hearing and bring the relief payrolls; also, that Miss Henderson, buyer for the Relief Administration, and Mrs. Ratto of the Italian Board of Relief be subpoenaed to attend this hearing.

Roy B. Tedrow, former receiving clerk of Relief Food Administration. Continuing his testimony from last meeting, declared that he did not know of his own knowledge that hundreds of pounds of bacon and cheese had been thrown in the garbage cans and taken to the dump with getting credit for it as he was not located at the west gate where that was done.

He declared that men were sent out to Parkside to make roads through private property belonging to the Crocker Estate. That is irregular, he said, and the Board of Supervisors should know it. There are other irregularities in the granting of relief in the matter of gas and water bills. The Associated Charities, he said, is a collection agency for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Being asked from whom he obtained his position as receiving clerk, he declared that he was recommended by Edgar Berg, purchaser of the Associated Charities.

J. P. Courter, publisher of Sunset Courier, was also heard.

Fred L. Nicols, 945 Golden Gate avenue, Citizens' Unemployment Relief, and Arthur Warren, 640 Moscow street, secretary of the Citizens' Unemployment Relief, were also heard.

Adolph Uhl was heard in explanation of the presence of the complaints in the offices of the Good Government League in his building at 717 Market street. He declared that he was not opposed to the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, but that it was Director Wollenberg who was at fault. His greatest complaint, he said, was the employment of twenty-four non-residents.

The following was ordered inserted in the record:

Changes in Salary List.

August 17, 1933.

Discontinued		
David Graham, 1051 Taylor St., Visitor, Com. Chest..	$50.00	7-31-33
Cora Blackman, 191 Upper Terrace, Visitor, City.....	30.00	7-31-33
Helen Maybury, Visitor, Com. Chest	100.00	8-12-33
K. Gerard, 2212 Ward, Berkeley, Supervisor, Com. Chest	150.00	7-31-33
Meta Jury, 899 Green St., Com. Chest	75.00	8-15-33
C. Camp, 787 14th St., Com. Chest $100, City $75.....	175.00	8-15-33
E. Geldert, 108 Presidio, Visitor, City	40.00	8-15-33
Helen Hackett, Hotel Florence, Visitor, City	50.00	8-15-33
Added		
Lucy Morrison, City	50.00	8-16-33
Changes		
Alameda Walker, increased from $50 to $75 and transferred to City payroll		8-1-33
Mrs. J. S. Gregory, transferred to City.....	50.00	8-1-33

Citizens Agency for Social Welfare
Salaries.

	Rate		
		Community	
Name, Address, Occupation	*City*	*Chest*	*Total*
Managing Director's Office, 1010 Gough Street			
Ann Martin, 1147 Lake St., Secretary $70.00		$61.60	$131.60
Carol Schleis, 251 28th Ave., Asst. Sec't.....	75.00	....	75.00

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Community Chest	Total
Miss Kraft's Office, 1010 Gough Street			
Jeanette Harris, 1930 Sacramento St., Visitor.	50.00	....	50.00
Elsie Kraft, 2829 Divisadero St., Supervisor..	75.00	105.00	180.00
Harry L. Tiedeman, 1666 Quesada, Visitor...	75.00	....	75.00
Esther H. White, 299 Edgewood Ave., Asst. Supervisor	75.00	10.00	85.00
Medical Social Department—A. R. Baldwin, Supervisor, 1010 Gough Street			
Alice R. Baldwin, 66 Deming, Supervisor.....	75.00	103.60	178.60
Dr. A. E. Gauthier, 450 Sutter St., Physician..	75.00	66.00	141.00
Frances Patterson, 160 Clifford Terrace, Visitor	75.00	66.00	141.00
Emma Vogelgesang, 1700 Vallejo St., Record Clerk	50.00	....	50.00
Wilhelm Wilhelm, Keystone Apts., Physician	75.00	66.00	141.00
Sofita Woolrich, 1542 Grove St., Clerk.....	50.00	....	50.00
Maude E. Haynes, 1010 Gough St.			
Helen Douglas, 3530 Fillmore St., Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Maude E. Haynes, 164 Alhambra Way, Supervisor	75.00	75.00	150.00
Bernidet Millerick, 257 29th Ave., Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Noel McCauley, 2501 Vallejo St., Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Lela Roberts, 1432 Willard St., Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Marie Jorgenson, 1010 Gough Street			
Maude Edwards, 440 Post St., Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Mrs. Edith Ellsworth, 742 Joost Ave., Visitor.	75.00	....	75.00
Marie Jorgenson, 819 45th Ave., Supervisor..	75.00	103.60	178.60
Mrs. H. Lowenthal, Supervisor, 1010 Gough Street			
Katherine Hanlon, 2001 Sacramento St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Weda Harris, 1815 Broadway, Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Margaret Hoppe, 1966 Pacific, Visitor.....	75.00	....	75.00
Ethel White, 2240 Green St., Visitor.....	75.00	50.00	125.00
May L. Morrison, Supervisor, 1249 O'Farrell St.			
K. Costigan, 1335 Clay St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Evelyn Geldert, 108 Presidio Ave., Visitor....	40.00	....	40.00
Helen Hackett, Hotel Florence, Visitor.....	50.00	....	50.00
Betty A. Helm, 600 Fell St., Visitor.....	50.00	....	50.00
May L. Morrison, 162 11th Ave., Supervisor..	75.00	89.50	164.50
Dorothy McFarland, 1551 33rd Ave., Visitor..	50.00	....	50.00
David Graham, 1051 Taylor St., Visitor.....	....	50.00	50.00
Eleanor Koppitz, Supervisor, 1010 Gough St.			
Eleanor Koppitz, 825 Pine St., Senior Visitor.	75.00	25.00	100.00
Ruth Middleton, 720 Jones St., Visitor.....	50.00	....	50.00
Mina T. Maino, Supervisor, 1100 Gough St.			
Mina T. Maino, 605 16th Ave., Senior Visitor.	75.00	42.50	117.50
Katherine Wynkoop, 1301 Page St., Visitor..	50.00	....	50.00
Ethel C. Lum, 950 Clay St., Senior Visitor...	75.00	....	75.00
Senior Visitors, 1100 Gough St.			
Eleanor S. Bolt, 291 Edgewood, Visitor.....	75.00	66.00	141.00
Mary Conlin, 4613 Geary St., Visitor	75.00	15.00	90.00
Grace Costello, 215 Cherry St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
A. W. Crawford, 11 El Camino, Burlingame, Visitor	....	100.00	100.00
Abigail Hinckley, 598 29th Ave., Visitor.....	75.00	66.00	141.00
Jane P. Kelly, 3481 Pierce St., Visitor.....	75.00	42.50	117.50
Margaret Purt, 1909 Golden Gate Ave., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Community Chest	Total
Bureau for Unmarried Mothers, 1026 Market St.			
Elizabeth W. Peterson, 1652 Hyde St., Director	75.00	89.50	164.50
Dr. Plehn's Office, Durant School			
Katherine Anderson, 2969 Jackson St., Asst. Supt.	75.00	....	75.00
Margaret Code, 623 Clayton St., Stenographer.	50.00	100.00	50.00
Emily H. Plehn, 2308 Warring St., Berkeley..	....	....	100.00
Zellor Stafford, 1450 Greenwich St., Visitor..	50.00	....	50.00
E. Fankhanel, Supervisor, Durant School			
Cora Blackman, Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Miss Nina Alloway, 1736 Stockton St., Visitor	....	100.00	100.00
Eleanor Barnes, 2466 Francisco St., Visitor..	....	125.00	125.00
Marian Burke, 1200 Taylor St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Dorothy Clark, 811 Geary St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Phyllis Cox, 3070 Jackson St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Mabel de Gomez, 895 Sutter St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Elizabeth Fankhanel, 1037 Broadway, Supervisor	75.00	75.00	150.00
Marcella Hunt, 781 15th Ave., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Florence Krenz, 150 30th Ave., Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Marie Leavy, 166 19th Ave., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Dorothea Palamountain, 1050 Eddy St., Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Mary Rezos, 195 Upper Terrace, Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
N. Heffernan, Supervisor, Durant School			
Birdie Andre, 2530 Fulton St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Eblena Bacigalupi, 2015 Stockton, Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Rose Corey, 347 21st Ave., Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Beryl Craig, 1539 Balboa, Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Cosette Gordon, 1055 Washington St., Visitor.	50.00	....	50.00
Sarah Grant, 1835 Vallejo St., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Naomi Heffernan, Whitcomb Hotel, Supervisor	....	135.00	135.00
Selene Richardson, 666 Westwood Drive, Supervisor	....	100.00	100.00
Phyllis Smith, 742 Castro St., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Ruth Thatcher, 2250 Hyde St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Margery Thomas, 940 Powell St., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Lucille Henry, Supervisor, Durant School			
Harriet Bell, 940 Powell St., Visitor	....	75.00	75.00
Martha Briggs, 2609 Larkin St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Lucille Henry, 899 Green St., Supervisor	75.00	95.00	170.00
Ruth Lalor, 48 Alton Ave., Visitor	....	70.00	70.00
Margaret Percival, 1835 Vallejo St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Margaret Ruettell, 1766 Fell St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Elizabeth G. Wilson, 833 Scott St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Helen Kerwin, 720 4th Ave., Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Irene Lukacs, Supervisor, Durant School			
Helen Allen, 1037 Broadway, Visitor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Mary Anderson, 25 Cook St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Herminie Giggrich, 276 Castro, Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Alice M. Hill, 840 Geary St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Florence Hoffman, 2205 Sacramento St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Maryellen Hunton, 101 Cervantes Blvd., Visitor	....	75.00	75.00
Irene Lukacs, 2202 Hyde St., Supervisor	75.00	66.00	141.00
Jenora Malamphy, 860 Arguello Blvd., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Helen Mason, 940 Powell St., Visitor	....	100.00	100.00
Letita B. Mould, 1336 Green St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Katherine Page, 605 Waller St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Community Chest	Total
M. Paige, Supervisor, Durant School			
Eloise Castle, 1755 Jackson St., Visitor	....	75.00	75.00
Marie Jensen, 641 Post St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Shirley Kemp, 134 Joice St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Elizabeth Lee, 130 Gates St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Pearl Loomis, 3436 Clay St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Helen Maybury, 1700 Bush St., Visitor	....	100.00	100.00
Mary L. Paige, 5651 Oak Grove, Supervisor	....	87.50	87.50
Sarah Pratt, 149 Kensington Way, Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Madeline Sheridan, 2901 Van Ness Ave., Visitor	....	125.00	125.00
Frances Thompson, 18 Edgehill Way, Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Alameda Walker, 1900 Jackson St., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Sarah Smith, Supervisor, Durant School			
Ione Baldwin, 895 Sutter St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Margaret Connolly, 1770 Greenwich St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Meda Fugett, 1440 Clay St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Helen Markillie, 1283 Greenwich St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Virginia Marshall, 1250 Vallejo, Visitor	75.00	10.00	85.00
Velova Peoples, 1388 9th Ave., Visitor	....	100.00	100.00
Anne Siegel, 1455 Sacramento St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Sarah Smith, 1230 Turk St., Supervisor	....	100.00	100.00
Dorothy Titlow, 746 42nd Ave., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Rachel Ward, Supervisor, Durant School			
Grace H. Felt, 68 Vulcan St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Mary May Gunnison, 1899 Green St., Visitor	....	50.00	50.00
Lynn Hector, 1595 Clay St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Idella Kelly, 943 Ashbury St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Dorothy Peede, 2620 Laguna St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Katharine Soderberg, 225 Fell St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Madeline Ulman, 2714 Steiner St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Rachel Ward, 2466 Francisco St., Supervisor	75.00	75.00	150.00
Nancy West, 1274 43rd Ave., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Mary Hutchinson's Office, 1249 O'Farrell St.			
Marie Bolton, 1637 15th St., Supervisor	75.00	50.00	125.00
Colleen Clark, 1264 Portola Drive, Visitor	15.00	....	15.00
Gayle R. Clark, 154 10th St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Alma Donaldson, 267 Miramar St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
L. Hargreave, 1815 Jones St., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Mary H. Hutchinson, 2530 Polk St., Senior Supervisor	75.00	25.00	100.00
Clarisse Kenney, 418 Oak St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Kathryn Mehl, 1132 Lake St., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Laura Moore, 1253 41st Ave., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Helen Son, 2124 Broadway, Visitor	50.00		50.00
Katherine Wagenhals, 628 Montgomery St., Visitor	75.00		75.00
Lola Wood, 2016 California St., Visitor	75.00		75.00
Miss E. Marie Kane's Office, St. Catherine's Home.			
Natalie De Moro, 1517 Lombard St., Asst. Supt.	75.00	61.30	136.30
Refugio Gaxiola, 1809 Gough St., Visitor	75.00		75.00
Frances Gonzales, 1162 Filbert St., Visitor	75.00		75.00
A. Holmes, 771 10th Ave., Visitor	50.00		50.00
Marie E. Kane, 1715 Broadway, Senior Supt.	75.00	103.60	178.60
Jane O'Gara, 1142 Filbert St., Visitor	75.00		75.00

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Community Chest	Total
Kathryn D. Gerard's Office, 1010 Gough St.			
Charlotte Blacklock, Visitor	50.00		50.00
Mrs. Casper Brown, 1965 Filbert St., Interviewer	75.00	25.00	100.00
Gladys Eccles, 1735 Broadway, Visitor		100.00	100.00
Mrs. J. S. Gregory, 2200 Pacific St., Visitor.		50.00	50.00
Marguerita B. May, 1101 Green St., Sec	75.00	75.50	150.50
Mrs. G. Newell, 150 Franklin St., Interviewer	75.00	23.70	98.70
Adele Raas, 3537 Washington St., Interviewer	50.00		50.00
Alice Spencer, 1439 Leavenworth St., Visitor	30.00		30.00
Lillian Voigt, 1360 Hyde St., Interviewer	50.00		50.00
Katharine Westerfeld, 571 39th Ave., Interviewer	50.00		50.00
Kathryn Gerard, Dorchester Hotel, Supervisor		141.00	141.00
Miss Hartnett's Office, 1010 Gough St.			
Catherine Crary, 226A Filbert St., Clerk	50.00		50.00
Katherine E. Davis, 2225 17th Ave., Clerk	50.00		50.00
Lucy Hartnett, 1406 Hyde St., Supt. Relief	75.00	160.00	235.00
Mabel Logan, 602 Mason St., Asst. Supt	75.00		75.00
Catherine Mack, 602 Mason St., Clerk	50.00		50.00
Juanita Macondray, 2285 Broadway, Clerk	50.00		50.00
Recording of Applications, Miss Harrington, Supervisor, Health Center.			
Adele M. Harrington, 89 Uranus Terrace, Supervisor	75.00		75.00
Employment Reference Bureau, St. Catherine's School, Mrs. M. Doran, Director.			
Marjorie Doran, 1770 Broadway, Director	75.00	50.00	125.00
Rent Bureau, Ellen Doyle, Supervisor.			
Eileen Doyle, 3710 Clay St., Supervisor	75.00		75.00
Complaints, Hyman—Budget Adj.—Wilson, 1010 Gough Street			
Meta Jury, 940 Powell St., Clerk, Complaints	....	75.00	75.00
Pauline Wilson, 940 Powell St., Budget Adj	....	75.00	75.00
Reference Bureau, 1249 O'Farrell St.—Miss V. Pfleiderer, Supervisor			
Kathryn Barry 2664 Bryant St., Visitor	10.00	....	10.00
A. Battu, 1154 Broadway,	60.00	....	60.00
Loretta Bauss, 1725 Van Ness Ave., Visitor	30.00	....	30.00
Mildred Bew, 535 39th Ave., Visitor	75.00	....	75.00
Ann C. Curley, 1282 2nd Ave., Stenographer	10.00	....	10.00
Robert A. Meier, 956 Post St., Clerk	50.00	....	50.00
Victoria Pfleiderer, 1373 4th Ave., Supervisor	75.00	....	75.00
Bureau of Investigation from out of town sources, 1249 O'Farrell Street			
Beatrice Collins, 489 35th Ave., Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Lillian Goodman, 2045 California, Visitor	50.00	....	50.00
Employment Registration and Assignment Bureau, E. Berg, Supervisor, St. Catherine's Home			
Edgar J. Berg, 1790 Fell St., Purchasing	....	235.00	235.00
George Lunkes, 1336 5th Ave., Clerk	75.00	42.50	117.50

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Community Chest	Total
Mrs. Ainslie's Office, 1010 Gough St.—Controller's Office, Anna Ainslie, Controller			
Anna Ainslie, 1824 Green St., Controller	75.00	125.00	200.00
Controller's Office, Purchasing Dept., St. Catherine's Home, Edgar J. Berg, Supervisor			
Marion Henderson, 1030 Kansas St., Asst. Purchaser	75.00	66.00	141.00
Controller's Office, Commissary Department, 16th and Shotwell Streets			
Peter Estrada, 1223 Laguna St., Clerk	20.00	....	20.00
Mary Fernandez, 1043 York St., Clerk	65.00	....	65.00
Beulah Hamm, 880 Bush St., Chief Clerk	75.00	56.60	131.60
Marie Petellin, 2135 California St., Asst. Chief Clerk	75.00	15.00	90.00
Controller's Office, Commissary Dept., 16th and Shotwell Sts., Inventory and Requisition			
M. K. Edwards, 2355 Leavenworth St., Inv. Auditor	75.00	66.00	141.00
William Jilge, 180 4th Ave., Rec. Clerk	75.00	80.10	155.10
Controller's Office, Accounting Dept., 1010 Gough Street			
Mary Eisner, 860 Sutter St., Ledger Clerk	75.00	25.00	100.00
Marie Erlwin, 132 Carl St., Cashier	50.00	50.00	100.00
Kittie Herbeck, 627 Taylor St., Head Bookkeeper	....	100.00	100.00
Camille M. Camp, 787 14th St., Supervisor	75.00	89.50	164.50
Louise McCarthy, 550 Fell St., Bookkeeper	75.00	....	75.00
Evelyn Wallace, 3425 23rd St., Asst. Cashier	50.00	....	50.00
Controller's Office, Clients Ledger Accounts, Health Center Bldg., N. Petellin, Supervisor			
Mollie O'Bryen, 3846 Army St., Ledger Clerk	75.00	25.00	100.00
Nona Petellin, 2135 California St., Bookkeeper	....	131.60	131.60
Controller's Office, Children's Agency, Denman School, E. Baldocchi, Clerk			
Emma Baldocchi, 251 Chenery St., Ledger Clerk	50.00	50.00	100.00
Controller's Office, Information & Telephone Service, 1010 Gough St., May Casey, Supervisor			
Margaret N. Byrene, 857 Oak St., Chief Tel. Opr.	62.52	54.98	117.50
Rose Carlson, 1302 Haight St., Tel Opr.	45.00	45.00	90.00
May Casey, 1333 O'Farrell St., Infor. Clerk	65.00	57.20	122.20
Emily Vivas, 2457 Buchanan St., Tel. Opr.	40.00	....	40.00
Controller's Office, Stenographic Service, 1100 Gough St.			
Florence Cross, 1555 Larkin St., Stenographer	75.00	33.10	108.10
Elsie Schraft, 4736 California St. Stenographer	75.00	42.50	117.50
Controller's Office, Service Departments & Building Maintenance, 1100 Gough St.			
Julia Frank, 1015 Van Ness Ave., Clerk	75.00	....	75.00
Clotilda F. Gabriele, 400 Clayton St., Supervisor	75.00	89.50	164.50
Ulrie R. Grey, 26 Bonita Street, Mgr. Garage	75.00	25.00	100.00
Roger Sutphin, 1010 Gough St., Janitor	75.00	15.00	90.00

Name, Address, Occupation	Rate City	Rate Community Chest	Total
Controller's Office, Fuel Supply Dept., Sutro Forest			
E. A. McManus, 505 26th Ave., Supt.	75.00	25.00	100.00
Outside Activities, Women's Division, 1259 O'Farrell St. C. M. Wollenberg, Director			
Winifred Pearce, 232 Upper Terrace, Director	75.00	89.50	164.50
Ermingarde Morse, 448 Laurel St., Clerk, Med. Bur.	75.00	25.00	100.00
Mothers' Aid Department, Mabel A. Salinger, Director			
Margaret Anderson, 3137 Pacific St.	....	141.00	141.00
Joyce Francis, 2211 Divisadero St.	....	126.90	126.90
Lilly Jean, 752 Stockton St.	....	137.50	137.50
Edith Meaney, 3099 Washington St.	....	126.90	126.90
Margaret Moore, 3140 Pacific Ave.	....	65.00	65.00
Maria B. Morrow, Baltimore Hotel	....	80.00	80.00
Mothers' Aid Dept., Mabel A. Salinger, Director			
Mabel A. Salinger, 1950 Gough St.	....	94.00	94.00
Augusta Silverberg, 18 Santa Monica	....	117.50	117.50
Foster Home Department, Catherine E. Moriarty, Director			
Grace Nigel, 1630 Jones St.	....	150.40	150.40
Eleanor Beales, 130 Prospect Ave., San Anselmo	....	100.00	100.00
Mary A. Curl, 640 Sutter St.	....	62.50	62.50
Elizabeth Dougherty, 168 Kellogg Ave., Palo Alto	....	131.60	131.60
Ida C. Euler 1950 Gough St.	....	150.40	150.40
Grace Fairlie, 3150 Pacific Ave.	....	141.00	141.00
Charlotte E. Fraser, 66 Deming St.	....	141.00	141.00
May M. Haunon, 592 2nd Ave.	....	164.50	164.50
Jessie P. Mel, 1420 Jackson St., Oakland	....	100.00	100.00
Catherine E. Moriarty, 815 35th Ave.	....	211.50	211.50
Catherine Myers, 845 Sutter St.	....	80.00	80.00
Theresa E. McCarthy, 2145 Larkin St.	....	70.00	70.00
Eugenia Rinehart	....	100.00	100.00
Ida S. Sinai, 999 Broadway	....	150.40	150.40
Nettie R. Theobald, 524 Sutter St.	....	80.00	80.00
Jean Scuphan, 303 Perry St.	....	100.00	100.00
Children's Agency, Clerical, Mary McCarthy, Chief Clerk			
Jessica Allison, 3838 Scott St.	....	117.50	117.50
Evelyn Henrickson, 640 Steiner St.	....	100.00	100.00
Elizabeth Kellogg, 74 Collins St.	....	75.00	75.00
Peter Klement, 125 Precita Ave.	....	7.50	7.50
Mary McCarthy, 933 Hayes St.	....	131.60	131.60
Inga Soiland, 77 Landero St.	....	117.50	117.50
Children's Agency, Physicians and Dentists			
Margaret Eakin, M. D., 490 Post St.	....	50.00	50.00
Dr. Geo. E. Frahm, U. of C. Dental Clinic	....	75.00	75.00
Dr. Mae Scott. 408 Roosevelt	....	75.00	75.00
Stanford School of Medicine, Clay and Webster Streets	....	25.00	25.00
Miscellaneous			
J. Coyle, 3119 Jackson St., Grocery Dept.	....	10.00	10.00
Pete Varoff, 178 Bright St.	....	25.00	25.00

Case No.	Name		Occupation	Laguna Honda	Community Chest	City & County	Associated Charities	Relief Allowance	Miscellaneous	Auto or Car Fare	Totals
45361	George Lunkes	R	Accountant	$75.00	$50.00	$75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	$200.00
	Mrs. Mary L. Paige	NR	Supervisor	.....	12.50	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	97.50
	Mrs. Gladys Eccles	NR	Supervisor	.....	.....	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	75.00
	Mrs. Naomi Heffernan	NR	Supervisor	75.00	60.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	210.00
	Mrs. Anna Ainslie	NR	Controller	75.00	25.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	175.00
	Miss Abigail Hinkley	R	Supervisor	75.00	75.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	225.00
	Mrs. Clorida Gabriele	R	Employment & Bldgs.	75.00	100.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	250.00
	Mrs. Lucy Hartnett			75.00	175.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	325.00
	Mrs. Maud E. Haines	R	Supervisor	75.00	75.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	225.00
	Mrs. Mina T. Maino	R	Supervisor	75.00	50.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	200.00
	Frank Maino	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	50.00
	Dr. Sol Hyman	R	Asst. Dir. of Relief.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Miss Elvyn Hyman		Clerk	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	75.00
	Mrs. Marie Gorgeson	R	Supervisor	75.00	115.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	265.00
	Mrs. Rachael Ward	R	Supervisor	75.00	72.50	72.50	.....	.....	.....	.....	225.00
	Mrs. Irene Lukacs	R	Supervisor	75.00	55.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	205.00
	Miss Elizabeth Fankhandel	R	Supervisor	75.00	75.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	225.00
	Miss Lucile Henry	R	Supervisor	75.00	95.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	245.00
	Edward Berg	NR	Registration	.....	175.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	250.00
	E. A. McManus	R	Woodyard	30.00	.....	30.00	.....	40.00	.....	.....	100.00
	James Coyle	R	Grocery	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Miss Mary F. Paiva	R	Visitor	75.00	35.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	185.00
	Miss Helen Schubstadter	R	Visitor	75.00	24.15	72.45	.....	.....	.....	.....	171.60
	Miss Elizabeth K. Lee	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	50.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	100.00
	Miss Mary Rezos	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	50.00
	Mrs. Herminie Gingrich	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	50.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	100.00
	Mrs. Shirley Kemp	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	25.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	75.00
	Mrs. Ruth Orton	R	Visitor	75.00	50.00	75.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	200.00
	Mrs. Pearl Loomis	R	Visitor	50.00	.....	50.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	100.00
	John Shannon	R	Investigator	.....	.....	.....	.....	40.00	.....	.....	40.00

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, the following from the San Francisco Examiner of August 14, 1933, were read into the record:

"The Low Down on the City Hall.

"The fist of Vernon Hammerstad, smacking into the blue jowls of C. E. Wollenberg, director of relief, did more than jar Charlie loose from the remnants of what little hankering he ever had for his thankless job.

"That short arm jab knocked the props right out from under a none-too-subtle vote-getting campaign earnestly waged by that perennial candidate, Adolph Uhl.

"The sock on the jaw ended one of those long and bitter Donnybrooks in which the Board of Supervisors have been attempting to sift charges brought against the relief administration—charges that the food doled out by Wollenberg and his advisory committee is poor in quality and short in quantity and that the administration is pampering a few favorites.

"As astounded Supervisors pulled the fist-throwing Hammerstad off his victim, Wollenberg's face was red—but Uhl's was redder. And here's the answer:

"Faithful Band.

"For months, Adolph Uhl and his little band of faithful followers have been playing politics by posing as the champions of the jobless. They saw in the 17,000 families on relief a fertile and easily tillable field for some 35,000 votes which might be swung toward Uhl and the four running-mates sponsored by Uhl's own Good Government League for the office of Supervisor.

"It was no trick to spread discontent among the jobless, and no more of a trick to puff up Adolph Uhl as their friend and defender. The Uhl party worked hard at it. They canvassed the relief rolls. Hammerstad—who eventually deflated the entire program with his professional attention to Wollenberg—and other malcontents among the jobless were cordially induced to use the offices of the Good Government League at 717 Market street for headquarters.

"And then the charges flew, until the Supervisors were compelled to give heed in a series of hearings. George Peak, Uhl familiar from way back, acted as "prosecutor."

"Uhl, smart enough to remain in the background in the earlier sessions, eventually succumbed to the lure of the spotlight and stepped forward to demand the head of Edgar J. Berg, advisory purchaser of relief supplies. It made no difference to Uhl that Berg is paid not by the city, but by the Associated Charities. He had to display himself to those potential votes.

"The Uhl charges bogged down from the outset. The series of hearings has showed that there is little discontent among those on relief, that much of the 'evidence' has been deliberately faked, that the 'star' witnesses against the administration are former employees of the relief administration, discharged for cause and disgruntled because discharged.

"Political Gold.

"But there might have been political gold for Uhl in them thar ills —if Hammerstad hadn't upped to Wollenberg.

"Wollenberg's directorship of relief, to begin with, meant many more hours of thankless work for him without added pay and it's a job he would be tickled to death to lose.

"The blow had aroused widespread criticism—even among the jobless who flocked to the hearings. It compelled Uhl and associates to waste much valuable vote-getting time in an attempt to convince a lot of folks that they had nothing to do with it.

"But it's hard to convince those who saw Uhl in earnest conversation with Hammerstad just before the ex-pugilist hung one on Wollenberg.

"Hammerstad, by the way, has been dropped from the relief rolls

because he refuses to report for work, one week in four, as all persons on relief are required to do."

"Their Work Is Worthy.

"The brutal attack at the City Hall last week on Charles M. Wollenberg, director of relief, calls attention forcibly to the more insidious but equally disgraceful assaults which are being made on the work of relief in San Francisco. Disgruntled and illogical ambition of politicians is behind these attacks on Wollenberg. Dr. Katherine Felton, director of the Associated Charities, has also had to face unfair and malicious criticisms on her work.

"Both Wollenberg and Doctor Felton have been active in relief work in San Francisco for more than twenty-five years and their records have been stainless, their attitude practically sympathetic to the needs of those they served.

"It is not asked that persons who have benefited by relief administered by the agencies these two leaders represent should be grateful. But they should be fair and just.

"As for the embryonic politicians who are attacking relief work administration, it would take a credulous person indeed to expect fairness from them. Their only political capital is what they can garner in the slime of discontent.

"The criticisms which may come from empty stomachs may be excused, but the criticism inspired by an unappeased appetite for political prominence is unpardonable.

"The whole business should be condemned. It is a poor reward for the unselfish and honest and considerate public service rendered by Charles M. Wollenberg and Dr. Katherine Felton in their thankless task of helping the needy, in doing the city's relief work."

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

Supervisor McSheehy read Resolution No. 702, providing for an impartial survey of standards of relief as administered in San Francisco and moved that this investigation be taken under advisement until a report is made in accordance with said resolution authorizing university professors to appoint three competent persons to make such survey.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to Supervisor McSheehy's motion that we have a summary of the charges made for the information of the Board and would like Board at some future time, as soon as possible, to recommend an impartial investigation of its findings.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved as an amendment to the amendment that the evidence heretofore adduced be transcribed and sent to the Grand Jury with a request that said Grand Jury complete the hearing and render a report on the facts thereof.

Motion *lost* by the following:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Miles, Peyser—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

MONDAY, AUGUST 21, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 21, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Re-referred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following matter was *re-referred to the Charter Installation Committee*:

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3.

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance Section 3 thereof; which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412 as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of foodstuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty dollars ($20) and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspections are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate

of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances) shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspections hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspections for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to tneir several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporation occupying premises within their respective beats and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter laid over from last meeting was taken up:

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.

(Code No. 13.02.)

Bill No. 403, Ordinance No. 13.022, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. *Commercial District.* In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.
2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.
3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges.
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend by adding to the last paragraph the following:

the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of this ordinance in so far as existing non-conforming uses are concerned.

Amendment *accepted.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. Hanley, Sr., and Jas. Hanley, Jr., attorneys for Chinese organizations, were heard in opposition.

John O'Connell, secretary San Francisco Labor Council; Thos. Alford, secretary Laundry Board of Trade; Geo. W. Fitch, representing San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Mr. McKenzie and M. Mahoney, representing certain improvement clubs, were heard in favor of the proposed legislation.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill, as amended, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Construction of Sidewalks, North Side of Oneida Avenue Between Otsego and Delano Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 386, Ordinance No. 12.061130, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 25, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Oneida avenue (north one-half) between Otsego and Delano avenues.

Carolina street (east one-half) between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets.

Wilder street (north one-half) between Diamond and Natick streets.

Twenty-ninth street (south one-half) between Castro street and 280 feet west.

Twenty-fourth avenue (east one-half) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Twenty-sixth avenue (east one-half) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Thirty-first avenue (east one-half) between Irving and Judah streets.

Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Lawton street (north one-half) between Twenty-second and Thirtieth avenues.

Twenty-second avenue (west one-half) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Eighteenth avenue (west one-half) between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Thirtieth avenue (west one-half) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Rivera street, by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of Fourteenth avenue (west one-half) between Lake and California streets.

Lincoln way (south one-half) between Seventh and Eighth avenues.

Laguna street (east one-half) between Vallejo street and Broadway.

California street (south one-half) between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Union street (south one-half) between 100 feet and 127 feet 6 inches west of Scott street, by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Cabrillo street (north one-half) between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues.

Balboa street (north one-half) between Twelfth and Funston avenues.

Moncada way (east one-half) between Paloma and Cedro avenues.

Judah street (south one-half) between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues.

Seventeenth avenue (west one-half) between Taraval street and 100 feet south.

Stanyan street (east one-half) between Seventeenth street and 275 feet south, by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The standard specifications of 1929 are hereby made a part of this contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Improvement of Brunswick Street Between Allison and Concord Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 387, Ordinance No. 12.061121, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the De-

partment of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brunswick street between Allison and Concord streets, including the crossing of the intervening alley and the crossing of Brunswick and Concord streets, by grading to official line and subgrade.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit and that the asesssment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 28 of Block 6477; Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 of Block 6478; Lots 1, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of Block 6486; Lots 1, 1-A and 2, Block 6487, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings of the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Improvement of Crossing of Innes Avenue and Mendell Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 388, Ordinance No. 12.061122, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and

County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Innes avenue and Mendell street by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works the assessment to defray the costs and expenses of the said contemplated work or improvement can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expenses of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be asessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Mendell street 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue; thence northwesterly and parallel with the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 300 feet; thence northeasterly and parallel with the northwesterly line of Mendell street 280 feet; thence southeasterly and parallel with the northeasterly line of Innes avenue 674 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Mendell street 100 feet; thence to a point on the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 315 feet southeasterly from Mendell street; thence southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Mendell street 100 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel to the southwesterly line of Innes avenue 379 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061113, Ordering the Improvement of the Southerly One-half of Jefferson Street Between Taylor and Mason Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 389, Ordinance No. 12.061123, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061113, in effect September 7, 1932, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting speci-

fications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061113, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Director of Property to Sell City-Owned Land, Hampshire Street.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 390, Ordinance No. 12.17212, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land in San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at a point which is distant 190 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Hampshire street and 15.976 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street, said point being in the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J., Wm. F., and Frederick Petersen; thence southeasterly at right angles to said line of Army street and along said line of the property of Petersen 20.024 feet to a point thereon distant 36 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street; thence at right angles northeasterly 104.779 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 10 degrees 03 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 16.016 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 122.600 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 239, 240 and 241, Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described parcel of land was purchased by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the proposed widening of Army street. Due to a change in the plans for said widening this parcel is no longer required by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Works the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 5. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Full Acceptance, Various Streets.
(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 391, Ordinance No. 12.08118, as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Jefferson street between Mason and Taylor streets, including the crossing of Jefferson and Mason streets, including the curbs; Whipple avenue between Huron avenue and Ellington avenue, including the intersection of Whipple avenue and Rae avenue, including the curbs; Jennings street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues, including the crossing of Jennings street and Fitzgerald avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and the intersection of Sixteenth avenue with Wawona street, including the curbs; Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street and the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street; Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, including the curbs; De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to the westerly line of Head street, including the crossing of De Long and Head streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 108 of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Jefferson street between Mason and Taylor streets, including the crossing of Jefferson and Mason streets, including the curbs; Whipple avenue between Huron avenue and Ellington avenue, including the intersection of Whipple avenue and Rae avenue, including the curbs; Jennings street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues, including the crossing of Jennings street and Fitzgerald avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and the intersection of Sixteenth avenue with Wawona street, including the curbs; Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street and the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street; Sargent street between Bright street and Orizaba avenue, including the curbs; De Long street from the westerly line of Orizaba avenue produced to the westerly line of Head street, including the crossing of De Long and Head streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Authorizing Execution of Quitclaim Deeds to Old Pilarcitos Pipe Line Right of Way.
(Code No. 15.0242)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 392, Ordinance No. 15.02421, as follows:

Authorizing execution of quitclaim deeds to old Pilarcitos pipe line right of way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Su-

pervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute quitclaim deeds on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, relinquishing to the following two owners of lands affected by the old Pilarcitos pipe line right of way in San Francisco, California, whatever interest, if any, the City and County of San Francisco, as successor in interest to the Spring Valley Water Company, may have acquired to said right of way over their property, which right of way was abandoned many years before acquisition of the Spring Valley water system by the City and County of San Francisco on March 3, 1930:

Harry H. Lorentzen et ux., right of way over portion of Lot 10, in Block "I," as per map of Railroad Homestead Association.

J. D. Heise et ux., right of way over portion of Lot 174, in Block 1, as per map of the Fairmount Land Association, and portion of Lot 5, in Block 3, as per map of the Fairmount Extension Homestead.

Section 2. The above mentioned right of way, together with certain other property was transferred to Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 8, 1910, and recorded July 11, 1910, in Book 421 of Deeds, at page 200, official records of San Francisco.

Section 3. To cover the cost of preparing the necessary papers the Director of Property shall collect $5 from each of said lot owners before delivering said quitclaim deeds to them.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Providing for the Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in Connection with the Issuance of Licenses and Permits for the Operation of Motor Vehicles Engaged in the Business of or Used for Transporting Passengers for Hire.

(Code No. 11.0111)

From Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation.

Bill No. 369, Ordinance No. 11.01115, as follows:

Amending Subdivision (B) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111 entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," by designating cash reserves for self insurers, and repealing Ordinance No. 11.01113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (b) of Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury of any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, $5,000.

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, $10,000.

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, $500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be $20,000 instead of $10,000 as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of $10,500.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $25,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $50,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles said bond shall be in the sum of $75,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $100,000.

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of $125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid), increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bonds shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which shows a cash reserve on the 1st day of August, 1933, *of* $10,000, *and thereafter shows a monthly increase of* $500 *until the sum of* $25,000 *shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations is the sum of* $25,000, *shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve as herein provided for shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned,*

unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 1st day of each month, after the 1st day of August, 1933, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series) shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.01113 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Providing for 20-Minute Parking in the Financial District.

(Code No. 11.02)

Presented by Supervisor Peyser.

Bill No. 252, Ordinance No. 11.023, as follows:

Amending section 37 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by eliminating Battery street between California and Market streets; Bush street between Kearny and Market streets; California street between Kearny and Battery streets; Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets; Sansome street between California and Market streets; and Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets; and adding a new section thereto to be known as section 38-A by limiting the parking time to twenty (20) minutes on the following streets: Battery street between California and Market streets; Bush street between Kearny and Market streets; California street between Kearny and Battery streets; Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets; Sansome street between California and Market streets; and Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, 7 a. m. to 6 p. m.* Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, and excepting duly licensed public passenger vehicles at duly authorized and licensed locations, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places:

Alameda street between Bryant and Florida streets;
Annie street;
Anthony street;
Austin street;
Campton place;
Cedar street;
Clementina street;
Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission and Folsom streets;
Ecker street;

Elm street;
Fern street;
Florida street for a distance of 275 feet south of Alameda street property line;
Hemlock street;
Holland court;
Ivy street;
Linden street;
Maiden lane;
Merchant street between Battery and Sansome streets;
South side of Minna street between First and Ninth streets;
Mint street, on the east, south and north sides;
Monroe street;
Montgomery street between Market and California streets;
Myrtle street;
North side of Natoma street between Fremont and Ninth streets;
Olive street;
Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue;
Post street between Kearny and Market streets;
Redwood street;
Tehama street;
Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) is hereby amended by adding a section to be known as section 38-A, as follows:

Section 38-A. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than twenty (20) minutes between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. on any of the following streets:

Battery street between California and Market streets;
Bush street between Kearny and Market streets;
California street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Sansome street between California and Market streets;
Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Spaulding, Stanton—7.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Known as the License Ordinance (Moving Picture Exhibitions).

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 394, Ordinance No. 3.04128, as follows:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), known as the License Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 50. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating any public place where moving pictures are exhibited, unless such person, firm or corporation shall have secured a license under Section 69 of this ordinance, shall pay therefor a quarterly

license fee, based on the seating capacity of the moving picture place and the maximum admission charge, as follows:

Seating Not Over	*General Admission Charge Not Over*		*General Admission Charge*
	15c or less	*35c or less*	*36c or over*
500	$15.00	$ 25.00	$ 75.00
1000	25.00	50.00	100.00
1500	40.00	60.00	150.00
2000 or over	50.00	100.00	200.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Amending Resolution No. 875, Entitled "Fixing Wage Scales, Private Employment on Public Contracts," by Changing the Title of Bricklayers' Tenders to Bricklayers' Hodcarriers, and by Changing the Title of Plasterers' Tenders to Plasterers' Hodcarriers.

(Code No. 9.092)

On recommendation of Civil Service Committee.

Resolution No. 964, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as follows:

	Per Day	Overtime Per Hour
Asbestos workers	$ 6.80	
Bricklayers	11.00	
Bricklayers' Hodcarriers	7.00	
Carpenters and cabinet makers	8.00	
Cement finishers	8.00	
Compressor operators	10.00	
Engineers (derricks)	10.00	
Engineers (building material hoists)	9.00	
Electric workers (including fixture hangers)	8.00	
Elevator constructors	9.08	
Elevator constructor helpers	6.36	
Glass workers	8.00	
Hardwood floor men	8.00	
Housesmiths (architectural irons)	8.00	
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	8.00	
Iron workers (bridge and structural)	11.00	
Iron workers (derrick engineers)	11.00	
Lathers (except wood)	8.00	
Linoleum and carpet workers	8.00	
Laborers (building)	5.50	
Painters (structural iron work)	9.60	
Painters	8.00	
Model casters	8.00	
Model makers (plaster)	9.00	
Marble setters	8.00	
Marble setters' helpers	5.00	
Pile drivers and wharf builders	9.00	
Pile drivers' engineers	10.00	
Plasterers	10.00	
Plasterers' Hodcarriers	7.50	
Plumbers	9.00	
Roofers	7.20	
Sheet metal workers	8.00	
Steamfitters	9.00	
Sprinkler fitters	9.00	

	Per Day	Overtime Per Hour
Stonecutters	8.50	
Stonecutters (including granite curbs)	11.00	
Stone derrickmen	8.00	
Power shovel engineers (above ground)	10.00	
Power shovel engineers (below ground)	11.00	
Shovel firemen, watchmen or oilers	7.00	
Tilesetters	8.00	
Tilesetters' helpers	5.00	
Truck drivers (building materials)—		
Trucks of less than 2500 pounds	5.50	$ .90
From 2500 to 4500 pounds	6.00	.95
From 4500 to 6500 pounds	6.50	1.00
From 6500 to 15,500 pounds	7.00	1.15
From 15,500 to 20,500 pounds	7.50	1.25
Trucks of 20,500 pounds and over	8.00	1.35
Teamsters—		
One-horse team	5.50	.90
Two-horse team	6.00	.95
Four-horse team	6.50	1.00
Plow	6.50	1.00
Scrapers (two-horse and four-horse)	6.00	.95
Two-horse (lumber)	6.50	1.00
Trucks (two-horse)	6.50	1.00
Caterpillar tractor operators (with or without scraper), 50-horsepower and under	7.50	1.25
Shade and drapery workers	7.00	
Upholsterers	7.00	

Overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and tenders.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

METAL TRADES.

Field Rates—	Per Day
Blacksmiths	$ 7.20
Boilermakers	8.00
Boilermakers' helpers	7.20
Machinists	8.00
Machinists' helpers	5.76

MISCELLANEOUS TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen	$ 5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Acceptance of Land From State Near Yerba Buena Island for Airport Purposes.

(Code No. 12.17154)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 965, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts from the State of California approximately 820 acres of land in the

vicinity of Yerba Buena Island in San Francisco Bay for airport purposes, which land is more particularly described in Chapter 912, 1933 Statutes of California, subject to the conditions mentioned in said Chapter 912.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Director of Public Works Empowered to Install Street Lights on New Streets and on Existing Streets Reconstructed.

(Code No. 15.061)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 395, Ordinance No. 15.0611, as follows:

An ordinance vesting power in the Director of Public Works to install street lights on new streets opened and on existing streets reconstructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works shall require that street lighting facilities, including standards, all associated wires, cables, conduits, junction boxes, services and all connections therewith satisfactory to the Public Utilities Commission be included in all plans, maps, plats and specifications for the opening of new streets, tracts, districts or subdivisions.

Section 2. The Director of Public Works shall include provision for street lighting in a manner satisfactory to the Public Utilities Commission in all plans, maps, plats and specifications for street openings and improvements made by the City.

Section 3. The cost of street lighting facilities in new streets or new subdivisions shall be borne by the person, persons, firm or corporation, assessment district or others paying for the grading, paving, sidewalks and other construction necessary to open the street.

Section 4. Money to cover the cost of street lighting facilities associated with work being done by the City shall be included in the fund provided for the street improvement.

Section 5. Street lighting standards and all associated wires, cables, conduits, junction boxes, services and all connections therewith, in new streets, tracts or subdivisions opened by individuals, firms, corporations or assessment districts, shall become the property of the City on final completion and acceptance of the work.

Section 6. The Public Utilities Commission shall determine the intensity of illumination, number and spacing of lights and other details necessary to secure satisfactory street lighting.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Ordering the Improvement of Gaven Street Between Barneveld Avenue and Merrill Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 396, Ordinance No. 12.061134, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 5, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch class "F" concrete base on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Intention to Close Portions of San Bruno and Rodeo Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 966, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Rodeo avenue, 108.804 feet easterly of the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Brussels street; thence easterly along said southerly line 83.412 feet; thence deflecting 34 degrees 34 minutes 46 seconds to the left and running northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Rodeo avenue 45.791 feet; thence northeasterly along the southerly line of San Bruno avenue, on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 71 degrees 52 minutes 13 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 90 feet, central angle 42 degrees 55 minutes 12 seconds, a distance of 67.418 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northeasterly along said proposed line deflecting 49 degrees 10 minutes 48 seconds to the left from the tangent to

the preceding curve 80 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 26 degrees 23 minutes 01 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 90 feet, central angle 39 degrees 27 minutes 02 seconds, a distance of 61.969 feet; thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, deflecting 38 degrees 16 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, 66.995 feet; thence southerly and southwesterly along the proposed southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue and Rodeo avenue, on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 230 feet, central angle 82 degrees 22 minutes 08 seconds, a distance of 330.650 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Intention to Close Portion of San Bruno Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 967, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of San Bruno avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of San Bruno avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Bruno avenue and the northwesterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard, said point of beginning being on that certain curve designated by a radius of 231.11 feet on that certain map recorded in Map Book J, at page 19, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and distant westerly along said curve 262.998 feet from its easterly end; thence southwesterly along said curve through an angle of 35 degrees 41 minutes 15 seconds an arc distance of 143.950 feet; thence southwesterly along said line of San Bruno avenue, tangent to the preceding curve, 7.305 feet to said line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting 163 degrees 01 minutes 10 seconds to the left, and running northeasterly along said proposed line of Bay Shore boulevard 148.606 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the

Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Approval of Map Showing Extension of Shakespeare Street From Head Street to De Long Street.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 968, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the extension of Shakespeare street from Head street to De Long street," approved by the Department of Public Works Resolution No. 2750, approved July 7, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and the parcels shown hatched thereon be and are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Shakespeare street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company Granted Permission to Construct Temporary Spur Tracks From Southern Pacific Tracks on Bryant Street Between Fremont and First Streets.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Also, Resolution No. 969, as follows:

Resolved, That Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct and maintain temporary spur tracks from the Southern Pacific track on Bryant street between Fremont and First streets, across a portion of Bryant street between First and Fremont streets and also across Fremont street as per plan on file in this office.

These tracks are necessary in construction of the anchorage of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and are to be removed upon completion of the anchorage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Granting Permission to Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company to Place Overhead Guy Wires Across Beale, Bryant, Fremont and Harrison Streets.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Also, Resolution No. 970, as follows:

Resolved, That Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to place overhead guy wires crossing Beale, Bryant, Fremont and Harrison streets.

These guy wires are necessary in construction of the anchorage of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and are to be removed upon completion of the anchorage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 451 of Ordinance No. 1061, by Regulating the Width of Sidewalks on Quesada Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 397, Ordinance No. 12.073115, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Four Hundred and Fifty-one thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by amending Section Four Hundred and Fifty-one thereof, to read as follows:

Section 451. The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue shall be as follows:

Between Industrial and Rankin streets, 15 feet.

Between Rankin and Phelps streets, 22 feet 6 inches.

Between Phelps and Newhall streets, 15 feet.

Between Newhall and Third streets, 8 feet.

Between Third street and Water Front street, 15 feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adding Section 1132 to Ordinance No. 1061, Regulating Widths of Sidewalks on Santa Rosa Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 398, Ordinance No. 12.073116, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1132.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1132, to read as follows:

Section 1132. The width of sidewalks on Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and Oloran alley shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adding Section 1133 to Ordinance No. 1061, Regulating the Widths of Sidewalks on Shakespeare Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 399, Ordinance No. 12.073117, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1133.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Thirty-three (1133), to read as follows:

Section 1133. The width of sidewalks on Shakespeare street between the County line and De Long street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Shakespeare street, Rhine to De Long," showing location of curbs and width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal Government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Adopted.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon was taken up and *adopted*:

President Roosevelt Memorialized to Give "Small Businessman" Every Possible Consideration in the Adoption of Codes for N. I. R. A.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 949, as follows:

Whereas, in the adoption of codes under the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act "Big Business" and the major industries have been accorded the assistance of the President of the United States in his laudable endeavor to carry out his program of stabilization; and

Whereas, his problem to date has been the reconciliation of capital and labor to the codes submitted by them; and

Whereas, the less formidable units of industry might be eliminated or considerably weakened by a code too drastic for their survival; and

Whereas, the so-called "small businessman" has thus far weathered the storm of depression by assuming additional obligations in draining all available resources and is now not in position to adhere to a code adapted to the major industries of the country; and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 627 this Board of Supervisors desired the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to permit loans to the so-called "small businessman" in an amount not to exceed $10,000 on the basis of chattel mortgages or other available securities; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize President Franklin Delano Roosevelt to insist that in the distribution of funds under the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act "the small businessman," who is the backbone of this nation, be given every consideration possible in order that he may survive.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to transmit by air mail a copy of this resolution to the President of the United States.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Requested Visit of Italian Air Squadron to San Francisco.

Communication from Royal Italian Embassy, Washington, D. C., acknowledging letter of July 31, 1933, congratulating Geo. Balbo of Italian Air Squadron on its successful flight to Chicago World's Fair and inviting him to continue on to San Francisco, and stating that at this time it is not aware of Geo. Balbo's future plans in that respect.

Read and *ordered filed.*

Livestock Pavilion.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that his Honor Mayor Rossi be asked to report as soon as possible on the proposition of Federal aid for proposed livestock pavilion in San Francisco.

So ordered.

Home Owners Unable Through Unemployment to Pay Taxes.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from M. Huddleson, secretary Home Owners' Protective Association, referring to petition on behalf of unemployed property owners who are unable to meet tax bills by reason of unemployment and requesting preference in temporary employment.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Yerba Buena Shoals.

Communication from Civic League of Improvement Clubs, urging that if Yerba Buena Shoals is used for dump for bridge contractors that San Francisco be relieved, be held absolutely free of any expense, responsibility or liability in connection therewith.

Read by Clerk and *filed.*

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 21, 1933.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing August 24th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 971, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, from and after August 24, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Spaulding—2.

Metal Trades Wage Scale.

Communication from T. A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies, in compliance with Resolution No. 576, in re effect of placing shop rates in the specifications as regards metal trades work required by City.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Bus Line to Coit Memorial.

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Petition of B. Compilongo and others for the establishment of a Municipal bus line to Coit Memorial Tower connecting with street cars at Columbus avenue and Stockton streets.

Referred to Public Utilities Commission.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Election.

Communication from Allen G. Wright, attorney for Islais Creek Reclamation District, requesting that an election be advertised and held on October 17 for the election of three trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Report of Public Utilities Commission on Possibilities of Construction of Red Mountain Bar Power House and Distribution of Its Product.

The Clerk read:

Report of Public Utilities Commission on possibilities of construction of Red Mountain Bar Power House and distribution of its product.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved reference to the Public Utilities Com-

mittee and Mayor's Advisory Committee and request made that, if possible, report be made not later than one week from today.

So ordered.

Fund for Unemployment Relief.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 21, 1933.

The Hon. the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: It is necessary to ask an immediate appropriation of the balance of funds remaining in the Unemployment Relief Bond Fund of $455,000, to take care of the expenditures for the months of July, August and September.

This is necessitated by the fact that the State bond sale will not take place until the end of September and that Federal funds will not be available until the end of this month and then only to one-third of the amount actually expended.

This makes it necessary for county funds to meet the cost of relief for the first three months of this fiscal year to approximately the sum of $1,000,000. On the sale of the State bonds the State will advance to us, not only their share of the last three months but also the share of the county's for the last months of the calendar year. This will bring the expenditures on the three funds, that is, Federal, State and County, into balance, one-third each for the first six months of the fiscal year, as of December 31, 1933.

It is necessary to make this appropriation immediately so that we may have funds to carry on our relief and I urge that it be passed today as an emergency measure.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

Approved: R. D. Carpenter,
Finance Committee of the City Emergency Unemployment Committee.

Approved August 21, 1933.
LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Tax Anticipation Ordinance.

The following was presented by Supervisor Miles and read by the Clerk:

August 21, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Dear Sirs: At the request of his Honor the Mayor and the Controller, I am herewith transmitting to you an ordinance authorizing the borrowing of $5,135,000 pursuant to the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter. This section authorizes the borrowing from outside sources moneys necessary for the conduct of the municipal government when the same are not otherwise available. I understand that by the 15th of September this condition will exist in the municipal treasury, and will in all probability continue until the first installment of taxes are paid in December.

The ordinance is so drawn that the money will be borrowed and the notes issued only from time to time as the money becomes necessary to meet demands upon the treasury. I am advised by the Controller that in all probability the first money will have to be borrowed in time to meet salary and other demands upon the treasury which will be payable on October 1st. It is therefore urgent that the ordinance should receive your early consideration.

I am also transmitting to you a second ordinance bearing on the same subject, which makes an appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund to meet the interest upon any money borrowed under au-

thority of the first mentioned ordinance. This appropriation is necessary for the reason that the money cannot be borrowed unless provision is first made for the payment of the interest to accrue thereon. The last mentioned ordinance to be effective must be passed by a three-fourths vote of all the members of your Board.

Very truly yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Issuance of Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 402, Ordinance No. 9.0331, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of $5,135,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes, and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of $5,135,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1933, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter, or as otherwise provided in said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of $5,135,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller, and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are $26,000,000 and that said sum of $5,135,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of $5,135,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form and denominations hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed, as aforesaid, there shall be issued by said City and County of San Francisco

one hundred two (102) notes for the principal amount of fifty thousand (50,000) dollars each and one note for the principal amount of thirty-five thousand (35,000) dollars. Said notes for the principal amount of fifty thousand (50,000) dollars shall be numbered consecutively from 1 to 102, both inclusive, and said note for the principal amount of thirty-five thousand (35,000) dollars shall be numbered 103; and all of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Clerk thereof, and countersigned by the Controller of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at the time said notes are offered for sale, or sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable monthly on demand of the owner or holder of said note or notes, and upon demand being made therefor, the Controller shall forthwith draw his warrant for said interest, and the Treasurer shall pay the same.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose, and neither said City and County of San Francisco, nor any officer thereof, shall be liable for the payment of the principal of or interest on said notes otherwise than as in this ordinance provided.

(c) Each of said notes for the principal sum of $50,000 shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE

Series ———, Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No. ———. $50,000.

San Francisco, ————————, 1933.

On the 20th day of December, 1933, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to ———————— or order, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of fifty thousand (50,000) dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of —— per cent per annum from date until paid, said interest to be paid monthly.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million one hundred thirty-five thousand (5,135,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; and neither said City and County of San Francisco nor any officer thereof shall be liable for the payment of the principal of

or interest on said notes otherwise than as in said ordinance provided.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and countersigned by the Controller thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

_____,

President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____,

Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said note for the principal sum of thirty-five thousand (35,000) dollars shall be substantially in the form of the note hereinabove set forth, saving and excepting that the principal amount of said note shall be thirty-five thousand (35,000) dollars instead of fifty thousand (50,000) dollars.

Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the name or names of the purchaser or purchasers thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such times (prior to December 20, 1933) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1933; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof.

Section 5. All of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof, and the payment of any and all interest to accrue upon the same shall be endorsed thereon at the time of payment, and all of said notes not sold prior to December 20, 1933, shall be null and void after said date.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Spaulding—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $50,000 for Payment of Interest on Tax Anticipation Note.

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Miles:

Bill No. 401, Ordinance No. 9.05182, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $50,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, to Treasurer for the purpose of paying the interest upon certain moneys to be borrowed on tax anticipation notes to be hereafter issued in pursuance to ordinance of the Board of Supervisors to be enacted pursuant to section 81 of the Charter, and authorizing the payment of said interest.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of $50,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, for the purpose of meeting and paying the interest to accrue upon certain Tax Anticipation Notes for the fiscal year 1933-34, to be hereafter issued and sold pursuant to ordinance of the Board of Supervisors enacted under authority of section 81 of the Charter; which said sum is hereby made available for the payment of said interest upon such notes as may be issued, sold and delivered pursuant to said ordinance, according to the terms and tenor of said notes.

Section 2. The appropriation made herein is made from said Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that the same is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of all of the departments of the municipal government of the City and County in this, that it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County should borrow certain moneys pursuant to section 81 of the Charter in order to provide funds to meet the immediate requirements of the City and County for the present fiscal year in accordance with appropriations authorized by the Charter for such fiscal year, which payments may be made in advance for the receipt of income for said fiscal year, which said funds cannot be made available except by the borrowing of the same as in said ordinance provided, and that such funds cannot be borrowed unless provision is made for the payment of the interest on said funds so borrowed.

Section 3. The Controller is directed to draw his warrant and the Treasurer to pay the same, in payment of all interest to become due on all moneys borrowed for the fiscal year 1933-34, pursuant to section 81 of the Charter, as such interest becomes due and payable according to the terms of said notice.

I recommend the above mentioned appropriation.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Appropriation approved.

LEONARD S. LEAVY,
Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Spaulding—2.

Relief Board of Appeals.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Citizens' Advisory Committee on Unemployment Relief be requested to set up a Board of Appeal, where complaints may be heard and considered.

So ordered.

No State Ad Valorem Tax.

Communication from the State Board of Equalization, transmitting copy of resolution adjudging and decreeing that no State ad valorem

tax shall be levied or collected upon the assessed valuation of property in the State of California for the 85th fiscal year.

Read and *filed.*

Assessment Roll, 1933-34.

Communication from Controller Leonard J. Leavy transmitting photostat copy of assessment roll for the fiscal year 1933-34.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Metal Trades Shop Rates.

Communication from Purchaser of Supplies in compliance with resolution No. 576 relative to the inclusion of shop rates in bidders' specifications as regards metal trades work required by City and County.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 28—New Series No. 37

Monday, August 28, 1933
Wednesday, August 30, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 28, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 28, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of July 31, 1933, was approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Known as the License Ordinance (Moving Picture Exhibitions).
(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 394, Ordinance No. 3.04128, as follows:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), known as the License Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 50. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating any public place where moving pictures are exhibited, unless such person, firm or corporation shall have secured a license under Section 69 of this ordinance, shall pay therefor a quarterly license fee, based on the seating capacity of the moving picture place and the maximum admission charge, as follows:

Seating Not Over	*General Admission Charge Not Over*		*General Admission Charge*
	15c or less	*35c or less*	*36c or over*
500	$15.00	$ 25.00	$ 75.00
1000	25.00	50.00	100.00
1500	40.00	60.00	150.00
2000 or over	50.00	100.00	200.00

The above bill and ordinance was taken up at the hour set.

The subject-matter was discussed at length by Supervisors Gallagher, Peyser, Shannon, Spaulding, Roncovieri, McSheehy and Colman.

Privilege of the Floor.

L. S. Hamm, representing the Motion Picture Industry, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard in support of passage of the ordinance.

Captain Trevit of the Fire Prevention Bureau was heard in response to questions relating to the services of members of the Fire Department on duty in the various theaters.

Passed for Second Reading.

The question being called said bill and ordinance was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Power—4.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, amending the ordinance commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance was taken up.

Upon motion, action thereon was *postponed and laid over one week to September 5th and made a Special Order for 3 o'clock p. m.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Adding Lexington Avenue and San Carlos Avenue as Streets Upon Which Parking Is Prohibited Between Points Designated.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 393, Ordinance No. 11.024, as follows:

Amending Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," by prohibiting parking on east side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street, and on east side of Lexington avenue between Sycamor avenue and Twenty-first street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37-A of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37-A. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, Day or Night.* It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on the following streets:

West side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to the east line of Diamond street, and on the east side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to a point 500 feet northerly along the curb from the point of intersection of the easterly curb line of Diamond street and the westerly curb line of Bernal avenue;

North side of Brosnan place between Valencia and Guerrero streets;

Ewer place;

Frank place;

South side of Geary street from the west property line of Presidio avenue to the east property line of 2686 Geary street;

East side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway;

West side of Grant avenue between Bush and Pine streets;

North side of Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street;

Houston street;

North side of Jessie street between First and Ninth streets;
East side of Lexington avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;
Lilac street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets;
Malvina place;
West side of Mason street between Bush and Pine streets;
North side of Natoma street between Tenth and Eleventh streets;
East side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;
North side of Stevenson street between First and Ninth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending the Salary Ordinance of Police Department, by Adding One Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at Rate of $200 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 404, Ordinance No. 9.05344, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 26½ thereof to establish a new position of Motor Boat Operator for relief service.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 12. POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150
			BUREAU OF INSPECTORS.	
11	1		Captain of Inspectors	416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	11	Q60	Lieutenants	250
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225
			UNIFORM FORCE.	
18	1		Captain of Traffic	333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
26½	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
27	964	Q2	Policemen	200
28	27	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
29	87	Q40	Corporal	215
30	95	Q50	Sergeant	220
31	43	Q60	Lieutenant	250
32	15	Q80	Captain	300

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $558 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the Employment of Two Temporary Employees in the Office of the Treasurer From September 1 to October 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 405, Ordinance No. 9.05183, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $558 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from September 1 to October 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from September 1 to October 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other services in the Treasurer's office.

Approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer and Director of Finance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $5,000 for a Survey of Dangerous Parapet Walls, Fire Escapes, Balconies and Other Hazards, by the Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 406, Ordinance No. 9.05184, as follows:

Setting aside a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the cost of survey by the Department of Public Works of dangerous parapet walls, fire escapes, balconies and other hazards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 for the cost of a survey by the Department of Public Works of dangerous parapet walls, fire escapes, balconies and other hazards.

Approved by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating the sum of $4,830 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the Expense of Preparing Complete Records of All Tax Elements in Connection with Enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 407, Ordinance No. 9.05185, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $4,830 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of new Appropriation 198-2 (Controller) for the expense of preparing complete records of all tax elements in connection with enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the expense of preparing complete records of all tax elements in connection with enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax there is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation (new) 198-2 (Controller) the following amounts for the purposes set forth, to-wit:

Two electric Monroe calculators, at estimated cost	$1,680
Services of at least two bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for 10 months, $3,500 (net)	3,150
Total	$4,830

Requested by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $2,010 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund to Appropriation 198 (Office of Controller) for the Purposes Set Forth.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 408, Ordinance No. 9.05186, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $2,010 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 198 (Controller, Personal Service, Temporary), for expense in connection with revision of Tax Redemption Laws, Tax Moratorium and N. I. R. A. program, to-wit:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 198 (Controller, Personal Service, Temporary) for expense, as follows:

Revision of Tax Redemption Laws:	
Three bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for two months, $1,050 (net)	$ 945
Tax Moratorium:	
1932-1933 tax work performed in 1933-1934	750
N. I. R. A. Program:	
Two bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for one month, $350 (net)	315
Total	$2,010

Requested by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hav-

enner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $9,015 to the Registrar of Voters for the Expense of Including in the Register of Voters Index the Occupation of Each Elector as Per Amendment to the Political Code.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 409, Ordinance No. 9.05187, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $9,015 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the Registrar of Voters, for additional expense preparing index to include occupation of each elector, made necessary by enactment of the State Legislature in amending the Political Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $9,015 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, and credited to Registrar of Voters, as hereinafter set forth, for the expense of the preparation of an index to the Register of Voters to include the occupation of each elector as per enactment by the State Legislature of California amending the Political Code.

To the credit of Appropriation 95 .....$1,395
To the credit of Appropriation 97 7,620

Requested by the Registrar of Voters.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $1,600 for Payment of Salary of One Additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for Relief During Vacation Periods and Off Days of the Three Operators Now Employed.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 411, Ordinance No. 9.05188, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $1,600 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 20 (Police Department, Personal Service), for the payment of salary of one additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for the purpose of relief during vacation periods and off days of the three operators now employed, and being in compliance with Civil Service regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $1,600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 20 (Police Department, Personal Service), for the payment of salary of one additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for the purpose of relief during vacation periods and off days of the three operators now employed, and being in compliance with Civil Service regulation.

Requested by the Police Department.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

TAX RATE ORDINANCE.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 412, Ordinance No. 9.0323, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet expenses of the City and County, to meet the cost of elections, civil service, and the construction, maintaining and improving of sewers and buildings, and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of	$1.606582
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of	.038537
For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of	.100000
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of	.028128
For Retirement System for City Employees	.185039
For Recreation Fund	.066950
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund	.008113
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund	.008031
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County	.903356
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial	.009633
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California	.010967
For Workmen's Compensation Fund	.003598
Total for Municipal Purposes	$2.968934

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For Junior College Fund (County)	$0.014264
For Special School District Building Fund	.114925
For Special School District Fund	.141122
For Special High School District Building Fund	.018840
For Special High School District Fund	.221915
Total School Tax	$0.511066
Total Tax Rate	$3.48

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner action on the foregoing bill and ordinance was *deferred until Wednesday, August* 30, 1933, *at* 2 *o'clock p. m.*

The Clerk was directed to invite the Public Utilities Commission to sit with the Board at said meeting in considering the question of using Water Department surplus for the reduction of water rates rather than transferring such surplus to the general fund for the reduction of the tax rate.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were upon motion *laid over one week*:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, Commonly Known as the Electrical Ordinance, by Providing a New Procedure for Publication of Adopted Rules, Regulations, Additions, Repeals and/or Amendments.

(Code No. 11.11)

Bill No. 410, Ordinance No. 11.112, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as the Electrical Ordinance, by providing a new procedure for publication of adopted rules, regulations, additions, repeals and/or amendments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as the Electrical Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. No electrical or fixture work shall be installed or constructed which is dangerous to life or property, and all electrical and fixture work within the City and County shall be done, installed and constructed in conformity with the rules and requirements of the National Electrical Code as approved by the American Standards Association, except as such rules and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided in this section. The Chief is hereby given power and it shall be his duty, as soon as practicable after this ordinance takes effect, to hold a hearing or hearings on ten (10) days' notice of the time and place thereof, given by publication in the then official newspaper of the City and County, to determine generally accepted standards and methods governing electrical and fixture work, in modification of or supplementary to the rules and regulations of the National Electrical Code. As soon as he shall have ascertained the same and made his findings thereon, such modifications thereof and supplementary rules and regulations shall be set forth and adopted by him in a report in the form of rules and regulations governing electrical and fixture work, which rules and regulations shall not be contrary to or inconsistent with any law of the State of California or ordinance of the City and County, and shall forthwith upon adoption be posted in the office of the Department of electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published for a period of five days in the then official newspaper of the City and County. On the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid, the said rules and regulations shall take effect as the standard to which all persons, firms or corporations doing installing or constructing electrical or fixture work shall conform. No certificate of inspection and approval provided for in this ordinance shall be issued unless the electrical or fixture work therein described shall conform with the rules and regulations in effect at the time the permit therefor is issued. The Chief shall hold a hearing or hearings at such other times as he may deem it to be necessary, to ascertain and determine what changes have been made in the standards and methods adopted supplementary to the National Electrical Code, which hearing or hearings shall be held only on the giving of a like notice as in the hearing hereinabove provided for. If the Chief shall find that the accepted standards and methods adopted

as supplementary thereto have been changed, he shall make and adopt such changes or modifications thereof as amendments, additions to or repeals of the then existing rules and regulations, which amendments, additions or repeals shall forthwith upon their adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published in the then official newspaper of the City and County for a period of five days, and shall take effect on the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately after its passage.

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Also, Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Archibald Price, New Manager of Palace Hotel, Introduced.

The Chair introduced Mr. Archibald Price, new manager of the Palace Hotel. The gentleman was heard in appreciation at being present and of being accorded the privilege of expressing his sentiments of best wishes and thanks to the Board.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Determining That Funds Are Needed for Immediate Requirements for the Fiscal Year 1933-1934 in Accordance With Appropriations Made and as Authorized by the Charter for Said Fiscal Year.

(Code No. 9.033.)

The Finance Committee presented the following:

Bill No. 413, Ordinance No. 9.0332, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934, in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of $5,135,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and

collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of $5,135,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1933, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of $5,135,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are $26,000,000 and that said sum of $5,135,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of $5,135,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of $5,135,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than $1,000 each, nor more than $100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient

for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to December 31, 1933, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1933-1934 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No..... $.....

San Francisco, ..., 1933.

On the 20th day of December, 1933, the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of ..... dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of .... per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million one hundred thirty-five thousand (5,135,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto

the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to December 20, 1933) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1933; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to December 20, 1933, shall be canceled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 56, Department of Public Health.
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 414, Ordinance No. 9.05345, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 by increasing the number of employments by 8 in item 59.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 56. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician .....$	300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at $10 per day	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time)	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	150
58	8	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	200
59	9	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	6	N56	Market Inspector	200
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	9	N204	Housing Inspector	200
70½	2	N205	Industrial Inspector	200
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Invest.	215

EXPLANATORY

Number of employments under item 59 increased from 1 to 9. Two positions under item 70½ formerly established under item 70, and the Civil Service Commission has reclassified the duties to conform to Civil Service title.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Supplemental Appropriation of $14,300 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), for Payment of Salaries of Eight Additional Food and Restaurant Inspectors and the Incidental Expenses of said Inspectors.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 415, Ordinance No. 9.05189, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $14,300 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the payment of the salaries of eight additional food and restaurant inspectors, and for the incidental expense of said inspectors, Department of Public Health, and crediting said $14,300 to Appropriations 157 and 158, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Supplemental appropriations of the following amounts are hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for payment of salaries of eight additional Food and Restaurant Inspectors, Department of Public Health, and for incidental expense of said inspectors, to-wit:

The sum of $13,860 to the credit of Appropriation 157 (Personal Service), Central Office, Department of Public Health.

The sum of $440 to the credit of Appropriation 158 (Contractual Service), Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Adopted.

The following matter was *adopted*:

President of Board of Supervisors Requested to Appoint Committee of Three Members of Board of Supervisors to Represent City and County of San Francisco at California State Fair on Supervisors' Day, Friday, September 8, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93.)

The Public Welfare Committee, by Supervisor Power, presented:

Resolution No. 974, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of three members of this Board of Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the California State Fair on Supervisors' Day, Friday, September 8, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Referred.

On motion the following matter was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Public Utilities Commission Respectfully Asked to Postpone Abandonment of Alameda Camp.

(Code No. 12.17251.)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 975, as follows:

Whereas, Alameda Camp, built and used in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project, has fulfilled its purpose and is about to be abandoned and its buildings, furnishing and fixtures sold at public auction, by order of the Public Utilities Commission, and

Whereas, A certain welfare organization in the City and County of San Francisco has manifested its desire that Alameda Camp be preserved intact, for the purpose of providing under-privileged boys with a recreational camp during the summer months, and

Whereas, Alameda Camp is ideally situated for a boys' camp, and

Whereas, It has been suggested that the Playground Commission might be willing to take over the supervision of such an institution, and

Whereas, Under the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act boys under the age of 16 years will not be permitted to work after

this year and some provision must be made for the absorption of their increased spare time, now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and is hereby respectfully asked to postpone its action in this matter until such time as the interested parties have an opportunity to determine the feasibility of a plan to acquire and utilize Alameda Camp for the purpose outlined above.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted*:

Mayor and Clerk of Board of Supervisors Authorized and Directed to Execute Deed in Behalf of City and County of San Francisco Conveying Land to J. H. Rowell in Alameda County.

(Code No. 12.17252.)

Public Utilities Committee, by Supervisor Colman, presented:

Resolution No. 976, as follows:

Whereas, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172524, Bill No. 349, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him in front of the Town Hall, Pleasanton, Alameda County, California, on July 24, 1933, for the sale of the NW. ¼ of Section 12, T. 5 S., R. 2 E., M. D. B. & M., containing 160 acres, Alameda County, California, and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from J. H. Rowell to purchase said parcel of land for the sum of $1,120.00 cash, no higher bids having been made or received, and

Whereas, said party has deposited the sum of $112.00 with the Director of Property and

Whereas, said sum of $1,120.00 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said parcel being $1,120.00, and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $1,120.00; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be it further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying said land to J. H. Rowell. The purchaser must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors—Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Accepting Deed From Mills Estate Incorporated, to Parcel No. 4 of the San Francisco Airport Lands in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17154.)

Also, Resolution No. 977, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mills Estate Incorporated to Parcel No. 4 of the San Francisco Airport lands in San Mateo County, California, which parcel of land is designated as Parcel No. 4 on the map marked "Exhibit A", attached to that certain written agreement dated August 16, 1930, between Mills Estate Incorporated and the City and County of San

Francisco; and that the sum of $105,000.00 be paid for said land out of 1933-34 Appropriation No. 56.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Accepting Deed From H. G. Learned to Right of Way Easement in San Joaquin County Required for Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

(Code No. 15.0341.)

Also, Resolution No. 978, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from H. G. Learned to a right of way easement in Section 4. T. 4 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. & M., San Joaquin County, California, required for the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, and that the sum of $150.00 be paid for said easement from 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Issue, Appropriation No. 235-3.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to Streets Committee*:

Request for Creation of a New Street Off Wisconsin Street as Entrance to Potrero Playground.

(Code No. ——.)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 979, as follows:

Whereas, There has been presented to this Board of Supervisors and pending in the Streets Committee of same, a petition asking for the creation of a new street off Wisconsin street, which shall serve as an adequate entrance to the Potrero Playground, which new street, including all costs, is estimated at twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars; and

Whereas, The Board of Playground Directors and the Park Commission both have replied that they are without sufficient funds to construct same; and the property owners adjacent to the Playground, while desirous of said street, have as yet expressed no willingness to pay any of the costs thereof; and

Whereas, It has been a well-established policy of this Board to (in cases where property owners are unable to bear the whole cost) assist financially on the basis of fifty per cent property owners and fifty per cent from city funds; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Streets Committee is requested to hold a meeting of all assessable property owners, representatives of improvement clubs, the City Engineer, and such others as may be qualified, and at said meeting the following suggestion be considered, i. e.:

1. That the City of San Francisco will purchase a right of way of sufficient length and width to provide a new street at a point recommended by the Director of Works.

2. That the city will construct a temporary roadway thereon as an entrance to said Potrero Playground.

3. That it be done on the reaching of an understanding that when abutting property owners so petition a street will be constructed, the

cost of same to be borne by the said assessable property owners in the legal district.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted*:

In Memoriam: John Connor.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 980, as follows:

Whereas, it has pleased Almighty God to call from the scene of his earthly labors, John Connor; and

Whereas, John Connor was a straight, clean public official of the highest caliber. No member of the first Board of Supervisors under the Charter which went into effect in 1900 gave closer attention to the duties of his office, nor discharged them with a finer sense of integrity. He knew, as few men do, the difficult art of warm and intelligent association with his fellow officials. Throughout the three terms that he served as Supervisor there is not to be recorded against him one instance of his failure as a gentleman to treat others with consideration and to respect difference of opinion. He had the high spirits and fighting qualities of his race, and the warmth of heart that goes with those traits. He knew no distinction between men other than their individual value; the segregation of men by race, creed or nationality was foreign to every purpose of his being; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in the death of John Connor we, the members of the Board of Supervisors, realize the loss of a faithful and loyal citizen, and as an expression of respect and condolence, be it further

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it adjourns out of respect to his memory, and that a copy of these resolutions be sent to his bereaved family, and also to each of the surviving members who served with him on the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following rising vote:

Ayes—Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

In Memoriam: John C. Kortick.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 982, as follows:

Whereas, Divine Providence has called from this life John C. Kortick, who served as a member of the Board of Supervisors during a period of six years.

His life was one of active business instincts which were applied in his service as an honored public official. His labors and integrity in serving his City exemplified a strong character, mild in manner, courteous, charitable and ever willing to help his fellow man; qualities which endeared him in the hearts of the people. In his death the City has lost a true and loyal citizen. Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby extend its sympathies and condolence, and that when this Board adjourns it adjourns out of respect to the memory of John C. Kortick, and that a copy of these resolutions be sent to his bereaved family.

Adopted by the following rising vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

In Memoriam: Police Officer Michael J. McDonald.
(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 983, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God, in His Divine Wisdom, has called Michael J. McDonald, Police Officer, to his eternal rest; and

Whereas, in the death of Michael J. McDonald, a brave and efficient officer who gave up his life in discharge of his duties has shown a courage faithful to the principles of a noble character. He was a fond and loving husband, and father of family, and was held in highest esteem by his superior officers and fellow members of the Police Department. He was courageous but tolerant and kind of manner in discharge of his duties, and his untimely death is mourned by our people. In making the supreme sacrifice that others might be protected, he exhibited a true spirit of bravery and loyalty to his fellow man, and has endeared him in the hearts of an appreciative public; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors extends its sympathies and condolence to his bereaved family, and that when this Board adjourns it adjourns out of respect to his memory; and be it further

Resolved, That this resolution be recorded in the Journal, and a copy be sent to the family of the late Police Officer Michael J. McDonald.

Adopted by the following rising vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Protest, Trucking on Cervantes Boulevard.

Supervisor Peyser stated that the people on Cervantes boulevard are protesting that trucks and heavy vehicles are passing up and down Cervantes boulevard and are wrecking the homes there. He moved that the Streets Committee investigate the matter of passing ordinance prohibiting heavy vehicles and trucks from using Cervantes boulevard. Property owners are willing to pay for signs if the Board of Supervisors will give relief.

Motion *carried*.

Welcome to Secretary of Navy.
(Code No. 5.92.)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 981, as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Claude Swanson is expected to visit San Francisco in the near future, in connection with naval affairs of the Pacific Coast; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange a fitting welcome and suitable entertainment for this most esteemed gentleman.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

RECESS.

On motion, the Board, at the hour of 7:15 o'clock p. m., recessed to meet again on Wednesday, August 30, 1933, at 2 o'clock p. m., for consideration of Bill No. 412, Ordinance No. 9.0323, fixing the tax rate for the fiscal year 1933-1934.

JNO. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 30, 1933.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, August 30, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in recessed meeting.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Fixing Tax Rate.

The following bill and ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

Tax Rate Ordinance.
(Code No. 9.032.)

Bill No. 412, Ordinance No. 9.0323, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet expenses of the City and County, to meet the cost of elections, civil service, and the construction, maintaining and improving of sewers and buildings, and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of	$1.606582
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of	.038537
For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of	.100000
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of	.028128
For Retirement System for City Employees	.185039
For Recreation Fund	.066950

For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund	.008113
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund	.008031
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County	.903356
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial	.009633
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California	.010967
For Workmen's Compensation Fund	.003598
Total for Municipal Purposes	$2.968934

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For Junior College Fund (County)	$0.014264
For Special School District Building Fund	.114925
For Special School District Fund	.141122
For Special High School District Building Fund	.018840
For Special High School District Fund	.221915
Total School Tax	$0.511066
Total Tax Rate	$3.48

The subject-matter was discussed at length by Supervisors Havenner and McSheehy.

Communication from Public Utilities Commission.

The following communication from the Public Utilities Commission was received and read by the Clerk:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
225 City Hall

August 29, 1933.

The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco is pleased to acknowledge receipt of your communication bearing date August 28, in which you request that the said Public Utilities Commission sit with the Board of Supervisors on Wednesday afternoon, August 30, 1933, at two o'clock, for the purpose of considering the question of using the surplus money derived from the operations of the Water Department to the reduction of water rates rather than to transferring the said surplus to the General Fund.

The discussion at such meeting would, therefore, bear upon and appertain to the question of fixing water rates and, in the fixing thereof, to consider a reduction of the present rates based upon the past earnings in the operation of the system.

The fixing of water rates, and other rates and charges for the furnishing of service by any utility under its jurisdiction, rests with the Public Utilities Commission, under the express provisions of the Charter.

The Charter further provides that before the revising of rates the Commission shall fix a time for a public hearing thereon, at which meeting residents of the City may present their views on the proposed schedule of rates.

The Charter, however, further states that neither the Board of Supervisors nor its committees nor any of its members shall suggest or interfere with appointments, contracts, or other administrative recommendations or actions which are under the respective boards and commissions provided for in the Charter (section 22).

The members of the Public Utilities Commission are unanimously

of the opinion, therefore, that any discussion of the question of fixing water rates in this City, either by raising or lowering them, participated in by members of the Board of Supervisors and the Public Utilities Commission, at a joint meeting of said bodies, would contravene the provisions of the Charter.

Very respectfully yours,
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
LEWIS F. BYINGTON, President.

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

E. G. Cahill, manager of Utilities, was heard in matters pertinent to the question.

Opinion of City Attorney.

City Attorney O'Toole addressed the Board at length and filed the following as his opinion:

Subject: In re Changing at Time of Fixing Tax Rate, Estimated Revenues from Water Department, in anticipation of Reduction of Water Rates.

Dear Sirs: I am in receipt of your request that I advise you if the Board of Supervisors can on the fixing of the annual tax rate, change the estimate receipt of moneys from the San Francisco Water Department, anticipating that the estimate in the annual budget heretofore adopted by the Board would be partially or totally applied to the reduction of water rates rather than to permit it to flow into the general funds of the city. An investigation of your Annual Appropriation Ordinance shows that your Board has estimated that the amount which would flow into the general funds of the city, to be $901,410, and has by Appropriation No. 224, set forth in this ordinance, appropriated this amount to the general fund. The question therefore is, can this estimate now be changed.

Opinion.

When you received the annual budget estimate prepared by the Mayor under authority of Section 72 of the charter, it contained an estimate of the receipts of each department. The section gives to your Board the authority to deal with the budget estimate, with the proviso that you could not increase, or add any new item for personal or contractual service. It was within the power of your Board to accept or reject the Mayor's estimate of the anticipated revenue from each department, and to decrease or reject any item contained in the budget estimate. The section also makes it incumbent on you to adopt the budget estimate as submitted or amended, and to pass the necessary appropriation ordinance which appropriates the revenue of the city to be received from all sources for the current fiscal year. This you did, and as already noted, the appropriation ordinance makes an appropriation of $901,410 from the surplus of the Water Department to the general fund. You could, or could not have made this appropriation, as Section 129 provides that, when the accumulations in the surplus fund of any utility, in any fiscal year, exceeds twenty-five per cent of the total expenditures of such utility for operation, repairs and maintenance for the preceding fiscal year, such excess *may be transferred by the Board of Supervisors to the general fund.* This you have done by your appropriation ordinance, and the appropriation is effective, in so far as the estimated revenue will become available. See last paragraph of Section 72, Charter, the pertinent portion of which read as follows:

"The several amounts *of estimated revenue* and proposed expenditure contained in the annual appropriation ordinance as adopted by the Board of Supervisors shall be and become appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year to and for the several departments, bureaus, offices, utilities, boards or commissions, and *for the purposes specified.*"

Your Board could have refused to make any appropriation from anticipated water revenue, and could have left it accumulate into surplus, to later be made the basis of a rate reduction should the Public

Utilities Commission recommend and your Board approve such reduction. This you did not do, but saw fit to appropriate the anticipated surplus to the general fund in order to lessen the amount which would otherwise have to be raised by taxes.

It seems to me that this appropriation is now beyond recall. The time for passing an appropriation ordinance has passed, and it only remains for you to fix a tax rate which will produce the amount necessary to meet your appropriations. This duty is imposed upon you by Section 78 of the Charter. It is therefore your duty to levy a tax "the estimated proceeds of which, together with the total amount of receipts and revenues estimated to be received from all sources, will be sufficient to meet all appropriations made by the annual appropriation ordinance." You have already in your budget estimate and in your annual appropriation ordinance made your estimate that the general fund would receive $901,410.00 from the Water Department. This is binding on you unless it could be shown that for some reason this amount will not be received. There is now no such showing before your Board. The mere fact that in your opinion you might believe that a reduction in water rates, the effect of which would be to leave no surplus in the Water Department, would be advisable, is not a sufficient reason to change your estimate, as the reduction of rates does not lie entirely with you but must be initiated by the Public Utilities Commission. Therefore, there is no action which your Board can now take which will make the anticipated revenue of the Water Department unavailable for the general fund.

It is not necessary to determine what action you could now take if the Utilities Commission had recommended to you a reduction in rates, as I understand that such is not the case.

You are therefore advised that unless it is shown to you that the anticipated revenue from the Water Department will not be available as per your estimate, it should be taken into consideration in fixing your tax rate, and your failure to do so may jeopardize the validity of the rate to the extent which said appropriation would be reflected therein.

Sincerely yours,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading.

The question being called on passage of said bill and ordinance, the same was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Explanation of Vote, Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following explanation of his vote:

Supervisor Havenner: I desire to have inserted in the record the following explanation of my vote: I voted for the tax rate as submitted by the Finance Committee because of the opinion written by the City Attorney that we cannot legally modify it to permit the use of the Water Department's surplus for Water Department purposes. It will be my opinion at the time of making the next budget to move that the surplus revenues of the Water Department shall not be included in the estimates of revenues for tax-fixing purposes, and in the absence of any emergency which makes such an appropriation imperative I will oppose the further utilization of the Water Department's surplus for tax-fixing purposes.

Explanation of Vote, Supervisor McSheehy.

For the record, I wish to qualify, at least to make a statement, in reference to my vote. I voted "Aye" today, but in doing so I feel

that an error has been made to a degree. I am doing so because I cannot do anything else, according to the opinion rendered by the City Attorney, but I will say this: that I will broadcast it throughout this City, whether I am on this Board next year or as a citizen of San Francisco. I will do everything that I can in the making up of the budget next year to see that an appropriation, or appropriations, are considered in such a way that the ratepayers of this city will receive credit for the moneys or surplus moneys that are now being earned by the Water Department, and my principal reason is we are not being fair to the ratepayers, especially the older members of this Board, who were members of the Board at that time and assured the voters that when we bought these properties that the rate would be reduced.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:20 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 38

Tuesday, September 5, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 5, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Supervisor Miles appeared and was noted present at 3:20 p. m.

Supervisor Peyser appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 7, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Amending Inspection Fee Ordinance by Eliminating Section 3.

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Bill No. 309, Ordinance No. 3.04124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 3.0412, commonly known as the Inspection Fee Ordinance, by eliminating from said ordinance Section 3 thereof; which section provides for an annual fee of four dollars ($4) to be paid by the owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco, which is subject to inspection and/or regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Amend Ordinance No. 3.0412 as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of defraying the cost of regulation and inspection of the classes of business or callings hereinafter set forth, as well as the cost of the examination and inspection of the premises wherein said classes of business are conducted, a fee of six ($6) dollars per annum is hereby imposed upon the persons, firms or corporations engaged in the conduct or operation of the handling, manufacturing or sale of foodstuffs, except for places used for the sale of food and drink to be consumed on the premises; provided, however, that persons, firms or corporations handling candy or chewing gum in sealed packages or glass jars incidental to the particular business and

where the retail value of said candy or chewing gum does not exceed in value the sum of twenty dollars ($20) and where no articles of food or drink are dispensed, shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

When two or more classes of business subject to inspections are located on the same premises and are conducted by one owner, whether person, firm or corporation, but one inspection fee shall be required; but where two or more classes of business subject to inspection are located or conducted on the same premises by different owners, whether person, firm or corporation, each such owner so conducting one or more of said classes of business shall be required to pay only one inspection fee for all his classes of business subject to inspection upon any one premise; provided that where the owner or lessee of premises where said class or classes of business are located or conducted does not directly or indirectly conduct the same, the owner or lessee of said premises shall not be required to obtain a certificate of inspection for said premises or to pay any fee imposed by this ordinance.

Section 2. Hotels and/or apartment houses (as defined by the State Housing Act of the State of California effective August 14, 1929, and not maintaining public restaurant facilities and not subject to a license tax under the provisions of existing ordinances) shall pay an annual inspection fee of four ($4) dollars.

Section 3. The fees imposed for the inspections hereinbefore mentioned shall be payable annually in advance commencing on the date this ordinance becomes effective.

Section 4. The inspections for which the fees herein provided for are imposed shall be made by the officer, board or commission charged by law or ordinance with making said inspection. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be payable to and collected by the Tax Collector. If any fee herein provided for shall not be paid within thirty (30) days after the same shall become due and payable as herein provided, ten per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto as a penalty for non-payment, and if said fees are not paid within sixty (60) days after becoming due, 15 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto, and if not paid within ninety (90) days after becoming due, 25 per cent of the amount thereof shall be added thereto.

Section 5. All certificates of inspection when issued shall at all times be displayed in the premises for which the same are issued, said display to be made in such manner that said certificates shall at all times be visible to the public.

Section 6. All police officers in addition to their several duties as police officers are hereby required to examine all premises in their respective beats subject to the fee imposed herein and to see that such fees have been paid and in addition to deputy tax collectors shall have and exercise the power:

First, to make arrests for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance;

Second, to enter free of charge at any time any premise which is required by this ordinance to pay an inspection fee and to demand the exhibition of the receipt of such inspection fee for the current term, and if such person shall then and there fail to exhibit such receipt from the Tax Collector such person shall be liable to the penalty provided for violation of this ordinance.

It is hereby made the duty of the police officers to cause complaints to be filed against all persons violating any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Such police officers shall make out once a month a list of persons, firms or corporation occupying premises within their respective beats and not having paid the inspection fee, with their addresses, and deliver such list to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby directed to carry into effect the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation maintaining or attempting to maintain any of the premises mentioned in this ordinance, without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for, or guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day, or portion thereof, during which the premise hereinbefore mentioned shall be maintained without the payment of the inspection fee herein provided for.

Section 8. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved re-reference to the Charter Installation Committee. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power—7.

Noes—Supervisor Canepa, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Spaulding—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $50,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34, to Treasurer for Payment of Interest Upon Moneys to Be Borrowed on Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 401, Ordinance No. 9.05182, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $50,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, to Treasurer for the purpose of paying the interest upon certain moneys to be borrowed on tax anticipation notes to be hereafter issued in pursuance to ordinance of the Board of Supervisors to be enacted pursuant to Section 81 of the Charter and authorizing the payment of said interest.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of $50,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, for the purpose of meeting and paying the interest to accrue upon certain tax anticipation notes for the fiscal year 1933-34, to be hereafter issued and sold pursuant to ordinance of the Board of Supervisors enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter, which said sum is hereby made available for the payment of said interest upon such notes as may be issued, sold and delivered pursuant to said ordinance, according to the terms and tenor of said notes.

Section 2. The appropriation made herein is made from said Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that the same is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of all of the departments of the municipal government of the City and County in this, that it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County should borrow certain moneys pursuant to Section 81 of the Charter in order to provide

funds to meet the immediate requirements of the City and County for the present fiscal year in accordance with appropriations authorized by the Charter for such fiscal year, which payments may be made in advance for the receipt of income for said fiscal year, which said funds cannot be made available except by the borrowing of the same as in said ordinance provided, and that such funds cannot be borrowed unless provision is made for the payment of the interest on said funds so borrowed.

Section 3. The Controller is directed to draw his warrant and the Treasurer to pay the same, in payment of all interest to become due on all moneys borrowed for the fiscal year 1933-34, pursuant to Section 81 of the Charter, as such interest becomes due and payable according to the terms of said notice.

I recommend the above mentioned appropriation.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Appropriation approved.

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Indefinite Postponement.

Bill No. 402, Ordinance No. 9.0331 (Tax Anticipation Notes), heretofore passed for second reading, was ordered *indefinitely postponed and stricken from the record.* Bill No. 413, Ordinance No. 9.0332, in lieu thereof, was *passed for second reading* on August 28, 1933.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Director of Public Works Empowered to Install Street Lights on New Streets and on Existing Streets Reconstructed.

(Code No. 15.061)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 395, Ordinance No. 15.0611, as follows:

An ordinance vesting power in the Director of Public Works to install street lights on new streets opened and on existing streets reconstructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works shall require that street lighting facilities, including standards, all associated wires, cables, conduits, junction boxes, services and all connections therewith satisfactory to the Public Utilities Commission be included in all plans, maps, plats and specifications for the opening of new streets, tracts, districts or subdivisions.

Section 2. The Director of Public Works shall include provision for street lighting in a manner satisfactory to the Public Utilities Commission in all plans, maps, plats and specifications for street openings and improvements made by the City.

Section 3. The cost of street lighting facilities in new streets or new subdivisions shall be borne by the person, persons, firm or corporation, assessment district or others paying for the grading, paving, sidewalks and other construction necessary to open the street.

Section 4. Money to cover the cost of street lighting facilities associated with work being done by the City shall be included in the fund provided for the street improvement.

Section 5. Street lighting standards and all associated wires, cables, conduits, junction boxes, services and all connections therewith, in new streets, tracts or subdivisions opened by individuals, firms, corpo-

rations or assessment districts, shall become the property of the City on final completion and acceptance of the work.

Section 6. The Public Utilities Commission shall determine the intensity of illumination, number and spacing of lights and other details necessary to secure satisfactory street lighting.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Gaven Street Between Barneveld Avenue and Merrill Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 396, Ordinance No. 12.061134, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 5, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill street, where not already so improved, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch class "F" concrete base on the roadway thereof.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Amending Section 451 of Ordinance No. 1061, by Regulating the Width of Sidewalks on Quesada Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 397, Ordinance No. 12.073115, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Four Hundred and Fifty-one thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by amending Section Four Hundred and Fifty-one thereof, to read as follows:

Section 451. The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue shall be as follows:

Between Industrial and Rankin streets, 15 feet.

Between Rankin and Phelps streets, 22 feet 6 inches.

Between Phelps and Newhall streets, 15 feet.

Between Newhall and Third streets, 8 feet.

Between Third street and Water Front street, 15 feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Adding Section 1132 to Ordinance No. 1061, Regulating Widths of Sidewalks on Santa Rosa Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 398, Ordinance No. 12.073116, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1132.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1132, to read as follows:

Section 1132. The width of sidewalks on Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and Oloran alley shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Adding Section 1133 to Ordinance No. 1061, Regulating the Widths of Sidewalks on Shakespeare Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 399, Ordinance No. 12.073117, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1133.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of

sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 3, 1933, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Thirty-three (1133), to read as follows:

Section 1133. The width of sidewalks on Shakespeare street between the County line and De Long street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Shakespeare street, Rhine to De Long," showing location of curbs and width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance by Prohibiting Laundries in Commercial Districts.

(Code No. 13.02.)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 403, Ordinance No. 13.022, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," to provide that all laundries hereafter established shall be located in Light Industrial Districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. *Commercial District.* In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

1. Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof.
2. Bakeries employing more than five persons.
3. Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments.
4. Bottling works.
5. Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel.
6. Warehouses and storage houses.
7. Marble, granite, stone or monumental works.
8. Contractors' plant or storage yard.
9. Cooperage.
10. Laundry.
11. Lumber yard.
12. Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District.
13. Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building.

Provided further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper.

2. Light industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park.

3. Electric substations and telephone exchanges.

4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department.

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 hereof shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Provided further, that the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) in so far as existing nonconforming uses are concerned.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed, Alfred and Anna C. Schill, Land Required for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

(Code No. 12.0823)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 984, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alfred Schill and Anna C. Schill to Lot 32, in Assessor's Block 1260, San Francisco, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, and that the sum of $3,500 be paid for said land from the County Road Fund.

Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Acceptance of Deed, Martha M. Gibney et al., Land Required for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

(Code No. 12.0823)

Also, Resolution No. 985, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Martha M. Gibney et al., to Lot 30, in Assessor's Block 1260, San Francisco, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, and that the sum of $4,000 be paid for said land from the County Road Fund. Chargeable to County Road, Castro Street Lands Appropriation 178A, 1931-32.

Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Naming Private Right of Way Off Kearny Street Between Lombard and Chestnut Streets, "La Ferrera Terrace."

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 987, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain sixteen-foot private right of way off the

west line of Kearny street between Lombard and Chestnut streets be and is hereby named "La Ferrera Terrace."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Granting Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company Permission to Relocate Temporarily the Existing Track on Spear Street Between Bryant and Harrison Streets.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Also, Resolution No. 988, as follows:

Resolved, That Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to relocate temporarily the existing track on Spear street between Bryant and Harrison streets, so as to place the track on the westerly one-half of this block as per plan on file in this office.

This relocation of track is necessary in construction of the anchorage of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the track is to be placed in its original position upon completion of the anchorage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Company, Clement Street Between Fortieth and Forty-fifth Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 989, as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days from and after September 13, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Clement street between Fortieth and Forty-fifth avenues.

Contractor has been delayed by realignment of corporation mains and working with government officials for proper entrances into Fort Miley.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Company, to Complete Improvement of Woodside Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 990, as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 19, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Woodside avenue.

Contractor has been delayed on account of installation of mains by the San Francisco Water Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061132, Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Innes Avenue and Mendell Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 416, Ordinance No. 12.061135, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061132, in effect August 22, 1933, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061132, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Improvement of Crossing of Gaven and Merrill Streets.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 417, Ordinance No. 12.061136, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Gaven street and Merrill street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of armored concrete curbs and one-course concrete sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Gaven street between the center and westerly lines of Merrill street; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts, and by the construction

of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works the assessment to defray the costs and expenses of the said contemplated work or improvement can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expenses of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Gaven street and 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Merrill street; thence southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Merrill street 75 feet; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Gaven street 550 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of Merrill street to the southerly line of Rickard street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Rickard street 525 feet; thence southerly to a point on the southerly line of Gaven street and 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Merrill street and the point of beginning, excepting and excluding all public streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Improvement of Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 418, Ordinance No. 12.061137, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 11, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, excepting that portion required by law to be paved

and kept in repair by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the construction of 8x6-inch "Y" branches; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers; by the construction of armored concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-second and Thirty-third Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 419, Ordinance No. 12.061138, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 22, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, including the crossing of Quintara street and Thirty-second avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch and 21-inch vitrified clay pipe sewers; by the construction of 21x6-inch "Y" branches; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of brick catchbasins with the accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary bene-

fit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9a and 10 of Block 2151; Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2152; Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 46, 47 and 48 of Block 2185; Lots 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50 and 51 of Block 2186; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, Commonly Known as the Electrical Ordinance, by Providing a New Procedure for Publication of Adopted Rules, Regulations, Additions, Repeals and/or Amendments.

(Code No. 11.11)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 410, Ordinance No. 11.112, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as the Electrical Ordinance, by providing a new procedure for publication of adopted rules, regulations, additions, repeals and/or amendments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as the Electrical Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. No electrical or fixture work shall be installed or constructed which is dangerous to life or property, and all electrical and fixture work within the City and County shall be done, installed and constructed in conformity with the rules and requirements of the National Electrical Code as approved by the American Standards Association, except as such rules and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided in this section. The Chief is hereby given power and it shall be his duty, as soon as practicable after this ordinance takes effect, to hold a hearing or hearings on ten (10) days' notice of the time and place thereof, given by publication in the then official newspaper of the City and County, to determine generally accepted standards and methods governing electrical and fixture work, in modification of or supplementary to the rules and regulations of the National Electrical Code. As soon as he shall have ascertained the same and made his findings thereon, such modifications thereof and supplementary rules and regulations shall be set forth and adopted by him in a report in the form of rules and regulations governing electrical and fixture work,

which rules and regulations shall not be contrary to or inconsistent with any law of the State of California or ordinance of the City and County, and shall forthwith upon adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published for a period of five days in the then official newspaper of the City and County. On the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid, the said rules and regulations shall take effect as the standard to which all persons, firms or corporations doing installing or constructing electrical or fixture work shall conform. No certificate of inspection and approval provided for in this ordinance shall be issued unless the electrical or fixture work therein described shall conform with the rules and regulations in effect at the time the permit therefor is issued. The Chief shall hold a hearing or hearings at such other times as he may deem it to be necessary, to ascertain and determine what changes have been made in the standards and methods adopted supplementary to the National Electrical Code, which hearing or hearings shall be held only on the giving of a like notice as in the hearing hereinabove provided for. If the Chief shall find that the accepted standards and methods adopted as supplementary thereto have been changed, he shall make and adopt such changes or modifications thereof as amendments, additions to or repeals of the then existing rules and regulations, which amendments, additions or repeals shall forthwith upon their adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published in the then official newspaper of the City and County for a period of five days, and shall take effect on the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over one week*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Providing for Election of Trustees, Islais Creek Reclamation District, October 17, 1933.

(Code No. 12.04)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 986, as follows:

Whereas, by the provisions of that act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, it is necessary for landholders of said district to hold an election for the election of three trustees of said district on the third Tuesday in October, 1933, to-wit, on Tuesday, October 17, 1933; and

Whereas, George H. Lux, a landholder in said district, on August 19, 1933, filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, within which city and county said Islais Creek Reclamation District wholly lies, an application to said Board requesting it to direct what notice of said election shall be given and requesting it to appoint from the landholders of said district one inspector and two judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election, and requesting it to fix a place for holding such election in or near said district; and

Whereas, under the provisions of said act and of Section 3491 of the Political Code of the State of California, it now becomes the duty of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to fix a place for holding such election, to direct what notice of such election shall be given, and to appoint from the landholders of said district one inspector and two judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that Tuesday, the 17th day of October, 1933, be and the same is hereby fixed as the time, and Room No. 363, in the City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be and the same is hereby fixed as the place for holding said election, and that Harry Lorentzen, a landholder of said district, be and he is hereby appointed inspector, and Edward Wenzel and George H. Lux, landholders in said district, be and they are hereby appointed judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election, and that notice of said election be given by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in substantially the form hereinafter provided by publication once a week for at least one month prior to said 17th day of October, 1933, in the San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco and having general circulation in said City and County, and that the notice of said election so to be published as aforesaid be substantially in the following form:

"Notice of Election of Trustees of Islais Creek Reclamation District.

"Pursuant to the provisions of an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, and to the provisions of Resolution No. 986 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, passed September 5, 1933, notice is hereby given that an election will be held on Tuesday, the 17th day of October, 1933, at Room No. 363 in the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a place near said district, at which three trustees will be elected by the bona fide owners of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District as the trustees of said district, to hold office for a term of four years. At said election each bona fide owner of lands in the district shall be entitled to vote in person or by proxy and shall have the right to cast one vote for each one dollar's worth of real estate owned by him or her in the district, the value thereof to be

determined from the next preceding assessment roll of the City and County of San Francisco, and a majority of the votes cast at such election shall elect. The rights of the landholders in said district to vote at said election will be governed by the provisions of Section 3491 of the Political Code of the State of California. The polls at such election will be open from 10 a. m. until 4 p. m., on Tuesday, the 17th day of October, 1933.

"This notice is given by direction of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Dated ————————, 1933.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN,

"Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco."

And Be It Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to issue to the inspector and two judges of election, appointed by this resolution to constitute the board of election for such district at such election, appropriate certificates of their appointment prior to the time of such election.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Milton Meyer, City Planning Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 991, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton Meyer, member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Declaration of Unemployment Emergency.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 5, 1933.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, the Honorable Civil Service Commission, Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: You are hereby respectfully requested to take the necessary action to cause the putting into effect of the unemployment emergency provisions of Section 149 of the Charter, which read as follows:

"Whenever the Board of Supervisors by a three-fourths vote of all its members shall declare that an unemployment emergency exists throughout the City and County because of extraordinary conditions due to unemployment, and shall fix the period during which such unemployment emergency shall be officially recognized, the Civil Service Commission shall then have power to exempt from civil service examinations during such emergency period all places of employment that may be created by special appropriation to relieve such unemployment emergency; provided, that no action shall be taken under the provisions of this paragraph that will adversely affect the rights of

civil service eligibles for employment in the usual temporary and permanent positions that are provided for in the Annual Salary Ordinance. The Civil Service Commission shall adopt special rules for the government of appointments to the emergency positions that may be created under the authority of this paragraph."

The reasons for making this request are as follows:

As of September 1, 1933, under regulations from the Federal Relief Administration, the City and County of San Francisco took over complete charge, as a county function, of all relief activities.

The Federal Administrator of Relief has issued definite and positive instructions under which the Citizens Emergency Relief Committee acts and, under those directions, the various employees who have been engaged in relief activities of the welfare agencies have been taken over, as well as such other employees as were necessary from the ranks of the unemployed. These employees will have to be paid out of Federal, State and County funds. Under our Charter all payrolls must clear through the Controller's Office, after having been examined and passed by the Civil Service Commission.

The above make immediate passage of this ordinance, as set forth in Section 149 of the Charter, absolutely imperative.

Very truly yours,

C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

Amendment to Peddlers' Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a communication from United Veterans' Council, transmitting proposed amendment to "Peddlers' Ordinance."

Referred to Police Committee.

Ninety-first Division Reunion.

Communication from Ninety-first Division Association, inviting attendance at convention reunion at Native Sons' Hall, 414 Mason street, Saturday evening, September 23, 1933, and memorial services in the rotunda of the City Hall, Saturday afternoon at 3:30 on the same date.

Read and *accepted.*

Ferryboatmen's Union Resolution.

Communication from Ferryboatmen's Union of California, transmitting copy of its resolution pledging support for NRA; also copy of its resolution serving notice on all marine transportation employers that the rules governing hours be amended effective as of October 1, 1933, to provide for forty-hour week and compensation be same as heretofore for forty-eight-hour week.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Relief Employments Exempt from Civil Service.

Communication from Civil Service Commission transmitting proposed resolution exempting relief employment from Civil Service examination.

Read and ordered *filed.*

National Rivers and Harbors Congress.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Malcolm A. Fraser, secretary to Mayor Rossi, transmitting letter of Frank R. Reid, president of National Rivers and Harbors Congress, dated August 25, and attached notice of special session of the National Rivers and Harbors Congress to be held in Chicago, Ill., at the Congress Hotel on Thursday and Friday, October 12 and 13, 1933.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Mayor give consideration to the appointment of a committee to attend National Rivers and Harbors Congress. No second.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

Naval Base in San Francisco Bay.

Supervisor Brown referred to the establishment of the Naval Base in the San Francisco Bay area as the most important enterprise we can promote at this time and suggested to Supervisor Gallagher that his Committee on Commercial Development call a meeting for the purpose of considering how we may help in bringing it about.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

County Supervisors Convention.

Supervisor Power called attention to an invitation to attend County Supervisors' Convention at Sacramento, September 8-10, 1933, and asked that the Chairman recommend those who are to attend.

Unemployment Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 992 was *adopted* by the following vote:

Whereas, beginning September 1, 1933, under the regulations of the Federal Relief Administration, the City and County of San Francisco is required to take over supervision and administration of all unemployment relief activities as a county function in order to receive grants of aid from the Federal Government and/or the State of California in addition to the funds provided by the City and County of San Francisco for unemployment relief; and

Whereas, certain duties heretofore performed by the various relief agencies which have required the employment of personnel will hereafter be performed by the City and County of San Francisco and paid for from the said relief funds and the payrolls therefor must be examined and approved by the Civil Service Commission before being approved by the Controller and paid by the Treasurer; and

Whereas, section 149 of the Charter provides in part as follows:

"Whenever the Board of Supervisors by a three-fourths vote of all of its members shall declare that an unemployment emergency exists throughout the City and County because of extraordinary conditions due to unemployment, and shall fix the period during which such employment emergency shall be officially recognized, the Civil Service Commission shall then have power to exempt from civil service examinations during such emergency period all places of employment that may be created by special appropriation to relieve such unemployment emergency; provided, that no action shall be taken under the provisions of this paragraph that will adversely affect the rights of civil service eligibles for employment in the usual temporary and permanent positions that are provided for in the Annual Salary Ordinance. The Civil Service Commission shall adopt special rules for the government of appointments to the emergency positions that may be created under the authority of this paragraph." Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, solely for the purposes set forth herein, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual unemployment emergency within the meaning of the said section 149 exists in the City and County of San Francisco, and such unemployment emergency shall be deemed to continue until June 30, 1934; and be it

Further Resolved, That, in accordance with such special rules as the Civil Service Commission may adopt pursuant to section 149 of the

Charter, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee be and it is hereby authorized to act as the appointing power for the purpose of making such appointments as may be necessary to take over the work of said relief agencies in administering said unemployment relief, all of said employments to be created by special appropriation for unemployment relief; provided, however, that only bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco shall ever be appointed to such positions.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Repeal of Sales Tax.
(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 993, as follows:

Favoring immediate repeal of sales tax and requesting State Administration to draft new and more equitable tax program for submission to State Legislature.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Survey of Unemployment Relief.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Clerk be requested to communicate with the secretary of the Committee for the Survey of Unemployment Relief and get typewritten copy of report of survey.

Carried.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board recessed until 1:30 P. M., Wednesday, September 6, to consider report submitted by Mayor on projects for which Federal aid is to be requested.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 39

Monday, September 11, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 11, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present.

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Consideration of public works projects recommended for Federal Aid:

1. Water Department pipe lines.
2. Raising O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Tax Rate Ordinance.
(Code No. 9.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 412, Ordinance No. 9.0323, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and forty-eight one hundredths ($3.48) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet expenses of the City and County, to meet the cost of elections, civil service, and the construction, maintaining and improving of sewers and buildings, and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of	$1.606582
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of	.038537
For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of	.100000
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of	.028128
For Retirement System for City Employees	.185039
For Recreation Fund	.066950
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund	.008113
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund	.008031
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County	.903356
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial	.009633
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California	.010967
For Workmen's Compensation Fund	.003598
Total for Municipal Purposes	$2.968934

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For Junior College Fund (County)	$0.014264
For Special School District Building Fund	.114925
For Special School District Fund	.141122
For Special High School District Building Fund	.018840
For Special High School District Fund	.221915
Total School Tax	$0.511066
Total Tax Rate	$3.48

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033.)

The Finance Committee presented the following:

Also, Bill No. 413, Ordinance No. 9.0332, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934, in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of $5,135,000 solely for the purpose of

anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of $5,135,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1933, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of $5,135,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are $26,000,000 and that said sum of $5,135,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of $5,135,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of $5,135,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than $1,000 each, nor more than $100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in

the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to December 31, 1933, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1933-1934 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No..... $.....

San Francisco, ..., 1933.

On the 20th day of December, 1933, the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of ..... dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of .... per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million one hundred thirty-five thousand (5,135,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treas-

urer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to December 20, 1933) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1933; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to December 20, 1933, shall be canceled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Known as the License Ordinance (Moving Picture Exhibitions).

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 394, Ordinance No. 3.04128, as follows:

Amending Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), known as the License Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 50. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating any public place where moving pictures are exhibited, unless such person, firm or corporation shall have secured a license under Section 69 of this ordinance, shall pay therefor a quarterly license fee, based on the seating capacity of the moving picture place and the maximum admission charge, as follows:

Seating Not Over	*General Admission Charge Not Over*		*General Admission Charge*
	15c or less	*35c or less*	*36c or over*
500	$15.00	$ 25.00	$ 75.00
1000	25.00	50.00	100.00
1500	40.00	60.00	150.00
2000 or over	50.00	100.00	200.00

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Power—4.

Amending the Salary Ordinance of Police Department, by Adding One Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at Rate of $200 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 404, Ordinance No. 9.05344, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 26½ thereof to establish a new position of Motor Boat Operator for relief service.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 12. POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150
			BUREAU OF INSPECTORS.	
11	1		Captain of Inspectors	416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	11	Q60	Lieutenants	250
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225
			UNIFORM FORCE.	
18	1		Captain of Traffic	333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
26½	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
27	964	Q2	Policemen	200
28	27	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
29	87	Q40	Corporal	215
30	95	Q50	Sergeant	220
31	43	Q60	Lieutenant	250
32	15	Q80	Captain	300

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $558 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the Employment of Two Temporary Employees in the Office of the Treasurer From September 1 to October 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 405, Ordinance No. 9.05183, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $558 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from September 1 to October 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the Treasurer's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from September 1 to October 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle unemployment relief checks only, and to perform no other services in the Treasurer's office.

Approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer and Director of Finance.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $5,000 for a Survey of Dangerous Parapet Walls, Fire Escapes, Balconies and Other Hazards, by the Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 406, Ordinance No. 9.05184, as follows:

Setting aside a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the cost of survey by the Department of Public Works of dangerous parapet walls, fire escapes, balconies and other hazards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 for the cost of a survey by the Department of Public Works of dangerous parapet walls, fire escapes, balconies and other hazards.

Approved by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating the sum of $4,830 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) for the Expense of Preparing Complete Records of All Tax Elements in Connection with Enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 407, Ordinance No. 9.05185, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $4,830 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of new Appropriation 198-2 (Controller) for the expense of preparing complete records

of all tax elements in connection with enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the expense of preparing complete records of all tax elements in connection with enactment of State Sales Tax, Federal Processing Tax, Federal Compensating Tax, Federal Tax of Floor Stocks and Federal Excise Tax there is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) to the credit of Appropriation (new) 198-2 (Controller) the following amounts for the purposes set forth, to-wit:

Two electric Monroe calculators, at estimated cost	$1,680
Services of at least two bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for 10 months, $3,500 (net)	3,150
Total	$4,830

Requested by the Controller.
Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $2,010 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund to Appropriation 198 (Office of Controller) for the Purposes Set Forth.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 408, Ordinance No. 9.05186, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $2,010 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 198 (Controller, Personal Service, Temporary), for expense in connection with revision of Tax Redemption Laws, Tax Moratorium and N. I. R. A. program, to-wit:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 198 (Controller, Personal Service, Temporary) for expense, as follows:

Revision of Tax Redemption Laws:	
Three bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for two months, $1,050 (net)	$ 945
Tax Moratorium:	
1932-1933 tax work performed in 1933-1934	750
N. I. R. A. Program:	
Two bookkeepers at $175 per month each, for one month, $350 (net)	315
Total	$2,010

Requested by the Controller.
Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $9,015 to the Registrar of Voters for the Expense of Including in the Register of Voters Index the Occupation of Each Elector as Per Amendment to the Political Code.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 409, Ordinance No. 9.05187, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $9,015 out of Appropriation 6 (Emer-

gency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the Registrar of Voters, for additional expense preparing index to include occupation of each elector, made necessary by enactment of the State Legislature in amending the Political Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $9,015 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, and credited to Registrar of Voters, as hereinafter set forth, for the expense of the preparation of an index to the Register of Voters to include the occupation of each elector as per enactment by the State Legislature of California amending the Political Code.

To the credit of Appropriation 95 .....$1,395
To the credit of Appropriation 97 7,620

Requested by the Registrar of Voters.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Appropriating $1,600 for Payment of Salary of One Additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for Relief During Vacation Periods and Off Days of the Three Operators Now Employed.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 411, Ordinance No. 9.05188, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $1,600 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 20 (Police Department, Personal Service), for the payment of salary of one additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for the purpose of relief during vacation periods and off days of the three operators now employed, and being in compliance with Civil Service regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $1,600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 20 (Police Department, Personal Service), for the payment of salary of one additional Motor Boat Operator, Police Department, for the purpose of relief during vacation periods and off days of the three operators now employed, and being in compliance with Civil Service regulation.

Requested by the Police Department.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 56, Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 414, Ordinance No. 9.05345, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 by increasing the number of employments by 8 in item 59.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 56. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at $10 per day	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time)	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	150
58	8	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	200
59	9	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	6	N56	Market Inspector	200
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	9	N204	Housing Inspector	200
70½	2	N205	Industrial Inspector	200
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Invest.	215

EXPLANATORY

Number of employments under item 59 increased from 1 to 9. Two positions under item 70½ formerly established under item 70, and the Civil Service Commission has reclassified the duties to conform to Civil Service title.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supplemental Appropriation of $14,300 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), for Payment of Salaries of Eight Additional Food and Restaurant Inspectors and the Incidental Expenses of said Inspectors.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 415, Ordinance No. 9.05189, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $14,300 out of Appropriation 6 (Emer-

gency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the payment of the salaries of eight additional food and restaurant inspectors, and for the incidental expense of said inspectors, Department of Public Health, and crediting said $14,300 to Appropriations 157 and 158, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Supplemental appropriations of the following amounts are hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for payment of salaries of eight additional Food and Restaurant Inspectors, Department of Public Health, and for incidental expense of said inspectors, to-wit:

The sum of $13,860 to the credit of Appropriation 157 (Personal Service), Central Office, Department of Public Health.

The sum of $440 to the credit of Appropriation 158 (Contractual Service), Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Approved by the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding to Section 51 (Bureau Building Repair) One Janitress (Part-Time), One Elevator Operator and Two Janitors. (Required for and Paid by Board of Education.)

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 420, Ordinance No. 9.05346, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 51 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 12½, increasing the number of employments under Item 10 by one, and the number of employments under Item 13 by two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 51 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 51. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	$ 300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	275
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher	275
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter	280
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	19	C52	Elevator Operator	155
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
12½	1	C102	Janitress (Part-Time) as needed.....	75
13	55	C104	Janitor	155
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
20	5	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines...	185
24	6	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines...	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines...	300

Explanatory.

These employments are necessary because of additional service required for Board of Education. No appropriation needed as salaries are paid from Board of Education funds on work order.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60 (Department of Public Health), Item 48 (Superintendent) to Include Maintenance in Consonance with Section 70-1 of the Charter, which Provides That Deductions Be Made from the Gross Compensation.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 421, Ordinance No. 9.05347, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by changing the compensation of Item 48 to include the valuation of maintenance now provided to the incumbent of the position in consonance with Section 70.1 of the Charter, which provides that deductions shall be made from the gross compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)$	150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	52.50

58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61a	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	355
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hrs. at $6 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hrs. at $7 per day	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
77	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	2	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185

Explanatory.

The Superintendent of the Hospital is now living in the hospital and full family maintenance is provided. This maintenance is valued at $150 *and under Section* 70.1 *of the Charter deduction from the gross compensation must be made. No appropriation necessary as funds for maintenance of employees have been appropriated in the appropriation ordinance.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted* by the following vote:

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Vouchers, Totaling $405.70.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 994, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 302 to Director of Public Works for $155.70, No. 303 to J. B. West for $125, No. 304 to J. B. West for $125—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and

directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Confirming Sale of Land to Milton F. Mitchell on Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1727)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 995, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17273, Bill No. 384, approved August 16, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on August 31, 1933, for the sale of the following described parcel of City-owned land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue 50 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 50 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block No. 148.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from Milton F. Mitchell to purchase said parcel for the sum of $4,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Milton F. Mitchell has deposited the sum of $450 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $4,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $4,500; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $4,500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Milton F. Mitchell. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco and Establishing the Boundaries of said District and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 422, Ordinance No. 11.089, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected to a height greater than 105 feet within the territory bounded by Washington street on the south, Fillmore street on the west, Van Ness avenue on the east, and by a line midway between Green street and Union street on the north, in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, that no building for human occupancy within said district shall be more than eight (8) stories in height.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 18 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Explanation.

On July 10, 1933, *when this ordinance was passed for second reading the City Attorney, during the meeting, was requested to revise Section* 1. *As revised, the words "to a height greater than* 105 *feet" were omitted inadvertently. The City Attorney advises that it is necessary to pass this ordinance as corrected.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *indefinitely postponed*:

Allocating $1,700,000 to Complete Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park Projects.

(Code No. 23.03)

Resolution No. 850, as follows:

Whereas, Aquatic Park and Yacht Harbor constitute two of the most important recreational developments in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, these two projects, when completed, will afford pleasure to a multitude of sports lovers, including fishermen, yachtsmen, oarsmen and swimmers; and

Whereas, the maintenance of an adequate yacht harbor will be an inducement to outside yachtsmen to visit and remain for a time in San Francisco and will, in some measure, aid our merchants; and

Whereas, the estimated cost to complete these two projects is set at $1,700,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to allocate the sum of $1,700,000 from the sum to be received as a loan from the Federal government for the construction of public projects, for the purpose of completing the Yacht Harbor and Aquatic Park projects.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Director of Public Works to Give Preference to Local Manufacturers of Lighting Standards, and That Ratio of Two Standards of Metal to One of Concrete Be Adhered to.

(Code No. ——)

Supervisors Canepa and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 996, as follows:

Whereas, Bill No. 395, Ordinance No. 15.0611, entitled "An Ordinance vesting power in the Director of Public Works to install street lights on new streets opened and on existing streets reconstructed," has recently been enacted by this Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with policy heretofore recommended by Joint Committee on Streets and Street Lighting, and approved by the Board of Supervisors by its Resolution No. 33,870, dated February 2, 1931, preference be given to local manufacturers of street lighting standards erected by the Director of Public Works pursuant to said Bill No. 395, Ordinance No. 15.0611, and the ratio of two standards of metal to one of concrete be adhered to as heretofore.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding. Stanton—15.

Mayor to Appoint Committee for Navy Day, October 27, 1933.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 997.

Whereas, October 27 has been designated by the President of the United States of America as Navy Day, it also being Theodore Roosevelt's Birthday, and this being an annual affair sponsored by the Navy League of the United States and supported locally by the United States Navy and the C. C. Thomas Navy Post of the American Legion; and

Whereas, this year marks the 25th anniversary of the sending of the United States fleet around the world by former President Theodore Roosevelt, and also the 25th anniversary of the launching of a campaign to make San Francisco Bay area a permanent base of the United States fleet; and

Whereas, Navy Day this year assumes further importance because of the scheduled arrival at about this date of Secretary of Navy Swanson, the Congressional Naval Affairs Committee, to investigate Naval Base facilities have already established on San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, the Naval Dirigible Macon is scheduled to arrive about this date to be permanently based at Sunnyvale; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee to properly celebrate Navy Day, October 27, 1933, and to cooperate with all San Francisco Bay Region organizations

in providing for the reception of the Secretary of the Navy and in emphasizing the desirability of this area for a permanent home base of the United States fleet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Wm. P. McCabe, Civil Service Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 11, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable William P. McCabe, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing September 12.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 998, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William P. McCabe, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 12, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Lewis F. Byington, Public Utilities Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 11, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Lewis F. Byington, member of the Public Utilities Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of forty-five days, commencing September 17.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 999, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Lewis F. Byington, member of the Public Utilities Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of forty-five days, commencing September 17, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, to Attend the National Conference of Mayors to Be Held in the City of Chicago, Illinois, September 21-26, 1933, Inclusive.

(Code No. 19.071)

The following resolution, recommended by his Honor, Mayor Rossi, was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1000, as follows:

Resolved, That Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, of the City and County of San Francisco, be and is hereby authorized and directed to attend the National Conference of Mayors to be held in the City of Chicago, State of Illinois, September 21 to 26, 1933, for the purpose of conferring with the President of the United States and the Federal Administration of Public Works in an effort to move forward and secure approval of San Francisco's request for funds for improvements designed to relieve unemployment;

Further Resolved, The expense incident to the said Supervisor attending said conference be made a charge against the proper fund threfor, as authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0562, enacted in conformity with the provisions of section 219 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, Thirty Days, Commencing September 15, 1933.

(Code No. 4.053)

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1006, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days, commencing September 15, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leaves of Absence to Attend Mayor's Conference at Chicago.

The following was read by the Clerk:

September 11, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I hereby make application to your Honorable Board for permission to leave the State of California upon official business for the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: To attend the Conference of Mayors of the United States to be held in the City of Chicago commencing September 22d of the present year.

I would also request your Honorable Board to authorize and direct that Controller Leonard Leavy accompany me to the above-mentioned conference. I feel that the presence of our Controller is necessary at this conference for two reasons:

First—If we are to give the Mayors of our sister cities a full report of our condition and activities, there will be many financial matters arise upon which only the Financial Officer of the City will be qualified to speak.

Second—I am advised that Secretary of the Interior Ickes will be present at this conference, and there may be matters in regard to the financial condition of our City upon which the Secretary might desire information and which might have a bearing upon our future applications for Federal aid for the various improvement projects which will

be recommended by the Advisory Board acting under the National Recovery Act. It is needless for me to say to you that the Controller of the City is best qualified to furnish either to the Conference or to Secretary Ickes much financial information regarding our City as either may desire to have.

I am therefore enclosing an ordinance drafted at my request by the City Attorney, authorizing the Controller and myself to attend the Conference, and granting to each of us permission to absent ourselves from the State for a period not exceeding thirty days, and providing expenses incident to the trip.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 1001, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to attend the Conference of the Mayors of the United States, to be held at the city of Chicago commencing September 22, 1933, and granting to the said Mayor and to the said Controller permission to absent themselves from the State of California for the purpose of attending said conference.

Whereas, there is to be held in the city of Chicago, commencing on the 22nd day of September, 1933, a general conference of the mayors of the various cities of the United States; and

Whereas, it will be of material benefit to the City and County of San Francisco that said City and County be represented at the said conference and that the Mayor of said City and County be present thereat; and

Whereas, there will come before said conference of mayors problems in reference to the financial condition of the City and County of San Francisco, which can be best handled and explained by the Controller thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and Leonard S. Leavy, the Controller thereof, be, and they are hereby, authorized to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Conference of Mayors of the United States to be held at the city of Chicago commencing September 22, 1933, and each of said officers is hereby granted permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period not exceeding thirty days, commencing September 16, 1933, for the purpose of attending said conference; be it further

Resolved, That the expense incident to the said Mayor and the said Controller attending said conference be made a charge against the proper fund therefor, as authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0562, enacted in conformity with the provisions of section 219 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Employ in Administration of Relief, Only San Francisco Residents.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1002, as follows:

Whereas, under the plan for relief of needy residents of San Francisco, which must be put into effect in order that San Francisco may obtain its full quota of both Federal and State funds for relief work, said relief must be directly administered by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the administration of said relief will necessitate the employment of a considerable number of employees under the jurisdiction of the Citizens Emergency Relief Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Citizens Emergency Relief Committee be and it is hereby directed to employ in the administration of said relief work none but those possessing the residential qualifications provided for by the Charter for employees of the City and County, and said Committee is requested to give preference in such employment to taxpayers of said City and County; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Emergency Relief Committee and to the Director of Relief.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 11, 1933.

The Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Franck R. Havenner, member of your Honorable Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days commencing September 14, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Thereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1003, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Franck R. Havenner, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence of 30 days, commencing September 14, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Requesting Information From City Attorney as to Possibility of Financing Proposed Improvement Projects Without General Bond Issue.

(Code No. 1.06102)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1004, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to advise the Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible date which, if any, of the improvement projects now being considered by the Board of Supervisors, could be financed without a general bond issue and upon the basis of revenue bonds, revenue contracts, or other obligations which would avoid the necessity of a general bond issue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher,

Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Miles and on motion *laid over one week*:

Acceptance of Grant of Tidelands North of Yerba Buena for Airport Purposes.

(Code No. 12.17154)

Resolution No. 1005, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, at its 1933 session did, by Assembly Bill No. 217, approved by the Governor on June 13, 1933, as Chapter 912 of the General Laws of the State of California for the year 1933, grant to the City and County of San Francisco certain tidelands and submerged lands within the City and County of San Francisco lying north of Yerba Buena Island, and more particularly set forth in said Assembly Bill No. 217; and

Whereas, said lands were granted to the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of improving the same and using the same for the establishment and conduct of a public airport for the use of aircraft and for wharfage and dock facilities in connection with said airport and for the promotion and accommodation of air navigation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept the aforesaid grant made by the State of California of the said lands hereinbefore referred to, to have and to hold the same for the uses and purposes for which the same were granted and upon the conditions set forth in said Assembly Bill No. 217, as approved by the Governor on June 13, 1933.

Report of Committee on Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration.

The Secretary of the Committee for the survey of Unemployment Relief filed survey report with Clerk of the Board. Report was ordered printed in the Journal.

Invitation Accepted.

The following invitation was read and *accepted*:

September 11, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that the San Francisco offices of the Department of Motor Vehicles, State of California, will open officially on Monday, September 18, 1933. The new location of these offices will be at 1690 Mission street.

You are hereby cordially invited to attend our opening, and we sincerely hope that we may greet you there in a body.

With kindest personal regards, believe me,

Very sincerely yours,

THEODORE J. ROCHE, Director.

Compliance with NRA Codes by Bidders on Municipal Contracts.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Purchaser of Supplies and department heads who have any jurisdiction over the letting of contracts for public service be requested to make a report as to what provision is being made for compliance with the Codes of the NRA by successful bidders.

In this connection Supervisor Havenner declared: "I am told bids

are being awarded at such low figures that the low bidder must not only waive profit, but would find it impossible to comply with the wage provisions of the NRA Code."

Motion *carried.*

Daly City-Colma Bottleneck.

Supervisor Gallagher asked to be relieved of his membership on the joint committee having to do with the widening of the Daly City-Colma bottleneck and that Supervisor Shannon be appointed in his place.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved that Mr. T. Steinmann, chairman, be notified and requested to call a meeting at the earliest date possible with a view to expedite the work; also that Messrs. Healy & Phillips be notified to attend.

So ordered.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Canepa and *passed for second reading*:

Ordering Improvement of Parker Avenue Between Turk and McAllister Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 423, Ordinance No. 12.061139, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 7, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Parker avenue between Turk street and McAllister street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of a one-course concrete sidewalk, nine (9) feet in width, on the east side of Parker avenue between Turk street and the north line of McAllister street produced; by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the unimproved portion of the roadway;

and by the construction of the necessary asphaltic concrete conform pavement.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lot 1 of Block 1144; Lots 1 and 2 of Block 1165; Lot 1 of Block 1145; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 1164; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 4:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

REPORT

OF

Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration

City and County of San Francisco

DR. ALONZO E. TAYLOR, Chairman
DR. WILLIAM PALMER LUCAS
MRS. BERNARD M. BREEDEN
ANITA DAY HUBBARD, Secretary
VALESKA BARY, Chief of Research

Supplement to

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

September 11, 1933

Filed September 11, 1933, with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

THE RECORDER PRTG. & PUB. CO., 460 FOURTH ST., SAN FRANCISCO

Table of Contents

PAGE

Letter of Transmittal 5
Letter from Dr. Alonzo E. Taylor 13

SECTION I

Foreword 15
Agencies Administering City Relief Funds in San Francisco..... 16
Expenditures for Unemployment Relief in San Francisco..... 17
Chart I—San Francisco Expenditures for Family Relief, 1928-1933 18
Chart II—Citizens' Agency Expenditures for Family Relief, 1928-1933 19
Chart III—Italian Board of Relief Expenditures for Family Relief, 1928-1933 20
Chart IV—Eureka Benevolent Society Expenditures for Family Relief, 1928-1933 21
Effect of the Emergency Relief Program on Other Public and Private Aid 22
Relief Expenditures in San Francisco in Comparison With Other Cities 22
Tabulation of above 24
Chart V—Expenditures for Aid to Children, the Blind, the Aged and Family Welfare 23
The City's Relief Dollar 24
Decrease in Employment and Earnings..... 24
Chart VI—Relief Expenditures per Capita General Population, May, 1933 25
Chart VII—City's Relief Dollar for June, 1933..... 26
Chart VIII—Index of Employment 1925 to June, 1933..... 27
Payroll 28
Chart IX—Index of Payroll 1925 to June, 1933..... 29

SECTION II

Summary and Conclusions

Chart X—Recommended Administrative Set-up 30
Administrative Set-up 31
Summary of Recommendations..... 31
Functions of
Emergency Relief Committee 31
Executives 32-33
Committees 32
District Organization 33
Central Office Service Departments..... 34
Councils 34-38
Recommended Rules of Eligibility.....38-44
Residence 40-41
Income 41
Property 42-43
Work for Relief 43
Insurance 43
Responsible Relatives 43

(Continued on following page)

PAGE
Food 44-46
Clothing 47-48
Rent and Housing 49-50
Fuel and Public Utilities 50-51
Medical Care 51-54
Single Men 54-55
Single Women 56
Work and Rehabilitation 56-58
Non-Residents 59
Complaints 60-61
Digest of Complaints 62-76

SECTION III

Special Studies

Study of 100 Families Receiving Aid Through the Citizens Agency. 77-86
Study of 25 Families Receiving Aid Through the Italian Board of Relief 87-92
Study of 15 Families Receiving Aid Through the Eureka Benevolent Society 92-96
Study of 2000 Closed Cases in Resident Division, Citizens' Agency, March to July, 1933 97-101
Digest of Information on Current Food Relief Methods in Twenty Large Cities of the United States 102-110
Rent Analysis 111-113
Average Daily and Monthly Cost of Relief in San Francisco... 113-114
The Handling of Cases in the Women's Division 115-116
The Handling of Cases in Resident Single Men's Division 117-118
The Handling of Non-Resident Cases 118-120
Federal Emergency Relief Act of 1933 120-122

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: The Committee for the Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration in San Francisco, appointed by the President of your honorable Board, following the resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 702, Code No. 19,071, and commissioned by the Mayor of San Francisco on April 21, 1933, has the honor to submit its report and recommendations.

A partial preliminary report of recommendations has already been made to the Citizens' Relief Committee following the order of the Federal Emergency Relief Administration requiring immediate transfer of all emergency relief administration from private to public agencies.

In accordance with permission received from your honorable Board, by resolution of August 7, this Committee held a conference with the Chairman of the Citizens' Committee, the Director of Relief, the Assistant Director of Relief, and the Director of the Eureka Benevolent Society, who has since been announced as Director of Family Relief. At this conference the general administrative recommendations, based on our research were presented, and we are gratified to be able to state that several of the fundamental changes suggested at that time have already been made, including decentralizing of relief into districts, centralizing of accounting, in direct charge of the City Controller, and important reforms in the care of single men.

A brief history of the survey follows:

On April 3, 1933, the Board of Supervisors unanimously passed the following resolution, which was given approval by the Mayor on April 4, 1933:

"University Professors to Make Impartial Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration.

(Code No. 19,071)

"Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolution No. 702, as follows:

"Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has for the past year been extending relief to a large group of its residents who, by reason of the present economic conditions, have been unable to obtain employment; and by reason of that fact have been unable to provide for themselves and their families; and

"Whereas, in all probability unemployment conditions will continue to exist, at least to a considerable extent, during the coming fiscal year, and that by reason thereof it will be necessary for San Francisco or other public agencies to care for many of the residents of the City and County during said year; and

"Whereas, the Board of Supervisors is, by the laws of the State, directly charged with the administration of all funds raised by the City and County for relief purposes, and that while said Board has confidence in the administration of the relief funds as carried on by the Citizens' Relief Committee and by Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, various questions as to the standards of relief to be adopted in the future will arise during the coming year, especially by reason of the fact that application has been made to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for aid in our relief work, and that, therefore, it will be of great benefit to the City that an impartial survey be made as to the standards and methods of relief to be adopted during the coming fiscal year, should said relief continue to be necessary; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That in order that an impartial survey may be made of

the standards of relief now in effect and which should in the future be followed in San Francisco, the president of the University of California, the president of the University of San Francisco, and the president of Leland Stanford, Jr., University, each, be respectfully requested to suggest to this Board the names of two disinterested persons skilled in the administration of relief, and that from said persons so suggested, the President of this Board be, and he is hereby directed to appoint three persons to make a full and complete survey of the methods and standards of relief which should be adopted in all future relief work administered under the direction of this Board; and be it

"Further Resolved, That it is respectfully requested that said names be suggested not later than April 15, 1933, and that the persons selected by the President of this Board to make said survey report to this Board at their earliest opportunity; and be it

"Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to recommend an appropriation sufficient in amount to enable said persons selected to function for the purposes in this resolution set forth; and be it

"Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of this Board to the respective presidents of the universities hereinbefore mentioned with the request that each of said presidents suggest names in conformity with the terms thereof.

"*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, April 3, 1933.

"Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

"I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

"Approved April 4, 1933.

"ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor."

Pursuant to the instructions of the resolution, and after their nomination by the presidents of the University of California, Leland Stanford, Jr., University, and the University of San Francisco, J. Emmet Hayden, the President of the Board of Supervisors, appointed the following as members of the Committee for the Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration in San Francisco: Dr. Alonzo E. Taylor, Dr. William Palmer Lucas, and Mrs. Bernard M. Breeden. Anita Day Hubbard was appointed secretary, and Valeska Bary, of the Bureau of Public Administration of the University of California, was appointed as Chief of Research. A staff was organized which included Frances M. Welch, Dorothy Friedland, Louis T. Campbell, Louise Bennett, Zoe Battu, Robert A. Muir and W. J. Huetinck.

A plan of study was formulated and approved. The actual work of the survey was begun on May 4, with the organization meeting of the Committee.

Following his attendance at the meeting of the Survey Committee on May 23, Dr. Taylor went abroad for the summer and resigned on that ground. Inasmuch as Dr. Lucas had been a nominee of the president of Stanford University and of the president of the University of California as well, it was decided, after conference with the Mayor and the President of the Board of Supervisors that the survey should proceed with Dr. Lucas as acting chairman representing Stanford University and the University of California, and Mrs. Bernard M. Breeden the University of San Francisco. Dr. Taylor returned early in September. Because he was forced to resign from the Survey Committee so early in the work, he was therefore not able to participate personally in the investigation. Dr. Taylor has written a letter of comment on the report. A copy of this letter is attached hereto.

In order to make a thorough survey in the limited time allotted the work was carefully charted. While no time was lost in unnecessary duplication of existing responsible source material, it was found

necessary to collect practically all of the data from original sources. Conditions everywhere have been changing from month to month and no adequate reports are being issued on current procedure in other cities with which we might profitably compare the administration of relief in San Francisco. This difficulty was overcome by addressing queries on specific points to the directors of relief in twenty-four large cities. The response to these communications was cordial and cooperative, and in every case the questions were answered carefully and at length. Special acknowledgment should be made to:

Emma O. Lundberg, director of Research and statistics, New York Emergency Relief Administration.

Mary L. Gibbons, director of Emergency Home Relief Bureau, Department Public Welfare, New York City.

Joseph L. Moss, director, Bureau of Public Welfare, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois.

Dorothy C. Kahn, executive director, Philadelphia County Relief Board, Philadelphia, Pa.

Irene E. Murphy, director of casework, Department of Public Welfare, Detroit, Mich.

Rebecca Tendler, Department of Public Welfare, Detroit, Mich.

George P. Mills, executive director, Alleghany County Emergency Relief Board, Pittsburgh, Pa.

Kirk E. Latta, executive secretary, Citizens' Committee, St. Louis, Missouri.

Stockton Raymond, general secretary, Associated Charities, Cleveland, Ohio.

Irene M. Brick, nutritionist, Department Social Welfare, Buffalo, New York.

William L. Coffey, manager, Milwaukee County Institutions, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

M. U. S. Kjorlaug, superintendent, Division of Public Relief, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Dorothy C. Meyer, relief officer, King County Welfare Board, Seattle, Washington.

Estelle E. Hawley, Department of Public Welfare, Rochester, New York.

Eunice Robinson, executive secretary, Department of Health and Charity, Denver, Colorado.

Ellery F. Reed, director of research, Community Chest, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Marion S. Goodwin, Associated Charities, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Frances L. Hill, supervisor, Family Welfare Association, Scranton, Pennsylvania.

Virginia McMechen, director, Salt Lake City and County Relief Committee, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Kirk M. Decker, manager, Salt Lake City and County Relief Committee, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Emma M. Du Bruille, executive secretary, Family Relief Unit, Portland, Oregon.

Margaret S. Dustan, chief supervisor, Pierce County Welfare Board, Tacoma, Washington.

J. L. Tuttle, director, Canton Welfare Federation, Canton, Ohio.

Current relief statistics were secured from the United States Children's Bureau at Washington, and unpublished material was made available by the Federal and State Bureaus of Labor Statistics, the American Public Welfare Association, the Family Welfare Association of America, the Community Chest of San Francisco, and many other public and quasi-public agencies. We take this occasion to acknowledge in particular the assistance received from:

Grace Abbott, chief, United States Children's Bureau, Washington, D. C.

Katherine Lenroot, assistant to the chief, United States Children's Bureau, Washington, D. C.

Frank Bane, director, American Public Welfare Association, Chicago, Illinois.

Marietta Stevenson, assistant director, American Public Welfare Association, Chicago, Illinois.

Margaret Wead, secretary, Department of Studies and Information, Family Welfare Association of America, New York City, N. Y.

Margaret E. Rich, director of publications, Department of Studies and Information, Family Welfare Association of America, New York City, N. Y.

Monsignor Robert Keegan, Catholic Charities, New York City, N. Y.

Ray W. Smith, executive secretary, San Francisco Community Chest, San Francisco, California.

Roy Kitts, Controller, San Francisco Community Chest, San Francisco, California.

The following special studies were made of phases of the administration of relief in San Francisco:

Case study of 100 families on aid through the Citizens' Agency.

Case study of 25 families on aid through the Italian Board of Relief.

Case study of 15 families on aid through the Eureka Benevolent Society.

Record study, 2,000 closed cases, Citizens' Agency.

Record study, 658 closed cases, Non-resident Department.

Record study, 348 active cases, Non-resident Department.

Study of Agency Operation, Citizens' Agency, Eureka Benevolent Society and Italian Board of Relief and Women's Division.

Check on property records, 250 active cases, in the Citizens' Agency, Eureka Benevolent Society and Italian Board of Relief.

Study of general costs of relief in San Francisco in comparison with costs in twenty other cities.

Study of comparative methods of food distribution in twenty major cities.

Inspection of work projects in relation to accidents, by engineers of the State Industrial Accident Commission.

Inspection and study of single mens' shelters.

Compilation and study of complaints.

Paralleling the intensive survey of different departments of the Relief Administration work, a series of subject hearings were held in which the Committee met with executives and workers of the relief organization, outside agencies, including civic, commercial and quasi-public groups.

These meetings were confined to one subject each.

Careful agenda were prepared with questions for each person to answer and presented to him at the beginning of the meeting. These questions were formulated—first, to keep the discussion strictly to the subject of the meeting; second, to bring out material and suggestions for further research.

Opportunity for frank discussion was given and stenographic minutes were kept for the use of the Committee.

These hearings were particularly valuable in bringing out all of the aspects of the problems under discussion from the standpoint of the public as well as the relief workers themselves. In many cases recommendations presented by this Survey are based on material secured at these hearings.

The Committee is deeply indebted to the public officials, members of private organizations, professional and technical advisors who contributed so generously of their time and information at these hearings and in furnishing supplemental data. The public spirit and willingness to serve the City shown by these individuals and groups convinced the Survey of the importance and desirability of establishing advisory Councils in connection with the administration of relief so

that this wide fund of special knowledge might be placed at the service of the Relief Administration. In this connection we wish particularly to acknowledge the assistance of the following persons who participated in the hearings:

Clothing (Two Hearings).

Mrs. Adriana Marrin, executive secretary, San Francisco Chapter, American Red Cross.
Miss Hazel Avery, Neighborhood Centers.
Mrs. Robert H. Donaldson, president, San Francisco Branch, Needlework Guild.
Miss Sidney Silverman, San Francisco News, Cynthia Grey Department.
Mrs. Edith Bristol, Call-Bulletin, Goodfellow Department.
Mrs. William Cohn, Parent-Teachers (Philanthropy Department, Second District, California Congress).
Mr. E. D. Antoniu, Adjustment Bureau, Community Chest.
Major Henry B. Barry, Quartermaster's Department, U. S. Army.
Mr. E. J. Wren, Society St. Vincent de Paul.
Submitted reports in lieu of attendance:
Mr. Hess, Goodwill Industries.
Miss Emily Bean, Goodwill Industries.
Mr. M. C. Hopkins, superintendent, Seamen's Institute.
Adjutant McCann, Salvation Army.
Miss Ella E. Mathews, Catholic Ladies' Aid.

Mr. E. O. Dalton, secretary, Retail Merchants' Association.
Mr. D. N. Van de Sande, manager, Oregon City Woolen Mills.
Mr. Leopold Oppenheimer, president, San Francisco Retail Shoe Dealers' Association.
Mr. Eli Gamlen, Frank Werner Shoe Co.
Mr. Nadeau L. Bourgeault, Roos Bros.
Mr. M. J. Engle, Foreman & Clark.
Mr. I. E. Selix, Selix Clothing Co.
Mr. Edmond W. Schlim, Emporium.
Mr. T. H. Brooks, City Purchasing Agent.

Food.

Mr. F. A. Tissier, secretary, Retail Grocers' Association of San Francisco.
Mr. John Traverso, wholesale grocer.
Mr. Wesley McKenzie, president, Central Council of Improvement Clubs.
Dr. Harold Fraser, chairman of sub-committee, County Medical Association.
Mr. W. Parmer Fuller, Jr., chairman, Sub-Committee on Food Research, Citizens' Committee.
Mr. Edgar J. Berg, purchasing agent, Citizens' Agency.
Mr. Hyman Kaplan, director, Eureka Benevolent Society.
Mr. T. H. Brooks, City Purchasing Agent.
Miss Eugenie Schenk, County Welfare Department.

Rent.

Mr. J. H. Spring, Deputy Sheriff, San Francisco.
Mr. Leo A. Cunningham, attorney, Sheriff's Office, San Francisco.
Mr. Walter P. Lafenberg, vice-president, San Francisco Real Estate Board.
Mr. Eugene N. Fritz, Jr., president, Apartment House Owners' and Managers' Association.
Miss Russella Ward, secretary, Apartment House Owners' and Managers' Association.

Mr. Wesley McKenzie, president, Central Council of Improvement Clubs.
Mr. E. D. Antoniu, Adjustment Bureau, Community Chest.
Dr. Katherine C. Felton, managing director, Citizens' Agency.
Miss Laura B. Ratto, director, Italian Board of Relief.
Mrs. Corinne Simonauer, Eureka Benevolent Society.
Mr. Frank C. Miller, Housing Inspector, San Francisco Board of Health.
Mr. A. B. Boomer, confidential secretary to the Assessor.
Miss Elizabeth Ashe, Telegraph Neighborhood House.
Miss Alice Griffith, Telegraph Hill Neighborhood House.
Miss Aileen Doyle, Citizens' Agency (in charge of rents).

Medical.

Dr. Sol Hyman, assistant director of relief, Citizens' Agency.
Mrs. A. R. Baldwin, supervisor Medical Department, Citizens' Agency.
Dr. August E. Gauthier, visiting physician, Citizens' Agency.
Miss M. K. Cleary, social worker, San Francisco Hospital.
Dr. W. E. Carter, University of California Hospital.
Miss Pearl Williams, supervisor, Outpatient Clinic, Stanford Hospital.
Mrs. D. E. Cossrow, Stanford Hospital (in charge of relief cases).
Miss Edna J. Shirpser, director Social Service Department, Children's Hospital.
Miss Doris Collins, St. Mary's Hospital.
Miss Josephine Abrahams, Mt. Zion Hospital.
Miss Naomi Deutsch, director, Visiting Nurses' Association.
Miss Eleanor Stockton, director, Phelan Foundation.
Dr. Adelaide Brown, Women's Division, Citizens' Agency.
Dr. Francis Rochex, Single Men's Division.
Dr. Anthony Diepenbrock, vice-president, County Medical Society.

Light, Water and Fuel.

Mrs. Lillian Stearns, supervising clerk, Public Utility and Fuel Department, Citizens' Agency.
Mr. Arthur Till, Superintendent of Collection, San Francisco Water Department.
Mr. Grover Tracy, office manager, San Francisco Division, Pacific Gas & Electric Company.
Mr. Frank C. Miller, Housing Inspector, San Francisco Board of Health.
Mrs. Anna Ainslie, controller, Citizens' Agency.
Miss Lucy Hartnett, superintendent of relief, Citizens' Agency.
Mr. T. H. Brooks, City Purchasing Agent.
Mr. MacManus, foreman, Wood Yard, Citizens' Agency.
Report submitted in lieu of attendance:
Mr. Nelson Eckhart, Manager, San Francisco Water Department.

Employment.

Mr. Edgar J. Berg, purchasing agent, Citizens' Agency.
Mrs. Marjorie Doran, supervisor, Work Reference Department, Citizens' Agency.
Mrs. Mary H. Hutchinson, director, Employment Aid, Citizens' Agency.
Miss Elsie Kraft, senior supervisor, Citizens' Agency.
Mrs. Lillian M. Hall, manager, Women's Division, State Free Employment Agency.
Mr. E. A. Osborne, secretary of Relief Council, Community Chest.
Miss Winifred Pearce, director, Women's Division, Unemployment Relief.
Miss R. MacDonald, Women's Division, Unemployment Relief.
Report submitted in lieu of attendance:
Ray W. Smith, executive secretary, Community Chest.

Single Men.

Mr. F. H. Newman, manager, Single Men's Registry.
Mrs. Mary H. Hutchinson, director, Bachelor Men's Division, Citizens' Agency.
Mr. Homer F. Thyle, Chief Housing Inspector, San Francisco Board of Health.
Mr. E. D. Antoniu, Adjustment Bureau, Community Chest.
Chief of Police William J. Quinn.
Mr. M. C. Hopkins, superintendent, Seamen's Institute.
Mr. T. Y. Chen, manager, Chinese Shelter.
Mr. Philip P. McGuire, superintendent, St. Patrick's Shelter.
Mr. Thomas McGuire, manager, Community Kitchen.
Mr. Edward A. Brown, State Department of Industrial Relations.
Col. E. F. Scott, Salvation Army.
Col. A. C. Wright, Volunteers of America.
Major A. H. Jones, U. S. Army.
Dr. Francis Rochex, Single Men's Division, Citizens' Agency.

Report submitted in lieu of attendance:

Mr. Albert E. Raas, chairman, Recreational Relief.
Mr. Wiley Windsor, Y. M. C. A. executive (in charge of housing).

Single Women.

Miss Winifred Pearce, director, Women's Division, Unemployment Relief.
Sergeant Thomas McInerney, Police Department.
Mrs. Susanne Gomez, director, Travelers' Aid Society.
Miss Georgianna Carden, Chief Supervisor of Dance Halls.
Miss Helen Connolly, Women's Division, Unemployment Relief.
Mr. Homer P. Thyle, Chief Housing Inspector, San Francisco Board of Health.
Mrs. R. B. Nikirk, Personal Service Department, Y. W. C. A.
Major Caroline Antrim, "The Evangeline."
Miss Hattie Rosenstock, Eureka Benevolent Society.
Mrs. E. Mayer, "Emanu-El Sisterhood."
Mrs. Ethel R. Clark, Booker T. Washington Community Center.
Mrs. Lillian M. Hall, manager, Women's Division, State Free Employment Agency.
Mr. E. D. Antoniu, Adjustment Bureau, Community Chest.

Report submitted in lieu of attendance:

Miss Ethel Jackson, Mary Elizabeth Inn.
Miss Julia Harvey, executive secretary, Girls' and Women's Housing Bureau.
Mr. Hyman Kaplan, director, Eureka Benevolent Society.
Sister de Sales, Superior, St. Francis Technical School.
Miss A. J. Burton, The Girls' Friendly Society.
Miss Mabel O'Rourke, Girls' Recreation Home Club.
Mrs. Estelle Prager, "The Guardians."

In the conduct of the Survey the Committee and staff have met with the utmost helpfulness and courtesy and we wish to express our thanks to the honorable Mayor, to the honorable The Board of Supervisors, and to the Chief Administrative Officer for the facilities placed at our disposal; to Judge M. C. Sloss, chairman of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee; to Mr. Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, and Dr. Sol Hyman, Assistant Director; to Mr. Arthur Warren, secretary of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee; to Dr. Katherine C. Felton, managing director, Citizens' Agency; to Miss Laura B. Ratto, director, Italian Board of Relief; to Mr. Hyman Kaplan, director, Eureka Benevolent Society; to Miss Winifred Pearce, director, Women's Division, Unemployment Relief Administration, and to the many executives and assistants in the Relief Administration who have contributed so generously of their time and information.

We wish to express our gratitude to the various Federal, State and City and County officials who have rendered many services, particularly to the following:

Pierce Williams, field representative, Federal Emergency Relief Administration.

Major Henry B. Barry, Quartermaster Corps, U. S. Army.

Major A. M. Jones, U. S. Army.

R. C. Branion, State Emergency Relief Administrator.

Louis Heilbron, regional agent, Emergency Relief Administration.

W. T. Cross, special agent, Emergency Relief Administration.

Timothy J. Riordan, director, Department of Industrial Relations of California.

C. H. Fry, Superintendent of Safety, California Department of Industrial Relations.

D. A. Bary, engineer, Safety Division, California Department of Industrial Relations.

A. G. Wagner, engineer, Safety Division, California Department of Industrial Relations.

Edward A. Brown, California Immigration and Housing Commission.

Dr. Louis Bloch, statistician, State Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Lillian M. Hall, manager, Women's Division, California State Free Employment Bureau.

Aldear Shockley, Division of State Free Employment Agencies.

Harry L. Say, State Board of Equalization.

Dr. J. C. Geiger, director, Department of Public Health, San Francisco.

Dr. Jacques P. Gray, assistant director, Department of Public Health, San Francisco.

Homer P. Thyle, chief housing inspector, Department of Public Health.

Frank C. Miller, housing inspector, Department of Public Health.

William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

Leonard S. Leavy, City Controller.

Harry Ross, Assistant City Controller.

Corliss Moore, Controller's Department.

T. H. Brooks, City Purchasing Agent.

Josephine D. Randall, superintendent, San Francisco Recreation Commission.

Eugenie Schenk, director, County Welfare Department.

Arthur B. Curtis, director, Department of Finance and Records.

William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of San Francisco.

J. H. Spring, Deputy Sheriff.

Leo A. Cunningham, attorney for Sheriff's Office.

H. L. Bernhard, Undersheriff.

Joseph Phillips, Director of Property.

Thomas A. Toomey, secretary, Officials' and Employees' Relief Fund.

Ralph R. Nelson, secretary-actuary, Retirement System for City Employees.

Russell L. Wolden, Assessor.

A. S. Boomer, secretary to the Assessor.

The Committee wishes to make special mention of the cooperation of the Bureau of Public Administration of the University of California in loaning Valeska Bary to take charge of the research under this survey, and for data compiled for the survey by the Bureau staff from the remarkable collection of current information in the University Library. We respectfully suggest that the Bureau of Public Administration be asked to cooperate with the Relief Administration by continuing to supply digests of current material on the administration of relief in other cities.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) WILLIAM PALMER LUCAS.
(Signed) GERTRUDE S. BREEDEN.

LETTER FROM DR. ALONZO E. TAYLOR.

Stanford University, California, September 8, 1933.

The Honorable A. J. Rossi, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mayor Rossi: In May I resigned from the Committee appointed by you to investigate administration of relief in San Francisco. I had nothing to do with the investigation. On my return Dr. Lucas has been good enough to lay before me a copy of the report, with a request for an *ex parte* comment. This I am glad to give.

I find the report discriminating, sympathetic, tolerant, and progressive. Considering the time available to the Committee, the report contains a surprising amount of research. The recommendations strike me as deserving of the most serious consideration. I am sure that when this emergency is past the people of San Francisco will find that a piece of social service has been done which will prove useful on future occasions. I am sorry not to have had the privilege of participating in this investigation and congratulate the authorities of San Francisco and the members of the Committee upon the excellent accomplishment.

I remain,

Very sincerely yours,

A. E. TAYLOR.

SECTION I.

FOREWORD.

After a careful study of all of the activities of the Relief Administration under the Citizens' Advisory Committee, which was set up in September, 1932, and after a consideration of the problem of emergency relief as a whole, we find that, always considering the emergency status, the administration of relief in San Francisco has been adequate, comparatively economical, and in view of the enormous problem and the necessarily hurried set-up of administrative machinery, reasonably efficient.

In the administration of emergency relief for thousands of persons, who for the first time in their lives have become dependent on public funds for food and other necessities, it is apparent that a large number of difficulties must arise.

In studying the reports on relief administration from twenty of the largest cities in the country it is evident that San Francisco has had practically none of the major difficulties that have beset other cities during this emergency period.

There have been no food riots, no epidemics, no general violence, no large demonstrations of the unemployed, and according to the statement of the Chief of Police, the City has been a white spot in the entire country for lack of crime increase and other police problems.

It would be unfair to consider the subject of relief administration without keeping these general results in mind, namely, that the needy unemployed in San Francisco have been more liberally cared for than in other large cities at a rather lower rate per capita of population than in other places; that peace and public safety have been preserved, and that there has been apparently no detriment to the general health and morale of San Francisco's relief clients during the emergency period.

We find that practically all of the justified complaints against the present relief administration are due directly or indirectly to the emergency conditions. The lack of uniformity in the giving of relief and the misunderstanding or misinterpretation of rules and regulations relating to relief on the part of both relief clients nad relief workers, from which most of the difficulties have arisen, also can be traced to conditions arising from the emergency status of the administration.

In justice, praise must be given the private agencies which, with limited facilities and administration organization, keyed to the light case load of previous years, undertook to meet the enormous problems arising suddenly, and have carried up to the present time the heavy burdens of the relief problem in San Francisco. The executives and employees of these agencies have worked day and night without rest or recreation in order that the hungry might be fed as well and as quickly as possible. Our Committee wishes to make clear that such criticisms as occur in the following pages are cited to show where improvement may be made in setting up the semi-permanent organization that has now become admittedly necessary, and not to question the diligence or integrity of any of the individuals who have given so unsparingly of their efforts on behalf of the needy and distressed unemployed of San Francisco. From contemporary reports from other cities it is evident that San Francisco stands high in the first line of relief administration at the present time.

With the above statements in mind, but in view of the fact that the status of emergency is no longer tenable, and that some form of relief administration must be in existence in San Francisco for a long time to come, we believe that emergency methods are no longer justified, and that under the new Federal and State regulations regarding relief expenditures certain fundamental changes should be made. That

a uniform system of relief should be established so that all citizens, regardless of race, religion or other segregation, shall be treated alike and subject to the same rules and regulations. That careful inquiry into eligibility for relief should be made in all cases, and that all persons for whom relief is not absolutely essential should be dropped as speedily as possible from the relief rolls.

As a general policy, it is recommended: That in every phase of relief work, the existing responsible commercial, professional and civic bodies already established be utilized to the fullest extent. That representatives of these groups be asked to serve as advisory members of councils, coordinated with the Citizens' Relief Committee. That no department or agency be set up by the relief committee to duplicate work that is now being done in a satisfactory manner by commercial, professional and civic bodies. That every effort be made to turn back into the normal channels of technical and commercial operation the needs that arise during the emergency relief period, always taking into consideration the question of eventual cost to the taxpayers.

Agencies Administering City Relief Funds in San Francisco.

Until the present emergency, general family relief in San Francisco has been provided through private agencies, financed mainly through the Community Chest. Each winter the City has granted funds to provide for the usual winter unemployment, which have been administered in connection with the Laguna Honda Home. In addition, a fund, usually about $20,000, has been granted from the Urgent Necessity or Emergency Fund of the City to the Associated Charities for family relief. In previous years these public expenditures have represented only a small fraction of the relief load of the community.

In 1930 the burden of caring for unemployed families became too heavy for the private charities to carry unaided, and in July, 1930, the City adopted the plan of supplementing the funds of the Associated Charities; at first at the rate of $10,000 a month and later in increasing amounts.

In November, 1930, the Board of Supervisors appropriated $50,000 and organized a "Citizens' Committee for the Stimulation of Employment," which established a system of work relief, under which a limited number of days' work was allotted at the rate of $5 a day for men and $4 a day for women.

Current City funds were insufficient to meet the demands for the work relief program and a bond issue of $2,500,000 was voted in February, 1931, to carry on work projects. The expenditure of these bond funds was placed under a group of citizens appointed by the Mayor and designated as "Trustees of the Unemployment Bond Fund."

The work relief program was continued until July 1, 1931, when a system of straight relief was adopted, financed from City appropriations and from contributions made by the City employees. The City appropriations amounted to $350,000 for the first six months of the fiscal year 1931-32, and the City employees contributed one day's pay a month, beginning October 1, 1931.

The actual handling of family relief was entrusted to three agencies: The Associated Charities, the Eureka Benevolent Society and the Italian Board of Relief. Single men were given meals at the Community Kitchen, which had been built and equipped by a private citizen and established in January, 1931. Single women were aided through the Women's Division of the Unemployment Relief Administration, created July 1, 1931, under standards similar to those adopted for families.

In August, 1932, the City voted relief bonds to the amount of $6,500,000, and following passage of the bonds the Mayor and Board of Supervisors appointed the Superintendent of the Laguna Honda Home as Director of Relief and a Citizens' Advisory Committee, charged with

the duty of establishing standards of administration. The bond funds were supplemented by continuing contributions from the City employees. In July, 1932, the basis of these contributions was changed from one day's pay a month to a larger percentage of salary. The contributions continued until April 11, 1933, when a general pay cut was voted, reducing the pay of all City employees.

Beginning in April, 1933, the U. S. Reconstruction Finance Corporation reimbursed the Relief Administration for the major part of the relief expenditures. In June, 1933, a State bond issue of $20,000,000 was voted; the proceeds to be loaned to the counties under standards set up by the State Emergency Relief Commission. In July, 1933, under the National Recovery Act, the Federal Relief Administration superseded the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in handling Federal relief funds, and a cooperative arrangement was made for establishing identical Federal-State standards of relief. From August 1, 1933, on, all funds expended by the City in accordance with these Federal-State standards, the City will be reimbursed from the Federal funds for one-third of the allowed expenditures (direct grant), and will be loaned an equal sum from the State Relief Funds.

At the end of July, 1933, the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee was accepted by the Federal and State authorities as an executive agency, and this reorganization was accepted by the Board of Supervisors on August 6, 1933.

Among the Federal-State standards is the condition that all public relief funds may be expended only by public agencies. In accordance with this condition, the administration of relief by three private agencies was ordered to be discontinued and a public agency created to administer emergency relief.

Expenditures for Unemployment Relief in San Francisco.

The expenditures for emergency relief in San Francisco during the present period can best be understood by comparison with corresponding expenditures for the past five years. Previous to 1930 the care for needy families had been financed by private agencies from private funds. In normal times these expenditures ran under $700,000 a year. In 1930 the burden grew heavy and towards the end of the year the City began to supplement private relief. In 1931 private expenditures increased to over $800,000; the City contributions to direct relief were extended, and a wide work-relief program was undertaken. In 1932 private expenditures passed the million mark but the major part of the load was taken over by the City in the form of direct relief. During the first five months of the present year the City expended nearly $2,500,000, with private contributions amounting to less than one-tenth of the City's share.

The huge increase in expenditures, beginning in 1931, and the shift of the burden of family relief from private to public sources is shown graphically in the accompanying chart. (Chart I, p. 18.)

Following are charts* showing public and private expenditures under the Citizens' Agency (Chart II, p. 19), the Italian Board of Relief (Chart III, p. 20), and the Eureka Benevolent Society (Chart IV, p. 21). The general shift from public to private sources of funds is more marked in the Citizens' Agency and Italian Board of Relief than in the whole picture of family relief in San Francisco (Chart I), and this mushroom expansion in the service of these two agencies explains the emergencies under which they labored.

Chart IV, showing the expenditures of the Eureka Benevolent Society is strikingly different from the charts which precede. It reflects the long time social program of the Jewish Charities, calling for generous expenditures during years of prosperity, but enabling the group to withstand the tide of depression with comparatively little call upon public funds.

*Note—On Charts III and IV the scale is reduced.

CHART I.



SAN-FRANCISCO-EXPENDITURES
FAMILY-RELIEF
1928-1932 + 5 MONTHS-1933
From Private Funds
From Public Funds
1928 $660,781 $ 15,000
1929 $676,543 $ 20,000
SCALE: 1" = $1,000,000
1930 $692,769 $117,644
1931 $804,144 $2,596,948
1932 $1,033,427 $3,287,429
1933 $ 213,111 $2,446,524
Legend:
From Private Funds
From Public Funds
Work Relief Bond Issue

CHART II.



CITIZENS AGENCY EXPENDITURES
FOR FAMILY RELIEF
1928-1932 + 5 MONTHS 1933
From Private Funds
From Public Funds
928 $170,789
$ 15,000
929 $172,709
$ 20,000
SCALE: 1" = $1,000,000
930 $176,464
$117,644
931 $299,255
$475,894
932 $290,747
$3,002,068
933 $ 10,031
$2,166,034
Legend:
From Private Funds
From Public Funds

CHART III.




ITALIAN-BOARD-OF-RELIEF
EXPENDITURES FOR FAMILY RELIEF
1928-1932 + 5 MONTHS 1933
From Private Funds
From Public Funds
1928 $ 17,996
1929 $ 16,887
1930 $ 17,587
1931 $ 19,788
$ 8,028
1932 $ 20,564
$187,16
1933 $ 11,389
$162,60
SCALE: 1" = $100,000
Legend:
From Private Funds
From Public Funds

CHART IV.


EUREKA BENEVOLENT SOCIETY
EXPENDITURES FOR FAMILY RELIEF
1928 - 1932 + 5 MONTHS 1933
From Private Funds
From Public Funds
928 $112,212
929 $124,362
930 $135,153
931 $130,821
$ 3,551
932 $131,531
$ 57,268
933 $ 54,757
$ 43,297
SCALE: 1" = $100,000
Legend:
From Private Funds
From Public Funds

Effect of the Emergency Relief Program on Other Public and Private Aid.

To determine whether the emergency relief program was carrying the load of family relief and not throwing unseen burdens upon other forms of public and private aid, complete data were secured covering aid to children, the aged and blind for the past five years. These figures are portrayed graphically on Chart V (see p. 23).

This chart emphasizes the large program in aid of children, carried on year after year. The emergency relief has obviously thrown no extra burden upon this aid as expenditures for children have contracted slightly but definitely. This trend is contrary to the general trend reported by the U. S. Children's Bureau (August, 1933), which indicates an increase in children's aid, averaging 10 per cent for ninety-seven agencies throughout the country. Aid to the aged, while naturally expanding, has increased less than might be expected. Aid to the blind constitutes a small part of the total, and has not been affected measurably.

Relief Expenditures in San Francisco in Comparison With Other Cities.

The average monthly expenditures in San Francisco, per family, during June, 1933, was $27.93, in comparison with a general average for the country of $18.97*. This computation was based upon reports of 569 agencies, many in smaller cities where relief costs are commonly less.

To secure a general comparison of the relief burden in San Francisco and that of other large cities of the country, expenditures were computed for the month of May, in relation to the total population of the area served. San Francisco's relief expenditures for the month totaled $588,112. With a population (1930) of 634,394, the per capita expenditure for May for San Francisco amounted to $0.93. Corresponding per capita expenditures were computed for eighteen other larger and small cities, which would offer a fair comparison. The figures are given in the accompanying table and graphically shown on Chart VI (see p. 25).

With a per capita expenditure of $0.93 per month, San Francisco stands mid-way in the group of cities. On the chart the blocks are cross-hatched where the relief district includes area outside city, and solid where only city area is covered. Naturally the nonurban area showed lower per capita costs, and the larger cities would commonly have heavier per capita costs than smaller cities.


Of the nine cities with lower per capita expenditures, all but three included nonurban area. Baltimore and Philadelphia, whose per capita expenditures were lower than San Francisco, have large colored populations and relief expenditures are commonly less in non-white groups. All but the two lowest of this group of cities are within 15 per cent of the San Francisco per capita.

The nine cities with higher per capita costs included only two with nonurban areas. Cleveland, the lowest of the group, is 12 per cent higher than San Francisco, and the costs of the other cities range up to New York City, with $1.43; Milwaukee, $1.45; Portland, Oregon, $1.49; Boston, $1.62; and Buffalo, $1.87.

---

*Note—U. S. Children's Bureau, Monthly Bulletin of Social Statistics, August, 1933.

CHART V.



	Family Welfare	Aid to Children	Aid To Children	Aid to The Blind	Aid to The Aged	Family Welfare	Work Relief Bond Issue
28	$ 660,781	$ 803,305	$1,217,188	$ 16,440		$ 15,000	
29	$ 675,532	$ 866,982	$1,143,713	$ 24,391		$ 20,000	
30	$ 692,769	$ 843,144	$1,087,837	$ 54,267	$ 173,940	$117,644	
31	$ 804,144	$ 819,933	$1,096,706	$ 65,720	$ 303,619	$487,473	$2,109,475
32	$1038,385	$ 760,428	$1,090,716	$ 69,747	$ 336,850	$3,248,504	$ 40,925
33	$ 206,582	$ 300,206	$ 376,046			$2,371,932	$ 74,592

Relief Expenditures of the Major Cities for May, 1933, With Population and Per Capita Expenditure.

City	Population 1930	Relief Expenditure May, 1933	Per Capita Expenditure
Buffalo (Erie Co.)	762,408	$1,425,925	$1.87
Boston	781,188	1,265,386	1.62
Portland (Oregon)	301,815	450,700	1.49
Milwaukee	578,249	837,446	1.45
New York City	6,930,446	9,942,441	1.43
Rochester (New York)	328,133	441,727	1.35
Chicago	3,376,438	4,414,920	1.31
Pittsburgh (Allegheny Co.)	1,374,410	1,536,354	1.12
Cleveland	900,429	936,361	1.04
San Francisco	634,394	588,112	.93
Seattle (King Co.)	462,517	421,587	.91
Tacoma (Pierce Co.)	163,842	147,774	.90
Denver	287,861	256,845	.89
Los Angeles County	2,208,492	1,876,831	.85
Baltimore	804,874	677,063	.83
Philadelphia	1,950,961	1,554,983	.79
Detroit	1,888,946	1,503,337	.79
Minneapolis	517,785	322,850	.62
St. Louis	1,033,553	554,612	.54

The City's Relief Dollar.

The emphasis of the present survey is laid upon the administration of family relief. Subdividing the City's relief dollar for June, 1933, brings out clearly the reason for laying emphasis on this part of the relief program.

Of every dollar spent on emergency relief during June, 79.5 cents were spent through the Citizens' Agency, 2.5 cents through the Eureka Benevolent Society, and 5.2 cents through the Italian Board of Relief; or a total of 87.2 cents out of every dollar was spent on family relief. Six cents was spent on shelter and meals for single men, and 5.2 cents on single women. Clothing, shoes and lunches made up the balance of 1.6 cents.

The accompanying chart shows graphically the distribution of the City's relief dollar (see p. 26).

Decrease in Employment and Earnings.

The stock market crash in October, 1929, was followed by an immediate lessening of employment in San Francisco as in other sections of the country. Locally, work held up fairly well until the fall of 1930, when it again slumped badly. The fall of 1931 witnessed a still further slump which continued, with a temporary lift in the summer of 1932, until bottom was reached in February, 1933. At that point less than 60 per cent of the normal number of workers were being employed.

The accompanying graph (see p. 27) brings out clearly the course of employment in San Francisco, month by month, from January, 1925, to June, 1933. The graph also shows the corresponding course of employment for the state as a whole. The base line of 100 represents the average of employment for the year 1926, which is considered a fairly representative year. From this graph it will be seen that employment in San Francisco during 1927 and 1928 was less than in 1926. The City did not experience the boom which almost all other cities experienced from 1926 to 1929, and as a consequence the slump since 1929 has been less severe.

Comparison of employment in San Francisco with the state as a whole brings out the greater steadiness of employment in San Francisco throughout the year, the absence of boom previous to 1929, and

CHART VI.




RELIEF EXPENDITURES PER CAPITA
GENERAL POPULATION-MAY-1933
uffalo (Erie Co.) $1.87
oston 1.62
ortland, Ore. 1.49
ilwaukee 1.45
ew York City 1.43
ochester, N.Y. 1.35
hicago 1.31
ittsburgh (Alleghany Co.) 1.12
leveland 1.04
AN FRANCISCO .93
eattle (King Co.) .91
acoma (Pierce Co.) .90
enver .89
os Angeles Co. .85
altimore .83
hiladelphia .79
etroit .79
innespolis (Hennepin Co.) .62
t. Louis (St. Louis City and County) .54
Legend:
Includes Non-Urban Area
City Area Only
Source of data:
U.S. Children's Bureau

CHART VII.


CITY'S RELIEF DOLLAR FOR JUNE 1933



Legend:

- Associated Charities
- Eureka Benevolent Society
- Italian Board Of Relief
- Men's Kitchen
- Men's Shelter
- Women's Division
- Clothing, Shoes & Lunches

CHART VIII.


INDEX OF EMPLOYMENT 1925 to JUNE 1933
AVERAGE OF 1926=100
120
110
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
Legend:
State
San-Francisco
Source of data:
State Department of Industrial Relations,
Division of Labor Statistics.
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933

the greater amount of employment since the break. It will also be noted that the pick-up since February, 1933, has been far greater in San Francisco than in the state as a whole.

Payrolls.

The chart of employment should be studied in connection with the chart indicating payrolls during the same period (see p. 29). It will be noted that payrolls have decreased far more than employment, due to pay cuts, staggered work and shortened hours. Payrolls in San Francisco were lower in 1927 and 1928 than the 1926 average. Payrolls rose in 1929, but since the break, payrolls in San Francisco have held up more strongly, although the past year shows less difference. At the low point in January, 1933, the payroll index for San Francisco was only 43, while the index for the state had dropped to 39. June, 1933, shows recovery for San Francisco to 53, and for the state to 47.

With the volume of employment reduced over 40 per cent, and payrolls reduced 55 per cent, the huge task of relief administration becomes apparent.

CHART IX.




INDEX OF PAYROLLS 1925 to JUNE 1933
AVERAGE OF 1926 = 100
120
110
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
Legend:
State
San Francisco
Source of data:
State Department of Industrial Relations,
Division of Labor Statistics.
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933

CHART X.


Chart Nº X
Federal Relief Administrator
City and County Government
State Relief Administrator
Chairman
Citizens Emergency Relief Committee
Single Women
Housing
Property
Clothing
Public Utility
Food
Medical Care
Director
Asst. Director
Public Relation
Single Men
Non Residents
Work and Rehabil'itation
Personnel Salary, Standard
Committee On Complaints
Committee on Rules
Public Relation Research Information Complaint's Legislation
Controller Accounting
Personnel
Equipment Supplies Purchasing
Home Relief Division
Supt. of Resident Relief
Work and Rehabilitation Division
Superintend
Single Women
Housing Bureau
Property & Business Insurance Bureau
Clothing
Public Utility Service
Food
Medical Dental Psychiatric
Shelters For Res. Men
Non Resident Bureau
Kitchen Division
Transient Division
Employment Men & Women
Work Allotment
Superintendent Of Relief
Assistant Superintend
DISTRICT OFFICES
Legend:
□ = Councils
○ = Committees

SECTION II.

Summary and Conclusions.

ADMINISTRATIVE SET-UP.

The following pages contain in detail the recommendations as to administrative changes.

Procedure in the Citizens' Agency, administering more than 75 per cent of the relief expenditures at the present time, has been an enlargement of the personnel of the original administration of the Associated Charities, without the decentralization or delegation of authority as the case load increased. The executive functions remained in a very few officials, and as the volume increased, these executives were burdened with an overwhelming amount of responsibility, impossible of adequate attention. With the added personnel, drawn largely from inexperienced persons, many on relief, and without opportunity for training, the efficiency of the work necessary to care for the enormous burden of families on relief was seriously impaired.

The centralizing of all departments and the multiplication of records added to the confusion. The setting up of various bureaus, functioning, in many cases, quite independently of other departments, made further complications. The enormous accounting and clerical load, incidental to operation of the groceteria, and the delivery of food boxes to thousands of families in all parts of the City, from one depot, increased the difficulties. When to this condition was added the problem of hundreds of messages regarding food, rent payments, light and power bills, complaints of food delivery, and the registering of persons on relief, all concentrated in one central place, with a large staff of unassimilated workers in crowded quarters, some picture of the problem of emergency administration may be imagined.

Recommendations.

The Chart of Administrative Set-Up (Chart X, p. 30) shows the recommended plan for curing some of the difficulties encountered in the past by the Relief Administration.

Fundamental changes include:

Decentralizing into districts for the purposes of registering and visiting persons on relief.

Simplifying procedure, defining functions, and delegating responsibility.

Standardization of personnel under central bureau with uniform ratings of qualifications and salaries.

Centralized accounting, with uniform segregation of accounts to produce: (1) accuracy; (2) availability for statistical study.

Providing for greater responsibility in Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, through sub-committees and councils, coordinating with civic, quasi-public and commercial agencies of related interests.

Establishment of a Public Relations Bureau for the purposes of research, coordination of the Relief Administration with the general public, and as a clearing point for all publication of information.

Establishment of a Council and Bureau of Complaints, with open, public meetings, to which all relief clients, as well as other citizens, can have easy access for a full hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE SET-UP.

Emergency Relief Committee.

The Emergency Relief Committee should have final responsibility to the City, the State and the Federal Government for all expenditures

of relief funds. The Committee should serve as a final board for decision on all recommendations of the councils and executives.

Director of Relief.

The Director of Relief should be the executive officer of the Emergency Relief Committee. The Assistant Director would serve as a general assistant to the director. The Division of Public Relations, Research, Complaints, Information, Legislation, the Controller, Accounting, Personnel, Equipment, Supplies, the Community Kitchen, and the Division of Transients would come directly under the director.

Superintendent of Work and Rehabilitation.

The Superintendent of Work and Rehabilitation should have charge of the employment and segregation of all men and women on relief. He would have general supervision over all work allotment and work projects on which persons on relief are employed. He would be responsible for safety inspection of all working conditions under the control of the Relief Administration.

The Superintendent should be a person with experience in construction and engineering projects and should have two assistants, one a specialist in employment, and the other experienced in practical construction work.

FUNCTIONS OF COMMITTEES.

Committee on Rules.

All rules and regulations should be submitted to the Committee on Rules before submission to the General Committee.

Committee on Personnel.

The Committee on Personnel should consist of three members of the General Committee, to whom should be referred all debatable questions as to personnel, standards of personnel, rating and salary standards; the appointment of all important department and bureau executives should be passed upon by this committee for formal recommendation.

Committee on Complaints.

The Committee on Complaints should consist of three members of the General Committee. The terms of service of this Committee should rotate so that all members serve on this Committee in the course of a year.

All routine complaints should clear through the regular complaint bureau or department, and no complaints should come to this Committee except on appeal.

The Committee on Complaints should be advertised as easily accessible to any person on relief as a ready court of appeal. The utmost care should be exercised to allow a full airing of grievances, without restraint, and every effort should be made to conduct these hearings in the manner of a dignified tribunal. Constant effort should be made to bring persons on relief who have grievances into contact with the "higher-up" group, especially if the grievance involves personnel. This would serve to eliminate the establishment of self-constituted "defenders of the weak."

No complaints should be heard at the regular meetings of the Committee until they have been referred to and reported on by the Committee on Complaints.

Superintendent of Relief.

The Superintendent should be a person having welfare executive experience in relief. He should have charge of all matters pertaining to care given to families and individuals, excepting through the Transient

Division. Each bureau should be in charge of a competent person who can relieve the Superintendent of all detail work in connection with his bureau.

Assistant Superintendents.

The Assistant Superintendents should carry the main responsibility for the operation of the district offices. The work of the Assistant Superintendents should be essentially educational. It is recommended that they conduct a weekly staff meeting of Supervisors and Assistant Supervisors at which policy and practice would be discussed so that procedure in all offices would be uniform. They should also conduct a staff meeting once a week in each district office to teach the visitors standards of work, social practice and community resources. They should also prepare a manual for visitors with rules and regulations for procedure, and up-to-date data as to other social agencies, with names, addresses, telephone numbers, and all other data which a visitor might profitably utilize.

District Organization.

In the Citizens' Agency the visiting staff, with the exception of the Chinese and Spanish language divisions, are centralized in the vicinity of Eddy and Gough streets. The study of agency operations has emphasized the ineffectiveness of the present centralization. The intricate system of the agency absorbs a considerable part of the visitors' time in "paper work" and office routine, greatly reducing their time in the field. Distance from their families, along with inadequate motor facilities, cuts down still further on their contacts. If the client wishes to see the visitor, he is obliged to pay carfare or to walk long distances. Of the families visited by the Survey investigators, nearly half reported infrequent contacts with their visitors. As many of the persons on aid have casual work, it is particularly important that the social workers be located where they can have frequent contacts with their families and where they can pick up neighborhood information.

It is recommended that the City be divided into approximately ten districts, and that each district office be established in approximately the population center of the respective district. This would bring all families within walking distance of this office.

The proposed set-up for a district office would include:

1 Supervisor.
1 Assistant Supervisor.
1 Office Manager.
1 Receptionist.
1 File Clerk.
2 Stenographers.
1 Janitor and handyman.
1 Visitor per 100 cases.

The Supervisor or Assistant Supervisor should take all first interviews, thereby having the opportunity of promptly weeding out applicants not eligible for aid, which is not possible when a less responsible person takes the first interview. This should require less than the time of one person so that a considerable part of the time of both the Supervisor and Assistant Supervisor would be devoted to supervising the visitors.

The Office Manager would relieve the social work staff of many interruptions and should carry the responsibility of handling checks, orders and other details. It would possibly be advantageous to have men both for Office Managers and Receptionists.

Functions of Central Office Service Departments.

A sharp division is recommended between the functions of the social service and business functions in the Relief Administration. In order that an efficiency standard equal to that of other public offices shall be maintained, the persons in the service bureaus should be chosen for business experience and training, rather than for social service qualifications.

Public Relations Department.

This department should be headed by a person of long experience in public contacts, fully familiar with the problems likely to be encountered in the relationship of a large public project with all factions of City government and general citizenry.

The head of this department should act as ex-officio member of all councils and should visa all material for publication before release to the press or other publicity outlets. He should have general supervision of a Research Division, Information Division, Complaint Division, and should keep in constant touch with all Federal, State and City legislation affecting the administration of relief.

Accounting Department.

The Accounting Department should be directly responsible to the Controller, in turn, directly responsible to the City Controller. This department should concentrate all financial records for the Relief Administration, including payrolls.

Personnel Department.

The Personnel Department should be headed by an expert personnel manager, preferably of commercial experience, familiar with organizing and standardizing large groups of employees. This department should keep up-to-date records of all personnel, with service and efficiency ratings. All requests for employees should be cleared through the Personnel Department, and, wherever possible, persons of proven efficiency should be promoted rather than drawn from the outside. All recommendations for salary raises, demotions or dismissals should be cleared through this department. Absence records, sick leaves, vacation periods, and all other details relating to personnel should be kept in complete and up-to-date records in this department.

Equipment, Supplies and Purchasing Department.

Functions of this department should be concentrated under an experienced purchasing agent, preferably of experience in public service, and all purchases of inter-office and other supplies and equipment should be cleared through this department. The head of this department should be directly responsible to the City Purchasing Agent.

COUNCILS.

The Councils are designed as advisory, research and coordinating bodies to aid in study and recommendations on particular problems confronting the Relief Administration.

Organization.

Each Council is to be made up of a member of the Citizens' Committee as chairman, two other members of the Citizens' Committee, the Executive Director (ex-officio), the head of the department or bureau dealing with the specific subject of the Council, the head of the Public Relations Department (ex-officio), and technical advisors from outside public and quasi-public groups dealing with the particular subject from a general civic standpoint.

Meetings.

Councils should hold regular meetings and to them should be referred all questions arising in the main body of the Citizens' Committee and requiring special study before decision. One of the serious lacks of the present system, due to the emergency pressure and hurried consideration of important problems, has been the absence of condensed information available after study on various phases of work.

All Council meetings should be held in a public building, easy of access, open to the general public, and held at regular and set times. If executive sessions are necessary for confidential discussion, special meeting times may be set, but it is recommended that all matters relating to relief policy and problems be made as public as possible so that there may be no misunderstanding on the part of the general public.

Functions.

The Councils should make use, for intensive study, of the Research Bureau, set up under the Public Relations Division, before reporting back to the main committee. This arrangement will make possible a complete survey, whenever necessary, of any particular problem without interrupting the executives handling the actual work.

The Council plan will also give each member of the Citizens' Committee a specific chairmanship, and will put the entire Committee in much closer personal touch with the work and general civic problems surrounding it than has heretofore been possible.

Under the new government set-up the Citizens' Committee will be directly responsible for activities of the Relief Administration, and if any member is not able to give sufficient time to the work, he should be replaced by someone who can give the necessary time.

The Councils are planned under the general administration set-up to cover the following subjects, with executive bureaus handling the same problems, coordinating:

Food, clothing, medical care, property, work and rehabilitation, public utilities and fuel, public relations, single men, single women, transients and non-residents.

The individual functions of the Councils will be as follows:

Food Council.

The Food Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from the Retail Grocers' Association, Wholesale Grocers' Association, Dairy Council, Produce Dealers, Milk Dealers, and Meat Council, a representative of the Food Inspection Department of the Board of Health, and other experts interested in food should be on call when necessary for consideration of any special problem.

The Food Council should discuss prices, commodities, infringement of the food order rulings, changes in rules and regulations relating to food, relief policies on special diet allowances, and should receive all complaints relating to food, which have cleared through the Complaint Council, for final discussion before presenting them to the General Committee for final decision.

Clothing Council.

The Clothing Council shall include, beside the regular set-up, representatives of the Retail Merchants' Association, Retail Shoe Dealers, Men's Clothing Association, the American Red Cross, Parent-Teachers' Association, Neighborhood House Association, Needlework Guild, Catholic Ladies Aid, United States Army Quartermaster Corps, Community Chest, and any other public or quasi-public agencies giving clothing to needy people.

The Clothing Council shall coordinate all clothing problems, plan for budget needs, inspect and specify standard types of clothing to

be purchased, and provide ways and means through volunteer agencies, where possible, for the supplying of special clothing necessary to rehabilitation in specific cases not covered by the general rules.

Housing Council.

The Housing Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from the Real Estate Board, Apartment House Owners' Association, The Central Council of Improvement Clubs, Bureau of Housing Inspection of the Board of Health, the Sheriff's office on evictions, and such other experts as may be called in from time to time to pass on special issues.

The Housing Council shall pass on all problems relating to rent, housing inspection, standardization of cost of housing, sanitary and fire protection, arranging for exchange of work for housing, complaints of tenants, single men's shelters, and separate housing projects for single men and single women, for both the resident and non-resident groups, and all other matters pertaining to the broad subject of housing persons on relief.

Medical Council.

The Medical Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives of the County Medical Association, the Visiting Nurses' Association, Phelan Foundation, the various clinics caring for relief cases, the Medical Department of the Board of Health, the Dental Association, and other such professional experts as shall be needful from time to time to consider special problems.

The Medical Council shall consider all subjects relating to medical treatment of persons on relief, health standards, problems of psychiatry, dental care, and provisions for appliances necessary in special cases (dentures, eye glasses, artificial limbs, etc.).

Property Council.

The Property Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives of the Real Estate Board, Board of Insurance Underwriters, City Assessor's office, Credit Managers' Association, San Francisco Clearing House, and such other experts as shall be called in from time to time to aid in passing on special problems.

The Property Council shall deal with all matters pertaining to rules of eligibility based on property, and shall decide in special cases whether or not assets of clients on relief should be classed as liquid or frozen. All rules of eligibility, based on property, should be submitted to the Council for discussion before being passed on by the General Committee. The Council on Property shall consider the questions relating to insurance policies held by persons on relief, and shall consider, as a Board of Appeals, all special cases regarding property which have not been satisfactorily answered in the Property Bureau, before final ruling is made by the General Committee.

This Council is charged with one of the most important functions dealing with eligibility for relief, and the members should be willing to give more time than in many of the other councils.

Work and Rehabilitation Council.

The Work and Rehabilitation Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives of the State Department of Public Works, the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, the Labor Council, the Building Trades Council, Industrial Association, Bureau of Vocational Guidance, Women's City Club, the Employment Aid, State Department of Industrial Relations, including the State employment agencies, the Vocational Guidance Department of the Department of Education, the Community Chest Recreation Council,

San Francisco Department of Recreation, and such other public and quasi-public agencies dealing with employment, public works, vocational guidance, and constructive recreation and related activities, open to persons on relief, and shall provide plans for use of them by persons on relief.

Public Utilities and Fuel Council.

The Public Utilities and Fuel Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from the Water Department, from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Division of Housing Inspection, Board of Health, and shall call upon the State Railroad Commission from time to time as need arises for special rulings and advice.

The functions of the Public Utilities and Fuel Council shall be to pass upon all rules and regulations for payment of public utility bills of persons on relief and to standardize and stabilize such rules from time to time.

Public Relations Council.

The Public Relations Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives of each of the four daily newspapers, the neighborhood papers, foreign press, the radio stations, and other groups of widespread membership which have machinery for publication of material relating to relief problems.

The function of this Council shall be to formulate policy relating to the relations of the Relief Administration to the general public. It shall function also as an agency for disseminating material originating in the Bureau of Public Relations through the General Committee.

This Council shall be used by the General Committee whenever necessary to acquaint the public with a change of rules or other important information incidental to the Relief Administration.

The Council shall probably have a small executive committee which will meet more often than the full Council, and which will be in constant advisory touch with the Bureau of Public Relations.

The Council shall be used by all departments of the Relief Administration for the discussion of policy and public sentiment. Much of the success of the Relief Administration program depends on a thorough understanding and sympathy on the part of the general public, and unless such a relationship is developed and maintained, there will be increased difficulty in securing the funds necessary to an adequate relief set-up, as well as constant opportunity for agitators to make capital of the misunderstanding or misinterpretation of the work of the Relief Administration.

Single Men's Council.

The Single Men's Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from each of the following bureaus: Food, clothing, housing, medical care, and work and rehabilitation. The Council shall also include representatives from the private agencies housing single men, the Police Department, Division of Housing Inspection, Board of Health, and representatives from other public and quasi-public agencies as are necessary from time to time.

The function of the Single Men's Council shall be to discuss all problems relating to both resident and transient men on relief, and to aid in segregating and providing for the various classifications within the group as to feeding, housing and other relief.

Although the single men's problem is not an economically important one compared with the larger relief groups, many questions of public safety and welfare, and other important considerations are centered in the handling of single men who need relief.

Single Women's Council.

The Single Women's Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from the Community Chest agencies dealing with wo-

men, the Bureau of Housing Inspection, Board of Health, the Police Department, business and professional women's organizations and other such public and quasi-public agencies as may be called upon from time to time to deal with special problems.

The function of the Single Women's Council shall be to deal with all problems relating to single women on relief, and to discuss such individual case problems as cannot be cleared through regular channels, or which require special attention and decision.

This group, while numerically small, represents a variety of difficult problems, and a very large proportion of the cases need psychiatric or other special care.

The Council shall clear all such special problems presented to it by the bureau before submitting them to the General Committee.

More than all other groups on relief the Single Women's Division represents individual case work, and this Council shall serve more in an advisory and research capacity than in an executive sense.

Transient and Non-Resident Council.

The Transient and Non-Resident Council shall include, besides the regular set-up, representatives from the Federal and State agencies handling relief and related problems, as this division of relief is not only a local but also an interstate and inter-community problem.

The Council's functions will be largely those of research and formulation of rules and regulations to coordinate with those of other localities.

THE RULES OF ELIGIBILITY

In September, 1932, the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee adopted Rules of Eligibility, which, with amendments to date, read as follows:

Residence.

To be eligible for continuing relief:

a. A family which was receiving relief as of September 1, 1932, or a family which, having received relief prior to September 1, 1932, again applies for relief on or after September 1, 1932, shall have been resident in San Francisco for not less than one year immediately preceding September 1, 1932.

b. A family which applies for relief subsequent to September 1, 1932, and which has not theretofore received relief, shall have been a resident in San Francisco for not less than one year immediately preceding the date of application for relief.

Income Qualification.

An applicant otherwise eligible may receive full food relief provided the total monthly family income does not exceed the amounts set forth in the following schedule:

Family of 2 persons	$25.00
Family of 3 or 4 persons	30.00
Family of 5 or 6 persons	35.00
Family of 7 or more persons	40.00

On February 15, 1933, income allowances were changed in the following manner:

For a family of two—$30 instead of $25 previously allowed.

For a family of three—$35 instead of $30 previously allowed.

For all larger families—$40 instead of $30, $35, $40 previously allowed.

If the total monthly income exceeds the amount set forth above, and if the excess is less than the full value of the food relief as such value is from time to time established by the Director of Relief,

the applicant may receive partial food relief equivalent in value to full food relief less the amount of the excess income. If the total monthly income of the family exceeds the amount set forth in the above schedule plus the value of full food relief, no relief will be granted.

The amounts set forth in the above schedule are calculated with reference to the cost of rent and other basic necessities, excepting only food. In the case of an applicant who owns his own home outright, or whose interest payment on his home is less than the calculated cost of rent, or who for any reason is obtaining suitable living accommodations at less than the calculated cost of rent, the amounts set forth in the above schedule will be correspondingly reduced.

In computing the total family income, there shall be included not less than 75 per cent of the income of working sons and daughters who are living at home.

Each head of a family who is able to work will give his work in return for food relief at the rate of one week's work for three weeks' relief.

Property Qualifications.

In no case and under no consideration shall relief be granted when such relief will result in increasing the recipient's capital investment in property of any description including homes.

Excepting:

a. An investment in a home designed for single family occupancy and actually occupied by the client and his family.

b. Furniture used by the applicant and his family.

c. Trade equipment and fixtures actually used in the conduct of business.

d. Liquid assets not exceeding $100.

All resources and investments shall have been exhausted prior to the granting of relief.

Owners of insurance policies must apply cash loan value in excess of $100 or in excess of three years' premium, whichever is higher, to family support before becoming eligible for relief.

Miscellaneous.

Written authority to inquire into bank accounts, building and loan investments, and any other forms of investment, shall be required of an applicant for relief. If an applicant for relief has relatives who are required by law and are able to support or provide for the applicant, effort shall be made to induce or compel such legally responsible relatives to make the required provision.

Special Cases.

These rules are subject to exceptions in special cases in which undue hardship would result from their strict observance.

Authority to make exceptions is vested *only* in a special committee set up for that purpose.

Pending adjudication by the special committee, the Director may order relief to be continued.

The study made of cases on relief indicates that many instances arise under these rules which call for special and individual consideration in order to relieve actual distress. However, the Committee on Appeals has not been brought into action and in many cases the rules have not been followed. Careful consideration of all the conditions has brought the Survey Committee to the conclusion that the principle of the Committee on Appeals must be incorporated into the working machinery of the Relief Administration. The Property Bureau, under the Property Council (which would include three members of the Emergency Relief Committee) would serve as a Committee on Appeals for all matters pertaining to property. The Non-Resi-

dent Bureau, under a similar Non-Resident Council, would serve as a Committee on Appeals in matters of residence. On a few additional points the Survey Committee considers that the rules for eligibility should be made more explicit.

The following new rules are suggested, with explanations as to the proposed changes:

RESOURCES.

The present rules would be unchanged excepting that the clause "A single family dwelling occupied by the owner" would be changed to read "Real Property as noted under 'Property.'" The reasons for this change are given under "*Property.*"

The proposed rule as to resources would read as follows:

Resources.

All resources and investments shall have been exhausted prior to the granting of relief, excepting:

1. REAL PROPERTY AS NOTED UNDER "PROPERTY."
2. Furniture used by the applicant and his family.
3. Trade fixtures and equipment actually used in the conduct of a business.
4. Liquid assets not exceeding $100.

Written authority to inquire into bank accounts, building and loan investments, life insurance and any other forms of investment, shall be required of an applicant for relief.

RESIDENCE.

The rules on residence should be rewritten in order to meet conditions explicitly. At the present time great confusion exists as to residence. In past years private social agencies in San Francisco have commonly given aid to non-residents, and in taking over the family relief cases the Relief Administration is confronted with the problem of some families who are, legally not residents of San Francisco but who have lost their former residence through the fact that the private agency policy did not conform to the requirements of legal residence. This is a transition problem and is a condition for which the families involved are not to blame. The Survey Committee therefore recommends that all families who have actually resided in San Francisco for a year, whether or not they have received aid during the year, be blanketed in as residents. Prompt recheck of the active files is urged so that no more families may be permitted to drift into a similar status.

Provision should be made for a Transient Division as well as a Non-Resident Division because of the new Federal regulations. Under these regulations a transient is defined as a person who has been within the state less than a year and the federal government proposes to pay the entire relief bill for this group of persons. However, it is not known how long the government will continue to provide for the transients and the Survey Committee recommends against encouraging transients to remain.

The proposed rule as to residence would read as follows:

Cases Now on Aid.

1. All persons who are now receiving aid who have resided in San Francisco continuously since August 1, 1932, shall be eligible for continuing aid, whether or not they received aid before establishing legal residence.

2. All persons now on aid who can definitely establish legal residence in San Francisco, whether or not they have resided in San Francisco during the entire twelve (12) preceding months, shall be eligible for continuing aid.

3. All persons now receiving aid who cannot qualify under paragraph (1) or (2) above shall be transferred immediately to the Non-Resident Bureau.

Applications for Aid Subsequent to August, 1933.

1. All persons who have resided in San Francisco for the twelve (12) months preceding application for relief shall be eligible for aid, excepting persons who have received relief during that period through the Non-Resident or Transient Bureau.
2. All persons who can definitely establish a legal residence in San Francisco, whether or not they have resided within San Francisco during the entire preceding twelve (12) months, shall be eligible for aid.
3. All persons who cannot immediately establish proof under paragraph (1) or (2) above, shall be transferred to the Non-Resident Bureau.

Non-Resident and Transient Cases.

Prompt determination of residence shall be made on all cases referred to the Non-Resident Bureau. Pending determination emergency aid only shall be given.

Persons who have been in San Francisco less than one year but in the state for more than a year shall be designated as non-residents.

Persons who have been in the state for less than one year shall be designated as transients and immediately transferred to the Transient Division.

Every effort shall be made to return both non-residents and transients to their place of legal residence. Persons who receive any relief through the Non-Resident or Transient Division shall not acquire residence in San Francisco until one year after all aid has been discontinued. All persons receiving non-resident or transient relief shall be explicitly informed of this rule when aid is given.

INCOME.

The income allowances for families on relief cover only basic necessities and essentials. In various cases an individual urgently needs special clothing or other special service to assist in obtaining employment. Under the rules such articles may be accepted by the family but cash may not be received with which the family may make the purchases direct. In practice this rule is violated in many cases. The Survey Committee considers that occasionally such additions to income are essential to the chance of re-employment and recommends that the rules of eligibility be amended to recognize this necessity and to keep its operation under control. This amendment would appear as the final paragraph in the section on income. The whole section would read as follows:

Income.

An applicant otherwise eligible may receive full food relief provided the total family income does not exceed the amounts set forth in the following schedule:

Family of 2	$30
Family of 3	35
Family of 4 or more	40

If the total family income exceeds the amount set forth above, and if the excess is less than the full value of the food relief as such value is from time to time established by the Director of Relief, the applicant may receive partial food relief equivalent in value to full food relief less the amount of the excess income. If the total monthly family income exceeds the amount set forth in the above schedule plus the value of full food relief, no relief shall be granted.

The amounts set forth in the above schedule are calculated with reference to the cost of rent and other basic necessities, excepting only food. In the case of an applicant who owns his own home out-

right, or whose interest payment on his home is less than the calculated cost of rent, or who for any reason is obtaining suitable living accommodations at less than the calculated cost of rent, the amounts set forth in the above schedule will be correspondingly reduced.

In computing total family income there shall be included not less than 75 per cent of the income of working sons and daughters who are living in the home.

With the written approval of the Superintendent of Relief a recipient of aid may be permitted to accept a gift of money or to have earnings during a particular month, which would bring his total income for that month beyond the income limit as set forth above, provided that the amount of excess income is used for a special purpose approved by the Superintendent.

PROPERTY.

The study of cases in the relief agencies indicates great unevenness in the allowances permitted for property holdings. When the Rules of Eligibility were drafted it was practically impossible to mortgage property at normal rates or for long terms, and the rules very properly omitted mention of mortgageability.

Families are now on relief who own homes of considerable value free of encumbrance. Where such homes can now be mortgaged with safety through the Home Loan Bank or through regular banking channels on a long-term basis, at normal rates of interest, the Committee recommends that on homes exceeding $2,000 assessed value, the value be considered as a cash resource.

In cases of two-family dwellings, the Committee recommends individual consideration of each such dwelling. Many of these houses have little value; the owners are frequently receiving no rent, and in other cases are permitting unemployed persons to occupy the rooms.

In regard to families owning two pieces of property it was found in various instances that the second piece of property consisted of a lot in some remote locality, of almost no value, and quite unsalable.

Equity increases were discovered in nearly half the cases investigated, in some instances plainly violating the rules, but in other cases amounting to only a few dollars. In almost all cases these payments were made to fulfill contract obligations and because of fear of losing the property. The Committee, therefore, recommends that, where an applicant is under contract to continue payments and in danger of losing his home if such payments are stopped, the Property Bureau should give assistance in readjusting the contract so that payments may be postponed.

The proposed rules regarding property would read as follows:

One Piece of Property. Single Family Dwelling.

The ownership of a single family dwelling, occupied by the owner, shall not disqualify an applicant from receiving relief, excepting in the case of property which meets the following three conditions:

1. Free of encumbrance.
2. Assessed valuation of $2,000 or more.
3. Mortgageable through the Home Loan Bank or basis, through regular bank channels, on long term, at normal interest rates.

Two Family Dwelling.

All cases of two family dwellings shall be referred to the Property Division for individual consideration.

Two Pieces of Property.

All cases of applicants having two pieces of property shall be referred to the Property Division for individual consideration. If either piece of property is mortgageable through the Home Loan Bank or regular banking channels, the mortgageable limit shall be considered as cash

resources. If the second piece of property (not the home) is salable, the net cash receivable shall be regarded as a cash resource.

Equity Increase.

In no case, under no circumstance, shall relief be granted when such relief will result in increasing the recipient's capital investment. In cases where an applicant is under contract to make principal payments the Property Division will assist in readjusting the contract so that principal payments may be postponed until the applicant is no longer on relief. Pending adjustment, the family may be given emergency relief only.

INSURANCE.

The survey of cases indicated that a large number of the families are carrying insurance policies. As no provision is made in the emergency budget for insurance these premiums can be paid only from the meat check or from excess earnings. The Committee, therefore, recommends that the rules be amplified to bring the matter under proper control.

The suggested rule would read as follows:

Insurance.

No family shall be permitted to take out new insurance while on aid.

All cases in which the family is paying $4 monthly or more on insurance shall be referred to the Property Division for individual consideration.

Owners of insurance policies must apply cash loan value in excess of $100 or in excess of three years' premium, whichever is higher, to family support before becoming eligible for food relief. This insurance premium reserve shall be in addition to the total cash resource permitted.

RESPONSIBLE RELATIVES.

The survey of cases indicated that responsible relatives have not always been investigated or when interviewed, the visitor has frequently accepted a general denial of ability to contribute without definitely inquiring into their income. Also, families are now on aid who have brothers, sisters or other close relatives, amply able to provide, who have not been contacted. Although these relatives may not be legally responsible, effort should be made to induce them to assume and carry their natural responsibilities.

The suggested rule in regard to responsible relatives should read as follows:

Responsible Relatives.

If an applicant for relief has relatives who are required by law and who are able to provide for the applicant, effort shall be made to induce or compel such legally responsible relatives to make the required provision. Definite information shall be secured as to the financial ability of all legally responsible relatives. The legally responsible relatives are: Father, mother, adult children, grandparents and adult grandchildren. Where brothers, sisters or other close relatives are financially able to contribute, effort should be made to induce them to provide.

WORK FOR RELIEF.

In line with the federal recommendations, the Committee recommends that the rules be amplified to provide for relief work performed by skilled workers where working at their own skilled trades.

The suggested rule would read as follows:

Work for Relief.

Each head of a family who is able to work shall give his work in return for relief at the rate of one week's work for three weeks' relief, excepting in the case of skilled workers when required to work at their special trades. In such cases the amount of time required shall be

computed on the basis of the amount of relief given in relation to the standard rates of pay established in the given occupation.

FOOD

Methods of Distribution.

At the present time there are four methods of food relief in San Francisco: Direct cash by the Eureka Benevolent Society; grocery order on specified grocers, with cash supplement, by the Italian Board of Relief; grocery order, without cash supplement, on one grocer in the Chinese division of the Citizens' Agency; and in the very large majority of cases in the Citizens' Agency, direct food relief, plus cash supplement.

In this latter group, a groceteria, from which relief clients may choose from a limited list of commodities to the amount of the cash value of the regular ration, is maintained. A mail order system, by which clients may order special foods from a limited list of commodities for delivery, is also available in this segregation.

This difference in method of handling food relief is due to the fact that the private agencies, charged with administering relief during the emergency period, continued the methods which they had been using in the past. Lack of understanding as to the origin of the different methods of handling relief has caused discussion and dissatisfaction among relief clients, and is responsible for much of the criticism which has been directed at executives of the Relief Administration.

Adequacy.

Careful investigation has convinced the Survey Committee that an adequate standard of food relief has been attained under all of these methods of distribution. There is no evidence of food-deficiency diseases in any of the groups on public relief in San Francisco. Constant checks are being made, and apparently the general health status of the relief group is at least as high as that of the general population of the City.

In the case studies made of 100 typical families in the Citizens' Agency no complaint was made as to the general quality of the food except as to green vegetables. Lack of variety and wilted condition was a reiterated complaint in many cases. There were several complaints against the quality of the bacon. A good deal of waste of unused commodities from standard boxes was indicated. In some cases lack of cooking facilities made use of the standard box difficult. There was a rather general feeling that the standard box did not fit individual needs of the family.

In the Italian Board of Relief, the families studied, some objections were made to the grocers on which orders were given, and a rather large number appeared to be buying bread on credit.

In the Chinese group the fact that all orders are given on one grocer created adverse comment.

In the Eureka Benevolent Society group of families which receive cash, there were naturally no complaints against the quality of the food, this being entirely dependent on the purchaser.

Economy.

On the question of costs a sub-committee (Fuller Committee) of the Citizens' Advisory Committee made an elaborate study last January of the costs of food distribution under different systems. Their findings indicated that direct food distribution was more economical than cash or grocery orders, and in accordance with these findings the system of direct food distribution has been continued by the Citizens' Agency.

The Survey started with the assumption that these savings were

genuine and considerable, but careful study of the entire administration of family relief has brought us to the conclusion that the apparent savings are not real. Study of agency operation immediately disclosed the fact that the supervisors and visitors were constantly interrupted by emergencies arising out of failures and errors in the distribution of groceries, and these emergencies demanded precedence over the more important work of the staff. Several of the most intelligent supervisors were asked to study this matter of wasted time and they estimated that almost half of the visitors' time was lost by these interruptions and demands. Observation of the daily agency routine confirmed this estimate and led to the conclusion that a large part of entire administration cost should properly be charged against grocery costs.

What is still more important, however, is the attitude of both visitors and families toward the giving of groceries. They seem to feel, unavoidably, that groceries should be given away with far less care than would be exercised in giving cash. It should be remembered that the majority of families have some income, which should be budgeted carefully and frequently, and the family should be given only enough supplemental aid to bring their income up to the standard established by the Citizens' Committee. The study of hundreds of cases showed that this accurate budgeting was rare. If the family was apparently in need of any assistance at all, full food relief was ordered. It might be that ten dollars a month was needed to bring the family income up to standard, but food relief to the value of twenty dollars or more would be ordered.

Comparison of the Relief Administration figures of daily per capita costs under the different agencies does not bear out the Fuller findings. For the past ten months the average daily per capita food cost in families under the Citizens' Agency has fluctuated from 21 to 23 cents. In the Italian Board of Relief, which uses grocery orders, the corresponding per capita cost of food has varied from 19 to 22 cents. In the Eureka Benevolent Society, on a cash system, the per capita cost has ranged from 21 to 25 cents. Detailed comparison of these costs is given on page 114.

Recommendations.

After careful detailed study of the present situation in San Francisco and from detailed information obtained within the past few weeks directly from the administrators of relief in twenty of the largest cities of the country, the Survey Committee recommends the establishment of a uniform system of cash allowance for food relief for all persons on emergency aid in San Francisco; with milk delivered to families which include children.

At the present time in practically all cases a large part of food relief is now given in cash. No additional work would be involved in giving the entire amount in cash. The client would call weekly at the district office for his check and, automatically, far closer contacts would be maintained between the visitors and the families on relief.

In the study made of 100 families on relief through the Citizens' Agency, the most important fact brought out was the lack of essential information and the infrequency of contact between the agency and the families on aid. As a result, families were found to be receiving aid who had resources and income in excess of the standards established by the Citizens' Committee. Lack of information and infrequency of contact were due largely to the great amount of the visitors' time consumed in continual emergencies arising out of the grocery distribution.

Careful study and consideration has convinced the Survey that the greatest possible saving in the administration of relief lies in having frequent and effective contact with the families. The study of families indicated that at least 15 per cent of the families had sufficient income and could have been dropped from aid without hard-

ship. The saving here indicated is so much greater than any saving which could possibly be effected through commissary purchase of groceries that the Survey unhesitatingly recommends the adoption of a system of cash relief as an economy measure.

The system of direct food relief now in force in San Francisco is the only one left in the entire west, and only one or two of the larger Eastern cities are attempting to maintain a commissary. Many of the cities have tried the commissary plan and have discarded it. From our search of hidden costs we are convinced that the apparent economy of the commissary vanishes when the whole problem is considered.

The benefits of the cash system to the client are obvious. The client has a chance to seek bargains and to exert initiative to obtain the best return for his money. There can be no complaint as to quality or service as the client may change his dealer as often as he wishes. The very evident amount of wastage that our Survey has discovered in families where the standard food box is delivered would be completely eliminated. Diet adjusted to the individual needs of the client is made possible. The cash system allows all the types of special diets now laboredly planned for distribution through direct food relief.

With a careful supervision of the families on relief, which would be possible in the district setup, such few families as are not able to handle their money wisely can be segregated and given direct grocery orders for specified commodities. This system for problem cases is in force, as a matter of fact, in all the various agencies which are administering relief in the City at the present time, regardless of the general method which they are using for their regular clientele.

As a reluctant alternate to the cash relief method, the Survey has considered the "Grocery Order Plan," which is in force at the present time in practically every large city in the country not using direct cash. (See page 102 et seq.)

This system provides for an individual grocery order on a list of accredited grocers (on which any dealer may be placed on application) who have agreed to abide by the rules of the Relief Administration. A limited list of commodities is allowed, and monthly bills are presented to the Relief Administration with detailed sales checks. This would establish the responsibility and ability of the grocers to meet the requirements of the Relief Administration; dropping from the list to be the penalty for breach of rules. The Retail Grocers' Association of San Francisco has offered to cooperate with the Relief Administration in the operation of a grocery order system. They have offered to fix prices at regular intervals which would represent a substantial reduction from retail prices, and to cooperate in maintaining agreed standards.

Pertinent to the Survey recommendation that relief be given in cash is a statement made by Dr. Dorothy Kahn, director of the Philadelphia County Relief Board, in a paper presented at the recent National Conference of Social Work:

"Let us take first the human aspects of this problem. The great majority of persons now receiving public relief were, until recently, wage earners. Even those who may have been dependent earlier upon relatives or upon social agencies for support, were accustomed to the use of money. Money for them means the exercise of intelligence and discretion in providing for the fundamentals of existence for their families. It connotes household economy in its broadest sense. Around the family purse centers the education of children in wise and individual ways of spending, thrift, relative values, and the ethics of possession and sharing. There is also a peculiar psychology that has grown up in our monetary system. It warrants some analysis. In America, perhaps more than anywhere else, money represents achievement. It measures earning power. Around money there is a kind of aura of responsibility which does not attach to *things*. How

often in our social work experience have we noted the awe with which clients accept a gift of money and the difference in the spirit of acceptance of a grant in any other form. Is this too subtle a distinction? You put into my hands money. It is purchasing power. I am responsible for spending it. It stimulates my judgment, initiative, skill. It means ability to do something."

From the standpoint of the National Recovery Act, every effort should be made to turn back all work done by emergency organizations into the natural channels of trade wherever the economy factor does not enter too largely.

From our study of the problem of food relief in San Francisco, it appears that the economy argument, the only possible remaining basis for direct food relief, is not justified.

Finally, the fact must never be lost sight of that the vast majority of the clients on emergency relief are not the average charity cases of pre-emergency periods, but merely ordinary citizens whose only social problem is lack of a job. There is no reason to believe that these people, who have all their lives maintained themselves and their families, cannot be trusted to handle cash which is, after all, merely a minimum maintenance wage, in essence presenting exactly the same problems of expenditure which they have met during their entire economic lives.

CLOTHING

Present Conditions.

At the present time and for the past two years, clothing has been contributed to clients on relief largely from salvage material collected by drives through private organizations, and distributed on request of various relief groups. The Needlework Guild, the Parent-Teachers' Association, the American Red Cross, and the News Store have undertaken the heaviest part of this burden.

Women and children have been fairly well cared for. Shoes for boys and men and clothing for men of all classes is practically exhausted. Through the Red Cross, cotton materials of various types have been secured and made into garments by single women on relief, working for their food. The Needlework Guild has contributed many new garments, principally for women and girls. Baby layettes have been furnished from several sources. St. Vincent de Paul Society has provided clothing and shoes for Catholic families.

The greatest praise is due the volunteer groups who have been collecting and distributing clothing throughout the emergency period, and what has now become a recognized necessity that can only be provided for through public funds. Without the help of these agencies, the clothing problem would have amounted, in the past, to a really tragic situation.

There has been no definite plan for providing comprehensively and economically for clothing needs, which this winter will undoubtedly become pressing. Under the Federal rules for the expenditure of public moneys for relief purposes, a budget for clothing is available and this Committee recommends the following:

Recommendations.

That shoes be provided through orders on retails shoe stores with a type and price limit specified. The individual fitting of shoes is not only an economic item, but has a definite health value and a very important psychological effect on the individual. The danger of amateur shoe-fitting to children is particularly emphasized.

It is most important that every school child in the City be decently clad during his attendance in school.

It is recommended that the Parent-Teachers' Association continue its work for the public school children, including salvage shoes, but

excepting new shoes. It is recommended that they receive such cash supplement to their own donations as will enable them to provide proper clothing for children attending public schools.

It is recommended that the parochial school groups organize in some similar manner and be given such supplementary cash aid as is necessary.

It is recommended that men's work clothing, men's, women's and children's underwear, all stockings and socks, and all night clothing, be provided through a central distribution point on order from the Supervisors in the various districts. The purchases for this central distribution point should be made on bid estimated far enough ahead to allow proper price advantages.

Individual suits for white collar men, and clothing of special types that are necessary to securing work or the pursuing of an occupation should be provided, where not obtainable through private agencies, through orders on retail stores, subject to discount if possible, the price and type range being specified in the order.

Discretionary powers should be allowed the district workers in providing suitable clothing for clients, and no purchases should be made of shoddy or short-wearing garments merely on the basis of very low price. Every care should be taken on these retail orders to protect the client against exploitation either in the giving of obsolete or unsalable garments, or attempting to extort extra cash for more expensive garments than provided in the order.

A definite rule should be established against sending any client to a place where he expects to get clothing unless it has been ascertained beforehand that the garments are actually available. Many cases have been reported of unfortunate people going from one place to another vainly seeking garments to fit them. This seems a quite unnecessary cruelty, especially in the long distances which these people must cover in many instances without carfare or other means of transportation.

The present agencies handling salvage clothing should be encouraged to continue their work and all donations to relief clients on their part should be cleared through the Relief Administration districts. There is some evidence that unscrupulous clients have secured duplicate outfits from several different sources, and every effort should be made to correct this by close interchange of records.

Women's and girls' outer garments can be fairly well cared for through salvage sources, supplemented by new underwear, stockings and night garments.

The distribution of men's clothing, both old and new, this Committee feels should be entirely in the hands of men.

Bedding can be fairly well covered through present Red Cross supplies issued from a central depot.

As much consideration as possible, commensurate with economy, should be given to individual tastes and needs in the matter of clothing.

Every effort should be made to discourage the issuing of uniform outer garments which might be identified by the general public as relief clothing. The providing of decent and suitable garments is not only a definite part of the immediate relief problem, but a most important item in the rehabilitation and employment aspects.

Perhaps the most pitiful individual problem is that of the white collar man who is forced to wear unmatched coats, vests and trousers, which mark him at once as a charity case. It is hoped that through cooperation with the fraternal service and other organizations that individual gifts of this type of clothing can be made in worthy cases.

The fundamental necessity of clothing for the bare purposes of decent covering and warmth should be provided through relief funds in all cases where other sources are not available. As provided in the suggested rules of eligibility, gifts of money to provide clothing and for other special purposes should be allowed on written permission by the Superintendent of Resident Relief.

RENT AND HOUSING

Present Conditions.

Before discussing the vast problems of housing and rent payments for persons on relief, it seems only proper to state that in no large city in the United States has the rent problem been adequately solved. Although the Federal Relief Administration includes housing as a legitimate relief cost, no definite plan for paying rentals has been formulated.

At the present time in San Francisco the housing of persons on relief is being done in a haphazard manner. A large amount of rent is being paid out with practically no system of equitable distribution, either as to client or landlords. Rent payments are made largely on threats of eviction, resulting in the penalizing of good-natured or liberal landlords and the payment of rent to landlords treating the clients with the least consideration.

The uncertainty of this system has resulted in ill-feeling between landlords and the Relief Administration and a sense of insecurity on the part of the clients.

According to reports of the Sheriff's office, total evictions in San Francisco have climbed from 157 in 1929 to 888 in 1932, with 400 for the first six months of 1933. This number represents actual eviction notices. To this must be added the large number of three-day notices given by the landlords, and a large number of other verbal threats of eviction not legally filed.

The rent situation for the Citizens' Agency at the end of May may be summarized as follows:

Families on relief	12,690
Number owning their own homes	1,432
Number who had earned rent from casual work	3,932
Number who had moved in with relatives	1,286
Number receiving C. C. C. allotment	800
Number on $40 per month basis	500
Number for whom agency paid rent	1,750
	9,700
Number whose rent was not paid	2,990

The percentage of the entire relief expenditures for May, June and July of this year, paid for rent and rent allowances, were as follows:

Agency	May %	June %	July %
Citizens' Agency	19.9	17.4	17.9
Eureka Benevolent Society	14.4	16.2	22.8
Italian Board of Relief	5.5	7.2	6.6

The 100 families studied in the Citizens' Agency are doubtless typical of the whole group on relief. Nearly half of the tenants were behind in their rent payments. Many families had piled up delinquency payments in previous residences and had moved to avoid payment of such debts. One family had moved nine times within two years; another eight times in two and one-half years; several had moved four or five times within a two-year period; seven families reported definite evictions and seven others stated they had received eviction notices before moving on.

It appears that no attempt has been made on the part of the relief agencies to determine, except by accident, whether the homes upon which rent is being paid from public funds are sanitary and proper housing. The trend of families on relief to move into cheaper and cheaper quarters, especially into badly equipped housekeeping rooms, is understandable under the circumstances, but nevertheless deplorable.

Recommendations.

It is recommended that primarily a classification of the families on relief, according to their housing problems, be made and thoroughly studied. That in cases where the rent must be paid a definite arrangement be made with the landlord and tenant in order to relieve the tension and bad feeling which has hitherto existed.

That the proposed Council on Rent and Housing be charged with formulating rules for the payment of rent, possibly on a one-in-three basis.

That uniformity of policy be adopted, and that no partiality be shown in any one case for any reason. Many of the justified complaints we have reviewed arise from the inequitable payment of rents and rent allowances.

That no public moneys be expended for rent until the premises upon which rent is paid have been inspected and passed on by the Housing Inspection Department of the Board of Public Health. It is not equitable that public moneys should be expended to perpetuate conditions which are illegal and against public health and safety. An existing ordinance, which is not being enforced, provides that cooking appliances may not be operated in rooms used for sleeping purposes. We recommend that this law be enforced, at least, in buildings housing families on relief. This inspection would serve another purpose in forcing landlords maintaining bad housing to clean up, thereby supplying employment, possibly in exchange for rent on the part of the relief cases. The second benefit would be to take persons on relief from places unfit for habitation and move them into more suitable quarters whose landlords are now penalized for their higher standards.

A schedule of rents to be paid for certain types of housing should be agreed upon.

FUEL AND PUBLIC UTILITIES

Present Conditions.

At the present time gas service is being paid for in families having no other fuel source for cooking. Coal and wood is delivered to families not using gas but having coal or wood stoves. Electricity is paid for in certain classified groups, with a maximum allowance of $1.52 per month for electricity in any case, regardless of the size of living quarters or number in the family. The gas and electric bills are paid for individually on a threat of discontinuance of service on the part of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

Water bills are met in the same way.

Gas service is paid for without work test on the part of the relief client, but where coal or wood is necessary because of a lack of gas appliance, the head of the family is supposed to work in the forestry department cutting wood to pay for his fuel.

Electricity is furnished also without work test to a special group of families.

When the current bills for gas and electric service are paid by the relief agency, the back bills are kept as a charge against the families by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company for payment in the future. When payment by the relief agency is discontinued, the gas and light company tries to collect this back bill before resuming or continuing service. This system has resulted in an incalculable number of complaints. Up to a few months ago a work test for gas and electricity was required, but on account of the many misunderstandings and the difficulty of keeping the records clear the work test for this service was discontinued and only retained where coal is delivered.

The obvious injustice to the family, using only coal or wood, in forcing the head of the family to work for fuel, while gas is supplied to other families without the work test, certainly needs no comment.

The electric light allowance of $1.52, without regard to the size of quarters or family, seems also inequitable. It appears on inquiry that, owing to the rigidity of the rule, it is seldom, if ever, abided by.

Prior to May 13th, Eucalyptus and other newly cut wood was delivered for fuel, after work test, to those families not using gas appliances for cooking or heating. This wood was not satisfactory for lack of seasoning; the kindling supplied was green and would not burn. In many cases visited during the survey the families were using the box in which food was delivered for fuel because the wood supplied for that purpose was unsuitable. In some of the families the money from the meat check was being used for electric service. Three families out of the 100 visited were using neither gas nor electricity.

It appears upon investigation that each bill for utility service, before it is paid, goes through an elaborate and complicated system of bookkeeping-vouchers, endorsements and intervening communications. Each bill is paid as a unit, and as the bills are paid on meter readings taken once every thirty days, dates varying in every part of the city, the confusion incidental to this administrative function is out of all proportion to the problem.

Recommendations.

It is recommended that each district office make an order list of payments for the district relief clients entitled to service, which will be forwarded, not less than once a week, from each district office to the Central Utility Bureau for payment, with group receipt returned to district office for verification and filing. The fact that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company makes its meter readings in each district at approximately the same time each month will simplify handling this matter in the districts.

It is recommended that a uniform method of work test, or the elimination entirely of this requirement for fuel, be instituted so that persons using coal and wood are not apparently penalized for their lack of patronage of the gas company.

It is recommended that the Relief Administration petition the Railroad Commission at once for a ruling on the possible group payment of bills for relief clients on a monthly power load basis, at a reduced cost, instead of on the individual bill basis now in force. The Relief Administration, at the present time, is paying an average of $12,349.55 (May, 1933) per month for gas and electricity service for relief clients on the basis of individual consumer cost of the minimum power load. There is no evidence that a formal application of this sort has ever been made by the City Controller or the Relief Administration, and we recommend that the City Attorney draw up such application for presentation to the State Railroad Commission at the earliest possible date.

It is recommended that in case of payment of electric light bills, a sliding scale of maximum allowance be instituted, taking into consideration the size of the family, the size of the living quarters and other special circumstances involved in the case.

MEDICAL CARE

Present Condition.

In general it appears that the medical care of families on relief has been adequately and efficiently administered, always considering the emergency factor.

There is no evidence of any epidemic, nor of any increase in nutritional diseases, nor any other apparent health problem, in the City which can be traced to conditions arising from the emergency of unemployment. It is impossible, of course, to predict whether the sequel to this emergency period will be a drop in health standards in the general population, but at the present there is no evidence that the

group on public relief have suffered any important consequences in the matter of health. Constant attention to the health status of relief clients will provide a definite check on the efficiency of the whole relief system.

At the present time the medical care of persons on relief is centered in the Central Medical Bureau, where diagnosis and first treatment is given. Cases requiring further care are sent to the various clinics throughout the City, at a contract price for each visit, which was 90 cents at first, then 75 cents, and now is 60 cents. All laboratory and other expense on these cases is met by the clinics. A contract price of 45 cents is paid for a prescription for cases visited by the physicians attached to the Central Medical Bureau, and private physicians visiting relief cases without charge.

The system is a coordination of the existing clinics, public health service, and the Central Medical Bureau, originated and maintained by the relief organization through which most cases have been cleared. Some use has been made of the Visiting Nurses' Association, Phelan Foundation, and other private or quasi-public agencies.

Single women have been handled in the same manner as the families. A clinic has been held at the Single Men's Shelter for the homeless men, with two physicians in attendance, and the cases are cleared for other treatment to the clinics, and to the San Francisco Hospital where hospitalization is urgently needed.

Dental care has been provided for a limited number, and only for very urgent cases. Dentures, eye-glasses and surgical appliances, including orthopedic shoes, have been given from private funds in some cases, but this is a woeful need, especially where employment depends on this equipment.

It is probable, however, that in the main the families on relief, save those who had been in very good circumstances prior to the emergency, have been receiving rather more medical care than they have been accustomed to in the past.

In the study of 100 typical cases on relief the general health of the families was good. Public clinics and hospitals appeared to be fairly well patronized in case of illness. Of the 100 families visited, 13 men reported some sort of ailment at the present time; 14 wives were either attending clinics or complained of a more or less serious health condition—2 were diabetic, 2 were pregnant; 14 children were attending clinics; there were several crippled children in the households; and two definite cases of tuberculosis—one a young wife, the other an 18-year-old daughter. Several families reported the need of eye-glasses and many needed dental care.

Complaints were made by some families that clinics did not pay much attention to relief patients; that they could not afford the small fees charged; that they had to wait an interminably long time before being attended to; or that they could not afford to buy the medicines prescribed and not supplied by the Citizens' Agency.

The single women on relief have been relatively well cared for. In the case of the single men on relief, the lack of machinery for providing the special diets necessary to recovery for many of the ailments, is very distressing. It must be remembered that the single men's group is cared for, except in certain cases, as a unit in shelters, and at a common kitchen. The plight of the single man on relief cannot be blamed on any lack of consideration on the part of the medical administration.

The fact that there has been no epidemic, except a temporary one of "athlete's foot", and practically no respiratory diseases in the single men's group, would indicate that the general health conditions in this segregation of relief cases is at least as good as in normal times.

The facilities for hospitalization for the persons on relief have been quite inadequate, but have been used as effectively as possible under the emergency case load.

Recommendations.

It is recommended that the medical care of the persons on relief be handled through district offices as far as possible. In order to facilitate this the physicians from the Central Medical Bureau should hold office hours in each of the districts at least twice a week for the purpose of examining and distributing cases needing special care to the proper clinics or other agencies. Duplicate records should be kept in the districts and at the Central Medical Bureau of all cases receiving medical treatment. The Central Medical Bureau should be concentrated at the Central Office set-up and visits by relief cases should be discouraged; the Central Bureau being used only for record and administrative functions. The doctors assigned from the Bureau to each district should be in constant touch with the district school nurse, Community Center, Baby Clinics and other health agencies through the District Relief Supervisors. This system will serve to centralize the medical cases into their proper geographical locations, and will make possible much more comprehensive care from every angle than has heretofore been the case.

One of the greatest drawbacks of the central system has been the need for long trips back and forth across the city, working a great hardship in many cases.

Where home visits, clinic care or hospitalization are necessary, the physician allotted to the district office shall recommend to the proper agency and clear his records through the Central Bureau.

Under the recommended plan of administration set-up, the single women's group will be segregated into regular district offices, and their medical care should follow the same plan as the family cases.

The single men on relief, housed outside of shelters, will also follow the district grouping.

In the case of single men on relief, housed in shelters, arrangements should be made to provide resting places for convalescent cases, and some method of giving special diet where such treatment is necessary to cure. Additional physicians should be assigned to this group, as it seems impossible for the two doctors now caring for single men to properly diagnose or treat the enormous number of cases allotted them. It must be acknowledged, however, that they have managed to keep this group in very fair condition.

It appears especially important that the foot baths of antiseptic solution recommended and supposed to be supplied in all the shelters but neglected in several of them, be kept in proper condition. The spread of foot infections is a menace and has been out of hand several times.

A *Department of Psychiatry* should be set up under the Central Medical Bureau for the special care and observation of the many cases of complete or partial breakdown which have been the inevitable result of worry and introspection incident to unemployment. The segregation of these phychiatric cases and the prompt treatment and disposition of them will aid materially. The head of the *Psychiatric Department* should also provide instruction for visitors in the special handling of these cases when found, and should from time to time meet with the visitors and supervisors for discussion.

A Dental Department should be set up under the Central Medical Bureau to work out machinery for providing ordinary dental care to persons on relief, and to coordinate with private agencies for the provision of dentures, eye-glasses, and other appliances. This last item is of the utmost importance, not only for health conditions, but for the rehabilitation of people who are not employable by reason of unpleasant mouth conditions, need of eye-glasses, and other necessary equipment. If it is not possible to use public funds for this purpose a concerted effort should be made to interest private agencies in supplying this serious need.

The number of industrial accidents occurring on work projects operated for the relief cases on work test suggests the necessity of much more rigid care in this respect. All accident cases of this nature should be cleared through the Central Medical Bureau, and a careful case record kept of treatments and final disposition. The Central Medical Bureau should be in touch at all times with the Work and Rehabilitation Bureau, and should call the attention of the latter bureau to all unusual and apparently unnecessary accidents or other illnesses contracted on work projects. The standard of industrial safety required of commercial concerns should certainly be met by the work projects on which the persons on relief are employed.

We recommend the continued use of the clinics on the basis upon which they are now coordinating with the Relief Administration, and suggest that as much use as possible be made of the existing agencies for public nursing, at least while cases which normally would be given hospitalization are crowded out by lack of facilities and are forced to be cared for in their own homes.

SINGLE MEN

Present Conditions.

At the present time single men are allotted to group shelters following establishment of their eligibility for resident relief. Non-resident single men are given two nights of shelter and told to move on. Upon registering, each man is sent to the clean-up unit, where he bathes, washes his underwear, has his suit steamed and pressed for the purpose of destroying vermin, and then goes to the shelter to which he has been assigned.

A second group of single men, who are able to provide shelter of some sort for themselves, are given groceries.

The remainder of the resident and non-resident single men are fed in community kitchens—two meals a day.

The group furnishing its own shelter and being given direct food relief is growing in numbers, and is made up largely of elderly men, resident in San Francisco for a long time.

Several day shelters have been established where men on relief can spend their time during the day and where limited recreational facilities are provided. Practically all work incident to the care of men in shelters, aside from the actual administration, is done by persons on relief, working on a $40-a-month basis.

The food served at the kitchens is of good quality but very monotonous and, according to the report of the County Medical Society, not adequate from the standpoint of all dietary requirements. Food for men, requiring special diets, because of physical condition, is unobtainable except through the giving of meal tickets on restaurants.

The shelters in which the majority of single men are housed, while undoubtedly equal to similar facilities in other cities, appear to this Survey to be subject to much criticism both from a sanitary and social standpoint. Several official inspections on the part of the Board of Health in February and March of this year showed inadequate ventilation, overcrowding of sleeping rooms, with less than the legal cubic space between each bed.

A visit by a member of the Survey Committee to the shelters between the hours of 2 a. m. and 5 a. m., disclosed that men suffering from coughs and other faulty physical conditions were indiscriminately mixed with other men, in closely crowded quarters.

A rather general prevalence of vermin presented a constant problem to the persons in charge. This condition arises from three causes: (1) The number of vermin-infested men who apply for relief. Rolls of clothing and blankets which these men bring in directly off the road and deposit in the shelters are sources of reinfestation. (2)

Several of the shelters are of crude and unsanitary construction. (3) In spite of the fairly adequate provision for bathing and disinfection, the clean-up unit is closed after 8 o'clock at night. All men registering after that hour, who must be housed, are allowed to go into the shelters without cleaning up. The reason given for closing the clean-up unit so early in the evening is that its operation disturbs men sleeping in adjacent rooms.

Following an epidemic of "athlete's foot," in the shelters, provision was made for the maintenance of foot baths of disinfectants through which each man should be required to walk after his shower. Both the inspector from the Board of Health, in February, and a member of this Committee, making a personal inspection in June, found that only one of the tanks contained the necessary solution. The others were dry and empty.

In several of the shelters the doors are locked on each floor after the men retire, with the attendant fire and earthquake hazards.

Salvage clothing for single men on relief has become practically nonexistent. Trousers, underclothes and shoes present a problem for which there has been, to date, no solution.

In San Francisco the average cost per capita per month for persons on relief in the family group is $9.60. The average cost per single man is $6.15 a month.

It is interesting to note that in the Chinese Single Men's Shelter and Kitchen, operating on a slightly lower per capita cost, the housing is much better and the variety and quality of the food is superior to that in the general group.

The plight of the single men on relief is a tragic one everywhere, for it is generally assumed and accepted that this class should be given the least consideration and care of any of the relief groups.

Justification for this stand is made by the statement that, if conditions are made too comfortable, vagrants will flock from other places and become a burden. Under the new Federal-State-City set-up, transients of all classifications are to be cared for as a separate unit, and their care paid for entirely out of Federal funds.

Recommendations.

Shelters: As long as the shelters are in use they should be maintained in a sanitary condition, according to the City housing laws, and should be regularly inspected by the Board of Health. Especially should ventilation and placing of beds, to provide proper cubic area and air space to each individual, be kept up to legal standards and requirements.

Rigid care as to vermin should be maintained, and such shelters as cannot be kept in proper sanitary condition should be abandoned.

Clean-up units should be kept open each night as long as registrations are accepted. Blanket rolls, clothing, etc., should be disinfected before being allowed in the shelters.

Fire hazards should be watched and eliminated. Doors with appliances for opening outward and allowing them to swing freely in case of earthquake or fire should be substituted for the ordinary doors and locks now in use.

Foot baths of disinfectants should be maintained at all times in all the shelters. Daily inspection of these tanks and their refilling with fresh solution should be part of the daily routine.

Day shelters for single men should be maintained as long as the emergency continues. The main day shelter, in which the men may rest, read, shave or mend their clothes, provides a most necessary adjunct to the night shelters. With equipment and quarters at a minimum cost, this shelter, as maintained at present, is a most valuable and sound social and human project. Perpetuation and extension is recommended.

Segregation of men having infectious conditions, those needing

convalescent care and special food, and elderly men who are permanently unemployable into separate units, with suitable kitchen facilities, would clear up the most distressing aspects of the shelter system.

Extension of the group providing its own shelter and being given food for home consumption is urgently recommended. This segregation should be enlarged as rapidly as possible, especially in the case of the elderly men of the "white collar" class, upon whom shelter accommodations work a genuine hardship.

SINGLE WOMEN

Present Conditions.

The single women on relief are handled, at present, as a unit, under the Citizens' Agency, with a special department devoted entirely to the administration of relief for this group. In this classification are included single women without families and single women with one dependent.

These women work one week in three for their food allowance in the various agencies administering relief. Their housing is provided individually in those cases not able to furnish their own shelter. In some cases rent is paid, in some cases room and board, and in some cases housing has been obtained in exchange for services.

This group contains many individual problem cases, and in the main has been handled in a very satisfactory manner. It is interesting to note that the relationship of the clients in this segregation to the relief agency is cordial in practically every case contracted, and that practically no complaints were discovered or voiced against this department.

Recommendations.

It is recommended that a bureau be maintained in the Central Administration set-up to consider special problems arising in this qualification, but that the cases be allotted to the district in which they have residence and handled as part of the regular district family relief load.

It is especially recommended that psychiatric and vocational work be continued in special cases in this segregation.

WORK AND REHABILITATION

Present Conditions.

At the present time each male head of a family receiving relief, who is physically able to do so, is expected to work one week in three for provisions for his family. If fuel, other than gas and electricity is used, he is supposed to work for that in addition.

Single women work three days for three weeks' food.

Single men are not required to do any work for the relief they receive. This is based on the fact that the cash value of the relief given the single men is very much less than allowed for the other classifications.

In addition to this work, several hundred men and women are employed on the basis of $40 and $30 per month, respectively, plus food, for full-time work in special departments.

The work projects upon which the family men are employed are practically all public works under City foremen, with a few projects under Relief Administration foremen. Men are allotted to the various tasks presumably according to their qualifications and physical condition.

The $40-a-month men and women have been employed presumably for their qualifications and efficiency, although their salary has been classed as "rent relief." Originally this group was supposed to be chosen, first, for need; second, for size and family; third, for efficiency. In actual practice little attention has been paid to the first two quali-

fications, and efficiency has been judged by the individual executive recommending employment rather than by any uniform test as to social or professional qualifications.

In some cases men and women have been employed without much justification, but under pressure of emergency administration, have been drawn into the organization. Many of the justified complaints reviewed by this Survey are directly due to the excessive number of these relief employees and apparently unjustified discrimination in their selection.

Single women have been employed largely as clerical help, for sewing and packing lunches.

In the allotment of family men to work projects little segregation as to suitability has been made. Certain of the projects have been favored allotments, and certain others disciplinary. The executive in charge of work allotments has also been responsible for purchasing food, and has delegated much of the detail to subordinates without executive authority. The foremen in charge of the work projects have failed in many instances to require any degree of efficiency from the men on work test, and have sometimes failed to cooperate in keeping adequate records of the men allotted to them. This may be excused, to some extent, by the natural sympathy aroused in dealing with men entirely unaccustomed to physical labor or in a lowered condition of morale through privation and worry.

It has been customary to allow men to continue on projects as long as they do not complain or ask for transfer, and here, as in other phases of the relief work, the patient and uncomplaining man has suffered in comparison with the more exacting and shrewder ones, who demand special privileges and attention. This is a natural condition, however, in any large group of persons, and should not be criticized too severely under the circumstances.

There has been an apparently large percentage of industrial accidents, many of them avoidable, but unfortunately no exact records have been kept by either the Medical Department or the Work Projects Department. A court decision to the effect that men working for relief do not come under the Industrial Accident provisions of the State law has resulted in very little attention being paid to safety precautions. There have been three deaths, many permanent injuries, and a large number of minor injuries, but without exact figures, it is not possible to determine the relationship of these accidents, numerically, to those on ordinary industrial projects. There is no evidence that a request has been made for a survey of working conditions by the Safety Division of the Industrial Accident Commission or any other official body. While the court decision apparently protects the City against responsibility for these accidents, authorities do not agree on this point. Several attorneys with whom the matter has been discussed believe that such responsibility could be established. The Federal Relief Administration recommends the insuring of persons working on relief projects, but the premiums cannot be paid from State or Federal moneys.

While there has been some attempt at segregation and classification of persons on relief into occupational groups, very little has been done, except in the Single Women's Division, where the Employment Department is an important function, operating with a fair degree of efficiency.

Rehabilitation and Recreation.

From the intensive case study in the various groups receiving relief only a negligible proportion of the families studied were making any use of the general recreational and vocational training opportunities offered by the City Government, Community Chest and other agencies.

This is due to general lethargy and lack of morale incident to unemployment and worry and to a lack of knowledge as to existing facilities. Little attempt appears to have been made to acquaint per-

sons on relief with facilities of this nature. This subsidiary relief can hardly be classed as a primary function of an emergency relief program. However, the question of rehabilitation and maintenance of morale is closely identified with the whole relief problem.

Recommendations.

Work Projects: It is recommended that the work test for relief be continued, but that the allotment to projects be made in a more equitable manner, with a consideration for conditioning the employable men for reabsorption into regular employment. That credit for work be given to either men or women for attendance at legitimate vocational training instruction, either in public classes or private agencies. This vocational training in the case of unemployed persons whose previous profession or occupation will probably not absorb them again will help solve, at least, part of the unemployment problem. The training, allowed as a work test, should be for practical purposes, and should not include merely class attendance at inconsequent courses. Where there is evidence that such persons are not working at their studies or are using the privilege merely to avoid other work, this opportunity should be withdrawn. The right to pursue vocational training should be premised, not only on attendance, but on diligence and passing marks. A study should be made in coordination with the School Department, Community Chest agencies and trade schools for the purpose of determining the possibilities of this project. Utilization might be made of skilled persons, themselves on relief, for training others in specific crafts.

It is recommended that the entire classification of so-called "$40-a-month-men" be eliminated, and that only such persons as are qualified through training and efficiency be employed in the personnel of the relief administration, and that these persons be paid salaries commensurate with the work they are employed to do, and based on a standard scale. (See "Functions of Bureaus Personnel," page 34.)

The classification of the pay given the $40-a-month-men as "rent relief" is in many cases a misnomer, and where rent relief is actually necessary, it should be given according to the need of the family on relief and not confused with personnel.

Most of the justified complaints as to lack of efficiency, discrimination in employment and over-staffed departments may be traced to the evils which have sprung up around this type of pseudo "rent relief" confused with administrative personnel.

Administrative machinery for segregation and rehabilitation of employable persons (see "Functions-Employment and Rehabilitation Bureau") should be set up and a concerted attempt be made to recondition normally employable persons on relief for re-employment as the work and opportunities arise. It is evident from the case studies of this Survey that the morale of some of the persons on relief is low, and many have given up hope of getting back to work. Aside from the humanitarian aspect, this group represents a serious economic problem for many years to come, and justifies a serious attempt to bring them back to a self-supporting status.

A segregation should also be made of permanently unemployable persons and provision made for their care in the most economical manner. By gradual segregation and vocational guidance many families can be salvaged and taken off relief rolls. Every visitor should place in the hands of her clients a list of available recreation and study facilities. Visitors should encourage their clients to make use of these facilities. By checking with the district agencies, offering opportunities, the visitors can do much to bring together the opportunities and the persons needing them so sadly.

The Research Bureau of the Public Relations Department (see "Function of Bureaus," page 34) should be called on for material in this work.

On investigation it was found that a definite prejudice exists against persons on relief in the minds of the personnel managers in many large commercial concerns. Of seven personnel managers contacted, general reactions fell into the following segregations: First, that persons on relief nearly always have something the matter with them, either mentally or physically. Second, that persons on relief believe, as a rule, that they should be given employment and kept at work on account of their need of the wages, rather than on the basis of efficiency. Third, that most of the people who have been unemployed for a long time had forgotten how to work and needed too much supervision to be useful. Four, that they were too easily discouraged. Five, that the commercial organizations resented surveillance on the part of relief workers over their employees. Several other reasons for discriminations against persons who had been on relief were also given. It is unlikely that any attempt at direct employment, through the relief administration, will be very successful on a large scale. It is rather recommended that every attempt be made to recondition and re-educate employable persons and then turn them over to existing public or private employment agencies, where they can receive the same service as persons not on relief.

Especially in the case of middle-aged persons, difficult to employ at any time, any over-emphasis on the relief aspect is practically a prohibition against normal employment.

To sum up the recommendations herein:

Work test should be continued and provision made for crediting vocational and other training as work.

Provision should be made for safety inspection and regulations on work projects in accordance with the State Law.

The so-called $40-a-month-men segregation should be eliminated and rent relief given directly as such.

Segregation and care in allotment to work projects should be increased.

Machinery for rehabilitation and re-education should be established, and contacts made with public and private employment agencies, but not directly with employers, save in exceptional cases.

NON-RESIDENTS.

In the past years it has been the practice and policy of various private agencies in San Francisco to assist non-residents, and the study of cases by the Survey indicated that many families now on aid were dependent before they acquired legal residence in San Francisco. They have now lost legal residence is their former homes and as a practical matter must now be given aid by the City.

At the end of March, 1933, non-resident cases were transferred from the Citizens' Agency to a non-resident department under the direction of the Travelers' Aid Society, and an effective policy has been established for clearing these family cases promptly.

At the Single Men's Registry it has been the practice to require registrants to bring in their own evidence of residence. No investigation of the residence of the men has been made by the Survey, but it appears probable that many of the statements filed as proof of residence have been made by obliging friends.

Under the new program the Federal Government proposes to carry the whole burden of caring for transients, who are defined as persons who have been within the State for less than one year. While temporarily this arrangement would lift the burden of caring for newcomers, the City has no assurance that the Federal Government will continue this assistance beyond the next few months. Also, persons thus assisted to remain in the City will inevitably become a tax upon City aid unless the Government goes in for a permanent relief program.

The Survey Committee, therefore, recommends that the present policy of returning non-residents to their legal residence be continued wher-

ever this can be done; that the administration of Federal assistance to transients be guided by the long range policy for the protection of the City's interests; and that it be clearly understood that no one receiving aid as a non-resident will acquire legal residence until a year after all such aid has been discontinued.

COMPLAINTS

In considering the general subject of complaints arising out of the administration of relief in San Francisco, this Survey has not attempted to consider individual cases, but has studied general types of recurrent difficulties which have arisen because of some curable fault in the methods of administration.

No attempt has been made to pass upon the personal efficiency of any individual nor has the Survey considered it part of its functions to make intensive inquiry into questions involving individual negligence of duty.

The study of complaints has been directed at the source of the difficulty, considering the individual complaint only as an indication of possible weakness in the system, and as a focus of inquiry as to the basic causes.

In every case where a justified complaint has pointed to a remediable condition, a recommendation has been included in the section relating to the general subject under which the complaint falls.

Many of the justified complaints against the Relief Administration have arisen from the conflict and lack of uniformity incident to attempting to administer public relief through private agencies, carrying over a concept of individual giving of charity from private funds to which the charity client had no intrinsic right other than the vague laws of humanity. The transition of private charity into public relief was a very difficult one for many of the workers trained in the old methods. The type of client coming on emergency relief was entirely different from the group to which the older workers had been accustomed. In a majority of families the only social problem involved in the emergency group was lack of employment. The careful individual case work possible in ordinary times had to be discarded for sketchy service. Quite naturally the fact that private agencies were given the work to do perpetuated the methods already in use. This has resulted in misunderstanding, lack of uniformity in the administration of relief, conflict of interpretation of rules and regulations in many of the departments and between many of the workers.

Add to this the strain of overwork, long hours, very small salaries, crowded working places with makeshift equipment, unassimilated, and in many cases untrained, assistants, and a few major executives too busy with the pressure of immediate problems to delegate authority or plan improvements, and another set of trouble-making factors enters the equation.

The overwhelming complications incident to delivering thousands of food rations with untrained, and in some cases irresponsible, workers, themselves on relief, increased the confusion.

The bewilderment and general discomfort arising from unemployment, and the misunderstanding on the part of the general public, the relief clients, and in some cases the workers themselves, of the rules and regulations upon which the relief was administered has been a source of much friction. The liberal policy which San Francisco has always pursued in the giving of charity, even to non-residents, has produced conflict with the rules of eligibility as set up by the Citizens' Committee.

The rent problem has created much bitter feeling between the landlords, the clients, and the Relief Administration, but in no city in

the country has this problem been solved, and in most cities not even considered.

The different methods of giving food relief, depending on race and nationality, have produced a quota of misunderstanding. The choosing of the so-called $40-a-month-men from the ranks of the unemployed, without any particular standards of either need or efficiency, has roused antagonism.

The huge number of unassimilated employees in all phases of the work, with the pressure of the work too great to attempt a training period, and the quite unjustified lack of uniform instructions and divisions of functions and authority, has been another sore spot.

Quite naturally in a group of relief clients reaching a peak of 75,000 persons at one time, the usual proportion of maladjusted and non-cooperating types are bound to occur.

In considering the general subject of complaints relating to the administration of relief in San Francisco, this Survey has collected material from four general sources.

First, from intensive studies of typical families in each of the relief segregations.

Second, from organized public, quasi-public, and commercial groups.

Third, from the relief workers in various agencies.

Fourth, from the general public, including organized groups of the unemployed.

Most of the justified complaints are easily classified into a small number of segregations. By far the largest number of complaints from relief clients center around the various processes of food distribution in the Citizens' Agency. Another very large segregation relates to the complications arising around the payment or non-payment of rent and fuel bills.

Another group of complaints circles around the personnel of the Relief Administration, based largely on personal conflict between the relief client and some member of the organization.

The sources of most of the justified complaints are: Lack of uniformity in the administration of relief; conflict of policy between the private agencies, and the new concept of government responsibility for relief; and back of everything the enormous problem of creating an administrative set-up sufficient to care for thousands of persons suddenly plunged into desperate need.

Practically all of the justified complaints for which there is a remedy have been considered in the sections preceding, under the various subdivisions of administration, food, clothing, etc.

This Survey believes that if the recommendations therein are adopted most of the conditions producing complaints will be eliminated.

However, there will always be a certain proportion of maladjustments in any unnatural economic condition, and any method of relief administration must take into account the hidden factors of despair, distress, broken morale, and rebellion, which must occur in the ranks of any group of hitherto self-respecting and self-sustaining persons suddenly cast on public help for their very existence.

Following is a concentrated tabulation of the general groups in which the studied complaints have fallen and their proposed cure:

DIGEST OF COMPLAINTS

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION.	
Lack of uniformity in Administration of Relief.	Complaints relating to lack of uniformity are justified. The different methods in different national and racial groups, and the lack of evenly enforced, well-established rules and regulations have created discrepancies in practically every department of relief. See Recommendations on Administrative Set-up.
Non-resident employees.	In the emergency set-up the need for trained experts in social service has been very marked. Trained people have been in demand in every city, and owing to the very low salaries paid in San Francisco for relief workers, the employment turnover has been very great. It has been necessary to draw from every source the best people possible. These non-resident employees have been paid for out of Community Chest funds. Under the set-up recommended in this Survey the functions of social service workers are sharply differentiated from ordinary office and administrative employees. This will limit the use of experts to their own fields and reduce the necessary number. It is proper under the law to employ non-resident experts where no similarly equipped citizens are available.
Persons receiving salaries from both the Community Chest and Public Funds.	The Federal Relief Administration recognizes this practice and allows it in the new rulings. Civil Service rulings require that all public employees paid over $75 a month come under Civil Service rating. It is obviously impossible to secure executives competent to handle the enormous responsibility of relief administration at such a salary. The cost of inefficient executives in any project is overwhelming. In order to supplement the salaries to a reasonable amount the private funds of the Community Chest are drawn upon. This practice seems a reasonable one for continuance, while the relief load is decreasing and when changing conditions make a turnover and readjustment of employment necessary. It does not seem expedient to create a large number of permanent Civil Service jobs during the emergency status of relief. If private funds are used to relieve the taxpayers of this additional load and to preserve relief funds for the direct cost of relief, the practice appears to be justified.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Favoritism in employment of $40-a-month-men.	This complaint appears to be entirely justified. Lack of centralized authority and responsibility for hiring and discharging employees, setting of salaries, and general control of personnel has resulted in very irregular standards, not only in the employment of persons on relief, but in the general staff. The concentrating of the whole personnel problem in a central bureau and the classification of all employees under efficiency and salary ratings will cure this deficiency. See Recommendations on Administrative Set-up.
Use of volunteer help and persons on relief in general administration work not satisfactory.	This criticism, recurrent from several sources, is in general justified. This Survey recommends decent salary standards for all persons engaged in relief work, not only for the morale of the worker, but in order that a high standard of efficiency may be demanded and enforced. In the expenditure of thousands of dollars of taxpayers' money the waste occasioned by ineffectual help is tremendous.
Investigation inadequate because of lack of trained case workers.	This complaint is justified, largely because of the overheavy case load and the enormous amount of clerical and other work required of the visitors, owing to lack of proper administration organization. In the district set-up and with the simplification possible under the recommended plan, the visitors will have sufficient time to keep a close contact with cases. Efficiency ratings of personnel will standardize efficiency.
No provision for information of public or persons on relief as to the rules and regulations, and reasons for which they have been established.	This complaint is completely justified. There has been no publication or dissemination of information regarding relief administration except in a very limited way. Much misunderstanding has been occasioned by this condition. Recommendations under "Administration Set-up" on "Public Relations Bureau and Council" cover this complaint.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Lack of coordination by Relief Administration with existing public agencies.	This complaint appears to be justified. Very little cooperation has been asked for or obtained by the Relief Administration from public, quasi-public, or commercial organizations closely identified with relief problems. One of the outstanding results of the Survey investigation was the willingness to cooperate in solving individual relief problems observed at the many "subject hearings" to which not only relief workers but outside agencies were invited. The Recommendations in "Administrative Set-up" under "Functions of Councils" provides for the establishment and continuance of cooperation of the general public in meeting the problems arising in relief administration.
Relatives of well-to-do-persons on relief.	While only a very limited group of relatives are liable under the law for support of their kin, and while brothers and sisters and uncles and aunts do not fall into this legally responsible classifications, a strong moral obligation is involved, and it appears to this Survey that very little attempt has been made to urge morally responsible relatives of ample means to provide for their unfortunate kinsfolk while thousands of small salaried men and women are caring for their relatives and keeping them from the relief rolls, it seems very unfair and unfortunate that persons of much greater income should refuse to take the same responsibility. It appears that many of the so-called "$40-a-month-men" fall into the classification of persons with relatives able to help them. The resentment on the part of other persons on relief and of other citizens caring for their relatives has created much bitterness against the relief administration. The elimination of the "$40-a-month" classification, as recommended, and the persistent attempt to induce well-to-do-relatives to care for their own people, will do much to cure this condition.
Complaints that persons of immoral character are being given relief.	The function of the Relief Administration has been to feed the hungry and not to attempt police or legal jurisdiction of the unemployed. Any citizen, whether a relief worker or not, on observing flagrant breach of law should report the violation at once to the proper legal authorities for action. Where the welfare of children or other helpless persons is endangered, or where deceit or falsification of status is being used to secure unwarranted relief, it is, of course, the function of the visitor to so report, but this Survey believes that relief adminis-

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
	tration should not usurp or duplicate the functions of the police or other legal agencies charged with public safety and morals. In this connection it must be remembered that the Federal, State and City tax moneys are regularly expended in feeding and housing convicted criminals in jails and other corrective institutions. Neither humanity nor law justifies the starvation of any human being whatever his moral status happens to be.
Unpleasant relationships between visitors and clients.	Only in a very few of the cases investigated did there appear anything but pleasant relationships between clients and personnel of the Relief Administration. Certain visitors appear to have encountered recurrent difficulties with a number of clients. It is recommended in the standardization of personnel under efficiency ratings that careful inquiry be made by the supervisor in each district whenever a visitor is complained of by a client. It is quite natural that in certain cases a client may protest against limitation of relief by a visitor and interpret her rulings as personal rather than official. The irregularity of standards and the lack of uniformity in rules has added materially to this possibility. It is urgently recommended that wherever a visitor appears to have been arrogant or unjust to a client the case should be thoroughly studied by the Complaint Bureau and the visitor reprimanded or dismissed if the complaint has been justified.
Infrequent contacts by visitors of families on relief.	This complaint is quite justified in the groups under the Citizens' Agency, and the Italian Board of Relief. Many cases appear not to have been visited for months and some of them not at all after the first call for verification of eligibility. This subject has been covered quite fully in the preceding sections. The District Set-up recommended, and the elimination of much of the unnecessary office work by visitors, will allow far closer contact with the cases than has heretofore been possible, without increase of personnel. The Federal Relief regulations require that each case shall be visited at least once a month.
Complaints that Chinese Families on Relief do not receive sufficient care.	The visiting staff in the Chinese Division is inadequate and unable to properly conduct the case load. Inclusion of the Chinese Families in a uniform system of relief under District Offices will eliminate this condition.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
(NOTE: Complaints regarding carfare. Around this item many annoyances and conflicts have arisen. Here again lack of uniform policy has created the difficulty.)	
Complaints that single women receiving $30 a month do not receive carfare, while men working for $40 a month receive carfare regularly without question.	This complaint is completely justified. The only explanation given being that it has always been done that way, which is obviously an injustice to the women who in many instances have one or more dependents to care for, while many of the $40-a-month-men have only a wife to provide for. Adjustment of this condition should be made at once.
Complaints that money provided for carfare is misappropriated through lack of adequate check.	This condition quite possibly exists and is due again to the impossibility of keeping close track of details under the present cumbersome administrative system. The whole carfare problem will be simplified to a minimum in the districting of relief clients and the consequent elimination of occasions for which relief client is forced to use carfare.
Complaints that unnecessary trips requiring carfare are expected of clients who must use their meat checks for this purpose.	Throughout the Relief Administration agencies there seems to have been rather a disregard of the client in this respect. The drain on very meager resources for unnecessary carfare is a factor which, insignificant in itself, becomes a very serious source of misunderstanding and ill-feeling. Careful thought should be given to eliminating the need for the expenditure of carfare on the part of relief clients and uniform regulations for supplying carfare should be applied to all departments of the relief work.
ELIGIBILITY.	
Complaints that persons not eligible are given relief.	It appears from the intensive study of cases undertaken by this Survey that at least 15% of the families now on relief could be removed from the public relief rolls through intensive investigation and careful checking of resources. Considering that the latest report of 11,564 families on relief might be reduced by 1734 cases at an approximate cost of $48,430, for one month (See cost of relief in San Francisco, page 114), the cost to the taxpayers of this item becomes apparent. For detailed discussion of this subject see section on Rules of Eligibility and Individual Case Studies in the Citizens' Agency and Italian Board of Relief.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints that persons who are eligible are not given relief.	There is every indication from the studies made by this Survey that this complaint is not justified save in very rare cases. Relief has been generally allowed under conditions in which there was more than reasonable doubt as to eligibility. The general policy throughout the Relief Administration has been to allow relief, and even special consideration, to persons who made loud and frequent protests rather than to make rigid investigation. San Francisco has always pursued a very liberal policy in caring for needy persons and this system has been perpetuated. Practically all of the cases where complaints have been made as to non-granting of relief which this Survey has investigated showed that they fall into either the classification of definite non-cooperation on the part of the client, or that they were non-residents with established homes elsewhere in communities ready to receive them. In many cases transportation to the legal residence has been offered and refused. This type of protesting non-resident is encountered very generally by newspapers and other quasi-public agencies. In the case of one newspaper woman conducting a column devoted to social service work, her investigation proved that of the large number of indignantly protesting persons who claim they had been refused relief unjustifiedly, the vast majority fell into this non-resident group, and that in the few remaining cases there had been absolute refusal to comply with the general regulations of the Relief Administration.

FOOD.

(NOTE: By far the greatest number of justified complaints considered may be classified under direct food relief as administered in the Citizens' Agency. As the Survey has recommended uniformity of relief and the eliminations of direct delivery of food [See Summary and Recommendations, "Food," page 44] the comments are made very briefly.)

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints regarding quantity of food.	The food budgets in all of the classifications have been based, first, on the Jaffa Budget, and later on the Heller Budget, both recognized nationally as sufficient for the maintenance of health and strength. Complaints in this classification were rather as to the amounts of certain commodities than the question of general adequacy. In many cases lack of knowledge was evidenced on the part of the family as to proper methods of preparation of food, and in some cases lack of proper facilities for cooking. (See section on "Work and Rehabilitation" for suggested cure.)
Complaints regarding quality of food.	In general, the quality of food supplied relief clients appears to have been satisfactory except in the specified instances discussed below.
Substitution of sub-standard canned goods for better types purchased on specification by City Purchasing Agent.	While this Survey encountered no complaints against the quality of canned goods in the families studied, opportunities for substitution and other abuses existed in the warehouse and groceteria, as maintained by the Relief Administration, when the Survey of this institution was made. No responsible executive was in charge of deliveries, and the entire groceteria was administered by persons on relief with very little official supervision. The Survey has recommended the discontinuance of this system entirely, but in any case a rigid inspection directly responsible to the City Purchasing Agent and under his direct supervision should be established wherever food or other commodities are being purchased out of relief funds.
Complaints against quality and condition of fresh vegetables.	In many of the families studied complaints, apparently justified, were made that the fresh vegetables were carelessly selected for packing and very often in wilted and unusable condition. Even refrigerator equipped households would find it difficult to use fresh vegetables a week old, especially leaf vegetables, such as lettuce. The food boxes are delivered only once a week, and the condition of fresh vegetables, however excellent, would certainly suffer. One family reported 10 heads of lettuce and no other vegetables received in one box. There were several similar instances.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints against quality of bacon.	These complaints were rather numerous in the families visited, and in some cases the clients claimed they had been forced to throw the bacon away.
Complaints against variety.	Many of the families visited complained that the standard box did not meet their requirements. Dry cereals were often thrown away. There appeared to be a good deal of waste from the standard box on this account. Reports have been made to this Survey of commodities exchanged or sold by relief clients who could not use them.
Inability to obtain food required for special diets.	Difficulty in securing special food seems to have worked many hardships among relief clients. The inability to secure food for infants has resulted in some rather severe illnesses. Most families on relief accept the standard box without comment and use it as best they can without complaint, but in many instances with waste and discomfort.
	While provision has been made for the supplying of special diets in certain cases the formidable amount of office procedure necessary to insure delivery has created much confusion and in many cases the client has abandoned the attempt to secure the special food.
Persons officially off relief still receiving groceries.	While accounting provision has been made against this condition, it is very likely that food boxes have been delivered in many instances to persons who should not have received them. This may be due to a variety of causes, all of them originating in the lack of frequent contact with the families on relief by the visitors, and possibly accidental, or even deliberate, confusion of records by persons, themselves on relief, handling records. The recommended District Set-up, with frequent visits, and the elimination of direct food relief will cure this condition.
Deliveries of food boxes late at night.	The number of boxes which must be delivered daily possibly justifies this condition. The increasingly familiar relief administration trucks about the City and stopping at the homes of relief clients present one of the evil conditions which will be eliminated if the recommendations of this Survey are accepted.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints that milk sent to relief families is not up to legal standards.	Milk is delivered to relief clients from a number of standard regularly inspected milk companies. Any client previously a customer of any milk company may specify that company as the one from which he may receive milk. There is no evidence that complaints against the quality of milk are justified.
Receiving and cashing of relief meat checks, by persons other than the proper recipient.	These checks are delivered with the food boxes and might quite possibly be received and signed for by persons not entitled to them. The checks are made out to cash, and the endorsement can be easily forged. While theft of checks has undoubtedly occurred in a few instances little evidence of regular peculation appears. The recommendation that each client call at the District Office for his weekly allowance and sign for it in person will eliminate the possibility of abuse in this direction.
COMPLAINTS AGAINST ITALIAN BOARD OF RELIEF SYSTEM OF FOOD RELIEF.	
Complaints that the stores specified for use of grocery orders are limited in number and clients cannot take advantage of lower priced stores.	This complaint, apparently justified, would be cured by the system of direct cash relief recommended by this Survey.
EUREKA BENEVOLENT SOCIETY	As this group are given cash for food relief allowance none of the above complaints are applicable to this group.
CHINESE DIVISION.	
Complaints that all groceries for Chinese families are from one grocery with no alternate.	This complaint is justified. There seems to be no excuse for the continuance of the practice. If direct cash relief is given, as recommended by this Survey, this condition will be automatically cured. In any case, grocery orders should be allowed on any food dealer willing to accept the rules and specifications of the Relief Administration.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
COMPLAINTS OF FOOD BY SINGLE MEN'S GROUP.	
Complaints that the food at the kitchen is monotonous.	This complaint appears to be justified, but only to the extent that might be applied to all large institutional kitchens, feeding a large number of persons daily at a minimum cost. (See Recommendations under the section on "Single Men.")
Complaints that special diets for convalescent and ambulatory cases in Single Men's Group cannot be secured.	This complaint, in most cases justified, will be cured by following the recommendations of this Survey under the classification "Single Men."
CLOTHING.	
(NOTE: Practically all the clothing given to relief clients has been handled by volunteer agencies using such salvage material as could be collected from the public, and working without any established budget or policy. Considering the conditions under which these volunteer groups have worked the results have been amazingly good. Complaints against the methods of clothing distribution must be considered with the above in mind. Most of the complaints in this regard have been received from the relief workers themselves and not from the clients.)	
Clothing supplies insufficient, especially for men and boys.	This condition certainly exists and the New Federal Relief Administration rules allow budgeting for necessary clothing. (See Recommendations under "Clothing.")

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints that persons on relief are sent to clothing centers where no suitable garments are available.	This is a distressing aspect of the clothing situation. With nothing but salvage goods to draw on, clients have had to take their chances of finding suitable garments, and often have been forced to go from one center to another until the required article is secured. This condition is difficult to adjust until adequate clothing supplies are made available, but every care should be taken by the relief workers sending persons for clothing to ascertain as nearly as possible that the specified garments are available. This is another of the dreary trips from one distant point to another which the relief clients have been forced to make because of awkward administrative set-up.
Complaints that workers at various clothing centers are lacking in patience and diligence in finding suitable garments.	Disappointment and fatigue undoubtedly magnify the feeling of the client toward the volunteer worker attempting to give him clothing. Actual nonexistence of the garments needed has probably been interpreted by the client in some cases as lack of zeal on the part of the worker. There is reasonable evidence that more than ordinary care has been exerted by the volunteer groups in giving the best service possible under the circumstances. (See Summary and Recommendations on "Clothing.")
Complaints that new shoes supplied children are of flimsy quality and not suitable for repairing in many cases.	The very small amount of money allowed for clothing purchases has undoubtedly resulted in the buying of cheap shoes and other articles of clothing. A far-sighted clothing policy carefully planned will cure this condition. It is deplorable but hardly censurable.
Complaints that relief clients have sold or traded clothing which have been given by Relief Agencies.	Some care has been taken to clear the gifts of clothing through the various agencies so that duplication should not occur. Many abuses have undoubtedly arisen through the multiplication of agencies giving out clothing, and the lack of centralization of distribution. A system for more exacting check should be made to cure or at least alleviate these abuses, which do not appear to be numerous.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
RENTS AND HOUSING.	
Lack of uniformity in paying of rents for clients on relief.	This complaint is completely justified. There has been no well-defined rent policy up to the present time, and each case has been weighed on its merits, resulting in confusion and bad feeling on the part of all concerned. (For Recommendations see "Summary and Conclusions"—"Rent and Housing.")
Complaints that clients on relief are living in unfit quarters, crowded, and not abiding by legal regulations for sanitation, etc.	This complaint is justified in many cases. It has been recommended by this Survey that no rent be paid for any premises occupied by relief clients without a certificate of inspection by the Board of Health. (See "Summary and Conclusions"—"Rent and Housing.")
Complaints that Relief Administration has encouraged deception and exploitation of landlords on the part of clients.	Some of the practices, apparently justifying these complaints, have been discontinued, but the instability of methods of paying rent for relief clients makes all manner of abuse possible. A uniform policy, as recommended, will eliminate the possibility of misunderstanding and other similar difficulties.
Complaints that rent checks, as now issued, cover (by arbitrary supposition of agency) rent from date of issuance to same date in following month, regardless of date upon which the rent is due and payable. Workers will not discuss rent with client nor authorize it until day it is actually due. Four or five more days pass while the rent authorization and check goes through office routing and mail.	This practice undoubtedly causes much friction and dissatisfaction between landlords and clients even in the cases where the rent is paid by the Relief Administration, and should be adjusted.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
PUBLIC UTILITIES AND FUEL.	
Complaints that work test for men working for fuel is poorly organized, and that work done for this purpose has not been properly credited.	This complaint was apparently so completely justified that the practice of work test for light and electric payments has been discontinued, although the work test for wood and coal is still in practice. This discrimination between those persons fortunate enough to have gas stoves and those who must use wood and coal stoves for cooking, seems entirely unjust. (See Recommendations on "Public Utilities and Fuel.")
Complaints on the part of clients that kindling and wood supplied is too green for burning.	This complaint is justified and has been recognized by the Relief Administration, which is seasoning the wood now being cut, and supplying coal for fuel.
Complaints of general confusion and lack of uniformity in paying of light, water, and gas bills.	These complaints are apparently thoroughly justified and can be cured only by readjustment of administration practice. (See "Summary and Recommendations" on "Public Utilities and Fuel.")
SINGLE MEN.	
Complaints that shelters are crowded and unsanitary.	These complaints are justified. See detailed discussion under "Single Men."
Complaints that there is no provision for convalescent and ambulatory medical cases among single men living in shelters who need a place to rest during the day.	This is a serious hardship, and will be cured by following recommendations cited under "Summary and Recommendations" on "Single Men."
Lack of uniform rule among shelters as to how late men may stay out at night.	This complaint is justified and is based on the fundamental lack of uniformity created by the use of different private agencies, each having its own set of regulations. This condition is subject to the same recommendations as to the establishment of uniform treatment in all classes.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
WORK AND REHABILITATION.	
Complaints that men are assigned to work projects with little regard to their fitness for the work.	This complaint is completely justified and has been discussed at length in "Summary and Recommendations" on "Work and Rehabilitation."
Complaints that safety regulations on work projects are faulty and that working conditions are dangerous to the relief clients employed.	There have been no adequate records kept of industrial accidents from which a comparative study could be made, but the fact that three men have been killed on one project in the past few months indicates that this complaint should at least be carefully considered. Practically no official attention has been paid to checking in this respect. For detailed discussion and recommendations see section on "Work and Rehabilitation."
Complaints that work projects are too far from residence of clients.	Some care should undoubtedly be taken through segregation as to residence of relief clients in allotments, but men regularly employed in ordinary industry are seldom chosen by reason of residence in any particular part of the city. Segregation should certainly be made of elderly, feeble, or otherwise incapacitated men in sending them to distant projects or to work which requires a great expenditure of physical energy.
Complaints that City employed foremen do not comply with routine in returning records of work test to relief offices, and that consequent accurate check on meeting of work test on the part of clients is difficult.	This condition exists in a considerable number of cases. Inasmuch as the entire system of relief for families is built on the performing of the work test by the male head of the family, the failure to turn in records creates a hardship in many cases and an entirely unnecessary misunderstanding and conflict between the relief clients and the agencies. Careful regulation of this condition should be made.
Complaints by Relief Workers that the public is taking advantage of the distress of the unemployed by expecting employees to work at starvation wages.	This is one of the most evil results of the unemployment emergency. Housewives using domestic help are the worst offenders in this respect. Relief Agencies have attempted to set a minimum standard of pay when relief clients are sent out to employers, especially for domestic service, but the abuse in this respect is a disgraceful comment on the greed of women whose social position and standing in the community should prohibit such practice.

COMPLAINTS.	COMMENTS.
Complaints that some heads of families do not meet the work test, and yet are receiving relief.	In some cases men with families have been kept on relief though employed full time at such low wages that the relief must be continued or the family be inadequately fed. It is outrageous that public funds should be used to subsidize greedy employers, but this condition is not in the control of the Relief Administration. Public opinion is the only real cure, and should be involved wherever possible.
Complaints by relief workers that relief clients are exploited by unscrupulous private employment agencies who extort fees without rendering any service.	This is another example of inhumanity which should not be tolerated by the decent people of the community. Coordination of City, State and Federal Employment facilities and well-defined plans for vocational segregation and rehabilitation in the relief or related organizations will cure this condition to some extent. This is one of the most disheartening problems which the relief workers must face and cannot be solved without the understanding and support of the general public. Exploitation of the unemployed should be denounced ruthlessly wherever it appears.
MEDICAL CARE.	
Inadequate provision for dental care and supplying of eye-glasses, dentures, and other medical appliances.	This condition exists, and is one of the serious problems to be faced by any relief program contemplating rehabilitation and re-employment. There is no allowance under the Federal rulings for these items, and some provision will have to be made if this need is to be met. The Relief Administration has done everything possible in this respect through individual donations from private sources.

SECTION III.
Special Studies.

STUDY OF ONE HUNDRED FAMILIES RECEIVING AID THROUGH THE CITIZENS' AGENCY

In order to obtain a fairly accurate and unprejudiced idea of the unemployment relief situation in San Francisco, the Survey Committee undertook a quick but intensive survey of families in which the breadwinner was unemployed and which, because of this emergency, were receiving aid from City funds. It was hoped that such a survey would not only provide valuable information concerning the actual existing conditions and problems faced by such families but would also assist in formulating plans for a more efficient and satisfactory manner of coping with the unemployment relief situation during the coming winter. In addition, special emphasis was placed upon an investigation into the eligibility of families for City aid, particularly in regard to residence and income qualifications.

Since the time allotted to the survey was limited it was necessary to restrict the study to a small number of families; the sampling was therefore of primary importance. In order to obtain as representative a group of families as possible an attempt was made to select at random one family from the active case file of each visitor employed by the several relief agencies. It was hoped that such a selection would not only eliminate to a great extent the personal equation among visitors but would provide a fair distribution and scattering of cases, inasmuch as the visitors are usually districted throughout the city.

The director of the Survey explained the project to the heads of the several relief organizations handling City unemployment relief funds, and was provided with every courtesy and facility in the undertaking. She personally selected the cases from the files of the various visitors; such cases were carefully scrutinized by her two assistants to ascertain if they were typical unemployment cases, i.e., one in which the family had sought aid exclusively because the male head of the family was unemployed. Priority was given to families with children, and in order to eliminate all cases of long standing where other than unemployment factors may have entered, only those cases which were opened for the first time during the past three years were included in the survey. In order also to preclude any possibility of the case workers' inability to complete the proper investigation and correspondence relative to residence and other eligibility requirements no cases were included which had come on relief for the first time during the past six months, i.e., during the year 1933. If the first case pulled from the files did not meet with these requirements, a second or third was pulled until a typical unemployment case was found.

The case records thus selected were kept in a file provided by the agency for the Survey workers. No visitor was again allowed to handle the record or contact the family until the Survey was completed. The visitor, however, was permitted to send in additional information regarding the case if such were at hand; all such additional data were attached to the case record by the Survey worker.

The Survey worker perused the case records carefully, noting certain items which would aid her in the home interview and taking down definite information regarding residence and other eligibility factors on schedules designed for the purpose.

The two field workers employed by the Survey Committee divided

*Report prepared by Frances M. Welch.

the city into two parts, taking Market street as the dividing line; all families living north of this street were visited by one and all living south by the other field worker.

The families were next contacted, the Survey explained, and their cooperation solicited.[1] Certain information concerning residence was requested; bank books, insurance policies and all papers concerning property or investments were carefully scrutinized. Information regarding the general living conditions—housing, the clothing problem, and the general health of the family—was obtained. An attempt was made to ascertain the source of funds for current expenditures such as gas, electricity, water and fuel. Other matters discussed with the families were recreational facilities, efforts of rehabilitation and the prospects of reemployment. Incidentally the general morale of the families and their relations to the agency were ascertained.

The present report covers data obtained from the case records and home interviews of 100 families which were receiving aid from the City unemployment relief funds through the San Francisco Associated Charities.

The following figures indicate the year these 100 selected families first sought public assistance:

Year Case Opened	Number of Families
1930	14
1931	33
1932 (January 1-June 30, 32; July 1-December 31, 21)	53
	100

It is evident from these figures that most of the families investigated had been on aid for 1½ or more years; all but 21 had been on relief over a year when the Survey was undertaken.

LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

The number of years' residence in San Francisco was obtained by only one of the field workers (for families living north of Market street). Five of the 50 families visited by this worker reported that the male head of the family had been born and raised in San Francisco; 4 families did not report definitely on the subject; the heads of the remaining 41 families had lived in the city from 2 to 43 years with an average residence of 10 mean, 8 median, years. The following table indicates that nearly one-third of these families had lived in San Francisco less than 5, and three-fifths less than 10 years:

No. Years Residence in San Francisco	Families Reporting No.	Per cent
Less than 5 years	13	31.7
5 to 9.9 years	12	29.2
10 to 14.9 years	9	21.9
15 to 19.9 years	2	5.0
20 years or over	5	12.2
	41	100.0

VERIFICATION OF RESIDENCE.

Confirmation of legal residence was established by the agency in various ways; the majority of the families verified such residence by social reference; employment and business references came next in importance, and confirmation by relatives took third place. The various sources of residence verification may be noted in the following table:

[1]Official credentials from Citizens' Committee were carried by the field workers, although these were seldom, if ever, used.

Source of Residence Verification	No. Families
Social Service Exchange	8
Employment record	37
Business reference	6
Social reference	60
Relatives	13
School records	2
Other: Landlords	4
Property statement	2

Sixty-three of the 100 families gave only one type of such verification; this was usually a social reference which was not always reliable; 24 families gave two sources of confirmation—usually a social reference and an employment record or business reference; 6 families gave three verifications. No residence confirmation of any kind was on file for 7 of the 100 cases investigated.

INCOME.

Twenty-seven of the 100 families reported some definite source of income; this ranged from $10 to $75 and averaged $39.22 mean, $40 median, a month. Eight men were working full time for the Associated Charities, 6 of these were receiving $40, one $35, and one $30 a month for such services. The following table gives some idea of the income range for the 27 families:

Monthly Income	Families No.	Families Per cent
$10—$19.9	2	7.4
20— 29.9	7	25.9
30— 39.9	3	11.1
40— 49.9	7	25.9
50— 59.9	4	14.8
60— 69.9	3	11.1
70— 79.9	1	3.8
	27	100.0

Fifty-three additional families reported casual earnings from odd jobs performed by the man or other members of the family, but were not able to give any definite idea of the amounts received; the remaining 20 families reported that they had no source of income whatever.

BANK AUTHORIZATION.

Authorizations permitting search of all bank accounts had been signed by the families. Accounts ranging from $1 to $87.91 were reported in 11 instances; the average bank account for these families was $26.38 mean, $9.77 median.

INSURANCE.

Thirty-four of the 100 families reported that they were carrying life insurance for some member of the family; 27 of the men were insured; such policies ranged from $125 to $5,000—the premiums ranged from $0.33 to $13.68 monthly. Six wives were insured and in 10 families the children were insured for some small amount. Small industrial policies were most frequently reported. Many families reported that they had previously carried insurance but that all policies had lapsed.

The monthly insurance premiums reported by 18 families which were on full food relief were as follows:

Amount of Premium	No. of Families
$ .33	1
1.00	1
1.08	3
1.09	1
2.16	1
2.20	1
2.90	1
3.38	1
3.52	1
3.90	1
6.93	1
7.00	1
7.02	1
7.58	1
12.00	1
15.80	1

STOCKS, BONDS, OR OTHER MARKETABLE PROPERTY.

Only 2 families reported the possession of securities; one man reported that he owned a few "dead" shares of laundry stock and was receiving no dividends; another man reported owning 25 shares of Borden, listed at $35.50 on June 20, 1933, when the home visit was made—a total value of $887. This latter case was, of course, strikingly ineligible for aid from City unemployment relief funds.

REAL PROPERTY.

Owned Homes.

Of the 100 families visited, 20 owned or were buying their homes.* The purchase price of the homes was obtained from 10 of these home owners; these figures ranged from $2,500 to $7,500 and averaged $5,080 mean, $5,000 median. The median value of owned homes in San Francisco according to the 1930 U. S. census was $6,783.

Three homes were owned clear of encumbrance; first mortgages for the 14 families who reported accurately on the subject ranged from $220 to $4,000 and averaged $1,705 mean, and $1,600 median.

Interest payments were met by the family out of casual earnings, from money borrowed from relatives or friends, or from the Associated Charities meat check; very occasionally it was paid directly by the Associated Charities. Most of these payments were in arrears from one to six months.

Interest payments—on both first and second mortgages—ranged from $3.20 to $23.31 and averaged $12.65 mean, $12.83 median, per month.

Money for taxes had usually been raised in some manner. There were two veteran-exempt homes and taxes for the remaining homes ranged from $22 to $100 a year.

ADDITIONAL PROPERTY.

Two families owned unimproved property in addition to their homes. One man owned a lot in San Carlos, San Mateo county, for which he had paid $600—the taxes amounted to $7.50 yearly; the other man, who owned a $7,500 home upon which he reported carrying a mortgage with interest payments amounting to $13.10 monthly, owned an adjoining unimproved corner lot which was assessed for $750.

*One additional family owned a house and lot in Whittier, Cal., where they had formerly lived. This house was now rented for $20 a month. There was a mortgage of $800 on the property.

RENTED HOMES.

Of the 80 tenants, 3 were allowed to live rent free in domiciles owned by relatives; 3 others performed certain services in lieu of rent; the remaining 74 families paid from $10 to $45 a month for housing facilities. Nearly two-thirds of these latter families paid from $15 to $25, inclusive, a month for rent. The average rental was $20.58 mean, $20.00 median.

Monthly Rental	Families No.	Per cent
$10—$14.9	10	13.5
15— 19.9	20	27.0
20— 24.9	22	29.7
25— 29.9	15	20.3
30— 34.9	4	5.4
35 and over	3	4.1
	74	100.0

Mean, $20.58; medium, $20.

The Associated Charities had assisted 39 of the 74 families in paying their rent at one time or another; 20 were having the rent paid by this organization at the time of the Survey visit.

Thirty-four, or 46 per cent, of the 74 tenants were behind in their rent payments; such delinquencies ranged from one to eighteen months and averaged 4.53 mean, 3.05 median, months. This, of course, included only arrears for the present domicile. Many families had piled up delinquency payments at previous residences and had moved to avoid payment of such debts; one family had moved 9 times within 2 years; another 8 times in 2½ years; several had moved four or five times within a 2-year period. Seven families reported definite evictions and 7 others stated that they had received eviction notices before moving on.

TYPE OF DWELLING.

Statistics covering the type of dwelling occupied by the families were available for the district north of Market street only. These were as follows:

Type of Dwelling		No. Families
1-family dwelling		32
Flats:		
Furnished	3	
Unfurnished	27	30
Apartments:		
Furnished	16	
Unfurnished	8	24
Housekeeping rooms		14
Total		100

Although most of the families contained children[1], it was evident that only about one-third occupied single-family dwellings—the majority of the families were occupying flats, apartments or cheap housekeeping rooms. Frequently one found the family huddled together in a few dreary basement rooms; on the other hand, one visited families housed in comfortable and attractive modern homes near the park and on the avenues. The Survey visitors rated the 100 homes visited as follows: Excellent, 8; good, 50; fair, 33, and poor, 9.

[1]See page 2, paragraph 1.

SIZE OF FAMILY.

Since, in the selection of cases, priority was given to families with children, it was not surprising to find that two-thirds of these families contained 2 or more children; the families ranged in size from 2 to 8 members and averaged 4.37 mean, 5 median, persons. According to the 15th U. S. census, the average size of a San Francisco family in 1930 was 2.51 persons. The following table indicates the size of the 100 families in the Survey:

Size of Family	No. Families
2	12
3	20
4	23
5	20
6	10
7	13
8	1
	100

MAINTENANCE.

Gas and Electricity.

Gas and electric bills were frequently paid by the Associated Charities; if paid by the family, funds were often obtained from the Associated Charities meat check; occasionally money for such expenses was obtained from the casual earnings of the father or other members of the family.

The following table indicates the source of payment and the amount paid for gas and electricity:

Source of Payment	No. Families
Included in rent	18
Paid by family	37
Paid by Associated Charities[1]	29
Paid by Associated Charities assistance[2]	11
Paid by relatives	1
Paid in services	1
Not using	3
	100

Amount of Payment for Gas and Electricity.

No. of families in which payment included in rent	18
No. of families not using gas or electricity	3
No. of families paying by services rendered	1
No. of families not reporting payment	15
No. of families reporting	63

Amt. of Payment	No. Families
$1.50	1[3]
2.00	5
2.50	9
3.00	13
3.50	12
4.00	13
4.50	3
5.00	6
5.50	–
6.00	1
	63

Mean, $3.44; median, $3.50.

[1]Being paid in full at present by Associated Charities.
[2]Associated Charities has paid the bill in part or is assisting the family irregularly.
[3]Family using only gas.

Although a "shut off" notice had apparently been received by the Associated Charities in all cases before they guaranteed the payment of gas and electricity, 3 families were evidently doing without such facilities more or less permanently; they were using lamps or candles for light and a wood stove for cooking. The Associated Charities was paying and assuming responsibility for only current accounts. All back bills were still an obligation of the family and would eventually have to be paid by such family.

Water.

Water was usually included in the rent; 70 of the 80 tenants reported such an arrangement. The Associated Charities was paying water charges in whole or in part for 13 families; a relative was paying for one family; in the remaining 16 cases the families were paying their own water bill—again the meat check was often used. This charge was usually $1.50 a month.

Fuel.

Wood was generally used for fuel. This was provided by the family, was donated by friends or shops, or was received from the Associated Charities. One man described dragging a log from the beach and cutting it up to provide fuel for the coming winter. There were 6 steam-heated apartments; 4 families were using coal oil stoves—the oil frequently purchased from the Associated Charities meat check; 7 families reported that they had no heating facilities whatever.

Many complaints were received regarding the fuel situation as handled by the Associated Charities; among these were the following:

1—The great distance to the fuel work places. Several men reported that they had to travel two hours to and from work.

2—The amount of work required. One man complained that one-half day's work had to be given for two sacks of kindling only three-fourths full.

3—Kindling is too green. It is impossible to get the fire started with this.

Because of the above conditions, several families reported that they were using the grocery boxes received from the Associated Charities for kindling instead of returning them to the organization.

Food.

Of the 100 families visited, 26 were receiving the standard food box, 58 were served from the groceteria and 16 received their food through the mail order system.

The food was usually adequate in amount but complaints were received regarding the lack of variety, particularly in cases of families of adults; many reported that there were too many starchy foods and that they were precluded from selecting other kinds of food because of the excessive number of units required. Some complained of wilted and spoiled vegetables which had to be discarded while the family endeavored to buy suitable substitutes or did without. Difficulty in securing baby bags when needed was reported; on one visit the mother was greatly disturbed because the baby had been rushed to the hospital in convulsions the night before and the doctor had explained that this illness had been due to the wrong kinds of food being given to the child; the mother told the visitor that she had been forced to give the baby this food as she had not been able to obtain a baby bag.

Clothing.

Clothing consisted mainly of "made-overs" or "hand-me-downs" from relatives or friends; some families reported that they had been fairly well provided with clothing before the man lost his job and

were thus able to make their things do; others reported a great need for suitable wearing apparel. The man of the family and the older boys were usually in need of suits and shoes; they felt very much handicapped in looking for work because of their shabby appearance. This was particularly true in the case of the "white collar" workers.

The Parent-Teacher Association appeared to be providing fairly adequately for school children and in some cases for the pre-school child. Twenty families mentioned receiving such assistance.

The Daily News was providing for some families, especially for adults. Although gratitude was expressed for this assistance, there were several complaints as to the manner of handling clothing by this organization.

Twenty-four families reported receiving clothing and shoes from the Associated Charities. Complaints were also received regarding the time and carfare necessary in order to receive such aid, especially in regard to shoe repairing.

Household Equipment.

Several cases of inadequate bedding, blankets, etc., were reported. It has been generally impossible to replace worn-out towels, sheets, etc.

Health.

The general health of the families was good. Public clinics and hospitals appeared to be fairly well patronized in case of illness. Of the 100 families visited, 13 men reported some sort of ailment at the present time; 14 wives were either attending clinics or complained of a more or less serious health condition—2 were diabetic, 2 were pregnant; 14 children were attending clinics; there were several crippled children in the households and two definite cases of tuberculosis—one a young wife, the other an 18-year-old daughter. Several families reported the need of eye-glasses and many needed dental care.

Complaints were made by some families that clinics did not pay much attention to relief patients; that they could not afford the small fees charged; that they had to wait an interminably long time before being attended to; or that they could not afford to buy the medicines prescribed and not supplied by the Associated Charities.

Automobiles.

Thirteen families reported owning cars; the makes and years were as follows:

Make	Year
Cadillac	1920
Chevrolet	1920
Chevrolet	1925
Chevrolet	1927
Dodge	1922
Dodge	1925
Dodge	1926
Dodge	1926
Durant	1926
Studebaker	1925
Ford	T Model (3 families)

Two families owned trucks—one a Ford 1928 model; the other was reported as "an old truck."

One family had turned in the license plates of the car; others reported that they never used the car because of the cost of gasoline; some explained that they used it for errands, for seeking work, or even in the work in which they were at present engaged; only four families reported using the car for recreational purposes.

Recreation.

When questioned regarding their recreational activities, most of the families stated that this consisted only in visiting friends or relatives, or in going on walks, especially in the park if they lived in the vicinity. Nearly half (43) of the families owned radios, 7 had pianos and 3 victrolas; one man was a musician and spent much of his time practicing with his orchestra which incidentally hopes to be reinstated in the Fox Theatre shortly; he spent the remainder of his spare time working in his garden and was growing most gorgeous flowers.

Reading was reported as a definite leisure time activity by others, especially the men; only 10 families reported attending the movies and these hastened to explain that they were taken by friends or relatives.

The children utilized the public and school playgrounds to a great extent; a few mentioned swimming at the beach or at the Fleishhacker Pool and one reported attending affairs at the Community Center. Other activities listed were auto and street car rides, window shopping, handball and church activities; one young man mentioned attending the Y. M. C. A. and one daughter belonged to the Y. W. C. A.

Rehabilitation and Morale.

Little was being done in the way of rehabilitation in these families. As one man explained, it was very difficult under the existing conditions and mental strain to study or improve one's general fitness or efficiency. The majority of the men had been engaged in the unskilled or semi-skilled occupations and did not feel any incentive for improving their lot; the "white collar" men did not have the money for courses of study even if they had been interested in bettering their general knowledge or abilities. Community centers, lectures or night classes of study were seldom if ever mentioned. The children, however, were taking advantage of public and school playgrounds and activities.

The morale of the people was, in general, good. Most of them felt that the "depression" was temporary and that it was only a matter of time before the head of the household and perhaps other members of the family would be back at work and that they would soon return to their former position of independence.

There were cases, however, in which the man, his wife, or both, were very much discouraged; this was especially true of the older men—from 50 upwards—who felt that they would never again be able to provide adequately for their families. Some younger men were also plainly disheartened. One man said he simply did not look for work any more; that he had not even had a casual job for over a year. Others expressed the opinion that such long periods of unemployment and the necessity of public aid were degrading to men accustomed to work, and that they would never entirely recover from such an experience. Some expressed bitterness regarding the situation and were very critical of the existing economic order.

Occasionally one found a member of a family who was apathetic and who was accepting the present situation without question and without any plans or thoughts for the future; these resigned individuals are examples of a broken down morale which will be most difficult to reinstate.

Relations to Agency.

In general there was a friendly and happy relationship between the client and the agency. Most people felt kindly toward the Associated Charities and grateful for the assistance it has rendered.

There were, however, families who, although on relief for a year or more, appeared to have had little contact with the organization. Oc-

casionally the family did not know the visitor; there was no record of a home visit having been made in one instance.

There were, of course, criticisms and complaints; the most bitter being from men who had formerly been on the $40-a-month basis and who for one reason or another were no longer so employed.

There were also families who felt that they were being discriminated against, especially in regard to rent payments—some suggesting that certain visitors were able to obtain more in this regard for their clients than others.

Occasionally a decidedly antagonistic attitude was encountered; in these cases there was bitter criticism regarding the manner in which relief was being administered, but all at least admitted that people had not been allowed to go hungry.

Questions of Eligibility.

All cases investigated were checked up strictly against the rules for eligibility as prescribed by the Citizens' Committee of San Francisco. It was rather illuminating to find that a question arose regarding such eligibility for 32 of the 100 cases in the study.

Questions relative to residence arose in regard to 8 cases; property qualifications were not adhered to in two instances; 7 families had increased their equity in homes while on aid; it was probable that responsible relatives might have cared for 4 additional families; and it appeared that 11 families were receiving incomes in excess of the amounts entitling them to full food relief as set forth on the schedules drawn up by the Citizens' Committee.

After discussing these cases with the agency supervisors and visitors it was apparent that a majority of these families would have to remain on aid at this time due to present need. One felt, however, that had it been possible to investigate the cases carefully when the applications for aid were first received, and had the case load of the visitors been small enough to enable them to do adequate case work, a large part of these families would not have become a charge against City unemployment relief funds.

APPENDIX B.

Complaints Regarding Food.[1]

The Standard Food Box—

1—Too much cereal and cheese for family of adults; needs more milk.
2—Adequate—takes the standard box because of difficulty in delivery when they were using the groceteria.
3—Not satisfied—too much of one kind of food and not enough of others.
4—Cannot use cereals—had to buy additional food to make up.
5—Waste—because of wrong kind of food sent—too much flour, potatoes. Two dozen eggs—family cannot use these so give them away (family of 2 adults).
6—Not adequate—not enough for children who have large appetites—not enough bread, meat, etc.

The Groceteria—

1—Food good quality but not enough.
2—Could use more, especially bread.
3—Sufficient, except for milk (get 1 pint for 3 people).
4—Not enough.
5—Needs more milk.
6—Not enough for family.
7—Not quite adequate.
8—No variety—not enough for week.

[1]As taken directly from the schedules filled in by visitors.

9—There are things needed for small children which they cannot get from the groceteria.
10—Good food, but it lacks variety.
11—Vegetables sometimes have to be thrown away—family has to buy others.

Mail Order—

1—Suggest being allowed to buy own vegetables; 10 heads of lettuce received last week—no other vegetables; difficult to use and not waste and in need of other things.
2—Complained that often food not good; had to throw bacon away several times.

ITALIAN BOARD OF RELIEF

As part of the field study made of families receiving aid from City funds because of unemployment, twenty-five families receiving such aid through the Italian Board of Relief were visited. The method of selecting these cases is described in the introduction to this report.

All the case records are on file at the main office of the Italian Board of Relief, and the closed cases are kept in the same file as the open cases, and since few of the closed cases had closing entries, some difficulty was experienced in choosing twenty-five open cases; that is, families who were receiving aid at the time the Survey was being made. This difficulty was further enhanced by the fact that the agency's visitors, because of the heavy case loads, have been unable to make adequate entries on the case records, and it was finally necessary to check with the accounting files in the office in order to make certain that the twenty-five cases selected were active with the organization. Since the Survey was to deal only with those families where unemployment was the sole cause of need, families known to the agency before the beginning of the business depression were eliminated. In order to make certain that the families had been known to the agency long enough for the necessary investigations of residence, resources and other eligibility requirements to have been made, no case was taken which had become known to the Italian Board of Relief for the first time in 1933. As it happened, all of the twenty-five cases finally selected first applied for public aid some time in 1932—no case later than September, 1932—so that no case studied had been known to the agency less than nine months.

It was expected that the Survey worker would be able to get certain basic information regarding the families from the case records, but it was found that, in only a very few cases, did the case record contain more than the barest face sheet or other identifying and informative material. It was, therefore, necessary to obtain practically all needed information at the time of the home visit, made in each case by the Survey worker.

Residence.

Verification of residence from information contained in the case records was possible in only three instances. In each of these three cases verification had been made through employment records. In two other cases residence verification was possible because these families were buying their homes, and the property statements gave proof of legal residence in San Francisco. In one case there is proof in the record that the family is a non-resident one. It came to San Francisco in December, 1931, and applied for aid in May, 1932. Cook County, Illinois, has acknowledged that this family has legal residence there. In the remaining nineteen cases there was no proof of legal residence in San Francisco, but the Survey worker was convinced that the family had legal residence in all cases, with the possible exception of one case and proof could readily have been obtained through employment or school records.

Income.

Of the twenty-five families studied, ten reported some fairly regular monthly income, ranging from $8.00 to $67.00 a month, as follows:

No. of Families	Income
1	$ 8.00
3	10.00
1	15.00
5	

No. of Families	Income
1	$40.00
1	45.00
1	48.00
1	50.00
1	67.00
5	

From this it appears that half of the families report monthly incomes of $15.00 or less, averaging $10.00 a month. The other half falls into a much higher group; their incomes ranging from $40.00 to $67.00 a month with an average of $50.00 a month.

Five families reported some irregular, casual earnings but were unable to make statements for the month. Six families reported no income, even from occasional odd jobs.

One family reported an income of $25.00 a month from the rental of an upper flat in their home, but explained that this money was used to meet interest and principal payments on the mortgage on the home.

In one family the man was working on the $40.00-a-month basis.

In two families no information as to income could be obtained. Although the Survey worker called on these families eight or nine times, at various hours of the day, no member of the family was ever found at home.

Bank Authorizations.

Signed bank authorizations were found in ten case records. Reports on eight of these had been received; on two no report had been filed. Of the eight reports received and on file in the case record, only one reported an account, the sum of $2.41. Several reports indicated closed accounts, no amounts or dates given. In the remaining fourteen cases there was nothing in the record to indicate that a bank authorization had been signed.

Insurance.

Only five families of the twenty-five reported that they were carrying insurance for some member of the family. Of these, two were endowment policies; the premiums in one case being $3.25 a month and in the other $13.13 a month. This latter family is receiving only partial food relief—groceries and milk. The third family reporting insurance was carrying a straight life policy for the man; the premium being $2.50 a month. The fifth family was carrying a policy for a five-year-old child, which provided nursing service. The premiums on this policy amounted to fifty cents a week.

Eighteen families reported no insurance, although in several cases policies had lapsed. No information was available in two cases.

Stocks, Bonds and Other Marketable Securities.

Only one family reported possession of securities—seven shares of Simmons Bed Company, listed at $21.50 a share, on 7-26-'33. Total value, $150.50.

Real Property.

Of the twenty-five families two were buying their homes. On one there was a mortgage of $2100 on which monthly payments of $10.50 were being made. On the other there was a mortgage of $2500 on which interest payments of $12.50 a month were being made, and a second mortgage of $1548, on which principal payments of $13.39 a

month were being made. The home was a two-family dwelling and the family was renting the upper flat for $25.00 a month.

Two families were living with relatives, also on relief, who were buying their homes.

One family had lost the home they were buying in a foreclosure sale, held only a few days before the family was visited by the Survey worker. They were expecting to be allowed to live in the home for awhile, at least, rent free, as the property had been bought in by the woman's brother.

Additional Property.

One family reported owning an unimproved lot in addition to their home. This second piece of property is in Santa Cruz. The purchase price was $775.00 in 1921, and the property is unencumbered.

Rented Homes.

Of the twenty families renting their homes, two were being given rent free by their relatives at the time of the Survey. One was doing some work for the landlord to offset the back payments owed him. In one case no information was available as to the amount of rent paid.

Monthly rents paid by the remaining sixteen families ranged from $15.00 to $24.00 a month, and averaged $20.00 a month.

Only four families of the sixteen were not in arrears with their rent payments. Of these four, one was working on the $40.00 a month basis. The other twelve families were in arrears for periods varying from one month to one year; the average period of arrears being six months. The following table shows the periods in arrears for the twelve families in question.

No. Months In Arrears	No. of Families
1	2
2	2
3	4
4	1
6	1
8	1
12	1

In four of the sixteen cases where the family pays rent, the Italian Board of Relief is either now helping the family meet the rent or has helped in the past. The following table shows the monthly rentals for the sixteen families in question.

Amount of Rent	No. of Families
$15.00	1
16.00	3
18.00	2
20.00	4
21.00	1
21.50	1
22.00	1
22.50	1
23.50	1
24.00	1
	16

Type of Dwelling.

The housing for the most part was good or at least fair. In only four cases did the Survey worker feel that a change in living quarters for the family was indicated. Given below are the various types of

dwellings and the number of families occupying such types of dwellings.

Type of Dwelling	No. Families
One-Family House	7
Two-Family House	2
Apartment—Unfurnished	1
Flat—Unfurnished	10
Housekeeping Rooms	2
Living with relatives in one-family house	3
	25

Size of Family.

As mentioned before, in the selection of families only families where there were children were taken. The families ranged in size from three to eight members. The following table indicates the size of the twenty-five families selected.

No. of Families	Size of Family
9	3
3	4
5	5
4	6
2	7
2	8
25	

Maintenance—Gas and Electricity.

Twenty of the twenty-five families were using both gas and electricity. Two were using only electricity; in one case both gas and electricity had been turned off, and in two cases no information was available.

The following table indicates the source of payment in the case of the twenty families using both gas and electricity.

Source of Payment	No. of Families
Family	17
Italian Board of Relief	2
Included in rent	1
	20

In the case of the seventeen families attempting to meet their own bills for gas and electricity, it was frequently reported that the meat check was used for this purpose. Often an occasional day's work enabled the family to make payment. Temporary shut-offs were common; the service being turned on either at the request of the Italian Board of Relief or when the family had been able to borrow money to meet the current monthly bill.

The amounts paid for gas and electricity by these seventeen families ranges from $2.00 to $5.00 monthly as indicated below:

No. of Families	Amount Paid
1	$2.00
1	2.50
3	3.00
3	3.50
7	4.00
2	5.00
17	

The two families without gas service were using a wood stove for cooking.

A number of families reported that they owed back bills which they saw no prospect of paying.

Water.

In fourteen cases this item was included in the rent. In one case the Italian Board of Relief was paying the water bill regularly, and in two cases no information was available. In eight cases the family was attempting to meet the bill, often using its cash food allowance.

Fuel.

A large majority of the families had wood stoves which were used both for cooking and heating. The wood was obtained in various ways, generally the man or children gathering it up from neighborhood sources. In a few cases where there was no wood stove the gas range was used for heat when it was absolutely necessary.

Clothing.

Most frequently it was reported that friends and relatives had helped in the matter of clothing, particularly for the children. Several families had sewing machines, which made it easier for them to utilize these gifts. A few families reported that the children had received clothing from the Parent-Teacher Association and one family had received help in this direction from the Italian Board of Relief. It appeared that the men and grown sons of the families stood most in need of clothing. One man, a bookkeeper, reported that his only suit had been in pawn many times, and that at least once he had been told of a possible job but was unable to apply for it because he could not raise the few dollars necessary to get his suit out of pawn.

Health.

The health of the families was almost uniformly good. Two families reported recent serious illness of children. Slight temporary ailments of children were reported in a few cases, but none at the time the Survey was being made. Only one man reported a definite chronic ailment (rheumatism). In the case of four women there was a question of a more or less serious health condition. Most families made use of clinic facilities when necessary; a few were still availing themselves of the services of a family physician, though the usual fee was not being paid.

Automobiles.

Only two families reported owning cars. One was a 1924 Dodge and the other a 1927 Chevrolet. In both cases the cars were used for work when necessary. Another man had sold his car to a friend who was permitting him to use it when necessary for work.

Recreation.

Almost invariably, friends and relatives were reported to be sole source of recreation for the family. This usually took the form of an outing to the park or beach in an automobile owned by friends or relatives. A few families owned pianos or other musical instruments.

Street play appeared to be more common both with the younger children and the older boys and girls in this group than any form of organized and supervised form of recreation.

Rehabilitation and Morale.

Apparently the question of rehabilitation or training in some trade has never been put to any of the families visited, and there was less response to this point than to any other matter brought up for discussion by the Survey worker. In a number of cases there were chil-

dren who appeared to need and could profit by some sound vocational guidance.

In general there seemed to be an apathetic acceptance of the depression with its attendant hardships. In a few cases, where the man had had regular employment over a long period of time with the same firm, some bitterness was expressed at being "let out" with nothing to fall back on. Only in one or two instances was there indicated by the woman a feeling that her husband was not making every possible effort to get work.

Food.

Families receiving aid through the Italian Board of Relief are given their food allowance in the form of weekly grocery orders made on various stores or markets. In addition, in those cases where the family is on full food relief, a weekly check is sent for meat and bread, and where there are children milk is also sent to the family.

A large majority of the families visited complained of the inadequacy of the food allowance. Many families felt that they could do better, even on their present allowance, if they were free to shop in the large markets or chain stores, where they believe prices are lower and where they could take advantage of sales. A number of families reported that they owed large bills; in one case it was as high as $50, for additional food that they had had to buy on credit. Bread was most frequently bought in this way.

Questions of Eligibility.

Residence

One family (cited under Residence) had definitely no legal residence in San Francisco.

A second family had come on aid seven months after returning to San Francisco, from ten years' residence in Italy. This family also had legally responsible relatives, evidently able to contribute to its support.

Resources

As cited earlier, one family had stock with a market value of $150.50.

Property—Two Pieces

One family, also cited above, owned a lot, free and clear, in Santa Cruz, in addition to the home. The purchase price in 1921 was $775.

Equity Increases

Principal payments of $13.39 a month were being made by one family, previously cited.

Income

Four families reported cash income in excess of $40 a month. All of these families were receiving full food relief. Two of these families were among the two cases questioned for eligibility under Residence.

In several other cases a closer check on earnings was indicated as being necessary.

THE EUREKA BENEVOLENT SOCIETY*

Since the Eureka Benevolent Society is assisting a comparatively small number of families, only fifteen cases were selected for investigation by this organization. Families were selected on the same basis as were those in the Associated Charities.

An excellent visual card index system was available for making the selection of families; all unemployment cases were easily spotted by the red tabs which had been attached to the index cards.

*Summary prepared by Frances M. Welch.

The following report covers data obtained in regard to fifteen families who were receiving aid from the City Unemployment Relief Funds through the Eureka Benevolent Society at the time of the City survey.

The majority of these families came on aid in 1932; only two applied for assistance in 1931 and only three in 1930.

Social Data.

Most of the men were in the prime of life. Only two were fifty years of age or over. Their ages ranged from twenty-two to sixty-three, with an average of 41.6 mean, 41.0 median, years. The wives were younger, although ranging from twenty to sixty-three years, the average age was 36.2 mean, 33.0 median, years.

Due to the method of selection, all of the families had children. The families ranged in size from three to nine persons with an average of 4.8 mean, 4.0 median, a much larger average than the median San Francisco family, which consisted of 2.51 persons in 1930.

The largest family in the Survey was found in a household in which the father was thirty-seven and the mother thirty-one. There were seven children from two to thirteen years of age. The following table indicates that eight of the fifteen families studied had only one to two children:

No. of Children	No. Families Having Specified No. Children
1	4
2	4
3	2
4	3
5	1
6	0
7	1
	15

Residence.

Three of the families reported that the man had been born, raised and had spent all of his life in San Francisco. In the remaining twelve families he had lived in the city from two to thirty-four years with an average residence of 10.2 mean, 10.0 median, years.

With one exception,[1] no case of non-residence was found. Although it was evident that the matter of residence had been carefully investigated by the agency, no tangible proof of such residence verification was found in the case records with the exception of contact with relatives. This matter of verification was discussed with the case supervisor, who explained that information from directories, schools, employers, landlords, relatives and registrars was used to establish residence eligibility. It was, however, unfortunate that no notes of this search had been attached to the case histories.

Income.

Only five families reported any definite source of income. Three of these were earning $40[2] a month paid from the Citizens' Unemployment Relief Funds for full time services; the remaining two families received $22.50 and $25 a month, respectively. One a shoe salesman was employed on Saturdays as an extra man and on occasional busy days, and the other, a bootblack, took in about $1.50 a day, but had to pay fifty cents daily rental for his stand. Seven of the remaining families reported some small occasional earnings from odd jobs. Four reported having no sources of income whatsoever.

---

[1]See case No. 30,617, under "Questions of Eligibility."

[2]Both of these men were receiving a $5 monthly allowance for their cars, making the total amount received $45 monthly.

Bank Accounts.

According to the records, all families had signed a statement authorizing a search into their bank accounts. Seven of these families were found to have accounts, ranging from $1.22 to $97.48, with an average of $31.27 mean, $17.54 median.

Insurance.

Four families were carrying some sort of life insurance. Two men were insured—one for $1,500 and the other for $2,500. Premiums for the first were paid by the man's former employer; those for the second, amounting to $170.43 a year, by the family with assistance from a special fund[1]. No wives were insured but in three instances; $250 twenty-year endowment policies were being carried for the children. The total weekly premiums paid by the families for these policies were forty cents, seventy-five cents and $1.15, respectively.

Securities or Other Investments.

No securities or other investments were reported by these families.

Housing.

Four of the thirteen families lived in single family dwellings; six in unfurnished flats; four in apartments (two of which were furnished and two unfurnished); the remaining family was occupying two housekeeping rooms in a cheap rooming house and sharing the bathroom with other families. Most of the homes were clean and neat and several were unusually attractive. On the other hand, there were a few untidy, disorderly and dismal abodes.

The number of rooms occupied by the thirteen families included in this analysis[2] ranged from two to six and averaged 4.4 mean, 4.0 median. Five of the thirteen families for whom statistics were available fell below the standard of one room per person adopted by the U. S. Bureau of Labor as the minimum requirement for health and decency[3]. One family of nine persons was occupying a five-room antiquated frame dwelling; a family of six persons was occupying a four-room flat, and the remaining three families were each one room below the Federal standard.

Maintenance.

Monthly gas and electric bills ranged from $2 to $5 and averaged $3.70 mean, $3.75 median.

The Eureka Benevolent Society had assisted eleven of these families to pay such bills at one time or another, which indicated that shut-off notices had been received. Six of the fifteen families were being assisted in this respect at the time of the Survey visit.

Water was included in the rent for all tenants. It was paid by the families, in the case of the two home owners, often from the food check.

Three families occupied steam-heated apartments. One home was heated by gas and one family was purchasing coal oil for heating purposes from the food check. The Eureka Benevolent Society was supplying coal and wood for another family, and the remaining nine families picked up wood somehow or burned trash and rubbish in the kitchen incinerator in order to heat the dwelling.

Only two families were buying their homes. One, an ex-soldier, had purchased his $5,000 home through the California Veterans' Welfare Board and at the time of the survey was paying $35 monthly, cover-

---

[1]See second case under "Questions of Eligibility".

[2]Statistics regarding this item were not obtained in one instance. In another case, a family was doubling up with relatives and it was impossible to work out a room per person basis.

[3]U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics; Minimum Quantity Budget Necessary to Maintain a Worker's Family of Five at a Level of Health and Decency. Washington 1920, page 13.

ing current and delinquent interest charges.[1] The other family had bought a $2,500 home fourteen years ago and was paying $5 a month interest on the $1,000 mortgage, and taxes for this house were $34 yearly. The other home was tax exempt. In one case a family had a fifth interest in a home and the family was living rent free in the dwelling with other relatives. The remaining twelve families were tenants. The following table indicates that the monthly rentals ranged from $16 to $30 and averaged $20.74 mean, $20 median.

Monthly Rental	Number of Families
$16.00	1
17.00	1
17.50[2]	1
18.00	1
20.00	5
25.00	2
30.00	1
	12

Seven of these families had been assisted at some time or other by the Eureka Benevolent Society in meeting their rent payments. Four were being assisted at the time of the Survey visit.

Food.

The Eureka Benevolent Society issues and mails weekly checks to its clients covering the food allowance for the families. This allowance takes into consideration the sex and age of the persons in the household and is in accordance with the minimum standard for health and efficiency as established by the Heller Committee for Research in Social Economics at the University of California.

The weekly checks for the fifteen families ranged from $6.07 to $12.24 and averaged $7.16 mean, $6.07 median.

Although as mentioned above, the amount of money sent to the families is based on standard budgets, complaints of inadequacy were received in practically every household visited. Most families felt that if an additional dollar or two were added or if the check could be supplemented by one quart of milk daily, the situation would be much improved.

The matter is, of course, aggravated by the common practice of attempting to eke out other expenditures from this check. Occasionally the gas and electric or water bill is paid, carfare is often taken out; at times some article of clothing is purchased.

Clothing.

Clothing was a serious problem. Nearly all families reported a need for clothes, especially for school children. Some families had been assisted by relatives or friends. Three reported aid from the Eureka Benevolent Society and two from the Parent-Teachers' Association. Such assistance, however, was very occasional and most families, coming to the end of their clothing resources, were very much worried about the situation. Some were trying to buy sox for the baby, an occasional pair of shoes for an older child, or a piece of material for a dress from the food check, which, of course, meant inadequate food for the family.

Health.

Nine of the fifteen families visited reported the ill health of some member of the family. Four wives were under the care of physicians or clinics. In three families the children were being treated by the Mt. Zion Clinic or had been sent to the country by the Eureka Benevo-

[1]This man was on full food relief and was receiving $40 a month from the Eureka Benevolent Society for his full-time services plus a $5 allowance for his car, which he used in his work. He was nine months behind in his interest payments.

[2]Rental for a four-room apartment, furnished, was $30. Of this, $12.50 was paid by a roomer.

lent Society because they were delicate or undernourished. One man had been injured and was also diabetic. There was a crippled, imbecilic child in one household.

In considering these figures one must take into consideration the fact that the Eureka Benevolent Society checks up strictly in regard to health conditions, especially in regard to children. Practically all cases of underweight and malnutrition are brought to their attention and the children are either sent to the country for building up their general physique or are supervised by the Mt. Zion Clinic.

Recreation.

Recreational activities of these families consisted mainly in walking, visiting relatives and friends. The children took part in playground activities and sports. Some families reported that they did not go out visiting friends because they could not return such hospitality. One mother described how her small daughter watched her friends going to the neighborhood movie, the tears streaming down her face, since she could not understand why she too could not go.

Most families spent much time outdoors—trips to the park, picnics to the beaches, or even walking about town.

Automobiles.

Five families owned cars. The makes and years were as follows:

Make	Year
Buick	1925
De Soto	1929
Ford	1930
Studebaker	1925
One vehicle described as "an old truck."	

The Buick is not being used at present; it is stored in a friend's garage. The De Soto and the truck are being used by the owners in their work with the Eureka Benevolent Society. The Ford sedan is being used by the family in taking the crippled son for an airing, and the Studebaker is used by the son of the family in going to his place of occasional employment at a garage some distance from the home.

Questions of Eligibility.

In checking up the cases against the rules for eligibility established by the Citizens' Agency, questions arose in regard to two cases.

One family, previously resident in San Francisco, had gone to Vallejo and had established a residence there. It returned to San Francisco in 1930 and became dependent before re-establishing residence here. The agency returned the family to Vallejo but the local agency gave no assistance, and the family returned to its former home in San Francisco. As Vallejo had refused to accept responsibility, it appeared unavoidable for the agency to give aid.

In the second case question arose as to special consideration given the family. The bank account had not been searched for the reason that the man was expected to go back into business and it was felt that his standing would be jeopardized. The agency had an intimate knowledge of the family and felt that it could accept his written statement as to his bank account. This family was also carrying an insurance policy, with quarterly premiums of $43, paid by assistance from a special Jewish fund.

Morale and Relation to Agency.

The general morale in the families was good. However, there did not appear to be any very great incentive to going off aid. Most of the families appeared to be hanging tenaciously to the assistance they were receiving and were fearful lest they should lose it. Although fundamentally grateful to the organization for its assistance, frequent complaint was made as to the inadequacy of the check received. In several instances a definite lack of cordiality toward the visitor was displayed by the client.

STUDY OF TWO THOUSAND CASES CLOSED IN THE RESIDENT DIVISION, CITIZENS AGENCY, MARCH TO JULY, 1933

At the peak of relief, in March, 1933, 17,324 families received aid through the Citizens' Agency. For the last week of July the number reported on aid had dropped to 11,022. To secure a picture of what had happened to the families which had been dropped from relief, a study was made of 2000 cases closed between March and July. These 2000 cases were taken from the general closed files. The number of cases closed in the various districts is fairly representative of the case loads of the supervisors and the findings are considered to be typical of the whole number of families where aid was discontinued.

Length of Time on Relief.

Of the 2000 cases, 320 families received aid for only one month or less. This number included emergency cases or families immediately discovered to be ineligible. A total of 275 families received aid for one to two months, 316 from two to three months, 280 from three to four months, and 223 from four to five months. The number on aid less than five months represented 70.6 per cent of the 2000 cases, indicating that the families which had been able to support themselves up to the peak of unemployment during the past winter were the first to get back upon a self-supporting basis. Of the whole 2000 cases only 94 families had been on relief as long as eight months, and only 14 had been on aid for a year or more.

The number of families on relief, classified according to the number of months on aid, is given in the following table:

Number of Families Taken Off Relief, by Length of Time on Aid; With Percentages and Cumulation.

Number of Months on Relief	Number of Families	Per Cent	Cumulative Per Cent
Total	2000	100.0	100.0
1 month or less	320	16.0	16.0
1-2	275	13.7	29.7
2-3	316	15.8	45.5
3-4	280	14.0	59.5
4-5	223	11.1	70.6
5-6	193	9.6	80.2
6-7	171	8.6	88.8
7-8	128	6.4	95.2
8-9	47	2.4	97.6
9-12	33	1.7	99.3
12-20	14	.7	100.0

Reasons for Discontinuing Relief.

The chief cause for discontinuing relief was found to be that the family had become self-supporting, and 1239 of the 2000 cases, or 62 per cent, were taken off aid for this reason. These 1239 families contained 1387 wage earners. The man of the family was working in 1068 families, or 86 per cent of the total cases. In 199 families the wife was working, and 79 sons and 15 daughters were also earning. In 26 additional families the allotments of the sons who had joined the Citizens' Conservation Corps had been sufficient to bring the family up to self-support. This represents a very small percentage of the young men who enlisted in the Citizens' Conservation Corps as the intention in taking enlistments was to reach the neediest families and the $20 a month allotment has not been sufficient, in most cases, to take the families off relief.

One-seventh (14.2%) of the families were removed from the relief

lists due to the fault of the family. In 33 instances bank accounts in excess of $100 were discovered by checking through the banks. Six veterans were found to have compensation funds sufficient for their support. Fifty-five men refused to comply with the work test, 28 cases were dropped for some type of fraud and in nine cases couples who had applied for relief were unable to verify their marriages. In 152 families aid was discontinued because the applicant refused to cooperate with the agency, and under this heading is included refusal to sign bank authorization, refusal to make statements as to assets, refusal to give information, and failure to keep appointments. In all of these instances it was felt by the agency that the failure to cooperate indicated that the applicant had resources which would make public assistance unnecessary.

Removal from the city was the cause for discontinuing aid in 215 cases. Of this number ten families were non-residents and were dropped from relief for that reason. As a rule non-resident cases are handled in the Non-Resident Department, so that these figures should be considered in connection with the study of cases in the Non-Resident Department. (See page 118.) Of the other 205 families dropped because of removal, practically nothing is known. The families which left San Francisco because of definite work secured elsewhere are not included in this number but are grouped among the cases which became self-supporting.

A total of 78 families were dropped from aid because of changed circumstances. In 35 cases relatives were found who could give assistance and 27 families were rearranged. In some instances this meant that the mother and children returned to relatives and the man shifted for himself, and in other cases the mother secured work and the children were placed temporarily under foster care. Sixteen families acquired funds which made them no longer dependent.

A total of 50 families were dropped from relief at their own request, with no further information. It is probable that these families had relatives or other resources so that aid was not needed.

The reasons for closing these cases, with the percentage which each group represents of the total, are given in the following table:

Number of Cases Closed, by Classified Reason for Closing; With Percentages.

	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent
Total cases closed			2000	100.00
Became self-supporting				
Work secured or earnings increased			1239	61.8
Transferred to other agency—still dependent			78	3.9
On public agency	64	3.2		
On private agency	14	.7		
Fault of client			283	14.2
Bank account disclosed	33	1.7		
Fraud	28	1.4		
Failed to cooperate	152	7.6		
Failed work test	55	2.7		
Marriage not verified	9	.5		
Veteran's bonus available	6	.3		
Changed circumstances			78	3.9
Relations to aid	35	1.8		
Family rearranged	27	1.3		
Acquired money	9	.5		
Income from property	7	.3		
Removed			215	10.8
Left San Francisco	127	6.4		
Moved—no address	78	3.9		
Non-residents returned	10	.5		

At client's request	50	2.5
Not stated	35	1.8
Miscellaneous	22	1.1

In the 1239 families which became self-supporting through employment, the following members of the families were reported as working:

Total	1387
Man	1068
Wife	199
Son	79
Son to Citizens' Conservation Corps	26
Daughter	15

Types of Employment Secured.

Of the 1173 men reported as having secured work the records give information as to the type of employment secured by 510 of the men. In 663 cases this information was lacking, as the men are commonly anxious that they should not be contacted by the relief agency in connection with their work, and that their new employers should not know that they have been on relief.

Of the 510 men concerning whose employment data were secured the chief occupations were: Mechanical trades, 65; building trades, 58; transportation and communication, 56; and common labor, 38. Hotels and cafes gave employment to 36. Various forms of selling took 46 men off the relief lists; 31 secured work as janitors, watchmen or elevator operators; and smaller groups went to work in other occupations. In only two cases were the beer or wine industries given directly as work which was taking the men off relief, but under transportation are included truck drivers working in connection with the breweries and part of the work in the building and mechanical trades was also related to the expansion of the breweries.

The occupations listed for the women were largely in the domestic type of work: as domestics, 23; waitresses and cooks, 25; nursing, 10; and hotel and apartment work, 3. The needle trades gave work to 15; office work, 11; and sales work and factory work, each 9.

Detailed figures as to the occupations furnishing work to men and women are given in the table following.

Types of Employment Secured by Men and Women.

Men.

	Number	Per cent
Total number of *men* securing work	1173	100.0
1. Common labor	38	3.3
2. Building trades	58	5.0
3. Mechanical trades	65	5.5
4. Waterfront, shipping, travel	16	1.3
5. Transportation, communication	56	4.9
6. Brewing, wine	2	.2
7. Printing, publishing	18	1.5
8. Electricians	4	.3
9. Barbering	4	.3
10. Bakers, cooks, butchers	15	1.3
11. Janitor, watchman, elevator operator	31	2.6
12. Hotels, cafes, amusement	36	3.0
13. Salesmen	46	4.0
14. Clerical	23	2.0
15. Government (City, County, State, National)	4	.3
16. Citizens' Conservation Corps	26	2.2
17. Professions	19	1.6
18. Miscellaneous	49	4.2
19. Not stated	663	56.5

Women

Total number of women securing work		214	100.0
1.	Domestic work	23	10.7
2.	Nursing	10	4.7
3.	Waitress, cook	25	11.7
4.	Hotel work, apartment house management	3	1.4
5.	Factory work	9	4.2
6.	Laundry work, cleaning and dyeing	3	1.4
7.	Needle and clothing trades	15	7.0
8.	General office work, stenography	11	5.1
9.	Telephone operator	7	3.3
10.	Retail sales work	9	4.2
11.	Beauty Shop Operator	2	.9
12.	Miscellaneous	16	7.5
13.	Not stated	81	37.9

Return to Former Employers and Former Occupations.

One of the points of special interest in connection with the employment of both men and women was to discover, if possible, the number who were taken back by their former employers, or who were returning to former occupations. This fact is of particular significance as it reflects business recovery and also indicates, in general, the desirability, as workers, of the individuals on relief.

Of the 510 men for whom employment data were secured, 233, or nearly 46 per cent, returned to work for their former employers; and 277, or nearly 55 per cent, found work again in their former occupations.

With women, the percentage returning to former employers or to former occupations was much less. Many who found work in domestic occupations had never before been employed in that type of occupation and in general the women's work tended to be more of a makeshift. This contrast is brought out in the following tabulation:

Number of Men and Women Who Returned to Their Former Employers and Occupations.

	No. returning to work	Number for whom employment data were secured	Returned to former employers		Returned to former occupations	
			No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent
Men.						
Total	1173	510	233	45.7	277	54.3
Women.						
Total	214	133	40	30.0	48	36.0

Rates of Pay.

Rates of pay were reported for only 172 men and 77 women who secured employment. These rates were reported from a wide range of occupations and are probably indicative of current wages. Among both men and women the largest group of workers employed at monthly rates reported wages between $40 and $60 a month. For the men, this group included 23 workers; 12 workers reported wages between $60 and $80 a month, 4 between $80 and $100, and 10 were employed at rates from $100 to $125, and 8 between $125 and $150. Among the women, 14 reported wages in the $40 to $60 group, 12 were to receive from $60 to $80, 4 from $80 to $100, and none above $100. Of the total women monthly workers, 12, or over one-fourth, reported wages below $40 a month.

Among the weekly workers the largest group, for both men and women, received from $15 to $20 a week. Of the men, 19 received

less than $15, and 7 of this number received less than $10 a week. Eleven men received from $20 to $25 a week, 9 from $25 to $30 a week, 4 from $30 to $40 a week, and 1 received $40. Among the women only 2 received over $20 a week, and 15 received less than $15, 4 receiving less than $10.

For the men on daily rates the largest groups were paid from $4 to $7 a day. One-fourth of the men received less than $4, and 9 men, or nearly one-fifth, earned over $7 a day. Only 6 women reported daily rates of pay, and of these 3 received less than $2, 2 received between $2 and $3, and 1 had earnings of between $6 and $7.

A summary of the wages reported is given in the following table.

Number of Cases Where Wages Were Reported; Number of Men and Women Receiving Specified Monthly, Weekly and Daily Rates of pay.

	Men	Women
Total	172	77
Monthly Rates		
$ 10.00-$ 14.99	0	1
15.00- 19.99	0	2
20.00- 39.99	6	9
40.00- 59.99	23*	14
60.00- 79.99	12	12
80.00- 99.99	4	4
100.00- 124.99	10	0
125.00- 150.00	8	0
Weekly Rates		
Under $4.99	2	0
$ 5.00-$ 9.99	5	4
10.00- 14.99	12	11
15.00- 19.99	18	12
20.00- 24.99	11	2
25.00- 29.99	9	0
30.00- 39.99	4	0
40.00-	1	0
Daily Rates		
Under $2.00	1	3
$ 2.00-$ 2.99	4	2
3.00- 3.99	7	0
4.00- 4.99	8	0
5.00- 5.99	9	0
6.00- 6.99	9	1
7.00- 7.99	5	0
8.00- 8.99	3	0
9.00- 9.99	0	0
10.00- 10.99	1	0

* Includes two cases where room, rent, or board were received in addition to wages.

DIGEST OF INFORMATION ON CURRENT FOOD RELIEF METHODS IN TWENTY LARGE CITIES OF THE UNITED STATES.

Note: The information in the following digest is from original material sent in reply to inquiries by this Survey. The names of the writers of these reports and the dates of their letters are given in the first column.

City	*Method of Food Relief*	*Experience with Commissary*	*Remarks*
BUFFALO, N. Y. Irene M. Brick, Nutritionist, Department of Social Welfare. Dated July 25, 1933.	Small group receiving cash relief. Main group receiving grocery orders. Prices set at maximum by check with retail stores. Clients may use any store. Special allowances for medically justified diets, for disease or malnutrition cases. Cooperation on malnutrition problems with school—dietary instructions on medical check-up on each child in malnutrition allowances.	Commissary plan studied but discarded.	"Cash relief together with *careful supervision* is a satisfactory method."
CANTON, OHIO. J. L. Tuttle, Director, Canton Welfare Federation. Dated July 31, 1933.	Commissary since November, 1931. Buy at wholesale rates lower than has the regular trade.		"Actual savings difficult to compute. Estimate at least 10 per cent differential over retail buying of grocers."

CHICAGO, ILL. Joseph L. Moss, Director, Cook County Bureau of Public Welfare. Dated July 27, 1933.	Milk delivered daily. Box of staple groceries order on retail grocery store. No cash given for any purpose. Amount of grocery order determined by deducting retail cost of ration from total food budget. Restricted buying list. Itemized bills. Grocery store inspection. Budget for a family of 5, $7, July 27. Raised 20 per cent beginning August 1, 1933.		"We are breaking down the present economic order if we remove a large percentage of their trade from the neighborhood grocers who have often carried families on their books until they have reached the breaking point themselves."—"I believe that to remove this privilege (restricted grocery orders) would be greatly to the detriment of family morale."
CINCINNATI, OHIO. Marion Schmadel Goodwin, Case Work Consultant, Associated Charities. Dated August 2, 1933.	Three plans—commissary, retail arrangement with grocers, and cash supplement for food. Commissary used in three-fourths of families. Delivery by trucks to homes. Individual orders made out by case workers for each family—sent to warehouses—orders delivered every two weeks.		"Writer believes 'Cash budget system' for families has the most constructive value in any community program." "Every effort should be made to preserve as much individuality as possible and to fight off the tendency towards standardization that goes with commissaries."
CLEVELAND, OHIO. Stockton Raymond, General Secretary, Associated Charities, and Miss Vocille Pratt, Home Economics Department. Dated July 25, 1933.	Grocery orders at 5 per cent discount. Prices set from wholesale lists.	Experimented with commissary from March, 1931, to August, 1932—500 families. Reason for discontinuing excessive amount of criticism from (1) families, (2) organized business groups, (3) councilmen, (4) Associated Charities workers. Costly in time and hard feelings.	"Grocers believe they are making no profit but want to stay in business so they will have a better chance to collect standing bills by keeping customers coming to their stores."

City	*Method of Food Relief*	*Experience with Commissary*	*Remarks*
DENVER, COLORADO. Eunice Robinson, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Charity, City and County of Denver. Dated July 24, 1933.	Operating for past six months on R. F. C. money. No cash given. Grocery orders on any store for limited commodity list.	May be forced to try commissary on account of radical cuts in relief funds. Will probably use the warehouse plan, in operation in Cincinnati, if necessary.	"Some evidence that grocers cash grocery orders and falsify list of commodities. Advantages of order system that client may get better prices and change grocers if the service is not satisfactory. Believe that groceries should be limited to stores agreeing to abide by rules, and investigate by secret inspectors in frequent check."
DETROIT, MICHIGAN. Irene E. Murphy, Director of Case Work, Department of Public Welfare. Dated August 4, 1933.	Three methods in use: 53 per cent issued as grocery orders on any retail store of client's selection; 24 per cent in form of cash wage for the exclusive item of food. Hours worked (at 35c per hour) determined by size of family. Maximum hours (family of 12) 40 hours. Small proportion of families fed in congested districts at cafeterias. Merely as economic expedient and not recommended. Budget changed as prices fluctuate.	"Repeatedly discouraged all proposals to impose a commissary on the city of Detroit." Objections based on Harvard School of Business Research findings as to cost of operation.	"In view of study it seems extreme folly for a municipal government to enter into the most difficult business—purchasing and distribution of perishable food." "We have studiously tried to stay away from the commissary plan, both on the basis of economy and social values."

LOS ANGELES, CALIF. Wm. R. Harriman, Superintendent of Charities. Dated July 21, 1933.	95 per cent through County Welfare stores. Central store and 23 depots in various sections of the city—open 1 or 2 days a week, according to volume of business. Grocery orders issued to clients in remote districts. $1 in cash and 25c for each additional member *monthly*. Standard package value (present) July 21, 1933. 1—$1.16 2—$1.68 (weekly allowance) 3—$2.68 4—$3.11 (no fresh meat) 5—$3.60 14—$6.28 (salt pork-canned-corn beef-compound (?))	Commissary with cash and grocery order in some cases.	"Found that application of standard package is most satisfactory. But little complaint about quality and quantity."
MILWAUKEE, WIS. Wm. L. Coffey, Manager. Dated August 5, 1933.	6,000 families on work relief. These families given *cash* to amount of budget. No discount asked of retail grocers for relief clients. Operates a commissary for part of relief cases. Twelve stations from which clients carry meat and groceries themselves. Packaging done by inmates of County Infirmary without compensation. Overhead estimated at 9 per cent. Requisitions for food are made out in home by visitor, then checked and sent to relief station, where goods are given to client on presentation of identification card. Estimates a differential of at least 60 per cent in cost of buying retail.		"We estimate on our work budgets that the food for clients on relief *will cost them 50 per cent above our purchase price.* The difference is a little greater than this but we allow all men on the work program extra hours to cover items not ordinarily supplied to people receiving direct relief." "If Milwaukee County had enough money to do an ideal job, we probably would put most of our families on a cash basis."

City	*Method of Food Relief*	*Experience with Commissary*	*Remarks*
MINNEAPOLIS, MINN. M. U. S. Kjorlaug, Superintendent, Division of Public Relief. Dated August 1, 1933.	Grocery orders—limited list of commodities. Prices fixed each month by grocerymen on maximum prices. No cash given. Two years in operation. Orders checked in detail. Investigators checking on sales of goods not on allowed list, and if found, contract is canceled. Affidavits on back of monthly bill from each grocer.	Never tried.	"We never tried the commissary system here because from our investigation of it a year and a half ago we determined that it was not practical, feasible, or economical way of distributing food orders."
NEW YORK CITY, N. Y. Mary L. Gibbons, Director, Department of Public Welfare, Emergency Home Relief Bureau. Dated July 26, 1933.	Grocery orders on 9000 authorized stores. Allowance schedule based on food prices in New York City. Grocers given list of allowed commodities. Voucher has the same purchasing power as cash.		"If cash is not given, then the voucher system is, in our opinion, the most satisfactory and in the end the most economical."
PHILADELPHIA, PA. Dorothy C. Kahn, Director, Philadelphia County Relief Board. Dated July 29, 1933.	Grocery order system reasonably satisfactory.		"Would prefer cash relief—cites findings of Family Welfare Association."

PITTSBURGH, PA. Geo. P. Mills, Executive Director, Allegheny County Emergency Relief Board. Dated July 27, 1933.	Food orders written on an individual grocer selected by client. Orders may be written for one or two weeks. Prices controlled by Fair Price Committee of wholesale and retail grocers, including chain store representatives. No cash or scrip given.	Carried on community market (commissary) in one section of county for about two years—now discontinued.	"The authorities of the city and county have never been favorable to the idea (commissary) and we have now closed the unit we had in operation."
PORTLAND, ORE. Emma M. DuBruille, Executive Director, Family Relief Unit. Dated July 22, 1933.	Food orders on limited list. No cash given. Committee of retail grocers makes maximum prices, at regular intervals. Substitution or irregularity published by removal from list of approved stores.	Commissary plan never tried, but careful study made before discarding the idea.	"Present plan satisfactory. Good cooperation with merchants and clientele. We believe we are working on a very sound social policy in keeping our trade in normal retail channels and at the same time are able to control prices and quantities, as well as quality of food purchases. We have been, for quite some time, convinced that the economies supposedly adherent to the commissary system of relief distribution are mythical. We feel that our present system is conducive to keeping up a good morale on the part of the recipients of relief, as well as eliminating many of the difficulties attendant on a commissary plan, and we hope to be able to continue indefinitely with our present method of relief distribution."

City	*Method of Food Relief*	*Experience with Commissary*	*Remarks*
ROCHESTER, N. Y. Estelle E. Hawley, Nutrition Counselor, Department of Public Welfare. Dated July 25, 1933.	Blank orders issued on grocers. Returned to Welfare office every two weeks by grocers, with original sales slips for corresponding time. Duplicate slips turned in by client in return for new food order. Five hundred grocery stores on list. Six inspectors make irregular inspections. No cash given. Contract with milk dealers for milk delivery under way.	Never tried.	"Working toward making commodities on list selectable only in definite type groups to insure balanced diet."
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH. Virginia McMecher, Director, Salt Lake City and County Relief Committee. Dated August 15, 1933.	All agencies, public and private, issue orders on grocery stores. Orders written by case workers in all agencies. Equitable distribution of trade is regulated by food order division. All complaints by clients referred to food order division, which clears with grocers for correction of abuses.	Commissary installed September, 1932. Discontinued March 1, 1933. County (public) began issuing grocery orders in December, 1932. Private agencies used commissary until March, 1933. Since then commissary entirely discontinued.	Writer came to Salt Lake after abandonment of commissary (January, '33) and had no personal experience with commissary there. "I found (January, '33) that almost the entire time of case workers was spent writing grocery orders, with the result that families were suffering for other types of service. Set up a division of grocery clerks in county office. This released a large amount of energy on part of social worker."

SCRANTON, PA. Francis L. Hill, Supervisor of Case Work, Family Welfare Association. Dated July 31, 1933.	Always given grocery orders. First on specified grocers with whom definite arrangements as to price lists were had. Now, in accordance with state relief plan orders read, "to any vendor of food in Pennsylvania." Give some cash out of private funds to families not eligible for state relief.	Never tried.	"Believe that families can do much better when they have cash to handle. When unemployment relief on such a large scale as it has been here (Scranton) cash plan disadvantageous on account of ignorance of families' buying habits."
SEATTLE, WASH. Dorothy C. Meyer, Relief Officer, King County Welfare Board. Dated August 3, 1933.	Grocery order at agreed price—wholesale plus 10 per cent maximum price. Sales prices may be taken advantage of and prices set each week by grocery committee—list prepared by Home Economic Department, University of Washington. Special diet vouchers are issued. Grocers selected by client from approved list who have agreed to abide by rules.	Had commissary.	"Commissary allowed no choice of food—partiality in handing out groceries. A great deal of waste. Relief Officer Dorothy C. Meyer believes commissary to be expensive and inadequate."
ST. LOUIS, MO. Kirk E. Latta, Executive Secretary, Citizens' Committee on Relief and Employment. Dated July 24, 1933.	Clients have grocery order mainly on grocers dealt with in the past. Orders given from various agencies. Grocers submit itemized bills. Luxuries not allowed. Food Distribution Committee, made up of wholesale, retail grocers and representatives of relief agencies. All bills discounted 2 per cent.	Studied the commissary idea, but discarded it.	"In St. Louis there have been none of the food riots, food demands, or food lines prevalent in many cities where commissary operation has been attempted."

City	*Method of Food Relief*	*Experience with Commissary*	*Remarks*
TACOMA, WASH. Margaret S. Dustan, Chief Supervisor, Pierce County Welfare Board. Dated July 27, 1933.	Grocery order—weekly determination of price by wholesale grocers meeting with County Welfare Board. Price list then sent to grocers. Nutrition group works with food committee on seasonable food changes.		

RENT ANALYSIS.

Amount of Rent Paid.

From October, 1932, to July, 1933, the Relief Administration has steadily increased the proportions of funds allocated for rents. In October payment for rent by the Citizens' Agency represented 7.3 per cent of total relief expenditures, and in July this percentage had increased to 10.5 per cent.

No city funds were paid for rents through the Eureka Benevolent Society until April, 1933. In that month they represented 12.5 per cent of total relief expenditures. In July this allowance had increased to 20.9 per cent.

The Italian Board of Relief has paid very little rent. In October, 1932, rent expenditures were 1.8 per cent of the total, and in July, 1933, the percentage had increased to 3.2 per cent.

The proportion of funds expended on "Rent Allowance" has increased similarly and more sharply. This "Rent Allowance" covers wages paid at the rate of $40 a month plus food relief for full-time work by persons on relief. It is granted partly to assist families in paying rent and partly in payment of needed work. The system of administering relief developed during the past winter has called for the employment of large numbers of these $40 a month workers. Under the new federal regulations these numbers will be reduced.

In the Citizens' Agency, rent allowance increased from 4.3 per cent of total expenditures in October to 7.4 per cent in July. In the Eureka, from 0.7 per cent in December to 1.9 per cent in July; in the Italian Board of Relief, from 0.9 per cent in October to 3.4 per cent in July.

Figures from the Relief Administration for rent and rent allowance are given in the following table:

With Percentages Which Rent and Rent Allowance Represent of Total Expenditures, September, 1932, to July, 1933.

Associated Charities.

Month	Grand Total	Rent	Per Cent	Rent Allowance	Per Cent
(1932)					
September	$328,773.85	$33,689.12	10.2	$.....	..
October	355,073.65	26,020.85	7.3	15,200.80	4.3
November	368,931.38	28,473.59	7.7	17,981.27	4.8
December	420,169.58	32,949.37	7.8	19,407.56	4.6
(1933)					
January	425,309.91	36,834.41	8.7	22,156.50	5.2
February	413,406.48	40,367.54	9.8	25,038.35	6.5
March	475,550.29	46,116.93	9.7	25,958.15	5.4
April	415,720.10	41,051.04	9.9	28,500.00	6.8
May	438,975.38	58,643.98	13.4	28,596.09	6.5
June	399,822.68	40,987.39	10.2	29,026.88	7.2
July	383,413.94	40,502.41	10.5	28,548.78	7.4

Eureka Benevolent Society.

(1932)					
September	$ 7,808.33	$.....	...	$.....	..
October	9,467.56	.....	...	.....	..
November	9,505.65	.....	...	.....	..
December	10,366.13	.....	...	77.89	.7
(1933)					
January	10,812.07	.....	...	218.09	2.0
February	11,299.32	.....	...	242.87	2.1
March	13,836.71	.....	...	221.07	1.6
April	12,669.69	1,584.00	12.5	220.00	1.7

Eureka Benevolent Society—Continued.

(1933)					
May	12,855.73	1,634.90	12.7	220.00	1.7
June	12,585.60	1,832.60	14.5	212.00	1.7
July	11,126.71	2,329.40	20.9	217.41	1.9

Italian Board of Relief.

(1932)					
September .....$	16,834.20	$ 471.50	2.8	$.....	..
October	26,894.16	506.58	1.8	220.00	.9
November	19,828.51	429.00	2.1	240.00	1.2
December	27,876.01	486.00	1.7	370.00	1.2
(1933)					
January	30,192.29	676.25	2.2	450.00	1.5
February	38,105.28	739.75	1.9	460.00	1.2
March	33,754.17	843.75	2.5	608.65	1.8
April	32,278.77	765.17	2.3	703.50	2.2
May	29,954.46	833.00	2.8	831.19	2.7
June	25,969.80	989.25	3.8	879.52	3.4
July	22,699.93	714.75	3.2	890.17	3.4

Number of Rents Paid.

The proportion of cases on aid for whom rent was paid during the month of June, 1933, is given in the following table. This indicates that rent was paid by the Citizens' Agency for 22.9 per cent of the total number of families on aid during the month; by the Eureka for 22.6 per cent, and by the Italian Board of Relief for 6.1 per cent.

However, the total number of families on aid during the month includes emergency cases for whom rent is seldom paid, and also families going off relief and new families coming on relief, and is much greater than the largest number of families on aid at any one time which would be a fairer basis on which to compute such a proportion.

The largest number of families on aid during the month were on relief during the week ending June 3. On this basis, the Citizen's Agency paid rents for 26.5 per cent of its relief families; the Eureka, 26.8 per cent, and the Italian Board of Relief, 7.4 per cent.

In considering the entire problem of rent it should be noted that the agencies state that approximately 1400 of the families on relief in June owned their own homes; some 800 families had sons in the Citizens' Conservation Corps, allotting rent money to their families; and 600 workers were on the $40-a-month basis. This would make a total of about 2800 families for whom no rent had to be paid. If this 2800 were deducted from the total number of families it would appear that the Relief Administration in June was paying almost exactly one-third of the rent bill.

In this table is also given the number of rents paid by the month and by the week. Monthly rents are paid in houses, flats or apartments, and the weekly rents represent families quartered in housekeeping rooms. From these figures it will be noted that the Citizens' Agency paid rents in June for some 1300 families living in housekeeping rooms, or about two-thirds as many as were living in more stable and more normal dwellings. The Eureka paid only two weekly rents out of a total of 92. The Italian Board of Relief paid even more weekly rents than the Citizens' Agency, 31 weekly out of a total of 65.

Number of Cases on Aid June 3rd and During June, 1933.

Number for Whom Rent Was Paid by the Agency, Monthly or Weekly, and Percentage of Cases Where Rent Was Paid to the Total Case Load.

Agency	No. Cases on Aid During June	No. Cases on Aid Week Ending June 3	Total Cases Where Rent Was Paid	Families for Whom Rents Were Paid Mo.	Wk.	% of Total June Cases Where Rent Was Paid	% June 3 Cases
A. C.	14,558	12,537	3,325*	2,025	1,300*	22.9	26.5
Eureka	406	342	92	90	2	22.6	26.8
I. B. R.	1,065	878	65	34	31	6.1	7.4

Average Rents Paid.

The average amount of rent paid by the family agencies during June is tabulated below. The average rent paid by the Citizens' Agency was lower than the other agencies, but their families are smaller. In the Citizens' Agency the families averaged 3.3 persons; in the Eureka, 3.7, and in the Italian Board of Relief, 4.2.

Average Rent Paid Per Family by the Family Relief Agencies in June, 1933, for Weekly and Monthly Rents.

Agency	Average Weekly Rent Paid	Average Monthly Rent Paid
Associated Charities	$3.76	$16.01
Eureka	3.37	18.93
Italian Board of Relief	3.68	17.06

AVERAGE DAILY AND MONTHLY COST OF RELIEF IN SAN FRANCISCO.

The average monthly cost per family and the average daily cost per person on relief in San Francisco from October, 1932, to July, 1933, are given in the tables below. The costs are computed for each of the three agencies separately. As the practices of the three agencies differed, particularly in the matter of food distribution, this comparison of costs is important and significant.

In size, the Citizens' Agency families averaged 3.2 members in July, 1933; the Eureka, 3.6, and the Italian Board of Relief, 4.3. In percentages the Eureka families are 12 per cent larger than the Citizens' Agency families, and the Italian families 34 per cent larger. Comparisons of the monthly costs per family should take into consideration these differences in the size of the families.

The Citizens' Agency has distributed groceries from the commissary, supplemented by milk and cash for meat and bread. The Eureka has given cash only, and the Italian Board of Relief has given grocery orders, supplemented by a milk and meat check.

On the basis of daily food costs per person, the daily food costs of the Italian Board of Relief has been slightly lower than the others, and the Eureka costs have been the highest. On the total average daily costs per person, the Italian Board of Relief cost has been decidedly lower than the other agencies, due to the small amounts given in rent and service. Until April, 1933, the Eureka paid all rents from private funds, which was largely responsible for their low total figure previous to April. In May the daily cost per person aided by the Eureka was 32 cents, exactly the same as the figure for the Citizens' Agency. In June and July the Eureka cost was lower.

The figures here given were computed by the Relief Administration

*Estimated from total number of weekly rents paid. A total of 2152 weekly rents were paid in June, usually for one week out of two or three, from which it is estimated that rent was paid for 1300 families.

and include all public, but not private, expenditures. All of the agencies have received supplementary aid from the Community Chest, but it has not been possible to segregate these amounts accurately from other activities of the agencies. For example, the total administration cost of the Eureka in 1932 was practically identical with the corresponding cost in 1928 and 1929. While the City has paid little toward the administrative cost of the Eureka, the handling of the unemployment cases has added little to the customary costs of the agency. The Eureka, on the other hand, has paid a larger proportion of its funds for rent than has the Citizens' Agency (excepting May, 1933, when a special fund was made available), and this would largely offset the additional administration costs now carried by the Eureka from private funds.

With the different methods of handling relief and of keeping records and accounts, closer analysis of costs is not possible, but it would appear from the figures of the Relief Administration that the daily costs of caring for a person on a cash basis by the Eureka has not been more than the cost of providing groceries through the Citizens' Agency.

Average Daily Cost Per Person Receiving Family Relief Through the Citizens' Agency, Eureka Benevolent Society and Italian Board of Relief, October, 1932, to June, 1933.**

	Citizens' Agency Daily Cost Per Person		Eureka Benevolent Society Daily Cost Per Person		Italian Board of Relief Daily Cost Per Person	
	Food Cost	Total Cost	Food Cost	Total Cost	Food Cost	Total Cost
October	.22	.30	.24	.25	.19	.21
November	.22	.31	.21	.21	.21	.23
December	.22	.31	.25	.26	.20	.22
January	.22	.32	.23	.25	.20	.23
February	.22	.30	.23	.25	.22	.24
March	.21	.28	.23	.24	.21	.23
April	..	..	.24	.32	.19	.21
May	.22	.32	.24	.32	.22	.24
June	.23	.33	.24	.31	.22	.24
July	.23	.33	.23	.32	.21	.23

Average Monthly Cost Per Family Receiving Relief Through the Citizens' Agency, Eureka Benevolent Society and the Italian Board of Relief, October, 1932, to June, 1933.**

	Citizens' Agency Cost Per Family Per Mo.			Eureka Benevolent Society Cost Per Family Per Mo.			Italian Board of Relief Cost Per Family Per Mo.		
(1932)	Food Only	Total Cost	No. of Persons Per Family	Food Only	Total Cost	No. of Persons Per Family	Food Only	Total Cost	No. of Persons Per Family
October	19.77	27.25	3.4	24.40	25.11	3.8	Not segregated.		
November	20.77	28.59	3.4	22.63	23.30	3.9	17.95	20.01	4.3
December	21.90	31.41	3.3	24.49	25.59	3.7	21.94	24.26	4.2
(1933)									
January	19.67	28.52	3.3	22.62	24.30	3.7	21.70	24.37	4.2
February	19.18	26.44	3.3	22.40	24.25	3.6	25.21	27.39	4.2
March	20.25	27.36	3.3	25.72	25.56	3.7	21.34	23.55	4.2
April	17.10*	25.57	3.3	21.88	29.19	3.7	21.70	23.54	4.2
May	20.37	30.09	3.3	23.12	30.25	3.6	22.65	24.76	4.2
June	19.49	27.46	3.3	24.76	31.00	3.7	21.64	24.38	4.2
July	19.21	27.93	3.2	21.08	29.51	3.5	21.22	24.20	4.3

**Source of data: Monthly Reports of Expenditures, Director of Relief.
*Includes emergency cases which would reduce the period for which aid was given and reduce the average cost.

HANDLING OF CASES IN THE WOMEN'S DIVISION.

The Women's Division of the Unemployment Relief Administration was established July 1, 1931, as a part of the general unemployment relief program of the City of San Francisco. The work was divided into two departments, relief and unemployment. The relief department gives care to the single woman and the woman with one dependent. Only women who are considered employable and who have been residents of the city for one year are accepted for relief. The employment bureau registers for employment any unemployed woman who has been a resident of the city for a year.

All applications for relief are subjected to a thorough investigation in order to determine the resources and abilities of the applicants. A visit is made to the home, and relatives and references are contacted. A liberal use is made of the Social Service Exchange. All cases are cleared through the local banks and the U. S. Postal Savings. A property check-up on every applicant is made through the Assessor's office. Former employers are consulted in order to determine casual employment, possible reinstatement, and length of residence.

The basis for granting relief is three days' service for three weeks' supply of groceries; the service being technically known as the work test. The majority of women are sent to the three work centers maintained by the Unemployment Relief. These centers are under the direction of three paid supervisors and are sponsored by volunteer workers of philanthropic organizations. One center is situated at the Red Cross sewing room in the Civic Auditorium. New garments are made here for distribution through the Unemployment Relief Salvage Shop. Another sewing center is located in the Hearst School, under the direction of the Parent-Teacher Association. At this center new garments are made, as well as old garments repaired and renovated, all of which are properly distributed to needy public school children. A third center, situated at the Lilienthal School, prepares box lunches for men and women meeting the work test. A group of unemployed women at this center also renovates old clothing and makes up new garments which are distributed through the Salvage Shop. Another group of unemployed women meet the work test in the homes of dependent families where the mother is in the hospital or unable to discharge her household duties. Unemployed women who have had clerical experience are detailed to the various social service organizations of the city. A large group of foreign women, residents of the community for many years but still unable to speak English, have been sent to the public schools for instruction in lieu of the work test.

The work of these unemployed women has been profitable to the community and helpful to the women themselves. Since most of the women are detached women, living alone, with very little opportunity for social intercourse, the work provided for them relieves the monotony of their long search for employment and gives them the feeling that they are still useful members of society. They work under favorable conditions in a work day of seven hours, and are supervised by understanding individuals. For the most part the women have been more than willing to do the chores allotted to them. Both the unemployed women themselves and the workers in charge of them feel that the work test has done much to maintain morale and prevent demoralization.

In the beginning rent was paid by the Women's Division only in case of eviction. In order to avoid more serious social disaster it has often been necessary to give a more liberal interpretation of this rule. The agency pays rent in cases of illness of the woman or her dependent, and also takes care of the rent for the overwrought and somewhat psychopathic cases. By agreement with the Girls' and Women's Housing Council the Women's Division can house unemployed women in the girls' clubs at the nominal fee of $5 a week for board and room. Another group of women has been able to meet rent by employment in

the various social service agencies on the basis of $30 a month plus groceries. At the present time about 150 women are thus employed.

The employment department, by obtaining part-time work, has been able to help another group. Through the generosity of a woman citizen who has contributed a monthly sum of money, it has been possible to give additional assistance.

Food is supplied to the women through the grocery department of the Citizens' Agency. In cases where a special diet is required upon recommendation of a physician, the equivalent of groceries is given in money.

The employment department secured 2255 positions from July 1, 1931, to May 31, 1933. Of these positions, 828 were permanent. The policy of the office is to send to positions the best available woman, regardless of whether she is a relief case or not. The work records of the women are investigated by contacting former employers. The employment department works closely with the State Free Employment Office and other non-commercial employment agencies. In conjunction with the non-commercial agencies, under the auspices of the Committee on Non-Family Women and Girls of the Community Chest, the employment department assisted in establishing minimum standards of wages and hours in domestic work. The employment department also has sought to stimulate positions by publicity in newspapers and periodicals and by contacting employers.

An effort has been made to rehabilitate some of the unemployed women. The Cogswell School offered courses in domestic science during 1931 and 1932. During the year about sixty women availed themselves of the opportunity for instruction in cooking and household economy, but because of reduced income these courses were abolished with the closing of the school term in 1932. An effort was made to organize other courses along these lines, but it has not as yet met with any success due to lack of resources. Training courses in practical nursing have been given at the Laguna Honda Home since 1931. Several scholarships in business colleges were obtained for women who had been forced, through lack of funds, to give up their business training. Many women have benefited from the instruction in sewing given them in the sewing centers.

At the very beginning of its work the Women's Division found it necessary to establish a medical department to provide care for women who became ill and also to establish the employability of certain women suffering from chronic and nervous ailments. This work has been under the supervision of a physician and medical social service worker. Through the kindness of an interested individual, a monthly sum was donated for dental care, glasses and surgical appliances. The medical department has made a special effort to adjust the overwrought and psychopathic cases. Some of these women, together with others physically handicapped by age and infirmity, have been placed at the Goodwill Industries, where they work five days a week at $1.50 a day; half of the wages being paid by the Women's Division.

A study was made of applications for relief to the Women's Division for the eight months' period, November, 1932, through May, 1933. This study showed 1779 women had made applications for aid, and of this number 1227 were placed on relief. The investigation of cases was made with care and thoroughness, and the 552 women not given relief were found ineligible or were in need of some other form of assistance.

Of the 1227 cases placed on aid, 731 were still on aid at the end of May and 496 had been dropped. Of those dropped, 335 were discontinued at the request of the client because she had secured employment, left the city, married, or for some other reason no longer needed aid. Relief was discontinued by the agency in 130 cases because the applicant was found to be ineligible, refused to cooperate, or had some change in status which made further relief unnecessary. In thirty-

one cases the woman was transferred to some other social agency for care; in most instances for the reason that she was found to be unemployable and consequently not the type of person for whom the special services of the Women's Division were designed.

RESIDENT SINGLE MEN'S DIVISION.

Up to December, 1932, there were but two alternatives for the cases of single men needing relief: (1) They could be sent to the Single Men's Registry, where they would be assigned to beds in the shelters and meals at the community kitchen; (2) they could be referred to the Salvation Army or the Volunteers of America.

In December, 1932, the Resident Single Men's Division was established under the Citizens' Agency to assist men, particularly older men, who wished to avoid the degradation of the shelters and bread line. Food relief was given to the men who could provide housekeeping quarters and maintain themselves with this limited amount of relief.

The handling of cases has followed the general policy of the family relief cases. Eligibility is investigated, bank accounts searched and resources checked. A regular case history is kept, similar to the case histories of the family agencies. The men come to the agency weekly for their groceries and meat check. Special food is given to those for whom diets have been prescribed, and in cases where a man is unable to carry his groceries home the delivery is made by some other client. At the time of the weekly call the men give signed statements as to their earnings. If earnings exceed $20 a month, food relief is discontinued.

At the end of June 482 men were receiving food relief through the Resident Single Men's Division. The monthly cost per man, including administration, was $1.15, slightly less than the cost of food and shelter through the Men's Registry.

Classification of the men receiving relief at the end of June, as to age, was as follows:

Age	Number	Per Cent
Total	482	100.0
20—29	33	6.8
30—39	49	10.2
40—49	97	20.1
50—59	156	32.4
60—69	102	21.1
70—79	45	9.4

By employability the men were rated as follows:

	Number	Per Cent
Total	482	100.0
Normally employable	368	76.4
Unemployable on account of illness or mental handicap—		
Institutional cases	15	3.1
Outdoor cases	26	5.4
Unemployable on account of age—		
Institutional cases	10	2.0
Outdoor cases	63	13.1

From these tables it will be seen that 83 per cent of the men assisted by the division were over forty years of age, and 62.9 per cent were over fifty years. Over three-fourths of the men were considered employable under normal conditions; twenty-five men should have been in institutions, and eighty-nine others could have managed by themselves with assistance.

No rent is paid for any of these men. They manage either to earn rent money through casual jobs or secure rent free in return for services rendered.

Some clothing, mainly second-hand suits and shirts, has been donated and distributed through the division, but the supply has been wholly inadequate, especially as to shoes. In many cases the clothing conditions are deplorable and the men are forced to walk the streets in search of work wearing shoes through which the feet are frequently exposed.

The significant contribution made by the Resident Men's Division has been as a demonstration that the single man could be enabled—and at no greater cost than through the shelters and kitchens—to continue in their status of independent, partially self-supporting citizens, ready to go back into industry when the opportunity presents itself.

NON-RESIDENT CASES.

At the end of March, 1933, the Non-Resident Department of the Citizens' Agency was transferred to the Ferry Building and placed under the direction of the Travelers' Aid Society. The expenses of the department continue to be carried by the Relief Administration.

At the time of the transfer about 900 active cases were sent down from the Citizens' Agency, and special efforts have been made to clear these and the incoming cases. To secure a picture of the situation, a study was made of all the cases in the closed files, which had been closed between March and August, 1933. This included a total of 648 cases.

The length of time that these non-resident families had been on aid is given in the following table. Practically one-fifth of the cases were cleared within one month and one-third were cleared within two months. However, practically one-third of these non-residents received aid for over five months, and one-fourth were assisted for seven months or longer, while forty-nine families were on aid longer than a year.

These figures, showing the length of time relief was given to non-resident cases, should be compared with the corresponding table for the 2000 closed resident cases. (See page 98.) It will be noted by this comparison, that non-resident families have been receiving relief for nearly as long periods as have the resident families.

Study of Cases Closed in the Non-Resident Division, March to July, 1933.

Length of Time Families Were on Aid by Classified Number of Months, With Percentages and Cumulative Percentages.

No. Months on Aid	No. of Families	Percentage of Total	Cumulative Percentage
Total	648	100.0	100.0
1 month or less	124	19.1	19.1
1— 2 months	102	15.7	34.8
2— 3 months	76	11.7	46.5
3— 4 months	70	10.8	57.3
4— 5 months	51	7.8	65.1
5— 6 months	55	8.5	73.6
6— 7 months	44	6.8	80.4
7— 8 months	26	4.0	84.4
8— 9 months	13	2.0	86.4
9—12 months	38	6.0	92.4
12—23 months	49	7.6	100.0

The foregoing tabulation as to the length of time aid was given to non-resident cases before the relief was discontinued and the cases closed is supplemented by the following table, which shows the length

of time on relief of cases still active in the Non-Resident Department, August 8, 1933.

Of the 269 cases in the active files, twenty-seven, or 10 per cent, had been on aid for more than a year. Thirty per cent had been on aid over eight months, and 50 per cent had been on aid over five months. All of these longer term cases represent problems transferred to the present Non-Resident Department in March of the present year.

Non-Resident Department.

Number of Families on Relief, by Length of Time on Aid, as of August 8, 1933.

No. Months on Relief	No. of Families	Percentage of Total	Cumulative Percentage
Total—269		100.0	100.0
1 month or less	52	19.3	19.3
1— 2 months	29	10.8	30.1
2— 3 months	11	4.1	34.2
3— 4 months	11	4.1	38.3
4— 5 months	25	9.3	47.6
5— 6 months	29	10.8	58.4
6— 7 months	16	6.0	64.4
7— 8 months	15	5.6	70.0
8— 9 months	20	7.4	77.4
9—12 months	34	12.6	90.0
12—23 months	27	10.0	100.0

Causes for Closing Non-Resident Cases.

Of the cases closed in the Non-Resident Department, almost one-third were known to have left the city. One hundred and fifty families were returned to their legal residence, and fifty-eight other families were known to have gone away.

The cases of 157 families were closed when their status as non-residents was established and they refused to return to their place of legal residence. Forty-four families moved, leaving no address. These two groups constitute almost a second third of the total. Their present condition and whereabouts are not known.

Of the balance, 132 families secured work and became self-supporting. Relatives offered to assist ten families; three families acquired funds, and eight families were transferred to some other agency, making them still dependent.

One of these applicants was discovered to have a bank account. Another was a veteran with an available bonus. Two couples were unable to establish their marriage, and forty-three other families were dropped for failure to cooperate, usually meaning refusal to give information.

The causes for discontinuing relief in these cases are given in detail in the following table:

Study of Cases Closed in Non-Resident Department, March to July, 1933.

Causes for Closing Cases.

	Number	Number	Per Cent
Total		648	100.0
Removed		209	32.3
Returned to legal residence	151		
Left San Francisco	58		
Whereabouts Unknown		201	31.0
Case closed because of non-residence	157		
Moved, no address	44		

Causes for Closing Cases—Continued.

*Became Self-Supporting**		132	20.3
Changed Circumstances		13	2.0
Relatives to aid	10		
Acquired funds	3		
Transferred to Other Agency, Still Dependent		8	1.1
On public agency	6		
On private agency	1		
Old Age Pension	1		
Fault of Client		47	7.4
Bank account disclosed	1		
Failed to cooperate	43		
Marriage not verified	2		
Veteran compensation available	1		
At Client's Request		18	2.7
No Reason Given		17	2.7
Miscellaneous		3	.5
Individuals working			136
Men			109
Women			23
Children			3
Son to C. C. C.			1

FEDERAL EMERGENCY RELIEF ACT OF 1933.

That Congress hereby declares that the present economic depression has created a serious emergency, due to widespread unemployment and increasing inadequacy of state and local relief funds, resulting in the existing threatened deprivation of a considerable number of families and individuals of the necessities of life, and making it imperative that the Federal Government cooperate more effectively with the several states and territories and the District of Columbia in furnishing relief to their needy and distressed people.

2-A: The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and directed to make available out of the funds of the Corporation not to exceed $500,000,000, in addition to the funds authorized under title I of the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, for expenditure under the provisions of this act, upon certification by the Federal Emergency Relief Administrator, provided for in section 2.

B: The amount of notes, debentures, bonds or other obligations which the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and empowered under section 9 of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation Act, as amended, to have outstanding at any one time is increased by $500,000,000, provided that no such additional notes, debentures, bonds or other such obligations authorized by this sub-section shall be issued, except at such times and in such amounts as the President shall approve.

C: After the expiration of ten days after the date upon which the Federal Emergency Relief Administrator has qualified and taken office, no application shall be approved by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, under the provisions of title I of the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, and the Federal Emergency Relief Administrator shall have access to all files and records of the Reconstruction

*136 individuals went to work. However, in four cases an extra member of the family found employment, leaving a total of 132 cases that went off aid because of work.

Finance Corporation, relating to the administration of such funds under title I of such act. At the expiration of such ten-day period, the unexpended and unobligated balance of the funds authorized under title I of such act shall be available for the purposes of this act.

3-A: There is hereby created a Federal Emergency Relief Administration, all powers of which shall be exercised by a Federal Emergency Relief Administrator (referred to in this act as the "Administrator"), to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Administrator shall receive a salary to be fixed by the President and not to exceed the sum of $10,000, and the necessary traveling and subsistence expense, with the limitations prescribed by law for civilian employees in the executive branch of the Government. The Federal Emergency Relief Administration and the office of Administrator shall cease to exist upon expiration of two years after date of enactment of this act, and the unexpended balance on such date of any funds made available under provisions of this act shall be dispersed and disposed of as Congress or the law provides.

B: The Administrator may appoint and fix the compensation of such experts and their appointment may be made and compensation fixed without regard to the Civil Service laws, or the Classification Act of 1932, as amended, and the Administrator may, in the same manner, appoint and fix compensation of such other officers and employees as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act, but such compensation shall not exceed in any case the sum of $8,000; and may make such expenditures (including expenditures for personal service and rent at the seat of government and elsewhere, and for printing and binding), not to exceed $350,000, as are necessary to carry out the provisions of this act, to be paid by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation out of funds made available by this act, upon presentation of vouchers approved by the Administrator or by an officer of the administration, designated by him for that purpose.

The Administrator may, under rules and regulations prescribed by the President, assume control of the administration in any state or states, where, in his judgment, more effective and efficient cooperation between the state and Federal authorities may be secured in carrying out the provisions of this act.

In executing any of the provisions of this act, the Administrator and any person duly authorized by him, may make any investigation pertinent or material to the furtherance of the purposes of this act. And, at the request of the President, shall make further investigations and studies as he may deem necessary in dealing with problems of unemployment relief.

The Administration shall print monthly, and shall transmit to the President and to the Senate and the House of Representatives (or the Secretary of the Senate or the Clerk of the House, if those bodies are not in session) a report of his activities and expenditures under this act. These reports shall, when submitted, be printed as public documents.

4-A: Out of the funds of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, made available by this act, the Administrator is authorized to make grants to the several states to aid in meeting the costs of furnishing relief and work relief, and in relieving the hardship and suffering caused by unemployment in the form of money, service, materials, and/or commodities to provide the necessities of life to persons in need as a result of the present emergency, and/or to their dependent, whether resident, transient or homeless.

B: Of the amounts made available by this act, not to exceed $250,000,000 shall be granted to the several states applying therefor, in the following manner: Each state shall be entitled to receive grants equal to one-third of the amount expended by the state, including the civil subdivisions thereof, out of the public moneys from all sources set forth in subsection "A" of this section. And such grants shall be made

quarterly, beginning with the second quarter in each calendar year 1933, and shall be made during any quarter upon the basis of expenditures certified by the states to have been made during the preceding quarter.

C: The balance of the amounts made available by this act, except the amounts required for administrative expenditures under section 3, shall be used for grants to be made whenever, from an application presented by a state, the Administrator finds that the combined moneys will fall below the estimated needs within the state from all sources, supplemented by any moneys available under subsection *A* of this section, *provided* that the Administrator may certify out of the funds made available by this sub-section additional grants to states applying therefor to aid needy persons who have no legal settlement in any one state or community, and to aid in assisting cooperative and self-help associations for the barter of goods and services.

D: After October 1, 1933, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection "B," the unexpended balance of the amounts available for the purposes of sub-section "B" may, at the discretion of the Administrator and with the approval of the President, be available for grants, under section "C."

E: The decision of the Administrator as to the purposes of any expenditure shall be final.

F: The amount available to any one state under sub-sections "B" and "C" of this section shall not exceed 15 per cent of the total amount made available by such sub-sections.

5-A: Any state desiring to obtain funds under this act shall, through its governor, make application therefor from time to time to the Administrator. Each application so made shall present in the manner requested by the Administrator information showing: (1) The amounts necessary to meet relief needs in the state during the period covered by such application and the amounts available from public or private sources within the state and its political sub-divisions to meet the relief needs of the state. (2) The provisions made to assure adequate administrative supervision. (3) The provisions made for suitable standards of relief. (4) The purposes for which the funds requested will be used.

6: The Administrator, upon approving the grant to any state, shall so certify to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, which shall, except upon revocation of a certificate by the Administrator, make payments without delay to the state in such amounts and at such times as may be prescribed in the certificate. The governor of each state receiving grants under this act shall file monthly with the Administrator, and in the form required by him, a report of the disbursements made under such grants.

7: As used in the foregoing provisions of the act, the term "state" shall include the District of Columbia, Alaska, Hawaii, Virgin Islands, and Porto Rico, and the term "governor" shall include the commissioners of the District of Columbia.

8: This act may be cited as the "Federal Emergency Relief Act of 1933."

Approved May 12, 1933.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 40

Monday, September 18, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 18, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Excused.

Supervisors Havenner and Gallagher were excused from attendance at the meeting on account of absence from the City on official business.

Appointment of Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden as Acting Mayor During the Absence of Mayor Rossi.

The following communication was received from His Honor Mayor Rossi, read by the Clerk, and ordered *spread at length in the Journal.*

September 18, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In accordance with section 25 of the Charter, I am appointing Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden and President of your Honorable Board to act as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco during my absence therefrom.

I am leaving this evening on the Overland Limited to attend the United States Mayors' Conference at Chicago, and expect to be absent about ten days.

Sincerely,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, Commonly Known as the Electrical Ordinance, by Providing a New Procedure for Publication of Adopted Rules, Regulations, Additions, Repeals and/or Amendments.

(Code No. 11.11)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 410, Ordinance No. 11.112, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as

the Electrical Ordinance, by providing a new procedure for publication of adopted rules, regulations, additions, repeals and/or amendments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 11.111, commonly known as the Electrical Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. No electrical or fixture work shall be installed or constructed which is dangerous to life or property, and all electrical and fixture work within the City and County shall be done, installed and constructed in conformity with the rules and requirements of the National Electrical Code as approved by the American Standards Association, except as such rules and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided in this section. The Chief is hereby given power and it shall be his duty, as soon as practicable after this ordinance takes effect, to hold a hearing or hearings on ten (10) days' notice of the time and place thereof, given by publication in the then official newspaper of the City and County, to determine generally accepted standards and methods governing electrical and fixture work, in modification of or supplementary to the rules and regulations of the National Electrical Code. As soon as he shall have ascertained the same and made his findings thereon, such modifications thereof and supplementary rules and regulations shall be set forth and adopted by him in a report in the form of rules and regulations governing electrical and fixture work, which rules and regulations shall not be contrary to or inconsistent with any law of the State of California or ordinance of the City and County, and shall forthwith upon adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published for a period of five days in the then official newspaper of the City and County. On the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid, the said rules and regulations shall take effect as the standard to which all persons, firms or corporations doing installing or constructing electrical or fixture work shall conform. No certificate of inspection and approval provided for in this ordinance shall be issued unless the electrical or fixture work therein described shall conform with the rules and regulations in effect at the time the permit therefor is issued. The Chief shall hold a hearing or hearings at such other times as he may deem it to be necessary, to ascertain and determine what changes have been made in the standards and methods adopted supplementary to the National Electrical Code, which hearing or hearings shall be held only on the giving of a like notice as in the hearing hereinabove provided for. If the Chief shall find that the accepted standards and methods adopted as supplementary thereto have been changed, he shall make and adopt such changes or modifications thereof as amendments, additions to or repeals of the then existing rules and regulations, which amendments, additions or repeals shall forthwith upon their adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting shall be published in the then official newspaper of the City and County for a period of five days, and shall take effect on the first day after the completion of the publication of notice as aforesaid.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061132, Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Innes Avenue and Mendell Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 416, Ordinance No. 12.061135, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061132, in effect August 22, 1933, entitled "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061132, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Improvement of Crossing of Gaven and Merrill Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 417, Ordinance No. 12.061136, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Gaven street and Merrill street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of armored concrete curbs and one-course concrete sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of a 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer along the center line of Gaven street between the center and westerly lines of Merrill street; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and a 6-inch class "F" concrete base, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works the assessment to defray the costs and expenses of the said contemplated work or improvement can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expenses of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Gaven street and 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Merrill street; thence southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Merrill street 75 feet; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Gaven street 550 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of Merrill street to the southerly line of Rickard street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Rickard street 525 feet; thence southerly to a point on the southerly line of Gaven street and 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Merrill street and the point of beginning, excepting and excluding all public streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Improvement of Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 418, Ordinance No. 12.061137, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 11, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue, excepting that portion required by law to be paved and kept in repair by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the construction of 8x6-inch "Y" branches; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers; by the construction of armored concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphalt-con-

crete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-second and Thirty-third Avenues.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 419, Ordinance No. 12.061138, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 22, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-second avenue and Thirty-third avenue, including the crossing of Quintara street and Thirty-second avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of 8-inch and 21-inch vitrified clay pipe sewers; by the construction of 21x6-inch "Y" branches; by the construction of the necessary 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of brick catchbasins with the accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work

or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9a and 10 of Block 2151; Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2152; Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 46, 47 and 48 of Block 2185; Lots 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50 and 51 of Block 2186; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted* by the following vote:

Providing for the Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes to Be Issued Pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1012, as follows:

Providing for the sale of tax anticipation notes to be issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332.

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0332 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco authorizes the issuance of certain tax anticipation notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1933, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County and the Treasurer thereof be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid tax anticipation notes to the amount of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise, after the 21st day of September, 1933, in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, a notice of the sale of said tax anticipation notes to the amount of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 25th day of September, and that said tax anticipation notes will be sold

to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser—4.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $79 to C. George Cuthbertson for Lot 7, Block 5550, Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1007, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from C. George Cuthbertson to a portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 5550, San Francisco, required for Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of $79 be paid for said land from the 1931 Boulevard and Road Bond Fund. It is understood that the grantee shall have the right to extend the slopes of cuts and fills onto the adjoining property of the grantor where necessary. Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Accepting Deed and Payment of $704 to Theresa D. Kalisher for Lot 1, Block 6097, Required for Roadway Connecting Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1008, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Theresa D. Kalisher to Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6097, San Francisco, required for a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley, and that the sum of $704 be paid for said land from the Reserve for Land Purchases mentioned in Resolution No. 846, adopted by this Board on June 19, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Authorizing the City Attorney to Employ Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, Attorneys at Law, to Get Legal Opinion on Validity of Anticipation Notes, at Fee of 50 Cents Per Thousand Dollars.

(Code No. 9.033)

Also, Resolution No. 1009, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is authorized to employ Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, to get legal opinion on validity of tax anticipation notes authorized by the Board of Supervisors; the fee therefor to be fifty cents per thousand dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrant.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1010, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 305 to Bank of California for $63,588.60—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Peyser—4.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy, Nineteenth Street.
(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1011, as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of forty days' time from and after August 31 within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Yukon street and Caselli avenue.

The extension of time is necessary pending the acceptance of the work and issuance of assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Theresa Street at Cayuga Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 1013, as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Theresa street at Cayuga avenue.

Whereas, on the 12th day of June, 1933, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 836, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1933, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 836, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Theresa street, at Cayuga avenue, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Theresa street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant thereon 182.643 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tingley street, as shown on map of Alemany Terraces, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "M," pages 77 to 79, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly, westerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Cayuga avenue, radius 15 feet, central angle 92 degrees 50 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 24.306 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Theresa street, on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 270 feet, central angle 9 degrees 11 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 43.321 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 385 feet, central angle 6 degrees 00 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 40.407 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 15 feet, central angle 108 degrees 02 minutes 48 seconds, a distance of 28.288 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue produced southwesterly; thence northeasterly along said southwesterly production 5.599 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Theresa street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Theresa street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 12, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 14, 1933.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 836; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of Theresa street at Cayuga avenue described in Resolution No. 836, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Theresa street at Cayuga avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 836, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said street described in Resolution No. 836, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 836; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said street shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Approving Map of Extension of Geneva Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 1014, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the extension of Geneva avenue from its present southeasterly termination southeasterly to Walbridge street; also the widening of Walbridge street westerly from the county line to Carter street," approved by the Department of Public Works Resolution No. 2981, approved August 30, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and parcels numbered 1 to 7, both inclusive thereon, be and are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Approving Map Showing Extension of Cayuga Avenue.
(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 1015, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the extension of Cayuga avenue between Seneca and Oneida avenues," approved by the Department of Public Works Resolution No. 3001, approved September 1, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and the parcels numbers 1 to 4, both inclusive, shown hatched thereon be and are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Cayuga avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $300 for Lot 10, Block 6291, Required for Opening of Roadway Connecting Excelsior District and Visitacion Valley.
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 932, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Marten B. Joost et al. to Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6291, San Francisco, required for a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley, and that the sum of $300 be paid for said land from the Reserve for Land Purchases mentioned in Resolution No. 846, adopted by this Board on June 19, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Acceptance of Deed, H. Morris et al., Property Required for Roadway Connecting Excelsior and Visitacion Valley Districts.
(Code No. 12.0823)

Also, Resolution No. 1016, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Howard H. Morris et al. to Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6288, San Francisco, required for a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley, and that the sum of $226 be paid for said land from the Reserve for Land Purchases mentioned in Resolution No. 846, adopted by this Board on June 19, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Acceptance of Deeds, Property Required for Roadway Connecting Excelsior and Visitacion Valley Districts.

(Code No. 12.0823)

Also, Resolution No. 1017, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners to certain lands in San Francisco required for a roadway connecting the Excelsior District with Visitacion Valley, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lands from the Reserve for Land Purchases mentioned in Resolution No. 846, adopted by this Board on June 19, 1933:

John H. Boege ..... $ 640
Lots 4 and 5 in Assessor's Block 6292.

A. Brunicardi 938
Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6285.

Vivian R. Leonhart 1,980
Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6292.

Estate of M. J. Blackman, deceased..... 300
Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 6291.

Annie E. Elliott 2,000
Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6290.

Richard F. Mogan et al..... 1,505
Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 6289, Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 6291 and Lots 6, 7 and 8 in Assessor's Block 6292.

August F. Reusche et al..... 320
Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 6292.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Emerson Knight, Member of Art Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 1018, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing September 14, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Leave of Absence, Thomas J. Lenehan, Member of Health Advisory Board.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 1019, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Thomas J. Lenehan, member of the Health Advisory Board, be and is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 15, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, Commissioner of the Board of Education.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 1020, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, Commissioner of the Board of Education, be and is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 26, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Action Deferred.

On motion the following matter was *laid over one week*:

Providing for an Administrative Code Authorizing and Empowering the Controller to Enter Into Necessary Contractual Relationship to Accomplish Charter Provisions with Relation to Audits to Be Made.

(Code No. 9.062)

Bill No. 424, Ordinance No. 9.0621, as follows:

An ordinance enacted under the provisions of Section 23 of the Charter providing for an administrative code, to be known as Section Number 1 thereof, authorizing and empowering the Controller to enter into the necessary contractual relationship to accomplish the purposes of Sections 64 and 66 of the Charter, relative to audits to be made by said Controller, and Sections 7 and 8 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance No. 9.0871, relative to investigation and inspection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Controller shall audit the accounts of all boards, offices and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, charged in any manner with the custody, collection or disbursement of funds, all as provided by Section 66 of the Charter, and he shall investigate the unit cost of all work done by the City and County as provided in Section 64 of said Charter, and shall also make all investigations and reports provided for by the Contract Procedure Ordinance.

Section 2. For the purpose of making the audits, investigations and reports provided by the said Charter and said Contract Procedure Ordinance, as set forth in Section 1 hereof, the Controller may, when necessary to make said audits, investigations and reports, enter into contracts and contractual relations for the furnishing to him of the necessary certificates of audit, or reports to enable him to fully perform his duties as imposed by Charter and the said ordinance.

Section 3. Such contracts or contractual relations shall be entered into only when the necessary funds have been appropriated either to the Controller or to the department for which said audit, report or investigation is to be made.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Grades on Shields Street Between Beverly Street and Its Westerly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 425, Ordinance No. 12.0713, as follows:

Establishing grades on Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed in this office September 8, 1933.

Shields Street.

Beverly street westerly line, 239.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

160 feet westerly from Beverly street, 215.60 feet.

On Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Action Deferred.

On motion the following matter was *laid over one week*:

Regulating Circulating or Lending Libraries.
(Code No. 22.03)

Bill No. 426, Ordinance No. 22.031, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the issuance of books, magazines, pamphlets or other reading matter to minors, requiring consent of parents for books furnished minors, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association owning, operating or having charge of any circulating or lending libraries to lend, rent, distribute or circulate or cause to be delivered to any person under the age of twenty-one years any book, magazine, pamphlet or other printed matter, unless and until such minor shall have registered with such circulating or lending library, and shall have received therefrom a membership card; provided, however, that such membership card shall not be issued to any person under the age of twenty-one years without the consent of the parent or legal guardian of such minor in writing, signed by such parent or legal guardian in the presence of the librarian in charge of such library. In case such minor has no regularly appointed legal guardian in the City and County of San Francisco, then such written consent must in like manner be obtained from the person who has the care or custody of said minor.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to libraries maintained or operated by the United States, the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, or any non-profit, technical and reference libraries.

Section 3. Any person, firm, corporation or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Communication Re Municipal Projects.

Supervisor Colman presented a communication from the Building Managers Association of San Francisco, Down Town Association, San

Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce and the San Francisco Real Estate Board, urging that in transmitting the proposed municipal projects to the Federal Regional Administrator for approval the Red Mountain Bar Power House project be submitted as an entirely separate proposition from the proposed transmission line and power distribution project.

The foregoing communication was read by the Clerk.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that a copy of these protests, with a record of the vote of this Board of Supervisors, be forwarded to Secretary Ickes and Justice Wardell, and the members of the Advisory Board, of which Supervisor Havenner is a member. Motion seconded by Supervisor Brown.

The subject-matter was discussed by Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Brown, McSheehy, Stanton and Canepa.

Supervisor Stanton presented a newspaper clipping from the Daily News pertinent to the question, which was read by the Clerk.

Supervisor Colman thereupon withdrew his motion as previously presented, Supervisor Brown agreeing, and further moved:

That, in transmitting to the Federal Regional Advisor for approval, the power projects be separated into two proposals:

First, Red Mountain Bar be submitted as involving the sum of $1,000,000;

Second, and the proposed transmission line designated as involving $5,308,000.

Supervisor McSheehy arose to a point of order on Supervisor Colman's motion and referred to previous action taken by the Board thereon.

The Chair sustained the point of order and Supervisor Colman accepted the ruling.

Whereupon, the communication was ordered *received and placed on file.*

In Memoriam: W. S. Scott.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1021, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deeply regrets the passing of our late confrere and member of the Board of Supervisors, William S. Scott.

Bill Scott, as he was familiarly known to us all, was a genial companion and faithful friend. He was extremely popular in the City and was affiliated with numerous fraternal organizations, to which he gave unsparingly. His death will bring sorrow to many who admired and loved him.

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to his memory, and that copies of this resolution be sent to his widow and relatives.

Adopted by a rising vote as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Communication from the National Recovery Administration.

San Francisco, September 16, 1933.

To the County Chairmen:

The agreement cited below is sent to you for your information and guidance. I think it very important indeed that the communities in your County be induced to set up an Unemployment Registration Bureau, with the purpose to see that employers give preference to

Californians and to heads of families. Copy of ordinance is herewith enclosed. I am also enclosing list of County Chairmen appointed by John A. Stellern, Director of Employment, State of California. Let me suggest that you establish immediate contact with the representative in your County.

Sincerely,

GEORGE CREEL, Chairman,
District Recovery Board, National Recovery Administration.

After a conference with Supervisor Carl W. Miles, Chairman, Citizens' Advisory Committee, Municipal Unemployment Registration Bureau of San Francisco, Charles M. Wollenberg, Municipal Director of Relief; John A. Stellern, Director of Reemployment, State of California, and Mr. Richard C. Stillwell, Manager, Veterans' Division, U. S. Employment Service, the following statement was issued by Mr. George Creel, Regional Director of the NRA:

"The San Francisco Unemployment Registration Bureau, a City agency designed to register the names of all bona fide unemployed San Francisco residents, is doing a necessary and valuable work. I am recommending to NRA leaders that they have similar bureaus established in every California community.

"I am forwarding copies of the legislation creating San Francisco's Bureau to all County Chairmen urging them to bring the matter immediately before all Boards of Supervisors and City Councils. Those County Chairmen are also being instructed to establish immediate contact with the County Chairmen already appointed by Mr. Stellern so that the task of reemployment will be free from duplication and confusion.

"As we begin active work on the various projects receiving NRA fund aid, these various Bureaus can cooperate helpfully with the Federal Reemployment Service.

"Employers will be asked to give preference in hiring new help, to capable heads of families who are bona fide residents of their particular communities.

"I wish to point out that the fact that a man or woman is registered with one of these Bureaus by no means necessarily indicates he or she is on relief."

Referred to Committee.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Printing Contracts to Be Awarded Only Where There Is the Fullest Cooperation with the NRA Program.

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 1022, as follows:

Whereas, some two weeks ago bids were opened for printing the Great Register, and ten bids were received at 5½ cents per line, which is the lowest price bid for this work in over ten years; and

Whereas, a bid is now submitted at 3¾ cents per line, which is obviously a "cut throat price" that will not stand up under the NRA Code and afford a decent profit or an adequate wage as contemplated in the "New Deal"; and

Whereas, the Printers' Board of Trade, representing, as it does, the legitimate printing industry of this city, with its large capital investment and large payroll of employees, is pledged to cooperate in spirit and letter with the National Recovery Program, and by that pledge and cooperation become subject to "racketeering" practices of printers who have little or no investment in San Francisco and do not conform to the same high standards as long-established San Francisco firms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors as a matter of sound public policy and considering the exigencies of the times, go on record as favoring awards of contracts, not on a basis of "cut throat" competition, but only to such local firms as have legitimate and long-

established places of business and have been affording employment to our people during these distressing times, both of which employers and employees, are unitedly cooperating in the fullest measure with the NRA program for the revival of business and the profitable re-employment of our people.

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors request our Purchaser of Supplies to use his prerogative of rejection of bids by rejecting the above-mentioned low bid and award to the next highest responsible bidder.

On motion *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

In Memoriam: Fred Nicholas.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1023, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records the passing of Fred Nicholas, former President of District Council No. 22, Carpenters of America, and prominent in all the activities of the Building Trades Council for the last 25 years, and formerly Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Fred Nicholas had a host of friends, was a stalwart in the labor movement, and, together with the late P. H. McCarthy, former President of the Building Trades Council, made history in the cause of organized labor. He was a Supervisor of this City during the trying period of 1906, when the City was destroyed by fire and earthquake, and he played an important part in its rehabilitation.

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to his memory, and the Clerk is directed to convey to the relatives of the deceased this Board's deep sympathy and commiseration in his death.

Further Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to appoint a Committee to represent the City and County at the funeral services.

Adopted by a rising vote, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

In Memoriam: Charles L. Neumiller.
(Code No. 5.91)

Also, Resolution No. 1024, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board records with deep commiseration the passing of Charles L. Neumiller, President of the Board of State Prison Directors, and Chairman for many years of the State Central Committee of the Republican Party.

Mr. Neumiller was a man active in the public affairs of this City and State for many years, and is a distinct loss to his friends, constituents and family.

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the late Mr. Neumiller, and the President of the Board of Supervisors be requested to appoint a proper committee to represent the City and County of San Francisco at his funeral.

Adopted by a rising vote, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Peyser—3.

Communication Re School Bond Project.

Supervisor Spaulding presented a communication from the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs endorsing the School Bond project of $3,000,000 for building new schools.

Read and *placed on file.*

Hall of Justice Building in Civic Center.

Wm. J. Quinn, Chief of Police Department, addressed the Board and was heard in support of erecting a Hall of Justice building within the Civic Center in accordance with plans to be submitted.

On motion, the project was *approved.*

Referred to Committee.

The communications from the San Francisco Labor Council and the Building Trades Council transmitting copies of its resolutions dealing with capitalization upon which the rates of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company base its high rates was

Upon motion, *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Communication from California State Fair.

A communication from the California State Fair State Agricultural Society, thanking the Board of Supervisors for San Francisco's participation and exhibit at the California State Fair of 1933 was read by the Clerk and ordered *placed on file.*

Referred to Committee.

Communication from the Controller.

A communication from the Controller, referring to State Senate Bill No. 563 of the last Legislature, amending and adding to Act 3303a of the General Laws of the State of California, "An act to provide for the acquisition of rights of way, construction and improvement of State highways, and directing expenditure of State highway funds for improvement of State highways or portions thereof within such city," was read by the Clerk and, on motion, *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Providing for Audit of Controller's Books and Accounts and Notice of Recommendation.

(Code No. 9.061)

The Finance Committee, by Supervisor Miles, presented:

Resolution No. 902, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1932-33, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. & Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, $50 per day; for senior accountant, $25 per day; for junior accountant, $15 per day, together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall

not exceed the sum of $7,500, and shall be paid from Appropriation Item No. 3, 1933-34, Appropriation Ordinance.

Supervisor Miles moved adoption of the foregoing resolution.

The subject-matter was discussed at length by Supervisor Miles, McSheehy and Stanton.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be rereferred to the Finance Committee and be advertised in the official newspaper, calling for bids for such service.

Supervisor Miles explained that he had taken up matter of calling for bids with a number of the leading certified auditing and accounting firms and was advised that a standardized price has been established by the various companies, and that no advantage to the City would be served in calling for bids, and therefore has recommended that the work be authorized as set forth in the resolution presented.

The question being called on Supervisor McSheehy's amendment, the amendment was lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser—4.

Thereupon, action was taken on Supervisor Miles' motion to adopt the resolution and the said resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Miles, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser—4.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote at the next meeting of the Board.

School Construction Bonds.

Upon request of Supervisor Stanton, the Clerk was directed to invite the Board of Education to be present next meeting, at the hour of 3 p. m., in the matter of discussing the proposed bond issue for the construction of new schools.

Acting President of the Board.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that Supervisor Miles be appointed Acting President of the Board during the absence of President Hayden, who has been appointed Acting Mayor by His Honor Mayor Rossi during his temporary absence from the City.

Motion *carried*.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 41

Monday, September 25, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 25, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher—3.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Supervisor Canepa appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Gallagher on leave.

Quorum present.

His Honor Acting President Miles presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of August 8, 10, 14, 17, 21, 28, 30 and September 5, 11 and 18, 1933, were approved.

RECEIPT OF BIDS—3 P. M.

Notice of Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,

September 22, 1933.

Notice is hereby given that sealed bids for the purchase of tax anticipation notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332, in the amount of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, September 25, 1933, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The tax anticipation notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars in denominations of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars each to bear date of September 25, 1933, and payable to bearer on December 20, 1933, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0332 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the first half of said fiscal year and shall be payable from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest and if two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1012 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted September 18, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk Board of Supervisors.

Bids.

The following bids were presented and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

List of Bids—$1,650,000 *Tax Antincipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, September* 25, 1933.

Bank of America N. T. & S. A.—$1,650,000 par value tax anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California, of the denomination of $10,000 each, dated September 25, 1933; due and payable to bearer on December 20, 1933, said notes to bear interest at the rate of one and forty-five one hundredths per cent (1.45%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco (American Trust Company)—$1,650,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated September 25, 1933, maturing December 20, 1933, of the denomination of $10,000 each and bearing interest at the rate of 1.2 per cent per annum, payable at maturity.

The First of Boston Corporation—For all but no part of one million six hundred fifty thousand ($1,650,000) dollars, City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes in all respects as described in your official notice of sale dated September 22, 1933, which notice is hereby made a part of this bid, we will pay one million six hundred fifty thousand and fifty-five dollars ($1,650,055) plus accrued interest for notes bearing interest at the rate of 1.60 per cent.

R. H. Moulton & Company (Weeden & Co.)—For $1,650,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation 1½% Notes of the denomination of $10,00 each, dated September 25, 1933, and maturing December 20, 1933, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you $1,650,000, being the par value of said notes, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of $131.

Adopted.

Subsequently the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Accepting Bid of Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, American Trust Company, for $1,650,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1028, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0332, that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million six hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($1,650,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to three o'clock p. m., September 25, 1933; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of the Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, American Trust Company, as follows, be and is hereby accepted:

For $1,650,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery.

The Tax Anticipation Notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

$1,650,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated September 25, 1933, maturing December 20, 1933; of the denomination of $10,000 each and bearing interest at the rate of 1.2% per annum, payable at maturity.

The above bid is made subject to our being furnished free of charge with the satisfactory opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, approving the legality of the above notes in all respects.

And that all other bids for said bonds be rejected, and Clerk is directed to return certified checks for the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week. Special Order 3 p. m.*:

ACTION PENDING ON NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 18, 1933. Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from "*no*" to "*aye*" and gave notice he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board:

Providing for Annual Audit of Controller's Books of Accounts.

(Code No. 9.061)

Resolution No. 902, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1932-33, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. & Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, $50 per day; for senior accountant, $25 per day; for junior accountant, $15 per day, together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of $7,500, and shall be paid from Appropriation Item No. 3, 1933-34, Appropriation Ordinance.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding to Section 51 (Bureau Building Repair) One Janitress (Part-Time), One Elevator Operator and Two Janitors. (Required for and Paid by Board of Education.)

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 420, Ordinance No. 9.05346, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 51 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 12½, increasing the number of employments under Item 10 by one, and the number of employments under Item 13 by two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 51 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 51. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	$ 300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	275
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher	275
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter	280
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	19	C52	Elevator Operator	155
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
12½	1	C102	Janitress (Part-Time) as needed	75
13	55	C104	Janitor	155
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
20	5	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines	185
24	6	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220

25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines...	300

Explanatory.

These employments are necessary because of additional service required for Board of Education. No appropriation needed as salaries are paid from Board of Education funds on work order.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60 (Department of Public Health), Item 48 (Superintendent) to Include Maintenance in Consonance with Section 70-1 of the Charter, which Provides That Deductions Be Made from the Gross Compensation.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 421, Ordinance No. 9.05347, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by changing the compensation of Item 48 to include the valuation of maintenance now provided to the incumbent of the position in consonance with Section 70.1 of the Charter, which provides that deductions shall be made from the gross compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	52.50
58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61a	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.).....	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine....	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	355
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hrs. at $6 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hrs. at $7 per day	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	135
77	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	2	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185

Explanatory.

The Superintendent of the Hospital is now living in the hospital and full family maintenance is provided. This maintenance is valued at $150 *and under Section* 70.1 *of the Charter deduction from the gross compensation must be made. No appropriation necessary as funds for maintenance of employees have been appropriated in the appropriation ordinance.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco and Establishing the Boundaries of said District and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 422, Ordinance No. 11.089, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected to a height greater than 105 feet within the territory bounded by Washington street on the south, Fillmore street on the west, Van Ness avenue on the east, and by a line midway between Green street and Union street on the north, in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, that no building for human occupancy within said district shall be more than eight (8) stories in height.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings,

weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 18 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Explanation.

On July 10, 1933, *when this ordinance was passed for second reading the City Attorney, during the meeting, was requested to revise Section* 1. *As revised, the words "to a height greater than* 105 *feet" were omitted inadvertently. The City Attorney advises that it is necessary to pass this ordinance as corrected.*

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Ordering Improvement of Parker Avenue Between Turk and McAllister Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 423, Ordinance No. 12.061139, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 7, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time

of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Parker avenue between Turk street and McAllister street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of a one-course concrete sidewalk, nine (9) feet in width, on the east side of Parker avenue between Turk street and the north line of McAllister street produced; by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the unimproved portion of the roadway; and by the construction of the necessary asphaltic concrete conform pavement.

The standard specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lot 1 of Block 1144; Lots 1 and 2 of Block 1165; Lot 1 of Block 1145; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 1164; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of Erroneous Tax Payment, $21.08.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1025, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $21.08 be and is hereby authorized in payment to Albert Del Lundy et al. out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded); being refund of amount collected on an erroneous assessment, including penalty and costs. 1932-33 Real Estate Rolls.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 67 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Controller.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 427, Ordinance No. 9.05348, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by abolishing one General Clerk-Stenographer position at $150 and creating and establishing in its place one Secretarial Telephone Operator at $150.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 67. **CONTROLLER.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	9	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part-time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
27	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part-time)	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	150

Explanatory.

Item 27½ added in place of one position eliminated from Item 27.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Reappropriating $1,255.50 to Budget Item 197, Per Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Controller.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 428, Ordinance No. 9.05190, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the Controller's office, reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of Secretarial Telephone Operator at $150 per month in the Controller's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the Controller's office is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Controller's office one position of Secretarial Telephone Operator at a salary of $150 per month less seven per cent (7%) deduction as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated under Item 197 of Ordinance 9.05164 in the amount of $1,255.50 for compensation of the position herein abolished, is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 197 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Amending Section 86 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, Civil Service Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 429, Ordinance No. 9.05349, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 86 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by abolishing one position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month and adding one General Clerk at $155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 86 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 86. **CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	$ 100
1½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Abolishing Position of Senior Clerk, Civil Service Commission, at $175 Per Month; Establishing the Position of General Clerk, Civil Service Commission, at $155 Per Month, and Reappropriating the Sum of $1,255.50 to the Credit of Item 248, for Payment of Compensation of Such Position.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 430, Ordinance No. 9.05191, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission; reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of General Clerk at $155 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Civil Service Commission one position of General Clerk at $155 per month less 10 per cent deduction as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated under Item 248 of Ordinance 9.05164 for salary of the position herein abolished for the balance of the fiscal year in the amount of $1,255.50 is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 248 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Application to the State of California for Loan of $1,000,000 Under Provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, for the Furnishing of Relief in San Francisco Resulting From Unemployment. Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 431, Ordinance No. 19.0718, as follows:

Application to the State of California for loan of $1,000,000, made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of Statutes of 1933; this sum being necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief resulting from unemployment in the City and County of San Francisco for the period October 1, 1933, to December 31, 1933, or shortly thereafter. Authorizing the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a note in amount of $1,000,000 with interest at 4¼ per cent per annum payable at semi-annual intervals, on January 1 and July 1; if not paid subject to provisions of Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933. Declaring existence of an actual emergency relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor, and passage of this ordinance prior to October 1, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) is hereby made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief and work relief and in relieving the hardship resulting from un-

employment in San Francisco County for the period beginning October 1, 1933, and ending December 31, 1933, or shortly thereafter.

Said loan, if granted, in the amount of one million and no/100 dollars ($1,000,000) shall constitute a debt of the County of San Francisco and shall be secured by a note of the County of San Francisco executed as follows:

$1,000,000 San Francisco, California.
Amount of money ————, 1933

On or before July 1, 1937, the County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of one million and no/100 dollars ($1,000,000), together with interest thereon at the rate of 4¼ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals, on January 1 and July 1; provided that such principal and interest, if not paid when due, will be subject to the provisions of Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

This note is given under the provision and in compliance with said Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933.

Signed ————,
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.
Attest ————,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute such note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested ($1,000,000) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administrator. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and that this ordinance must be passed prior to October 1, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Providing for an Administrative Code Authorizing and Empowering the Controller to Enter Into Necessary Contractual Relationship to Accomplish Charter Provisions with Relation to Audits to Be Made.

(Code No. 9.062)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 424, Ordinance No. 9.0621, as follows:

An ordinance enacted under the provisions of Section 23 of the Charter providing for an administrative code, to be known as Section Number 1 thereof, authorizing and empowering the Controller to enter into the necessary contractual relationship to accomplish the purposes of Sections 64 and 66 of the Charter, relative to audits to be made by said Controller, and Sections 7 and 8 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance No. 9.0871, relative to investigation and inspection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Controller shall audit the accounts of all boards, offices and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, charged in any manner with the custody, collection or disbursement of funds,

all as provided by Section 66 of the Charter, and he shall investigate the unit cost of all work done by the City and County as provided in Section 64 of said Charter, and shall also make all investigations and reports provided for by the Contract Procedure Ordinance.

Section 2. For the purpose of making the audits, investigations and reports provided by the said Charter and said Contract Procedure Ordinance, as set forth in Section 1 hereof, the Controller may, when necessary to make said audits, investigations and reports, enter into contracts and contractual relations for the furnishing to him of the necessary certificates of audit, or reports to enable him to fully perform his duties as imposed by Charter and the said ordinance.

Section 3. Such contracts or contractual relations shall be entered into only when the necessary funds have been appropriated either to the Controller or to the department for which said audit, report or investigation is to be made.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Southeasterly Corner of Bush and Octavia Streets, Set for Monday, October 9, 1933, at 2 p. m.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1026, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Bush and Octavia streets, is hereby set for Monday, October 9, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Charles J. Brennan, Chief San Francisco Fire Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 21, 1933.

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Charles J. Brennan, Chief San Francisco Fire Department, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of five (5) days, commencing September 25, 1933, in order to attend the Pacific Coast Fire Chiefs' Convention at Portland, Oregon.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
J. EMMET HAYDEN, Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1027, as follows:

Resolved, That Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department, be and he is hereby authorized to leave the State of California and to absent himself therefrom for a period of

five (5) days from and after the date hereof for the purpose of attending a meeting of the Pacific Coast Fire Chiefs to be held at the City of Portland in the State of Oregon on September 26, 27 and 28, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Regulating Circulating or Lending Libraries.

(Code No. 22.03)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 426, Ordinance No. 22.031, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the issuance of books, magazines, pamphlets or other reading matter to minors, requiring consent of parents for books furnished minors, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association owning, operating or having charge of any circulating or lending library to lend or rent to any person under the age of twenty-one years any book, magazine, pamphlet or other printed matter, unless and until such minor shall have registered with such circulating or lending library, and shall have received therefrom a membership card; provided, however, that such membership card shall not be issued to any person under the age of twenty-one years without the consent of the parent or legal guardian of such minor in writing, signed by such parent or legal guardian in the presence of the librarian in charge of such library. In case such minor has no regularly appointed legal guardian in the City and County of San Francisco, then such written consent must in like manner be obtained from the person who has the care or custody of said minor.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to libraries maintained or operated by the United States, the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, or any non-profit, technical and reference libraries.

Section 3. Any person, firm, corporation or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Public Library Service.

Supervisor Brown asked if the Clerk had any report from the Board of Library Trustees in response to his motion that public libraries be kept open Sundays and holidays for the accommodation of the unemployed who had no means for other recreation and was answered in the negative. He thereupon read a personal reply from said Board stating reasons why request could not be complied with and comparing service rendered public in San Francisco with inferior service rendered in other cities.

Parking in the Financial District.

Supervisor Peyser: We passed an ordinance repealing parking in the Financial District which has not been in effect. People are still receiving tags on the streets. He asked that the Clerk direct a communication to the Chief of Police asking why the ordinance has not been put in effect and also that he be requested to include that the present "No Parking" signs be taken down.

So ordered.

Relative to N. R. A. Loan for Public Works.

The following matters were read by the Clerk:

The Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, President of the Board of Supervisors and Acting Mayor, San Francisco.

With reference to the thirty-day term limitation we have been assured that if we do our part the government will not stand on technicalities. It is our opinion that bond election upon projects be deferred until federal approval has been secured. Trip to Washington will depend upon further developments. We will be home Friday, 8:20 a. m. Would you be good enough to transmit this message to the members of the Board of Supervisors today in session and have manifolded copies of it presented to Justice Wardell and Supervisor Havenner. We all extend regards.

ANGELO J. ROSSI.
LEONARD S. LEAVY.
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.

The Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, President of the Board of Supervisors and Acting Mayor, San Francisco.

Had a very satisfactory conference with Secretary Ickes, he assured us as well as all other members attending conference that it is his sincere desire to cooperate on public works advances speedily and to the fullest extent. Federal government in Washington extremely eager for speedy submission of municipal requests. We quote from Secretary Ickes address as follows: "Believe me you cannot be as anxious as I am to put this public works money to work. I fully realize the seriousness of the situation. I want your help, your friendly cooperation. I want you to show me how to give you a share of this money, show me quickly and you will get the money quickly." We further quote from the Secretary: "We are willing to advance you as an outright give thirty per cent of your outlay for labor and material on an approved plan; we ask you to pay for the balance of the project, but we are willing to help you to finance your share. We will advance you the remaining seventy per cent upon approved security and by approved security I don't mean that we will be as finicky about the security that you may offer as would be the investment bankers with whom you are accustomed to deal." It is apparent to us any delay will be chargeable to us. We therefore urge that every effort be exerted in the direction of cooperation with the State Advisory Board, for we feel definitely satisfied that Washington will act upon our recommendations without delay. We further quote from the Secretary's address. "We are not being technical. We are not wound about with red tape those who cry out about red tape in some instances, at least, are themselves entangled in red tape. We are willing to give a municipality the benefit of the doubt. We do not even ask that any municipal project be brought to us in perfect engineering, legal and financial form before approving it. We have adopted a system of tentative allocations of funds on my motion."

The Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, President of the Board of Supervisors and Acting Mayor, San Francisco.

The Special Board of Public Works about a month ago adopted a resolution as follows:

"Resolved, That upon a proper prima facie showing that a proposed State or Municipal public works project is socially desirable is satisfactory from an engineering point of view and can be financed under the law. The public works administration may make an allocation of the money required for such project on the condition that not later than thirty days after such allocation the financial and engineering feature of said project be worked out and a final contract satisfactory to the Administrator of Public Works be executed, failing which the tentative allocation shall be without effect."

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the projects heretofore approved by this Board be submitted to the voters at the general election of November 7, 1933.

Amendment.

Supervisor Peyser, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved as an amendment to defer action until Friday, and in the interim we send a wire to Mr. Ickes asking his opinion as to whether it is advisable to submit these matters to the people at a bond election before or after their approval by himself.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser—6.
Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Motion Carried.

Thereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.
Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser—6.
Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved we recess at end of today's session until Friday, at 2 p. m., for further consideration of proposed bond issue for public works project.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following telegram was *ordered sent to Mr. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior*:

"In line with policy of the National Administration urging speed on local NRA projects, this Board of Supervisors voted Monday to hold a $35,000,000 City and County Public Works Bond Election on November 7, 1933. Before the vote to submit the proposals to the people in November this Board was advised by the Mayor's Conference in Chicago that it would be more desirable and better policy to delay the election until after the projects are given tentative Federal approval. The Board will finally vote Friday, September 29, whether to hold an election November 7 or postpone it until after NRA approval is obtained. If it is not too presumptuous this Board would like your advice as to whether to speed the election or wait for Federal approval. It is of the utmost importance if the local NRA program is to proceed expeditiously, that you kindly advise us as to your attitude and the attitude of the National Administration in the matter of the election date before noon, Friday, September 29."

Unemployment Relief Loan.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution of the Emergency Relief Committee of the County of

San Francisco, requesting the Board of Supervisors to apply for a loan under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by Supervisor Miles and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Making an Appropriation of $416,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the Purpose of Meeting the Expenses of Caring for the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the Month of September, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 432, Ordinance No. 9.05192, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $416,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of four hundred and sixteen thousand ($416,000) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1933.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such state, federal and municipal funds as are in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and are available for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Relief Survey Committee's Recommendations.

Supervisor Havenner: In view of the completion of the report of the Expert Committee appointed by this Board to make a survey of relief, the fact that printed copies are now available and supplied to the members of the Board of Supervisors and other responsible officials of the government, I think it desirable to request the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee to make a report to this Board at the earliest possible moment of their recommendations for putting the various suggestions into effect.

I think that the press of yesterday carried a despatch from the Chicago Conference of Mayors quoting a National Administrator of Relief, stating that it would be the policy of the Federal government for the abolishment of food relief and the substitution of cash or "scrip" relief. That is one of the recommendations contained in the report of the Survey Committee.

I would, therefore, request that the committee be asked to report at the earliest possible moment as to what recommendation of survey should be adopted.

So ordered.

Resolution Withdrawn—Award of Contract for Printing Great Register.

Supervisor Shannon asked permission to withdraw resolution heretofore presented by him and referred to the Public Welfare Committee relative to award of contract for printing great register.

Supervisor Power as chairman, together with the other members, the Welfare Committee agreed to withdrawal.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon was permitted to withdraw resolution from the Board and from the record.

Petitioning the President of the United States to Prevail Upon Honorable George Creel to Reconsider His Resignation and Continue His Patriotic Activities as District Chairman for the National Recovery Administration.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1030, as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable George Creel has submitted to the President of the United States his resignation from the office of chairman of the District Recovery Board of the National Recovery Administration, effective October 1, 1933; and

Whereas, his untiring efforts to promote the success of this most important part of President Franklin Delano Roosevelt's recovery program have been in the largest degree responsible for the enthusiastic support accorded the NRA by every section of our population in San Francisco and the entire West; and

Whereas, as a result of this response, San Francisco and California appear to be definitely emerging from the business and industrial depression which so seriously blighted our people; and

Whereas, this evident return to normal conditions in our economic life will spell the resumption of prosperity and happiness to our people; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance that the splendid work initiated by Mr. Creel be continued; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby express the heartfelt appreciation of its members and the citizens of San Francisco for the splendid, unselfish work of the Honorable George Creel in their behalf; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby petition His Excellency, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, President of the United States, to prevail upon the Honorable George Creel to reconsider his resignation and continue his patriotic activities as District Chairman for the National Recovery Administration; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded forthwith to the Chief Administrator of the National Recovery Act, the Honorable General Hugh S. Johnson.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

SPECIAL ORDER.

School Building Program.

The Board of Education having been invited to attend today's meeting in re School Building Program under the NRA, President Phillip Lee Bush and Superintendent Jos. Marr Gwinn appeared.

Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, explained the program to the Supervisors, declaring it would provide safe schools as

well as relieve serious overcrowding in the high schools and junior high schools.

The George Washington High School, he said, will accommodate 2500 pupils. Only the first half of the Marina Junior High would be constructed at this time, to hold 1000 to 1200 pupils, eventually 1600. The Lawton School would also be only a first unit, replacing existing bungalows.

Concerning old schools to be remodeled, Mr. Bush said the Starr King, Irving M. Scott and Patrick Henry would utilize only ground floor classrooms, abandoning upper stories, and altered to provide French windows, which with present hallways would give a double and speedy means of evacuating children in case of fire.

The three-story building at Francis Scott Key School would be replaced by a new building with the seven-year-old second building on the site remodeled as above. Parent-Teachers Association's request to move the school two blocks farther south will be considered in the meantime.

By means of iron stairways, the Twin Peaks and Douglas Schools' fire hazards can be eliminated, said Mr. Bush, adding that the proposal to consolidate the two schools had been abandoned. The Fremont School has been converted into a two-story school since it was partially destroyed by fire in April, and nothing will be done about a new building until a new site is obtained, probably requiring several years.

Superintendent Jos. Marr Gwinn was also heard in explanation of the school program.

Mrs. Eric W. Hammond, 350 Sixteenth avenue, representing Parent-Teachers Association, was heard in support of the program of the Board of Education as presented.

Mrs. Thos. I. Best, representing Sunset Transportation and Improvement Club, spoke for the replacement of the Francis Scott Key School by a new school on the present site in accordance with plan for replacement of firetrap schools heretofore approved.

Approved.

Whereupon, the revised $3,000,000 program of school construction was *approved* for inclusion in the City's $35,000,000 list of N. I. R. A. projects.

Schools Provided.

As submitted and approved, the revised program provides the following construction:

New schools: George Washington High School, Thirtieth avenue and Geary street, $1,200,000; Marina Junior High School, Fillmore and Chestnut streets, $385,000; Lawton Elementary School, Thirtieth avenue and Lawton street, $100,000.

Old schools replaced: Glen Park, $300,000; Agassiz, $175,000; Sunshine, $115,000; Buena Vista, $200,000, and Visitacion, $250,000. These schools were included in the bond issue defeated last June.

Old schools partially remodeled: Starr King, $95,000; Irving M. Scott, $75,000; Patrick Henry, $85,000, and Francis Scott Key, $100,000.

For sundry changes and general additions an item of $180,000 was included, and for strengthening schools suspected of earthquake weakness, $240,000.

Three Not Included.

Three schools for which provision was made in the defeated bond issues, were not included in the revised program: Twin Peaks, Douglass and Fremont.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $6,000 for Elevator Construction, etc., Civic Auditorium.

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Miles:

Bill No. 433, Ordinance No. 9.05193, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $6,000 out of Emergency Reserve, Appropriation 6-A, for the cost, construction and reconstruction of elevators, construction of partition, etc., in Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $6,000 is hereby set aside out of Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 6-A, for the construction and reconstruction of elevators, construction of partition, etc., in the Civic Auditorium.

Recommended by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. took a recess until Friday, September 29, 1933, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 2, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 42

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Friday, September 29, 1933
Monday, October 2, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1933, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess from meeting of September 25, 1933, for the further consideration of matters pertaining to proposed bond election of November 7, 1933, for the construction of public works projects to be financed under the National Recovery Act.

ROLL CALL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser—4.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 4 p. m.

Supervisor Peyser appeared and was noted present at 4 p. m.

His honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

Reply to Protests Against Bonds for Hetch Hetchy Projects.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be directed, on behalf of the City and County, to prepare a reply to protests filed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company against the $6,308,000 project for Red Mountain Bar Power House, Transmission Line and Distribution System with the State Advisory Board of Federal Administration of Public Works, and that the City Attorney's reply be submitted to this Board for approval.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved as an amendment that the Mayor be substituted for the City Attorney.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment to the amendment that the City Attorney be included with the Mayor (Colman accepts) and motion *carried without objection.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the City Attorney's reply to these protests as made according to the policy already defined by this Board.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Mayor and City Attorney be instructed to include in the reply to be submitted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a complete statement of the provisions of the Raker Act with respect to the distribution of power generated in the Hetch Hetchy project—a comprehensive report which can be taken from the record of the conversations of the official committee representing this City and former Secretary of the Interior Dr. Wilbur at the last hearing of this matter in Washington.

So ordered.

Communication From the Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, September 29, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Immediately upon being advised of the special meeting called by your Board for this afternoon, I proceeded to draft a report of the most important discussions that took place at the Conference of Mayors of the United States, held in Chicago, September 22 and 23.

While many matters of interest in connection with municipal governments were reviewed, I feel the most important item discussed was the public works program.

The conference was indeed honored by the presence of the Secretary of the Interior, the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, who, in a very definite and detailed manner outlined the Federal Government's attitude in connection with the works program.

My telegram of September 25th conveyed many important excerpts from the Secretary's address. Feeling that the said address was of sufficient importance, I am herewith transmitting to you a complete copy of it, and suggest that it be made a part of your proceedings of today and that manifold copies be made of it and be distributed to each member of the Board for his information and consideration.

The Secretary's message very clearly reflects the cooperative attitude of the Federal Government in connection with the N. R. A. program, and its eagerness to aid the municipalities in putting it into operation. The Secretary stressed the fact that "red tape" does not nor will not apply in so far as Washington is concerned. It is up to us to reasonably satisfy his department that the project for which we ask aid is socially desirable, satisfactory from an engineering standpoint and can be financed under the law.

In my personal conference with the Secretary, he reassured me of his desire to cooperate and made it very clear that the broadest interpretation would obtain in considering recommendations.

It was only after my conference with the Secretary and the members of the Executive Committee of the conference that a wire was sent to Acting Mayor Hayden suggesting that a special bond election be deferred until Washington acts upon our requests.

It was the consensus of opinion of those attending the conference that the Government's prior approval of projects would materially aid the electorate in reaching a favorable decision. I concur in that viewpoint and feel that any other course would confuse the public mind and predicate against the issue.

If the Federal Government will agree to accept our recommendations or any part of them, then let us go to the voters with a convincing and definite program. I am in full accord with the Board's thought in overcoming delay and getting the quickest possible action, but above all things, we want *favorable* action. It is, therefore, for this reason, and this reason alone, that I reiterate my recommendation as contained in my telegram of September 25th. I am sure you will share with me in the great pleasure of knowing that our requests, as drawn up by our Controller, Leonard S. Leavy, were the subject of many flattering compliments. In fact, Mr. Betters, executive secretary of the Mayors' Conference, very frankly admitted that Mr. Leavy's drafts were most thorough and understandable, and requested copies to be furnished him, for it is his purpose to use them as models for other cities to follow.

The worthwhileness of the conference and the urge for its continuation is beyond question. However, I return home thoroughly convinced that only in this organized effort could the splendid understanding now obtaining between the municipalities and the Federal Government be established.

I trust you will not consider me immodest when I tell you the address which I made at the opening banquet, and which was nationally broadcast through the courtesy of the National Broadcast System, was most graciously received, for I received many personal and telegraphic compliments. I am sure the compliments were intended for the City and County of San Francisco, because my address dealt with our splendid form of government and the City and County's very enviable financial position.

I did not proceed to Washington, for I did not believe it necessary. Secretary Betters and a committee of three from the conference are now there and will keep me informed. Subsequent developments only will determine whether a delegation should or should not go back there. In order that you may partly visualize conditions away from home, I offer information given to me by the executive secretary of the conference, that there are not fifteen cities of sizable population in the United States financially solvent. I also learned that the city of Chicago's Tax Anticipation Notes of 1931 are now being liquidated and that Chicago, on the day I left there, was paying salary warrants amounting to $1,900,000 for the last half of June of this year. Furthermore, Mayor Kelly of Chicago informed those present at the conference that notwithstanding the fact that Chicago has met its maturing bond obligation, it found it extremely difficult to dispose of its bonds.

Herewith attached are copies of resolutions passed by the conference favoring the passage of amendments to the Federal statutes:

a. Permitting postal savings banks to accept municipal funds as public depositories.

b. Favoring legislation under which tax warrants, notes or certificates may be issued as collateral or may be purchased by some Federal governmental agency.

In conclusion may I add that within the next ten days I expect a transcript of the full proceedings of the conference and upon its receipt you may expect a copy for your files.

I am also having manifolded copy of the address delivered by the Hon. Harry Hopkins, Federal Relief Administrator. I feel this, too, will be of interest to the members of your Board.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Justus Wardell was granted the privilege of the floor.

Justus Wardell, Regional Advisor, National Recovery Administration, addressed the Board. He declared that it would be better if we could hold the bond election on October 7 instead of November 7, as the whole purpose of the N. R. A. is to get men on the relief roll back on the pay roll. It would not be advantageous to send a delegation to Washington to advocate a loan—it would be of no benefit to make a personal appeal.

Mayor Rossi read a telegram expressing his views and the attitude of the Federal Administrator, to-wit:

The Hon. J. Emmett Hayden, President of the Board of Supervisors and Acting Mayor.

Had a very satisfactory conference with Secretary Ickes. He assured us, as well as all other members attending conference, that it is his sincere desire to cooperate on public works advances speedily and to the fullest extent. Federal Government in Washington extremely eager for speedy submission of municipal requests. We quote from Secretary Ickes' address as follows: "Believe me, you cannot be as anxious as I am to put this public works money to work. I fully realize the seriousness of the situation. I want your help, your friendly

cooperation. I want you to show me how to give you a share of this money; show me quickly and you will get the money quickly." We further quote from the Secretary: "We are willing to advance you as an outright gift 30 per cent of your outlay for labor and material on an approved plan. We ask you to pay for the balance of the project, but we are willing to help you to finance your share. We will advance you the remaining 70 per cent upon approved security and by approved security I don't mean that we will be as finicky about the security that you may offer as would be the investment bankers with whom you are accustomed to deal." It is apparent to us any delay will be chargeable to us; we, therefore, urge that every effort be exerted in the direction of cooperation with the State Advisory Board, for we feel definitely satisfied that Washington will act upon our recommendations without delay. We further quote from the Secretary's address: "We are not being technical. We are not wound about with red tape. Those who cry out about red tape in some instances, at least, are themselves entangled in red tape. We are willing to give a municipality the benefit of the doubt. We do not even ask that any municipal project be brought to us in perfect engineering, legal and financial form before approving it. We have adopted a system of tentative allocations of funds on my motion."

Telegrams.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, September 26, 1933.

Harold L. Ickes, Federal Administrator of Public Works, Interior Building, Washington, D. C.

On motion of Supervisor Warren Shannon the following was ordered directed to you: "In line with policy of the National Administration urging speed on local NRA projects this Board of Supervisors voted Monday to hold a thirty-five million dollar City and County Public Works Bond Election on November 7, 1933. Before the vote to submit the proposal to the people in November this Board was advised by the Mayors' Conference in Chicago that it would be more desirable and better policy to delay the election until after the projects are given tentative Federal approval. The Board will finally vote Friday, September 29, whether to hold an election November 7 or postpone it until after NRA approval is obtained. If it is not too presumptuous this Board would like your advice as to whether to speed the election or wait for Federal approval. It is of the utmost importance if the local NRA program is to proceed expeditiously that you kindly advise us as to your attitude and the attitude of the National Administration in the matter of the election date before noon Friday, September 29."

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Wire to Secretary Ickes, Washington, D. C.

September 28, 1933, 2 p. m.

"Supplementing telegram sent to you yesterday by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, asking your advice as to whether City should submit thirteen separate bond issues for public works projects aggregating thirty-five million dollars at the general election on November 7, or postpone submission of any of these bond propositions until after Federal approval is obtained. Under State Constitution a two-thirds favorable vote of the people is required to carry any bond proposition. Six of the fourteen members of the Board of Supervisors who voted against submission of all of these issues on November 7 felt that to submit bond propositions aggregating thirty-five million dollars in advance of Federal approval would result in the failure of all or most of the propositions to carry, in which case even though

they might later be approved by the Federal Public Works Administration, the Board of Supervisors could not resubmit defeated projects to the people until an election late in June, 1934. In advising the Board of Supervisors on the question of submitting these propositions at the November 7th election, or postponing said submission until after P. W. A. approval is obtained, this factor of a possible seven or eight months delay should be taken into account."

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
Acting Mayor of the City and County
of San Francisco.

Phoned Telegram.

Washington, D. C., Sept. 28, 1933, 8:40 a. m.

Re Tel. 26th.

Mr. Dunnigan. Present projects to State Engineer as soon as possible. Tentative approval can be given at Washington prior to bond election. If projects disapproved here no necessity to hold election.

(Signed) H. M. WAITE.

(Message to be delivered later.)

Long Distance Call From Washington, D. C.

September 29, 1933, 2:30 p. m.

From H. M. Waite to Hon. J. Emmet Hayden:

Thirteen separate bond issues for public projects, representing about $35,000,000.

"I suggest that you immediately get these projects before the State Board and have them act upon them, and get them to Washington as soon as possible. Will try and get consideration of them just as soon as possible. The Board there will make allotments for such of the projects as it can accept. Then you can go before the electorate with such issues as have been allotted.

"We will wire the California Board to get them there as soon as possible. If they can get them to me and they pass here and there in a week. Grant of 30 per cent. Loan the money on the 70 per cent. Takes full financial information.

"Or you might sell your own bonds much quicker if you only ask for a grant. You have to decide whether or not it is to your advantage to sell your own bonds in the open market or sell them to the Federal government.

"If you get them all into the State Board as soon as possible we will hurry them through as fast as we can, so that you can have your election in November on such measures as you approve."

Motion Defeated.

Supervisor Colman moved the privilege of the floor to Mr. Geo. Pressley, representing the Chamber of Commerce, and Wm. Nanry, representing the Bureau of Municipal Research.

Supervisor Shannon objected and the Chair declared that under the rules the privilege of the floor could not be allowed.

Declaratory Resolutions for Bond Issue Projects.

Whereupon the Finance Committee presented the following resolutions and asked for unamimous consent for their consideration and there was no objection, to-wit:

Water Supply Additions and Pipe Lines, etc., Bonds 1933.
(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 1045, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San

Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay, a pipe line from Crystal Springs connecting with the University Mound reservoir, construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to University Mound reservoir, construction of a reservoir in Sunset Heights district located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eighth avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, and the extension, construction and improvement of pipe lines connecting reservoirs throughout the City and extending mains and replacing obsolete and inadequate pipes in the water distribution system and the installation of proper shutoff valves throughout said distribution system, all of said improvements designed for the purpose of providing an adequate water supply and fire protection to the residents of San Francisco and adjacent territory, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay from the Irvington Portal of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the easterly portal of the Pulgas tunnel and thence discharging into the Crystal Springs reservoir, including the necessary valves, auxiliary fittings, pipe lines and appurtenances.

A new pipe line and necessary appurtenances connecting the Crystal Springs reservoir with the University Mound reservoir for the purpose of adding to the storage facilities of the University Mound reservoir.

The construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to the present University Mound reservoir providing an additional storage capacity of approximately 100,000,000 gallons of water, the said basin to be roofed, and for all necessary valves, appurtenances and pipes pertinent thereto.

The construction of a new reservoir in the Sunset Heights District located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eight avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, with the necessary appurtenances, pipe lines to connect the same with the San Andres pipe line and with the distributing main from the Lake Honda reservoir, the said reservoir to be of similar construction to that of the University Mound reservoir above described.

The construction of a pipe line and appurtenances connecting the San Andres pipe line and the University Mound reservoir providing the means of supplying water in an emergency to the University Mound district in the event of breakage of the Crystal Springs line.

The extension of a pipe line, and appurtenances, from Twenty-fifth and Potrero avenue through the South of Market industrial area and the Down-Town business district to Battery and Broadway necessary to meet the growing demands.

The construction of a new main extending from College Hill reservoir along Guerrero or adjoining streets to Market street and thence to the vicinity of Buchanan and O'Farrell streets necessary to augment the capacity of the present main.

The construction of a small reservoir adjacent to the central pump station which would serve the district between Fortieth avenue and the Great Highway and extending from Balboa street to Sloat boulevard, which reservoir would also regulate the capacity for the supply of water used at the central pumps.

The replacing of 6- and 8-inch mains located throughout the City, which are sufficient for domestic demands but insufficient for fire

protection, and the installation of 12- and 16-inch mains with secondary feeder pipes connecting with the primary supply of water from the distributing reservoirs.

The replacing of 2-inch wrought iron steel pipe with 6- and 8-inch cast iron pipe throughout the City where the present pipe is deemed insufficient for fire protection purposes, and the installation of gate valves throughout the distributing system, and appurtenances, to permit shutting down of the water supply at one point without interfering with the service in adjacent blocks.

That all of said work is designed for the conveyance of water to the City and County of San Francisco and other communities, and that the estimated costs of said construction, all appurtenances thereto, land, rights of way, materials and supplies are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements to the water supply and distribution system described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $12,095,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $12,095,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $12,095,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

Reconsideration Defeated.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Brown changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor Havenner moved suspension of the rules.

Motion *carried.*

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

Supervisor Havenner moved immediate reconsideration of the vote on Resolution No. 1045.

Whereupon, the question being put: "Shall the vote whereby Resolution No. 1045 was adopted be reconsidered?"

The roll was called and reconsideration *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Peyser: I merely wish to say that I voted "*aye*" for the purpose of putting this thing in operation without fixing the date,

but I may state that unless the Federal government has passed on the program of projects, and all of them, and which we are considering, that when the matter comes up finally for the final vote I shall vote "*no*."

Supervisor Miles: I desire the same explanation in connection with my vote.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Supervisor Brown: I would just like to say for the record and like to have it made a part of the record, that my vote "*no*" on these projects does not mean necessarily that I am opposed to any or all of them, I am just opposed to the submission of them now, and I reserve the right to change my vote when I vote on the submission of these matters at a later date.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to explain why I objected to Mr. Presley having the privilege of the floor, a gentleman for whom I have a high regard; my sole purpose was to get a vote, and I felt Supervisor Colman was filibustering.

Supervisor Colman: The gentleman was certainly in error. I would also like to explain my vote. I want to say for the record that I am voting "*no*" on submission of these matters before Federal approval has been received, and with that in view I am voting "*no*," and when Federal approval comes I reserve the right to change my vote.

Supervisor Peyser: I want the privilege of filing a written explanation of my vote in connection with these resolutions.

Supervisor Shannon: I so move.

The President: Is there any objection? (No response.)

So ordered.

Supervisor Hayden explained his vote: We do not want to throw monkeywrenches into the recovery machinery, but we must insist on common sense procedure. To refuse to support these resolutions now might place us in the false light of trying to block recovery. I will therefore vote for the resolutions with the definite understanding that I will vote against submission in November unless, before October 16, 1933, the Federal government has acted on our recovery program.

O'Shaughnessy Dam Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Resolution No. 1046, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply for the purpose of increasing the storage capacity in the Hetch Hetchy reservoir to insure the ultimate transmission to San Francisco and adjacent territory of 400,000,000 gallons of water daily and to insure the continuous operation of the generation of power of the Hetch Hetchy project, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and designed for the purpose of supplying the inhabitants of the City and County and others a sufficient supply of good, pure water.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $3,500,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $3,500,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $3,500,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Power House and Transmission System Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Resolution No. 1047, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro Reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and stepdown stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, standby plants, a distribution system, for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the County line, Alemany boulevard and Bay Shore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands and rights of way, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro Reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and stepdown stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, standby plants, and a distribution system, for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the County line, Alemany boulevard and Bay Shore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands and rights of way, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, all designed for the purpose of developing electric energy on the Hetch Hetchy water supply project.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such power house, distribution system, lands, rights of way and all incidentals thereto is the sum of $6,308,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of $6,308,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $6,308,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Sewer Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 1048, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of structures at the ends of existing sewers, sewerage disposal plants and new sewers throughout the City and County of San Francisco, all of said improvements designed for the general health of the people of San Francisco, and all necessary lands and rights of way, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers on Fifteenth, Brannan, Sixth, Sixteenth, Eighteenth streets, the Merced land sewer and the Lake street outfall sewer, the construction of structures at the ends of the existing sewers in San Francisco Bay for treatment of sewerage before discharging into the bay, the Baker's Beach and Marina districts treatment plants, extension of the North Point main sewer at the foot of Sansome street and new pumping equipment at the Commercial street pumping station, additional sewerage disposal plants and pumping equipment where necessary, and the construction of new adequate sewers on Third street, Yosemite avenue, Visitacion Valley, Golden Gate Heights, sanitary relief sewer in the Lake Merced district, sanitary sewer at Forty-fourth avenue and Sloat boulevard, extension of the Laguna street storm sewer to Lewis street and a new sewer on Hyde and Jefferson streets or other and adjacent streets, and the necessary lands and rights of way for the improvements herein described, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and all designed for the general health of the people of San Francisco.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $2,625,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $2,625,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $2,625,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

High Pressure System Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 11.0434)

Also, Resolution No. 1049, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high pressure system throughout the City and County, and the construction of underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and Dolores streets, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high pressure system throughout the Potrero, Mission, South of Market, Clay Street Hill, North Beach, Marina and Golden Gate Valley, Hayes Valley, Park-Presidio and Sunset and other districts, and the construction of twenty interconnecting underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and Dolores or other street, all designed for the purpose of extending the fire protection throughout the City, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of the improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $2,000,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $2,000,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $2,000,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost

of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 23.011)

Also, Resolution No. 1050, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the development of a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two light houses, all designed for the use of a Yacht Harbor, and that the estimated cost of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the development of a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the City of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two light houses, all designed for the use of a Yacht Harbor, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and all designed for the use of a Yacht Harbor.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $815,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $815,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of $815,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost

of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Street and Boulevard Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 12.121)

Also, Resolution No. 1051, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets within the City and County, and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of existing streets and boulevards to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and that the estimated cost of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets, and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of all existing streets and boulevards where necessary in the City and County to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and particularly along the following streets and avenues:

Lombard street, Lyon street to Van Ness avenue; Van Ness avenue, Market street to northerly termination; Howard street, Embarcadero to Army street; Nineteenth avenue, Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard; Golden Gate avenue, Market street to Van Ness avenue; Front street, Market street to Embarcadero; Fremont street, Market street to Harrison street; Sixth street, Harrison street to Market street; California street, Fillmore street to Maple street; Eighth street, Harrison street to Market street; a highway crossing Golden Gate Park from Funston avenue to Nineteenth avenue; a divisional highway from Divisadero street to Castro street; Geneva avenue, Mission street to Bay Shore highway, and Laguna Honda boulevard, and other and adjacent streets, avenues or boulevards, all designed for the purpose of providing better traffic facilities in the City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of completing the construction of the boulevards and streets as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $2,100,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of $2,100,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $2,100,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost

of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Warehouse and Garage Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 9.082)

Also, Resolution No. 1052, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse and the building of a repair shop and garage to store automobiles and trucks owned by the City and County, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse providing for approximately sixty thousand square feet of additional floor space for warehouse purposes, and the construction of a garage which will store city-owned automobiles and trucks and a service and repair shop in said garage for the purpose of repairing city-owned automobiles and trucks.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of completing the construction of the municipal central warehouse and garage as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $325,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of $325,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $325,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 23.011)

Also, Resolution No. 1053, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand

the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk areas, relocating Belt Line Railway and creating water and electric light systems and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk areas adjacent thereto, relocating Belt Line Railway and creating water and electric light system and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and all designed for the better enjoyment of the Aquatic Park.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of the construction and completion of the improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $700,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $700,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $700,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Airport Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Resolution No. 1054, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the east-west runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present airport,

filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the east-west runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present airport, filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County. The filling in of an area of about 25 acres suitably laid out will extend the said runway approximately 3500 feet, which said extension will comply with the requirements of the Aeronautics Branch of the United States Department of Commerce.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements, lands and rights of way as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $260,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of $260,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $260,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Live Stock Pavilion Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 18.06)

Also, Resolution No. 1055, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco consisting of a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion, arena building, horse stalls, exhibition building, harness, horse or trotting track, grandstand and other structures for exhibition of beef and dairy stock, cattle, machinery and agricultural exhibitions, flower shows, indoor athletic events and for school purposes, to be erected in San Mateo County, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco consisting of a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion housing administration and service quarters and exhibit space adaptable for various exhibits and events, such as flower shows, machinery exhibitions, indoor athletic events and for school purposes where the attendance is in large classes; the erection of a main arena building providing a seating capacity of approximately twelve thousand designed primarily for horse show purposes but available for other exhibitions, storage areas, press and broadcasting quarters; the construction of horse stall units, wash racks, toilet facilities and service space and dormitories for the accommodation of help, exhibitors and organizations; the construction of a two-story structure for the exhibition of sheep and swine and pens for the same including storage space for feed supplies and equipment, wash racks and manure disposal space; the upper floor of said structure designed primarily for the exhibition of cattle, beef and dairy stock; the construction of a harness, horse or trotting track, a grandstand providing for seating accommodations of approximately five thousand, and the necessary service facilities, fences, railings and other equipment.

Section 2. That the estimated costs to the City and County of San Francisco of its contribution to the completion and construction of the improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $1,250,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $1,250,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income or revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of $1,250,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 11.0141)

Also, Resolution No. 1056, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, Criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison to be constructed architecturally in conformity with existing buildings in the Civic Center, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, Criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison, to be constructed architecturally in conformity with existing buildings in the Civic Center, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of completing the constructions described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $2,900,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $2,900,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of $2,900,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 15.041)

Also, Resolution No. 1057, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway from Taraval street and 46th avenue to Vicente street, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway Car Line from Taraval street and 46th avenue southerly on 46th avenue to Vicente street with a single track loop around the block bounded by 46th avenue, Wawona street, 47th avenue and Vicente street, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of extending the Municipal Railway as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $63,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of $63,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that

purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $63,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Gallagher—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Compliance With N. R. A. Code by Bidders.

Supervisor Havenner, in re compliance with N. R. A. code by bidders on City contracts, moved that the Clerk be asked to obtain from Purchaser of Supplies report in conformity with motion heretofore made by him.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 2, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Gallagher on leave.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the last meeting was laid over one week for approval.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

ACTION PENDING ON NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 18, 1933. Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from "*no*" to "*aye*" and gave notice he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board:

Providing for Annual Audit of Controller's Books of Accounts.

(Code No. 9.061)

Resolution No. 902, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the

provisions of section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1932-33, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. & Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, $50 per day; for senior accountant, $25 per day; for junior accountant, $15 per day, together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of $7,500, and shall be paid from Appropriation Item No. 3, 1933-34, Appropriation Ordinance.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to reconsider.

No second.

Whereupon, the resolution was declared *adopted* and the following statement ordered spread in the record:

September 25, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On July 17, 1933, Resolution 902, calling for the annual audit of the Controller's Office by a certified accountant at the expenditure of $7,500 be let to Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery and that they be empowered to employ accountants whose salaries shall run from $15 to $50 per day, and not to exceed $7,500.

At the time this resolution was received, I objected to it, that it was a positive waste of public funds and that bids should be called for the same as any other contract work as clearly defined in section 95 of the City Charter. Ten members of this Board agreed with me and the resolution was referred back to the Finance Committee with instructions to call for bids.

Last Monday, September 18, 1933, the Finance Committee recommended that the same firm be awarded the contract that they recommended two months ago, on July 17, 1933, and the Chairman of the Finance Committee verbally stated that he conferred with four certified accountant firms and that they all informed him that the price stated by Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery was correct.

This resolution was introduced by the Chairman of the Finance Committee just at the time when this meeting was about to adjourn and I am sorry to state that eight members voted in favor of the resolution. I gave notice of reconsideration and I hope and trust that it will be reconsidered for these reasons:

1. Sections 95 to 100, inclusive, clearly state under what conditions contracts shall be let and we, as the Legislative Department, should be the last Department in the City government to countenance a subterfuge whereby we are letting a $7,500 contract to a firm who contemplate paying their employees $50, $25 and $15 per day. It is a positive waste of public funds.

2. I believe that if any citizen should enjoin this Board for letting this contract that a permanent injunction would be issued against us.

3. We are establishing a dangerous precedent. If the same holds good in reference to certified accountants, it will hold good on almost any kind of construction work. Thousands of dollars will be lost.

4. I think it is an insult to ten members of this Board that the Finance Committee did not follow out the instructions given to them on July 17, 1933, and not merely ask men, who were engaged in the same line of endeavor their views on such an important matter of this kind.

I trust, gentlemen, that you will rescind the action of this Board in reference to the vote on last Monday and if you do rescind, I will immediately move that the letting of this contract be taken in the hands of the Board and that bids be called for as prescribed in Section 95 of the Charter. In doing this we will be following out the mandate of the Charter. I know that bids will be received and that a large sum will be saved.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, Supervisor.

Payment in Cash Instead of Groceries for Unemployment Relief.

Ray Belcher, 971 Folsom street, representing Unemployed Single Workers' Committee, was granted the privilege of the floor and requested that relief be furnished in cash instead of groceries, soup kitchens and "flop" houses.

Communication From Chairman Sloss, Emergency Relief Committee.

September 29, 1933.

J. S. Dunnigan, Esq., Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir: I am directed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to acknowledge receipt of your letter of September 26, 1933, and to advise you that the report of the Survey Committee on unemployment relief administration reached the members of the committee only a few days ago.

At the last meeting of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee the chairman was authorized to appoint a special subcommittee to examine the recommendations of the Survey Committee and to report thereon to the general committee.

I have, as chairman, appointed a subcommittee of five members and the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee will take action on the recommendations of the Survey Committee at the earliest time practicable.

Yours very truly,

M. C. SLOSS,

Chairman, Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Peyser moved that it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that we recommend the principle that if it is at all possible, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee on Unemployment Relief pay cash to the recipients of said relief instead of groceries, has heretofore.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Power—2.

Supervisor Peyser thereupon moved that the Clerk transmit information of this action of the Board at once by the Sergeant-at-Arms to the Emergency Relief Committee.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Grades on Shields Street Between Beverly Street and Its Westerly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 425, Ordinance No. 12.0713, as follows:

Establishing grades on Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed in this office September 8, 1933.

Shields Street.

Beverly street westerly line, 239.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

160 feet westerly from Beverly street, 215.60 feet.

On Shields street between Beverly street and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1031, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of California was assessed for 1931-32 and sold for delinquent taxes. Being State property these sales should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 9, Block 2430, commencing 275 feet south from southerly line of Ulloa, running thence southerly 25 feet by west 120 feet. Assessed to Geo. W. Simmie, Sale 1855, $7.57.

Lot 13, Block 4967, Sale 2834. Assessed to C. H. Westlund and F. Johnson, $28.28.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1032, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of California was assessed for the year 1931-32 in the name of the original owners and sold for delinquent taxes. Being State property this sale should be cancelled:

Southerly 25 feet of Lot 26B, shown on 1932 Roll as 26F, Block 2347, assessed to F. M. and Z. Stich, second installment, Sale 1732, $29.49.

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said tax sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1033, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932-33 Real Estate Roll, one-half of Lot 1J, Block 1682, is assessed to Minnie Caruso and tax of $50.10 levied and was sold for delinquent taxes of 1931.

This is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California by deed recorded March 18, 1931. Being State property this sale should be cancelled.

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said tax sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1034, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Roll, Vol. 16, page 141, line 3, Nick Fazio is charged with an assessment of $260, $200 of which was for the 1931 assessment on a Graham-Paige automobile. The 1931 tax with penalties for delinquency was paid to Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, on June 2, 1933, therefore this $200 assessment is in error and should be cancelled.

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said erroneous assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Assessments—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1035, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported the following described property is now recorded in the name of the State of California, deed having been recorded June 24, 1933, but is assessed for the year 1933 to the Southern Pacific Company. Being State property these assessments should be cancelled:

Lot 6, Block 3765, assessed valuation $17,600.
Lot 2A, Block 3766, assessed valuation $39,310.
Lot 3, Block 3766, assessed valuation $51,020.

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Assessment—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1036, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1931-1932 Real Estate Roll, Lot 8, Block 6106, is assessed to Mrs. T. J. Alexander with a tax levy of $2.42. This parcel of land is now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco and being City property the assessment should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said assessment in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Assessments—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1037, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported the following described property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of California is assessed for the year 1932-33 in the names of the original owners. Being State property these assessments should be cancelled:

Portion of Lot 2C, shown as 2G, Block 920, on 1933-34 Roll, assessed to E. A. Janssen, second installment, $66.33.

North 25 feet of Lot 28, shown as 28A, Block 2435, on 1933-34 Roll, assessed to Thos. P. Coyle, both installments, $74.45.

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is directed to cancel said assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1038, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed in the year 1931-32 in the names of the original owners and sold to the State for delinquent taxes. Being City property these sales should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following tax sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1931*	*1932*
8	48	1260	A. Clot	654	$ 143.82	
2	4	1681	Sol Getz & Sons	1109	19.19	
3	42	1714	Joseph M. Cleary	1152	57.57	
4	11	1858	Robert F. Galway	1311	33.54	
7	21	2389	Patrick W. Loftus	1794	15.36	
7	25	2389	Walter R. Palmer	1795	7.68	
8	1	2493	Nettie Beckwith et al	1910	109.08	
8	3	2493	Nettie Beckwith et al	1911	42.82	
8	2	2528	Nettie Beckwith et al	1926	15.36	
9	44	2702	Wm. C. Larsen	1997	18.79	
0	32	2870	Leonard & Holt	2066	1.62	
0	33	2870	Leonard & Holt	2067	1.62	
0	1	2870A	Leonard & Holt	2068	2.42	
3	18	3514	A. Orella	2338	308.66	
7	2-3-4-4A	4090				
		4141	Sisters of Mercy	2620	1,068.58	
7	1	4140	Sisters of Mercy	2647	367.64	
7	8	4164				
		4195	John Bogdanoff	2666	12.12	
1	1	5273	Dennis and Mary Desmond	2878	39.60	
3	2	5443	Percy D. Tyler	2940	10.91	
3	2	5444	Percy D. Tyler	2941	25.25	
3	52-53	5510				
		5511	Jas. and Theresa F. Reeves	2962	8.88	
3	74-75	5510				
		5511	Theresa F. Reeves	2966	10.50	
3	4	5546	Emily Young	2998	1.62	
3	7 to 11	5546	Burlingame Corporation	2999	4.24	
3	8-9	5547	Martha Moss	3000	5.66	
3	16-17	5547	Alice and Nellie Twohig	3001	1.62	$3.16
3	20 to 29	5547	William Harriman	3002½	8.48	
0	22	4911	Percy D. Tyler	2808	20.40	
0	17	4912	Percy D. Tyler	2809	25.65	
3	32-33	5547	Alice and Nellie Twohig	3004	1.62	
3	36	5547	Leopoldine G. Sullivan	3005	.81	
3	5	5548	Clarence E. Schmitt	3006	16.16	
3	7	5549	Richard and James Barry	3007	16.96	
3	8	5549	Richard Barry	3008	4.84	
3	12	5549	Emil Schmeling	3009	10.50	
3	14	5549	Anna Goranson	3011	10.50	
3	16-17	5549	Hannibal Arbelaez	3013	4.84	
3	19-20	5549	C. W. Harlow and Jessie J. Hughes	3014	4.84	
3	23	5549	Rudolph G. Wenzel	3016	6.46	
3	30	5549	Mary McVeagh	3018	5.26	
3	31	5549	Thomas O'Brien	3019	5.66	
3	32	5549	Mary McVeagh	3020	6.06	
3	34	5549	Joseph Hirth	3021	6.46	
3	35	5549	Benjamin Lacey	3022	39.18	
3	36	5549	James and Bessie Skinos	3023	18.98	
3	37	5549	Agnes Piehl	3024	37.16	
3	38	5549	August and Wanda Franke	3025	6.86	

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1931	1932
33	39	5549	J. Matli	3026	35.56	
33	40	5549	D. F. and Pearl Larkin	3027	3.03	
33	43	5549	Denis F. Larkin	3028	25.25	
33	50	5549	Gustave Schnee	3030	2.42	
33	51	5549	Leopoldine G. Sullivan	3031	1.21	
33	53	5549	John C. Allen	3032	8.48	
33	54	5549	Laurence Aarreberg	3033	6.46	
33	56-57	5549	Eugene Egger	3035	6.86	
33	68-69-70	5549	Eugene and Anna Egger	3036	16.96	
33	74	5549	Henry Edward Poehlman	3037	3.64	
34	1A	5590A	Hind Rolph Inv. Co.	3049	5.66	
34	22-23	5613	Aurora King	3058	1.62	3.
34	28	5613	Biagio Cava	3059	.81	1.
34	9	5614	Elizabeth McMillan	3061	1.21	
34	18	5614	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	3064	1.62	
34	21-22	5614	H. LeBaron Smith	3065	3.24	
34	25	5614	H. LeBaron Smith	3066	1.62	
34	32-33	5614	P. C. Noonan	3068	3.24	
34	34	5614	Charles Fleischmann	3069	1.62	
34	42-43	5614	D. McMillan	3071	1.62	
34	1-10	5619	Kate C. Weston	3080	33.12	
34	4-5	5621	S. F. Harrell	3086	4.84	
34	9-10	5621	Leo and Rosa Von Tauffkiechen	3087	25.04	
34	3	5622	Emily Young	3089	2.42	
34	12	5622	Joseph H. and Ada C. Sims	3090	18.58	
34	27 to 30	5622	Theresa L. McKenna	3091	9.70	
34	1-2	5623	Gustave Schnee	3092	5.26	
34	4	5623	E. H. Ramer	3093	2.42	
34	9	5623	Hendrick Saarinen	3094	3.24	
34	10	5623	Fabian Seloner	3095	4.04	
34	11	5623	Charles B. Steele	3096	8.08	
34	6	5624	Arzaneo	3099	39.60	
34	7	5624	Noryko	3100	35.56	
34	8	5624	Kautto	3101	24.24	
34	9	5624	Oscar and Lena Koss	3102	26.26	
34	24	5624	Edward Rodriguez	3104	4.04	
34	25	5624	L. Ynez	3105	21.42	
34	26	5624	Cirarola	3106	27.48	
34	31	5624	Gustave Schnee	3107	2.42	
34	32	5625	Emilio and Mary Serrano	3108	17.38	
34	1 to 5	5626	Jabez Weatherbee	3109	13.34	
34	9	5626	O. B. Burns	3111	4.04	
34	11-12	5626	J. S. Hauke	3112	24.24	
34	1-2-3	5627	Rose Rosenfeld; Schoenfeld	3114	4.84	
34	7	5627	Neil and Nellie Mackay	3115	5.66	
34	9	5627	Biagio Cara	3116	.81	
34	11	5627	Monona Renz and R. Weintraub	3117	14.14	
34	36	5627	Neil and Nellie Mackay	3119	2.02	
34	40	5627	Rose Rosenfeld and Schoenfeld	3120	1.62	
34	41	5627	Clyde J. Schoenfeld	3121	2.02	
34	9	5628	Margaret McCarthy	3122	1.62	
34	12-13	5628	Kate Driscoll	3124	16.16	
34	31	5628	Bertha Bloom	3126	2.02	
34	38	5628	Elmer and Louise Swanson	3128	5.66	
34	36	5629	J. W. Wright & Sons Inv. Co.	3130	1.62	
34	4	5631	Peter F. and Josephine Wonters	3131	3.64	
34	8	5631	Thomas Hadjduk	3132	1.62	
34	11	5641	Ann Keefe	3141	1.62	
34	28	5641	Edward Braun	3142	2.02	
35	8	5714	Clarence L. and Delia Borsi	3207	40.40	
35	9	5714	Vittorio E. Sambucetoo	3208	34.34	
35	12	5714	David O. and Rose M. Torro	3209	33.54	

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1931	1932
13	5714	Mary Hardiman	3210	28.68	
15-16	5714	August and Wanda Frank	3211	49.28	
17	5714	George and Alice Smith	3212	48.48	
18	5714	Mary K. Haster	3213	96.96	
19-21	5714	Patrick J. Johnston	3214	90.90	
22	5714	Frederick and Ellis C. Klinger	3215	41.62	
23	5714	Eliza A. Salter et al.	3216	44.45	
30	5714	Laurent Rey	3218	101.00	
38	5714	John and Minnie Atkins	3219	28.28	
4-5	6100	Alice G. Hagarty	3436	3.24	
2	6181	Ella E. Abramsky	3484	3.24	
22	6186	Joseph James	3487	1.22	
38	6214	Mary V. Keller	3554	1.22	
1	6253	S. F. Johnson	3581	10.10	
2-3	6253	Anthony J. Betz	3582	16.16	
4 to 7	6253	Reinholdt Bothe	3583	32.32	
8	6253	Sarah Doherty	3584	10.10	
9	6253	Meta J. Erickson et al.	3585	10.10	
10	6253	Meta J. Erickson et al., and W. L. Jones	3586	8.08	
11 to 14	6253	Reinholdt Bothe	3587	32.32	
15	6253	Charles A. and Louisa P. Louis	3588	8.08	
16	6253	Mary Peterson	3589	9.30	
17	6253	Susan Donohue	3590	7.28	
18-19	6253	Charles A. and Louisa P. Louis	3591	20.60	
20-21	6253	Peter J. Crann	3592	14.54	
4	6261	Wm. J. Kelly	3596	7.68	
9	6261	Joseph J. Feeley	3597	3.64	
11	6261	Joseph Girbony	3598	7.28	
12	6261	Annie Kareezki	3599	10.90	
4	6263	M. A. Meyer	3600	2.22	
6-7	6263	Edmond P. Moran	3601	9.70	
7	6264	Mary W. Shannon	3602	4.84	
8	6265	Catherine Penn	3603	3.64	
7	6289	Louisa Shemanski	3621	2.82	
12	6289	Louisa Shemanski	3622	2.42	
1 to 7	6296	Thomas P. H. Whitelow	3625	43.64	
9	6296	Eleanor G. Hills	3626	5.66	
1B	6957	Claude L. Devencenzi	4050	53.32	
28	7031	James Welsh	4147	4.04	
5-6	7068	Maria R. Dumont	4212	22.62	
10	7068	Mary G. Ryan	4213	8.08	
36	7068	Anthony and Mary Ford	4214	22.22	
11	7093	Alexander and Margaret McDonald	4256	31.52	
18-19	7116	Berotha Cameron	4317	7.27	
20	7116	Otto Nolte	4318	1.62	
23-25	7119	Bertha M. Parkinson	4322	16.96	
4C	7124	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	4333	102.62	
5	7124	Aug. J. Lang, Jr.	4334	16.96	
13	7125	H. Liebenberg	4341	4.04	
6-7	7127	F. B. Helder	4348	6.46	
6	2521	Nettie Beckwith and Lena Tichenor	4480	24.24	

yes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, s, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
xcused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.
bsent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.
(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

lso, Resolution No. 1039, as follows:
Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now re-led in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed for the years

1930-31 and 1931-32 in the names of the original owners and sold to the State for linquent taxes of 1931. Being City property these sales and assessments should cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following tax sales and ass ments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1931*	*19*
17	13B	2365	Mary A. Finnegan	1161	$ 99.38	$ 9
17	17	2365	Frances Mantalbano	1162	30.91	
27	7-8-9	4163				
		4196	Andrew Budszus	1793	11.11	
32	6	5317	S. F. and Fresno Land Co.	2007	8.89	
35	1-2-3	5693	Catherine E. Graham	2140	5.66	
35	3	5714	Sophie Richman	2156	14.14	
35	4	5714	Frank and Teresa Bertich	2157	58.58	1
35	5	5714	Albert and Marie Braun	2158	53.73	1
35	26	5714	Michael O'Toole	2164	98.98	
35	27 & 28	5714	Adelbert W. Green	2165	83.63	
35	32 & 33	5714	Alicia G. Oriedo	2168	30.30	
35	34-35-36	5714	Esther M. Cullen	2169	35.96	
35	40	5714	Luigi and Emilia Stradella	2172	16.36	
35	42	5714	Michael and Alice Quirk	2174	26.26	
35	44	5714	Sophie Richman	2176	16.16	
42	7	7036	Title Insurance & Guaranty Co.	2879	4.04	
43	8	7093	Chas. H. Rodney	2923	14.75	
43	10	7093	Alf. E. Uddenberg	2924	11.92	
43	15	7093	Joseph and Elizabeth Baumeister	2925	9.70	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSh Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1040, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property is recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco but was assesse 1931 and 1932 in the names of the original owners and sold for delinquent tax 1931. Being City property, these sales and assessments should be cancelled; an City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following tax sales assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Co

Vol.	*Lot*	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1931*	*1*
8	1 & 2	1264	Market Street Ry. Co.	657	$1,363.50	$2,6
33	25-6-7	5549	Wm. F. Humphrey	3017	3.64	
33	44-5-6	5549	Wm. F. Humphrey	3029	3.64	
33	75 & 76	5549	Dennis Larkin ½	3038	1.82	
33	75 & 76	5549	Ida Bepler ½	3039	1.82	
34	26-7-8	5640	L. G. Sullivan	3140	2.62	
43	25 to 29	7135	C. E. Bridge	4397	5.05	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSh Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Duplicate Assessments.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1041, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following entries on the uncollected personal property roll for 1932 are duplicate assessments and should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following duplicate assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Uncollected Personal Property Roll, Vol. 17:

"Peggy" (S. M. Wilzin, Inc., Ltd.) page 112, line 13.....$179.78
See paid entry in Supplemental Roll 17, page 2, line 3.

Velarde Drayage Co., page 245, line 3..... 215.33
See paid entry same volume, page 245, line 4.

Uncollected Personal Property Roll, Vol. 16:

M. B. Fleming, page 147, line 13..... 15.76
This assessment is for a 1930 Buick roadster, which was located and assessed in Monterey County as per affidavit of Deputy Assessor of Monterey County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)
(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1042, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was assessed for the year 1928 and subsequent years in the names of the original owners and sold for delinquent taxes of 1928. Being City property, these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following tax sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	*Block*	*Assessed to*	*Sale*	*1928*	*1929*	*1930*	*1931*	*1932*
4	6661	E. H. Tyler.....	2814	$137.80	$133.18	$136.56	$136.56	$133.84
31	7133	J. E. Robertson...	3333	.99	1.18	1.22	pd	1.18

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sales—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1043, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California was assessed in 1931 and sold for delinquent taxes June 29, 1932. Being State property, the sales on these lots should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is requested to cancel the following sales in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 14 G, Block 6475, assessed to M. E. Goven.....$ 4.04
(Portion of Lot 14 as assessed in 1931) Sale 3696.

Lot 1 H, Block 1872, assessed to P. Biggins..... 10.10
Sale 1327.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Refund of $7.75 Tax Collected on Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1044, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7.75 be and is hereby authorized paid out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to A. F. and M. A. Friman; involving Lot 19A, Block 7174, City and County of San Francisco, and portion of Lot 1, Block 9, Map of Hillcrest, San Mateo County.

(Property situate in San Mateo County.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 24 of the License Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 434, Ordinance No. 3.04129, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), commonly known as "The License Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5123 (New Series), commonly known as "The License Ordinance" is amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Commencing with the quarter annual period ending on December 31, 1933*, every person, firm or corporation owning, leasing or maintaining any billiard table, pool table, or combination table, for the use of patrons of any place of business, shall pay a license of five ($5) dollars per quarter for the first such table and one and 25/100 ($1.25) dollars per quarter for each additional such table in any such place of business.

No person, firm or corporation shall engage in business under the provisions of this section without first obtaining from the Chief of Police a permit to maintain and charge for the use of such billiard or pool or combination tables; such permits shall be issued quarterly, and may be revoked for cause at any time by the Chief of Police, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire, and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license under the provisions of this section unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Chief of Police a permit as hereinabove specified.

Explanation.

Each billiard and pool parlor at the present time pays a license fee of $6 per quarter for each table.

This amendment reduces that fee to $5 a quarter for one table, and $1.25 per quarter for each additional table.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Used Car Dealers Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 435, Ordinance No. 11.0005, as follows:

Regulating the business of dealing in used motor vehicles; defining

used automobile dealer and used motor vehicle and establishing procedure for procurement of permits to engage in said business; fixing the amount of bond therefor; providing penalties for the violation thereof and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* For the purpose of this ordinance a used automobile dealer is defined to be any person, firm or corporation engaged in, or conducting or managing, or carrying on the business of buying or taking in trade for the purpose of resale, or offering for sale, or consigning to be sold, or otherwise dealing in used motor vehicles as the term "Motor Vehicle" is defined in Statutes of 1923, Chapter 266, as amended, provided, however, that no insurance company, finance company, transportation company or other persons coming into the possession of automobiles in the regular course of business who shall sell such motor vehicles to licensed used automobile dealers exclusively shall be deemed a used automobile dealer.

Any person, firm, or corporation selling more than two used motor vehicles in any calendar year shall be deemed a used automobile dealer and the burden of proving that such seller is not in fact operating as a used automobile dealer shall be placed upon such seller.

A used motor vehicle is a motor vehicle which has been once sold or registered for use on the highways, or one that has been operated by any person for a distance in excess of twice the distance necessary to transport the same from the place of business of the local distributor of such motor vehicle to the place of business of the dealer. A motor vehicle used for demonstration purposes is a used motor vehicle within the meaning of this ordinance.

Section 2. No person, firm or corporation shall hereafter begin to operate any business as a used automobile dealer, as defined in Section 1 of this ordinance, without first having received a permit in writing so to do from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco.

Before receiving or acting upon any application for the granting of a permit to a used automobile dealer, the Chief of Police shall require:

First: The payment of the sum of fifty ($50) dollars as a fee thereof.

Second: An affidavit signed by the applicant showing that said applicant is to conduct the business at a fixed place where used motor vehicles are, or will be, displayed for sale. Said application for a permit must be signed by the applicant and if applicant is a corporation or partnership, the same must be signed by a duly authorized officer on behalf of the corporation, or by all the members of the partnership, and the same must contain the names and addresses of all officers of the corporation or of all the partners.

Third: The applicant shall file with the application a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, which shall run to the City and County of San Francisco and to any person, firm or corporation who shall sustain any injury covered by the bond. Such bond shall be executed by the applicant as principal, and by a corporation which is licensed by the Insurance Commissioner of this State to transact the business of fidelity and surety insurance, as surety. The bond shall be conditioned that the principal will indemnify any and all persons, firms or corporations for any direct loss suffered by dishonesty on the part of the principal in the substitution of a motor vehicle other than the one selected by the purchaser, failure through dishonesty to deliver a clear title to those legally entitled thereto, for any misappropriation of moneys or property belonging to a purchaser being made in payment of a motor vehicle sold by the principal, for any loss due to an alteration of a motor vehicle on the part of the principal so as to deceive the purchaser as to the year model of any motor vehicle sold. Such bond shall remain in full force and effect

until the license of the principal is revoked or until the bond is cancelled by the surety. The surety may cancel said bond and be relieved of further liability thereunder by giving fifteen (15) days written notice to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco. The aggregate liability of the surety for any and all claims which may arise under such bond shall in no event exceed the amount of the penalty of such bond regardless as to the length of time it shall remain in force or the number of renewal licenses issued thereunder. Any person, firm or corporation who sustains an injury covered by this bond, may, in addition to any other remedy that he may have, bring an action in his own name upon the bond for the recovery of any damage sustained by him, provided, however, that no such action may be brought and maintained after the expiration of one year from and after the time of the alleged dishonest act complained of may have occurred.

No such application shall be required of any person, firm or corporation holding a permit as a used automobile dealer upon the date this ordinance becomes effective, but on and after the effective date of this ordinance, said person must comply with all other provisions of this ordinance and upon the expiration of the existing license, must secure a renewal thereof in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, and provided further that at the time of securing such renewal license there must be filed by said used automobile dealer, bond in the form provided for in this section.

Section 3. Upon the receipt of said application, as provided in the preceding section, the Chief of Police shall cause to be investigated the character and business of the applicant, the location at which such applicant proposes to engage in business as specified in said application, and thereafter may issue a permit to said applicant which shall be effective for the remaining portion of the current year, provided, however, that persons holding a permit upon the date this ordinance becomes effective shall not be required to file a new application.

Section 4. A fee of five ($5) dollars shall be charged for any application for a change of location of the place of business for which a permit has been granted as a used automobile dealer, and a fee of five ($5) dollars shall be charged for a change of ownership; provided, however, that the five ($5) dollars charged for a change of ownership shall apply only in cases where the new owner is already operating under a permit as a used automobile dealer. In the event of the dissolution of a copartnership holding a permit under the provisions of this ordinance, the partner continuing the business shall be entitled to retain said license and cause the same to be transferred into his own name, and the retiring partner may also have a license issued in his name upon the payment of a fee of five ($5) dollars and the filing of the bond in the form provided for in Section 2. No unlicensed person shall be admitted as a member of any partnership unless such person shall comply with all of the provisions of Section 2. Any used automobile dealer having at least one place of business may secure a permit for any additional locations by filing an application in the form heretofore mentioned without furnishing a new bond upon the payment of the sum of five ($5) dollars for each application for additional locations sought under the provisions of this ordinance. Only one person, firm or corporation may conduct a used automobile dealer's business at any particular established place of business, unless any other person, firm or corporation desiring to conduct a business at the same location has also received a permit from the Chief of Police, and fulfilled the conditions required in Section 2 hereof.

Section 5. Every used automobile dealer must have, in addition to his City and County license, a State license, and the number assigned by the Motor Vehicle Department of the State of California to such dealer under his State license must be posted and filed with the Chief

of Police prior to issuance of a permit, and in the event the State Motor Vehicle Department refuses to issue to any dealer a State license, the Chief of Police must revoke or refuse to issue the City and County permit, it being mandatory that a State dealer's license is, and will be, necessary to retain a City and County permit.

Section 6. Every person having a used car permit must within twenty (20) days after the effective date of this ordinance file with the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco the number assigned to such dealer under State license issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department.

Section 7. Any one who now holds, or may hereafter hold, a permit as a used automobile dealer, and who discontinues business for a period exceeding ninety (90) days, will automatically relinquish all right or interest in said permit and the same shall automatically be revoked without any further action on the part of the Chief of Police.

In the event that the bond filed as provided in Section 2 shall be cancelled by the surety thereon, at any time, the used automobile dealer in whose favor such bond was filed, shall, within fifteen (15) days after notice of such cancellation, file a new bond, and in the event that such new bond shall not have been filed within said period of fifteen (15) days, the license of said automobile dealer shall automatically be revoked without any further action on the part of the Chief of Police.

Section 8. Every used automobile dealer shall keep a record of the purchases, or consignments, or sales or exchanges of each and every motor vehicle purchased, or sold, or consigned to be sold, or exchanged by him or them, and said record shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police, or any peace officer; and said used automobile dealer shall, within forty-eight (48) hours after the purchase, or receipt of such vehicle or vehicles, make out and mail to the Chief of Police a full and complete report of such vehicle or vehicles. Said report shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom purchased or received, the make, State license number, motor number, serial number, style and seating capacity of any used motor vehicle purchased or received. Said report shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner on blanks to be furnished by the Chief of Police.

Section 9. The permit of each person, firm or corporation licensed as a used automobile dealer under the provisions of this ordinance shall be prominently displayed in the place of business of such dealer. There must also be displayed in a conspicuous place on the front building line of the place of business the name of the firm, person or corporation to whom the permit has been issued, such name to be in letters not less than six (6) inches in height, and the same must be legible for fifty (50) feet.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation operating under the provisions of this ordinance shall be held strictly responsible for the conduct of his, their, or its employees in so far as the sales of used automobiles or motor vehicles are concerned; it shall be his, their or its duty to see that no used automobile or motor vehicle is sold by any person or persons in or upon his, their or its premises or location or locations, unless such person or persons has a permit as provided for in this ordinance, or is an employee of a person holding such permit.

Any violation or violations of this section shall be deemed sufficient grounds to suspend or revoke the permit of any person, firm or corporation so operating or allowing or permitting any one to sell used cars from their premises, unless the person so selling was either the agent or employee of the person holding a permit, or unless said person, or persons, so selling has a permit of his, their or its own; and it shall be mandatory upon any permittee under this ordinance to determine whether or not the person, or persons, he allows or permits to sell cars, from any used automobile lot or premises operated by the said permittee, has an unrevoked permit from the Chief of

Police to engage in the used automobile business, or is an employee of such permittee.

Section 11. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in, conduct, manage or carry on the business of used automobile dealer within the City and County of San Francisco who, or which, does not first receive therefor a permit as herein provided from the Chief of Police, and who, or which, does not have a fixed and determined place of business for the conduct of such business of used automobile dealer, at which place of business he, they or it displays the vehicles offered for sale.

Section 12. Every person having a used car permit shall file with the Chief of Police a monthly report on or before the tenth day of each month, which report shall state the name and address of each person engaged by him or doing business in his place of business who has come in contact with the public in a sales capacity during the preceding calendar month.

Section 13. In the event that any person, firm or corporation holding a permit as a used automobile dealer, as herein defined, shall violate, or cause or permit to be violated, any of the provisions of this ordinance, or any provision of any other ordinance, or of any law relating to or regulating such used automobile business, or any law regulating the business of dealer in motor vehicles to which such used automobile dealer shall be subject, or shall conduct or carry on such business in an unlawful manner, or shall cause or permit such business so to be conducted, or carried on, or shall fail to pay within thirty (30) days after the same shall have become final any judgment entered against him arising out of the misrepresentation of any motor vehicle, or out of any fraud committed in connection with the sale of any motor vehicle, or shall be guilty of any other conduct, whether of the same or of a different character than hereinabove specified, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing, it shall be the duty of the Chief of Police, in addition to the other penalties provided by this ordinance, to suspend or revoke the permit issued for conducting or carrying on such business. In the event any person, firm or corporation is issued a permit under the provisions of this ordinance and the same shall be revoked by said Chief of Police, no permit shall be granted to such person, firm or corporation to conduct or carry on such business within six (6) months from the date of such revocation. No permit shall be suspended or revoked until a hearing shall have been had by the Chief of Police relating to such suspension or revocation, notice of which hearing shall be given in writing to the permittee and served at least five (5) days prior to the date of the hearing thereon; such service to be upon the holder of such permit, or his manager, or his agent, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against holder of such permit, or against the business carried on by him, them or it, and also shall state the time when, and the place where such hearing will be held. Said notice shall be served upon the holder of such permit by delivering same to such person, firm or corporation, the manager, or agent thereof, or to any person in charge of, or employed in the place of business of such holder, or if such person, firm or corporation has no place of business, then at his, their or its place of residence, or by leaving such notice at the place of business or residence of such person, firm or corporation. In the event the holder of such permit cannot be found, and the service of such notice cannot be made upon him, them or it, in the manner herein provided, then a copy of such notice shall be mailed, postage fully prepaid, addressed to each holder, or such permittee, at his, their or its place of business, or residence, as set forth on said permit, at least five (5) days prior to the date of such hearing. Under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall have power to suspend or revoke the licenses of a corporation as to any officer acting under its license and the license of a copartnership as to any member

acting under its license without revoking the license of such corporation or such copartnership.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any used automobile dealer to advertise in any newspaper, or through any other medium, that he, they or it has for sale any automobile, which automobile is not actually for sale at the premises at the time the advertisement is inserted in the newspaper or medium and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation not to discontinue the advertisement of any automobile immediate upon the selling of same. Within forty-eight (48) hours after any automobile that has been advertised for sale has been sold or withdrawn from sale it shall be mandatory for the used automobile dealer to request withdrawal, in writing, of any advertisement relative to such automobile from any newspaper or any other medium in which he, they or it might have been advertising for the sale of such automobile prior to actual sale.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any used automobile dealer to sell any used automobile or motor vehicle from any place other than the fixed and established place of business, for which the permit has been granted to him, them or it, by the Chief of Police, to conduct the business of selling used automobiles or motor vehicles—except that such sales may be made by a licensed used automobile dealer from the registered place of business of any used automobile dealer holding a permit hereunder.

Section 16. Every used automobile dealer, person, firm or corporation who, or which, engages in, conducts, manages or carries on the business of used automobile dealer within the City and County of San Francisco, who, or which, does not first receive a permit, as herein provided, from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, and who, or which, does not have a fixed and determined place of business for the conduct of such business of used automobile dealer, at which place of business, he, they or it, display the vehicles offered for sale, or who violates any other provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, or if a corporation, be punished by a fine of not to exceed one thousand ($1,000) dollars.

Section 17. That any and all ordinances, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed; but only such parts of said ordinances as may be in conflict herewith.

Section 18. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Changing Name of Mono Street to Nineteenth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Eureka Valley Promotion Association, request-

ing that the name of Mono street, between Casselli avenue and Market street, be changed to Nineteenth street.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Redwood Empire Association Convention.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee to attend the 13th Annual Convention of the Redwood Empire Association.

Motion *carried.*

President Hayden appointed Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon and Miles.

Leave of Absence, Hon. John P. Murphy, Recreation Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 22, 1933.

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. John P. Murphy, member of the Recreation Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing September 25, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1059, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John P. Murphy, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 25, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Water Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.021)

Bill No. 436, Ordinance No. 15.0211, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $12,095,000 for municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay, a pipe line from Crystal Springs connecting with the University Mound reservoir, construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to the University Mound reservoir, construction of a reservoir in Sunset Heights District located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eighth avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, and the extension, construction and improvement of pipe lines connecting reservoirs through-

out the City and extending mains and replacing obsolete and inadequate pipes in the water distribution system and the installation of proper shutoff valves throughout said distribution system, all of said improvements designed for the purpose of providing an adequate water supply and fire protection to the residents of San Francisco and adjacent territory, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $12,095,000 for municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay from the Irvington Portal of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the easterly portal of the Pulgas tunnel and thence discharging into the Crystal Springs reservoir, including the necessary valves, auxiliary fittings, pipe lines and appurtenances.

A new pipe line and necessary appurtenances connecting the Crystal Springs reservoir with the University Mound reservoir for the purpose of adding to the storage facilities of the University Mound reservoir.

The construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to the present University Mound reservoir providing an additional storage capacity of approximately 100,000,000 gallons of water, the said basin to be roofed, and for all necessary valves, appurtenances and pipes pertinent thereto.

The construction of a new reservoir in the Sunset Heights District located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eighth avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, with the necessary appurtenances, pipe lines to connect the same with the San Andres pipe line and with the distributing main from the Lake Honda reservoir, the said reservoir to be of similar construction to that of the University Mound reservoir above described.

The construction of a pipe line and appurtenances connecting the San Andres pipe line and the University Mound reservoir providing the means of supplying water in an emergency to the University Mound District in the event of breakage of the Crystal Springs line.

The extension of a pipe line, and appurtenances, from Twenty-fifth and Potrero avenue through the South of Market Industrial Area and the Down Town Business District to Battery and Broadway necessary to meet the growing demands.

The construction of a new main extending from College Hill reservoir along Guerrero or adjoining streets to Market street and thence to the vicinity of Buchanan and O'Farrell streets necessary to augment the capacity of the present main.

The construction of a small reservoir adjacent to the central pump station which would serve the district between Fortieth avenue and the Great Highway and extending from Balboa street to Sloat boulevard, which reservoir would also regulate the capacity for the supply of water used at the central pumps.

The replacing of 6- and 8-inch mains located throughout the City which are sufficient for domestic demands but insufficient for fire protection, and the installation of 12- and 16-inch mains with secondary feeder pipes connecting with the primary supply of water from the distributing reservoirs.

The replacing of 2-inch wrought iron steel pipe with 6- and 8-inch cast-iron pipe throughout the City where the present pipe is deemed insufficient for fire protection purposes, and the installation of gate valves throughout the distributing system, and appurtenances, to permit shutting down of the water supply at one point without interfering with the service in adjacent blocks.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by resolution No. 1045, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $12,095,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Water Distribution Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $12,095,000 for extensions to the water supply and distribution system owned by the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes"

under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 12,095, both inclusive, and shall be payable $605,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number and $605,000 thereof of the next highest numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nineteen years, and $600,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Peyser: I merely wish to say that I voted *"aye"* for the purpose of putting this thing in operation without fixing the date, but I may state that unless the Federal government has passed on the pro-

gram of projects, and all of them, and which we are considering, that when the matter comes up finally for the final vote I shall note "*no*."

Supervisor Miles: I desire the same explanation in connection with my vote.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Supervisor Brown: I would just like to say for the record, and like to have it made a part of the record, that my vote "*no*" on these projects does not mean necessarily that I am opposed to any or all of them, I am just opposed to the submission of them now, and I reserve the right to change my vote when I vote on the submission of these matters at a later date.

Supervisor Colman: I would also like to explain my vote. I want to say for the record that I am voting "*no*" on submission of these matters before Federal approval has been received, and with that in view I am voting "*no*," and when Federal approval comes I reserve the right to change my vote.

Supervisor Peyser: I want the privilege of filing a written explanation of my vote in connection with these resolutions.

Supervisor Shannon: I so move.

The President: Is there any objection? (No response.)

So ordered.

O'Shaughnessy Dam Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.031.)

Also, Bill No. 437, Ordinance No. 15.0311, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,500,000 for the construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given on such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,500,000 for the construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply for the purpose of increasing the storage capacity in the Hetch Hetchy reservoir to insure the ultimate transmission to San Francisco and adjacent territory of 400,000,000 gallons of water daily and to insure the continuous operation of the generation of power of the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1046, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $3,500,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds

of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,500,000 to increase the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement,

other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Power House and Transmission System Bonds, 1933

(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Bill No. 438, Ordinance No. 15.0312, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $6,308,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and step-down stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, standby plants, a distribution system, for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the county line, Alemany boulevard, and

Bayshore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands, and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $6,308,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and stepdown stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, stand-by plants, and a distribution system for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the county line, Alemany boulevard and Bayshore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands and rights of way.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1047, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $6,308,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election, be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $6,308,000 for the construction of a power plant at Red Mountain Bar in Tuolumne county and a distribution system in San Francisco for electric energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy system, including necessary substations and stand-by plants, lands and rights of way."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of the said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 6308, both inclusive, and shall be payable $252,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $252,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 24 years, and $260,000 thereof in the 25th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal an interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Sewer Bonds, 1933
(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Bill No. 439, Ordinance No. 12.1223, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, The seventh day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,625,000.00 for municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of structures at the ends of the existing sewers, sewerage disposal plants and new sewers throughout the City and County of San Francisco, all of said improvements designed for the general health of the people of San Francisco, and all necessary lands and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,625,000.00 for municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers on 15th, Brannan, 6th, 16th, 18th streets, the Merced Land Sewer and the Lake street outfall sewer, the construction of structures at the ends of the existing sewers in San Francisco Bay for treatment of sewerage before discharging into the bay, the Bakers Beach and Marina Districts treatment plants, extension of the North Point main sewer at the foot of Sansome street and new pumping Equipment at the Commercial Street pumping station, additional sewerage disposal plants and pumping equipment where necessary, and the construction of new adequate sewers on Third street, Yosemite avenue, Vicitacion Valley, Golden Gate Heights, sanitary relief sewer in the Lake Merced District, sanitary sewer at 44th avenue and Sloat boulevard, extension of the Laguna street storm sewer to Lewis street, and a new sewer on Hyde and Jefferson streets or other and adjacent streets, and the necessary lands and rights of way for the improvements herein described.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1048 passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,625,000.00, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements, described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Sewer Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $2,625,000 for the construction of new sewers, reconditioning of existing sewers and construction of sewerage disposal plants."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of the said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Sewer Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2625, both inclusive, and shall be payable $88,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $88,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 29 years, and $73,000 thereof in the 30th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

High Pressure System Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 11.0434)

Also, Bill No. 440, Ordinance No. 11.04341, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,000,000, for municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high-pressure system throughout the City and County, and the construction of underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and

Dolores streets, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be sold or offered for sale unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,000,000 for municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high-pressure system throughout the Potrero, Mission, South of Market, Clay Street Hill, North Beach, Marina and Golden Gate Valley, Hayes Valley, Park-Presidio and Sunset and other Districts, and the construction of twenty interconnecting underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and Dolores or other streets, all designed for the purpose of extending fire protection throughout the City.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1049, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"High Pressure System Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $2,000,000 for extensions to the existing high-pressure

system and construction of underground cisterns throughout San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper pub-

lished daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 23.011.)

Also, Bill No. 441, Ordinance No. 23.011, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $815,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two light houses, all designed for the use of a yacht harbor, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $815,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two lighthouses, all designed for the use of a Yacht Harbor.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1050, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $815,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required there-

for. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $815,000 for improving the San Francisco Yacht Harbor."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of fiscal agent of said City and County in the city

of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 815, both inclusive, and shall be payable $41,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number and $41,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 19 years and $36,000 thereof in the 20th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Street and Boulevard Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 12.121)

Also, Bill No. 442, Ordinance No. 12.1211, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,100,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets within the City and County and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of existing streets and boulevards to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and provide for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the

City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,100,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets, and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of all existing streets and boulevards where necessary in the City and County to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and particularly along the following streets and avenues:

Lombard street, Lyon street to Van Ness avenue; Van Ness avenue, Market street to northerly termination; Howard street, Embarcadero to Army street; Nineteenth avenue, Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard; Golden Gate avenue, Market street to Van Ness avenue; Front street, Market street to Embarcadero; Fremont street, Market street to Harrison street; Sixth street, Harrison street to Market street; California street, Fillmore street to Maple street; Eighth street, Harrison street to Market street; a highway crossing Golden Gate Park from Funston avenue to Nineteenth avenue; a divisional highway from Divisadero street to Castro street; Geneva avenue, Mission street to Bayshore highway, and Laguna Honda boulevard, and other and adjacent streets, avenues or boulevards, all designed for the purpose of providing better traffic facilities in the City and County.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1051 passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $2,100,000 and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of the payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements, described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administrative Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $2,100,000 for widening, straightening and recondition-

ing streets and boulevards to conform with approaches to Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridges."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the Municipal improvements and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, and shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Boulevard and Street Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2100, both inclusive, and shall be payable $70,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $70,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper

published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Warehouse and Garage Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 9.082)

Also, Bill No. 443, Ordinance No. 9.0821, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $325,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse and the building of a repair shop and garage to store automobiles and trucks owned by the City and County, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $325,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse, providing for approximately sixty thousand square feet of additional floor space for warehouse purposes, and the construction of a garage which will store city-owned automobiles and trucks and a service and repair shop in said garage for the purpose of repairing city-owned automobiles and trucks.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1052, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $325,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation, or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Warehouse Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $325,000 for the construction of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse and the building of a repair shop and garage to repair and house city-owned automobiles and trucks."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Warehouse Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 325, both inclusive, and shall be payable $33,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $33,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 9 years and $28,000 thereof in the 10th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the

bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stampted, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 23.011.)

Also, Bill No. 444, Ordinance No. 23.0112, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $700,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk areas, relocating belt line railway and creating water and electric light systems and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $700,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk

areas adjacent thereto, relocating belt line railway and creating water and electric light systems and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park and all designed for the better enjoyment of the Aquatic Park.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1053, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $700,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $700,000 for the improvement of Aquatic Park consisting of boat houses, bathing beach, park and playground areas and other improvements of said Aquatic Park."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other mate-

rial placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 700, both inclusive, and shall be payable $35,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $35,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Airport Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Bill No. 445, Ordinance No. 15.0511, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the

City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $260,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the East-West runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present airport, filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $260,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the East-West runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present Airport, filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1054, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $260,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation of the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth

by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $260,000 for the improvement and extension of San Francisco Municipal Airport."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Airport Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 260, both inclusive, and shall be payable $52,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $52,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing

the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Live Stock Pavilion Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 18.06)

Also, Bill No. 446, Ordinance No. 18.061, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $1,250,000 for a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion, arena building, horse stalls, exhibition building, harness horse or trotting track, grand stand and other structures for exhibition of beef and dairy stock, cattle, machinery and agricultural exhibitions, flower shows, indoor athletic events and for school purposes, to be erected in San Mateo County, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $1,250,000 for a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion housing administration and service quarters and exhibit space adaptable for various exhibits and events, such as flower shows, machinery exhibitions, indoor athletic events and for school purposes where the attendance is in large classes; the erection of a main arena building providing a seating capacity of approximately twelve thousand, designed primarily for horse show purposes, but available for other exhibitions, storage areas, press and broadcasting quarters; the construction of horse stall units, wash racks, toilet facilities and service space and dormitories for the accommodation of help, exhibitors and organizations; the construction of a two-story structure for the exhibition of sheep and swine and pens for the same including storage space for feed supplies and equipment, wash racks and manure disposal space; the upper floor of said structure designed primarily for the exhibition of cattle, beef and dairy stock; the construction of a harness, horse or trotting

track, a grand stand providing for seating accommodations of approximately five thousand, and the necessary service facilities, fences, railings and other equipment.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1055, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $1,250,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $1,250,000 for Agricultural Buildings to be erected in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same

are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 1250, both inclusive, and shall be payable $63,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $63,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nineteen years and $53,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bonds to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose, to meet all sums coming due on the principal and interest of such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the new general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 11.0141)

Also, Bill No. 477, Ordinance No. 11.0411, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,900,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice, to be

erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing the payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,900,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison, to be constructed architectually in conformity with existing buildings in the Civic Center.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1056, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,900,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said general municipal election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said general municipal election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said general municipal election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to

any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $2,900,000 for the construction of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on City land on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2900, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual

interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Spaulding—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.041)

Also, Bill No. 448, Ordinance No. 15.0411, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $63,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue to Vicente street, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $63,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway car line from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue southerly on Forty-sixth avenue to Vicente street, with a single track loop around the block bounded by Forty-sixth avenue, Wawona street, Forty-seventh avenue and Vicente street.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1057, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $63,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation, or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in Section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following

the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said general municipal election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said general municipal election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said general municipal election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $63,000 for the extension of a line of the Municipal Railway from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material, placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933," and shall be num-

bered from 1 to 63, both inclusive, and shall be payable $63,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Spaulding—2.

(*Same explanation of vote as above.*)

Registrar of Voters Authorized to Include Argument in Favor of Various Projects in Sample Ballot Envelope.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Registrar of Voters be authorized to include in sample ballot envelopes arguments in favor of the various projects approved today, to-wit:

1. Water Department pipe lines		$12,094,859
2. Raising O'Shaughnessy Dam		3,500,000
3. Red Mountain Bar Power House Transmission Line and Distributing System		6,308,000
4. Sanitary Projects (Sewage, etc.)		2,625,000
5. High Pressure System	$1,865,000	
Underground Fire Cisterns	140,000	2,005,000
6. Completion of Yacht Harbor		815,000
7. Streets and Boulevards		2,100,000
8. Warehouse and Garage		325,000
9. Completion of Aquatic Park		700,000
10. Extension of Airport Runway		260,000
11. Livestock Pavilion		1,250,000
12. Hall of Justice, Civic Center		2,900,000
13. Extension of the "L" line, Municipal Railways		63,000
Total		$34,945,859

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Mc-

Sheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton —13.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Declaration of Public Policy, Financing Public Improvements.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1060, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has made application to the Government of the United States, as provided in the National Industrial Recovery Act, for a grant of thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed and used upon certain municipal improvements which it is proposed to construct by the proceeds of certain bond issues to meet the cost of said improvements, less the said grant to be made by the Government of the United States; and

Whereas, it is anticipated that said requested grant of thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed and used on said improvements will be more readily granted if the City and County of San Francisco can finance the remainder of the cost of said improvements by the sale of its bonds to private parties and to private corporations, rather than to sell said bonds to the Government of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby make the following declaration of policy, to-wit:

That the City and County of San Francisco will, as far as possible, finance such portion of the cost of said municipal improvements as are not covered by said grant from the Federal Government, by the sale of its bonds voted for said improvements, to private parties or corporations, in so far as said sale can be made at a reasonable rate of interest and, if said bonds cannot be so sold, then that the City and County make application to the Federal Government through the proper agency to purchase said bonds.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Endorsing and Commending 1933 East-West All-American Football Game.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 1058, as follows:

Whereas, since 1925, Islam Temple of the Shrine has conducted an East-West All-American Football Game annually for the sole benefit of the Shriners' Hospital for Crippled Children, San Francisco Unit; and

Whereas, the services of all coaches, players and committeemen are given free of charge for this worthy cause, thus assuring that all funds collected are devoted to the purpose for which they are intended; and

Whereas, this annual event has attained national recognition because of the eminence of the participants; because of the spirit and color which pervade the atmosphere, and because of the laudable cause which it serves; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the 1933 East-West All-American Football Game, commending it to the

citizens of the City and County of San Francisco and nearby cities and towns and does urge a full attendance for this splendid endeavor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 43

Monday, October 9, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 9, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Gallagher on leave.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of September 29 and October 2, 1933, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southeasterly corner of Bush and Octavia streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Robert Troy, P. Morrisey, property owner, Wesley M. McKenzie, president of Central Council of Improvement Clubs; Dr. Louis Cutter, Central Council of Improvement Clubs; Jas. Kelly, Central Council of Improvement Clubs; Art Supani, representing automotive industries; Milton Marks,. attorney, representing Green Bros.; Dr. Aaron Green of the Green Hospital, were heard in opposition to the proposed change.

Louis T. Samuels, realtor and applicant for proposed zone change, was also heard in favor.

Mr. McGahey, representing Standard Stations, Inc., urged the proposed change in order that an auto supply might be placed there.

Messrs. de Golia and W. W. Chapin, members of the City Planning Commission, were also heard.

C. Cullinan, City Planning Commission, withdrew his action favoring the proposed change.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to postpone action for one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Granting Application to Rezone Southeast Corner Bush and Octavia Streets From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1068, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by Resolution No. 929, granting an application to rezone property located at the southeast corner of Bush and Octavia streets from Second Residential District to Commercial District is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

No—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Providing for an Administrative Code Authorizing and Empowering the Controller to Enter Into Necessary Contractual Relationship to Accomplish Charter Provisions with Relation to Audits to Be Made.

(Code No. 9.062)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 424, Ordinance No. 9.0621, as follows:

An ordinance enacted under the provisions of Section 23 of the Charter providing for an administrative code, to be known as Section Number 1 thereof, authorizing and empowering the Controller to enter into the necessary contractual relationship to accomplish the purposes of Sections 64 and 66 of the Charter, relative to audits to be made by said Controller, and Sections 7 and 8 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance No. 9.0871, relative to investigation and inspection.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Controller shall audit the accounts of all boards, offices and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, charged in any manner with the custody, collection or disbursement of funds, all as provided by Section 66 of the Charter, and he shall investigate the unit cost of all work done by the City and County as provided in Section 64 of said Charter, and shall also make all investigations and reports provided for by the Contract Procedure Ordinance.

Section 2. For the purpose of making the audits, investigations and reports provided by the said Charter and said Contract Procedure Ordinance, as set forth in Section 1 hereof, the Controller may, when necessary to make said audits, investigations and reports, enter into contracts and contractual relations for the furnishing to him of the necessary certificates of audit, or reports to enable him to fully perform his duties as imposed by Charter and the said ordinance.

Section 3. Such contracts or contractual relations shall be entered into only when the necessary funds have been appropriated either to the Controller or to the department for which said audit, report or investigation is to be made.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 67 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Controller.

(Code No. 9.1053)

Also, Bill No. 427, Ordinance No. 9.05348, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by abolishing one General Clerk-Stenographer position at $150 and creating and establishing in its place one Secretarial Telephone Operator at $150.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 67. **CONTROLLER.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	9	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part-time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½		B301	Payroll Machine Operator, as needed	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
27	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part-time)	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	150

Explanatory.

Item 27½ added in place of one position eliminated from Item 27.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Reappropriating $1,255.50 to Budget Item 197, Per Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Controller.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 428, Ordinance No. 9.05190, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the Controller's office, reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of Secretarial Telephone Operator at $150 per month in the Controller's office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the Controller's office is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Controller's office one position of Secretarial Telephone Operator at a salary of $150 per month less seven per cent (7%) deduction as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated under Item 197 of Ordinance 9.05164 in the amount of $1,255.50 for compensation of the position herein abolished, is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 197 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 86 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, Civil Service Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 429, Ordinance No. 9.05349, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 86 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by abolishing one position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month and adding one General Clerk at $155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 86 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 86. **CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	$ 100
1½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	185
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hay-

den, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Abolishing Position of Senior Clerk, Civil Service Commission, at $175 Per Month; Establishing the Position of General Clerk, Civil Service Commission, at $155 Per Month, and Reappropriating the Sum of $1,255.50 to the Credit of Item 248, for Payment of Compensation of Such Position.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 430, Ordinance No. 9.05191, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission; reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of General Clerk at $155 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. One position of Senior Clerk at $175 per month in the office of the Civil Service Commission is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Civil Service Commission one position of General Clerk at $155 per month less 10 per cent deduction as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated under Item 248 of Ordinance 9.05164 for salary of the position herein abolished for the balance of the fiscal year in the amount of $1,255.50 is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 248 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supplemental Appropriation of $6,000 for Elevator Construction, etc., Civic Auditorium.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 433, Ordinance No. 9.05193, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $6,000 out of Emergency Reserve, Appropriation 6-A, for the cost, construction and reconstruction of elevators, construction of partition, etc., in Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $6,000 is hereby set aside out of Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 6-A, for the construction and reconstruction of elevators, construction of partition, etc., in the Civic Auditorium.

Recommended by the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Regulating Circulating or Lending Libraries.

(Code No. 22.03)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 426, Ordinance No. 22.031, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the issuance of books, magazines, pamphlets or other reading matter to minors, requiring consent of parents for books furnished minors, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association owning, operating or having charge of any circulating or lending library to lend or rent to any person under the age of twenty-one years any book, magazine, pamphlet or other printed matter, unless and until such minor shall have registered with such circulating or lending library, and shall have received therefrom a membership card; provided, however, that such membership card shall not be issued to any person under the age of twenty-one years without the consent of the parent or legal guardian of such minor in writing, signed by such parent or legal guardian in the presence of the librarian in charge of such library. In case such minor has no regularly appointed legal guardian in the City and County of San Francisco, then such written consent must in like manner be obtained from the person who has the care or custody of said minor.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to libraries maintained or operated by the United States, the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, or any non-profit, technical and reference libraries.

Section 3. Any person, firm, corporation or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Withdrawal From Sale—Property Erroneously Assessed.

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1061, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated October 4, 1933, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1932, be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1933, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

Vol.	*Page*	*Block*	*Lot*
1	18	39	4
2	45	185	35
4	77	590	14-A
6	175	1004	31
7	81	1089	26
11	114	1574	8
11	115	1575	7
14	46	1808 ½ interest	14
14	46	1808 ½ interest	14
14	189	1864	1-A
15	138	2037-A	6
17	36	2325	33/35
18	65	2463-A	3
18	68	2464-A	41
18	88	2480	3 1/3-J
19	104	2702	37
23	18	3514	20/21

Vol.	*Page*	*Block*	*Lot*
25	61	3649	32-A
26	170	3985	16/18
26	199	4023	1
35	29	5710/5711	16
38	200	6475	14-F
42	105	7003	38
43	167	7145	43

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Approving Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1062, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 306 to Director of Public Works for $285.68, No. 307 to J. B. West for $125, No. 308 to J. B. West for $125, No. 309 to William Taaffe & Company for $1,066.67, No. 310 to Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. for $2,285.72, No. 311 to Piombo Bros. & Company for $183.15, No. 312 to Louis Sutter for $484.23, No. 313 to Anglo California Securities Co. for $413.49, No. 314 to Geo. H. Lux for $600, No. 315 to San Francisco and Fresno Land Co. for $3,950, No. 316 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for $3,000, No. 317 to Umberto Venturi, Vincenzio Salvo and Evo Fontana for $700, No. 318 to San Francisco Chronicle for $9.15, No. 319 to Charlotte E. Horrigan, Admtrx. Est. M. Dore for $300, No. 320 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for $800, No. 321 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for $1,500, No. 322 to Ellen S. M. Grosjean and Eileen G. Callaghan for $4,000—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Locksley Avenue Temporary Closing to Traffic.

(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1063, as follows:

Resolved, That Locksley avenue, southerly from Lawton street, be, and is hereby closed to traffic for a period of six months from and after passage of this resolution and that the Unemployed Relief Committee be permitted to occupy said street for the same period for the storage of wood.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Permission to Construct Bridge Over Federal Street for the Hawley Terminal Company.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Also, Resolution No. 1064, as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted the Hawley Terminal Company to

erect, construct and maintain a bridge over Federal street between Rincon and Second streets, to connect the warehouses of the Hawley Terminal Company, as per plans and specifications approved by the Bureau of Engineering, the Art Commission, the Fire Department and the Bureau of Building Inspection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Closing Portions of San Bruno and Rodeo Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 1065, as follows:

Whereas, on the 21st day of August, 1933, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 966, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 30th day of August, 1933, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 966.

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Rodeo avenue, 108.804 feet easterly of the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Brussels street; thence easterly along said southerly line 83.412 feet; thence deflecting 34 degrees 34 minutes 46 seconds to the left and running northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Rodeo avenue 45.791 feet; thence northeasterly along the southerly line of San Bruno avenue, on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 71 degrees 52 minutes 13 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 90 feet, central angle 42 degrees 55 minutes 12 seconds, a distance of 67.418 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northeasterly along said proposed line deflecting 49 degrees 10 minutes 48 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve 80 feet; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 26 degrees 23 minutes 01 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 90 feet, central angle 39 degrees 27 minutes 02 seconds, a distance of 61.969 feet; thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, deflecting 38 degrees 16 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, 66.995 feet; thence southerly and southwesterly along the proposed southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue and Rodeo avenue, on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 230 feet, central angle 82 degrees 22 minutes 08 seconds, a distance of 330.650 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said

contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 21, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
By DAVID A. BARRY, Chief Assistant Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 30, 1933.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience required said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 966; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues described in Resolution No. 966, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of San Bruno and Rodeo avenues as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 966, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets described in Resolution No. 966, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 966; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said street shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Closing Portion of San Bruno Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 1066, as follows:

Whereas, on the 21st day of August, 1933, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 967, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 22nd day of August, 1933, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 967.

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain follow-

ing described portion of San Bruno avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of San Bruno avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Bruno avenue and the northwesterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard, said point of beginning on that certain curve designated by a radius of 231.11 feet on that certain map recorded in Map Book J, at page 19, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and distant westerly along said curve 262.998 feet from its easterly end; thence southwesterly along said curve through an angle of 35 degrees 41 minutes 15 seconds an arc distance of 143.950 feet; thence southwesterly along said line of San Bruno avenue, tangent to the preceding curve, 7.305 feet to said line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting 163 degrees 01 minutes 10 seconds to the left, and running northeasterly along said proposed line of Bay Shore boulevard 148.606 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of San Bruno avenue, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 21, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 22, 1933.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience required said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 967; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of San Bruno avenue described in Resolution No. 967, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of San Bruno avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 967, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of

closing said street described in Resolution No. 967, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 967; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said street shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Accepting Offer, Loretta C. White.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 1067, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17212, Bill No. 390, approved August 22, 1933, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on September 15, 1933, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in San Francisco, California:

Beginning at a point which is distant 190 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Hampshire street and 15.976 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street, said point being in the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas J., Wm. F., and Frederick Petersen; thence southeasterly at right angles to said line of Army street and along said line of the property of Petersen, 20.024 feet to a point thereon distant 36 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Army street; thence at right angles northeasterly 104.779 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 91.17 feet, central angle 10 degrees 03 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 16.016 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 122.600 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 239, 240 and 241, Precita Valley Lands, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the Director of Property received an offer from Loretta C. White to purchase said parcel for the sum of $456 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $456 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $456; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $456; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to Loretta C. White. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Acceptance of Deed to Land Required for Rounding Northeast Corner Bay and Fillmore Streets.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1071, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Remo E. Sbarboro et ux., to a portion of Western Addition Block 329, San Francisco, required for rounding the northeast corner of Fillmore and Bay streets. This is pursuant to Resolution No. 868 of the City Planning Commission, passed April 20, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Granting Supervisor A. J. Gallagher an Extension of Twenty Days on Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., October 9, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of twenty (20) days, commencing October 15, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this additional leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1072, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted an additional leave of absence of twenty days, commencing October 15, 1933, with permission to leave the State. (Being additional to the 30 days leave of absence granted, commencing September 15, 1933, by Resolution No. 1006.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Reception of Baseball Stars, "Lefty" O'Doul and "Joe" Cronin.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1073, as follows:

Whereas, during the past two weeks the newspapers of the nation have carried accounts of the World Series Baseball Games between the New York Giants and the Washington Senators, in which classic series of our national game, two native San Franciscans have been

featured as important players, bringing, in the World Series and in the baseball season just concluded, further fame to San Francisco; and

Whereas, Frank (Lefty) O'Doul of the New York Giants, and Joseph (Joe) Cronin of the Washington Senators are returning to their homes in San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that a suitable civic welcome be arranged for the reception of Lefty O'Doul and Joe Cronin upon their return; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be hereby authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee to take charge of said reception and arrange for the welcome of Lefty O'Doul and Joe Cronin, said reception to include a public official greeting in the rotunda of the City Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Award to Moore Shipbuilding Yards Favored.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Peyser presented:

Resolution No. 1074, as follows:

Whereas, Secretary of Commerce Roper has, pending before him for award, bids for a mail carrier and a lighthouse tender for United States Government service; and

Whereas, the Moore Shipbuilding Company of San Francisco is the low bidder upon both of these ships; and

Whereas, the fame which San Francisco enjoys for fine shipbuilding is world wide; and

Whereas, under the Jones-White Act there was expended by the Federal Government $140,000,000 for shipbuilding, none of which was awarded to San Francisco concerns; and

Whereas, San Francisco's shipyards have been idle for many years and some impetus is necessary to start the wheels of this great industry again; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Department of Commerce and Secretary Roper and does strongly urge that favorable consideration be given to the bids of the Moore Shipbuilding Company, as well as to the condition of the shipbuilding industry in San Francisco generally.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Reception to Frank ("Lefty") O'Doul.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1075, as follows:

Whereas, Frank ("Lefty") O'Doul, a San Francisco boy, has again covered himself with glory by his outstanding participation in the current year's World's Series Baseball Games, and it is most fitting that San Francisco should honor his homecoming; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, is respectfully requested to

appoint a committee of citizens to bestow upon Frank ("Lefty") O'Doul the recognition that is so justly due him upon his return to his native city, Saturday, October 14, 1933.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Controller and Chief Administrative Officer Requested to Report on Status of Completion of Cancer Building and Psychopathic Building.

(Code No. 18.01)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1070, as follows:

Whereas, some years ago a bond issue of $3,500,000 was voted to the Department of Public Health for buildings and equipment, all of which betterments have been completed with the exception of the so-called "Cancer Building," and the Psychopathic Building; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller and the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby respectfully requested to report to this Board of Supervisors at as early a date as possible, the status of the completion of the buildings for which funds were voted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $25,000 for the Cost of Holding Bond Election, November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 449, Ordinance No. 9.05194, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $25,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the cost (estimated) of bond election to be held November 7, 1933, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside a supplemental appropriation of $25,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for the cost (estimated) of bond election to be held November 7, 1933.

Section 2. That the amount hereinabove appropriated for the cost of bond election to be held November 7, 1933, is required for the uninterrupted operation of the business of the City and County of San Francisco and as provided by Section 16 of the Charter.

Approved by the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Acceptance of Land From State for Grant to Federal Government in Connection With the Development of the Aquatic Park.

(Code No. 12.1719)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1069, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accepts from the State of California certain land in Lewis street, San Francisco, more particularly described in Chapter 805, 1933 Statutes of the State of California, which land together with certain other real property is to be granted by the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, pursuant to Resolution No. 34579 (New Series), adopted by this Board on June 22, 1931.

Over one week.

One-fourth Cent Tax Allocated to Municipalities Under Senate Bill 563.

Communication from Malcom A. Frazer, secretary to Mayor Rossi, relative to meeting of City officials for the explanation of one-fourth cent tax allocated to municipalities under Senate Bill 563, Chapter 767.

Referred to Streets and Finance Committee.

N. R. A. Bond Projects Arguments Authorized.

Arguments favoring bond issues for the following projects, heretofore approved by the Board of Supervisors for loan under Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works plan, were presented and authorized to be sent to the electors with their sample ballots, to-wit:

1. Water Department Pipe Lines		$12,094,859
2. Raising O'Shaughnessy Dam		3,500,000
4. Sanitary Projects (Sewage, etc.)		2,625,000
5. High Pressure System	$1,865,000	
Underground Fire Cisterns	140,000	2,005,000
6. Completion of Yacht Harbor		815,000
7. Streets and Boulevards		2,100,000
9. Completion of Aquatic Park		700,000

Recreation Field at Islais Creek Reclamation District.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Henry Windt requesting hearing in the matter of establishing an outdoor recreation field at the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

Referred to Lands and Buildings Committee.

Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Peyser requested that the Chief Administrative Officer make report to this Board relative to progress being made in the matter of garbage disposal.

So ordered.

Power of Supervisors Over Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Supervisor Peyser also requested that the City Attorney be requested to inform the Board as to what its jurisdiction and power is over the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

So ordered.

Secretary Ickes to Hasten Reply to Application for NRA Grants.

Supervisor Stanton moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to telegraph to Secretary Ickes at once asking for reply, if possible,

to our requests for National Recovery Act grants by the 15th of this month.

Supervisor Havenner suggested that it should be pointed out that Monday is the last day on which the Board can vote for bond issues.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 23, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 44

Monday, October 16, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
578 Mission Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 16, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher, on leave—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

N. R. A. Grants to San Francisco on Proposed Public Works Projects.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., October 16, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: You will please be advised that I have this day received the following letter:

"His Honor Mayor Rossi and Supervisors, City of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: Deputy Administrator Waite advised as follows as to the grant allowed the City of San Francisco:

Grant	*Item*	*Docket*
$ 798,000	Dam	1429
77,000	Warehouse and Shops	1430
354,000	Exposition Building	1441
464,000	Street Improvements	1443
666,000	Hall of Justice	1445
203,000	Yacht Harbor Extension	1449
166,000	Bathing Beach	1471
2,695,000	Water System	1510
14,700	Extension of Street Railway	1513
460,000	Fire System Extension	1531

'Grant	*Item*	*Docket*
545,000	Sewer Construction	1534
53,000	Airport Improvement	1538
1,400,000	Hydro-electric Plant	1539
$7,895,700		

"'Approved October 13, 1933.

"'H. M. WAITE, Deputy Administrator.'

"Very truly yours,

"(Signed) F. E. TRASK,
"State Engineer (P.W.A.)"

It is my wish that you give this important item your immediate attention.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor Miles moved that the Clerk of the Board be directed to address a proper resolution expressing the sincere appreciation of the Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco for the approval of the Public Works Program by National Recovery Administrator H. M. Waite, calling attention to the speed with which it was put through, and that copy of this resolution be sent to the President and officials of the N. R. A. and to the local Advisory Committee.

Motion *carried.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.021)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 436, Ordinance No. 15.0211, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $12,095,000 for municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay, a pipe line from Crystal Springs connecting with the University Mound reservoir, construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to the University Mound reservoir, construction of a reservoir in Sunset Heights District located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eighth avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, and the extension, construction and improvement of pipe lines connecting reservoirs throughout the City and extending mains and replacing obsolete and inadequate pipes in the water distribution system and the installation of proper shutoff valves throughout said distribution system, all of said improvements designed for the purpose of providing an adequate water supply and fire protection to the residents of San Francisco and adjacent territory, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and mate-

rials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $12,095,000 for municipal improvements consisting of additions to the water supply and distribution system of said City and County, including all necessary lands and rights of way, an additional pipe line crossing San Francisco Bay from the Irvington Portal of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the easterly portal of the Pulgas tunnel and thence discharging into the Crystal Springs reservoir, including the necessary valves, auxiliary fittings, pipe lines and appurtenances.

A new pipe line and necessary appurtenances connecting the Crystal Springs reservoir with the University Mound reservoir for the purpose of adding to the storage facilities of the University Mound reservoir.

The construction of a new reservoir basin adjacent to the present University Mound reservoir providing an additional storage capacity of approximately 100,000,000 gallons of water, the said basin to be roofed, and for all necessary valves, appurtenances and pipes pertinent thereto.

The construction of a new reservoir in the Sunset Heights District located between Twenty-second and Twenty-eighth avenues and Ortega and Rivera streets, with the necessary appurtenances, pipe lines to connect the same with the San Andres pipe line and with the distributing main from the Lake Honda reservoir, the said reservoir to be of similar construction to that of the University Mound reservoir above described.

The construction of a pipe line and appurtenances connecting the San Andres pipe line and the University Mound reservoir providing the means of supplying water in an emergency to the University Mound District in the event of breakage of the Crystal Springs line.

The extension of a pipe line, and appurtenances, from Twenty-fifth and Potrero avenue through the South of Market Industrial Area and the Down Town Business District to Battery and Broadway necessary to meet the growing demands.

The construction of a new main extending from College Hill reservoir along Guerrero or adjoining streets to Market street and thence to the vicinity of Buchanan and O'Farrell streets necessary to augment the capacity of the present main.

The construction of a small reservoir adjacent to the central pump station which would serve the district between Fortieth avenue and the Great Highway and extending from Balboa street to Sloat boulevard, which reservoir would also regulate the capacity for the supply of water used at the central pumps.

The replacing of 6- and 8-inch mains located throughout the City which are sufficient for domestic demands but insufficient for fire protection, and the installation of 12- and 16-inch mains with secondary feeder pipes connecting with the primary supply of water from the distributing reservoirs.

The replacing of 2-inch wrought iron steel pipe with 6- and 8-inch cast-iron pipe throughout the City where the present pipe is deemed insufficient for fire protection purposes, and the installation of gate valves throughout the distributing system, and appurtenances, to permit shutting down of the water supply at one point without interfering with the service in adjacent blocks.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by resolution No. 1045, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $12,095,000, and such sum is too great to

be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Water Distribution Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $12,095,000 for extensions to the water supply and distribution system owned by the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 12,095, both inclusive, and shall be payable $605,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number and $605,000 thereof of the next highest numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nineteen years, and $600,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.031.)

Also, Bill No. 437, Ordinance No. 15.0311, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,500,000 for the construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are

not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given on such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,500,000 for the construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of increasing the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam of the Hetch Hetchy water supply for the purpose of increasing the storage capacity in the Hetch Hetchy reservoir to insure the ultimate transmission to San Francisco and adjacent territory of 400,000,000 gallons of water daily and to insure the continuous operation of the generation of power of the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1046, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $3,500,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,500,000 to increase the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Bill No. 438, Ordinance No. 15.0312, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $6,308,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and stepdown stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, standby plants, a distribution system, for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the county line, Alemany boulevard, and Bayshore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands, and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $6,308,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a power house at Red Mountain Bar, where the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct crosses under the Don Pedro reservoir, for the purpose of developing power, the construction of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, substations and stepdown stations at Palo Alto, Crystal Springs and San Francisco, stand-by plants, and a distribution system for the service of power for municipal purposes and service to domestic and commercial consumers within the triangular district bounded by the county line, Alemany boulevard and Bayshore boulevard, and the necessary appurtenances, lands and rights of way.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1047, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $6,308,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Re-

covery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election, be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $6,308,000 for the construction of a power plant at Red Mountain Bar in Tuolumne county and a distribution system in San Francisco for electric energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy system, including necessary substations and stand-by plants, lands and rights of way."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other

properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of the said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 6308, both inclusive, and shall be payable $252,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $252,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 24 years, and $260,000 thereof in the 25th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Sewer Bonds, 1933

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Bill No. 439, Ordinance No. 12.1223, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, The seventh day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,625,000.00 for municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of structures at the ends of the existing sewers, sewerage disposal plants and new sewers throughout the City and County of San Francisco, all of said improvements designed for the general health of the people of San Francisco, and all necessary lands and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make

a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,625,000.00 for municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers on 15th, Brannan, 6th, 16th, 18th streets, the Merced Land Sewer and the Lake street outfall sewer, the construction of structures at the ends of the existing sewers in San Francisco Bay for treatment of sewerage before discharging into the bay, the Bakers Beach and Marina Districts treatment plants, extension of the North Point main sewer at the foot of Sansome street and new pumping Equipment at the Commercial Street pumping station, additional sewerage disposal plants and pumping equipment where necessary, and the construction of new adequate sewers on Third street, Yosemite avenue, Vicitacion Valley, Golden Gate Heights, sanitary relief sewer in the Lake Merced District, sanitary sewer at 44th avenue and Sloat boulevard, extension of the Laguna street storm sewer to Lewis street, and a new sewer on Hyde and Jefferson streets or other and adjacent streets, and the necessary lands and rights of way for the improvements herein described.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1048 passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,625,000.00, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements, described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Sewer Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $2,625,000 for the construction of new sewers, reconditioning of existing sewers and construction of sewerage disposal plants."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of the said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Sewer Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2625, both inclusive, and shall be payable $88,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $88,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 29 years, and $73,000 thereof in the 30th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid

or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

High Pressure-System Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 11.0434)

Also, Bill No. 440, Ordinance No. 11.04341, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,000,000, for municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high-pressure system throughout the City and County, and the construction of underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and Dolores streets, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,000,000 for municipal improvements consisting of extensions to the existing high-pressure system throughout the Potrero, Mission, South of Market, Clay Street Hill, North Beach, Marina and Golden Gate Valley, Hayes Valley, Park-Presidio and Sunset and other Districts, and the construction of twenty interconnecting underground fire cisterns along Van Ness avenue, Market and Dolores or other streets, all designed for the purpose of extending fire protection throughout the City.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1049, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"High Pressure System Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $2,000,000 for extensions to the existing high-pressure system and construction of underground cisterns throughout San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $100,000 there-

of of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 23.011.)

Also, Bill No. 441, Ordinance No. 23.011, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $815,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two light houses, all designed for the use of a yacht harbor, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of

November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $815,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of extension and construction by the City and County of San Francisco of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco fronting the Marina by extending the same easterly from Scott street toward Laguna street, which is the proposed easterly boundary of said Yacht Harbor, both streets extended northerly, consisting of the erection of a breakwater, a concrete pier approach from the concrete seawall to the breakwater consisting of reinforced concrete deck slab and beams supported by wooden piles with reinforced concrete jackets, service and landing wharves, providing lighting and water facilities, a service building and public convenience stations and two lighthouses, all designed for the use of a Yacht Harbor.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1050, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $815,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $815,000 for improving the San Francisco Yacht Harbor."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of fiscal agent of said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 815, both inclusive, and shall be payable $41,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number and $41,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 19 years and $36,000 thereof in the 20th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 12.121)

Also, Bill No. 442, Ordinance No. 12.1211, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,100,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets within the City and County and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of existing streets and boulevards to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and provide for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,100,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boulevards and streets, and the widening, straightening and reconditioning of all existing streets and boulevards where necessary in the City and County to conform with the approaches to the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridges, and particularly along the following streets and avenues:

Lombard street, Lyon street to Van Ness avenue; Van Ness avenue, Market street to northerly termination; Howard street, Embarcadero to Army street; Nineteenth avenue, Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard; Golden Gate avenue, Market street to Van Ness avenue; Front street, Market street to Embarcadero; Fremont street, Market street to Harrison street; Sixth street, Harrison street to Market street; California street, Fillmore street to Maple street; Eighth street, Harrison street to Market street; a highway crossing Golden Gate Park from Funston avenue to Nineteenth avenue; a divisional highway from Divisadero street to Castro street; Geneva avenue, Mission street to Bayshore highway, and Laguna Honda boulevard, and other and adjacent streets, avenues or boulevards, all designed for the purpose of providing better traffic facilities in the City and County.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1051 passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $2,100,000 and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of the payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements, described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administrative Board or Body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and

canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $2,100,000 for widening, straightening and reconditioning streets and boulevards to conform with approaches to Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridges."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election, the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the Municipal improvements and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, and shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Boulevard and Street Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2100, both inclusive, and shall be payable $70,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $70,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Warehouse Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 9.082)

Also, Bill No. 443, Ordinance No. 9.0821, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $325,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse and the building of a repair shop and garage to store automobiles and trucks owned by the City and County, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $325,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse, providing for approximately sixty thousand square feet of additional floor space for warehouse purposes, and the construction of a garage which will store city-owned automobiles and trucks and a service and repair shop in said garage for the purpose of repairing city-owned automobiles and trucks.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution

No. 1052, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $325,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation, or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Warehouse Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $325,000 for the construction of an addition to the present municipal central warehouse and the building of a repair shop and garage to repair and house city-owned automobiles and trucks."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition,

then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Warehouse Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 325, both inclusive, and shall be payable $33,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $33,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 9 years and $28,000 thereof in the 10th year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stampted, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 23.011.)

Also, Bill No. 444, Ordinance No. 23.0112, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the seventh day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $700,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk areas, relocating belt line railway and creating water and

electric light systems and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $700,000 for municipal improvements consisting of boat houses for rowing clubs, the creation of a bathing beach, park and playground areas, a concrete wharf to facilitate auto parking, bath houses, convenience stations, service buildings, gymnasiums, hand ball courts, shower and locker rooms, solariums and club quarters, grading and rock filling, construction of concrete seawall and retaining walls and paving street promenade and sidewalk areas adjacent thereto, relocating belt line railway and creating water and electric light systems and landscape gardening at Aquatic Park and all designed for the better enjoyment of the Aquatic Park.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1053, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $700,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any

other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $700,000 for the improvement of Aquatic Park consisting of boat houses, bathing beach, park and playground areas and other improvements of said Aquatic Park."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 700, both inclusive, and shall be payable $35,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $35,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest

of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Airport Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Bill No. 445, Ordinance No. 15.0511, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $260,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the East-West runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present airport, filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $260,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of the East-West runway of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, filling in of tideland now owned by the City to the east of the present Airport, filling, grading, surfacing and drainage of the same, and lighting facilities of the said runway, and the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1054, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $260,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation of the Administration Board or Body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $260,000 for the improvement and extension of San Francisco Municipal Airport."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said

City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Airport Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 260, both inclusive, and shall be payable $52,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $52,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 18.06)

Also, Bill No. 446, Ordinance No. 18.061, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $1,250,000 for a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion, arena building, horse stalls, exhibition building, harness horse or trotting track, grand stand and other structures for exhibition of beef and dairy stock, cattle, machinery and agricultural exhibitions, flower shows, indoor athletic events and for school purposes, to be erected in San Mateo County, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th

day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $1,250,000 for a municipal improvement in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association, of a general exposition pavilion housing administration and service quarters and exhibit space adaptable for various exhibits and events, such as flower shows, machinery exhibitions, indoor athletic events and for school purposes where the attendance is in large classes; the erection of a main arena building providing a seating capacity of approximately twelve thousand, designed primarily for horse show purposes, but available for other exhibitions, storage areas, press and broadcasting quarters; the construction of horse stall units, wash racks, toilet facilities and service space and dormitories for the accommodation of help, exhibitors and organizations; the construction of a two-story structure for the exhibition of sheep and swine and pens for the same including storage space for feed supplies and equipment, wash racks and manure disposal space; the upper floor of said structure designed primarily for the exhibition of cattle, beef and dairy stock; the construction of a harness, horse or trotting track, a grand stand providing for seating accommodations of approximately five thousand, and the necessary service facilities, fences, railings and other equipment.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1055, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $1,250,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the amount of $1,250,000 for Agricultural Buildings to be erected in conjunction with No. One-A Agricultural District Association."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933" and shall be numbered from 1 to 1250, both inclusive, and shall be payable $63,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds beginning with the lowest number, and $63,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nineteen years and $53,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bonds to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose, to meet all sums coming due on the principal and interest of such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the new general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 11.0141)

Also, Bill No. 447, Ordinance No. 11.0411, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,900,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice, to be erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing the payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $2,900,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on land owned by the City and County on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets, in which are to be housed the Police Department, the Public Defender, the Probation Officer, criminal and other courts, offices and commissions, and a City prison, to be constructed architectually in conformity with existing buildings in the Civic Center.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1056, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $2,900,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received

and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said general municipal election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said general municipal election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said general municipal election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $2,900,000 for the construction of a new Hall of Justice to be erected on City land on the blocks bounded by Larkin, Hyde, Fulton and Grove streets."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2900, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.041)

Also, Bill No. 448, Ordinance No. 15.0411, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $63,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue to Vicente street, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds, and said bonds are not to be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements, and prescribing notice to be given of such election.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 7th day of November, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $63,000 for a municipal improvement consisting of an extension to the Municipal Railway car line from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue southerly on Forty-sixth avenue to Vicente street, with a single track loop around the block bounded by Forty-sixth avenue, Wawona street, Forty-seventh avenue and Vicente street.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1057, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of $63,000, and such sum is too great to be

paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation, or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described in Section 1 hereof.

Out of funds realized from the sale of the bonds provided for herein, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of the municipal improvement described herein and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same may be paid out of the proceeds of sale of the said bonds.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said general municipal election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said general municipal election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said general municipal election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933—To incur a bonded debt in the sum of $63,000 for the extension of a line of the Municipal Railway from Taraval street and Forty-sixth avenue."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material, placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the prepa-

ration of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933," and shall be numbered from 1 to 63, both inclusive, and shall be payable $63,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to make a general statement. I am perfectly willing to reserve it until later, but if the Board sees fit to have me do so I shall be glad to make a general statement now in regard to my vote on these bond matters.

THE PRESIDENT: You had better make it now, please.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have felt all along through the question of these bond issues that the point of paramount issue before the Board of Supervisors, and before the people, was the relief of unemployment; that there was no other consideration that should weigh so heavily in our minds as that particular item; that the most necessary thing is the relief of unemployment, and we have here the tools with which to affect that relief in large measure. I have felt, however, that as

another circumstance which certainly should be before us at the same time, was the question of how far the City of San Francisco should be prepared to go in the raising of funds for the purpose of relieving that unemployment, and it seemed to me a question to be largely determined by the citizens, by the ability of the citizens to pay for them, what the undertakings should be, and that if the program was so large, so ambitious, as to jeopardize the City's ability to pay, and which would reflect itself in these bonds, that is, in the interest and redemption thereon, then that program should be curtailed to such an amount as could be handled by San Francisco without embarrassment to its financial structure. It seemed to me that there were certain of these bond issues that might not receive the approval of the Federal Government. However, the bond issues have all received the approval of the Federal Government, and that approval has been given after a very careful consideration, not only by the local and State authorities, but by the national authorities, and I, as one member of this Board, do not feel competent to go contrary to that opinion, arrived at after a very careful consideration and study of the questions.

I am mindful, however, that our vote does not necessarily mean that the people of San Francisco will, by a two-thirds majority, vote approving each and every one of these bond issues, and what we are doing today is placing before the people the opportunity to make that choice, and the ultimate responsibility for the success or failure of any one particular bond issue rests upon that choice of the people, which will be expressed on November 7th at the polls.

It is therefore my intention, regardless of the views I have expressed in the past, today to vote to submit each and every one of these issues to the people. By so doing I do not necessarily imply that I am in favor of every one of the bond issues, because I am not in favor of some two or three of them, but because of the action of the Federal Government I feel I am not qualified to oppose submission to the people.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would like to give my reasons, Mr. President, for my vote.

I have taken the attitude in the past that I did not think it was humanly possible that both the state body and Federal body would be able to give us their approval, and what their opinion was on all of these projects which we submitted to them, in that short time. They certainly have done a remarkable job. I did not think it was possible, and they are entitled to a great deal of praise, which they certainly have from me, for so promptly handling the situation here, particularly by the Advisory Committee and by Justus Wardell, and then their prompt submission to the Federal authorities, and their very prompt elimination of all red tape, and then coming here with their recommendations, it apparently being the opinion of both the State Advisory Board and the Federal Board that all of these projects must come within the requirements of the National body. Each one of them, in the opinion of the authorities, after a very careful investigation, has been approved.

Now, in my opinion, some of those projects have a greater degree of merit than have others, and I, too, was very much surprised at the approval of some, but it has come, and therefore, with a view to doing my full share towards the relief of unemployment and complete cooperation, I am going to vote for submission of all of them to the people.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Would the Supervisor yield for a moment?

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: Certainly, Supervisor Havenner.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Board invited Mr. Wardell to come over here, and we have now kept him waiting for quite a little while, and I would like to move the privilege of the floor to Justus Wardell.

* * * * * * * * *

SUPERVISOR PEYSER: Mr. President, I want to explain my vote.

Mr. Chairman, the last time I voted, I voted to pass these bond issues on second reading with the statement that if the Federal Government approved the program I would vote "Yes" on final passage. I just want to state that the difference of opinion I had has now been solved, that is, it was a matter of procedure, I believing that the Government's approval should be first given before submitting these bonds to the people for approval. The Federal Government's approval now being here, I believe that the responsibility lies with the people, and I am now going to vote to pass these bonds for submission to the people.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, Members of the Board: I think that the reason Washington acted so promptly upon the public works program presented by the City and County of San Francisco was that this City had met the spirit and intent of the Recovery Act as probably no other city in this country has done up to this time, and I believe our example is going to be followed by many other cities throughout the country.

We have put this question up to the people, the responsibility is yours, it is not ours. These projects have been selected by a representative group of citizens, and they have met the test of the representatives of the Federal Government in Washington. First, are they socially desirable—and Washington has stated "Yes" that they are socially desirable. Second, are they sound financially—and Washington has said that San Francisco's projects are sound financially. Third, will they put the required number of men from this community back on the payrolls and off of the relief rolls—and Washington has said that they will do those things. So, ladies and gentlemen, the question is up to you. We are not going to try to influence your vote, except to put up to you the responsibility which is yours when you go to the ballot box.

I happened to be present at a luncheon a short time ago, attended by some of the visiting Congressmen and Senators, who were here last week, and one of the gentlemen asked this question: "If the N. R. A. program should fail, what next?" And one of the Senators said that the next step would probably be a capital levy, and this man asked of him how would the capital levy operate, and the gentleman replied that the government in such an extremity would be obliged to go to the people and say, "You own five, ten or fifty thousand dollars worth of property, and the government, in order to meet this levy, will have to levy upon you up to twenty per cent of the value of your holdings." That was subscribed to by other members present. It is the mature judgment of a group of men representing our people in Washington. So, ladies and gentlemen, this matter is in your hands, and we are merely going to put it up to you in this way, in exactly the manner I have used here this afternoon.

* * * * * * * * *

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. President, in connection with number three, the Red Mountain Bar Power House project, I should like to say that I have left all along that number three should have been submitted as two separate and distinct proposals, the power house project as one and the transmission and distribution system as another. I think it is probably a serious mistake to have those two projects lumped together, and furthermore to have them listed on the ballot as the Red Mountain Bar Power House bonds, which I understand they will appear as. Nevertheless, despite my feeling that these two matters should be separated, in view of the Federal approval and State approval, I propose to vote "Aye."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Of course, the Board knows my views also. I fought strenuously to have the two projects separated. However, again, my views did not meet the concurrence of either the State body or the National body, and, of course, I yield to them, they know a great deal more about it than I do, and in view of a statement made today by Supervisor Havenner, which I think is a very fair one, speak-

ing on number three, the real facts should be presented to the public, and I shall take Supervisor Havenner at his word 100 per cent when he promised today that in debate on these matters they would be presented just exactly as they are and would be put up to the public for their approval or disapproval.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 24 of the License Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 434, Ordinance No. 3.04129, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), commonly known as "The License Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), commonly known as "The License Ordinance" is amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Commencing with the quarter annual period ending on December 31, 1933*, every person, firm or corporation owning, leasing or maintaining any billiard table, pool table, or combination table, for the use of patrons of any place of business, shall pay a license of five ($5) dollars per quarter for the first such table and one and 25/100 ($1.25) dollars per quarter for each additional such table in any such place of business.

No person, firm or corporation shall engage in business under the provisions of this section without first obtaining from the Chief of Police a permit to maintain and charge for the use of such billiard or pool or combination tables; such permits shall be issued quarterly, and may be revoked for cause at any time by the Chief of Police, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire, and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license under the provisions of this section unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Chief of Police a permit as hereinabove specified.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Used Car Dealers Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 435, Ordinance No. 11.0005, as follows:

Regulating the business of dealing in used motor vehicles; defining used automobile dealer and used motor vehicle and establishing procedure for procurement of permits to engage in said business; fixing the amount of bond therefor; providing penalties for the violation thereof and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* For the purpose of this ordinance a used automobile dealer is defined to be any person, firm or corporation engaged in, or conducting or managing, or carrying on the business of buying or taking in trade for the purpose of resale, or offering for sale, or consigning to be sold, or otherwise dealing in used motor

vehicles as the term "Motor Vehicle" is defined in Statutes of 1923, Chapter 266, as amended, provided, however, that no insurance company, finance company, transportation company or other persons coming into the possession of automobiles in the regular course of business who shall sell such motor vehicles to licensed used automobile dealers exclusively shall be deemed a used automobile dealer.

Any person, firm, or corporation selling more than two used motor vehicles in any calendar year shall be deemed a used automobile dealer and the burden of proving that such seller is not in fact operating as a used automobile dealer shall be placed upon such seller.

A used motor vehicle is a motor vehicle which has been once sold or registered for use on the highways, or one that has been operated by any person for a distance in excess of twice the distance necessary to transport the same from the place of business of the local distributor of such motor vehicle to the place of business of the dealer. A motor vehicle used for demonstration purposes is a used motor vehicle within the meaning of this ordinance.

Section 2. No person, firm or corporation shall hereafter begin to operate any business as a used automobile dealer, as defined in Section 1 of this ordinance, without first having received a permit in writing so to do from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco.

Before receiving or acting upon any application for the granting of a permit to a used automobile dealer, the Chief of Police shall require:

First: The payment of the sum of fifty ($50) dollars as a fee thereof.

Second: An affidavit signed by the applicant showing that said applicant is to conduct the business at a fixed place where used motor vehicles are, or will be, displayed for sale. Said application for a permit must be signed by the applicant and if applicant is a corporation or partnership, the same must be signed by a duly authorized officer on behalf of the corporation, or by all the members of the partnership, and the same must contain the names and addresses of all officers of the corporation or of all the partners.

Third: The applicant shall file with the application a bond in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, which shall run to the City and County of San Francisco and to any person, firm or corporation who shall sustain any injury covered by the bond. Such bond shall be executed by the applicant as principal, and by a corporation which is licensed by the Insurance Commissioner of this State to transact the business of fidelity and surety insurance, as surety. The bond shall be conditioned that the principal will indemnify any and all persons, firms or corporations for any direct loss suffered by dishonesty on the part of the principal in the substitution of a motor vehicle other than the one selected by the purchaser, failure through dishonesty to deliver a clear title to those legally entitled thereto, for any misappropriation of moneys or property belonging to a purchaser being made in payment of a motor vehicle sold by the principal, for any loss due to an alteration of a motor vehicle on the part of the principal so as to deceive the purchaser as to the year model of any motor vehicle sold. Such bond shall remain in full force and effect until the license of the principal is revoked or until the bond is cancelled by the surety. The surety may cancel said bond and be relieved of further liability thereunder by giving fifteen (15) days written notice to the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco. The aggregate liability of the surety for any and all claims which may arise under such bond shall in no event exceed the amount of the penalty of such bond regardless as to the length of time it shall remain in force or the number of renewal licenses issued thereunder. Any person, firm or corporation who sustains an injury covered by this bond, may, in addition to any other remedy that he may have, bring an action in his own name upon the bond for the recovery of any damage sustained by him, provided, however, that no such action may

be brought and maintained after the expiration of one year from and after the time of the alleged dishonest act complained of may have occurred.

No such application shall be required of any person, firm or corporation holding a permit as a used automobile dealer upon the date this ordinance becomes effective, but on and after the effective date of this ordinance, said person must comply with all other provisions of this ordinance and upon the expiration of the existing license, must secure a renewal thereof in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, and provided further that at the time of securing such renewal license there must be filed by said used automobile dealer, bond in the form provided for in this section.

Section 3. Upon the receipt of said application, as provided in the preceding section, the Chief of Police shall cause to be investigated the character and business of the applicant, the location at which such applicant proposes to engage in business as specified in said application, and thereafter may issue a permit to said applicant which shall be effective for the remaining portion of the current year, provided, however, that persons holding a permit upon the date this ordinance becomes effective shall not be required to file a new application.

Section 4. A fee of five ($5) dollars shall be charged for any application for a change of location of the place of business for which a permit has been granted as a used automobile dealer, and a fee of five ($5) dollars shall be charged for a change of ownership; provided, however, that the five ($5) dollars charged for a change of ownership shall apply only in cases where the new owner is already operating under a permit as a used automobile dealer. In the event of the dissolution of a copartnership holding a permit under the provisions of this ordinance, the partner continuing the business shall be entitled to retain said license and cause the same to be transferred into his own name, and the retiring partner may also have a license issued in his name upon the payment of a fee of five ($5) dollars and the filing of the bond in the form provided for in Section 2. No unlicensed person shall be admitted as a member of any partnership unless such person shall comply with all of the provisions of Section 2. Any used automobile dealer having at least one place of business may secure a permit for any additional locations by filing an application in the form heretofore mentioned without furnishing a new bond upon the payment of the sum of five ($5) dollars for each application for additional locations sought under the provisions of this ordinance. Only one person, firm or corporation may conduct a used automobile dealer's business at any particular established place of business, unless any other person, firm or corporation desiring to conduct a business at the same location has also received a permit from the Chief of Police, and fulfilled the conditions required in Section 2 hereof.

Section 5. Every used automobile dealer must have, in addition to his City and County license, a State license, and the number assigned by the Motor Vehicle Department of the State of California to such dealer under his State license must be posted and filed with the Chief of Police prior to issuance of a permit, and in the event the State Motor Vehicle Department refuses to issue to any dealer a State license, the Chief of Police must revoke or refuse to issue the City and County permit, it being mandatory that a State dealer's license is, and will be, necessary to retain a City and County permit.

Section 6. Every person having a used car permit must within twenty (20) days after the effective date of this ordinance file with the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco the number assigned to such dealer under State license issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department.

Section 7. Any one who now holds, or may hereafter hold, a permit as a used automobile dealer, and who discontinues business for

a period exceeding ninety (90) days, will automatically relinquish all right or interest in said permit and the same shall automatically be revoked without any further action on the part of the Chief of Police.

In the event that the bond filed as provided in Section 2 shall be cancelled by the surety thereon, at any time, the used automobile dealer in whose favor such bond was filed, shall, within fifteen (15) days after notice of such cancellation, file a new bond, and in the event that such new bond shall not have been filed within said period of fifteen (15) days, the license of said automobile dealer shall automatically be revoked without any further action on the part of the Chief of Police.

Section 8. Every used automobile dealer shall keep a record of the purchases, or consignments, or sales or exchanges of each and every motor vehicle purchased, or sold, or consigned to be sold, or exchanged by him or them, and said record shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police, or any peace officer; and said used automobile dealer shall, within forty-eight (48) hours after the purchase, or receipt of such vehicle or vehicles, make out and mail to the Chief of Police a full and complete report of such vehicle or vehicles. Said report shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom purchased or received, the make, State license number, motor number, serial number, style and seating capacity of any used motor vehicle purchased or received. Said report shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner on blanks to be furnished by the Chief of Police.

Section 9. The permit of each person, firm or corporation licensed as a used automobile dealer under the provisions of this ordinance shall be prominently displayed in the place of business of such dealer. There must also be displayed in a conspicuous place on the front building line of the place of business the name of the firm, person or corporation to whom the permit has been issued, such name to be in letters not less than six (6) inches in height, and the same must be legible for fifty (50) feet.

Section 10. Every person, firm or corporation operating under the provisions of this ordinance shall be held strictly responsible for the conduct of his, their, or its employees in so far as the sales of used automobiles or motor vehicles are concerned; it shall be his, their or its duty to see that no used automobile or motor vehicle is sold by any person or persons in or upon his, their or its premises or location or locations, unless such person or persons has a permit as provided for in this ordinance, or is an employee of a person holding such permit.

Any violation or violations of this section shall be deemed sufficient grounds to suspend or revoke the permit of any person, firm or corporation so operating or allowing or permitting anyone to sell used cars from their premises, unless the person so selling was either the agent or employee of the person holding a permit, or unless said person, or persons, so selling has a permit of his, their or its own; and it shall be mandatory upon any permittee under this ordinance to determine whether or not the person, or persons, he allows or permits to sell cars, from any used automobile lot or premises operated by the said permittee, has an unrevoked permit from the Chief of Police to engage in the used automobile business, or is an employee of such permittee.

Section 11. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in, conduct, manage or carry on the business of used automobile dealer within the City and County of San Francisco who, or which, does not first receive therefor a permit as herein provided from the Chief of Police, and who, or which, does not have a fixed and determined place of business for the conduct of such business of used automobile dealer, at which place of business he, they or it displays the vehicles offered for sale.

Section 12. Every person having a used car permit shall file with the Chief of Police a monthly report on or before the tenth day of

each month, which report shall state the name and address of each person engaged by him or doing business in his place of business who has come in contact with the public in a sales capacity during the preceding calendar month.

Section 13. In the event that any person, firm or corporation holding a permit as a used automobile dealer, as herein defined, shall violate, or cause or permit to be violated, any of the provisions of this ordinance, or any provision of any other ordinance, or of any law relating to or regulating such used automobile business, or any law regulating the business of dealer in motor vehicles to which such used automobile dealer shall be subject, or shall conduct or carry on such business in an unlawful manner, or shall cause or permit such business so to be conducted, or carried on, or shall fail to pay within thirty (30) days after the same shall have become final any judgment entered against him arising out of the misrepresentation of any motor vehicle, or out of any fraud committed in connection with the sale of any motor vehicle, or shall be guilty of any other conduct, whether of the same or of a different character than hereinabove specified, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing, it shall be the duty of the Chief of Police, in addition to the other penalties provided by this ordinance, to suspend or revoke the permit issued for conducting or carrying on such business. In the event any person, firm or corporation is issued a permit under the provisions of this ordinance and the same shall be revoked by said Chief of Police, no permit shall be granted to such person, firm or corporation to conduct or carry on such business within six (6) months from the date of such revocation. No permit shall be suspended or revoked until a hearing shall have been had by the Chief of Police relating to such suspension or revocation, notice of which hearing shall be given in writing to the permittee and served at least five (5) days prior to the date of the hearing thereon; such service to be upon the holder of such permit, or his manager, or his agent, which notice shall state the ground of complaint against holder of such permit, or against the business carried on by him, them or it, and also shall state the time when, and the place where such hearing will be held. Said notice shall be served upon the holder of such permit by delivering same to such person, firm or corporation, the manager, or agent thereof, or to any person in charge of, or employed in the place of business of such holder, or if such person, firm or corporation has no place of business, then at his, their or its place of residence, or by leaving such notice at the place of business or residence of such person, firm or corporation. In the event the holder of such permit cannot be found, and the service of such notice cannot be made upon him, them or it, in the manner herein provided, then a copy of such notice shall be mailed, postage fully prepaid, addressed to each holder, or such permittee, at his, their or its place of business, or residence, as set forth on said permit, at least five (5) days prior to the date of such hearing. Under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall have power to suspend or revoke the licenses of a corporation as to any officer acting under its license and the license of a copartnership as to any member acting under its license without revoking the license of such corporation or such copartnership.

Section 14. It shall be unlawful for any used automobile dealer to advertise in any newspaper, or through any other medium, that he, they or it has for sale any automobile, which automobile is not actually for sale at the premises at the time the advertisement is inserted in the newspaper or medium and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation not to discontinue the advertisement of any automobile immediate upon the selling of same. Within forty-eight (48) hours after any automobile that has been advertised for sale has been sold or withdrawn from sale it shall be mandatory for the used automobile dealer to request withdrawal, in writing, of any advertisement relative to such automobile from any newspaper or any other medium

in which he, they or it might have been advertising for the sale of such automobile prior to actual sale.

Section 15. It shall be unlawful for any used automobile dealer to sell any used automobile or motor vehicle from any place other than the fixed and established place of business, for which the permit has been granted to him, them or it, by the Chief of Police, to conduct the business of selling used automobiles or motor vehicles—except that such sales may be made by a licensed used automobile dealer from the registered place of business of any used automobile dealer holding a permit hereunder.

Section 16. Every used automobile dealer, person, firm or corporation who, or which, engages in, conducts, manages or carries on the business of used automobile dealer within the City and County of San Francisco, who, or which, does not first receive a permit, as herein provided, from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, and who, or which, does not have a fixed and determined place of business for the conduct of such business of used automobile dealer, at which place of business, he, they or it, display the vehicles offered for sale, or who violates any other provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, or if a corporation, be punished by a fine of not to exceed one thousand ($1,000) dollars.

Section 17. That any and all ordinances, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed; but only such parts of said ordinances as may be in conflict herewith.

Section 18. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Providing for the Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes to Be Issued Pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1076, as follows:

Providing for the sale of Tax Anticipation Notes to be issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332.

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0332 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco authorizes the issuance of certain tax anticipation notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1933, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said

City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County and the Treasurer thereof be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid tax anticipation notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, a notice of the sale of said tax anticipation notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 23rd day of October, 1933, and that said tax anticipation notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Releasing the Surety on the Faithful Performance Bond of Dr. Thomas E. Shumate, Police Commissioner.

(Code No. 4.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1077, as follows:

Whereas, on the 9th day of January, 1930, National Surety Company executed and delivered to the City and County of San Francisco a bond in the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars, duly conditioned for the faithful performance by Thomas E. Shumate of the duties of the office of Police Commissioner of said City and County; and

Whereas, by reason of the adoption of a new Charter of the City and County, effective on the 8th day of January, 1932, the term of office of said Thomas E. Shumate as such Police Commissioner ceased and determined on said last mentioned date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that all liability of the surety under the aforesaid bond cease and determine as of said 8th day of January, 1932, except such liability as may have attached prior thereto, and that the said surety is hereby released from all liability under said bond subsequent to said last mentioned date.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Changing and Reestablishing the Official Grades on Laidley Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 450, Ordinance No. 12.072212, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Laidley street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 17th day of July, 1933, by Resolution No. 907 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Laidley street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Laidley Street.

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 295.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 297.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 13.85 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

23 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 11.52 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.50 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.29 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.79 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 306.70 feet.

Westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 307.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

23 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 37.94 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.69 feet.

Easterly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 304.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance From State of California Land in Lewis Street to Be Granted to United States Government Pursuant to Resolution No. 34579 (New Series).

(Code No. 12.1719)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1069, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts from the State of California certain land in Lewis street, San Francisco, more particularly described in Chapter 805, 1933 Statutes of California, which land together with certain other real property is to be granted by the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, pursuant to Resolution No. 34579 (New Series), adopted by this Board on June 22, 1931.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Approving Routing of State Highways in San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Joint Streets and Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1067, as follows:

Whereas, under and by virtue of Chapter 767 of the Statutes of 1933, the Department of Public Works of the State of California is authorized to expend annually from the State Highway Fund an amount equal to one-quarter of one cent per gallon tax on motor vehicle fuel, after the proportionate payments therefrom into the "Fuel Tax Enforcement Fund" and after the proportionate refunds shall have been made, within the incorporated cities and freeholder charter cities, and any city and county in the State of California, for the purposes specified in said chapter, and in the manner provided by law; and

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of said Chapter 767, and in accordance with the provisions of sections 363r and 363y of the Political Code (Chapter 326 of the Statutes of 1933), the Department of Public Works, acting by and through the California Highway Commission, has designated as State highways the following described streets in the City and County of San Francisco:

Route 55. Within San Francisco (4.0 miles)—Beginning at the southerly city limits of San Francisco on the Skyline boulevard, thence northerly over the Skyline boulevard, to the junction of Sloat boulevard, thence easterly on Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue (Junction Route 56), a distance of 4.0 miles, more or less, within the corporate limits of San Francisco;

Route 56. Within San Francisco (7.0 miles)—Beginning at the southerly city limits of San Francisco on Junipera Serra boulevard, thence northerly over Junipera Serra boulevard to Nineteenth avenue extension, thence northerly over Nineteenth avnue extension to Nineteenth avenue, thence northerly over Nineteenth avenue to Lincoln way, thence across Golden Gate Park to Funston avenue at Fulton street, thence northerly over Funston avenue through the Presidio to

the Golden Gate bridge, a distance of 7 miles, more or less, within the corporate limits of San Francisco;

Route 2. Within San Francisco (4.78 miles)—Beginning at the southerly city limits of San Francisco on San Jose avenue, thence northeasterly over San Jose avenue about 0.25 mile to the junction of Alemany boulevard. Beginning again at the junction of Alemany boulevard with Junipera Serra boulevard (Route 56), thence northeasterly over Alemany boulevard about 4.53 miles to junction of the Bay Shore boulevard (Route 68), a total distance of 4.78 miles, more or less, within the corporate limits of San Francisco;

Route 68. Within San Francisco (8.79 miles)—Beginning at the southerly city limits of San Francisco, on the Bay Shore boulevard, thence northerly over Bay Shore boulevard to Potrero avenue, thence northerly over Potrero avenue to Tenth street, thence northerly over Tenth street to Market street, thence across Market street to Fell street, thence west on Fell street to Van Ness avenue, thence north on Van Ness avenue to Lombard street, thence west on Lombard street to Lyon street, thence north on Lyon street to Bay street, a distance of 8.79 miles, more or less, within the corporate limits of San Francisco;

Bay Bridge Connection. Within San Francisco (0.90 mile)—Beginning at the junction of Tenth and Bryant streets (Route 68), thence northeasterly over Bryant street to Fifth street, thence northwesterly over Fifth street to Perry street, thence northeasterly on Perry street to the proposed San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridge connection, a distance of 0.90 mile, more or less, within the corporate limits of San Francisco;

which said streets are existing travelable streets in the City and County of San Francisco, and approximately on the route of the authorized State highways; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works and the California Highway Commission have requested the City and County of San Francisco to approve the routing hereinbefore described and to agree to resume jurisdiction over said city streets, or portions thereof, as may not be included in the State highways, when the same are laid out, adopted and constructed; therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, acting on behalf of said City and County, approve, and it does hereby approve, the routing of said State highways; that upon the relinquishment of said streets, or any portion thereof, to the City and County of San Francisco, in the manner provided by law, said City and County agree, and does hereby agree, to resume jurisdiction over said streets, or portion thereof, so relinquished.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Protest of The San Francisco Real Estate Board and San Francisco Building Owners and Managers Association Against Certain Bond Issues.

The following copy of a letter to the State Advisory Board was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., October 5, 1933.

Honorable State Advisory Board, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Los Angeles, California.

Gentlemen: The undersigned, SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD and SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING OWNERS AND MAN-

AGERS ASSOCIATION, after consideration of the thirteen proposed public works projects submitted by the City and County of San Francisco, aggregating $34,941,000, wish to urge upon your Honorable Board your disapproval of the following:

New Hall of Justice	$2,900,000
Streets and Boulevards	2,100,000
Livestock Exposition	1,250,000
Yacht Harbor completion	815,000
Aquatic Park completion	700,000
Central Warehouse and Garage	325,000
Municipal Railway extension	63,000
Total	$8,153,000

Separate protest has been made to your Honorable Board by the undersigned organizations, in conjunction with others, against the proposed *Transmission and Distribution system, $6,308,000.*

The basis for our protest against Federal approval of the above listed projects is that such approval will involve local bond issues totaling $8,153,000 and an annual charge for bond interest and redemption in the early years of over $700,000, giving an increase of about nine cents in the City's annual tax rate.

The balance of San Francisco's proposed projects, aggregating $15,595,000 of self-liquidating projects, and $4,885,000 of other projects, will, if approved, involve a further increase in the tax rate. In view of the heavy commitments involved therein and the large and growing tax burden for existing bonded debt, it is our belief that the additional debt charges involved in the above listed projects will constitute a burden beyond the capacity of San Francisco taxpayers.

Respectfully submitted,

SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD,

(Signed) By W. P. LAUFENBERG, Vice-President.

SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSN.,

(Signed) By GEORGE STIMMEL, President.

Report of Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee on Survey Committee Recommendation.

The following was read by the Clerk:

October 12, 1933.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Attention: J. S. Dunnigan, Esq., Clerk.

Gentlemen: The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee has received a letter, dated October 10, 1933, and signed by J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of your Board. The letter reads as follows:

"On motion of Supervisor Havenner your attention is again called to the letter of September 26th, copy attached; also extract from Journal of Proceedings.

"Supervisor Havenner wishes an immediate reply to this query."

In writing the above letter, the fact that, on September 29th, your letter of September 26th was answered by me, as Chairman of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, appears to have been overlooked. A copy of my letter of September 29th is therefore attached hereto.

The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, at its meeting held on October 11, 1933, directed me to make the following further report on the subject of your inquiry:

At the meeting of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, held on October 4, 1933, the first report of the special sub-committee appointed to consider the recommendations contained in the report of the Survey Committee was presented to the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee

and approved and adopted. The report so adopted is set forth in the minutes of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as shown in the following extract from the minutes:

"Reporting for the special sub-committee 'to consider the recommendations contained in the report of the Survey Committee,' Mr. W. P. Fuller, Jr., chairman, stated he had communicated with Dr. Lucas relative to additional supporting data not included in the report, and the doctor had informed him the secretary of the Survey Committee would turn over to the sub-committee such data as they had. This had not yet been received, but probably would be to hand a little later. The sub-committee had reached two conclusions:

"(1) The report of the Survey Committee was of major importance and demands full consideration; and

"(2) The recommendation contained in the report relative to cash relief should be considered first. This recommendation is to be found on page 45 of the report, and is as follows:

" 'After careful detailed study of the present situation in San Francisco and from detailed information obtained within the past few weeks directly from the administrators of relief in twenty of the largest cities of the country, the Survey Committee recommends the establishment of a uniform system of cash allowance for food relief for all persons on emergency aid in San Francisco; with milk delivered to families which include children.'

Of the twenty cities mentioned, fourteen give no cash at all; two, negligible amounts; and four are giving cash relief in varying amounts, although (with one possible exception) none in as large percentage as San Francisco is and has been giving. Mr. Fuller called attention to the statement of the Milwaukee manager as quoted in the report, page 105:

" 'If Milwaukee County had enough money to do an ideal job, we probably would put most of our families on a cash basis.'

"Mr. Fuller stated that the sub-committee does not suggest that the recommendation of the Survey Committee is wrong. The sub-committee is entirely sympathetic to the principle of cash relief. However, it has been commonly believed over the country that cash costs more. That is the reason why the same authorities who recommend cash are, generally speaking, using it either not at all or in quite limited degree. The decision is the responsibility of the Relief Committee and the sub-committee feels that, before reaching a decision, the Committee should take all practicable steps to determine whether a cash system will increase the total cost and, if so, how much.

"Mr. Fuller further stated that the sub-committee faced the contradiction between the recommendation of the Survey Committee, which recommended full cash relief with right of full selection, and the County Medical Society, whose special sub-committee had recommended the continuation of the standard box only, for all families on relief, thereby taking out of the program the entire right of selection.

"Mr. Kaplan, Director of Family Relief, recommended an experiment be made as follows:

" 'In order to provide a factual basis for decision as to the advisability of changing our present form of relief distribution to a cash system, I recommend that a limited experiment be made in the application of cash relief according to the following plan:

" '1. That for the purpose of the demonstration two areas of the city be chosen, representing a fair cross-section of the population, both in character and as regards number of families involved—approximately 10 per cent of the total family relief group would constitute a fair sample.

" '2. That prior to the undertaking the staff of the district offices to be charged with the immediate administration of the experiment be organized for its effective conduct. This could be done within a month.

" '3. That the cash allowance be computed so as not to exceed 5

per cent over and above all present tangible costs, conservatively estimated, of operating the commissary system. Such present costs would include the grocery ration, meat and bread check, some allowance for wastage, the overhead of the commissary, time of investigating and clerical personnel in the district offices now occupied with grocery distribution problems.

" '4. That before the experiment be put into effect the retail cost of the present standard box be determined by pricing in neighborhood stores.

" '5. That a dietitian be engaged to aid in the specialized instruction and supervision of those families placed on a cash basis.

" '6. That during the conduct of the experiment there be special supervision and study to answer the various vital questions which have been raised with regard to the relative merits of the two forms of relief distribution.'

"Mr. Fuller explained the recommendation of Mr. Kaplan had been approved by the Director and had the approval of the sub-committee."

A portion of the foregoing report of the special sub-committee has been underlined in this letter in order to correct a misapprehension, which appears to be quite general, regarding the past practice of San Francisco as compared with the twenty other cities mentioned in the matter of giving cash relief in whole or in part.

Further action on the recommendations of the Survey Committee was taken at the last meeting of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee held on October 11, 1933. At that meeting the special sub-committee presented its second report, reading as follows:

"SECOND REPORT OF SUB-COMMITTEE ON SURVEY REPORT.

"October 11, 1933.

"On October 4 the sub-committee submitted recommendations in respect of cash relief which, in the opinion of the sub-committee, is the most important change suggested by the Survey Committee.

"The sub-committee decided that the next subject to be considered was the 'Administrative Set-Up' as outlined by the Survey Report, pages 30 to 38. It would seem advisable to reach definite decisions on the Administrative Set-Up before acting in detail on the scores of miscellaneous recommendations contained in the Survey Report.

"In respect of Administrative Set-Up the sub-committee finds a host of valuable suggestions in the report and fully agrees with the fundamental idea of the Survey Committee that the relief organization should be expanded and strengthened through the enlistment of additional advisory and executive personnel both paid and volunteer. The purpose of the sub-committee's recommendations, as set forth below, is to carry out this fundamental objective.

"We recommend:

"1. That the standing sub-committees of the Emergency Relief Committee be discontinued;

"2. That in their place a series of voluntary advisory committees be created, each committee to deal with a particular field of the relief problem, such as ways and means, medical and health, food, public relations, etc.;

"3. That the advisory committees be kept small and be composed almost exclusively of professional experts in the different fields;

"4. That the best qualified available persons be selected as chairmen of the advisory committees;

"5. That the Emergency Relief Committee assign one member to represent it on each advisory committee;

"6. That the advisory committees shall consider all problems falling within their respective fields and shall present their findings and recommendations to the Emergency Relief Committee;

"7. That the chairmen of the advisory relief committes shall attend meetings of the Emergency Relief Committee;

"8. That each advisory committee be assigned a secretary from the paid staff of the organization, it being understood that one secretary would serve more than one Advisory Committee;

"9. That, if these general recommendations are approved, the committee proceed at once to work out details of function and personnel, and actually to install the advisory committees; and that, to facilitate the formation and installation, a paid secretary be temporarily assigned for this purpose and no other;

"10. That the first business of each advisory committee shall be the consideration of those recommendations of the Survey Committee which fall within its field;

"11. That the executive staff be expanded and strengthened by the addition of certain department heads or assistant executives; and that the Director of Relief, having regard for the recommendations of the Survey Committee, present to the Emergency Relief Committee his detailed recommendations.

"This report is not complete in that, even if adopted as written, certain Survey recommendaitons, in addition to those to be referred to advisory committees, will remain for consideration. A later report will be submitted.

"It is to be noted that a number of Survey recommendations, including decentralizing into districts and the formation of a complaint board, are already in effect.

"In so far as the organizational recommendations of the Survey Committee and the sub-committee differ, the reason is as follows: The sub-committee believes that the Administrative Set-Up described in the Survey Report, while ideal in theory, will not work because, week in and week out, it calls for more time from a volunteer committee than in actual practice any volunteer committee will be able to give."

This report, also, was approved and adopted by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The necessary steps for putting the recommendations into effect will be taken as soon as practicable.

The special sub-committee is continuing its study and will make further reports on other recommendations of the Survey Committee with all possible promptness.

Very truly yours,

M. C. SLOSS,
Chairman Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

September 29, 1933.

J. S. Dunnigan, Esq., Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir: I am directed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to acknowledge receipt of your letter of September 26, 1933, and to advise you that the report of the Survey Committee on unemployment relief administration reached the members of the Committee only a few days ago.

At the last meeting of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee the chairman was authorized to appoint a special sub-committee to examine the recommendations of the Survey Committee and to report thereon to the general committee.

I have, as chairman, appointed a sub-committee of five members and the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee will take action on the recommendations of the Survey Committee at the earliest time practicable.

Yours very truly,

M. C. SLOSS,
Chairman Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

American Association of Social Workers
Northern California Chapter.
995 Market Street, Room 1219, San Francisco.

October 10, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The executive committee of the American Association of Social Workers, Northern California Chapter, wishes to express to you its appreciation of the Survey of Unemployment Relief Administration that you have recently published. We are heartily in accord with the scientific spirit that initiated the survey and we congratulate you on having chosen such able committee members and research workers. The report indicates that the study was carried on in an admirable spirit of fairness and that the recommendations are based on a thorough knowledge of the facts which have been analyzed by persons of broad experience and sound judgment.

Sincerely yours,

THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORKERS, NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER.

By SOPHIE HARDY, Chairman.

Hunger March Provisional Committee
United Front Conference

San Francisco, Cal., October 9, 1933.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

Whereas, you members of the Board of Supervisors by a vote of eleven to two recommended cash relief for the jobless of San Francisco County.

Whereas, the Citizens' Advisory Committee decided to experiment and give cash to only 10 per cent of the jobless, with no provisions for rent, gas, light and water.

Whereas, the Charity Workers' Protective Union and Unemployed Councils have for years been demanding and fighting for cash relief.

Resolved, That we delegates, representing many labor and fraternal organizations, in a United Front Conference at Carpenters' Hall, protest the action of the Citizens' Advisory Committee and demand that said Committee be instructed to carry out the recommendations of the Supervisors and demands of the unemployed and small business men for cash relief for all of the jobless.

HUNGER MARCH PROVISIONAL COMMITTEE,
UNITED FRONT CONFERENCE,

P. J. GARRISON, Secretary.

Supervisor Peyser asked for the City Attorney's opinion as to just what is the jurisdiction or power of the Board of Supervisors over the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

City Attorney O'Toole stated that it was his opinion, in so far as State and local relief is concerned, that the Board of Supervisors has power to make any recommendation or direction; with respect to Federal aid he said there is some question.

Cash or Scrip Relief in Lieu of Groceries.

(Code No. 19.071)

Thereupon, Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1079, as follows:

Whereas, the Committee heretofore appointed at the request of this Board to advise this Board as to the present method for the distribution of relief in the City and County has reported in favor of cash or scrip relief, as against relief in the form of supplies; and

Whereas, we are financing relief by Federal, State and municipal funds, the distribution of the first-mentioned funds being under the jurisdiction of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors that relief should be distributed to all those in need in San Francisco, by either cash or scrip, the latter to be redeemable at local stores, the amount of said relief to be within the discretion of the said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Supervisor Colman objected to consideration of the foregoing at this time and insisted that rules required its reference to Committee.

Point of order well taken and resolution *referred to Finance Committee.*

Thereupon, the members of the Finance Committee conferred and reported unanimously in favor of its adoption.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. William Palmer Lucas, chairman of the Survey Committee which originally recommended cash relief, made a last-minute attempt to forestall the order, but without avail.

"The Committee is as anxious to start cash relief as you are," he said, "but they can't install it overnight. It would upset a great deal of the relief mechanism to attempt it. Let them go at it slowly."

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Protest Against Use of Alcatraz Island as a Federal Prison.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1081, as follows:

Whereas, the Federal Government proposes to change the disciplinary barracks of the United States Army, located at Alcatraz island, to a Federal prison for hardened criminals; and

Whereas, Alcatraz island itself would be inadequate because of its small area to provide outdoor work for these men; and

Whereas, the location of said criminals in our beautiful Bay would attract their associates to the neighboring cities and towns; and

Whereas, such new population would contaminate our communities and necessitate increased police forces, as well as lowering our present high standing for law and order among the States in the Union; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors protest to the Federal Government regarding the change.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Havenner requested that a copy of the foregoing resolution be sent to the United States Attorney-General.

In Memoriam: Daniel J. O'Brien

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1085, as follows:

Whereas, after many years of faithful, strenuous public endeavor as an official of this City and State, our beloved friend, Daniel J. O'Brien, former Chief of Police of San Francisco and Director of the State Department of Penology, has been called by the Almighty Father to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, we all knew and loved "Dan" O'Brien for his outstanding honesty, fidelity and truth—a man among men, a faithful, conscientious, devoted citizen and father who endeared himself to all; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board in adjourning today does so out of respect to his memory, and expresses to his bereaved family and relatives its deepest sympathy and commiseration at his untimely passing; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased, and that the Clerk of this Board be directed to convey its sincere sorrow to the bereaved wife and family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Mrs. Margaret A. Bryant.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1084, as follows:

Whereas, death has called from this life Mrs. Margaret A. Bryant, beloved wife of our fellow official, Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its deepest sympathy to the family of Edward F. Bryant in his hour of sorrow, and that a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of the Board, and that a committee be appointed by the President to represent the Board of Supervisors at the funeral services.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Amendment to "Prevailing Wage" Ordinance, Conforming to N. R. A. Code.

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1083, as follows:

Whereas, this Board has heretofore by Resolution No. 561 and No. 574 fixed the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be paid to various craftsmen and employees who are employed by or who render service to the various contractors performing contracts for the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, certain codes regulating the wages of said employees have been adopted under and by virtue of the act of Congress of the United States commonly known as the National Industrial Recovery Act, and under and by virtue of authority of an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act to authorize the adoption and approval of State codes of fair competition for certain trades, industries or subdivisions thereof, etc.," approved August 4, 1933, and also under and by virtue of the act of the Legislature of the State of California commonly known as the California Industrial Recovery Act, which codes fix the minimum wage to be paid to the persons belonging to said trades and industries and the maximum number of hours that each of said persons shall work during each day or week; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco should comply to the fullest extent with the codes adopted under and by virtue of authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act and with the said acts of the Legislature of the State of California above mentioned in the payment of wages and compensation to persons employed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Resolutions No. 561 and No. 574 hereinbefore mentioned be and they are hereby modified so that the highest general prevailing rate of wages, as specified in said resolution, shall in all cases comply with the rate of wages fixed by any code adopted in conformity with the National Industrial Recovery Act or in conformity with the aforesaid acts of the Legislature of the State of California; and be it further

Resolved, That all departments entering into contracts for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco shall specify in said contracts as the highest general prevailing rate of wage to be paid to persons employed on said contracts the wage specified in any code adopted as aforesaid unless the wage specified in the aforesaid resolutions is higher than the wage specified or fixed by said codes, and further, that all of said contracts shall provide that they will be executed under and in compliance with the provisions of said codes.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. La Forrest, Gerhardt and Martin, representing the crafts affected, were heard urging action at this time on the Bernal Heights boulevard job.

Whereupon the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Finance Committee* and the following motion was *adopted.*

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Director of Public Works be advised that the Board of Supervisors is considering a general modification of Resolutions Nos. 561 and 574, fixing the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be paid to craftsmen and employees employed or rendering service to various contractors performing contracts for the City and County, which would require all departments entering into contracts for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to specify in said contracts as the highest prevailing rate of wages to be paid to persons employed on any such contracts, the rate specified in any code adopted under the provisions of the N. R. A., unless the wage specified in the aforesaid resolution is higher than the wage specified or fixed by said code, and that the Board of Supervisors requests that these provisions be carried out in connection with the contract to be let for the improvement of Bernal Heights.

Adolph Uhl Criticizes N. R. A. Bond Report.

Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor McSheehy and called attention to what he called errors in the report of Edward J. Cahill, Manager of the Public Utilities Commission, on Project No. 3 of the N. R. A. program. He declared that there was no allowance in the estimate of cost for depreciation, that the other figures were based on assumptions that the bonds would sell at 4½ or 5 per cent, which is not probable.

Supervisor Peyser moved, with reference to statement of Adolph Uhl that depreciation was not considered in the report of the Public Utilities Commission in connection with the proposed issue of Water Bonds, that before statement is issued to the voters the Public Utilities Com-

mission be requested to check its figures and estimates since report was first made.

Motion *carried.*

Edward J. Cahill, Manager of the Public Utilities Commission, was sent for and replied to Mr. Uhl, explaining how his report was compiled and denying that sufficient allowance had not been made to cover all contingencies.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon endeavored to question Mr. Uhl on his position as to the distribution of municipal power in San Francisco at this time. After an answer that was unsatisfactory to Supervisor Shannon, Mr. Uhl refused to answer further questioning and left the chambers.

President Hayden directed Police Officer Thos. Gorman to go after Mr. Uhl and request him to return and hear the explanation of the Board of Supervisors and to answer the questions of Supervisor Shannon.

Officer Gorman returned and informed the Sergeant-at-Arms and the President that Mr. Uhl had refused to return and listen to the answer to his complaint or to answer questions.

President Hayden thereupon declared that Mr. Uhl had "run out" and Supervisor Shannon gave notice that hereafter he would refuse the privilege of the floor to Mr. Uhl.

Geo. F. Baker, representing Knights of Civilization, was also heard in opposition to N. R. A. bonds projects and refused further hearing.

Reception to Senator Marconi.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1080, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a citizens' committee to prepare a fitting welcome for the arrival of the world-renowned scientist and wireless genius, Senator Guglielmo Marconi.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Assessment Reduction in Sunset District.

Mr. Lee, representing Sunset District property owners, was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, and called attention to a petition filed by himself and 500 other property owners for a reduction of assessments and was advised by the Clerk that the matter was referred to the Assessor.

Improvement of Spreckels' Lake, Golden Gate Park, for Model Yacht Activities.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from San Francisco Model Yacht Club, requesting that changes be made in Spreckels' Lake, Golden Gate Park, for the accommodation of patrons of model yacht racing.

Referred to the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon the Board, at 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 23, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 45

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, October 23, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 23, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Supervisors Brown and Miles appeared at 3 p. m. and were noted present.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 9 and 16, 1933, were considered read and approved.

RECEIPT OF BIDS—3 P. M.

Notice of Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

October 17, 1933.

Notice is hereby given that sealed bids for the purchase of tax anticipation notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0332, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 23, 1933, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The tax anticipation notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars in denominations of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars each to bear date of October 23, 1933, and payable to bearer on December 20, 1933, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0332 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the first half of said fiscal year and shall be payable from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest

and if two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1076 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted October 16, 1933.

Bid.

A bid from the Anglo California National Bank et al., for the foregoing was presented, opened, read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Subsequently during the meeting the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Accepting Bid of The Anglo California National Bank et al. for $1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1095, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0332, that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to 3 o'clock p. m., October 23, 1933; and

Whereas, one bid was received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of the Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co., as follows be and is hereby accepted:

For $1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery.

The Tax Anticipation Notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

$1,500,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated October 23, 1933, maturing December 20, 1933, of the denomination of $10,000 each and bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum, payable at maturity.

The above bid is made subject to our being furnished free of charge with the satisfactory opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, approving the legality of the above notes in all respects.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Stanton—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deeds for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1086, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Walter G. Armstrong and Elmer A. Armstrong to Lot 10, Assessor's Block 5627, San Francisco, required for the opening of the Bernal Heights Boulevard; and that the sum of $100 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads.

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1087, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard; and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

George W. Caswell (Lots 7 and 8 in Assessor's Block 5621).....$200
Lester Trauner (Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 5549)..... 100
William Boyle (Lots 19 and 20 in Assessor's Block 5614)..... 200

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1088, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard; and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

John E. Carne (Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 5624, and Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 5629) $300
Emilia Zambruno and Chas. F. Zambruno (Lot 22 in Assessor's Block 5549) 300

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Acceptance of Deeds for Properties Required for Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove and Payments Out of Funds of Recreation Department.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 1089, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to Parcels 4 and 5 of the Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove lands, San Francisco, California, which parcels are more particularly described in that certain written agreement dated October 1, 1931, between the former Playground Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco and Boulevard Realty Company, and that the sum of $13,333, together with a sum not to exceed $1,155.52 for interest, all as per said agreement, be paid for said parcels out of Appropriation No. 36-6.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Acceptance of Deed to Property in Bay View District and Payment for Same Out of Recreation Department Fund.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 1090, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Bay View Land Co. to Lots 1 to 9, both inclusive, in Block 4975, and Lot 1 in Block 4963, and all that portion of Lot 1 in Block 4945A, lying northwest of the northwesterly line of Fitch street produced southwesterly, as per the current block books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the sum of $7,500 be paid for said property out of Appropriation No. 36-6.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Director of Property to Acquire Property From Market Street Railway Company or Obtain Permission to Construct Crossing Over Right of Way at Twenty-sixth Avenue and Sloat Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1091, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized and requested to acquire the necessary property from the Market Street Railway Company or obtain permission therefrom to provide for and construct a crossing over its right of way at Sloat boulevard and Twenty-sixth avenue between the north and south roadways.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Approval of Map Showing Widening of Portola Drive.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 1092, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the widening of Portola drive from a line 120 feet, more or less, easterly from Stanford Heights avenue to the easterly line of San Miguel Rancho," approved by the Department of Public Works Resolution No. 3192, approved October 13, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and parcels num-

bered 1 to 9, inclusive, shown thereon to be an open public street to be known as Portola drive.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Extension of Time, Sixty Days, to E. J. Treacy to Complete Improvement of Nineteenth Street Between Yukon Street and Caselli Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 1093, as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after October 10, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Yukon street and Caselli avenue, under public contract.

This extension is necessary pending examination and acceptance of the work.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Spur Track Permit, General Brewing Corporation, Carroll Avenue and Mendell Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 451, Ordinance No. 12.2012, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and Mendell street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Carroll avenue and Mendell street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue, said point being approximately 7 feet from the southerly line thereof and approximately 116 feet easterly from the easterly line of Mendell street produced southerly, thence in a northwesterly direction and crossing portion of Carroll avenue, private property, and Mendell street to a point in the westerly line of Mendell street approximately 43 feet northerly from the northerly line of Carroll avenue, thence into private property.

Provided that girder rail be installed between the property lines of the street, when it is paved; that the existing sewer on Mendell street be reinforced, if necessary; that all drains intercepted by the track be taken care of by the General Brewing Corporation; that proper clearances be observed, and that the existing pavements be restored.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and

that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the General Brewing Corporation.

Provided that the General Brewing Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where and when directed by the Public Utilities Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

Leave of Absence, Sylvain J. Lazarus, Judge Municipal Court.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 18, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Sylvain J. Lazarus, Judge of the Municipal Court, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing November 10, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 1094, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Sylvain J. Lazarus, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 10, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Miles—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Suggesting Consideration of Cash or Scrip Relief Program.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1098, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did by Resolution No. 1079, adopted on October 16, 1933, resolve "that it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors that relief should be distributed to all of those in need in San Francisco by either cash or scrip, the latter to be redeemable at local stores, the amount of said relief to be within the discretion of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee;" and

Whereas, the purpose of said resolution has apparently been misunderstood by many persons; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the aforesaid resolution of the Board of Supervisors did not take from the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee any au-

thority heretofore granted to said committee either by ordinance or resolution of this Board of Supervisors, and that the purpose of said resolution was that said committee might give due consideration to the requests of many of the citizens of San Francisco, that said relief be given either in cash or scrip, and we hereby reaffirm the provisions of Resolution No. 949, copy of which is herewith appended, and we again respectfully suggest consideration of the cash or scrip relief program.

Authorizing and Requesting the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Supervise the Expenditure of Funds Made Available for Unemployment Relief by the City and County, the State and the Federal Government.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 949, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to recommendation by R. C. Branion, Administrator State Emergency Relief Commission, his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi, is authorized and requested to appoint the following named persons: Judge M. C. Sloss, Russell Carpenter, John O'Connell, W. P. Fuller, Maj. Chas. Kendrick, Fred Belgrano, Mrs. Earl Treadwell, Mrs. Paul Springer, Harry Milton, James B. Smith, Colbert Caldwell, as the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee of San Francisco, to supervise the expenditure of funds made available for unemployment relief by the City and County, the State and the Federal government.

The persons named were heretofore appointed by the Mayor as a Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 7, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 7, 1933.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Supervisor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 23, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Jesse Colman, Supervisor, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing October 25th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1096, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Supervisor, is hereby granted a

leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing October 25, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Board of Supervisors Endorses Home and Home Game Between University of Southern California and Saint Mary's College.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1097, as follows:

Whereas, press reports advise that the University of Southern California intends to drop Saint Mary's College from its football schedule for the season of 1934; and

Whereas, the Gael-Trojan contest is one of the most important football classics on the Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, a schedule providing for a Home and Home Game with the University of Southern California and Saint Mary's College would mean that San Francisco would be the scene of this spectacular contest every two years; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby urge the graduate manager of the University of Southern California and the graduate manager of Saint Mary's College to exert every effort in the preparation of their respective football schedules in order that a Home and Home Game between the two schools might become a reality; and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be sent to the graduate managers of each school and to the San Francisco and Los Angeles Chambers of Commerce.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—15.

Referred.

The following bill was presented to the Board of Supervisors and *referred* to the Finance Committee:

Amendment to Public Works Procedure Ordinance, Re N. R. A. Wage Code.

(Code No. 9.087)

Bill No. 453, Ordinance No. 9.0872, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0871 "Establishing the Procedure for and Regulating the Entering into Contracts for the Construction, Reconstruction or Repair of Public Buildings, Streets, Utilities or Other Public Work or Improvement to Be Performed at the Expense of the City and County, or to Be Paid out of Moneys Deposited in the Treasury or Out of Trust Moneys Under the Control of or to Be Collected by the City and County, and Repealing Ordinance No. 9139 (New Series) in Effect January 6, 1932," by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 15, providing that in all contracts entered into between the City and County of San Francisco or any officer, board, commission or department thereof for the construction of any public improvement or improvements of any public work, the provisions of any code adopted under and pursuant to the authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or under authority of the California Industrial Recovery Act, or of any act supplemental thereto, be made a condition in said contract.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0871, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following section to be known as Section 15 thereof:

Section 15. (a) That notwithstanding any condition or provision contained in this ordinance, or in any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, all contracts hereafter entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department, officer, board or commission, for and on behalf of said City and County, for the construction of any public improvement, or for the performance of any public work, shall contain a provision to the effect that all work to be performed under said contract and the compensation to be paid to persons employed in the performance of labor under said contract, and the hours during each day during which said labor shall be performed or services shall be rendered, shall be subject to the provisions of any code adopted or enacted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto. That said contracts shall further contain a provision to the effect that in the performance of said contract and in the hiring and paying for labor or services used in and about the performance of said contract, the contractor will abide by all the terms and conditions of any code heretofore or hereafter adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, or whichever of said acts shall prevail one over the other. Provided, however, that in all cases where the maximum prevailing rate of wage or hours of labor for persons performing labor or rendering services on said contract as heretofore or hereafter fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors shall be higher than the rate of wage fixed or provided by any code adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, then, in that event, the said general prevailing rate of wage as fixed by said Board of Supervisors shall prevail over any rate of wage fixed by any code so adopted.

(b) That any and all penalties heretofore fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors for the violation of any ordinance or resolution providing for the payment of the highest general prevailing rate of wage for persons performing labor or rendering service under any contract hereafter entered into shall apply to the violation of any provisions of this ordinance.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by Supervisor Miles and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $651,960 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 452, Ordinance No. 9.05195, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $651,960 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to October 31, 1933, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of six hundred and fifty-one thousand nine hundred and sixty ($651,960) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to October 31, 1933.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such state, federal and municipal funds as may be made available in the Treasury of the

City and County of San Francisco and are for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance passed does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Miles, Peyser—3.

In Memoriam: William A. Newsom.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1101, as follows:

Whereas, the death of William A. Newsom, former Commissioner of Public Works of this City and County, saddened the hearts of his many friends; and

Whereas, Mr. Newsom, a leading bank official and distinguished citizen of our City, was most active in civic affairs throughout his life; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors laments the loss of former Commissioner Newsom and shares with sympathy the bereavement of his family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: George L. Suhr.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1102, as follows:

Whereas, George L. Suhr, prominent mortician in this City and County, and brother of former Supervisor Fred Suhr, has been called to his Eternal Rest; and

Whereas, the late George L. Suhr was active in the fraternal and civic organizations of this City for many years past, and took an outstanding part in the political life of the City that he loved; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors deeply regrets the passing of George L. Suhr, and does hereby extend heartfelt sympathy to his family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Cornelius J. Deasy.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1099, as follows:

Whereas, the sudden passing of former Supervisor Cornelius J. Deasy shocked his friends and former colleagues of the Board of Supervisors, as well as his many friends throughout this City; and

Whereas, Cornelius J. Deasy served the City and County of San Francisco faithfully and well as a Supervisor for eighteen years, during which time he proudly espoused the cause of municipal ownership and efficiency in government; and

Whereas, the jovial spirit and happy heart ever present in Supervisor Deasy will long remain a cherished memory to his host of friends who loved and admired him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors with heavy heart deeply regrets the passing of their friend and colleague, Supervisor Cornelius J. Deasy, and to his family it expresses profound sympathy.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Henry Eickhoff.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1103, as follows:

Whereas, the recent death of Henry Eickhoff terminated a long and brilliant career in civic life of an eminent Californian; and

Whereas, Henry Eickhoff served the State of California in the capacity of Prison Director since 1915, and was a leading member of the bar of this State, reflecting glory upon himself and his associates; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sorrow at the passing of Henry Eickhoff, and extends to the family of the late Mr. Eickhoff its sincere sympathy.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Results of Election of Trustees Islais Creek Reclamation District, October 17, 1933.

The Clerk announced that a statement showing the results of the election of trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District held October 17, 1933, had been received and filed with the Board on Wednesday, October 18, 1933.

Inspector of Painting.

Communication from Thos. Doyle, secretary, Building Trades Council of San Francisco, complaining of inferior paint work on public buildings due to inadequate inspection by a competent, practical painter, and requesting that provision be made for a position to be known as "Inspector of Painting."

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Letter of Thanks.

Communication from Redwood Empire Association transmitting resolutions expressing thanks and appreciation for financial support and interest in Redwood Empire Association's affairs and projects.

Read by the Clerk.

Advocating an Amendment to the State Sales Tax to Exempt Foodstuffs.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1100, as follows:

Whereas, the retail sales tax of the State of California has resulted in considerable confusion and loss of business to many merchants; and

Whereas, because of the application of the retail sales tax to foodstuffs an unjust burden is imposed upon the small wage earner and the unemployed; and

Whereas, the subjects of taxation in the State of California, if adequately levied upon, are more than sufficient to provide the needed revenue without resorting to a tax on foodstuffs; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby favor an amendment to the sales tax of the State of California exempting foodstuffs, and requests that action on such amendment be taken immediately by the Legislature in special session; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the Governor, the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the Assembly, and to each member of the Legislature from San Francisco.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Better Cleaners' Alliance.

Supervisor Peyser read a communication from the Better Cleaners' Alliance proposing the enactment of an ordinance licensing out-of-town cleaners who compete unfairly with local industry, and the Clerk was directed to advise getting in touch with the City Attorney for the drafting of the ordinance, Assistant Clerk Moran to assist at the request of Supervisor Gallagher.

Parking in Financial District.

Supervisor Peyser moved that the attention of the Mayor be called to Ordinance 11.023, providing for 20-minute parking in Financial District, and requesting that he make proper recommendation thereon that provision can be made for changing traffic signs in accordance with provisions of ordinance.

Carried.

Presentation of Jas. McCormick of Modesto.

President Hayden presented a visitor from Modesto in the person of Jas. McCormick, former San Franciscan and totally blind. He delivered an eloquent, inspiring and optimistic address, urging faith and confidence on the part of the people for our public officials, who are doing their level best at this crisis of our national life.

Complaint of Charity Relief Workers.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the following were granted the privilege of the floor, representing Charity Workers' Protective Union: Enoch Bryant, 909 McAllister street, and Vic Peterson, 2562 Pine street. They complained that a family named Owens, four in number, one a baby of three years, had been denied relief because the father had refused to produce a marriage certificate; that a committee of six charity workers had been refused admittance to relief headquarters at Moulton School to present this case to those in authority, but the committee was refused admission. They demanded food and shelter for the family, which they said were facing starvation and eviction.

The Sergeant of Police of the district informed the Chair that the statement was not correct that a hearing was not given. A committee of two were permitted to present the matter.

Whereupon, a transcript of the statement was ordered *referred to Superintendent Wollenberg.*

Motions.

In the matter of protest by representatives of members of the Charity Workers' Protective Union, Supervisor Shannon moved that in the event said organization has matters that it desires to present to the Board of Supervisors, it appoint a committee of two or three representatives, who will be privileged to present such matters to the Board in regular session on Monday afternoons.

Carried.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a relief check for 80 cents which had been reduced from $1 and was received each week as relief money by a party at 1102 Masonic avenue, Apt. 18, and requested that Superintendent Wollenberg be requested to explain the reduction.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner asked to hear from the Purchaser of Supplies. Clerk read letter from Mr. Brooks.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It would seem to me to be a proper function of the officials of this City and County to inquire at the time the bids are opened into the ability of the bidder to comply with the codes that may apply to his particular industry or activity. I had in mind particularly the bids which have been awarded with respect to the use of trucks in the City service. The statement has been made to me that it would be impossible for some of the successful bidders for the use of trucks in the City service to comply with the NRA standards. I do not know whether this is true or not. I want to have it explained to the members of the Board. Can you give us any information about that?

MR. BROOKS: No, sir. In making awards we confined ourselves to seeing that the bids were at least equivalent to the wage scale. The City Attorney advises that we have no authority to reject bids as long as the bids are equal to the amount of the wage scale.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to have the City Attorney here. If we are letting contracts for trucks with driver for a very small amount over the wage scale of the truck driver himself, we are setting up conditions making it impossible for the bidder to live up to the code of the NRA.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 30, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 46

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, October 30, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 30, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 23, 1933, was considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Changing and Reestablishing the Official Grades on Laidley Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 450, Ordinance No. 12.072212, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Laidley street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works did, on the 17th day of July, 1933, by Resolution No. 907 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Laidley street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Laidley Street.

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 295.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Fairmount street southeasterly line, 297.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 13.85 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

23 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 11.52 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 308.50 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.29 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 13.85 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 309.79 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet northerly from Miguel street, 312.00 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

23.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 33.61 feet northerly from Miguel street, 308.12 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 306.70 feet.

Westerly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 307.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

23 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 26.50 feet northwesterly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 297.47 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 26.50 feet southerly from the first angle southeasterly from Fairmount street, 298.69 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 126.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 303.00 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

23.50 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 68.65 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.58 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 37.94 feet northerly from Miguel street, 304.69 feet.

Easterly line of, at Miguel street northerly line, 304.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of $3.96—Taxes Paid on Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1104, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3.96 is hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation No. 84 (Taxes Refunded) to Twelve Hundred One Greenwich Apartments; being refund of taxes collected on an erroneous assessment. Per Uncollected Roll for 1933, Vol. 13, page 166, line 2.

(Requested by Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $400 for Purchase of Appellate and Supreme Court Reports for Superior Courts.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 454, Ordinance No. 9.05196, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $400 out of Appropriation No. 6a (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for purchase of sixteen sets of California Supreme and Appellate Court Reports for the Superior Courts of San Francisco, and credited to Appropriation No. 106.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $400 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6a (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 106, for the purchase of sixteen sets of California Supreme and Appellate Court Reports for use of the sixteen Superior Courts of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, Southwest Corner Dewey and Laguna Honda Boulevards, November 13, 1933, 3 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1105, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southwest corner of Dewey and Laguna Honda boulevards is hereby set for Monday, November 13, 1933, at 3 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Hearing of Appeal, Southeast Corner Brussels and Woolsey Streets, November 13, 1933, 2 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1107, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of Brussels street and Woolsey street, is hereby set for Monday, November 13, 1933, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Reception to His Excellency, Senator Guglielmo Marconi.

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had in Re Reception to Senator Guglielmo Marconi:

(At this point His Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi entered the chambers, escorting to the rostrum the Honorable Senator Guglielmo Marconi. Prolonged applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mayor, Your Excellency, Senator Guglielmo Marconi, and the Chief Administrative Officer: I want to say that the Board of Supervisors today have passed a resolution conferring on His Excellency an honorary citizenship of San Francisco. I would like to have the Clerk of the Board read the resolution as adopted.

Mayor Requested to Name Senator Guglielmo Marconi Honorary Citizen of San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.99)

The following resolution was thereupon read and *unanimously adopted*:

Resolution No. 1111, as follows:

Whereas, Senator Guglielmo Marconi has by his marked genius and ability made great contributions to the world of science; and

Whereas, the development of wireless telegraphy and the perfection of the radio have been the outstanding works of the distinguished Senator from Italy; and

Whereas, Senator Marconi has honored San Francisco by his keen interest and enthusiasm in the progress of this municipality; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and is hereby respectfully requested to name Senator Guglielmo Marconi an Honorary Citizen of this City and County in recognition of his genius and of the contributions he has made to the world of science.

THE PRESIDENT: For the information of his Honor the Mayor, the resolution gives the authority to his Honor the Mayor of making of Senator Marconi an honorary citizen of San Francisco.

I think the honor of making the presentation of our distinguished guest to this Board and the citizens here assembled should be given to his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi. Therefore I request that he present the distinguished visitor to this gathering. Mayor Rossi. (Applause.)

MAYOR ANGELO J. ROSSI: Mr. President, our Honored Guest, Members of the Board of Supervisors and Fellow Citizens: Just a week ago today it was my proud privilege and pleasure to welcome on

behalf of the people of San Francisco one of the most distinguished persons in all the world, Senator Guglielmo Marconi.

It is unnecessary for me to tell this audience what he has done for humanity, or the many lives that have by his genius, and his invention, been saved from the ocean, or on land, and the many homes that were accorded the pleasure and the privilege of listening to the programs over the radio throughout the world.

San Francisco is indeed highly honored by his visit, and his dear wife, the Marchesa. They have been with us for the past week, and for the last three days it was my great pleasure to accompany them to California's playground in the Yosemite Valley. I will leave it to our distinguished guest to tell you what his impressions were; but today I bring him to you in order that you may meet him, and it is with a great deal of pride, and with a great deal of pleasure, that I present to you, my fellow citizens and members of the Board of Supervisors, His Excellency, the Senator Guglielmo Marconi. (Prolonged applause.)

SENATOR GUGLIELMO MARCONI: Mr. President, Mayor Rossi, Members of the Board of Supervisors and Ladies and Gentlemen: It is a very great honor, indeed, for me to be permitted to speak here to you today, and to express my feeling of very deep appreciation and gratitude for the reception that you have given me here today; and the reception I have received from all classes of citizens of this great city. I had heard a great deal about California, and especially about San Francisco, but I must say that in reality it has exceeded my expectations.

My expectations have also been exceeded by the kindness of your Mayor and all public officials in your city. I have, as much as it has been possible in such a short time, visited parts of the city, and also under the guidance, and as a guest of your Honorable Mayor, I was able to visit that beautiful National Park, Yosemite Valley, where I had the pleasure of passing three days between a journey of going and coming, together with my wife, with Mrs. Rossi and the Mayor, and others connected with the administration of the city. I not only was able to admire the beautiful park, that beautiful district in which nature reveals itself in absolutely unspoiled fashion, but I also had the pleasure and privilege of visiting the public works which this city is constructing, the power plant, and the water supply, and the reservoirs for this city, and I was struck by their efficiency, and not only by their efficiency but by the enthusiasm of all those who worked there.

I hope that when you can, some of you will visit Italy. Every great country has something of interest to the citizens of other countries. We may have something of interest to you; you have a great many things that we can and intend to learn from you; but there is one thing that you cannot learn from anybody and that is the art, or the sense, or the quality of hospitality. You are absolute masters in that, because this sense of hospitality and courtesy to others I think comes direct from your heart.

It is a satisfaction to me as an Italian to see a man of Italian descent chief administrator of this city, and set an example of good citizenship and of service to his city and to his country; and in ending these words I want to say again how deeply I appreciate the very great honor which you have done me by conferring upon me the honorary citizenship of this great city.

It is from the bottom of my heart that I thank you, and I must repeat myself—I have said it in several other places, but I must say it here today again: I shall never forget the courtesies and kindnesses that have been extended to me and to my wife by the City of San Francisco, by its Mayor and by the citizens. Ladies and gentlemen, I thank you all very much. (Prolonged applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Needless to say that I, as the President of this Board, and the Board, are proud and honored to make you one of our citizens through his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi.

On behalf of the Board of Supervisors, and speaking for them, I am

now going to call upon Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher who will make the response for the assembled Board.

Supervisor Gallagher.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Mayor, Your Excellency, Senator Marconi, Mr. President and Fellow Members and Citizens: A few moments ago the President of the Board indicated that I might have the great honor of responding on behalf of this Board to the remarks by you, by His Excellency, the Senator. I count that a great privilege, for if ever there was a citizen of the world—if ever there was a man on whose shoulders, and around whose person, might be draped the flags of all the world, I think it is our distinguished visitor here today.

We are probably all too young, and I speak of practically every man and woman in this room, to remember with vividness the dangers, the uncertainties, the heart-breaking situation on the seas of the world, when a vessel left the waters of a home country for some country thousands of miles away. The goodbyes given in those days were goodbyes of a kind that intimated that perhaps they might never meet again, that perhaps the wildness of the seas, and the waters of the oceans of the world, might be the final farewell for those people who left on long pioneer journeys, or in commerce and trade. Then a great miracle was performed in our time, this seemingly impossible, unexpected thing, that which men believed could only come by a wave of the magic wand, if it might be called that, of Divine Providence itself, was announced to the world, and all the thoughts, all the brain and all the study of the gentleman who honors us today was required in its perfection, and humanity throughout the world gave a prayer of thanks that he who traveled on the distant and wild waters of the oceans of the world might at least through the study, and the self-sacrifice, too, of this great genius, feel a comparative safety in the future.

If that were all—he might have stopped there, because the honors that would have come to him for that alone, and the prayers and thanks of humanity would surely have been enough for any one man's lifetime, but he has not stopped there. We see now—we have seen, of course, some predictions in the centuries gone by, things we all dreamed of and felt impossible, and at one time it was stated, and stated seriously, by religious persons, that if man attempted to conquer the forces of—particularly in the air, and combat with God in those things which seemingly he had retained as mysteries of the future, that those who might attempt it might find themselves in conflict with God Himself. That was seriously stated at one time, if you will remember. Yet, what have we seen as a result of this man's brain, this man's study, and not least of all his self-sacrifice? We have seen ships flying in the air with not a man on board; we have seen them controlled from the earth in all their movements, and landed with not a human hand touching anything but electrical apparatus; we have witnessed great ships, battleships, which we all hope some day, and I am sure the Senator does—and soon perhaps—become obsolete, and out of place, so that the people of the world may meet in peace—we have watched great battleships of a tonnage heretofore unthinkable, maneuvered from the shores without a soul on board; we have heard the voice of His Excellency, accompanied by that of the Pope, over thousands and thousands of miles of space; we sit in comfort in our homes and we listen to the voices of rulers of the earth, and men of prominence everywhere, as far as Russia—further beyond, indeed, in comparative comfort, and I am sure that not an hour goes by but what someone must direct up a prayer of thanks that God was good enough to give this man to the human race, so that all of the blessings and the benefits of his study, and his genius—and also that of his colleagues, of course, but he particularly, may have come to us within our time. Even now he is not finished. Today, I think he would tell you, if he cared to go into detail, now comes the great mystery of television. Probably not ready now, but as he predicted the other night in his remarks, now will come the great solving of the mystery of television, and we shall see each other through the air, and over the electrical

network as we converse perhaps over the telephone, or in other manners.

We deprecate no great commission, no great king, no great president, and no great ruler, when we say that we welcome this man, and when we make him a citizen of San Francisco, and there is no other man—at least not within my time, and I think not within yours—who deserves more the welcome that he has received. I congratulate his fellow Italian citizens, who are first, of course, with their pride of race, and their magnificent feeling for this man, and the stock he has sprung from. We, too, Senator Marconi, are proud of the Mayor of Italian descent who presides over this city. We are prouder, I think, in the fact that he is Mayor; in the fact that this is a cosmopolitan community. It is a small melting pot of all the nations of nearly all the world, and it may interest you to know that this brother in the blood of yours, this Italian Mayor—we do not call him an Italian Mayor, but this Mayor of Italian blood, received very generously, of course, of the support of all of those races, including the one from whose blood I came, the Irish, and we do not feel that we have made anv mistake.

You are tremendously welcome here in San Francisco; we are glad to have you; we wish that we could keep you. We want to express to the Marchesa our kindest regards, and our regret at her inability to be here.

We would like to say, when you do return to your home, to that Premier, as I like to call him, your Mussolini, that we are watching with a great deal of interest his progress. We congratulate him on the stupendous tasks of bringing the Italian nation back to its peak after its decline in power. We trust he will go forward, and succeed, with the help of this nation, and every other nation of the world, to wipe out from the doings of mankind the warfare, the embattlement, the clash, that comes, and the sacrifice of human blood, and misery, that comes with the struggles of the nations of the earth.

We wish to you, sir, a pleasant, happy and successful journey wherever you go. We hope you will return again, and we want you to feel, and express to your fellow Italians that we admire their art, we admire their science, we admire their splendid progress particularly since the War, and we admire the many thousands of them who have lived with us, those who have come to us from your country, but we admire you most of all. Thank you. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Any other Supervisor who would like to give rise to his feelings? Supervisor Gallagher covered the field pretty thoroughly. The Senator wants an opportunity, in a brief way, to respond to Supervisor Gallagher.

Senator Marconi.

SENATOR GUGLIELMO MARCONI: I want to thank Supervisor Gallagher very sincerely for what he has said. Unfortunately, I am not an orator like him, and I cannot answer adequately all the kind things he has expressed. I can only say that I have some Irish blood myself, and I was very glad to hear an Irishman say such very kind things about me.

I am sorry my wife was unable to come here today, because I think she would have been delighted to share in the reception with which you have honored me. I want to express how grateful I am for this Board giving up a portion of your valuable time in receiving me here today.

Ladies and gentlemen, I thank you very much. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to have the Supervisors step forward and meet the Senator.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken, during which time the Supervisors were presented individually to Senator Marconi.)

The United Front Hunger March Committee Hearing.

THE CLERK: We have a communication from the United Front Hunger March Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Read the communication.

(The Clerk thereupon read the communication.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that they be heard by the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Five minutes each. So ordered.

(Delegation comes forward.)

THE CLERK: What is your name, please?

MR. NEAL HICKEY: Neal Hickey, 616 Baker street.

Mr. Chairman, and Honorable Supervisors: I am representing the committee of 8,000 organized workers of San Francisco in the national hunger march. I have three demands to be presented to you now, and we ask for an answer today.

The first demand is that a committee of unemployed, or elected by the unemployed, work with the relief administration and participate in all distribution of any relief in the City of San Francisco, that this committee sit on the Citizens' Relief Board, and also on every relief situation in San Francisco.

The other demand, one of the other demands, is that accident insurance be paid to all workers who are killed or injured on relief jobs. In the past we have had several cases where the workers have been killed and their families have not received any compensation.

And the third and last demand is, that this Board of Supervisors goes on record endorsing the Federal unemployment relief bill for the unemployed of San Francisco and throughout the United States.

Those three demands we ask for an answer today, so we can present them to our next organization meeting.

THE PRESIDENT: Next gentleman?

THE CLERK: P. Romney, 672 Sixth avenue.

MR. P. ROMNEY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: I am representing the four different single men's organizations, the soup kitchens and flop houses. As we have seen, up to now they have been completely forgotten. In everything that has gone through there has been nothing ever said for us. Many of these men are voters and all are residents. In the first place, there was a delegation of twenty that was elected to come up here, and only three of us were allowed to come in, and I will ask the Board of Supervisors to allow the rest to come in.

Our grievances are these: The soup kitchen every day is getting worse: there is no sugar, no milk in the mush in the morning. The flop houses are chuck full, and in such condition that it is impossible to breath at night. Last night at Seventh and Folsom one man went crazy and nearly killed several men, nearly killed the doctor that came up to see him, and also the guard who came to take him away. These conditions are impossible for men to live in. There was another man died in the same flop house. This situation is something that the Board of Supervisors should take up.

Our outfit is here to protest to the Supervisors for turning over this whole outfit to the relief. We pay the Supervisors to represent the City, and the Board of Supervisors should have authority to go ahead and do these things, and not turn it over to the committee, who have no responsibility to the voters who have elected you here to represent the people of San Francisco.

We hereby ask you to give the cash relief immediately, and not discriminate as it is. We know there are several groups in San Francisco in relief, several groups get scrip, and others money, this being gained sometimes by means of nationality, and certain cases by special privileges. We believe all of us are entitled to the same rights. This discrimination should be discontinued immediately, and four or five thousand men in the flop houses should be given some consideration. In the Sixth street flop house, blankets are being taken away; the men are going cold in the front room where there is a terrible draft;

in the room in the back there is no ventilation. On Howard street there is no blanket, and with these conditions you will have disease and sickness. We are asking for medical examination of sick men down there, that should be in the hospitals instead of dying in the flop houses, and going crazy. It is impossible for the men to sleep; this situation, I say, it is disgraceful, not only to San Francisco, but to the United States altogether, and we protest, and ask you to take it up immediately today and see what can be done. (Applause.)

Mr. Chairman, and Board of Supervisors: Last Monday the Charity Workers' Protective Union brought up a case that was not satisfactorily taken care of in the meantime. A family of four children, partial food has been issued. This man was transferred to the nonresident department. On protest they said they would re-investigate it. The re-investigation was made, and he was told he would be transferred back to limited relief provided he showed a merit certificate, which he refused to do. After coming to this Board, and going back, he was given emergency rations of $2.60, two-day ration for his family of that size. With that he could not buy the Mellin's Baby Food which is prescribed, the baby having been taken off the breast, and could not use the mother's milk, so he went back Saturday morning to get another emergency ration, which they held up until they re-investigated the man's change from the nonresident department. Eventually, after much discussion, they gave him another order, and he asked Mrs. Connors to make that in two parts so he could buy this Mellin's food for the baby, which she refused to do, or told him he could only have it in one. She called up two grocery stores and asked if they carried Mellin's food, which they do not. She asked the grocery store to send out to the drug store and get this Mellin's food, in order that he could have it for the baby, which the groceryman refused to do. So after that—and remember, he asked, "I am not asking an additional order, take it out from this order, but give me the sixty-seven or sixty-nine cents in one tag for that baby food,"—so he came up to 51 Gough street about noon Saturday, and they seen fit to give him the order for the milk, and instead of deducting it from his emergency order they gave him an additional sixty-nine cents in order that he could get the baby's food.

Yesterday I was out to see another family, eight in the family, six children ranging from five and a half to seventeen, and their water had been shut off and they have been packing water from the service station since last Wednesday. I went down Thursday to see the relief administration—I might mention that this family was on relief, and went off on June 17th and came back recently and have received three boxes. These people have been carrying water from the service station since last Wednesday. Thursday this woman went down to see the visitor, the visitor said she would be out to see them immediately. The husband is in bed sick, crippled, and this man has a high fever, and night sweats—and, by the way, they were told the doctor would be there to see him on Thursday morning. The doctor came Friday night, at nine-thirty, and this family lives in a three-floor flat, there is no bell, the bell is out of order, and there is a big sign at the bottom of the stairs, saying, "Knock hard. Bell doesn't ring." The doctor left a card at nine-thirty Friday night and said he would be back, and he hasn't been back since. All these children are in bare feet. Last Saturday they got a box of groceries which regularly checks at $4.25, and instead of being $4.25 added to that, it was $1.94. All of these children need shoes, also one of the children needs glasses.

Here is another case, seven in the family, living at 340 Washington street: They have a couple of beds, one lantern, one oil stove, practically no furniture; this man is formerly secretary of the Hoisters' Union.

Here is another family, 1072 Van Ness, destitute, relief cut off. Here is another family, 900 Texas street. This family came to San Francisco some year and a half ago from Los Angeles. They lacked ten

days of being in San Francisco the required time. One of the grown children went up to the administration looking for employment, and they had no employment, but they found out she only lacked ten days of being here the required time, and they asked her if she needed food and she said they did need food, so they volunteered to give her half—put her on non-resident relief.

Here is another family, 870 Silver, I don't know whether they have got relief or not. This man is half-starved, sick and very low.

Now, we object to the way this housing is handled, this moving around. The relief people give us $2 for a deposit, and we usually take that deposit and try to get a landlord to allow us in for one week, then we come back and get another $2; then we stay in this place until the landlord throws us out, and when the landlord throws us out, then we get another $2 from the relief. Our gas, electricity and water has been shut off. These grievances will all stand investigation, also on this moving around system.

I would like to comment on the report that Supervisor Power made here last February in regard to that. If I am not mistaken Supervisor Power was chairman of the Welfare Committee at that time and he said he had investigated these grievances with the people on relief, and he read a report wherein he said the Welfare Committee investigated these grievances and in that report it stated that evictions were being taken care of as soon as discovered, and gas and electricity turned back on as soon as they were turned off. I happen to know that that report was false, because I was sitting back in the audience with my gas and electricity turned off, and my water turned off, before I got any relief, with the full knowledge of the relief administration. If this Welfare Committee made any investigation, I don't know where it was—in the City Hall or out amongst the unemployed—but that report is false, and that can be verified; also that the relief administration knew that they were off.

Another thing, because we are unemployed we don't want to scab, we don't want to go over and do work on the bridge. If we are going to work on the City work, give us useful work so we don't have to go down to the Associated Charities.

We also want participation in the distribution of this relief by our duly elected representatives. There are many cases where, if there was a committee of unemployed to function with the relief, that many people would not be made to suffer.

There is one case I know—where this woman absolutely refused to supply this order for the Mellin's food. That was a question of purely personal grudge, because when they got up here they got the order, they gave them not only money for the baby food but also fifteen cents for carfare without him asking it.

Also there was a lady at 1177 Pine street with cancer, one breast eaten entirely off, this arm was swollen up, and her fingers stuck out like that (indicating). We went to verify it, and then we went to Doctor Quinn, and got a written statement from him that this old lady was in a dying condition, and needed simple, nourishing food. We took this case back to the relief administration over on Pine street and finally they gave an order, forty-cent emergency order, and promised to send a visitor Tuesday. Wednesday morning this visitor had never showed up, and Thursday, finally after going back and making contact, Thursday morning they did send a woman down—I called up in the morning, and one of their visitors said Mrs. So-and-So is just put on her hat and coat to leave. That was ten o'clock, and she got down there about three-thirty in the afternoon. I spoke with her afterwards, and she said first she had to go and make some investigations before she got down there, she had to go down and see the doctor, and then the priest, she must get all the information, so she would know how to handle it. Finally, when I saw Doctor Quinn, he said they had had no contact with Doctor Quinn. He gave her two weeks to live, he said it was not necessary to transfer her to the

hospital. It took exactly one week to get any food for that old lady, and when food was issued they sent out the regular box. The first day I was there a neighbor of the old lady came in with a can of Grade C peas—you Supervisors know what kind they are—they were brought in here—that had come with this box, and opened them up and mashed them and made soup out of them, and that can on the inside was ready to begin to corrode, and that is what the lady had for dinner. A week later the charity administration agreed to send her food, but food from the groceteria, food that the old lady can't eat. She can't possibly lie down, she has to sit up in a sitting position because it chokes her. We asked that this old lady have liquid diet for what time she was remaining. If she went to the hospital that was adequate relief. We weren't concerned with whether she went to the hospital or not, but the point is, after a week they finally decided they would send this regular box, and then, after seeing Doctor Quinn, they finally changed this and decided to send her something she could eat, so they finally gave her $2.50 a week. The point is, in this case, the visitor, Mrs. Baldwin, was up very frequently with this case, she used to come down and get the son and take him for an automobile ride, used to call up Doctor Quinn every day or so, and when we brought this to the attention of Doctor Quinn, he said, "Mrs. Baldwin used to call me up every few days to see how Mrs. Bishop was," and come to find out, Mrs. Baldwin had been there on relief, and she and the son had a few words, and the old lady had to suffer for it.

All these demands are not unreasonable, and we want an answer to these today just what your action will be. It is important to us that we know, because that is our only existence, and we must know what action you will take on them today, because the unemployed are living in such a terrible condition that they must be altered. There is just one more thing I want to bring in. This is a letter from James H. Parker, attorney-at-law, San Francisco, October 24, 1933.

"Dear Sir: I must insist on your making a substantial payment to Heyman Brothers or we shall have to take possession of the premises." This man is a World War veteran and is only five or six weeks back. This demands that something must be done.

I want to remind you that we want an answer to these demands today. (Applause.)

THE CLERK: Gene Rand, 37 Grove street.

GENE RAND: Mr. Chairman, and Board of Supervisors: I am here representing specifically the cause of these children in San Francisco who are today starving, and to prove that I have brought a living example with me here today. I want you all to look at him, a young boy, an American citizen, from American parents, workers, who have worked for this City, and built this City, helped to build this City. The gentleman over here didn't want me to bring the boy up, I guess he didn't want you to see what he looks like. Take a good look at him. This boy has been stricken, at the age of thirteen, with tuberculosis. Look at him again. That is the situation that the children of San Francisco are in today, and it is time that the Board of Supervisors, in whose hands it is—in whose hands the situation lies, that you take notice and do something about this.

Now, this boy has been specified to have tuberculosis. His name is Herman Work. He has been examined by the County doctors, and the County doctors have issued statements to the effect that this boy has tuberculosis. They have, however, done nothing to see that this boy gets into the County Hospital, or gets into some sort of a health institution where he will be properly and adequately taken care of. When the family went to the authorities charged with this so-called relief, to ask for milk and eggs for the proper food for this boy, who should be in bed, the authorities said they would send some milk and eggs last Monday. Now, it is a week since then, and they have not sent anything for the child. There are two more children in the

house subject to this contagious disease, and you gentlemen, it is up to you to see that something is done for them. We demand that Herman Work, age thirteen, be immediately, at once, immediately put into a health institution where he shall have the proper food and proper care. This is your example of your citizens of San Francisco, future citizens.

We also demand in the name of those children of the unemployed today going to school that those children be furnished with free carfare and lunch. These children can not have healthy minds and bodies and try to get any decent education when they are starving, and when their parents are in no condition to feed them properly, it is up to you to feed them. Your boys are not suffering, but the children of the unemployed in San Francisco are, and since it is entirely in your hands it is time you take notice of this and do something about these children. It is time you waked up to the fact, and that is why you have this group here today supporting the demands of young Herman Work, a tubercular boy. It is a shame, a disgrace to the citizens of San Francisco that a young boy, aged thirteen, should have acquired tuberculosis. It simply a case he has not been properly fed and properly taken care of, and I want these demands to be taken care of here today, and I want an answer here today in the name of those children of San Francisco who are starving, and what is more, Herman Work has a few words to say on what his teacher has said, and what his doctor has said about this. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask you what doctor treated him?

GENE RAND: Herman will tell you, if you will let him.

HERMAN WORK: Doctor Owens said—first I went to the County Hospital out on Potrero avenue, and out there—well, then, they took x-rays, October 3rd at three p. m., and they never said anything about it until four weeks later. After that they told me to go to Doctor Owens, and I went there and Doctor Owens said, "Well, this is a very serious case," he said, "I will send you milk and eggs," and there are two other children in the house who will catch it if they stay around there much longer."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Where do you live, may I ask?

MR. HERMAN WORK: 4588 Tehama.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And you said you went to the doctor, where?

MR. HERMAN WORK: Yes, right over there; you can go over there and see for yourself.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is all right. Where was Doctor Owens, where did you go to him, what location, or where was he?

MR. HERMAN WORK: Right over at this hospital.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: At the City Emergency Hospital.

GENE RAND: May I also add that if the thousands of dollars that will be spent for the Armistice Day parade, November 11th—I suggest that some of this money be spent to provide adequate relief for the unemployed children.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, the delegation may retire.

SUPERVISOR CANEPA: May I ask for a report from Doctor Owens on this particular boy's case? I am interested, and would like to know.

THE PRESIDENT: From Doctor Owens. No objection. You ask for that report?

MR. NEAL HICKEY: Here is the mother of the child, Mr. Chairman.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would like to ask the privilege of the floor for the mother of the child, if you don't mind; I think the mother should be heard.

THE PRESIDENT: No objection. So ordered.

MRS. WORK: Well, I am not in fit shape here to make a speech at all, as I have heart trouble and should not be out, as I have been

refused by the doctors to do anything excitable, but I will tell you what I know about Herman's case. I took him up there about last Monday, and the doctor—the case was found to be a t. b. case, and there are two young children in the home, and I explained the case thoroughly to the doctor, not Doctor Owen, but the other fellow that was there, and he said right away he should have a nurse down there, and he should have fresh eggs and milk, and I said, "Look here, Doctor, the child should be taken away from the other children," and he said, "No, just leave him right there," and he would increase my food, and that is all—that is all he could do for Herman.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I feel this entire matter should—I have made the statement before, and I would like to make it again today—I don't hesitate to make it, and I make it with some knowledge, because I have been on the Health Committee for fourteen years, and it was only yesterday I found out—about three weeks ago my attention was called to a case somewhat similar to this, on Howard street, between Twelfth and Thirteenth, by the police officer of this City and County, and this particular police officer made an effort to try to have this child removed to the Emergency Hospital or the San Francisco Hospital, and the facts are that there is no room there; and I went into the matter, and I found about three weeks ago there were 320 patients on the waiting list asking for admittance to the hospital. I was at the San Francisco hospital last week, and every bed is occupied in the hospital, every portion of the wards are occupied with beds. I am making that positive statement. San Francisco Hospital today has more patients in it than it has ever had in the history of that hospital, and it is the same thing with the tubercular section of the hospital.

Now, I will say this, if there is one effort that the San Francisco Hospital is making today it is an effort to take care of a boy in the incipient stages of tuberculosis, because we all know that tuberculosis is contagious. This particular case, I would say to these people, as frankly as I can, we want action and I would like to have this Board refer this case to the Health Committee of this Board, and I feel that we will get action in this particular case. It is not an easy matter to get action, on account of the very crowded conditions of our San Francisco Hospital, and this condition that exists, and I am talking from first hand information, and from a direct investigation in reference to the hospital. I say this to you, Mrs. Herman, because I feel we will get action in a case of this boy, and I ask my colleagues if they will refer this case to the Health Committee. I happen to be a member of that committee.

GENE RAND: I want to thank the Supervisor for the information, but I might offer a solution that there are thousands of empty buildings today that could be turned into hospital quarters if you so desire. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection to placing this matter in the hands of the Health Committee?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Madam, I know you are interested in this boy. Have you any objection to having this matter referred to the Health Committee?

GENE RAND: We want to see action immediately, is all.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right; I ask that it be referred to the Health Committee.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I move that a transcript be made of the statements made during this hearing, and that the transcript be referred to the Advisory Committee with a request for report.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will gladly second that, and in doing so I point out this—Supervisor Shannon made a motion here a week ago today, in inviting and asking these reports, and we are simply

following out that motion. I gladly second Supervisor Havenner's motion, and I know we will have a reply a week from today.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection? So ordered.

N. R. A. Work for San Francisco Residents.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1108, as follows:

Whereas, there are thousands of unemployed San Francisco residents who because of economic stress have been forced to accept relief from the City and County of San Francisco, and who have been placed in the unbearable position of not being able to pay the rent of the homes in which they live; and

Whereas, this has caused an increasingly difficult burden to be carried by the owners of this property who because of these conditions in many instances have not been able to pay their taxes, and the majority of whom have, nevertheless, shown the utmost consideration for their tenants; and

Whereas, there are also thousands of unemployed San Francisco residents who because of economic stress have been receiving relief from the City and County of San Francisco, who own their homes, and who have mortgaged their property and have not been able to pay either interest on these mortgages, or their taxes; and

Whereas, they are now in danger of losing all title to their property, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully call the attention of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to this situation, and request that they consider the possibilities of designating certain of the NRA work projects to relieve these two classes of unemployed, namely, (1) those who have not been able to pay rent, (2) those who have not been able to pay interest on mortgaged homes, or taxes. The money to be received by those engaged in the designated NRA work projects, if possible, to be used in paying past due rent, interest and taxes, while those so engaged continue to receive relief from the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—7.

San Francisco-Peninsula Pacific International Air Pageant.

(Code No. 5.94)

Resolution No. 1109, as follows:

Whereas, during the San Francisco-Peninsula Pacific International Air Pageant, to be held under the auspices of the National Aeronautic Association on December 16 and 17, San Francisco will be host to scores of men and women famous in aviation who will participate in the pageant; and

Whereas, the attention of the world will be focused on San Francisco during the San Francisco-Peninsula Pacific International Air Pageant and the desirability of San Francisco as a year-round site for such activity will be stressed; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that a suitable welcome be tendered the famous aviators of the world participating in the San Francisco-Peninsula Pacific International Air Pageant; and be it further

Resolved, That His Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be hereby authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for a suitable and fitting wel-

come for the distinguished men and women of aviation who will at that time be our guests.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Veterans' Welfare Board of California to Deal Leniently With Indigent and Unemployed Veterans Who Are Delinquent in Home Loan Payments.

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 1110, as follows:

Whereas, there are approximately five hundred San Francisco War Veterans who are delinquent in their monthly payments on their homes to the Veterans Welfare Board of California; and

Whereas, these veterans are purchasing homes under provisions of the Veterans' Welfare Act of the State of California, and the State Attorney General has ruled that these homes are properties of the State of California, and the Veterans purchasing said homes cannot receive benefits of the Federal Home Loan Act which provides for the suspension of principal and interest payments for a period of time, and

Whereas, many of these veterans are receiving public relief and cannot pay the regular monthly principal payments, and the accumulating delinquencies have already caused many veterans to lose their homes, and many are threatened with dispossession; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Veterans' Welfare Board of California be petitioned to take steps to re-write contracts to absorb delinquencies, and accept the payment of interest from all veterans on public relief, and extend this consideration to bona fide unemployed veterans as well; and be it further

Resolved, That it be the sense and purpose of this resolution to extend every possible leniency to beneficiaries of the Veterans' Welfare Act, which would be possible under the Federal Home Loan Act, and in keeping with the President's request that families should not be dispossessed of their homes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Exchange of Deeds, Crystal Springs Pipe Line Right of Way.

(Code No. 15.0243)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1112, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute in behalf of the City and County a conveyance, quitclaiming to the Southern Pacific Company the right of way easement owned by the City over which the 44-inch Crystal Springs pipe line situated at Sierra Point, San Mateo County, was formerly located; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City does hereby accept from the Southern Pacific Company a right of way easement on which the 44-inch pipe line from the Crystal Springs of the Water Department at or near Sierra Point, San Mateo County, is now located.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hav-

enner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Public Nuisance Declared.

(Code No. 17.09)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 1113, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as No. 817 Thirty-sixth avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Hospitalization for Indigent Sick.

(Code No. 17.12)

The following bill was introduced in the Board on Roll Call and *referred to the Joint Finance and Health Committee*:

Bill No. 455, Ordinance No. 17.121, as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Director of Health to obtain hospitalization for persons entitled thereto at the expense of the City and County in privately owned hospitals during periods of emergency and when the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital make it impractical to afford said persons hospitalization in said San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing the Director of Health to make rules and regulations which will govern the conditions under which said persons will be admitted into and maintained and cared for in said privately owned hospitals, and providing the manner in which contracts shall be entered into by the City and County with said privately owned hospitals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever, as a result of unusual increase of the usual number of persons requiring hospital care, due to epidemic, catastrophe, unemployment conditions, or other causes, the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital are insufficient to afford hospitalization and care of those entitled thereto in said hospital, the Director of Public Health may cause contractual agreements to be entered into with any privately owned hospital in the City and County of San Francisco for the hospitalization of those persons who, under ordinary conditions, would be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall, upon the request of the Director of Health, and after advertising for bids therefor in the manner provided by law, enter into contracts with any privately owned hospital or hospitals for hospital service and care of said above mentioned persons upon such conditions and specifications as shall be prepared and submitted to him by the Director of Public Health, the terms of such contract or contracts to be for such period or periods as the Director of Public Health shall specify, said term, however, not to exceed one year. When the offers or bids of any of said privately owned hospitals shall be the same, the Purchaser may enter into said

contracts for said care and hospitalization of said persons with any or all of said hospitals whose bids are the same, provided that before any contract is entered into with any hospital, the Director of Public Health shall certify to the Purchaser of Supplies that said hospital qualifies as to the standards and conditions specified by said Director of Public Health in his specifications submitted to the said Purchaser, and upon which said bids were submitted. No contract shall be entered into unless the Controller shall first certify that the necessary funds are available to meet the amount to become due under said contract, provided that when the amount to become due under any such contract is not determined in advance, then, in that event the Purchaser may approve purchase orders issued by the Director of Public Health covering the possible service to be rendered by the contracting hospital during any particular period of time not exceeding one month.

Section 3. In any contract entered into with any privately owned hospital, said hospital shall agree therein that from time to time during the term of such contract it will, upon the order of the Director of Health, receive into said hospital and care for and maintain therein, according to the terms and conditions specified and adopted by said Director, any person who would, under ordinary conditions, be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital, the stay in said hospital of said person so received therein to be determined by said Director. All amounts to become due to said hospital, pursuant to said contract, shall be payable monthly upon warrants issued and paid as provided by law.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall have full power and authority to determine the qualifications of any hospital offering to afford hospitalization as provided by this ordinance and no contract shall be entered into with any hospital which does not possess the qualifications provided for by said Director. And when any hospital shall, during the term of any contract, cease to possess said qualifications, the Director may cancel said contract or refuse to order persons admitted thereto.

Section 5. The Director of Public Health shall also, in advance of advertising for bids for the service provided for in this ordinance, prepare specifications governing the character of care, service and hospitalization which shall be furnished to the persons herein mentioned, and no other service shall be furnished to said persons at the expense of the City and County, except upon written order of the Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health may at any time cause any person received into any hospital, under any contract entered into by virtue of this ordinance, to be removed therefrom when it is deemed advisable to remove said person to any other hospital, or when, in the opinion of said Director, said person has sufficiently convalesced to be removed from said hospital, and when said Director shall notify any hospital that any patient received therein under any contract has sufficiently convalesced to be removed therefrom, the City shall not be liable for the care or hospitalization of said person after the expiration of forty-eight hours after said notice is given.

Section 7. The Director of Public Health, or his representative, shall at all times have access to any hospital in which the persons mentioned herein are being cared for for the purpose of investigating the character of the care, maintenance and hospitalization being furnished to said persons.

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

The following bill was introduced in the Board on Roll Call and *referred to the Health Committee*:

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of

San Francisco; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health, authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Director of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issued or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be $——— for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a

sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. Subdivision 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation, maintenance and traffic in private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation, color and the care, maintenance and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Subdivision 3. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder, by the Director of Public Health.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other rates than in this section provided.

Subdivision 2. The district within the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter described, shall for the purpose of this ordinance be known as the "First District." Such district is bounded and more particularly described as follows:

"Commencing at the intersection of the Embarcadero and Broadway thence along the northerly and easterly waterfront to Channel street, thence along Channel street to Division street, thence along Division street to Potrero avenue, along Potrero avenue to San Bruno avenue, thence along San Bruno avenue to Cortland avenue, along Cortland avenue to Mission street, thence to Thirtieth street, thence westerly on Thirtieth street to Twin Peaks, along the easterly and northerly sides of Twin Peaks to the outer edge of Sutro Forest to Lawton street, thence west on Lawton street to Tenth avenue, thence northerly along Tenth avenue to the waterline, thence easterly to the point of commencement. Within the said district both sides of all streets named are included.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within said First District shall be $4 during the day time period and $5 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

Subdivision 3. All other territory within the City and County of San Francisco outside of the area described in the "First District" shall, for the purpose of this ordinance, be known as the "Second District."

The charge for transport from any place in the City and County of San Francisco to or from any place in the Second District shall be $5 during the day time and $6 during the night time period.

No commission, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that clinic, insurance, hospital association and contract work shall have a

25 per cent discount; providing, however, that orders for clinic rates must come direct from the clinic departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge.

Delay or waiting time of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of $5 per hour calculated in quarter-hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of $5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where ambulance crew is called to move any person in a home from one bed to another the rate shall be $2.50 in the First District and $3 in the Second District.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five ($25) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Adjustment of Teaming Contracts to N. R. A. Code.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Building Material Drivers' Union No. 216, requesting that certain City officials should not take advantage of the depression to lower the standard of living and wage conditions contrary to the N. R. A. by awarding teaming contracts as a figure that makes it impossible for contractors to operate trucks and pay wages demanded by the Charter, and recommending that contracts not complying with these conditions and not in conformity with the N. R. A. Code be cancelled and new bids called for to meet the N. R. A. Code of fair practice and higher wages.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Also, communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, calling attention to the fact that following the adoption of various codes under the N. R. A. committees have been formed to decide on a fair and just upcharge to be levied against orders and contracts entered into with the City prior to the adoption of said Code, and in connection therewith are billing the City of San Francisco for such upcharge, and requesting that we cooperate in the spirit of the President's recommendations in this respect and asking that this Board of Supervisors determine upon a policy, and if the upcharge is to be absorbed that it enact and prepare legislation amending the contract and purchasing ordinances, and provide additional funds necessary.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Cash Relief and Hunger March Hearing.

Communication from Marine Engineers' Benevolent Association, Ornamental Plasterers, Workers Ex-Servicemen's League, Workers International Relief and others, requesting a hearing respecting payment

of cash relief, city pay on relief jobs, evictions of unemployed, gas, electric and water shut-offs, accident insurance on relief jobs, etc.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

Also, communication from United Front Hunger March Committee, naming four representatives who have been duly elected and authorized to present demands, and requesting they be permitted to speak at the meeting Monday, October 30, 1933.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 6, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 47

...LIC LIBRARY

Monday, November 6, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 6, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Breyer was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Spur Track Permit, General Brewing Corporation, Carroll Avenue and Mendell Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 451, Ordinance No. 12.2012, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and Mendell street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Carroll avenue and Mendell street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue, said point being approximately 7 feet from the southerly line thereof and approximately 116 feet easterly from the easterly line of Mendell street produced southerly, thence in a northwesterly direction and crossing portion of Carroll avenue, private property, and Mendell street to a point in the westerly line of Mendell street approximately 43 feet northerly from the northerly line of Carroll avenue, thence into private property.

Provided that girder rail be installed between the property lines of the street, when it is paved; that the existing sewer on Mendell street be reinforced, if necessary; that all drains intercepted by the track be

taken care of by the General Brewing Corporation; that proper clearances be observed, and that the existing pavements be restored.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the General Brewing Corporation.

Provided that the General Brewing Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where and when directed by the Public Utilities Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Depositing $42,000 First Mortgage Six Per Centum Gold Bonds of Central Oakland Block, Inc., Upon Which There Is Partial Default in the Semi-Annual Interest With the Bondholders' Protective Committee, etc.

(Code No. 9.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1113, as follows:

Whereas, the Henry E. Robinson Bequest Fund is the owner of First Mortgage Six Per Centum Serial Gold Bonds of Central Oakland Block, Inc., in the sum of $42,000; and

Whereas, said securities have on July 1, 1933, partially defaulted in the semi-annual payment of interest; and

Whereas, there is being formed a Bondholders' Protective Committee concerning the aforesaid securities; and

Whereas, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco recommends the entry of said fund's holdings into the deposit agreement for the protection of the above investment; it is hereby

Resolved, That the Trustees of the Henry E. Robinson Bequest Fund, comprising the Mayor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and empowered, through the medium of the City and County Treasurer, to deposit all the First Mortgage Six Per Centum Serial Gold Bonds of Central Oakland Block, Inc., in the sum of $42,000, with the Bondholders' Protective Committee of said issue under the terms of that certain deposit agreement bearing date of July 7, 1933. Be it

Further Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and Carl W. Miles, Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute an agreement depositing the aforesaid bonds under the terms and conditions of said deposit agreement above mentioned.

(Recommended by the City Attorney.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Execution of Notes for Loan, Unemployment Relief Bond Act, 1933.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 457, Ordinance No. 19.0719, as follows:

Authorizing the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the necessary note or notes, in the required amounts, in the matter of making a loan under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933; and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That in the furtherance of the matter of making a loan under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and Clerk thereof be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary note or notes in the required amounts in the following form and manner:

$1,000,000.00 Date ————, 1933.

Commencing on the 1st day of July, 1937, the County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of $1,000,000, in ten equal annual installments, together with interest at the rate of 4¼ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals on January 1st and July 1st, all as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and subject to the provisions thereof.

————————,
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

————————,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

This authorization and direction modifies that portion of Ordinance No. 19.0718 relating to the authorization and direction of the execution of a note, and the form thereof, to be given under the provisions of said Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and takes effect in lieu thereof, provided that the date of the note authorized hereinabove shall be identical with the date of any note heretofore executed in pursuance of the authorization and direction of Ordinance No. 19.0718.

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure pursuant to Section 16 of the Charter, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the execution of the above mentioned note is necessary in order that the amount thereof may be forthwith received from the State of California, and that the immediate receipt of said sum is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee in the distribution of relief to those entitled thereto.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1114, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the

Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing November 10, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Charity Workers Hunger March Committee.

After the Board adjourned a number of Supervisors remained and heard the complaints of the following representing Charity Workers Hunger March Committee:

C. Romney requesting cash relief at once. Wanted no reference to outside committee. Board of Supervisors are elected to represent the people and should act directly.

Jas. O. Tracey, representing Workers' Ex-Service League, 616 Baker street, urged immediate cash relief.

J. Finegold, 1390 Rhode Island street, also urged immediate action on cash relief.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the Relief Organization and ask for an investigation and report on the case of Mrs. Carsen, 263A Clinton Park, whose case was cited in the foregoing hearing.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 48

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, November 13, 1933
Wednesday, November 15, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

[illegible] December 23, 1924
[illegible] January 12, 1925

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Hansell-Elder Publishing and Printing Company
224 Clay Street

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 13, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Breyer was elected to preside.

President Hayden appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Leave of Absence to Mayor to Attend Conference of Mayors, Washington, D. C., November 15, 1933.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 10, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I hereby make application to your Honorable Board for permission to leave the State of California upon official business for the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, to attend the Conference of Mayors called by the Honorable Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of Civil Works, in Washington, D. C., on November 15th.

I am therefore enclosing an ordinance drafted at my request by the City Attorney, authorizing me to attend the Conference, and granting permission to absent myself from the State for a period not to exceed thirty days, and providing expenses incident to the trip.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 462, Ordinance No. 9.051100, as follows:

Granting permission to the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Manager of Utilities and the Director of Relief to absent themselves from the State of California for a period of thirty days upon business of the City and County, and providing for the payment of their expenses while so absent.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission is hereby granted to Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and to Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, and to E. G. Cahill, the Manager of Utilities, and to Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, and to each of them, to absent themselves from the State of California for a period

of thirty days from and after November 10, 1933, for the purpose of going to the City of Washington and conferring with officials of the Government of the United States relative to unemployment relief for those entitled to the same in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That the expenses of each of said officials shall be allowed and paid in conformity with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0562 of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and shall be effective immediately upon its passage, and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists, which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That in order to make adequate provision for the care of a large number of residents of the City who are out of employment, it is necessary for the above named officials to confer with officials of the Government of the United States, at the City of Washington, on Wednesday, the 15th day of November, 1933, and that said conference is necessary for the public health and safety of the people of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner—3.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southeast corner of Brussels street and Woolsey street.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m.*:

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southwest corner of Dewey and Laguna Honda boulevards.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Designating Clerks to Canvass Returns of General Municipal and Special Bond Election Held Tuesday, November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1120, as follows:

Resolved, That the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of returns of the General Municipal and Special Bond Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 7th day of November, 1933, and to assist in canvassing said returns and to act as several sets and clerks and various sets of clerks in canvassing said returns in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California under the order and direction of the Board of Supervisors, in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code; and be it

Further Resolved, That the official canvass of returns of said elec-

tions will be conducted at the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct said canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to said election are on file in said office, and be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto and be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California:

George L. Sharp, William E. Monahan, Lester Stern, A. Arnold, O. Chance, C. M. Coffey, L. Cotton, A. Cox, A. M. Doughty, E. Gray, D. Halpin, J. M. Hillhouse, A. Hoch, L. Horgan, C. D. Howland, L. James, F. Keane, E. Kearns, M. Kraut, G. W. Lane, F. Loorz, L. McKeon, B. McWhirter, C. L. Mayhood, E. Mellars, L. Nathan, S. Osborne, M. Peterson, S. J. Stasiowsky, M. M. Scott, G. Siemens, S. Tichenor, A. Windreich, C. R. Wirth, A. G. Knight, E. R. Faucompre, Geo. Daniels, H. Heiss, W. O'Brien, Thos. Moore.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Registrar of Voters and Board of Supervisors to Canvass Returns of General Municipal and Special Bond Election Held Tuesday, November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1121, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed, on Monday, November 13, 1933, to canvass the returns of the General Municipal Election and Special Bond Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on November 7, 1933, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 912 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of $400 for Purchase of Appellate and Supreme Court Reports for Superior Courts.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 454, Ordinance No. 9.05196, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $400 out of Appropriation No. 6a (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, for purchase of sixteen sets of California Supreme and Appellate Court Reports for the Superior Courts of San Francisco, and credited to Appropriation No. 106.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $400 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6a (Emergency Reserve Fund), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 106, for the purchase of sixteen sets of California Supreme and Appellate Court Reports for use of the sixteen Superior Courts of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed from the Bothin Real Estate Company.

(Code No. 12.1719)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1115, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated July 18, 1933, from Bothin Real Estate Company to a portion of Western Addition Block No. 102.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Cancellation of Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1116, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Roll the property known as Nineteen Seventy Page Apartments was arbitrarily assessed for $1,750. This assessment was a charge for two years, but the owner in 1932 was not the possessor of same in 1931. Therefore, the charge of $1,750 found in Volume 5, page 143, line 13, should be made to read $875; and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be requested to make above correction in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Controller to Cancel Erroneous Assessment—1932 Assessment Roll.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1117, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported an erroneous assessment on the 1932 Assessment Roll in the sum of $158.91, which represents personal property charged against Lot 4, Block 172, Volume 2, and recommends that the same should be cancelled; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said erroneous assessment.

Approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Cancellation of Duplicate Assessments.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1118, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following entries on the 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Roll are duplicate assessments and should be cancelled:

Uncollected Personal Property Roll, Volume 15, page 135, line 5, Mrs. Rolland De Poole, $11.88. Two statements filed for same property in warehouse. Tax paid, see Volume 12, page 169, line 8.

Uncollected Personal Property Roll, Volume 17, page 31, line 5, Miss Verna Lynn, $3.96. Two statements filed for same property in warehouse. Tax paid; see Volume 12, page 63, line 6.

Uncollected Personal Property Roll, Volume 17, page 18, line 21, F. Licursi, $1.19. Two statements filed; one statement secured to real estate in Volume 41, lot 10E, block 6951.
And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it
Resolved, That the Controller be requested to cancel said duplicate assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1119, as follows:

Be It Resolved, that the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 323 to Director of Public Works for $285.68; No. 324 to J. B. West for $125; No. 325 to J. B. West for $125; No. 326 to S. F. Chronicle for $36.96; No. 327 to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker for $40.25; No. 328 to San Francisco and Fresno Land Co. for $1,000; No. 329 to Jacob Meyers for $600; payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $558 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for the Employment of Two Temporary Employees in the Office of the Treasurer From November 1 to December 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 458, Ordinance No. 9.05197, as follows:

Appropriating $558 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget of 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from November 1 to December 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Budget Item No. 14-1, Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from November 1 to December 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

(Approved by the Mayor and Director of Finance.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $558,440 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to November 30, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 459, Ordinance No. 9.05198, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $558,440 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to November 30, 1933, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred fifty-eight thousand four hundred and forty ($558,440) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to November 30, 1933.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such state, federal and municipal funds as may be made available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and are for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner.

The following was presented and read by the clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing November 9th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1122, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 9, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Leaves of Absence, Alfred J. Cleary, Edward G. Cahill, Leland W. Cutler, Charles M. Wollenberg.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days, commencing November 10, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days, commencing November 10th, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Leland W. Cutler, Park Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days, commencing November 10, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Chas. M. Wollenberg, Director of Citizens' Relief, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days, commencing November 10, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1123, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco; Honorable Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities Commission; Honorable Leland W. Cutler, Park Commissioner; Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Citizens' Relief, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 10, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Action Deferred.

The following presented by the Finance Committee without recommendation was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*:

Amending Contract Procedure Ordinance to Provide for Compliance With the Provisions of NRA and CRA Codes.

(Code No. 9.087)

Bill No. 460, Ordinance No. 9.0873, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0871 "Establishing the procedure for and regulating the entering into contracts for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or to be collected by the City and County, and repealing Ordinance No. 9130 (New Series), in effect January 6, 1932," by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 15, providing that in all contracts entered into between the City and County of San Francisco or any officer, board, commission or department thereof for the construction of any public improvement or improvements of any public work, the provisions of any code adopted under and pursuant to the authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or under authority of the California Industrial Recovery Act, or of any act supplemental thereto, be made a condition in said contract, and fixing penalties for the violation of said provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0871, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following section, to be known as Section 15 thereof.

Section 15. (a) That notwithstanding any conflicting condition or provision contained in this ordinance, or in any other ordinace of the City and County of San Francisco, all contracts hereafter entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department, officer, board or commission, for and on behalf of said City and County, for the construction of any public improvement, or for the performance of any public work, shall contain a provision to the effect that all work to be performed under said contract and the compensation to be paid to persons employed in the performance of labor under said contract, and the hours during each day during which said labor shall be performed or services shall be rendered, shall be subject to the provisions of any code adopted or enacted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto. That said contracts shall further contain a provision to the effect that in the performance of said contract and in the hiring and paying for labor or services used in and about the performance of said contract, the contractor will abide by all the terms and conditions of any code heretofore or hereafter adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, or whichever of said acts shall prevail one over the other. Provided, however, that in all cases where the highest general prevailing rate of wage or maximum hours of labor for persons performing labor or rendering services on said contract as heretofore or hereafter fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors shall be higher than the rate of wage or lower than the maximum hours of work per day fixed or provided by any code adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, then, in that event, the said highest general prevailing rate of wage and maximum hours of work per day as fixed by said Board of Supervisors shall prevail over any rate of wage fixed by any code so adopted.

(b) That any and all penalties heretofore fixed by ordinance or reso-

...C LIBRARY

lution of the Board of Supervisors for the violation of any ordinance or resolution providing for the payment of the highest general prevailing rate of wage for persons performing labor or rendering services under any contract hereafter entered into shall apply to the violation of any provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency measure, and it is hereby declared by the vote by which the ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the facts constituting said emergency being as follows:

That at the present time there are various public improvements for which contracts must be let, and that it is necessary that the provisions of this ordinance be inserted in the contracts for said improvements, and that it is necessary that this ordinance become effective at once in order to comply with the time limitations provided by law for the entering into said contracts.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appointment of J. Emmet Hayden, Acting Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, November 10, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:

Gentlemen: In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I am appointing Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden and President of your honorable Board to act as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco during my absence therefrom.

I am leaving this evening on the Overland Limited to attend a conference in Washington, D. C., called by the Hon. Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of Civil Works, for November 15th, and expect to return within thirty days.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

School Bond Petition.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. Emmet Hayden, Acting Mayor, declaring that it has been called to his attention that the organized women of San Francisco were engaged in securing signatures to petitions to place on the December 19 ballot a school bond issue for $3,000,000, but are not quite prepared to file today, and inasmuch as Wednesday, November 15, is the last day the petitions may be completed, requesting that the Board arrange for a recessed meeting Wednesday afternoon to afford the opportunity of filing the above-mentioned petitions.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that when this Board recesses today it does so to meet again Wednesday, November 15, at 4 p. m., for the aforesaid purpose, and that the Clerk issue a call to the members of the Board to be in attendance.

Motion *carried.*

Charity Workers' Demands.

The following was presented and referred to Public Welfare Committee:

Resolutions of members of the Dramstudio, 1740 O'Farrell street, and United Front Hunger Marchers' Committee, requesting cash re-

lief for single or married workers, and $3 for each dependent, per week; cash pay at prevailing union scale on City relief jobs; no evictions; no gas, electric and water shutoffs; accident insurance on relief jobs; endorsement of Federal Unemployment Insurance; participation in distribution of relief by committees of the unemployed.

Also, communication from M. C. Sloss, chairman, Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee in response to resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors Monday, October 30, relative to the burden imposed upon residents of San Francisco on relief through their inability to pay rent or taxes and interest on mortgages, and stating that the housing and rent problem is one that presents great difficulties. The committee has been greatly concerned about it, but, without funds at its disposal, has been unable to solve it adequately. That the matter has been taken up with Harry L. Hopkins, Federal Emergency Relief Administrator, requesting suggestions and advice and that full consideration will be given to suggestions embodied in the resolution of the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Report of Health Department on Herman Work Case.

Also, communication from Jacques P. Gray, M. D., Assistant Director of Public Health, reporting on the case of Herman Work, 13-year-old boy who was presented to the Board of Supervisors at its meeting October 30, 1933, stating that he has not been refused care at San Francisco Hospital, and that his case and the case of his stepfather, Edward Richardson, has been given every consideration. So far the record does not show that either are affected with tuberculosis, although danger exists.

Referred to Health Committee.

Appropriation Requested, $40,000, for Preliminary Engineering and Plans Preceding Submission of Biennium Program to the California Highway Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution of Department of Public Works recommending that the Board of Supervisors make application to the Director of Public Works of the State of California for an appropriation of $40,000 for preliminary engineering and the preparation of plans preceding the submission of the complete biennium program to the California Highway Commission and the Director of Public Works of the State of California.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Statement of Unemployment Relief Financial Program.

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, transmitting summary statement of Unemployment Relief Financial Program for the fiscal year 1933-34, setting forth the exact cash condition, and informing Board that on November 2, 1933, that he is not in a cash position to release all October encumbrances or expenditures, unless the $420,000 from the State be cleared to the Treasurer immediately, and that there is no available cash on hand for November and December; therefore, suggesting that sufficient of the remaining relief bonds of 1932 be sold so that the proper revolving fund may be set up to carry us over the periods pending the response of the State and Federal Governments.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted* unanimously by rising vote:

In Memoriam: Andrew F. Mahony.

(Code No. 5.91)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 1129, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board records with deepest sorrow the passing of Andrew F. Mahony, well known lumber and shipping magnate, who played a prominent part for many years in the business and social life of San Francisco. Later, as Police Commissioner of his native City, he served San Francisco with high honor and distinction, and characteristic ability. He leaves a host of friends who mourn his death and commiserate with his devoted wife and family in this hour of their sad bereavement.

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors recesses today it do so out of respect to the memory of Andrew F. Mahony, genial and able, friend, citizen and father.

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the widow and family of the deceased.

In Memoriam: Bernard F. McElroy.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1130, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has lost by death one of the outstanding members of the medical profession in the recent passing of Bernard F. McElroy, nationally known physician of this City, who, as Director of the San Francisco Hospital, and Superintendent of the same institution, as well as member of the Insanity Commission, served this City faithfully and well over a long period of years, who identified himself in many civic activities for the betterment and advancement of this City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses extreme sorrow in his passing, and that when it recesses today it does so out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread on the minutes of the Board, and another copy be sent to the relatives of the deceased.

In Memoriam: John M. Sullivan.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1131, as follows:

Whereas, John M. Sullivan, secretary of the San Francisco Grocery Company, for many years prominent in the business, social and civic life of San Francisco, has been called to his Eternal Reward; and

Whereas, John M. Sullivan was well known to all members of this Board of Supervisors for his helpful, intelligent cooperation in legislative matters relating to his particular business activities, and was withal a man of kindly disposition and sterling character, admired by all; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when it recesses today, do so out of respect to his memory, and that a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased, and another copy be inscribed in the minutes of the Board.

In Memoriam: John A. Kelly.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1132, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors sorrowfully regrets the passing of John A. Kelly, former chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, who was prominently identified with the political and industrial life of this City during the past twenty-five years.

He was repeatedly honored by high place in the ranks of organized

labor; as president of the Machinists' Union, and president of the San Francisco Labor Council, he served his fellow workers with marked distinction and ability; as Inspector of Immigration, Department of Labor, and Deputy Labor Commissioner, he was admired and loved as a man of honest, capable, sterling character, who gave a good account of his stewardsip and reflected great credit on the office he adorned; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it recesses today, does so out of respect to the memory of John A. Kelly; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased and spread in the records of the Board of Supervisors.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of $3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests for a loan of $3,200,000 for the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3000 rooms for rental at $11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the reason that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interests, inflicting conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would respectfully call the attention of officials at Washington to the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be $11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer for presentation to the Federal Administrator of Public Works at Washington as an expression of protest to the Government loan for said project.

Referred to Public Welfare and Building Committee.

Calling Meeting of Members of Board, 2:30 P. M., November 28, 1933, to Organize Committees of Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1127, as follows:

Whereas, on November 7, 1933, at an election of officials of the City and County of San Francisco five candidates to the Board of Supervisors were elected; and

Whereas, the committees of the Board are selected by the Board; therefore,

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to send a written notice to each member of the Board as will be constituted on January 8, 1934, for the purpose of organizing the committees of the Board, meeting to be held at 2:30 p. m. on Monday, November 28, 1933, in Room 228, City Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Board of Supervisors Commends Bank of America in Restoring Salaries to Its Employees as of December 1, 1933.

(Code No. 5.43)

Resolution No. 1125, as follows:

Whereas, in a true spirit of cooperation with the President of the United States, the Bank of America, one of the largest banking institutions in this country, has declared the restoration of salaries to all its employees effective December 1, 1933; and

Whereas, the action of the Bank of America in increasing salaries in consonance with the expressed wish of the President affects all citizens through the medium of increased purchasing power; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express to the officials of the Bank of America its sincere gratitude for the commendable action taken in the laudable effort to restore economic normalcy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Board of Supervisors Commends the President of the United States for the Creation of Employment Through the Civil Works Administration.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1124, as follows:

Whereas, the President of the United States, through the medium of the Civil Works Administration, has allocated $400,000,000 to the Civil Works Program to relieve unemployment; and

Whereas, the Civil Works Administration, through Harry L. Hopkins, the Federal Relief Administrator, has recently signified the intention of the Federal Government to start this program immediately and take from the relief roll 4,000,000 idle men; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the citizenry of San Francisco, does hereby heartily commend the President, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, for the creation of employment through the Civil Works Administration and the stimulation of purchasing power resulting therefrom.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Canepa, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Reclamation of South Beach Tidelands.

Adolph Uhl, Supervisor-elect, was, on motion, granted the privilege of the floor and urged that the Board of Supervisors sanction and approve his proposed trip to Washington, D. C., to appear before the new Civic Works Administration as sponsor for the project of grading lands and filling in tidelands at South Basin as the best means of affording work for the unemployed and the development of industrial and commercial properties to be given free as an inducement to commercial enterprises to establish them in San Francisco.

He declared that his expenses would be paid by the Good Government League.

Resolution Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, Supervisor Spaulding presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Whereas, the securing of work for our unemployed is of paramount importance at this time; and

Whereas, the Federal Government has indicated that funds for putting our idle to work during the coming winter will be provided; and

Whereas, it is of great importance that funds so expended shall be utilized for improvements which shall be permanent so far as possible; and

Whereas, the reclamation of South Basin lands will provide for necessary industrial lands and at the same time give employment to a greater number of our unemployed than would be possible under any similar project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that we approve presenting the plan for the reclamation of South Basin lands to the Federal Government for part of the expenditure to be made by them in the City and County of San Francisco, and hereby authorize Mr. Adolph Uhl to attend the Conference at Washington, D. C., November 15th, called for the purpose of considering the various plans and projects in connection with said work and with a greater percentage of funds expended for man power.

Supervisor McSheehy and Supervisor Colman objected to the introduction of the resolution, and the Chair ruled that it must go to committee unless it had unanimous consent.

The following telegram, however, was agreed to and, on motion of Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, by unanimous vote, the Clerk was directed to send same to Mayor Rossi, at Washington, D. C., to-wit:

Telegram.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D. C.

Whereas, the securing of work for our unemployed is of paramount importance at this time; and

Whereas, the Federal Government has indicated that funds for putting of our idle to work during the coming winter will be provided; and

Whereas, it is of great importance that funds so expended shall be utilized for improvements which shall be permanent so far as possible; and

Whereas, the reclamation of South Basin lands will provide for necessary industrial lands and at the same time give employment to a greater number of our unemployed than would be possible under any similar project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that we approve of presenting the plan for the reclamation of South Basin lands to the Federal Government for part of

the expenditure to be made by them in the City and County of San Francisco, and Mayor Angelo J. Rossi is hereby requested to present this project to the Civil Works Administrator at Washington.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Disposal of Public Improvement Bonds.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Finance Committee and the Public Utilities Committee be authorized to consider the question of the disposal of Public Improvement Bonds, and make their recommendations to this Board as to the manner in which we will use the Federal grant.

Also, go into the question of consulting with the proper people as to whether the bond market will absorb large blocks of these bonds or whether the Government will take them all.

Notify various syndicates, Public Utilities Commission, and others interested of meeting Thursday at 3 p. m.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Traffic Hazard at Twenty-fourth and Dolores Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk communicate with the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department, requesting the result of their studies on traffic hazard at the intersection of Twenty-fourth and Dolores streets, and what is recommended in way of protection.

Motion *carried.*

Hunger March Committee Hearing.

A. Romney, Enoch Bryant, Victor Peterson and J. Finegold were granted the privilege of the floor, representing the Charity Workers' Protective Association. Cash relief for unemployed single men and women was urged. Charges were made that charity workers were employed to haul loam, cobble stones and to pull tree stumps for public officials and private persons.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5:50 p. m. took a recess until 4 p. m., Wednesday, November 15, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1933, 4 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled pursuant to recess of Monday, November 13, 1933, for the purpose of receiving petitions filed for a proposed School Bond Issue of $3,000,000.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Coleman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 6, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Communication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, November 15, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sirs: We herewith present to your honorable body 502 sections of a petition for the purpose of voting on school bonds, purporting to contain 27,798 names, and request that your honorable Board take such measures as will secure for it a place on the ballot for election to be held December 19, 1933.

Yours very truly,

MRS. ALBERT W. STOKES,
Director Campaign.
MRS. HARRY GEBALLE,
President, City and County Federation of Women's Clubs.
MRS. L. P. BOYCE,
Secretary.

Proposed School Bond Issue.

On September 26, 1933, the following program was presented to the Board of Education covering the work to be performed under a proposed school building program for San Francisco in the amount of $3,000,000, as a proposed public works project under the NRA, and also the item of $500,000 included in the Budget for this year.

Replacement.

Glen Park	$300,000
Agassiz	175,000
Sunshine	115,000
Buena Vista	200,000
Visitacion	250,000

Removal Top Floors and Offset with New Structure.

Starr King	95,000
I. M. Scott	75,000
Patrick Henry	85,000

Partial Replacement.

Francis Scott Key	100,000

Sundry Structural Possible Requirements.

	420,000

New Projects.

George Washington	1,200,000
Marina Junior High	385,000
Lawton	100,000
	$3,500,000

The Board of Education approved the report as submitted, subject to the approval of the bonds submitted to the people at the special election of December 19, 1933.

Petitions Filed.

Thereupon, the Clerk announced that the aforesaid petitions were filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at 3:45 p. m., November 15, 1933. Said petition requesting the Board of Supervisors to call an election for the purpose of voting bonds for school purposes. This petition was filed by Mrs. A. W. Stokes, Mrs. Harry Geballe, and Mrs. L. P. Boyce, all of San Francisco.

Whereupon, it was duly moved and *carried*, on motion of Supervisor

Peyser, that the Registrar of Voters be directed to examine into the authenticity and sufficiency of the signatures to this petition.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Protest of Francis Scott Key School Proponents.

Supervisor Gallagher brought attention to the protest of Mrs. Best and Mrs. Dowling, representing the Francis Scott Key School's allotment in the proposed bond issue, but was informed by Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm that nothing could be done about it. He said that the Board of Supervisors could not itself submit a bond issue, because it has already been submitted within the past six months, but this petition must be submitted. Your jurisdiction, he said, is limited to the fact as to when you wish to submit this to the voters.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Determining and Declaring that Public Interest and Necessity Demand Acquisition and Construction of New School House Buildings.

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 1128, as follows:

Determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by a vote of more than two-thirds of all of its members, does hereby determine that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and which are necessary and convenient to carry out the objects, purposes and powers of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine that the cost of said school house buildings, lands, structures and other property necessary and convenient to be used in and about said school house buildings will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County, and that a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness for the purposes herein mentioned should be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

Mrs. Albert W. Stokes, Director of Campaign, explained the work of the women's clubs in outlining the program and getting signatures to the petition.

President Hayden complimented the women's clubs and thanked them on behalf of the Board of Supervisors for their laudable enterprise.

President Hayden thereupon signed the foregoing resolution so the work might proceed without delay.

Telegram.

On motion of Supervisor Colman the following was ordered sent to Mayor Rossi:

San Francisco, November 15, 1933.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D. C.

Today the Public Utilities Committee had a consultation with representatives of all important bond houses, who advised that the bond market generally and also the municipal bond market has fallen decidedly, and that their best judgment is that our bonds recently voted will be bid on a basis that the bonds will pay at least 5½ per cent interest. We deem this rate of interest excessive, as representations were made during bond campaign that bonds would be marketed paying 4½ per cent interest. It is, therefore, evident if the Government would buy our bonds at 4 per cent it would result very greatly to the interest of San Francisco and would put men to work very much sooner. Your attention is directed that an interest rate of 5½ per cent spread over, in some instances, the next thirty years would result in an excessively high cost of the projects approved by the people, and if such is shown to be the fact it may result in the rejection of all bids for the purchase of bonds, which would delay the prosecution of the work approved by the people and delay the placing of men to work. It has been estimated that 5½ per cent interest would result in an added charge to the City of three and one-quarter million dollars as compared with bonds sold bearing 4 per cent interest. Without jeopardizing the present basis upon which the Government has made a grant of 30 per cent of cost of labor and materials used in the bond projects, we recommend you use your best efforts to interest the Government in purchasing our bonds at 4 per cent interest rate and thus keep down the cost of the projects to the City and hasten the placing of men in jobs.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Agreement with Director of Public Works to Make Surveys, Plans, etc., for Improvement of State Highway Route No. 68.

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 1133, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California, through its Department of Public Works, is about to expend certain moneys to be received from the Government of the United States under the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act for the construction of through highways in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is necessary that the City and County of San Francisco, through its Department of Public Works, prepare the necessary plans, specifications and surveys for the doing of said work; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works of the State of California has offered to reimburse the City and County of San Francisco for all moneys expended in the preparation of said plans, specifications and surveys in an amount not to exceed the sum of $40,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Director of Public Works of the State of California to the effect that the City and County of San Francisco will, through its Department of Public Works, make and prepare the necessary surveys, plans and specifications for the improvement of portions of State highway, Route No. 68, and that in consideration of the preparation of said plans, specifications and surveys the State of California will, through its Director of Public Works, reimburse

the City and County of San Francisco for the expense of making said plans, specifications and surveys in an amount not to exceed $40,000, which said sum shall be payable from the amount due, or to become due, the City and County of San Francisco as its share of the one-quarter cent gas tax to be paid to the City and County of San Francisco as provided by law; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, or Acting Mayor, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be, and they are hereby authorized to execute said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—13.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Stanton—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board at the hour of 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 20, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 28—New Series No. 49

Monday, November 20, 1933

Tuesday, November 21, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2010 with funding from
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign
http://www.archive.org/details/journalofproceed1911amer

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 20, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meeting of November 13 and 15, 1933, were considered read and approved.

Petition to the Board of Supervisors to Call an Election for the Purpose of Voting Bonds for School Purposes.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered filed and spread in the Journal*:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

We, the undersigned, registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, and comprising, with other registered voters and qualified electors whose names are signed to other papers of identical tenor and effect, and being the number of registered voters and qualified electors equal to fifteen per cent (15%) of the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco computed upon the total number of votes cast in said City and County for all candidates for Governor at the last preceding election at which a Governor was elected in the State of California, petition your Honorable Board to submit to the registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held in said City and County on the 19th day of December, 1933, a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million ($3,000,000) dollars for the acquisition and construction of new school house buildings in said City and County and for the acquisition of necessary lands therefor and for the acquisition of certain furnishings and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings in said City and County.

That none of the bonds representing said bonded indebtedness above mentioned shall be offered for sale or sold unless the United States government, through the National Recovery Act, or other legislation, or the administration board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than thirty per

cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described herein.

This petition is submitted to your Honorable Board under and pursuant to the provisions of Section 3 of an act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "an act authorizing the incurring of indebtedness by cities, towns and municipal corporations for municipal improvements and regulating the acquisition, construction or completion thereof," which said act became effective on February 15, 1901.

(*Here follow signatures.*)

Registrar of Voters' Certificate to Original Petition.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

I, C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing initiative petition to which this certificate is attached was left for examination in the office of the Registrar of Voters of said City and County on the 15th day of November, 1933; that said petition consists of 614 sections and that all of said sections were left at the same time; that each section contains signatures, places of residence and dates purporting to be respectively the signatures of qualified electors of said City and County the places of residence of such electors and the dates upon which such electors had respectively signed said petition; that attached to said petition at the time the same was left as aforesaid was an affidavit purporting to be the affidavit of the person who solicited the signatures thereon; that the person by whom said affidavit purports to have been taken and verified was at the time thereof an officer authorized to administer oaths; that therein affiant stated his own qualifications; that he had solicited the signatures upon said section, that all of said signatures were made in his presence and that to the best of his knowledge and belief each signature to the said section was the genuine signature of the person whose name it purports to be.

That after said petition was left as aforesaid I, as said Registrar of Voters, examined the affidavits of registration in said City and County, and the records relating thereto, current and in effect at the respective purported dates of such signing, to determine therefrom what number of qualified electors signed said petition and had respectively fixed thereto the purported dates of their signing, and from said examination I, as said Registrar of Voters, have determined that said initiative petition was and is signed by 22,853 qualified electors of said City and County, each of whom had affixed to said petition a date purporting to be the date when such elector had signed such petition and each of whom was a registered qualified elector of said City and County on said date.

In witness whereof, I, said C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal this 20th day of November, 1933.

(Seal) C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters, City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

General Municipal Election and Special Bond Election Results.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered filed and spread in the Journal*:

Statement of votes, marked "A-K," cast at Municipal Election and Special Bond Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, November 7, 1933; also the following:

November 20, 1933.

City and County of San Francisco

Department of Elections Office, Registrar of Voters.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sir: This is to certify that the total vote cast and to the total

vote cast for each successful candidate for offices voted for at the General Municipal Election held on Tuesday, November 7, 1933, in the City and County of San Francisco, as set forth in the Statement of Votes marked "A-K" of said election is as follows:

Total Votes Cast 165,991

For Supervisor:

Franck R. Havenner 61,093
James B. McSheehy 55,263
John M. Ratto 52,413
Adolph E. Schmidt 52,804
Adolph Uhl 74,028

For Treasurer:

Duncan Matheson 92,367

For City Attorney:

John J. O'Toole 91,415

For Judge, Municipal Court No. 1:

Thomas F. Prendergast 102,125

For Judge, Municipal Court No. 2:

George J. Steiger 109,267

For Judge, Municipal Court No. 3:

Alfred J. Fritz 95,966

For Judge, Municipal Court No. 4:

Sylvain J. Lazarus 105,773

For Member, Board of Education:

Richard E. Doyle ..... Yes 84,170
..... No 29,024

Very Respectfully,

C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

Approval of Record Book Statement of Votes Cast at General Municipal and Special Bond Election, November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1141, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked Statement of Votes polled at General Municipal and Special Bond Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 7, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the General Municipal and Special Bond Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 7, 1933, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to each of such persons; also such statement shows the bond propisitions voted on, the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against each such bond propositions, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for and against each such bond proposition.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—11.
Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—4.

Statement of Votes Cast at Special Election, Tuesday, November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1142, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "A-K," Statement of Votes polled at Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 7, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election for the bond propositions, entitled "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933"; "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933"; Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933"; "Sewer Bonds, 1933"; "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933"; "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933"; "Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933"; "Warehouse Bonds, 1933"; "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933"; "Airport Bonds, 1933"; Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933"; "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933"; "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933"; which special election was called to be held and consolidated with the General Municipal Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 7, 1933, pursuant to the provisions of Bill No. 436, Ordinance No. 15.0211; Bill No. 437, Ordinance No. 15.0311; Bill No. 438, Ordinance No. 15.0312; Bill No. 439, Ordinance No. 12.1223; Bill No. 440, Ordinance No. 11.04341; Bill No. 441, Ordinance No. 23.0111; Bill No. 442, Ordinance No. 12.1211; Bill No. 443, Ordinance No. 9.0821; Bill No. 444, Ordinance No. 23.0112; Bill No. 445, Ordinance No. 15.0511; Bill No. 446, Ordinance No. 18.061; Bill No. 447, Ordinance No. 11.01411; and Bill No. 448, Ordinance No. 15.0411, of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; that said statement shows the number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on each bond proposition, that of said number of votes cast 105,279 were cast and counted in favor of "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933" and 42,878 votes were cast and counted against said "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933"; 102,542 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933" and 45,057 votes were cast and counted against said "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933"; 71,746 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933" and 73,010 votes were cast and counted against said "Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933"; 102,902 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Sewer Bonds, 1933" and 42,064 votes were cast and counted against said "Sewer Bonds, 1933"; 96,520 votes were cast and counted in favor of "High Pressure Bonds, 1933" and 46,563 votes were cast and counted against said "High Pressure Bonds, 1933"; 66,802 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933" and 76,350 votes were cast and counted against said "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933"; 87,345 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933" and 58,273 votes were cast and counted against said "Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933"; 71,966 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Warehouse Bonds, 1933" and 67,633 votes were cast and counted against said "Warehouse Bonds, 1933"; 70,446 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933" and 72,518 votes were cast and counted against said "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933"; 106,339 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Airport Bonds, 1933" and 40,277 votes were cast and counted against said "Airport Bonds, 1933"; 62,906 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933" and 70,043 votes were cast and counted against said "Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933"; 64,076 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933" and 77,984 votes were cast and counted against said "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933"; 76,438 votes were cast and counted in favor of "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933" and 68,330 votes were cast and counted against said "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933"; that such statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the total number cast in each voting precinct therein; also such statement shows the whole number of

votes cast for and against said "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933"; "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933"; "Red Mountain Bar Bonds, 1933"; "Sewer Bonds, 1933"; "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933"; "Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1933"; "Boulevards and Streets Bonds, 1933"; "Warehouse Bonds, 1933"; "Aquatic Park Bonds, 1933"; "Airport Bonds, 1933"; "Agricultural Buildings Bonds, 1933"; "Hall of Justice Bonds, 1933"; and "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds, 1933"; propositions in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the number cast for and against such propositions in each voting precinct therein.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—11.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—4.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southeast corner of Brussels street and Woolsey street.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. Oppenheim, attorney representing appellant, urged that the City Planning Commission be overruled and the property rezoned to permit the applicant to conduct a grocery business and eke out an existence for his wife and three children. He declared that the applicant has forty-five signatures favoring the change.

Albert J. McGuire, attorney representing the protestants, also Mr. Wall and T. W. Hoppe were heard in opposition.

Con Collonan, member of the City Planning Commission, was also heard.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission, Denying Application to Rezone From First Residential to Commercial District, Southeast Corner Brussels and Woolsey Streets.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1043, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by Resolution No. 935, denying an application to rezone property located at the southeast corner of Brussels and Woolsey streets, from First Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District property located at the southwest corner of Dewey and Laguna Honda boulevards.

Privilege of the Floor.

H. Weinberger, attorney representing the protestants, was heard at length urging that the decision of the City Planning Commission be overruled.

Milton Marks, attorney for Mr. Marculescu, was heard in support of the decision of the City Planning Commission and represented that the applicant intended to construct on the corner a number of stores and eventually to add a second story to the building which would be architecturally an improvement to the district and not detract from its rank as a desirable residential district.

Later in the discussion when it developed on Supervisor Brown's inquiry and the applicant's avowal that the present intention was to put an oil station on the corner, Attorney Marks announced his withdrawal as attorney for the applicant and requested that same be made a matter of record.

Messrs. Meyers, De Golia, Chapin, Collonan and Mrs. Stokes, members of the City Planning Commission, were also heard.

City Planning Commission Sustained.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Application to Rezone From First Residential to Commercial District, Southwest Corner Dewey and Laguna Honda Boulevards.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1044, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by Resolution No. 948, granting an application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of Dewey and Laguna Honda boulevards, from First Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Power—8.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Miles, Shannon, Spaulding—4.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Amending Contract Procedure Ordinance to Provide for Compliance With the Provisions of NRA and CRA Codes.

(Code No. 9.087)

Bill No. 460, Ordinance No. 9.0873, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0871 "Establishing the procedure for and regulating the entering into contracts for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or to be collected by the City and County, and repealing Ordinance No. 9130 (New Series), in effect January 6, 1932," by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 15, providing that in all contracts entered into between the City and County of San Francisco or any officer, board, commission or department thereof for the construction of any public improvement or improvements of any public work, the provisions of any code adopted under and pursuant to the authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or under authority of the California Industrial Recovery Act, or of any act supplemental thereto, be made a condition in said contract, and fixing penalties for the violation of said provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0871, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following section, to be known as Section 15 thereof.

Section 15. (a) That notwithstanding any conflicting condition or provision contained in this ordinance, or in any other ordinace of the City and County of San Francisco, all contracts hereafter entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department, officer, board or commission, for and on behalf of said City and County, for the construction of any public improvement, or for the performance of any public work, shall contain a provision to the effect that all work to be performed under said contract and the compensation to be paid to persons employed in the performance of labor under said contract, and the hours during each day during which said labor shall be performed or services shall be rendered, shall be subject to the provisions of any code adopted or enacted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto. That said contracts shall further contain a provision to the effect that in the performance of said contract and in the hiring and paying for labor or services used in and about the performance of said contract, the contractor will abide by all the terms and conditions of any code heretofore or hereafter adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, or whichever of said acts shall prevail one over the other. Provided, however, that in all cases where the highest general prevailing rate of wage or maximum hours of labor for persons performing labor or rendering services on said contract as heretofore or hereafter fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors shall be higher than the rate of wage or lower than the maximum hours of work per day fixed or provided by any code adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, then, in that event, the said highest general prevailing rate of wage and maximum hours of work per day as fixed by said Board of Supervisors shall prevail over any rate of wage fixed by any code so adopted.

(b) That any and all penalties heretofore fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors for the violation of any ordinance or resolution providing for the payment of the highest general prevailing rate of wage for persons performing labor or rendering services under any contract hereafter entered into shall apply to the violation of any provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency measure, and it is hereby declared by the vote by which the ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the facts constituting said emergency being as follows:

That at the present time there are various public improvements for which contracts must be let, and that it is necessary that the provisions of this ordinance be inserted in the contracts for said improvements, and that it is necessary that this ordinance become effective at once in order to comply with the time limitations provided by law for the entering into said contracts.

Prevailing Wage Scale.

Supervisor Miles in this connection suggested that this Board should bring in a prevailing wage scale before the 1st of January.

Referred to Finance Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *laid over one week*:

Clerk Directed to Advertise Notice of Sale of $1,200,000 "Relief Bonds, 1932."

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1134, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale), that on the 4th day of December, 1933, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

One million two hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1; comprising 100 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

(Request of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and the Controller.)

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refunds of Taxes Paid on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1135, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the following named persons; being refunds of taxes paid on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

(1) To G. Bertoni, over assessment on auto, per Vol. 42, page 211, Lot 6, Block 7061, 1933 Real Estate Rolls, $11.48.

(2) To Ernest Evans, account of Veterans' Exemption, per Vol. 10, Lot 8B, Block 1490, 1933 Real Estate Rolls, $25.06.

(3) To K. C. Sutcliffe, clerical error, per Vol. 38, page 53, Lot 7a, Block 6343, 1933 Real Estate Rolls, $1.04.

(4) To John Barrett, clerical error, per Vol. 8, Lot 7, Block 1250, page 81, 1933-1934 Real Estate Rolls, $107.46.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriation of $3,000 Out of County Road Fund Toward the Assessment for the Improvement of Woodside Avenue Between Laguna Honda Boulevard and Portola Drive at Private Property.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 463, Ordinance No. 9.051101, as follows:

Appropriating $3,000 out of Appropriation 150-10 (County Road Fund), as aid from the City and County of San Francisco toward the payment of assessment for the improvement of Woodside avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive fronting private property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,000 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 150-10 (County Road Fund), as aid from the City and County of

San Francisco toward the payment of the assessment for the improvement of Woodside avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive fronting private property.

(Recommendation of Department of Public Works.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deeds for Lands for Boulevards and Roads.
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1140, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Amelia P Hogan (Lot 39 in Assessor's Block 5614).....$100.00
Johanna G. Fitzgerald and Pearl Ruthe (Lot 3, Assessor's Block 5631) 150.00
Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Acceptance of Deed to Land Required for Water Department.
(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1136, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named parties to certain land in San Francisco, and that the sum set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the Water Department Land Purchase Fund:

Martin J. Reeves and Marie J. Reeves, $2,805, Lot 6-A in Assessor's Block 6039.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Acceptance of Deeds to Land Required by Water Department.
(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1137, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the Water Department Land Purchase Fund:

Wm. M. Bahnick et ux. (Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6039)....$3,250.00
W. Mayo Newhall, as Trustee of the Estate of Walter S. Newhall, Deceased (Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Assessor's Block 6040) 7,500.00
Wm. M. Gainer et ux. (Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 6039)..... 850.00
Alec Leep (Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6039)..... 1,550.00
A. W. Kirk (Lots 2 and 3 in Assessor's Block 6039)..... 2,907.50

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Additional Extension of Time Granted Veranus Ellinwood et ux. to Ascertain Amount of Any Damages Caused by Disappearance or Diminution of Water on His Property Due to Hetch Hetchy Construction.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 1138, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated August 10, 1926, from Veranus Ellinwood et ux., to an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said Veranus Ellinwood has requested the Director of Property to extend said time limit to October 1, 1934, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement between Veranus Ellinwood et ux. and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1934, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Additional Extension of Time Granted Thomas M. Hughes et ux., to Ascertain Amount of Any Damages Caused by Disappearance or Diminution of Water on Their Property Due to Hetch Hetchy Construction.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 1139, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25094 (New Series) of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated February 16, 1926, from Thomas M. Hughes et ux., to certain property including an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said Thomas M. Hughes has requested the Director of Property to extend said time limit to October 1, 1934, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement between Thomas M. Hughes and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1934, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Miles and *passed for second reading*:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for $3,000,000 School Bond Issue.

(Code No. 21.31)

Bill No. 464, Ordinance No. 21.312, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The proposition of incurring a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1128, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of three million dollars ($3,000,000), and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or

other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Special State Election to be held Tuesday, December 19, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Special State Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said Special State Election. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Special State Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following: "School House Bonds, 1934—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor, and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitue a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1934, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the

City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "School House Bonds, 1934," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

All bonds issued hereunder are exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. The election called hereunder is done so pursuant to an initiative petition circulated and signed by registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco and equal in number to 15 per cent of the qualified electors computed upon the total number of votes cast in said City and County for all candidates for Governor at the last preceding election at which a Governor was elected in the State of California.

Section 10. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Action Rescinded.

Subsequently the foregoing vote was rescinded on motion of Supervisor Miles by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Amendment.

Thereupon, Supervisor Miles moved that Section 6, fourth line from the end, the words and figures $75,000 be changed to read $300,000, thereby providing for amortization of bonds in ten years instead of forty years as previously intended.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding—10.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Shannon—2.
Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for $3,000,000 School Bond Issue.

(Code No. 21.31)

Bill No. 464, Ordinance No. 21.312, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The proposition of incurring a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1128, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of three million dollars ($3,000,000), and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Special State Election to be held on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Special State Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said Special State Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Special State Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"School House Bonds, 1934—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor, and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1934, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "School House Bonds, 1934," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $300,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $300,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the

bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

All bonds issued hereunder are exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. The election called hereunder is done so pursuant to an initiative petition circulated and signed by registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco and equal in number to 15 per cent of the qualified electors computed upon the total number of votes cast in said City and County for all candidates for Governor at the last preceding election at which a Governor was elected in the State of California.

Section 10. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Fee Ordinance for Agricultural Products Certificates.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following, which was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: At the suggestion of Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, and as Agricultural Commissioner for the City and County of San Francisco, I beg leave herewith to present a proposed ordinance affecting my department, entitled "Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to issue certificates determining the condition of agricultural products, and providing a fee for the issuance thereof," and which ordinance prescribes fees which I deem fair and which will produce a revenue sufficient to make my department partly self-supporting.

I have requested Supervisor Gallagher to father this ordinance in the Board of Supervisors and I would respectfully ask that the matter be presented for hearing at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully yours,

W. F. CARROLL,
Agricultural Commissioner for the
City and County of San Francisco.

Providing for Fees to Be Charged by Agricultural Commissioner. (Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 465, Ordinance No. 3.04130, as follows:

Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to issue certificates determining the condition of agricultural products, and providing a fee for the issuance thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The County Agricultural Commissioner is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect all fruits and produce purchased by the City and County of San Francisco when said commodities are purchased through the medium of bids in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0861.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed to provide for said inspection in the invitation for bids on the commodities governed by this ordinance.

Section 3. The County Agricultural Commissioner is hereby authorized to issue inspection certificates on any agricultural shipment upon the request of the shipper and the receipt of the proper fee.

Section 4. The fees provided for in this ordinance shall be as follows, to-wit:

Fees for Export Inspection.

All Lots of Fruit and Produce on Which a Certificate Is Issued Shall Be Considered a Truck Load.

1. Minimum of 25 cents per certificate.
2. 1½ cents per package with a maximum of $3 for 500 packages.
3. ½ cent per package from 500 to 1000.
   ¼ per package for all over 1000.
4. For certificates of fumigation 25 cents.

Fees for Inspection of Feed Products for City Institutions.

1. Potatoes, onions, beans, rice, etc., 2 cents per 100 pounds.
2. Dried fruits 3 cents per 100 pounds.
3. Butter and cheese 5 cents per 100 pounds.
4. Eggs 3 cents per case.

Section 5. The County Agricultural Commissioner, subject to the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby empowered to fix the fees for the issuance of certificates provided for herein. Provided, however, that fees for export certificates shall be in accordance with the provisions of Section 102 of the Agricultural Code of the State of California.

Providing Fees to Be Charged by Agricultural Commissioner.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from W. F. Carroll, Agricultural Commissioner, presenting draft of ordinance affecting the office of Agricultural Commissioner for the City and County of San Francisco, which authorizes the County Agricultural Commissioner to issue certificates determining the condition of agricultural products and providing a fee for the issuance thereof.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Congestion at Kezar Stadium.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Public Welfare Committee be requested to investigate immediately and be authorized to make representations to the Board of Park Commissioners for the correction of the congested condition at the gates of the Kezar Stadium on occasions like Sunday, November 19, when the Santa Clara-St. Mary's football game was held and at which great confusion resulted.

Motion *carried.*

Regulation of Sale of Liquor.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Canepa, moved that a special committee of three members of this Board of Supervisors be appointed to confer with civic and State bodies interested, and report on the subject of proposed liquor legislation after the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment goes into effect.

Referred to Legislative Committee.

Terminals for Interurban Traffic.

Supervisor Gallagher declared that he was informed that there were conferences going on in San Francisco relative to the location of proposed terminals for the interurban cars coming across the bay bridges into San Francisco, and adding that in any such conferences the Board of Supervisors should be represented. Whereupon, he moved that the Public Utilities Committee get in touch with this situation and secure, through the President of the Board, an invitation to participate in any conference dealing with the laying out of terminals, coming from trans-bay bridges.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Civic Works Relief Program

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk of this Board be deputized at once to obtain from the proper source, information as to the selection of projects, employment of workers, and administration of funds, in connection with the Federal Civic Works Relief Program which is about to be put into effect in San Francisco.

Motion *carried.*

California State Automobile Association Meeting and Banquet.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to an invitation from the California State Automobile Association to the members of the Board of Supervisors to attend meeting and banquet of said organization at Reno, Nevada, December 9, 1933.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Resignation of Geo. T. Cameron, Golden Gate Bridge Director.

The following were read by the Clerk:

Communication from Geo. T. Cameron, advising as to his resignation as a director and member of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge & Highway District and giving his reasons.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Repeal of Conscript Law on Forest Fire Fighters.

Also, communication from Frederick H. Cowles, executive board, American Green Cross, requesting cooperation in repealing State conscript law whereby City and State's unemployed are forced to fight forest fires without previous training for the work and without choice.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, Member of Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 20, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for an extended leave of absence, for a period of two months, effective November 26, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this extension.

Respectfully,

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1045, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, be

and is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty days from and after November 26, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Pelief Hearing.

John Williams of 1647 Folsom street was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor McSheehy and protested the proposed eviction of himself and family today for non-payment of rent. He had only paid one month's rent where he has now been for the last six months and is asked by the Relief Committee to seek other accommodations, for which it will pay the first month's rent.

Geo. Steadman also protested the proposed eviction of himself and family, declaring that it is almost impossible to get a place when the landlord finds you are on relief.

Report of Arthur Warren, Secretary for Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following, which was read and ordered printed in the record:

Williams, by telephone, 11-21-33.

About three weeks ago Williams called to see his visitor, saying he had trouble with his landlord, who wanted him to move. He was asked to find out how much he owed his landlord and help would be given him. *He never came back.* Then came the eviction notice. He was immediately seen and was asked to look for another place, and the Relief Administration would pay a month's rent. He refuses to do so.

The Relief Administration has persuaded the Sheriff's office to postpone the eviction until tomorrow, the 21st, in the hope Williams will secure another house. This he will not do.

WARREN.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon moved that the Clerk be requested not to evict Mr. Williams tomorrow and that Mr. Williams confer with Mr. Warren and see what can be done.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:55 p. m. took a recess until 4 p. m. tomorrow, Tuesday, November 21, 1933.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1933, 4:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled pursuant to recess of Monday, November 20, 1933.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Roncovieri was reported ill at the French Hospital.

On motion, the Clerk was directed to send a letter to Supervisor

Alfred Roncovieri, who is being treated for sciatic rheumatism in the French Hospital, expressing the sympathy of the Board and its hope for a speedy recovery.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of 1933 Public Improvement Bonds.

(Code No. 15.021)
(Code No. 15.031)
(Code No. 12.122)
(Code No. 11.0434)
(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 1147, as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized by special election held November 7, 1933, for the Extension of the Water Distribution System, Elevation of Hetch Hetchy Dam, Extension of Sewers, Extension of High Pressure System, and Improvement of the San Francisco Airport, and providing form of bonds.

Resolved: Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 7th day of November, 1933. Resolution No. 1142 of this Board shows the following bond propositions received more than two-thirds affirmative votes at said election in favor of incurring bonded indebtedness of $12,095,000 for "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933"; $3,500,000 for "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933"; $2,625,000 for "Sewer Bonds, 1933"; $2,000,000 for "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933"; and $260,000 for "Airport Bonds, 1933."

Section 2. That bonds with coupons attached thereto, of the City and County of San Francisco, are to be issued, printed, lithographed or engraved, and the bonds are to contain the facsimile signature of the Mayor and to be signed by the Treasurer of the City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the signature of the Treasurer to the coupons of said bonds shall be by facsimile.

The bonds authorized to be issued and the amounts are: $12,095,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 15.0211; $3,500,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 15.0311; $2,625,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 12.1223; $2,000,000 "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 11.04341; and $260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 15.0511.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

Bond No. ————

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.
(Name of Bond)

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of December, ——, of — per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, December 1 and June 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of

said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on his bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of said City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and has caused the interest coupons attached thereto to be signed by its Treasurer, and the bond to be dated the 1st day of December, 1933, the signature of the Mayor to said bond and the signature of the Treasurer to said coupons attached thereto being by facsimile.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Coupon

On....., 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ...dollars ($.....) in lawful money of the United States, being

six months' interest then due on its bond dated December 1, 1933, number.....

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration

San Francisco, ..., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 5. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sales of said bonds shall be placed in the Treasury to the credit of, respectively, the "1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund"; "1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund"; "1933 Sewer Bond Fund"; "1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund"; and "1933 Airport Bond Fund", which funds are hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purposes for which such bonds were issued.

Section 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—5.

Directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Advertise for Bids for the Sale of Water Distribution, Hetch Hetchy Dam, Sewer, High Pressure System, and Airport Bonds.

(Code No. 15.021)
(Code No. 15.031)
(Code No. 12.122)
(Code No. 11.0434)
(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 1148, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a Notice of Sale that on the 4th day of December, 1933, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p. m. on said date for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

$12,095,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 12,095, both inclusive, and shall be payable $605,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $605,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 19 years, and $600,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$3,500,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, an

$175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$2,625,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 2625, both inclusive, and shall be payable $88,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $88,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for twenty-nine years, and $73,000 thereof in the thirtieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$2,000,000 "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 260, both inclusive, and shall be payable $52,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $52,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

All bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder; interest shall be payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—5.

In Memoriam: Peter J. McCormick.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1150, as follows:

Whereas, death has called Peter J. McCormick, well and popularly known as a member of the firm of McBrearty & McCormick, and as an outstanding leader in the local councils of the Democratic party; and

Whereas, Peter J. McCormick was identified with many of the members of this Board of Supervisors in social, fraternal and political activities over a life-time of pleasant, loyal and friendly association; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of Peter J. McCormick, faithful comrade and loyal friend; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be addressed to the family of the deceased and spread upon the minutes of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

The Chair, thereupon, appointed Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Power, Gallagher and Shannon to attend the funeral services of Peter J. McCormick and represent the Board of Supervisors.

In Memoriam: Edward Coffey.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1149, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with deepest sorrow the passing of genial Edward Coffey, who, as Secretary for the Board of Health for upwards of thirty years, served his native City faithfully and well.

His was a character that delighted in the helpful service to those in distress and need—a humane man who, in his capacity as Secretary to the Board of Health, did much to alleviate misery and sickness among those who came under his observation.

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of Edward Coffey; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased and be spread upon the minutes of this Board of Supervisors.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was presented and *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Preliminary Engineering Costs in Connection With Construction of Proposed Sewer and High Pressure Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 466, Ordinance No. 9.051102, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding in the aggregate of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-1934 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be re-charged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be re-charged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Peyser, Roncovieri, Spaulding, Stanton—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 4:55 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 27, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 50

Monday, November 27, 1933
Friday, December 1, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 27, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 3:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of the meetings of November 20 and 21, 1933, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Referred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Miles, *referred to the Civil Service Commission*:

Amending Contract Procedure Ordinance to Provide for Compliance With the Provisions of NRA and CRA Codes.

(Code No. 9.087)

Bill No. 460, Ordinance No. 9.0873, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0871 "Establishing the procedure for and regulating the entering into contracts for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or to be collected by the City and County, and repealing Ordinance No. 9130 (New Series), in effect January 6, 1932," by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 15, providing that in all contracts entered into between the City and County of San Francisco or any officer, board, commission or department thereof for the construction of any public improvement or improvements of any public work, the provisions of any code adopted under and pursuant to the authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or under authority of the California Industrial Recovery Act, or of any act supplemental thereto, be made a condition in said contract, and fixing penalties for the violation of said provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0871, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following section, to be known as Section 15 thereof.

Section 15. (a) That notwithstanding any conflicting condition or provision contained in this ordinance, or in any other ordinace of the City and County of San Francisco, all contracts hereafter entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department, officer, board or commission, for and on behalf of said City and County, for the construction of any public improvement, or for the performance of any public work, shall contain a provision to the effect that all work to be performed under said contract and the compensation to be paid to persons employed in the performance of labor under said contract, and the hours during each day during which said labor shall be performed or services shall be rendered, shall be subject to the provisions of any code adopted or enacted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto. That said contracts shall further contain a provision to the effect that in the performance of said contract and in the hiring and paying for labor or services used in and about the performance of said contract, the contractor will abide by all the terms and conditions of any code heretofore or hereafter adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, or whichever of said acts shall prevail one over the other. Provided, however, that in all cases where the highest general prevailing rate of wage or maximum hours of labor for persons performing labor or rendering services on said contract as heretofore or hereafter fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors shall be higher than the rate of wage or lower than the maximum hours of work per day fixed or provided by any code adopted under authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act or the California Industrial Recovery Act, or any act supplemental thereto, then, in that event, the said highest general prevailing rate of wage and maximum hours of work per day as fixed by said Board of Supervisors shall prevail over any rate of wage fixed by any code so adopted.

(b) That any and all penalties heretofore fixed by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors for the violation of any ordinance or resolution providing for the payment of the highest general prevailing rate of wage for persons performing labor or rendering services under any contract hereafter entered into shall apply to the violation of any provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency measure, and it is hereby declared by the vote by which the ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, the facts constituting said emergency being as follows:

That at the present time there are various public improvements for which contracts must be let, and that it is necessary that the provisions of this ordinance be inserted in the contracts for said improvements, and that it is necessary that this ordinance become effective at once in order to comply with the time limitations provided by law for the entering into said contracts.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $558 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for the Employment of Two Temporary Employees in the Office of the Treasurer From November 1 to December 31, 1933, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 458, Ordinance No. 9.05197, as follows:

Appropriating $558 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Re-

serve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Budget Item No. 14-1, Budget of 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from November 1 to December 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $558 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Budget Item No. 14-1, Treasurer's Office Personal Service, Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the employment of two temporary employees from November 1 to December 31, 1933, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

(Approved by the Mayor and Director of Finance.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Appropriating $50,000 for Expense of Special Election, December 19, 1933, Water Conservation.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 461, Ordinance No. 9.05199, as follows:

Appropriating $50,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the estimated cost of holding of Special Election, December 19, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated the sum of $50,000 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) for the estimated cost of the holding of Special Election, December 19, 1933.

(Approved by the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Re-Referred.

The following matter was, on motion, *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

Clerk Directed to Advertise Notice of Sale of $1,200,000 "Relief Bonds, 1932."

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1134, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale), that on the 4th day of December, 1933, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

One million two hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1; comprising 100 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

(Request of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and the Controller.)

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1151, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Irene A. McMaster (Lot 7, in Assessor's Block 5614).....$100
Etta Reichhold et al. (Lots 10, 11 and 12, in Assessor's Block 5629) 375
Alfred O. Pimentel et al. (Lot 10, in Assessor's Block 5614)..... 100
Francisco Pereira Da Silva Neves (Lots 26 and 27, in Assessor's Block 5641) 250

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1152, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Eugenie C. Neper (Lots 5, 6, 7, 42 and 43, in Assessor's Block 5547) $500
Catherine Becker (Lot 8, in Assessor's Block 5626)..... 100

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1153, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named party to those certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sum set forth opposite its name be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

G. J. Doering Building Company (Lots 28 and 29, Block 5614, as per the Assessor's current block books) $200

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1154, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named party to that certain lot in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sum set forth opposite his name be paid for said lot from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Marcel E. Cerf, as executor of the Estate of James Ellison, deceased (Lot 28, in Assessor's Block 5549) $150

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1155, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

The McCarthy Company (Lot 26, in Assessor's Block 5623).....$100
J. W. Bearup (Lot 8, in Assessor's Block 5614)..... 100
Jacob Mayer (Lot 7, in Assessor's Block 5631)..... 150

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1156, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

C. J. Tilden (Lot 38, in Assessor's Block 5614).....$100
Hannah Tamony (Lot 44, in Assessor's Block 5614)..... 100

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1157, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from N. Hansen Company, Ltd., to Lots 14 and 15, in Assessor's Block

5614, and that the sum of $200 be paid for said land out of Appropriation No. 170, 1931-1932.

Chargeable to Appropriation 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Requesting Civil Service Commission to Submit Data Relative to the Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages.

(Code No. 9.087)

Also, Resolution No. 1158, as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be and is hereby requested to furnish to the Board of Supervisors forthwith data as to the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rate of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, giving due consideration to N. R. A. and C. R. A. codes; said information to be furnished to said Board of Supervisors for the purpose of enabling the Board of Supervisors to fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be paid by contractors performing public work for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $541.50 for the Cost of Improving the Easterly Side of Venard Alley, Southerly From Chestnut Street, in Front of Property Owned by the State; Payable Out of County Road Fund.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Bill No. 467, Ordinance No. 9.051103, as follows:

Appropriating $541.50 out of County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/10) for the cost of improving the easterly side of Venard alley southerly from Chestnut street, in front of property owned by the State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $541.50 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/10) for the cost of the improvement of the easterly side of Venard alley southerly from Chestnut street, in front of property owned by the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Adding Item 75½, Providing for Special Nurse, as Needed, Twelve Hours at $8 Per Day for Two Patients, with Deduction for Room, Board and Laundry, and Reducing the Rates on Items 74 and 75, $1 Per Day Each.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 468, Ordinance No. 9.05350, as follows:

An Ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339, by adding item 75½ thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (San Francisco Hospital).**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationery Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	350
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at $5 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at $6 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours, at $8 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185

Explanatory

Item 75½ added to establish rate as needed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Coroner's Office, Eliminating Position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 Per Month and Adding One Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 469, Ordinance No. 9.05351, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 64 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by reducing the number of positions for General Clerk-Typist at $165, heretofore established under Item 3 thereof, and adding one position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 under Item 4 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 64 of Ordinance 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 64. **CORONER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter	$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician	150
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time)	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Reappropriating $1,046.25 From Funds in Coroner's Office for Payment of Salary of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 470, Ordinance No. 9.051104, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 per month in the Coroner's Office, creating and establishing one position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 per month in the same department, and reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated to Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 per month in the Coroner's Office is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby established in the Coroner's Office one additional position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at a salary of $150 per month, less the deduction as provided by Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Section 3. Funds in the amount of $1,046.25 heretofore appropriated under Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Ordinance 9.05164) for compensation of the position herein abolished and later

transferred to Item 260 of said ordinance are hereby reappropriated to the said Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Tax Collector, by Eliminating One General Clerk at $200 Per Month and Adding One General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 471, Ordinance No. 9.05352, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 32 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance by reducing the number of employments under Item 9 thereof by one and adding Item 12½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 32 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office	300
6			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	19	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
			BUREAU OF DELINQUENT REVENUE	
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Reappropriating $1,116 for Salary of General Clerk-Stenographer, Office of Tax Collector.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 472, Ordinance No. 9.051105, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk at $200 per month in the office of the Tax Collector; reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 per month in the office of the Tax Collector.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk at $200 per month in the office of the Tax Collector is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Tax Collector one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 per month, less 10 per cent reduction, as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated and now in appropriation, No. 260 of Ordinance 9.05164 for salary of the position herein abolished in the amount of $1,116 is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 90 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, Eliminating One General Clerk at $240 Per Month and Adding One Comptometer Operator at $155 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 473, Ordinance No. 9.05353, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 72 of Ordinance 9.05339 by abolishing a position enumerated under Item 9 thereof and adding a position to Item 11 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 72 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, $9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, $10.35 per day	
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	1	B216	Clerk	150
7	5	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	160
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	160
17	31	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	155
19	3	C104	Janitor, $5.80 per day	
20	6	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day	
22	1	C152	Watchman, $5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, $9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, $9 per day	
25	7	E154	Lineman, $7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	5	J4	Laborer, $5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, $6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, $5.80 per day	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, $5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, $5.80 per day	
34	2	J160	Track Welder, $6.80 per day	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, $6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic.....	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	4	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway..	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
43	48	M202	Car Repairer, $6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day..	
46	6	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day..	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway.....	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway.....	240
50	438	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	430	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	10	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	175
54	3	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	210
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, $5.80 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Reappropriating $1,116 From Appropriation 210 for Payment of Salary of Comptometer Operator.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 474, Ordinance No. 9.051106, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk at $155 in the Municipal Railroad, creating a position of Comptometer Operator in the same department at $155 per month and reappropriating the sum of $1,116 heretofore appropriated to Item 210 of Ordinance No. 9.05164.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk at $155 per month in the Municipal Railroad is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Municipal Railroad one position at $155 per month to be titled Comptometer Operator in accordance with the Civil Service Commission classification of duties.

Section 3. The sum of $1,116 heretofore appropriated under Item 210 of Ordinance No. 9.05164, commonly called the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, to pay the salary of the position herein abolished is hereby reappropriated to Item 210 of the Annual Appropriation

Ordinance (Ordinance No. 9.05164). Said sum is reappropriated to provide salary for the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance by Eliminating Items 14 and 14a, Two Laborers, Part-Time Relief Employment, Not a Wage Rate.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 475, Ordinance No. 9.05354, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 by eliminating Items 14 and 14a thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 16. **RECREATION COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day	
3	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at $12 per day	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	185
6	1	B51	Publicity Agent (part time)	150
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance	350
15	19	J4	Laborer	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman	175
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
19	23	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
20	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time)	75
21	3	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
22	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22a		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 22)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	195
23a	2	O58	Gardener	135
24	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
25	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
26	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	250
27	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
28	1	R56	Playground Director (part time)	85
29	4	R56	Playground Director (part time)	79
30	10	R56	Playground Director (part time)	75
31		R56	Playground Director (part time)	65
32	1	R56	Playground Director	175
33	1	R56	Playground Director	160
34	25	R56	Playground Director	150

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
35	4	R56	Playground Director	140
36	3	R56	Playground Director	135
37	4	R56	Playground Director	130
38	17	R56	Playground Director	125
39	1	R56	Playground Director	120
40	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
40a		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 40)	150
41		R56	Playground Director, $2.50 per evening	
42		R56	Playground Director (substitute), 50c to 75c per hour	

Explanation.

Items 14 and 14a, which established classifications of Laborer, part-time, at $3 per day and $40 per month, are eliminated, as all employments having to do with Unemployment Relief are now under the Citizens' Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Health Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Hospitalization for Indigent Sick.

(Code No. 17.12)

Bill No. 455, Ordinance No. 17.121, as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Director of Health to obtain hospitalization for persons entitled thereto at the expense of the City and County in privately owned hospitals during periods of emergency and when the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital make it impractical to afford said persons hospitalization in said San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing the Director of Health to make rules and regulations which will govern the conditions under which said persons will be admitted into and maintained and cared for in said privately owned hospitals, and providing the manner in which contracts shall be entered into by the City and County with said privately owned hospitals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever, as a result of unusual increase of the usual number of persons requiring hospital care, due to epidemic, catastrophe, unemployment conditions, or other causes, the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital are insufficient to afford hospitalization and care of those entitled thereto in said hospital, the Director of Public Health may cause contractual agreements to be entered into with any privately owned hospital in the City and County of San Francisco for the hospitalization of those persons who, under ordinary conditions, would be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall, upon the request of the Director of Health, and after advertising for bids therefor in the manner provided by law, enter into contracts with any privately owned hospital or hospitals for hospital service and care of said above mentioned persons upon such conditions and specifications as shall be prepared and submitted to him by the Director of Public Health, the terms of such contract or contracts to be for such period or periods as

the Director of Public Health shall specify, said term, however, not to exceed one year. When the offers or bids of any of said privately owned hospitals shall be the same, the Purchaser may enter into said contracts for said care and hospitalization of said persons with any or all of said hospitals whose bids are the same, provided that before any contract is entered into with any hospital, the Director of Public Health shall certify to the Purchaser of Supplies that said hospital qualifies as to the standards and conditions specified by said Director of Public Health in his specifications submitted to the said Purchaser, and upon which said bids were submitted. No contract shall be entered into unless the Controller shall first certify that the necessary funds are available to meet the amount to become due under said contract, provided that when the amount to become due under any such contract is not determined in advance, then, in that event the Purchaser may approve purchase orders issued by the Director of Public Health covering the possible service to be rendered by the contracting hospital during any particular period of time not exceeding one month.

Section 3. In any contract entered into with any privately owned hospital, said hospital shall agree therein that from time to time during the term of such contract it will, upon the order of the Director of Health, receive into said hospital and care for and maintain therein, according to the terms and conditions specified and adopted by said Director, any person who would, under ordinary conditions, be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital, the stay in said hospital of said person so received therein to be determined by said Director. All amounts to become due to said hospital, pursuant to said contract, shall be payable monthly upon warrants issued and paid as provided by law.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall have full power and authority to determine the qualifications of any hospital offering to afford hospitalization as provided by this ordinance and no contract shall be entered into with any hospital which does not possess the qualifications provided for by said Director. And when any hospital shall, during the term of any contract, cease to possess said qualifications, the Director may cancel said contract or refuse to order persons admitted thereto.

Section 5. The Director of Public Health shall also, in advance of advertising for bids for the service provided for in this ordinance, prepare specifications governing the character of care, service and hospitalization which shall be furnished to the persons herein mentioned, and no other service shall be furnished to said persons at the expense of the City and County, except upon written order of the Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health may at any time cause any person received into any hospital, under any contract entered into by virtue of this ordinance, to be removed therefrom when it is deemed advisable to remove said person to any other hospital, or when, in the opinion of said Director, said person has sufficiently convalesced to be removed from said hospital, and when said Director shall notify any hospital that any patient received therein under any contract has sufficiently convalesced to be removed therefrom, the City shall not be liable for the care or hospitalization of said person after the expiration of forty-eight hours after said notice is given.

Section 7. The Director of Public Health, or his representative, shall at all times have access to any hospital in which the persons mentioned herein are being cared for for the purpose of investigating the character of the care, maintenance and hospitalization being furnished to said persons.

Motion.

In connection with the foregoing:

Supervisor Gallagher: Firstly, I desire to make a motion that

this subject matter be referred back to the Joint Finance and Health Committee with the request that they bring to us any forms of agreement reached verbally or in writing on this subject.

Secondly, that they give us a set-up of what this will involve by putting it into effect in the matter of funds.

Thirdly, that they ascertain how much certain hospitals in San Francisco are charging per week for patients in their wards.

Fourthly, the amount of money that will be required to equip new wards in the San Francisco Hospital to take care of the overload of sick.

Information to be supplied by the Joint Committee.

So ordered.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, Northerly Side of Burrows Street, 100 Feet Westerly From San Bruno Avenue, December 4, 1933, 3 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1159, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly side of Burrows street, 100 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, is hereby set for Monday, December 4, 1933, at 3 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Hearing of Appeal, Northwest Corner Mariposa and Vermont Streets, December 4, 1933, 2 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1160, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Mariposa and Vermont streets, is hereby set for Monday, December 4, 1933, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Hearing of Appeal, Easterly Side of Crane Street, December 4, 1933, 2:30 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1161, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the easterly side of Crane street 50 feet northerly from Salinas street, and running northerly 100 feet, is hereby set for Monday, December 4, 1933, at 2:30 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Extension of Time to A. G. Raisch to Complete Alemany Boulevard Between Ocean Avenue and Seneca Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 1162, as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Raisch be and is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after November 22, 1933, within which to complete the work on Alemany boulevard between Ocean avenue and Seneca avenue.

Contractor has been delayed by the laying of water pipes by the San Francisco Water Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Memoralizing State Department of Public Works for Early Commencement of Surveys and Actual Construction of Shoreline Highway Approaches to Golden Gate Bridge.

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 1163, as follows:

Whereas, the construction of the Golden Gate Bridge is now under way; and

Whereas, it is important that immediate consideration be given to the approaches, feeders and outlets of the bridge; and

Whereas, the Shoreline highway is the most important arterial from the bridge site in Marin County to the north; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Department of Public Works and does urge that reconnaissance surveys for the Shoreline highway approach to the Golden Gate Bridge be immediately commenced, to be followed as soon as possible by the final location survey and actual construction of the approach; and be it

Further Resolved, That if it be possible to obtain Federal Public Works Administration funds, such procedure is recommended in order to expedite this project.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Intention to Change Grades on Twenty-second Street Between Arkansas and Wisconsin Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 1164, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 3327 of the Department of Public Works, adopted November 10, 1933, and written recommendation of said Board, filed November 13, 1933, to-wit:

Twenty-second street	*feet*
Arkansas street	220.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
1 foot westerly from Arkansas street	235.00
7 feet westerly from Arkansas street	235.00
150 feet easterly from Wisconsin street	250.50
130 feet easterly from Wisconsin street	252.50
Wisconsin street	284.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Department of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Curbs and Sidewalks on Shipley Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 476, Ordinance No. 12.061140, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the northwestern and southeasterly sides of Shipley Street between lines parallel with Fourth Street and respectively 412 feet 6 inches and 550 feet southwesterly therefrom, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; and by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Improvement of Crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 477, Ordinance No. 12.061141, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of brick catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning on the west line of Putnam Street 275 feet northerly from Jarboe Avenue, thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Jarboe Avenue 70 feet; thence southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Putnam Street 525 feet; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Jarboe Avenue 180 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of Putnam Street 525 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Jarboe Avenue 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Improvement of Tucker Avenue Between the Westerly Line of Alpha Street and Rutland Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 478, Ordinance No. 12.061142, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the

City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 24, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tucker avenue between the westerly line of Alpha stret and Rutland street, where not already so improved, by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of armored concrete curbs, where not already constructed; and by the construction of a concrete pavement and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Improvement of Laidley Street Between Fairmount Street and San Miguel Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 479, Ordinance No. 12.061143, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 24, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the

assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street between Fairmount street and San Miguel street, including the crossing of Laidley street and Fairmount street, and the necessary conform work in the crossing of Laidley street and San Miguel street, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 of Block 6664; Lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 of Block 6665; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Block 6683; Lots 1, 17, 18, 18A, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of Block 6684; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Improvement of Carolina Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Where Not).
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 480, Ordinance No. 12.061144, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and

County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina Street between Twenty-second Street and Twenty-third Street, where not already improved, by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width; by the construction of a 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 3½-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Change of Grade, Quesada Avenue Between Phelps and Quint Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 481, Ordinance No. 12.072213, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Quesada Avenue, between Phelps and Quint Streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did on the 14th day of June, 1933, by Resolution No. 838, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grade on Quesada Avenue, between Phelps and Quint Streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Quesada Avenue:

Phelps Street, 144.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 94.50 feet.

14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 94.50 feet.

14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 87.50 feet.

14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 87.50 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Quint Street, 70.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwestern line of, at Quint Street, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Quesada Avenue between Phelps and Quint Streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Full Acceptance of Various Streets.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 482, Ordinance No. 12.08118, as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Clement Street between the westerly line of Fortieth Avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth Avenue; Cayuga Avenue between Tingley and Theresa Streets, including the curbs; Theresa Street between Alemany Boulevard and a line which was the southeasterly termination of Theresa Street on January 19, 1925, as said Theresa Street extended southeasterly from San Jose Avenue, including the crossing of Theresa Street and Cayuga Avenue, including the curbs; Kirkham Street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues; Thirty-fourth Avenue between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 108 of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Clement Street between the westerly line of Fortieth Avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth Avenue;

Cayuga Avenue between Tingley and Theresa Streets, including the curbs;

Theresa Street between Alemany Boulevard and a line which was the southeasterly termination of Theresa Street on January 19, 1925, as said Theresa Street extended southeasterly from San Jose Avenue, including the crossing of Theresa Street and Cayuga Avenue, including the curbs;

Kirkham Street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues; Thirty-fourth Avenue between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Crescent Avenue, Weldon Street and Saul Street.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 1165, as follows:

Whereas, on the 31st day of August, 1931, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 34994 (New Series), which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 2nd day of September, 1931, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 34994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Crescent avenue, Weldon and Saul streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of said streets more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Crescent Avenue: Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue and the northeasterly line of Lot 18 of Gift Map No. 4, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2A and B, at page 16, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northwesterly along the northwesterly prolongation of said northeasterly line of said Lot 18, 30.078 feet to the center line of said Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 85 degrees 51 minutes 48 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, along said center line, 18.748 feet to the easterly line of Putnam street produced southerly; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes 00 seconds to the left and running southerly, along said line of Putnam street produced southerly, 9.884 feet to the northwesterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of said Lot 18; thence deflecting 39 degrees 36 minutes 12 seconds to the left and running southeasterly, along said last-mentioned northwesterly prolongation, 22.008 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 85 degrees 51 minutes 48 seconds to the left and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line, 25.065 feet to the northeasterly line of said Lot 18 and the point of commencement.

Crescent Avenue: Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Crescent avenue and the westerly line of Bradford street, and running thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of said westerly line 90.24 feet to the easterly prolongation of the northerly line of Ogden avenue; thence at right angles westerly along said easterly prolongation 71.82 feet to the northwesterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting to the right 128 degrees 30 minutes 48 seconds and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 115.33 feet to the westerly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning.

Crescent Avenue: Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Putnam street produced southerly and the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line, 17.225 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 18 of Gift Map No. 4 as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, page 16, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly, along said southwesterly line of said Lot 18 produced northwesterly, 22.008 feet to said easterly line of Putnam street produced southerly; thence southerly, along said easterly line produced southerly, 26.951 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue and the point of commencement.

Crescent Avenue and Weldon Street: Commencing at the point of

intersection of the southerly line of Ogden avenue and the westerly line of Bronte street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Bronte street 59.01 feet to the northwesterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes 00 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line, 85.94 feet to a point thereon distant 70.00 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Putnam street; thence at right angles southeasterly 30 feet to the center line of Crescent avenue; thence at right angles southwesterly, along said center line, 88.574 feet to the northwesterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Lot 18 of Gift Map No. 4, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, page 16, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southeasterly along said northwesterly prolongation, 30.078 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue; thence northeasterly, along said southeasterly line, 200.523 feet to the southwesterly line of Weldon street; thence southeasterly, along said line of Weldon street, 65.373 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence northeasterly along the last-mentioned northwesterly line on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected 95 degrees 17 minutes 02 seconds to the left from said line of Weldon street, radius 2824.93 feet, central angle 1 degree 01 minute 10 seconds, a distance of 50.257 feet to the northeasterly line of Weldon street; thence deflecting 83 degrees 41 minutes 49 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northwesterly, along said northeasterly line of Weldon street, 57.975 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 78 degrees 07 minutes 00 seconds to the right and running northeasterly, along said southeasterly line, 78.53 feet to the southerly line of Ogden avenue produced easterly; thence deflecting 128 degrees 30 minutes 48 seconds to the left and running westerly along said southerly line produced easterly 146.672 feet to the westerly line of Bronte street and the point of commencement.

Saul Street: Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue and the southwesterly line of Saul street and running thence southeasterly, along said southwesterly line, 67.878 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected 100 degrees 58 minutes 26 seconds to the left from said southwesterly line, radius 2824.93 feet, central angle 0 degree 49 minutes 39 seconds, a distance of 40.805 feet to the northeasterly line of Saul street; thence deflecting 78 degrees 11 minutes 55 seconds to the left from the tangent to the curve of the preceding course and running northwesterly, along said northeasterly line, 65.693 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 98 degrees 21 minutes 02 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, along said southeasterly line, 40.429 feet to the southwesterly line of Saul street and the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3, of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 31, 1931.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher,

Garrity, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton, Suhr—16.

Absent—Supervisors Miles, Peyser—2.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, September 2, 1931.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 34994 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the said portions of Crescent avenue, Weldon and Saul streets described in Resolution No. 34994 (New Series), be closed and abandoned; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Crescent avenue, Weldon and Saul streets as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 34994 (New Series), be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 34994 (New Series), shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 34994 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said street shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Supervisors Endorse 1933 East-West All-American Football Game.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Spaulding presented:

Resolution No. 1166, as follows:

Whereas, since 1925 Islam Temple of the Shrine has conducted an East-West All-American Football Game annually for the sole benefit of the Shriners' Hospital for Crippled Children, San Francisco Unit; and

Whereas, the Shriners' Hospital is a non-sectarian institution accept-

ing crippled children of all races and creeds unable to pay for treatment in the order of application; and

Whereas, the services of all coaches, players and committee men are given free of charge for this worthy cause, thus assuring that all funds collected are devoted to the purpose for which they are intended; and

Whereas, this annual event has attained national recognition because of the eminence of the participants; because of the spirit and color which pervade the atmosphere, and because of the laudable cause which it serves; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the 1933 East-West All-American Football Game, commending it to the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco and nearby cities and towns and does urge a full attendance for this splendid endeavor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Enter Agreement with State Department of Natural Resources.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1167, as follows:

Whereas, at the present time there are a large number of single men receiving relief from the Emergency Relief Committee of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the State of California, through the State Department of Natural Resources, has established camps in various places outside of the City and County of San Francisco where single men over the age of twenty-one years and who are in indigent circumstances are received and furnished food, work, clothing, lodging and first aid medical attention through the California Emergency Relief Administrator and are paid the sum of fifty cents ($0.50) per day on each day during which the occupants of said camp perform services for the State of California; and

Whereas, many of said indigent persons are desirous of going to said camps and remaining there for various periods of time; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee enter into an agreement with the State Department of Natural Resources of the State of California, under the terms of which said agreement single, unemployed men over the age of twenty-one years who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco will be received into said camps upon the conditions hereinbefore mentioned and that the City and County of San Francisco will assume responsibility for emergency dental services to said men while they are in said camps, as well as responsibility for hospitalization when the same is necessary and for the burial of any of said men who may die in camps, provided the cost of said burial would, under ordinary conditions, be a charge against the City and County of San Francisco.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Appointing Hugo D. Newhouse Director of Golden Gate Bridge.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1168, as follows:

Resolved, That Hugo D. Newhouse be and is hereby appointed a Director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City and County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of George T. Cameron, resigned.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Memorializing California Highway Commission to Allocate $40,000 for Preliminary Work.

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1169, as follows:

Whereas, under a recent statute, the City and County of San Francisco will be the recipient of an additional one-quarter cent from the Gas Tax Fund, to be expended upon road projects approved by the State Department of Public Works; and

Whereas, in order to comply with the spirit of the National Recovery Administration in its effort to stimulate employment, the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco must proceed with all possible diligence to expedite the formulation of plans and specifications for the projects which are to be constructed with this gas tax money; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is without funds to proceed with the formulation of plans and specifications for the contemplated work; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the California Highway Commission and does urge an immediate allocation of $40,000, the estimated amount necessary for preliminary work, so that the actual construction of the projects may proceed without delay immediately upon receipt of San Francisco's share of the gas tax money.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 27, 1933.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing December 1st.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1170, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 1, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Hon. Warren Shannon to Attend Interstate Highway Meeting, Reno, Nevada, December 9, 1933.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Canepa presented:

Resolution No. 1171, as follows:

Whereas, an Interstate Highway meeting has been called for Reno, Nevada, on Saturday, December 9, 1933; and

Whereas, this meeting is of vital importance to the City and County of San Francisco because of the efforts which will be made to increase tourist travel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby authorized and requested to attend this meeting, representing the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Endorsing the State Water Plan.

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 1172, as follows:

Future development of California industry and agriculture is entirely dependent on the future supply of fresh water.

Progress in California can be sure only if we take immediate steps to conserve our available water and power resources, as outlined by the great Central Valley Water Project.

California's present and future development and its prosperity as a great State depends upon conservation of its waters, prevention of dangerous floods in the great Central Valleys and control of the salt water incursion in the rich and fertile areas of the State.

The Central Valley Water Project, vital in every aspect, is California's great opportunity to insure progress and development.

It will mean work for 25,000 people, thus bringing tremendous social benefits to every city and county in California. The Federal Government, if this plan is approved, will advance $170,000,000 to California for this project, thus it will not cost California taxpayers a single dollar. It means that California business will find renewed hope and renewed channels for the future.

The Central Valley Water Project, greater than Boulder Dam, is vital and commands the loyal support of our citizens.

The entire program is of the type most favored by President Roosevelt in his splendid efforts to restore the entire nation to a basis of normal living.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco believe in further development, in progress and in the destiny of California. It believes that the Central Valley Water Project will serve to accomplish these desired objectives and calls upon the patriotic and militant people of California to fight and to work for this tremendous issue which means so much to the future of our great State.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

State Director of Education and State Director of Finance to Secure Additional Land and Buildings Under N. R. A. for the Extension of the San Francisco State Teachers' College.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1173, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco State Teachers' College is the chief source of supply for a trained teaching force of beginners in the San

Francisco elementary schools, and to a more limited extent in the San Francisco junior high schools; and

Whereas, the San Francisco State Teachers' College is the chief agency for the further training of teachers employed in the San Francisco elementary schools through the medium of regular term courses, summer session courses, and extension courses; and

Whereas, the San Francisco State Teachers' College affords many high school graduates of San Francisco the opportunity to profit from a four-year advanced curriculum without heavy expense and without the necessity of leaving home; and

Whereas, the present campus and buildings of the San Francisco State Teachers' College are overcrowded and inadequate; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, That the State Director of Education and the State Director of Finance be requested to take all possible steps to secure adequate land and buildings under the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act for the San Francisco State Teachers' College; and be it

Further Resolved, That this resolution be forwarded to the State Director of Education in support of his application to the State Director of Finance and the State and Federal officials.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed*:

Supplemental Appropriation of $5,000 for Civil Service Examinations.

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Miles:

Bill No. 483, Ordinance No. 9.051107, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five thousand ($5,000) dollars out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 248-1 (Civil Service Commission—Personal Services—Temporary) for necessary expenses in connection with examinations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It appearing that the annual appropriation made to the Civil Service Commission is insufficient to meet cost of holding certain examinations necessary to be held to obtain eligible lists for positions now filled by emergency appointees, and that said fact and the estimated cost of holding said examinations have been reported to the Mayor, which said estimated cost is five thousand ($5,000) dollars, and the Mayor having requested that a supplemental appropriation in said sum be made to the Civil Service Commission.

Section 2. There is hereby appropriated from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), 1933-1934 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, to the Civil Service Commission, the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars, said sum to be credited to Item 248-1, Civil Service Commission—Personal Services—Temporary, for the purpose of enabling said Commission to hold necessary examinations.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Appropriation of $11,200 to Public Utilities Commission for San Francisco Airport.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance and Public Utilities Committee:

Bill No. 484, Ordinance No. 9.05118, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $11,200 from the Emergency Fund to the Public Utilities Commission, said sum to be used in conjunction with the San Francisco Airport, and declaring this an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco having presented to his Honor the Mayor and to the Board of Supervisors a supplemental budget requesting an appropriation in the sum of $11,200 for the purposes hereinafter set forth and the Manager of Utilities having recommended the granting of said appropriation and the Public Utilities Commission having approved the same, and the said appropriation having been requested by the Mayor, the sum of $11,200 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, to-wit: Item No. 6, 1933-34 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, to the Public Utilities Commission for the use of the San Francisco Airport, $8,200 of said fund to be transferred to Appropriation No. 56-1, 1933-34 Budget, and the remainder thereof to Appropriation No. 52-1 of said Budget.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance for the reason that an existing emergency makes it necessary that said ordinance should become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists, the nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That sections of the sewer system, including the septic tank at the San Francisco Airport, the water and power lines at said Airport are all in an unsafe and insanitary condition, and if not repaired forthwith will be injurious to the health and safety of the people using said Airport, and that it is necessary that the hangars at said Airport be immediately repaired and extended in order that the same may be safe and proper for the use thereof by persons patronizing said Airport, and that the immediate hiring of new employees is necessary by reason of the increased travel to and from said Airport, all of which said repairs and extensions and new employees are immediately necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Airport.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Conference of Newly-Elected and Hold-Over Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Adolph Uhl requesting postponement of proposed conference meeting of newly-elected and hold-over Supervisors from November 28, 1933, until December 12, 1933, at 2:30 p. m.

So ordered.

Registration for C. W. A. Employment.

Supervisor Gallagher called to the attention of Supervisor Miles and the Board that he had been advised that the list of resident unemployed compiled under our ordinance by the San Francisco Registration Bureau would not be availed of by the Federal Administration in putting its

C. W. A. program into effect in this city, but that the Free State Employment Bureau list would be the one.

Supervisor Miles declared that he was aware of the situation and was in conference with Mr. Branyan of the State Bureau, offering the services of San Francisco registration which has all the necessary information as to resident unemployed that the State Bureau is lacking.

Liberalization of State Liquor Law.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to petition of Hotel Owners' Association that is being circulated, proposing amendment to the State Constitution liberalizing the liquor law to permit service in hotels and fixing an annual license of $250. He urged that the Legislative Committeee give some attention to the matter in San Francisco's interest from a revenue point of view. He pointed out that before prohibition under local option laws San Francisco derived over $800,000 from liquor and that under the initiative petition of the hotelmen fixing an annual license of only $250, San Francisco will derive only 40 per cent of the estimated total of $150,000. Formerly, he said, the liquor licenses paid for the police surveillance necessary for control and regulation of the liquor business.

Supervisor Peyser said he would give the matter consideration.

Civil Works Program.

The following were read by the Clerk:

November 24, 1933.

J. S. Dunnigan, Esq., Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sir: I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 23d advising me of the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors on November 20th, requesting information as to the selection of projects, employment of workers, and administration of funds in connection with the Federal civil works program.

Our Committee has been working diligently to put the program into operation and has already been able to put a number of men at work. It will take a few days to gather definite data, but these will be furnished as soon as they are available.

Yours very truly,

M. C. SLOSS,

Chairman, Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and Civil Works Administration.

(Attention Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk)

November 24, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: I acknowledge receipt of your letter of November 23d, requesting information of the Public Utilities Commission concerning projects it has in mind to suggest to the Civic Works Administration.

Please be advised that the Public Utilities Commission has suggested that the Balboa Reservoir of the San Francisco Water Department, located at the intersection of Ocean avenue and Phelan avenue, be built. The program has been accepted by the Civic Works Administration, and is starting immediately.

The employment of workers and the administration of funds in connection with the project are entirely in the hands of the Civic Works Administration officials.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,
Manager of Utilities.

Reports on Evictions of Unemployed on Relief.

The following were read and ordered printed in the record:

Re: Williams, John-Helen, 1647 *Folsom street, No.* 34461.

November 25, 1933.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: Mr. John Williams appeared at the Board of Supervisors on November 13th, presenting an eviction notice on that day. He stated that nothing was being done in regard to his rent.

The case records show that on October 27, 1933, a visitor called at the home of the Williams family. As they stated on that date that they were to be put out the visitor advised the family to look for new quarters and call at her office on Tuesday, October 31, for final arrangements. As the rent at that location was $21 a month the visitor advised them to find a place where the rent would not exceed $20, the limit which can be paid by the Relief Administration. On November 8th Mr. Williams appeared at the office stating that he could not find a place unless we would guarantee that the rent would be paid monthly.

When I advised the visitor on November 14th that Mr. Williams had appeared before the Board of Supervisors she sent a man to call on the family. Mr. Williams was advised that a month's rent could be paid for him in an unfurnished flat or that he could be moved into housekeeping rooms and store his furniture if he could not find any unfurnished flat.

Mr. Williams was told to call at his visitor's office that afternoon but he never appeared.

On November 24th the visitor informed me that Mr. Williams had been given a $5 deposit and that he was almost sure he had a new location to which to move.

Because of the problems involved in getting this family housed the sheriff has not as yet actually evicted them.

Very truly yours,

(Miss) EVELYN HYMAN,
Complaint Bureau.

P. S.—Mr. Williams has obtained work under the Civil Works Administration and will therefore be able to handle his own rent problem.

November 22, 1933.

Re: Steadman, George, 2240 *Bryant street.*

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:

Dear Sir: On Monday, November 20th, Mr. Steadman appeared before the Board of Supervisors, stating that he was in need of rent on that date.

This family, consisting of Mr. and Mrs. Steadman and their two children, 19 and 10 years of age, has been on relief intermittently since December 21, 1929. The dependency in this family was caused by Mr. Steadman's unemployment.

On August 20, 1933, the 19-year-old daughter obtained employment but did not inform the Relief Administration until October 6th. Relief was discontinued, as this employment had not been reported, and it was also discovered that Mr. Steadman was getting some work at the California Golf Club as a caddy. On October 17th Miss Steadman called at the office, stating that she had lost her job in the cannery. She also stated that her father was earning only $15 a month at the golf course, although a wage verification disclosed the fact that he was earning $30. As the father claimed that they were without any funds at that time, food relief was started. However, Miss Steadman failed to make any satisfactory explanation as to what she had done with the money she earned while she was working. From that date until the present Mr. Steadman has made continual efforts to have payment of his rent authorized.

On November 16th Mr. Steadman called at the office with the final eviction notice, stating he was to be put out. He claimed it would be possible for him to move to an unfurnished apartment if he were given the money. Therefore he obtained a $5 deposit from the district office. As yet Mr. Steadman has not succeeded in finding satisfactory lodgings and presenting receipt to his visitor that the $5 deposit has been paid.

Very truly yours,

(Miss) EVELYN HYMAN,
Complaint Bureau.

Authorizing the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Enter Agreement With the State Department of Natural Resources of the State of California Re the Employment of Single Unemployed Men.

The following was prepared by the City Attorney, read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1167, as follows:

Whereas, at the present time there are a large number of single men receiving relief from the Emergency Relief Committee of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the State of California, through the State Department of Natural Resources, has established camps in various places outside of the City and County of San Francisco where single men over the age of twenty-one years, who by reason of unemployment are in indigent circumstances, are received and given work and paid therefor at the rate of forty-five cents per hour and furnished with food, lodging and first-aid attention in the event of injury and a charge for said service of fifty cents per day being made against said persons; and

Whereas, there are in San Francisco many persons who by reason of unemployment are in indigent circumstances and who are desirous of going to said camps and accepting said employment under the above-mentioned conditions; and

Whereas, before the aforesaid persons will be accepted in said camps, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee must agree that the City and County of San Francisco will assume responsibility for the hospitalization of said persons in the event of accident or sickness and for the burial of any of said persons who should die while in attendance at said camps; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee enter into an agreement with the State Department of Natural Resources of the State of California, under the terms of which said agreement single unemployed men over the age of twenty-one years who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco will be received into said camps upon the conditions hereinbefore mentioned and that the City and County of San Francisco will assume responsibility for hospitalization when the same is necessary, and for the burial of any of said men who may die while in camps, provided the cost of said burial would, under ordinary conditions, be a charge against the City and County of San Francisco.

(In connection with the foregoing, Supervisor Shannon declared that he understood that men were employed at 50 cents a day and suggested that the Finance Committee see if the amount can be increased to $1 per day. *So ordered.*)

Report of Controller on $1,000,000 for Relief.

A report from the Controller on the City's loan of $1,000,000 from the State for unemployment relief was presented, read, and *referred to the Mayor.*

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board took a recess until 4 p. m. Friday, December 1, 1933, when the school bond ordinance will be up for final passage.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1933, 4 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, December 1, 1933, 4 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Final Passage.

The following matter having been read a second time was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for $3,000,000 School Bond Issue.

(Code No. 21.31)

Bill No. 464, Ordinance No. 21.312, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and providing for the form, denomination, rate of interest, fixing payment of interest, and retirement of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 1933, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The proposition of incurring a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million dollars ($3,000,000) for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1128, passed by more than two-thirds of said Board and approved by the Mayor, in the sum of three million dollars ($3,000,000), and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor. The said bonds shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States government, through the National Industrial Recovery Act or other legislation or the Administration Board or body functioning thereunder, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Special State Election to be held on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Special State Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said Special State Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Special State Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"School House Bonds, 1934—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $3,000,000 for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, certain lands therefor, and other property and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1934, shall bear interest at the

rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said City and County in the City of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "School House Bonds, 1934," and shall be numbered from 1 to 3000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $300,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $300,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

All bonds issued hereunder are exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. The election called hereunder is done so pursuant to an initiative petition circulated and signed by registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco and equal in number to 15 per cent of the qualified electors computed upon the total number of votes cast in said City and County for all candidates for Governor at the last preceding election at which a Governor was elected in the State of California.

Section 10. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Chronicle, a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 4, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 51

Monday, December 4, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 4, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 4, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—3.

Supervisor Spaulding appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was reported ill.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of the meetings of November 27 and December 1, 1933, were considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, December 4, 1933, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$12,095,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 12,095, both inclusive, and shall be payable $605,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $605,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 19 years, and $600,000 thereof in the twentieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$3,500,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$2,625,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 2625, both inclusive, and shall be payable $88,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $88,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 29 years, and $73,000 thereof in the thirtieth year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$2,000,000 "High Pressure System Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and

$100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933," numbered from 1 to 260, both inclusive, and shall be payable $52,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $52,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

All bonds shall be dated December 1, 1933, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder; interest shall be payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted November 21, 1933.

Bid.

The following bid was presented and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

THE CITY COMPANY OF NEW YORK
INCORPORATED.
44 Wall Street, New York.
San Francisco Office: 55 Sansome Street.

December 4, 1933.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In compliance with the accompanying notice inviting bids for the purchase of bonds of said City and County, which notice is made a part of this proposal, and according to the conditions and stipulations contained therein, the undersigned hereby agrees to purchase the following bonds of said City and County of San Francisco:

$13,500,000 par value, comprising the following described bonds:

$3,500,000 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $175,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1953, inclusive.

$260,000 Airport Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $52,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1938, inclusive.

$9,740,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $487,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1953, inclusive.

All of the above mentioned bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. Bonds shall be in coupon form in the denomination of $1,000 each.

For the above described $13,500,000 par value of bonds we bid the sum of $13,500,000, plus accrued interest to date of delivery. This bid is made for immediate acceptance.

As evidence of our good faith we attach hereto a certified check in the sum of $10,000, payable to the order of J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. If we are successful bidders for these bonds, you may use this check as partial payment, but if not successful, we would appreciate prompt return of these funds.

Yours very truly,

The City Company of New York Incorporated (Syndicate Managers), First National Bank of New York; Bankers Trust Company, New York; Chase National Bank, New York; R. H. Moulton & Co., Blyth & Co., Inc., First of Boston Corporation, Weeden & Co., Anglo California National Bank, Dean Witter & Co., Heller Bruce & Co., American Trust Co., San Francisco; Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, R. W. Pressprich & Co., Phelps Fenn & Co., Kelley Richardson & Co., Kidder Peabody & Co., Schwabacher & Co., Darby & Co., Northern Trust Company, Chicago; Eldredge & Co., A. G. Becker & Co., First National Bank & Trust Co., Minneapolis; Kean Taylor & Co., First of Michigan Corporation, Mercantile Commerce Co., Stix & Co., Piper, Jaffery & Hopwood; Stern Bros. & Co., Wells Dickey & Co.

By THE CITY COMPANY OF NEW YORK, Incorporated.

W. WAYNE GLOVER.

Subsequently, during the session, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

Rejecting Bid of City Company of New York.

(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 1180, as follows:

Resolved, That the bids of the City Company of New York Incorporated (Syndicate Managers), First National Bank of New York; Bankers Trust Company, New York; Chase National Bank, New York; R. H. Moulton & Co., Blyth & Co., Inc., First of Boston Corporation, Weeden & Co., Anglo California National Bank, Dean Witter & Co., Heller Bruce & Co., American Trust Co., San Francisco; Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, R. W. Pressprich & Co., Phelps Fenn & Co., Kelley Richardson & Co., Kidder Peabody & Co., Schwabacher & Co., Darby & Co., Northern Trust Company, Chicago; Eldredge & Co., A. G. Becker & Co., First National Bank & Trust Co., Minneapolis; Kean Taylor & Co., First of Michigan Corporation, Mercantile Commerce Co., Stix & Co., Piper, Jaffery & Hopwood, Stern Bros. & Co., Wells Dickey & Co., for $13,500,000 of the following described bonds at six per cent (6%) per annum:

$3,500,000 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $175,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1953, inclusive.

$260,000 Airport Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $52,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1938, inclusive.

$9,740,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933. Dated December 1, 1933. Maturing $487,000 each year December 1, 1934, to 1953, inclusive.

be and is hereby rejected. The interest rate thereon being considered too high.

The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to return the certified check accompanying said bid.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Authorizing the Mayor to Negotiate with Federal Public Works Administration for Sale of Bonds to Government of United States at a Lesser Rate of Interest.

(Code No. 15.021)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1181, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on this date solicited bids for $20,480,000 of the various bonds approved by the people on November 7, 1933; and

Whereas, the only bid received for said bonds was for $13,500,000 thereof and the rate of interest to be fixed on said bonds as specified in said bid was 6 per cent per annum and was rejected because interest rate was considered excessive; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to negotiate with the Federal Public Works Administration for the sale of said bonds to the Government of the United States at a lesser rate of interest and to report to this Board the result of said negotiations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Mariposa and Vermont streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Kuklinski, attorney representing the appellant, was heard in favor of the proposed zone change.

C. W. Davis, attorney representing the respondents, was heard in opposition.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Rezoning Northwesterly Corner of Mariposa and Vermont Streets From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1179, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 955, denying an application to rezone property located at the northwesterly corner of Mariposa and Vermont streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Peyser, Stanton—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding—10.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 3:30 *p. m.*:

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Light

Industrial District, property located at the easterly side of Crane street, 50 feet northerly from Salinas street and running northerly 100 feet.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly side of Burrows street, 100 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up *and finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation of $3,000 Out of County Road Fund Toward the Assessment for the Improvement of Woodside Avenue Between Laguna Honda Boulevard and Portola Drive at Private Property.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 463, Ordinance No. 9.051101, as follows:

Appropriating $3,000 out of Appropriation 150-10 (County Road Fund), as aid from the City and County of San Francisco toward the payment of assessment for the improvement of Woodside avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive fronting private property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,000 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 150-10 (County Road Fund), as aid from the City and County of San Francisco toward the payment of the assessment for the improvement of Woodside avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive fronting private property.

(Recommendation of Department of Public Works.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Providing for Preliminary Engineering Costs in Connection With Construction of Proposed Sewer and High Pressure Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 466, Ordinance No. 9.051102, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding in the aggregate of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-1934 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be re-charged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be re-charged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Engaging Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, Attorneys, New York, to Pass on Validity of Bonds Authorized at Election Held November 7, 1933.

(Code No. 15.021)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1174, as follows:

Resolved, That, on recommendation of the City Attorney, the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, are engaged to pass on validity of Extension Water Supply Bonds, $12,095,000; Raising Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, $3,500,000; Sewer and Sewage Disposal Bonds, $2,625,000; High Pressure Fire Protection System Bonds, $2,000,000; Extension of Airport Runway Bonds, $260,000, authorized by the people November 7, 1933.

Fee for approval of validity of said bonds, $10,000.

If unable to approve validity, fee $5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Authorizing the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to Enter Agreement With the State Department of Natural Resources of the State of California, Re the Employment of Single Unemployed Men.

(Code No. 19.071)

Also, Resolution No. 1167, as follows:

Whereas, at the present time there are a large number of single men receiving relief from the Emergency Relief Committee of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the State of California, through the State Department of Natural Resources, has established camps in various places outside of the City and County of San Francisco where single men over the age of twenty-one years, who, by reason of unemployment, are in indigent circumstances, are received and given work and paid therefor at the rate of 45 cents per hour and furnished with food, lodging and first aid attention in the event of injury and a charge for said service of 50 cents per day being made against said persons; and

Whereas, there are in San Francisco many persons who, by reason of unemployment, are in indigent circumstances and who are desirous of going to said camps and accepting said employment under the above mentioned conditions; and

Whereas, before the aforesaid persons will be accepted in said camps, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee must agree that the City and County of San Francisco will assume responsibility for the hospitalization of said persons in the event of accident or sickness and for the burial of any of said persons who should die while in attendance in said camps; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee enter into an agreement with the State Department of Natural Resources of the State of California, under the terms of which said agreement single unemployed men over the age of twenty-one years who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco will be received into said camps upon the conditions hereinbefore mentioned and that the City and County of San Francisco will assume responsibility for hospitalization when the same is necessary, and for the burial of any of said men who may die while in camps, provided the cost of said burial would, under ordinary conditions, be a charge against the City and County of San Francisco, providing said agreement receives the approval of his Honor the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Declaring Liability Discharged by Surety on Certain Bonds in Re Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., in Lieu of Which New Bonds Have Been Filed.

(Code No. 15.09)

Also, Resolution No. 1178, as follows:

Whereas, Franchise Bonds dated June 6, 1933, and written by the Pacific Indemnity Company, Los Angeles, in behalf of Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., and covering franchises granted by the Board of San Francisco, to-wit:

Bond 75629, in sum $10,000, franchise granted to Golden Gate Ferry Company to operate a ferry between San Francisco and Sausalito; and

Bond 75630, in sum $10,000, franchise granted to Six Minute Ferry Company to operate a ferry between San Francisco and Oakland; and

Bond 75631, in sum $10,000, franchise granted to Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company, to operate a ferry business between San Francisco and Tiburon; and

Bond 75632, in sum $10,000, franchise granted to Arthur H. Draughon, to operate a ferry between San Francisco and Richmond; and

Bond 75633, in sum $10,000, franchise granted to Golden Gate Ferry Company to operate a ferry between San Francisco and Berkeley; and

Whereas, said Franchise Bonds, hereinabove mentioned, have been replaced by surety bonds written by the St. Paul Mercury Indemnity Company of St. Paul as surety for said Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., dated October 9, 1933, in the sum of $10,000 each, to-wit:

Bond M-2517 in lieu of Bond 75629, Bond M-2516 in lieu of Bond 75630, Bond M-2515 in lieu of Bond 75631, Bond M-2514 in lieu of Bond 75632, and Bond M-2513 in lieu of Bond 75633; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that liability on said bonds numbered 75629, 75630, 75631, 75632 and 75633, and given by said Pacific Indemnity Company, as aforesaid, has ceased as of the 9th day of October, 1933, and the surety on said bonds is discharged from all liability thereon from and after said date.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Providing for the Apportionment of the Expenses of Holding a Special State Election and a Special Bond Election on December 19, 1933, and Enacting Said Ordinance as an Emergency Measure.

(Code No. 3.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 486, Ordinance No. 3.021, as follows:

Providing for the apportionment of the expenses of holding a special State election and a special bond election on December 19, 1933, and enacting said ordinance as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That whereas by Ordinance No. 9.05199, finally passed on November 27, 1933, an appropriation of $50,000 was made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to defray the costs of holding a special State election called by the Governor to be held on December 19, 1933; and

Whereas, a special election called at the request of 15 per cent of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of voting upon a bond issue for school buildings has been fixed for said 19th day of December, 1933.

Section 2. The expenses of said elections, called as aforesaid, should be charged so that one-half thereof shall be charged against said sum of $50,000, appropriated as aforesaid, and the remaining one-half thereof against the amount appropriated, pursuant to Section 181 of the Charter, for the purpose of defraying the cost of verifying petitions and other expenses of all special elections initiated by petition of the electorate, and the Controller is directed to charge said expenses pursuant to this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith. The said emergency being as follows: That it is necessary to apportion the expenses of the aforesaid elections prior to the holding of the same in order to comply with the time limitation provided by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Health Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Hospitalization for Indigent Sick.

(Code No. 17.12)

Bill No. 455, Ordinance No. 17.121, as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Director of Health to obtain hospitalization for persons entitled thereto at the expense of the City and County in privately owned hospitals during periods of emergency and when the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital make it impractical to afford said persons hospitalization in said San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing the Director of Health to make rules and regulations which will govern the conditions under which said persons will be admitted into and maintained and cared for in said privately owned hospitals, and providing the manner in which contracts shall be entered into by the City and County with said privately owned hospitals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever, as a result of unusual increase of the usual

number of persons requiring hospital care, due to epidemic, catastrophe, unemployment conditions, or other causes, the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital are insufficient to afford hospitalization and care of those entitled thereto in said hospital, the Director of Public Health may cause contractual agreements to be entered into with any privately owned hospital in the City and County of San Francisco for the hospitalization of those persons who, under ordinary conditions, would be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall, upon the request of the Director of Health, and after advertising for bids therefor in the manner provided by law, enter into contracts with any privately owned hospital or hospitals for hospital service and care of said above mentioned persons upon such conditions and specifications as shall be prepared and submitted to him by the Director of Public Health, the terms of such contract or contracts to be for such period or periods as the Director of Public Health shall specify, said term, however, not to exceed one year. When the offers or bids of any of said privately owned hospitals shall be the same, the Purchaser may enter into said contracts for said care and hospitalization of said persons with any or all of said hospitals whose bids are the same, provided that before any contract is entered into with any hospital, the Director of Public Health shall certify to the Purchaser of Supplies that said hospital qualifies as to the standards and conditions specified by said Director of Public Health in his specifications submitted to the said Purchaser, and upon which said bids were submitted. No contract shall be entered into unless the Controller shall first certify that the necessary funds are available to meet the amount to become due under said contract, provided that when the amount to become due under any such contract is not determined in advance, then, in that event the Purchaser may approve purchase orders issued by the Director of Public Health covering the possible service to be rendered by the contracting hospital during any particular period of time not exceeding one month.

Section 3. In any contract entered into with any privately owned hospital, said hospital shall agree therein that from time to time during the term of such contract it will, upon the order of the Director of Health, receive into said hospital and care for and maintain therein, according to the terms and conditions specified and adopted by said Director, any person who would, under ordinary conditions, be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital, the stay in said hospital of said person so received therein to be determined by said Director. All amounts to become due to said hospital, pursuant to said contract, shall be payable monthly upon warrants issued and paid as provided by law.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall have full power and authority to determine the qualifications of any hospital offering to afford hospitalization as provided by this ordinance and no contract shall be entered into with any hospital which does not possess the qualifications provided for by said Director. And when any hospital shall, during the term of any contract, cease to possess said qualifications, the Director may cancel said contract or refuse to order persons admitted thereto.

Section 5. The Director of Public Health shall also, in advance of advertising for bids for the service provided for in this ordinance, prepare specifications governing the character of care, service and hospitalization which shall be furnished to the persons herein mentioned, and no other service shall be furnished to said persons at the expense of the City and County, except upon written order of the Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health may at any time cause any person received into any hospital, under any contract entered into by virtue of this ordinance, to be removed therefrom when it is deemed advisable to remove said person to any other hospital, or when, in the

opinion of said Director, said person has sufficiently convalesced to be removed from said hospital, and when said Director shall notify any hospital that any patient received therein under any contract has sufficiently convalesced to be removed therefrom, the City shall not be liable for the care or hospitalization of said person after the expiration of forty-eight hours after said notice is given.

Section 7. The Director of Public Health, or his representative, shall at all times have access to any hospital in which the persons mentioned herein are being cared for for the purpose of investigating the character of the care, maintenance and hospitalization being furnished to said persons.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Creating New Underground District, Bryant Street, Fifth to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 487, Ordinance No. 11.123, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 AAA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 AAA. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 67, Bryant street from Fifth to Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Creating New Underground District, Harrison Street, Fifth to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 488, Ordinance No. 11.124, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 BBB.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 BBB. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 68, Harrison street from Fifth to Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Creating New Underground District, Tenth Street, Howard to Division Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 489, Ordinance No. 11.125, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 CCC.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 CCC. An additional district to those heretofore described

within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 69, Tenth street from Howard to Division street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Creating New Underground District, Potrero Avenue, Division to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 490, Ordinance No. 11.126, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 DDD.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 DDD. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 70, Potrero avenue, Division street to Army street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Re-referred.

The following bill was, on motion, *re-referred to the Buildings and Lands Committee*:

Amending Section 14½ of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), "Building Law."

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 485, Ordinance No. 11.0810, as follows:

Amending Section 14½ of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," approved December 22, 1909, and relating to occupancy of sidewalk and street space.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 14½ of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 14½. No permit provided for in Section 14 of this ordinance to use the sidewalks and streets shall be issued by the Department of Public Works unless a fee therefor shall have been paid to said Department of Public Works, as follows:

(a) For the construction, alteration or repair of a building of any kind, equal to one-tenth of 1 per cent of the estimated cost of such construction, alteration or repair, with a minimum fee of 50 cents.

(b) For any other purpose, where sidewalks and streets are used, a fee of $4 shall be charged.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

City Attorney to Commence Eminent Domain Proceedings Against Owners of Land Required for University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 6.0211)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1175, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition

by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following parcels of real property situated in San Francisco, California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lots numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, inclusive, in Block No. 58, of University Mound Survey, as per Map thereof recorded May 1, 1863, in Book 2 A and B of Maps at page 43, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be it Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the construction, operation and maintenance of an extension to the University Mound Reservoir of the San Francisco Water Department. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Deed to Land Required for Use of San Francisco Water Department.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1176, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Ellen Lunde to Lots 1 and 2 in Assessor's Block 6058, San Francisco; and that the sum of $4,021.45 be paid for said land out of the San Francisco Water Department Land Purchase Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Endorsing Central Valley Water Project.

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 5.32)

Resolution No. 1172, as follows:

Future development of California industry and agriculture is entirely dependent on the future supply of fresh water.

Progress in California can be sure only if we take immediate steps to conserve our available water and power resources, as outlined by the great Central Valley Water Project.

California's present and future development and its prosperity as a great State depends upon conservation of its waters, prevention of dangerous floods in the great Central Valleys and control of the salt water incursion in the rich and fertile areas of the State.

The Central Valley Water Project, vital in every aspect, is California's great opportunity to insure progress and development.

It will mean work for 25,000 people, thus bringing tremendous social benefits to every city and county in California. The Federal Government, if this plan is approved, will advance $170,000,000 to California for this project, thus it will not cost California taxpayers a single dollar. It means that California business will find renewed hope and renewed channels for the future.

The Central Valley Water Project, greater than Boulder Dam, is vital and commands the loyal support of our citizens.

The entire program is of the type most favored by President Roosevelt in his splendid efforts to restore the entire nation to a basis of normal living.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco believe in further development, in progress and in the destiny of California. It believes that the Central Valley Water Project will serve to accomplish these desired objectives and calls upon the patriotic and militant people of California to fight and to work for this tremendous issue which means so much to the future of our great State.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Varley, representing Fred Athern, chairman of a State-wide committee opposed to the Central Valley Water project, was granted the privilege of the floor. He asked that action be postponed in order that the opposition might be given a hearing at next meeting.

He declared that the Central Valley Water scheme was an ill-advised project that had no positive assurance of Federal aid as claimed, that if it failed as a revenue producer it would become a terrible burden on the taxpayers and probably eventually sold to the private power interests.

Geo. Holberton, representing the Central Valley Water project, explained the plan in detail, declared that it was not ill-advised but had been under consideration for eleven years, had received the approval of State Chamber of Commerce, State and Federal engineers, would pay for itself and would not be a burden on the taxpayers.

W. T. Locke and J. T. Comber expressed themselves as in favor of the project.

Edw. Vandeleur, representing San Francisco Labor Council, also addressed the Board, strongly urging the adoption of the resolution.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Warren Shannon.

San Francisco, Cal., November 28, 1933.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of fifteen (15) days, commencing December 8, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1177, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing December 8, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Protest Against Garbage Disposal in Visitacion Valley.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from George H. Allen, secretary Visitacion Valley Improvement Association, calling attention to the alleged intolerable conditions which now obtain in Visitacion Valley District due to odors coming from garbage deposited by the City of San Francisco in that locality.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

State Water Project.

Supervisor Canepa presented communication from Tulare County Board of Supervisors urging the endorsement of the State Water project to be voted upon December 19, 1933, as vitally affecting the interests of the State of California, particularly the greater inland valleys of Sacramento and San Joaquin.

Ordered *filed.*

Improvement of New Street Off Wisconsin Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the matter of the improvement of a new street off Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets be referred to the Streets Committee.

So ordered.

Representation at California State Automobile Association Meeting, Reno, December 9, 1933.

Supervisor Gallagher read communication from C. C. Cottrell, manager Highways Bureau, expressing regret that San Francisco Board of Supervisors would be represented at the Interstate Highway meeting at Reno, December 9, by but one Supervisor, and amplifying the original invitation in the hope that his suggestion of a committee of three be authorized to represent this City.

Supervisor Gallagher indicated that probably he would, at his own expense, attend the meeting.

Proposed Amendment to the Constitution.

(Code No. 5.33)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Amend Section 22 of Article XX of the Constitution of the State of California, to read as follows:

Sec. 22. In the event of the repeal of the State Prohibition Enforcement Law, commonly known as the Wright Act, and if and when it shall become lawful under the Constitution and laws of the United States to manufacture, sell, purchase, possess or transport intoxicating liquor for beverage purposes within the United States, the State of California, subject to the internal revenue laws of the United States shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession, transportation and disposition of intoxicating liquor within the state, and subject to the laws of the United States regulating commerce between foreign nations and among the states, shall have the exclusive right and power to control and regulate the importation into and the exportation from the state of intoxicating liquor; provided, however, no public saloon, public bar or bar room or other public drinking place where intoxicating liquors to be used for any purpose shall be kept, bought, sold, consumed or otherwise disposed of, shall ever be established, maintained or operated within the state; provided, further, subject to the

above provisions that in hotels, boarding houses, restaurants, cafes, cafeterias and other public eating places, *spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors, or any admixtures thereof*, may be served and consumed with meals furnished in good faith to the guests and patrons thereof, and the legislature may authorize, subject to reasonable restrictions, the sale in retail stores of liquor contained in original packages, where such liquor is not to be consumed on the premises where sold; *provided, however, that cities and counties shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the retail sale of spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors or any admixtures thereof, within the confines of their respective cities and cities and counties in the manner herein provided.*

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

In Memoriam: John Parry.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1183, as follows:

Whereas, this Board learned with deep sorrow of the passing of John Parry, former Lieutenant of the San Francisco Fire Department; and

Whereas, John Parry was well known and beloved by a host of friends who, in life, learned to honor and respect his many excellent qualities as a public employee and citizen of San Francisco, and who, on last Saturday, within a few hours of his death, at the annual banquet of the Veteran Firemen's Association, was presented by Fire Chief Brennan, speaking for that organization, with a token of appreciation for his loyal and devoted services as president of the Veteran Firemen's Association of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of John Parry, and the Clerk is hereby directed to send a copy of this resolution to the wife and family of the deceased, with this expression of the Board's sincere sorrow at their untimely bereavement.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

Leave of Absence, Mr. Emerson Knight, Member Art Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 4, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of three months, commencing December 5th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1182, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing December 5, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Additional Appropriation for Health Department.

The following was read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Central Office, Civic Center

November 28, 1933.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cleary: At a meeting in the Controller's office today it was agreed to recommend that the Department of Public Health be allowed the sum of $53,542 out of the Emergency Fund as an additional appropriation for the following purposes:

The San Francisco Hospital has been caring for a daily average of 1158 patients since July 1, 1933, and cannot care for this number with its present appropriation.

The present budget will allow the caring for of only 1131 patients daily at the rate of the last fiscal year, $2.65 per patient day, and we feel justified in asking for an increase in appropriation to the San Francisco Hospital of $50,000 to care for a daily average of patients—1161 patients at $2.70 per day, the increase in per diem cost being due to increase in costs of supplies.

This $50,000, if appropriated, to be placed to the credit of:

Appropriation 169	$10,000
Appropriation 170	40,000
	$50,000

In preparing our budget estimate for this fiscal year it was intended to close the Potrero Emergency Hospital upon the opening of the Alemany Hospital. This was not done and the Potrero Emergency Hospital has remained open and to continue its being open will require from November 1, 1933, the salary of a surgeon:

Eight months at $200 per month	$1,600
Less 12½ per cent	200
	$1,400

Also it was not intended to maintain a full 24-hour ambulance service at the Alemany Hospital. It is maintained for 16 hours per day and for the full 24-hour service there will be required from December 1, 1933:

One Steward	$165	
One Driver	175	
Seven months	$340	$2,380
Less 10 per cent		238
		$2,142

This total sum of $3,542 to go to the credit of Appropriation 174-1 or if the salary ordinance is amended to the credit of Appropriation 174.

I trust that you will approve this request and forward it to the Mayor and the Controller for their approval and to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors for appropriate action.

Sincerely,

J. C. GEIGER, M. D.,
Director of Public Health.

Approved:

ALFRED J. CLEARY, Chief Administrative Officer.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Miles, who moved its passage as an emergency measure:

Supplemental Appropriation of $53,542 for Additional Hospitalization.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of $53,542 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1933-1934 ($50,000 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, divided $10,000 to the credit of Appropriation 169 and $40,000 to the credit of Appropriation 170, and $3,542 to the credit of Appropriation 174-1), for additional hospitalization required for the dependent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, the sum of $53,542 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital ($10,000 to the credit of Appropriation 169, $40,000 to the credit of Appropriation 170, and $3,542 to the credit of Appropriation 174-1) for additional hospitalization required for the dependent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Approved by the Mayor and the Chief Administrator.)

Thereupon, after discussion as to the elimination of the Potrero Hospital service and 24-hour service at the Alemany Emergency Hospital, the foregoing bill was, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, *referred to the Finance Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, December 11, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 52

Monday, December 11, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 11, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Peyser was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 4, 1933, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly side of Burrows street, 100 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. H. Rockwell, attorney for the appellants, was heard in favor of the proposed zone change.

A. D. Schaffer, attorney for the respondents, was heard in opposition.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Rezoning Northerly Side of Burrows Street 100 Feet Westerly From San Bruno Avenue, From First Residential District to Light Industrial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1192, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 960, granting an application to rezone property located at the northerly side of Burrows street 100 feet westerly from San Bruno avenue, from First Residential to Light Industrial district is hereby disapproved.

Refused adoption by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Power, Stanton—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles—6.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon, Spaulding—6.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Hearing appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the easterly side of Crane street, 50 feet northerly from Salinas street and running northerly 100 feet.

Privilege of the Floor.

Judge Oppenheimer was heard for the appellants, and J. W. Jackson, attorney for the respondents.

Mrs. D. L. Childs, of 31 Elgin Park, was heard in opposition and Mrs. Minnie Lane in favor of the rezoning.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Rezoning Easterly Side of Crane Street 50 Feet Northerly From Salinas Street and Running Northerly 100 Feet From First Residential District to Light Industrial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1191, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 954, denying an application to rezone property located at the easterly side of Crane street and running northerly 100 feet, from First Residential district to Light Industrial district is hereby disapproved.

Refused adoption by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Peyser—1.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $541.50 for the Cost of Improving the Easterly Side of Venard Alley, Southerly From Chestnut Street, in Front of Property Owned by the State; Payable Out of County Road Fund.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 467, Ordinance No. 9.051103, as follows:

Appropriating $541.50 out of County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/10) for the cost of improving the easterly side of Venard alley southerly from Chestnut street, in front of property owned by the State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $541.50 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund (Appropriation 150/10) for the cost of the improvement of the easterly side of Venard alley southerly from Chestnut street, in front of property owned by the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Adding Item 75½, Providing for Special Nurse, as Needed, Twelve Hours at $8 Per Day for Two Patients, with Deduction for Room, Board and Laundry, and Reducing the Rates on Items 74 and 75, $1 Per Day Each.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 468, Ordinance No. 9.05350, as follows:

An Ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339, by adding item 75½ thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (San Francisco Hospital).**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	$ 150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.)	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time)	50
50	3	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	2	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	1	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	40	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	13	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	52.50
58	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. &. L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationery Steam Engine (deduct $55 for full family maintenance)	350
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	59	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at $5 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at $6 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours, at $8 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
78	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185

Explanatory

Item 75½ added to establish rate as needed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Coroner's Office, Eliminating Position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 Per Month and Adding One Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 469, Ordinance No. 9.05351, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 64 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by reducing the number of positions for General Clerk-Typist at $165, heretofore established under Item 3 thereof, and adding one position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 under Item 4 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 64 of Ordinance 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 64. **CORONER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....$	200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Reappropriating $1,046.25 From Funds in Coroner's Office for Payment of Salary of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 470, Ordinance No. 9.051104, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 per month in the Coroner's Office, creating and establishing one position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at $150 per month in

the same department, and reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated to Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk-Typist at $165 per month in the Coroner's Office is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby established in the Coroner's Office one additional position of Bacteriological Laboratory Technician at a salary of $150 per month, less the deduction as provided by Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Section 3. Funds in the amount of $1,046.25 heretofore appropriated under Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Ordinance 9.05164) for compensation of the position herein abolished and later transferred to Item 260 of said ordinance are hereby reappropriated to the said Item 191 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Tax Collector, by Eliminating One General Clerk at $200 Per Month and Adding One General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 471, Ordinance No. 9.05352, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 32 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance by reducing the number of employments under Item 9 thereof by one and adding Item 12½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 32 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 32. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office	300
6			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	19	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	215
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
			BUREAU OF DELINQUENT REVENUE	
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Reappropriating $1,116 for Salary of General Clerk-Stenographer, Office of Tax Collector.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 472, Ordinance No. 9.051105, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk at $200 per month in the office of the Tax Collector; reappropriating funds heretofore appropriated therefor and creating and establishing a position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 per month in the office of the Tax Collector.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk at $200 per month in the office of the Tax Collector is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Tax Collector one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $155 per month, less 10 per cent reduction, as provided by Charter Section 70.1.

Section 3. The sum heretofore appropriated and now in appropriation, No. 260 of Ordinance 9.05164 for salary of the position herein abolished in the amount of $1,116 is hereby reappropriated to the credit of Item 90 of the said Ordinance 9.05164 for compensation of the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, Eliminating One General Clerk at $240 Per Month and Adding One Comptometer Operator at $155 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 473, Ordinance No. 9.05353, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 72 of Ordinance 9.05339 by abolishing a position enumerated under Item 9 thereof and adding a position to Item 11 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 72 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, $9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, $10.35 per day	
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	1	B216	Clerk	150
7	5	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	160
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	160
17	31	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	155

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
19	3	C104	Janitor, $5.80 per day	
20	6	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day	
22	1	C152	Watchman, $5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, $9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, $9 per day	
25	7	E154	Lineman, $7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	5	J4	Laborer, $5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, $6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, $5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, $5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, $5.80 per day	
34	2	J160	Track Welder, $6.80 per day	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, $6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	4	M54	Auto Machinist, $9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, $9 per day	
43	48	M202	Car Repairer, $6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day	
46	6	M254	Machinist, $9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway	240
50	438	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	430	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	10	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	175
54	3	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	210
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, $5.80 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Reappropriating $1,116 From Appropriation 210 for Payment of Salary of Comptometer Operator.
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 474, Ordinance No. 9.051106, as follows:

An ordinance abolishing one position of General Clerk at $155 in the Municipal Railroad, creating a position of Comptometer Operator in the same department at $155 per month and reappropriating the sum of $1,116 heretofore appropriated to Item 210 of Ordinance No. 9.05164.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. One position of General Clerk at $155 per month in the Municipal Railroad is hereby abolished.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Municipal Railroad one position at $155 per month to be titled Comptometer Operator in accordance with the Civil Service Commission classification of duties.

Section 3. The sum of $1,116 heretofore appropriated under Item 210 of Ordinance No. 9.05164, commonly called the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, to pay the salary of the position herein abolished is hereby reappropriated to Item 210 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Ordinance No. 9.05164). Said sum is reappropriated to provide salary for the position herein created.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance by Eliminating Items 14 and 14a, Two Laborers, Part-Time Relief Employment, Not a Wage Rate.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 475, Ordinance No. 9.05354, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 by eliminating Items 14 and 14a thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 16. RECREATION COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at $9 per day	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day	
3	3	A354	Painter at $9 per day	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at $12 per day	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	185
6	1	B51	Publicity Agent (part time)	150
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance	350
15	19	J4	Laborer	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman	175
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
19	23	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
20	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time)	75
21	3	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
22	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22a		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 22)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	195
23a	2	O58	Gardener	135

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
25	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
26	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	250
27	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
28	1	R56	Playground Director (part time)	85
29	4	R56	Playground Director (part time)	79
30	10	R56	Playground Director (part time)	75
31		R56	Playground Director (part time)	65
32	1	R56	Playground Director	175
33	1	R56	Playground Director	160
34	25	R56	Playground Director	150
35	4	R56	Playground Director	140
36	3	R56	Playground Director	135
37	4	R56	Playground Director	130
38	17	R56	Playground Director	125
39	1	R56	Playground Director	120
40	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
40a		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 40)	150
41		R56	Playground Director, $2.50 per evening	
42		R56	Playground Director (substitute), 50c to 75c per hour	

Explanation.

Items 14 and 14a, which established classifications of Laborer, part-time, at $3 per day and $40 per month, are eliminated, as all employments having to do with Unemployment Relief are now under the Citizens' Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Curbs and Sidewalks on Shipley Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 476, Ordinance No. 12.061140, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the northwestern and southeasterly sides of Shipley Street between lines parallel with Fourth Street and respectively 412 feet 6 inches and 550 feet southwesterly therefrom, by the

construction of armored concrete curbs; and by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Improvement of Crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 477, Ordinance No. 12.061141, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of an 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer; by the construction of a brick manhole; by the construction of brick catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts; by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Beginning on the west line of Putnam Street 275 feet northerly from

Jarboe Avenue, thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Jarboe Avenue 70 feet; thence southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Putnam Street 525 feet; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Jarboe Avenue 180 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of Putnam Street 525 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Jarboe Avenue 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Improvement of Tucker Avenue Between the Westerly Line of Alpha Street and Rutland Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 478, Ordinance No. 12.061142, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 24, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tucker avenue between the westerly line of Alpha street and Rutland street, where not already so improved, by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of armored concrete curbs, where not already constructed; and by the construction of a concrete pavement and an asphaltic concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Improvement of Laidley Street Between Fairmount Street and San Miguel Street.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 479, Ordinance No. 12.061143, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 24, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street between Fairmount street and San Miguel street, including the crossing of Laidley street and Fairmount street, and the necessary conform work in the crossing of Laidley street and San Miguel street, by the construction of armored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

That in the opinion of the said Director of Public Works, the proposed work or improvement is of more than local or ordinary benefit, and that the assessment to defray the costs and expenses thereof can be more equitably imposed upon the property benefited thereby, and, therefore, the said Director does hereby determine and declare that the expense of such work or improvement be made chargeable upon a district in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County, and does hereby set out the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 of Block 6664; Lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 of Block 6665; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Block 6683; Lots 1, 17, 18, 18A, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of Block 6684; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Improvement of Carolina Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Where Not).

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 480, Ordinance No. 12.061144, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1933, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina Street between Twenty-second Street and Twenty-third Street, where not already improved, by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width; by the construction of a 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 3½-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Change of Grade, Quesada Avenue Between Phelps and Quint Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 481, Ordinance No. 12.072213, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Quesada Avenue, between Phelps and Quint Streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did on the 14th day of June, 1933, by Resolution No. 838, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grade on Quesada Avenue, between Phelps and Quint Streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Quesada Avenue:

Phelps Street, 144.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 94.50 feet.

14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 475 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 94.50 feet.

14.5 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 87.50 feet.

14.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 361 feet southeasterly from Quint Street, 87.50 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Quint Street, 70.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwestern line of, at Quint Street, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Quesada Avenue between Phelps and Quint Streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Full Acceptance of Various Streets.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 482, Ordinance No. 12.08119, as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Clement Street between the westerly line of Fortieth Avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth Avenue; Cayuga Avenue between Tingley and Theresa Streets, including the curbs; Theresa Street between Alemany Boulevard and a line which was the southeasterly termination of Theresa Street on January 19, 1925, as said Theresa Street extended southeasterly from San Jose Avenue, including the crossing of Theresa Street and Cayuga Avenue, including the curbs; Kirkham Street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues; Thirty-fourth Avenue between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 108 of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement, and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Clement Street between the westerly line of Fortieth Avenue and the westerly line of Forty-fifth Avenue;

Cayuga Avenue between Tingley and Theresa Streets, including the curbs;

Theresa Street between Alemany Boulevard and a line which was the southeasterly termination of Theresa Street on January 19, 1925, as said Theresa Street extended southeasterly from San Jose Avenue, including the crossing of Theresa Street and Cayuga Avenue, including the curbs;

Kirkham Street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues; Thirty-fourth Avenue between Kirkham and Lawton Streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Clerk Directed to Advertise Notice of Sale of $600,000 "Relief Bonds, 1932".

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1184, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale) that on the 26th day of December, 1933, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Six hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to Make Inspections of, and to Issue Certificates Determining the Condition of Agricultural Products, and Providing a Fee for the Issuance Thereof, and Fixing the Amount of Said Fees.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 465, Ordinance No. 3.04130, as follows:

Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to make inspections of, and to issue certificates determining the condition of agricultural products, and providing a fee for the issuance thereof, and fixing the amount of said fees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of the County Agricultural Commissioner to inspect all fruits, vegetables, cereals, eggs and milk, butter and cheese purchased by the Purchaser of Supplies for use by the City and County, or by any department, office or bureau thereof, and the cost of such inspection shall be paid by the person, firm or corporation selling or furnishing said commodities to the City.

Section 2. Upon the payment of the fees herein provided, the Commissioner shall issue to the seller of said commodities a certificate certifying to the condition of said commodities, and a copy thereof to the Purchaser of Supplies, and no commodities purchased shall be accepted by the Purchaser which are not certified to be in accordance with the grade provided to be delivered to said Purchaser in accordance with his agreement of purchase with said seller.

Section 3. It shall also be the duty of the County Agricultural Commissioner, at the request of any shipper desiring to ship agricultural products, and the payment of the fee or fees herein provided, to inspect the products to be shipped and to issue to the shipper thereof a certificate certifying to said inspection, and to the condition of said products.

Section 4. The fees to be charged for the service provided for in this ordinance shall be as follows:

(a) For inspection and certificates of products purchased for the City and County:

1. For the inspection of onions, beans, rice and other cereals—2 cents per 100 pounds.
2. For the inspection of dried fruits—3 cents per 100 pounds.
3. For the inspection of butter and cheese—5 cents per 100 pounds.
4. For the inspection of eggs—3 cents per case.

(b) For inspection and certificates for agricultural products to be shipped, as follows:

1. One and one-half cents per package with a maximum charge of $3 for 500 packages; from 500 to 1000 packages one-half a cent per package; and for all packages over 1000, one-quarter of a cent per package.
2. For each certificate of fumigation—25 cents.
3. Twenty-five cents shall be the minimum charge for any single certificate.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed and Authorizing Payment for Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1185, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following party to that certain lot in San Francisco; and that the sum set forth opposite her name be paid from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Florence Kavanagh, $150. Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 5631. Chargeable to Appropriation No. 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Deeds and Authorizing Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1186, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from 1931-1932 Appropriation No. 170:

Estate of Mary A. G. Blake, deceased, $100. Lot 41 in Assessor's Block 5614.

George L. Scheuermann, $250. Lots 10 and 11 in Assessor's Block 5631.

Elise Lammerman, $200. Lots 23 and 24 in Assessor's Block 5623.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1187, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 330 to Director of Public Works for $285.68; No. 331 to J. B. West for $125, No. 332 to J. B. West for $125, No. 333 to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker for $14.07, No. 334 to San Francisco Chronicle for $229.68, payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $35,000 for Additional Hospitalization at the San Francisco Hospital to April 1, 1934, and $2,142 for Continuation of Alemany Hospital to June 30, 1934, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 491, Ordinance No. 9.051109, as follows:

Authorizing Supplemental Appropriations, as follows, out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for Hospital continuation, to-wit: $30,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170, San Francisco Hospital; $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169, San Francisco Hospital, and $2,142 to the credit of Appropriation No. 174-1, Alemany Hospital. And declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, the sum of $30,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 170, San Francisco Hospital; the sum of $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 169, San Francisco Hospital, and $2,142 to the credit of Appropriation No. 174-1, Alemany Hospital, for the continuation of hospitalization at the San Francisco Hospital to April 1, 1934, and for the continuation of hospitalization at the Alemany Hospital to June 30, 1934; and being necessary for the preservation of the health of citizens and residents of San Francisco.

Section 2. That the continuation of the work of the San Francisco Hospital, and the Alemany Hospital, and the appropriation of the aforesaid sums for said purposes constitutes an emergency within the meaning of Section 16 of the Charter, the same being necessary immediately for the preservation of the health of many of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the hospitals.

(Approved by the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $500 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to Meet Unpaid Bills and Necessary Supplies for Account of the Bureau of Employment Registration.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 492, Ordinance No. 9.051110, as follows:

Appropriating $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 89-1, Bureau of Employment Registration, for the payment of unpaid bills and necessary supplies for account of said Bureau of Employment Registration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside the sum of $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 89-1, Bureau of Employment Registration, for the payment of unpaid bills and necessary supplies for account of said Bureau of Employment Registration.

(Approved by the Mayor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $480,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the Purpose of Caring for the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County to December 31, 1933.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 493, Ordinance No. 9.051111, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $480,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to December 31, 1933, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of four hundred eighty thousand ($480,000) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to December 31, 1933.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such State, federal and municipal funds as may be made available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and are for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of

San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Authorizing the Mayor, the Controller, the City Attorney and Supervisor Havenner to Absent Themselves From the City and County for the Purpose of Representing the City and County Before the Public Works Administration at Washington, D. C., and Providing the Expenses Incidental Thereto, and Declaring an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 494, Ordinance No. 9.051112, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor, the Controller, the City Attorney and Supervisor Franck R. Havenner to absent themselves from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for the purpose of representing the City before the Public Works Administration at Washington and providing for the expenses incidental thereto and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and Leonard S. Leavy, the Controller, John J. O'Toole, the City Attorney, and Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to represent the City of San Francisco before the Public Works Administration at Washington in regard to grants and loans to be made for the carrying out of the projects for which bonds were approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on November 7, 1933; and that the expenses incident to making said trip be, and the same are, hereby authorized as provided in Section 219 of the Charter and in accordance with Ordinance No. 9.0562.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the Public Works Administration of Washington has requested the Mayor, with the financial and legal officers of the City, to appear before said Administration during the week commencing December 11, 1933, for the purpose of presenting the claims of the City for grants and loans to be made in accordance with the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act, and, therefore, this ordinance must become effective forthwith in order to comply with time limitations.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Health Committee was, on motion, *re-referred to the Committee on Finance and Health*:

Hospitalization for Indigent Sick.

(Code No. 17.12)

Bill No. 455, Ordinance No. 17.121, as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Director of Health to obtain hospitalization for persons entitled thereto at the expense of the City and County in privately owned hospitals during periods of emergency and when the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital make it impractical to afford said persons hospitalization in said San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing the Director of Health to make

rules and regulations which will govern the conditions under which said persons will be admitted into and maintained and cared for in said privately owned hospitals, and providing the manner in which contracts shall be entered into by the City and County with said privately owned hospitals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever, as a result of unusual increase of the usual number of persons requiring hospital care, due to epidemic, catastrophe, unemployment conditions, or other causes, the normal accommodations of the San Francisco Hospital are insufficient to afford hospitalization and care of those entitled thereto in said hospital, the Director of Public Health may cause contractual agreements to be entered into with any privately owned hospital in the City and County of San Francisco for the hospitalization of those persons who, under ordinary conditions, would be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. The Purchaser of Supplies shall, upon the request of the Director of Health, and after advertising for bids therefor in the manner provided by law, enter into contracts with any privately owned hospital or hospitals for hospital service and care of said above mentioned persons upon such conditions and specifications as shall be prepared and submitted to him by the Director of Public Health, the terms of such contract or contracts to be for such period or periods as the Director of Public Health shall specify, said term, however, not to exceed one year. When the offers or bids of any of said privately owned hospitals shall be the same, the Purchaser may enter into said contracts for said care and hospitalization of said persons with any or all of said hospitals whose bids are the same, provided that before any contract is entered into with any hospital, the Director of Public Health shall certify to the Purchaser of Supplies that said hospital qualifies as to the standards and conditions specified by said Director of Public Health in his specifications submitted to the said Purchaser, and upon which said bids were submitted. No contract shall be entered into unless the Controller shall first certify that the necessary funds are available to meet the amount to become due under said contract, provided that when the amount to become due under any such contract is not determined in advance, then, in that event the Purchaser may approve purchase orders issued by the Director of Public Health covering the possible service to be rendered by the contracting hospital during any particular period of time not exceeding one month.

Section 3. In any contract entered into with any privately owned hospital, said hospital shall agree therein that from time to time during the term of such contract it will, upon the order of the Director of Health, receive into said hospital and care for and maintain therein, according to the terms and conditions specified and adopted by said Director, any person who would, under ordinary conditions, be entitled to care and hospitalization in the San Francisco Hospital, the stay in said hospital of said person so received therein to be determined by said Director. All amounts to become due to said hospital, pursuant to said contract, shall be payable monthly upon warrants issued and paid as provided by law.

Section 4. The Director of Health shall have full power and authority to determine the qualifications of any hospital offering to afford hospitalization as provided by this ordinance and no contract shall be entered into with any hospital which does not possess the qualifications provided for by said Director. And when any hospital shall, during the term of any contract, cease to possess said qualifications, the Director may cancel said contract or refuse to order persons admitted thereto.

Section 5. The Director of Public Health shall also, in advance of advertising for bids for the service provided for in this ordinance, prepare specifications governing the character of care, service and

hospitalization which shall be furnished to the persons herein mentioned, and no other service shall be furnished to said persons at the expense of the City and County, except upon written order of the Director of Public Health.

Section 6. The Director of Public Health may at any time cause any person received into any hospital, under any contract entered into by virtue of this ordinance, to be removed therefrom when it is deemed advisable to remove said person to any other hospital, or when, in the opinion of said Director, said person has sufficiently convalesced to be removed from said hospital, and when said Director shall notify any hospital that any patient received therein under any contract has sufficiently convalesced to be removed therefrom, the City shall not be liable for the care or hospitalization of said person after the expiration of forty-eight hours after said notice is given.

Section 7. The Director of Public Health, or his representative, shall at all times have access to any hospital in which the persons mentioned herein are being cared for for the purpose of investigating the character of the care, maintenance and hospitalization being furnished to said persons.

Rescinded.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, theretofore adopted, was subsequently on motion of Supervisor Colman *rescinded*:

Petition for the Creation of a New Street.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 979, as follows:

Whereas, there has been presented to this Board of Supervisors and pending in the Streets Committee of same, a petition asking for the creation of a new street off Wisconsin street, which shall serve as an adequate entrance to the Potrero Playground; which new street, including all costs, is estimated at twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars; and

Whereas, the Board of Playground Directors and the Park Commission both have replied that they are without sufficient funds to construct same; and the property owners adjacent to the playground, while desirous of said street, have as yet expressed no willingness to pay any of the costs thereof; and

Whereas, it has been a well established policy of this Board to (in cases where property owners are unable to bear the whole cost) assist financially on the basis of 50 per cent property owners and 50 per cent from City funds; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Streets Committee is requested to hold a meeting of all assessable property owners, representatives of improvement clubs, the City Engineer and such others as may be qualified, and at said meeting the following suggestion be considered, i. e.:

1. That the City of San Francisco will purchase a right of way of sufficient length and width to provide a new street at a point recommended by the Director of Works.
2. That the City will construct a temporary roadway thereon as an entrance to said Potrero Playground.
3. That it be done on the reaching of an understanding that when abutting property owners so petition, a street will be constructed, the cost of same to be borne by the said assessable property owners in the legal district.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed to Sewer Easement.

(Code No. 12.104)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1188, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that

certain deed dated June 5, 1933, from Louis Depaoli et ux., to a sewer easement over certain lots in Alemany Terraces, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1189, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain quitclaim deed dated November 20, 1933, from J. E. Higgins and Thomas J. Code to Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18 in Assessor's Block 3514, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Financing N. R. A. Bond Issue.

The following were read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

MAYOR'S OFFICE.

San Francisco, December 5, 1933.

Secretary of the Interior Harold L. Ickes having requested that the representatives of the City of San Francisco forthwith confer with the representatives of the Public Works Administration at Washington, it is necessary that Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, Controller Leonard S. Leavy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole leave San Francisco not later than Thursday, December 7, for the purpose of conferring with said representatives of the said Public Works Administration relative to the financing of San Francisco's N. R. A. Bond Issue and inasmuch as there will be no meeting of the Board of Supervisors prior to their leaving, I hereby assent to City officials to leave the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty days; and further agree to affirmatively record my vote for the City officials to leave the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty days, at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

Andrew J. Gallagher, Franck R. Havenner, J. Emmet Hayden, Carl W. Miles, E. J. Spaulding, Victor J. Canepa, James E. Power, Jr., Jas. B. McSheehy.

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

December 7, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that upon the suggestion of Mr. H. H. Cotton, a member of the State Advisory Board, P. W. A., I have requested the Honorable Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, and also a member of the State Advisory Board, P. W. A., to go on to Washington, D. C., and be present at the conference to be held in connection with the City and County of San Francisco's amended application for a loan as well as a grant on the $20,500,000 bond issues for public works projects which were passed upon by the electorate on November 7, 1933.

Mr. Cotton, in conversation over the phone this morning, stated in his judgment it would be necessary that a State Board hearing be held upon the supplementary request and in that event with Mr. Havenner and himself on the ground, this could be accomplished.

Therefore, I request that you concur with me in granting Supervisor

Havenner a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period not to exceed thirty days.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

December 7, 1933.

Relative to the conference with the Public Works Administration at Washington, it is necessary that Supervisor Franck R. Havenner accompany Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, Controller Leonard S. Leavy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole for the purpose of conferring with said representatives of the said Public Works Administration relative to the financing of San Francisco's N. R. A. bond issue, and inasmuch as there will be no meeting of the Board of Supervisors prior to their leaving, I hereby assent to Supervisor Havenner's leaving the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty days; and further agree to affirmatively record my vote at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Supervisor Havenner to leave the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty days.

J. Emmet Hayden, President, E. J. Spaulding, Carl W. Miles, James E. Power, Jr., W. P. Stanton, Victor J. Canepa, Jesse C. Colman, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Jefferson E. Peyser.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

Leaves of Absence, Mayor Rossi, Controller Leavy, City Attorney O'Toole, Supervisor Havenner.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1190, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 7, 1933, with permission to leave the State to proceed to Washington regarding financing of public improvement bonds authorized November 7, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Garbage Complaint, Visitacion Valley.

Supervisor Spaulding called attention to complaint of residents of Visitacion Valley against dumping of city garbage in said location and declared that he understood that the City Administrator would have his specifications in today so that the garbage could be properly handled.

Mr. Allen, representing the Visitacion Valley Improvement Club, was heard complaining of conditions menacing the health and comfort of residents of Visitacion Valley by reason of the garbage being deposited in that district.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from J. S. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health, enclosing copy of sanitary fill requirements that the Health Department feels are necessary in the matter of the disposal of municipal garbage.

Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.

Motion.

Supervisor Spaulding moved that the proposition of John P. Holland

and any other proposition for garbabe disposal be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next Monday.

So ordered.

Local Liquor Legislation.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from Robert E. Bush, suggesting that the apparent conflict between the State License Board and the local Board of Supervisors is probably settled by the present Freeholder Charter of the City of San Francisco itself, and quoting decisions of the court which have been upheld and tends to show that local Boards of Supervisors still have jurisdiction in the matter of local liquor legislation.

Referred to City Attorney for an opinion.

Supervisor Hayden Appointed Acting Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

December 7, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I am appointing Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden and President of your Honorable Board to act as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco during my absence therefrom.

I am leaving this evening on the Overland Limited to confer with Federal officials, at the request of Colonel H. M. Waite, Deputy Administrator, Federal Administration of Public Works, relative to the Government's financing our N. R. A. Bonds, etc., and expect to return within thirty days.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Parking on Van Ness Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

December 11, 1933.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: There has been filed with your Board a resolution, Number 3428, from the Department of Public Works, concerning parking on Van Ness avenue, in connection with the proposed widening and reconstruction of said avenue, between Market and Bay streets.

The expense of this project is to be met out of the $600,000 allotment recently made to San Francisco by the N. R. A. The State Highway Department makes a condition that parallel parking be enforced by the Police Department on completion of the widening of Van Ness avenue.

This plan of parking has already been agreed to by the property owners on Van Ness avenue.

It is urgent that your Board prepare the proper legislation so that there will be no delay in starting this project.

Respectfully,
J. EMMET HAYDEN, Acting Mayor.

Appointment of Three Additional Police Captains.

The following were read by the Clerk:

December 11, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: At the request of the Board of Police Commissioners,

I am asked to approve the appointment of three Captains of Police, the Commission setting forth that an emergency exists necessitating this action.

I therefore recommend an appropriation of $7,000 be set aside to meet the salaries that obtain for the positions and the same to be charged to Appropriation 6.

Yours very truly,

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Acting Mayor.

THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

December 11, 1933.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: We beg to advise you that requisitions have been filed with his Honor the Mayor for appointment of three additional Captains of Police.

We respectfully request your Honorable Board to amend the salary ordinance and provide for the appointment of these additional Captains of Police as an emergency measure. Unless an emergency ordinance is passed immediately, it will be impossible to have these requisitions filled, owing to the fact that the eligible list of Captains expires on this date.

Yours respectfully,

THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS,

By CHAS. F. SKELLY, Secretary.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the following emergency ordinances were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Police Captains.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 495, Ordinance No. 9.05355, as follows:

Amending section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding three additional positions under item 32 thereof; setting for and declaring that an emergency as defined under section 16 of the Charter now exists and providing that this ordinance shall take effect at once.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Section 12. **POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150
			BUREAU OF INSPECTORS	
11	1		Captain of Inspectors	$ 416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	11	Q60	Lieutenants	250
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225
			UNIFORM FORCE	
18	1		Captain of Traffic	$ 333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
26½	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
27	964	Q2	Policemen	200
28	27	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
29	87	Q40	Corporal	215
30	95	Q50	Sergeant	220
31	43	Q60	Lieutenant	250
32	18	Q80	Captain	300

Section 2. Whereas an actual emergency now exists as to the creation and establishment of the three positions added under item 32 of section 1 in that the uninterrupted operation of the Police Department requires the creation of such positions, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that such emergency exists, and because of the imminent expiration of the Civil Service list of eligibles for Captains, this ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance.
(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 496, Ordinance No. 9.051113, as follows:

An ordinance appropriating $7,000 out of item 6 as set forth in Ordinance No. 9.05164 of the 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance to the credit of Appropriation No. 20, Police Department personal service of the said 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance for the purpose of paying compensation of three additional Captains; setting forth and declaring that an emergency now exists, and providing that this ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated out of item 6, as set forth in the 1933-34 Appropriation Ordinance, the sum of $7,000 to be credited to Appropriation No. 20 of the said Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. There are hereby created in the Police Department, three additional positions of Police Captains, compensation of which positions shall be $300 per month.

Section 3. Inasmuch as the continued uninterrupted operation of the Police Department requires the creation and establishment of these positions and the appropriation of the funds herein appropriated and because of the imminent expiration of the Civil Service list of eligibles, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists and because of said emergency this ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Conference of Mayors on State Liquor Control.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution directing the President of the Board of Supervisors to call a conference of all Mayors, County Supervisors, City Councilmen, Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs to consider State liquor control.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

In Memoriam: Mrs. Will J. French.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1196, as follows:

Whereas, Mrs. Will J. French, wife of the Commissioner of the State Industrial Relations, passed away at the Stanford Hospital recently; and

Whereas, Mrs. French was widely known throughout the State of California for her social, industrial and civic activities in the promotion of the welfare of the people of this State as a member of the Women's City Club and the League of Women Voters, and also as director of the Berkeley Traffic Safety Commission; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere sorrow at the death of this good woman, and extends to her husband, Will J. French, its sincere regrets at her passing; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to Mr. French and the relatives of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Mrs. Joseph Collins.
(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1197, as follows:

Whereas, Mrs. Joseph Collins, beloved sister of Honorable J. Emmet Hayden, President of this Board of Supervisors—a woman prominent in the social, fraternal and civic organizations in this City for many years, has recently departed this life; and

Whereas, Mrs. Collins was a devoted sister and the mother of a splendid family whose inconsolable loss is deeply commiserated by their many friends and acquaintances; now; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses to Supervisor Hayden and to the family of the deceased its sincere sorrow in their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to her memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Complaint on Non-Resident Relief Employees.

Supervisor Brown requested a report for next Monday's meeting on complaints he had received of Hyman Kaplan, who is not a resident of San Francisco nor a voter here, but who is holding a position with the City and County.

Also, that of Sarah Grant, late from San Diego, holding a position with the C. W. A. and is a visitor for the relief in the Glen Park District.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Thirty Days, Commencing December 11, 1933.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 11, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Arthur Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence,

with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing December 11, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully yours,

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1194, as follows:

Resolved, That, on recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days, commencing December 11, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Richard E. Doyle, Member Board of Education, Sixty Days From December 14, 1933.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 11, 1933.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Richard E. Doyle, Commissioner, and Vice-President, of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing December 14, 1933.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully yours,

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1195, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Richard E. Doyle, member of the Board of Education, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty (60) days, commencing December 14, 1933, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Election of Golden Gate Bridge Director.

Supervisor Spaulding inquired as to why there was no meeting of the Public Utilities Committee to act upon the resignation of Geo. Cameron and provide for the appointment of a new director. "I have nominated Hugo D. Newhouse and if there are any other nominations they ought to be given consideration."

Supervisor Colman declared that he would have a meeting as soon as possible, but that Supervisor Havenner is absent and the rules give the Committee thirty days to report.

Request for Postponement of Election, Bridge Director.

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAM

Ogden, Utah, Dec. 9, P. M. 6:46

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Respectfully request postponement election Bridge Director until my return.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 5:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 18, 1933.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 53

Monday, December 18, 1933

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 18, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 18, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Miles was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 11, 1933, was considered read and approved.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Registrar of Voters to Canvass Returns of Election Held December 19, 1933, and Designating Several Clerks for said Purpose.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1201, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Tuesday, December 26, to canvass the returns of the State Referendum and Municipal Bond Election to be held on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 912 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California, and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said elections in the manner provided by said Section 1228 of the Political Code of the State of California:

George Sharp, Wm. E. Monahan, E. A. Griffith, Jos. A. Dawson, Lester Stern, B. McWhirter, F. Chase, E. Mellars, M. Knoth.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Disposal of Garbage.

December 11, 1933—*On motion of Supervisor Spaulding, the proposition of John P. Holland and any other proposition for the disposal of garbage was made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m. this day.*

City Administrator requested to have report on subject matter for this hearing.

Report of Chief Administrative Officer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 18, 1933.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to motion by Supervisor Spaulding, duly carried by your Board at its meeting on Monday, December 11th, allow me to report as follows:

Garbage has been disposed of at Bayshore by the fill and cover method since September, 1932.

There has been no valid cause for complaint with the exception of a short period when the contractor was moving the railroad tracks to a new location, and during this interim, it was impossible to complete the sanitary fill as rapidly as garbage was deposited each day.

You are respectfully referred to my recommendation under date of April 24, 1933, recommending a sanitary fill on the marsh land owned by the City and County of San Francisco to the east of and immediately adjacent to Mills Field in San Mateo County.

Application has been made by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Manager of Public Utilities to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County under date of October 9, 1933, for a permit to make a sanitary fill on these lands. To date, the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County have taken no action on the application.

I am advised by the City Attorney's Office that the City and County of San Francisco has the legal right to fill its own property above referred to and to dispose of its garbage there by the sanitary fill process, provided that no nuisance attaches to the operation.

This City's right to make a sanitary fill at Bayshore on the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad was contested by the Bayshore Sanitary District, and the Superior Court, after full examination of the facts in question, found that the City had the right to fill private property in the manner specified and that no nuisance attached thereto. Herewith I am transmitting a copy of the Court's ruling.

In view of these facts, I recommend that your Honorable Board declare as its policy the disposition of San Francisco's garbage by sanitary fill on the marsh lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to the east of and immediately adjacent to Mills Field in San Mateo County.

I recommend that the City Engineer, the Director of Public Health, and the Manager of Utilities, be instructed to prepare specifications for such a sanitary garbage fill, and that the final condition on the filled lands be suited to the purposes of the San Francisco Airport.

I also recommend, in the event the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County refuse or neglect to grant the requested permit, that your Honorable Board grant a revocable permit on a day to day basis for the disposition of San Francisco's garbage under specifications to be prepared as above mentioned, and that immediately after the con-

tractor commences work, a suit be filed and pressed to its earliest possible conclusion; so that we may establish the right of San Francisco to dispose of its own garbage upon its own property in San Mateo County.

I further recommend that your Honorable Board expresses its policy that after such suit shall have been satisfactorily concluded a contract be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder for disposing of the City's garbage under these specifications for a contract period of ten (10) years, and that the communities of San Mateo County that wish to avail themselves of the right to utilize the same filling place for the purpose of disposing of their own garbage be permitted to do so under an equitable agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chief Administrator Cleary was heard at length:

He referred to the bond issue of $286,000 for the construction of runways at the San Francisco Municipal Airport and the use of a garbage fill for that purpose. This, he said, was favored by the Manager of the Airport and the Manager of the Public Utilties Commission, and will create land for runways that will convert Mills Field into a class A airport. He referred to the application made to San Mateo County to dump garbage for the purpose and to the delay of its Board of Supervisors to act on the application. If the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, he said, does not act on this application, San Francisco should put the matter right before the courts. It would be undesirable, he said, to award contracts for garbage fill and cover over an extended period of time before these rights are satisfactorily determined. We can have the specifications ready, then, in a period of three weeks we can ask for competitive bids and award contracts to the lowest responsible bidder for the disposal of garbage in accordance with plans, etc., of the Manager of Public Utilities Commission.

Garbage Disposal.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Engineering Department be requested to draw specifications for garbage disposal by the fill and cover method, to be presented to this Board of Supervisors when completed.

Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden moved to amend as follows: That the report of the Chief Administrative Officer and the motion made by Supervisor Gallagher be referred to the Health Committee and said committee make report thereon at the next meeting of the Board. (Health Committee agreed to meet Wednesday at 2 p. m.)

Motion *carried*.

Motion to Rescind Lost.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vote whereby the motion was adopted be rescinded.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Power, Shannon, Spaulding—4,

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Peyser, Roncovieri—4.

In Re Alleged Nuisance Created by Garbage Disposal in Visitacion Valley.

Supervisor Stanton moved that Mr. Healy and Dr. Geiger see that the nuisance is remedied, and that Mr. Healy visit the garbage dumps at 2 or 3 tomorrow morning (Tuesday) to make his investigation.

Motion *carried.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Creating New Underground District, Bryant Street, Fifth to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 487, Ordinance No. 11.123, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 AAA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 AAA. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 67, Bryant street from Fifth to Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Creating New Underground District, Harrison Street, Fifth to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 488, Ordinance No. 11.124, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 BBB.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 BBB. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 68, Harrison street from Fifth to Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Creating New Underground District, Tenth Street, Howard to Division Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 489, Ordinance No. 11.125, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 CCC.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 CCC. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 69, Tenth street from Howard to Division street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Creating New Underground District, Potrero Avenue, Division to Tenth Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 490, Ordinance No. 11.126, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 DDD.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 DDD. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 1, 1933, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 70, Potrero avenue, Division street to Army street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance. Adding Confidential Secretary to Office of Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 497, Ordinance No. 9.05356, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 2½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 10. SHERIFF.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	$ 666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
2½	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff	
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
10	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	22	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	275
23	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part-time)	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room)	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Peyser, Roncovieri—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refunds of Amounts Paid on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1198, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported the following erroneous and duplicate assessments, upon which payments of taxes were collected; therefore,

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the following named; being refunds of the amounts paid by them for account of said erroneous and duplicate assessments, to-wit:

(1) To Edgar C. Nelson, per Vol. 4, page 114, line 16, Unsecured Property Rolls for 1933 ..... $ 7.13

(2) To H. R. Freemen, per Vol. 2, page 141, line 5, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1933 ..... 8.32

(3) To Q. Scribante, per Vol. 4, page 162, Lot 34, Block 655, 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls 12.35

(4) To Jos. E. Paris, per Vol. 40, page 62, Lot 42, Block 6639 of 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls 3.48

(5) To Lizzie M. Richit, per Vol. 9, page 33, Lots 34/35, Block 1362 of 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls 1.04

(6) To M. A. Cavanagh, per Vol. 11, page 4, line 2, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1933 ..... 7.92

(7) To James E. Kenney, per Vol. 3, page 138, line 8 of Unsecured Personal Property Roll for 1933 ..... 3.56

(8) To Hannah O'Shea, per Vol. 4, page 155, line 7 of Unsecured Personal Property Roll for 1933 ..... 7.13

(9) To Finlay Macdonald, per Vol. 8, page 151, line 4 of Unsecured Personal Property Roll for 1933..... 1.19
(10) To Lawrence P. Delmas, per Vol. 41, Lot 25, Block 6798 of the 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls 32.02
(11) To Anna Donnellan, per Vol. 19, page 164, lot 16, Block 2768 of the 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls..... 1.39
(12) To E. J. Margett, per Vol. 17, page 54, line 8 of the Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1933..... 43.56
(13) To Sterling Laundry Co., per Vol. 23, page 22/26, Block 3547 of the 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls..... 313.41

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Transferring $1,443.75 to Pay Salary of Confidential Secretary to Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.05)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 498, Ordinance No. 9.051114, as follows:

An ordinance appropriating $1,443.75 to the credit of Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (1933-34) out of Appropriation No. 260 of said Appropriation Ordinance for the purpose of creating one position of Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff at $275 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1933-34, the sum of $1,443.75. The said sum to be taken from Appropriation No. 260 of the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Sheriff's Department one position of Confidential Secretary at a salary of $275 per month, subject to the deduction authorized by Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Peyser, Roncovieri—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Acceptance of Deed and Payment of $33,496 to Spring Valley Water Company for Parcel 3 of Land, Fleishhacker Playfield Property.

(Code No. 12.1713)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1199, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Spring Valley Company, Ltd., to Parcel 3 of the lands described in that certain written agreement dated December 24, 1929, between the City and County of San Francisco and the former Spring Valley Water Company, now Spring Valley Company, Ltd.; and that the sum of $33,496 be paid for said land from 1933-1934 Appropriation No. 33.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Conference of Mayors of State on Liquor Control.

(Code No. 5.33)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Resolution No. 1193, as follows:

Whereas, there is an apparent widespread dissatisfaction with the condition surrounding the transportation and sale of spirituous malts and vinous liquors; and

Whereas, several initiative petitions are being circulated proposing amendments to Constitutional Amendment No. 2, the purposes of which amendments are in serious conflict and the inevitable result of which can only be to confuse the electorate and add to the present chaos; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in an effort to ascertain as near as possible and in the quickest way, the true sentiment of the people of the State of California on the subject of local option, local control, the distribution of revenues to be derived from wholesale and retail liquor dispensation and other details involved in this important question, the President of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby directed to call a statewide conference, to be held at San Francisco of all Mayors, County Supervisors, City Councilmen, Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs of the State, at which conference the above questions may be discussed; and be it

Further Resolved, That at said conference an effort shall be made to determine whether a straight outright repeal of so-called Constitutional Amendment No. 2 shall be submitted and also the policy of regulation or control which shall be submitted; and be it

Further Resolved, That persons attending said conference in an official capacity shall, if possible, pledge themselves to take charge of and supervise the circulation in their respective cities, counties and towns of an initiative petition to be circulated as a result of this conference, if the conference so decides.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Setting Time and Place for Hearing of Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Garfield Street, Etc.

(Code No. 12.0613)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1200, as follows:

Resolved, That Tuesday, the 2d day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to give notice of the time and place of the hearing of said appeals, also of the acts, determinations or proceedings objected to or complained of, said notice to be published for two days in the San Francisco Chronicle.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Changing Street Names.

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 1202, as follows:

Resolved, That the following street names be and are hereby changed:

Potrero Avenue Extension, that portion south from south line of Army street to San Bruno avenue, to "Bay Shore boulevard."

San Bruno avenue, from a point 278 feet plus south from south line of Army street to San Bruno avenue, to "Bay Shore boulevard."

San Bruno avenue, that portion west of block 5598-A, to "Cosgrove street."

San Bruno avenue, from a point easterly from the proposed Bay Shore boulevard and bounding block 5054A to "Hester avenue."

San Bruno avenue, from northerly termination of Tunnel avenue southerly to County line, to "Bay Shore boulevard."

Rodeo avenue, formerly San Bruno avenue, to "San Bruno avenue."

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

The Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 was *withdrawn by the Streets Committee.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Authorizing Chief Boiler Inspector to Attend Convention at Los Angeles.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 1203, as follows:

Resolved, That John J. Kane, Chief Boiler Inspector, is hereby authorized to attend the Thirteenth Annual Convention of the California Certified Boiler and Elevator Inspectors at Los Angeles on January 9, 10 and 11, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Peyser, Roncovieri —5.

In Memoriam: Carl A. Henry.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1204, as follows:

Whereas, grim death has called from this life a dear and intimate friend of all the members of this Board of Supervisors in the person of Carl A. Henry, philanthropist, prominent businessman and civic leader who, through sheer ability and force of character, rose from humble beginnings as a newsboy in San Francisco to the presidency of the Owl Drug Company and directorship in the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

His was a personality noted for its gentle kindness and unobtrusive charity, a man proud of his native San Francisco and its wonderful

situation, whose beautiful home crowned one of our most sightly eminences. Carl A. Henry was a man beloved and admired by all of his friends and associates. In his death San Francisco loses a most devoted citizen and a most highly esteemed public official; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses to the wife, relatives and friends of Carl A. Henry its deep and sincere sorrow in this, their sad bereavement, and when this Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to his revered memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Conferences on Bay Bridge Terminals.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk of the Board immediately communicate with the Toll Bridge Authority and request a report on all of the conferences held on the subject of interurban transportation across the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and that the Streets Committee be given the information and be given an invitation to sit with any conference to be held on the subject of the approaches to the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Motion *carried.*

Protest Against N. R. A. Grant for Apartments on Odd Fellows' Cemetery Site.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the resolution he introduced in November, protesting the Federal grant for the construction of apartment houses on the site of the Odd Fellows' Cemetery be called out of committee and made a Special Order of Business at the next meeting of the Board for 2:30 p. m.

So ordered.

Inaugural Ceremonies in the Auditorium.

Communication from Wesley McKenzie, president, Central Council of Civic Clubs, transmitting resolution urging that Board of Supervisors hold its inaugural ceremonies in the Auditorium.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon *referred to the City Attorney for opinion as to the legality.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 5:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 28—New Series No. 54

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Tuesday, December 26, 1933
Wednesday, December 27, 1933.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

No. 53

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 26, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, December 26, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Breyer appeared and was noted present at 3:10 p. m.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3:05 p. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Supervisor Miles appeared and was noted present at 3:10 p. m.

His Honor President Hayden being absent, Supervisor Peyser was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 18, 1933, was laid over for approval until a subsequent meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Roosevelt Apartments, Odd Fellows' Cemetery Site.

Supervisor Shannon announced that there would be no hearing today on the matter of his resolution protesting a federal appropriation from NRA for Roosevelt Apartment construction on site of Odd Fellows' Cemetery. He moved that the matter be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday.

So ordered.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

$600,000 "Relief Bonds, 1932."

Sealed proposals were received up to the hour of 3:00 p. m. this day for the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Six hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

Bids.

1. Weeden & Co.—For $450,000 bonds, maturing 1936 to 1944, to bear interest at the rate of 5¼ per cent per annum, and $150,000 bonds maturing 1945 to 1947 to bear interest at rate of 5 per cent per annum.

To pay $600,000, being the par value thereof, plus accrued interest to date of delivery.

2. Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager for Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Company, Inc., R. W. Presspich & Company. For $350,000, to bear interest at the rate of 5¾ per cent per annum. $50,000 par value on September 1st in each of years 1936 to 1942, both years inclusive.

For $250,000, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum. $50,000 par value on September 1st in each of the years 1943 to 1947, both years inclusive.

With accrued interest to date of delivery.

Plus premium of $39.

3. The Anglo California National Bank, Bond Department, Heller Bruce & Co., Schwabacher & Co. By Paul B. Kelly, representative. For $400,000, to bear interest at the rate of 5½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually on March and September 1, and maturing $50,000 par value each September 1, 1936 to 1943, inclusive, and $200,000, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1, and maturing $50,000 each September 1, 1944 to 1947, inclusive.

With accrued interest to date of delivery—plus premium of $200.

4. R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co. By V. E. Breeden. For $600,000: $50,000 September 1, 1936 to 1942 as 5½ per cent bonds and $50,000 September 1, 1943 to 1947 as 5 per cent bonds, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1, with accrued interest to date of delivery, together with premium of $600.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Subsequently, the following resolution was presented and, on motion, *laid over until tomorrow at 2 p. m.*:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1214, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given, as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Relief Bonds, Issue of 1932, to the amount of $600,000, would be opened and considered on Tuesday, the 26th day of December, 1933; and,

Whereas, several bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid Notice of Sale, and same having been duly considered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of Weeden & Co., for said $600,000 Relief Bonds, Issue of 1932, of the City and County of San Francisco, to bear interest at the rate of five and one-quarter (5¼%) per cent per annum for four hundred and fifty thousand dollars of bonds maturing 1936 to 1944, and at the rate of five per cent (5%) per annum for one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) of bonds maturing 1945 to 1947, the sum of six hundred thousand dollars, being the par value thereof plus accrued interest to date of delivery, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Weeden & Co. for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $600,000, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $500 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to Meet Unpaid Bills and Necessary Supplies for Account of the Bureau of Employment Registration.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 492, Ordinance No. 9.051110, as follows:

Appropriating $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 89-1, Bureau of Employment Registration, for the payment of unpaid bills and necessary supplies for account of said Bureau of Employment Registration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside the sum of $500 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 89-1, Bureau of Employment Registration, for the payment of unpaid bills and necessary supplies for account of said Bureau of Employment Registration.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to Make Inspections of, and to Issue Certificates Determining the Condition of Agricultural Products, and Providing a Fee for the Issuance Thereof, and Fixing the Amount of Said Fees.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 465, Ordinance No. 3.04130, as follows:

Authorizing the County Agricultural Commissioner to make inspections of, and to issue certificates determining the condition of agricultural products, and providing a fee for the issuance thereof, and fixing the amount of said fees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of the County Agricultural Commissioner to inspect all fruits, vegetables, cereals, eggs, butter and cheese purchased by the Purchaser of Supplies for use by the City and County, or by any department, office or bureau thereof, and the cost of such inspection shall be paid by the person, firm or corporation selling or furnishing said commodities to the City.

Section 2. Upon the payment of the fees herein provided, the Commissioner shall issue to the seller of said commodities a certificate certifying to the condition of said commodities, and a copy thereof to the Purchaser of Supplies, and no commodities purchased shall be accepted by the Purchaser which are not certified to be in accordance with the grade provided to be delivered to said Purchaser in accordance with his agreement of purchase with said seller.

Section 3. It shall also be the duty of the County Agricultural Commissioner, at the request of any shipper desiring to ship agricultural products, and the payment of the fee or fees herein provided, to inspect the products to be shipped and to issue to the shipper thereof a certificate certifying to said inspection, and to the condition of said products.

Section 4. The fees to be charged for the service provided for in this ordinance shall be as follows:

(a) For inspection and certificates of products purchased for the City and County:

1. For the inspection of onions, beans, rice and other cereals—2 cents per 100 pounds.

2. For the inspection of dried fruits—3 cents per 100 pounds.

3. For the inspection of butter and cheese—5 cents per 100 pounds.
4. For the inspection of eggs—3 cents per case.

(b) For inspection and certificates for agricultural products to be shipped, as follows:

1. One and one-half cents per package with a maximum charge of $3 for 500 packages; from 500 to 1000 packages one-half a cent per package; and for all packages over 1000, one-quarter of a cent per package.
2. For each certificate of fumigation—25 cents.
3. Twenty-five cents shall be the minimum charge for any single certificate.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating $279 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), 1933-1934, for the Employment of One Temporary Employee in the Office of the Treasurer From January 1 to February 28, 1934, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 500, Ordinance No. 9.051115, as follows:

Appropriating $279 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Treasurer's Office, Personal Service Budget Item 14-1, Budget of 1933-1934, for the employment of one temporary employee in the office of the Treasurer from January 1 to February 28, 1934, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief Checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $279 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Budget Item 14-1, Treasurer's Office, Personal Service, Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the payment of the salary of one temporary employee in the office of the Treasurer from January 1 to February 28, 1934, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief Checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

(Approved by the Mayor and Director of Finance.)

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments of Amounts for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1205, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lots from 1931-1932 Appropriation No. 170:

Dudley J. Kierulff et al., Lot 37, Assessor's Block 5629, $100;
Pauline A. Younger et al., Lot 8, Assessor's Block 5625, $125;
Andrew G. Hardenburgh, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 5626, and Lot 10, Assessor's Block 5549, $300.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Refunds of Amounts of Taxes Collected on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1206, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts are hereby authorized in payment out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of amounts of taxes collected on erroneous and duplicate assessments, to-wit:

To Crocker Estate Company, per Vol. 38, page 105, line 1, Block 6417, 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous assessment, $13.92.
To H. S. Ray, per Vol. 4, page 237, line 24, on Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, for 1933; duplicate payment, $6.73.
(Requests of the Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1207, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lots in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932, Appropriation No. 170:
Kate Tiftickjian, Lot 65 in Assessor's Block 5549, $150.
Charles W. Strather et al., Lot 25 in Assessor's Block 5623, $100.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Changing Name of Mono Street to Nineteenth Street.

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1208, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of Mono street, between Corbett avenue and Caselli avenue, be and is hereby changed to Nineteenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.
Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Changing Various Street Names and Repealing Resolution No. 1202.

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 1209, as follows:

Resolved, That the following street names be and are hereby changed:
Potrero avenue extension, that portion south from south line of Army street to San Bruno avenue, to "Bay Shore boulevard."
San Bruno avenue, from a point 278 feet plus south from south line of Army street to Waterloo street (excepting that portion of San Bruno avenue west of Block 5598-A) to "Bay Shore boulevard."

San Bruno avenue, that portion west of Block 5598-A, to "Cosgrove street."

San Bruno avenue, from a point easterly from the proposed Bay Shore boulevard and bounding Block 5054-A, to "Hester avenue."

San Bruno avenue, from northerly termination of Tunnel avenue southerly to County line, to "Bay Shore boulevard."

Rodeo avenue (formerly San Bruno avenue) to "San Bruno avenue."

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 1202 be and is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney and Director of Public Health to Pass Upon Legislation Governing Labels for Alcoholic Beverages.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1210, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Health is hereby requested to confer with the City Attorney to determine whether existing laws governing labels for alcoholic beverages are sufficient to protect the health of the citizens of San Francisco, and if said laws are insufficient the City Attorney and Director of Public Health are further requested to recommend suitable legislation to this Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

City Attorney to Represent San Francisco in Rate Litigation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 26, 1933.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: Recently there has been terminated a lengthy proceeding before the Railroad Commission of the State of California in which gas rates charged by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company were under investigation.

This office represented the city in all of the numerous hearings held, and a judgment of the Commission was rendered in which the majority of the consumers in San Francisco received substantial reductions for rates charged in serving natural gas. We contended for lower rates than were actually put into effect by the Railroad Commission, but nevertheless, as above stated, the decision will result in substantial savings when placed in effect. In addition, the proposed rates were to be retroactive, and subscribers will receive refunds on their bills from July 16, 1933.

The Pacific Gas and Electric Company has filed proceedings in the United States District Court to enjoin the Railroad Commission from putting into effect the new rates. The Court in its discretion may permit the city to intervene in the case and assist in an endeavor to have the lower rates put into effect.

You are respectfully requested to advise me if you desire this office to intervene in the proceedings in the United States District Court. In the event you do, the enclosed form of resolution should be passed.

Yours truly,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted.*

(Code No. 6.023)

Resolution No. 1211, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to appear in behalf of the City and County in those certain proceedings now pending in the District Court of the United States, wherein the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has endeavored to restrain the taking effect of rates fixed by the Railroad Commission ordered to be charged by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for natural gas.

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Endorsing the Establishment by the Board of California State Park Commissioners of "Bodega Bay Park."

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 1212, as follows:

Whereas, there is no Ocean Shore Park established in the northern part of California, while the southern part of the State has been favored with many such parks; and

Whereas, the California State Park Commissioners, in conjunction with the County of Sonoma, contemplate the establishment of Bodega Bay Park, on Bodega Bay; and

Whereas, the people of San Francisco and the Bay cities are entitled to the public recreational facilities afforded by an Ocean Shore Park and the establishment of the Bodega Bay Park would be easily accessible to the people of the Bay Region, and more particularly the residents of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse the Bodega Bay Park project, and respectfully requests its establishment by the Board of California State Park Commissioners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Miles, Roncovieri—5.

Appropriation for Interest on $1,000,000 Note to State of California.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 26, 1933.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please refer to my letter of November 23, 1933, relating to interest and redemption of the one million dollar loan received by the City and County of San Francisco from the State of California under the provisions of the California Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

On page two thereof:

"Irrespective as to whether the Board will endeavor to arrange a new contract, thereafter agreeing to place $100,000 in the budget for the ensuing fiscal year as the first payment of principal, it will be necessary as at January 1, 1934, to make the first interest payment as shown in the tabulations, viz: $5,491.57.

"It is therefore suggested that the Mayor be requested to approve an appropriation in this amount."

The City Attorney has prepared the proper ordinance for the necessary appropriation and it is requested that this be passed as an emer-

gency ordinance this day in order that the moneys will be available to the State of California as at January 1, 1934.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the following bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 501, Ordinance No. 9.051116, as follows:

Appropriating five thousand four hundred thirty and 55/100 ($5,430.55) dollars out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 80-3, to meet the interest payment due January 1, 1934, on the note given by the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, dated November 1, 1933, for a one million ($1,000,000) dollar loan for Unemployment Relief and declaring this measure an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated $5,430.55 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve Fund) Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 80-3, to meet the interest payment on the promissory note given by the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, dated November 1, 1933, and covering loan of $1,000,000 as made by the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for unemployment relief.

Section 2. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote under and by which this ordinance is enacted, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately; that the nature of said emergency is as follows:

That the interest on the aforesaid note will be due and payable on January 1, 1934, and the payment on said date constitutes an obligation of the City and County, and the appropriation above mentioned must be made in order to comply with time limitation fixed by law.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri—2.

In Memoriam: John Gallagher.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1215, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors records with deepest sorrow and regret the passing of John Gallagher, beloved brother of our confrere, Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher.

For thirty-five years John Gallagher was familiar as one of the city's well-known employees in the Golden Gate Park. In his humble capacity as foreman gardener under Superintendent John McLaren he played his part in bringing San Francisco's famous playground to that high state of excellence for which it is known all over the world. His was a cheerful, genial character, that will be missed by many of his associates who knew his gentle and kindly nature and enjoyed his true and enduring friendship.

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased, and that the Clerk be

directed to send a copy of these resolutions to the family and relatives of the late John Gallagher.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Congress to Modify or Repeal Income Tax.

Supervisor Shannon presented Resolution No. 1213, memorializing Congress to repeal or modify the Income Tax Law.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Relative to Number One on Calendar (Bill No. 492), Appropriating $500 to Pay Unpaid Bills and Necessary Supplies for Bureau of Employment Registration.

Supervisor Shannon, in this connection said:

"I understand that some sixty people employed in this Bureau were not residents in September, 1932, and that some had moved into the City since that time and would probably move out when the work ceased. I, therefore, move that a report from the Department handling this matter be furnished to this Board as to the number of people eligible for employment in the C. W. A. who were residents of San Francisco as of September 1, 1932."

Appointment of Hugo D. Newhouse Director of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor Spaulding moved the suspension of the rules and withdrawal from Public Utilities Committee of his resolution appointing Hugo D. Newhouse director of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the resignation of Geo. T. Cameron as director be withdrawn from Public Utilities Committee.

Chair ruled that the resignation of Geo. T. Cameron was properly before the Board.

Supervisor Havenner asked for the City Attorney's opinion as to the question—whether or not Mr. Cameron's resignation takes effect until it is accepted by the Board of Supervisors, and was advised by City Attorney O'Toole that when the resignation was presented it took effect at once.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the rules be suspended and that the resolution appointing Hugo D. Newhouse be withdrawn from Committee.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Whereupon, the following was taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1168, as follows:

Resolved, That Hugo D. Newhouse be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City and County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of Geo. T. Cameron, resigned.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Before the result of the foregoing was announced Supervisor Brown changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

Supervisor Miles moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy—6.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Appointment Proposed of John P. McLaughlin as Director of Golden Gate Bridge District.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1222, as follows:

Resolved, That John P. McLaughlin be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City and County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of Carl A. Henry, deceased.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

(Request made that Committee meet during the week in order that a successor to Carl A. Henry might be selected as soon as possible.)

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board, at 6:15 p. m., took a recess until 2 p. m. tomorrow.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1933, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, December 27, 1933, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Appointment of Successor to Carl A. Henry, Golden Gate Bridge Director.

Communication presented and read in the Board of Supervisors today and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

"GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE AND HIGHWAY DISTRICT

One Eleven Sutter Street
GA rfield 1101
San Francisco

December 27, 1933.

"To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: The Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, in their regular session today, by motion duly made and seconded, instructed me to notify you that a vacancy now exists

on this Board of Directors caused by the death of Mr. Carl A. Henry. You are requested to appoint a director to this vacancy for the unexpired term of Mr. Henry, at as early a date as possible.

"Very truly yours,

"(Signed) W. W. FELT, JR."

Supervisor Shannon moved that a copy of the foregoing letter be sent to Supervisor Colman with a request for consideration during the week.

So ordered.

Bid of Weeden & Co.

The following was taken up:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1214, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given, as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit, Relief Bonds, Issue of 1932, to the amount of $600,000, would be opened and considered on Tuesday, the 26th day of December, 1933; and

Whereas, several bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and same having been duly considered, therefore

Resolved, That the bid of Weeden & Co. for said $600,000 Relief Bonds, Issue of 1932, of the City and County of San Francisco to bear interest at the rate of five and one-quarter per cent (5¼%) per annum for four hundred and fifty thousand dollars of bonds maturing 1936 to 1944, and at the rate of five per cent (5%) per annum for one hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000) of bonds maturing 1945 to 1947, the sum of six hundred thousand dollars being the par value thereof plus accrued interest to date of delivery, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Weeden & Co., for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $600,000, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Bids Rejected.

Supervisor Miles moved that the foregoing bid and all other bids be rejected and that the certified checks be returned.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following was presented by Supervisor Miles and *adopted*:

Authorizing the Issuance and Sale of Relief Bonds 1932 of the City and County of San Francisco, and Fixing the Rate of Interest on Said Bonds so Ordered, Issued and Offered for Sale.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1216, as follows:

Authorizing the issuance and sale of Relief Bonds 1932 of the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing the rate of interest on said bonds so ordered, issued and offered for sale.

Resolved, That the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to issue and offer for sale $150,000 par value of Relief Bonds 1932, $50,000 thereof maturing in 1945, $50,000 maturing in 1946, and $50,000 maturing in 1947, said bonds to be sold for not less than par and the accrued interest thereon at date of sale.

Be it further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby fix the rate of interest on said $150,000 of said Relief Bonds above mentioned at the rate of 4¾% per annum, said interest payable semi-annually, September 1 and March 1 during each year.

Be it further Resolved, That the above-mentioned bonds be sold by the said Treasurer at his office in the City and County of San Francisco as a whole, and he is hereby authorized to deliver said bonds to the purchaser or purchasers thereof upon the payment of the purchase price thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon the Board at the hour of 5:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Manned